VIA FACSIMILE AND CERTIFIED U.S. MAIL

December 15, 2004

James Hogan  
Office of Freedom of Information/  
Security Review  
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
Room 2C757  
1155 Defense Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301-1155  
(Fax: 703-693-7341)  
(Art. No.: 7002 0860 0004 9551 3604)

Tony Kendrick  
Director  
Departmental Disclosure  
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY  
Room 3310-15  
Washington, DC 20528  
(Fax: 202-772-5036)  
(Art. No.: 7002 0860 0004 9551 3611)

David M. Hardy, Chief  
Records/Information Dissemination Section  
Records Management Division  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20535-0001  
(Fax: 202-324-3367)  
(Art. No.: 7002 0860 0004 9551 3598)

Thomas J. McIntyre, Chief  
FOIA/PA Unit  
Criminal Division  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Suite 1127, Keeney Building  
Washington, DC 20530-0001  
(Fax: 202-514-6117)  
(Art. No.: 7002 0860 0004 9551 3628)

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request

Dear Sir/Madam:

Pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, Judicial Watch, Inc. hereby requests that the U.S. Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"), Department of Defense ("DOD"), and Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") produce any and all agency records concerning, relating to, or reflecting the following subjects:

(1) Video camera recording(s) obtained by federal official(s) and/or law enforcement from the Sheraton National Hotel in Arlington Virginia, on or about September 11, 2001.
(2) Video camera recording(s) obtained by any federal official(s) and/or law enforcement from a Nexcomm/Citgo gas station in the vicinity of the Pentagon on or about September 11, 2001.¹

(3) Pentagon security video camera recording(s) showing Flight 77 strike and/or hit and/or crash into the Pentagon on September 11, 2001.

(4) Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) video camera recording(s) obtained by any federal official(s) and/or law enforcement from the Virginia Department of Transportation ("VDOT") and/or the VDOT "Smart Traffic Center" on or about September 11, 2001.²

For purpose of this request, the term "record" shall mean: (1) any written, printed, or typed material of any kind, including without limitation all correspondence, memoranda, notes, messages, letters, cards, telegrams, teletypes, printed matter, facsimiles, papers, forms, records, telephone messages, diaries, schedules, calendars, chronological data, minutes, books, reports, charts, lists, ledgers, invoices, worksheets, receipts, returns, computer printouts, prospectuses, statements, checks, statistics, surveys, affidavits, minutes, contracts, agreements, transcripts, magazine or newspaper articles, or press releases; (2) any electronically, magnetically, or mechanically stored material of any kind, including without limitation all electronic mail or e-mail, meaning any electronically transmitted text or graphic communication created upon and transmitted or received by any computer or other electronic device, and all materials stored on compact disk, computer disk, diskette, hard drive, server, or tape; (3) any audio, aural, visual, or video records, recordings, or representations of any kind, including without limitation all cassette tapes, compact disks, digital video disks, microfiche, microfilm, motion pictures, pictures, photographs, or videotapes; (4) any graphic materials and data compilations from which information can be obtained; (5) any materials using other means of preserving thought or expression; and (6) any tangible things from which data or information can be obtained, processed, recorded, or transcribed. The term "record" also shall mean any drafts, alterations, amendments, changes, or modifications of or to any of the foregoing.

If you do not understand this request or any portion thereof, or if you feel you require clarification of this request or any portion thereof, please contact us immediately at 202-646-5172.


² Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) Internet website. [ http://www.virginiadot.org/comtravel/smartz-traffic-center-nova-security.asp ]
If any responsive record or portion thereof is claimed to be exempt from production under FOIA, please provide sufficient identifying information with respect to each allegedly exempt record or portion thereof to allow us to assess the propriety of the claimed exemption. *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). In addition, any reasonably segregable portion of a responsive record must be provided, after redaction of any allegedly exempt material. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

**Judicial Watch also hereby requests a waiver of both search and duplication fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).**

Judicial Watch is entitled to a waiver of search fees under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) because it is a member of the news media. Judicial Watch regularly obtains information about the operations and activities of government through FOIA and other means, uses its editorial skills to turn this information into distinct works, and publishes and disseminates these works to the public. It intends to do likewise with the records it receives in response to this request.

As a member of the news media, Judicial Watch uses the following means, among others, to publish and disseminate its distinctive work to the public:

1. Judicial Watch maintains an Internet site, www.JudicialWatch.org, where the public can review records obtained through FOIA and read editorial works prepared by Judicial Watch including news releases, based on FOIA materials. This website is viewed by over 20,000 people per day on average, and on several occasions, has logged up to 1,000,000 visitors in a single day.

2. Judicial Watch also publishes a monthly newsletter in which it publishes its own editorial works and presents, analyzes, and explains information it obtains through FOIA. Judicial Watch, Inc.'s newsletter is sent to approximately 140,000 individuals each month. The organization also utilizes an e-mail Infonet service that sends out updates of Judicial Watch’s activities over the Internet to almost 14,000 persons.

