



**United States
Department of
Agriculture**

Farm and Foreign
Agricultural
Services

Foreign
Agricultural
Service

1400 Independence
Ave, SW
Stop 1004
Washington, DC
20250-1004

November 8, 2006

Mr. Christopher J. Farrell
Director of Investigations and Research
Judicial Watch
501 School Street, SW
Suite 725
Washington, DC 20024

Dear Mr. Farrell:

In response to your request to the U.S. Department of Commerce for documents related to the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America, the Foreign Agricultural Service encloses the following documents.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Sally Klusaritz".

Sally Klusaritz
FOIA Officer

Enclosures

USG SPP/FARS Commitment Taskforce

FSIS = Food Safety and Inspection Service
 FDA = Food and Drug Administration
 FAS = Foreign Agricultural Service
 APHIS = Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service
 EPA = Environmental Protection Agency
 DOC = Department of Commerce

Name	Agency	Phone Number	Fax Number	Email address	Action or Info
Karen Stuck -- Asst. Administrator Office of International Affairs	USDA/FSIS	202-720-3473	202-690-3856	Karen.Stuck@fsis.usda.gov	Action
Virginia Olson -- Analyst Office of International Affairs	USDA/FSIS	202-690-3788	202-720-7990	Virginia.Olson@fsis.usda.gov	Action
Catherine Carnevale -- Director, Office of Constituent Operations	FDA	301-436-1723	301-436-2618	Catherine.carnevale@cfpsan.fda.gov	Action
Melinda Plaisier -- Asst. Commissioner for International Programs	FDA	301- 827-4480	301- 827-1451	melinda.plaisier@fda.hhs.gov	Action
Brian Grunenfelder -- Director International Trade Policy (ITP) Asia and the Americas Division (AAD)	USDA/FAS	202-720-1291	202-690-1093	Brian.Grunenfelder@fas.usda.gov	Action
Leslie C. O'Connor -- Senior Trade Policy Advisor ITP/AAD	USDA/FAS	202-720-1064	202-690-1093	Leslie.Oconnor@fas.usda.gov	Action
Pauline Simmons -- International Economist Canada Desk Officer/ ITP/AAD Division	USDA/FAS	202-720-1335	202-690-1093	Pauline.Simmons@fas.usda.gov	Action

2

Name	Agency	Phone Number	Fax Number	Email address	Action or Info
Carlos Gonzalez -- Mexico Desk Officer/ITP/AAD	USDA/FAS	202-720-6777	202-690-1093	Carlos.Gonzalez@fas.usda.gov	Action
Audrey Talley -- Deputy Director ITP/Food Safety and Technical Services Division (FSTSD)	USDA/FAS	202-720-9408	202-690-0677	Audrey.Talley@fas.usda.gov	Action
Farah Naim -- International Trade Specialist/ ITP/FSTSD	USDA/FAS	202-690-0607	202-690-0677	Farah.Naim@fas.usda.gov	Action
Gary Groves -- Agricultural Minister Counselor U.S. Embassy	USDA/FAS Ottawa	613-688-5267	613-688-3124	Gary.Groves@usda.gov	Action
Lisa Anderson -- Senior Agricultural Attaché U.S. Embassy	USDA/FAS Ottawa	613- 688-5269	613-688-3124	Lisa.Anderson2@usda.gov	Action
Suzanne Heinen -- Agricultural Minister Counselor U.S. Embassy	USDA/FAS Mexico	(011-52-55) 5080-2532	(011-52-55) 5080-2776 or 5080-2130	Suzanne.Heinen@usda.gov	Action
David Williams -- Senior Agricultural Attaché	USDA/FAS Mexico	(011-52-55) 5080-2532	(011-52-55) 5080-2776 or 5080-2130	David.J.Williams@usda.gov	Action
Catherine Fulton -- Trade Director International Services & Trade	USDA/ APHIS	202-720-8529	202-690-2861	Catherine.S.Fulton@aphis.usda.gov	Info
Jane Doherty -- Attorney Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances	EPA	202-564-0530		Doherty.Jane@epamail.epa.gov	Info
Geri C. Word -- Deputy Director and SPP Coordinator Office of NAFTA & Inter-American Affairs	DOC	202- 482-1545	202- 482-5865	Geri_Word@ita.doc.gov	Info