3. Judicial Watch also periodically publishes and disseminates its own distinct works in the form of books and reports. For example, in September 1998 Judicial Watch published the *Interim Report on Crimes and Other Offenses Committed by President Bill Clinton Warranting His Impeachment and Removal from Elected Office*. This 145-page report was accompanied by nearly 4,000 pages of supporting documentation and was crafted, in part, from the raw materials obtained by Judicial Watch through FOIA requests, among other regular means. In August 1999, Judicial Watch published *Filegate Status Report*, which is 136 pages long and is supported by nearly 1000 pages of documentation. In March 2001, Judicial Watch published *The Judicial Watch Florida Recount*, an independent, non-partisan analysis of the results of Florida’s hotly contested 2000 Presidential election based upon an sampling of ballots reviewed by Judicial Watch pursuant

Judicial Watch also publishes and disseminates its distinctive work by participating in public conferences and seminars, including its own "Ethics in Government" conferences held in Pasadena, California (1999), Washington, DC (2000), and Miami, FL (2001). Judicial Watch also works with other media organizations to publish and disseminate distinctive work to the public, and representatives of Judicial Watch appear frequently on nationally broadcast television and radio programs. Judicial Watch is a member of the National Religious Broadcast Association and has been granted press credentials at a number of national conventions and other events.

Consequently, Judicial Watch qualifies for a waiver of search fees as a member of the news media. See National Security Archive v. U.S. Department of Defense, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989). In fact, Judicial Watch, Inc. has been recognized as a member of the news media in other FOIA litigation. See Judicial Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Department of Justice, 133 F. Supp.2d 52 (D.D.C. 2000).

Judicial Watch also is entitled to a complete waiver of both search fees and duplication fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). Under this provision, records:

shall be furnished without any charge or at a charge reduced below the fees established under clause (ii) if disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

Judicial Watch is a 501(c)(3), not-for-profit, educational organization, and, by definition, it has no commercial purpose. Judicial Watch exists to educate the public about the operations and activities of government, as well as to increase public understanding about the importance of ethics and the rule of law in government. The particular records requested herein are sought as part of Judicial Watch ongoing efforts to monitor the operations and activities of the federal government and to educate the public about these operations and activities, and, in particular, as part of an investigation into the facts and circumstances surrounding the crash of Flight 77 into the Pentagon on September the 11th 2001.
Courts applying the "public interest" fee waiver provision of FOIA typically take into account four factors in determining whether to grant a waiver: (1) whether the subject of the requested records concerns the operations or activities of government; (2) whether disclosure of the requested records is likely to contribute to an understanding of government operations or activities; (3) whether disclosure of the requested records will contribute to a "reasonably broad" audience and whether the requestor has the "ability and intention" to disseminate the information to the public; and (4) whether disclosure of the requested record will contribute "significantly" to the public understanding. See D.C. Technical Assistance Org. v. HUD, 85 F. Supp.2d 46, 48-49 (D.D.C. 2000); 28 C.F.R. § 16.11(k)(2)(i)-(iv). Request for "public interest" waivers are to be judged on a case-by-case basis. Larson v. CIA, 843 F.2d 1481, 1483 (D.C. Cir. 1988).

Without question, the subject-matter of the request concerns the operations and activities of government, as the request specifically seeks information about the crash of Flight 77 into the Pentagon on September 11, 2001.

Disclosure of the requested records is likely to contribute to an understanding of government operations and activities and will appeal to a "reasonably broad" audience because the records relate directly to the facts and circumstances surrounding the crash of Flight 77, which has come under scrutiny from European authors and Internet sources who question the facts and evidence that the commercial passenger jet did indeed crash into the Pentagon.

French journalist Thierry Meyssan has authored a controversial book entitled L'Effroyable Imposture (The Frightening Fraud), which as been translated into English and published in America as 9/11: The Big Lie. In the book the author makes allegations that the object that struck the Pentagon on 9/11 was not a airplane (Flight 77), but a missile.

According to the allegations published by Meyssan and circulating on the Internet, based on eye-witness descriptions, the characteristics of the object in the moments before the impact more resemble a missile than an airplane. Meyssan claims the lack of debris on the ground and inside the Pentagon, as well as lack of more significant damage to the Pentagon rings indicate that a missile, not an airplane, struck the Pentagon that day. Release of the video recordings that were reportedly obtained that day will put end to these allegations and questions, and fully inform the American public of the details of the crash into the Pentagon. The crash has already been widely covered by the press and investigated by private groups and the government with findings published, including those done by the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE).

The taxpayers American public deserves full disclosure of any direct recording of the most deadly terrorist attack on America in history.

3 Thierry Meyssan. 9/11: The Big Lie. (Continental Sales: August 1, 2002)
Once Judicial Watch obtains the requested records, it intends to analyze them and disseminate the results of its analysis, as well as the records themselves, to the public via its radio programs, website, and/or newsletter, among other outlets. It also will make the records available to other members of the media or researchers upon request. Judicial Watch, Inc. has a proven ability to disseminate information obtained through FOIA to the public, as demonstrated by its long-standing and continuing public outreach efforts, including its radio programs, website, newsletter, periodic published reports, public appearances, and other educational undertakings.

Finally, disclosure of the requested records will contribute significantly to the public’s understanding of the crash because relatively little is known about it from recorded sources. Furthermore, very little is known about the recordings requested in this letter. Gas station attendant Jose Velasquez stated that “the gas station’s security cameras are close enough to the Pentagon to have recorded the moment of impact.” He further stated “I’ve never seen what the pictures looked like... The FBI was here within minutes and took the film.” The records requested by Judicial Watch undoubtedly will shed additional light on this important matter.

Given these compelling circumstances, Judicial Watch is entitled to a public interest fee waiver of both search costs and duplication costs. Nonetheless, in the event our request for a waiver of search and/or duplication costs is denied, Judicial Watch is willing to pay up to $350.00 in search and/or duplication costs. Judicial Watch requests that it be contacted before any such costs are incurred, in order to prioritize search and duplication efforts.

We look forward to receiving the requested documents and a waiver of both search and duplication costs within twenty (20) business days.

Sincerely,

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.

Christopher J. Farrell

CJF/mac

---