**Trilateral Fora to Deal with Food Safety and Related Issues
Canada- Mexico- United States**

Issue	Forum	Objective	Agencies Involved (*denotes lead)		
			Canada	Mexico	United States
Food safety, nutrition, drugs, biologics, medical devices	Trilateral Cooperation Charter (TCC)	To increase communication, collaboration, and the exchange of information among the three countries in the areas of drugs, biologics, medical devices, food safety and nutrition to protect and promote human health. The Trilateral Cooperation undertakes its work through Working Groups	Health Products and Food Branch (HPFB)*, Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA), Commissioner of Competition (Competition Bureau)	La. comision Federal para la Proceccion contra riesgos Sanitarios. Secretaria de salud (COFEPRIS)	US Food and Drug Administration (FDA),
Exchange of compliance enforcement information	Canada-U.S.-Mexico Compliance Information Group TCC Working Group - CUMCIG	Exchange of compliance enforcement information in the areas of drugs, cosmetics, biologics medical devises, food safety and nutrition	HPFB*, CFIA	COFEPRIS	FDA
Cooperation in combating health fraud	Mexico-US-Canada Health Fraud Group. TCC Working Group - MUCH	Maintain a formal framework for cooperation in combating health fraud and to identify appropriate lines of communication to ensure a continual exchange of information on compliance and enforcement activities among the three countries.	HPFB, Competition Bureau	COFEPRIS	FDA

Issue	Forum	Objective	Agencies Involved (*denotes lead)		
			Canada	Mexico	United States
Emergency preparedness related to foods, drugs, medical devices, biologics and veterinary products	Emergency Preparedness and Response. TCC Working Group - EPR	Enhance the ability to respond to emergencies related to foods, drugs, medical devices, biologics and veterinary products that may affect more than one participating country.	HPFB, CFIA*	COFEPRIS	FDA
Sanitary and Phytosanitary Issues	North American Free Trade Agreement - SPS Committee (NAFTA - SPS)	Facilitate the enhancement of food safety and improvement of sanitary and phytosanitary conditions through activities including cooperation in the development, application and enforcement of sanitary or phytosanitary measures. Umbrella committee for NAHO, NAPPO, and NAFTA-SPS Technical Working Groups (NAFTA TWGs).	Canadian Food Inspection Agency* (CFIA) International Canada, (ITCAN) Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Health Canada (HC)	Secretary of Agriculture, livestock, Rural Development, Fishery and Food (SAGARPA)/Secretaria de Economia/COFEPRIS	FDA, Office of the US Trade Representative (USTR)* Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agencies: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS), Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS)
Meat, Poultry and Processed Egg Products	NAFTA-TWG - Meat, Poultry and Processed Egg Products	Harmonization of standards and trade requirements, resolution of trade issues and exchange of information	CFIA*	SAGARPA	FSIS*

Issue	Forum	Objective	Agencies Involved (*denotes lead)		
			Canada	Mexico	United States
Food Packaging and Labelling and Food Standards	NAFTA-TWG-Food Packaging and Labelling and Food Standards	Harmonization of standards and trade requirements, resolution of trade issues and exchange of information	CFIA*, HC	Economia	FDA* FSIS
Food Additives and Contaminants	NAFTA-TWG-Food Additives and Contaminants	Harmonization of standards and trade requirements, resolution of trade issues and exchange of information	HC*, CFIA (Suspended)	(Suspended)	FDA* (Suspended)
Fish and Seafood Products	NAFTA-TWG-Fish and Seafood Products	Harmonization of standards and trade requirements, resolution of trade issues and exchange of information	CFIA* (Suspended)	(Suspended)	FDA* (Suspended)
Dairy, Fruits, Vegetables and Processed Foods	NAFTA-TWG-Dairy, Fruits, Vegetables and Processed Foods	Harmonization of standards and trade requirements, resolution of trade issues and exchange of information	CFIA* (Suspended)	(Suspended)	FDA* (Suspended)

**Food and Agriculture Regulatory Systems Working Group
Prosperity Agenda – Security and Prosperity Partnership**

SPP Commitment:

1. Pursue common approaches to enhance food safety and accelerate the identification, management and recovery from foodborne and animal and plant disease hazards, which will also facilitate trade.

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestone	Deliverables	Timelines
<p>1.1. Establish or identify a North American food safety coordinating mechanism to facilitate the cooperative design and development of common standards, where appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the review of existing food safety standards to identify and assess, on a scientific basis, differences with a view to removing, where warranted and appropriate, those identified differences; and - the sharing of information on food safety matters to protect and advance public health in North America 	<p>Canada</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFIA • HC <p>Mexico</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON <p>US</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USDA • FDA • EPA 	<p>1.1.1. Establishment of a task force to identify and compare the existing mechanisms and fora (e.g., Trilateral Cooperation, existing bilateral agreements, NAFTA Technical Working Groups) within which this trilateral work can be accomplished, or determine if a new body is needed. This task force will include representatives of all key agencies, including Health Canada, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Environment Protection Agency, SALUD(COFEPRIS) and SAGARPA of Mexico.</p>		December 2005
		<p>1.1.2. The Task Force will make recommendations to the leadership of the participating agencies regarding how and in what forum the objective can be achieved. This could include options regarding amendment of the terms of reference of an existing trilateral mechanism or the establishment of a new coordination mechanism</p>		March 2006
			1.1.d.1. Selection and implementation of the optimal mechanism, as required.	March 2006
		1.1.3. Develop a prioritized list of standards for review.		March 2006
		1.1.4. Review and compare identified food standards in order to determine similarity, differences and scientific basis for the differences.		September 2006

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestones	Deliverables	Timelines
		1.1.5. Develop options to address those identified differences, where warranted and appropriate.		
		1.1.6. Implement a strategy to remove identified differences, including full consideration of requirements for transparency and public consultation in each jurisdiction.		March 2007
Align/synchronize approaches to decision making and establishment of new/updated veterinary drug and vaccine standards through the International Cooperation on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Veterinary Medicinal Products (VICH).		1.1.7. Work to align approaches to decision-making in the veterinary drug and veterinary biologics approval process by continuing to work through the Veterinary International Conference of Harmonization (VICH) on submission data requirements for veterinary drugs and vaccines, and by working bilaterally with US counterparts on specific veterinary drugs and vaccines.	1.1.d.2. An effective food safety coordinating mechanism facilitating the cooperative development of common North American standards, as appropriate and the removal of identified differences in standards where warranted and appropriate.	March 2007
International standards to better reflect North American standards.		1.1.8. Explore ways to better coordinate between the NAFTA partners on Codex international standard setting.	1.1.d.3. Report on differences and approaches to approving veterinary drugs and vaccines and feasibility for further harmonization.	March 2007
			1.1.d.4. Better coordinate the development of national positions for international food safety standards facilitated through the food safety coordinating mechanism.	March 2006

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestones	Deliverables	Timelines
<p>2. Co-operate on a North American initiative to speed up identification, management and recovery from food safety, animal and plant disease zoonoses.</p> <p>Import/border inspection policies</p>	<p>Canada</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFIA • CBSA • HC 	<p>1.2.1. Develop standardized procedures concerning notification and follow-up actions taken due to non-compliance.</p>		<p>March 2006</p>
		<p>1.2.2. Explore ways, where feasible and warranted, to establish common certification criteria, where certificates are required.</p>		<p>March 2007</p>
	<p>Mexico</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON 	<p>1.2.3. Explore ways to implement electronic transmission of certificates for meat, poultry, animal health and plant health on a trilateral basis and other products as identified.</p>		<p>December 2005</p>
	<p>US</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USDA • FDA 		<p>1.2.d.1. Conduct a Pilot Project within one program area.</p>	<p>September 2006</p>
			<p>1.2.d.2. Report on practicality of issuing electronic transmission of certificates.</p>	<p>December 2006</p>
		<p>1.2.4. Develop common approaches for border inspections of live animals, such as reviewing the inspection of imported slaughter animals at approved slaughter facilities.</p>		<p>March 2007</p>
			<p>1.2.d.3. Common border inspection approaches for live animals.</p>	<p>March 2007</p>
	<p>Plant Health – pest management initiatives coordinated by NARPO</p>	<p>1.2.5. Develop a pilot plan for one audit/evaluation, eg apples/pears from China</p>		<p>June 2006</p>
		<p>1.2.6. Develop a prioritized list of proposed offshore audits/evaluations.</p>		<p>June 2006</p>
		<p>1.2.7. Conduct one joint audit/evaluation.</p>		<p>December 2006</p>
		<p>1.2.d.4. Jointly conduct offshore audits/evaluations in third countries of certification programs for certain plant commodities.</p>	<p>March 2007</p>	
<p>1.2.8. Investigate the feasibility of joint preclearance programs for plant commodities shipped into North America.</p>			<p>December 2006</p>	
		<p>1.2.d.5. Identify opportunities for joint preclearance programs for plant commodities shipped into North America.</p>	<p>December 2006</p>	

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestones	Deliverables	Timelines
		1.2.9. Develop common approaches to standardize regulatory measures taken in response to detections of pests based on the risk they present to each country's plant health status.		
			1.2.d.6. Develop a standardized approach for one pest eg. <i>Phakopsora pachyrhizis</i> /soybean rust.	March 2006
		1.2.10. Develop a standardized North American approach (procedures and criteria) to recognize pest free areas. Within this standardized approach, in determining pest free areas, the Parties will take into consideration decisions taken by the authorities of any one Party in determining an area as pest free.		June 2006
		1.2.11. Work together to promote this approach in the IPPC.		June 2006
			1.2.d.7. Develop a standardized North American approach to recognize pest free areas and promote this approach within IPPC.	June 2006
		1.2.12. Develop a joint protocol for the commercial movement of biocontrol agents.		June 2006
			1.2.d.8. Complete joint protocol for movement of biocontrol agents.	June 2006
		1.2.13. Continue joint development of North American standards for plant protection.		June 2006
			1.2.d.9. Complete regulatory standard for North American imports of propagative material.	June 2006
SE Management/ Animal Health	Canada ☒ CFIA ☒ HC ☒ CBSA	1.2.14. Review and explore approaches concerning feed, surveillance and risk management to optimize TSE management in a North American context.		March 2006
		1.2.15. Harmonize North American import approach for bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) management.		June 2005

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestones	Deliverables	Timelines
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mexico • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON US • USDA • FDA • EPA 	<p>1.2.16. Review and modify existing protocols with the goal of implementation in order to allow for the transit of products through another country in which the process of transiting itself does not pose a risk to that country.</p>		June 2006
		<p>1.2.17. Complete the respective regulatory processes in each of the three countries to implement the harmonized BSE approach.</p>		March 2007
		<p>1.2.18. Develop harmonized North American risk mitigation and management approaches concerning animal health, including compartmentalization and zoning.</p> <p>Within the harmonized approaches, in determining disease free zones, the Parties will take into consideration decisions taken by the authorities of any one party in determining a zone as disease free.</p>	<p>1.2.d.10. Report on results of review seeking a coordinated North American approach to TSE management.</p>	March 2007
			<p>1.2.d.11. Report on progress towards North American procedures and criteria to recognize disease free zones.</p>	June 2006
Vet Drugs and Biologics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Canada • CFIA • VDD (HC) Mexico • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON US • USDA • FDA 	<p>1.2.19. Continue to share information between APHIS' Center for Veterinary Biologics and CFIA Biologics regulatory officials on inspection, adverse events, and regulatory actions related to veterinary biologics.</p>		
		<p>1.2.20. Review and explore common approaches to regulate the safety and efficacy of licensed/regulated products and to prevent inadvertent transmission of infectious agents via animal health products, such as veterinary drugs and biologics, which may result in animal or zoonotic diseases, (e.g. BSE, foot and mouth disease) or antimicrobial resistance.</p>		March 2006
			<p>1.2.d.12. Report on results of review seeking common technical standards for demonstrating safety and efficacy of licensed/regulated animal health products in North America.</p>	March 2007

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestones	Deliverables	Timelines
Labeling approaches	Canada • CFIA • HC Mexico • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON US • USDA • FDA	1.2.21. Utilizing trilateral mechanisms, develop synchronized approaches to labeling, as feasible and appropriate, in key areas such as nutrition, allergens, production and process methods, organics, country of origin, highlighted ingredients and misleading claims; - for example: Consider recommendations of the Institute of Medicine (IOM) reports, released in 2003, concerning the selection of reference values for (nutrition) labeling purposes and examine the potential impact on nutrition labeling and claims, food fortification and nutrition education.		
		1.2.d.13. Explore common approaches to labeling.	March 2007	

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestone	Deliverables	Timelines
<p>1.3. In order to promote greater consistency in pesticide regulation throughout North America, NAFTA countries will work to resolve differences in pesticide maximum residue limits that may be barriers to trade and undertake joint reviews of pesticide registrations.</p>	<p>Canada</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HC • PMRA • AAFC <p>Mexico</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON <p>US</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USDA • FDA • EPA 	<p>1.3.1. Complete collaborative data collection activities for pest control products to meet the needs of "minor crop" growers. This project involves approximately 20 studies supported by 170 field trials, from which governments and stakeholders will select candidates for joint reviews. (Note: minor crops include most fruits, nuts, and vegetables.)</p>		<p>September 2005</p>
			<p>1.3.d.1. Selection of joint review candidates.</p>	<p>December 2005</p>
			<p>1.3.d.2. Simultaneous approval of minor crop pest control products.</p>	<p>March 2006</p>
		<p>1.3.2. Utilizing the existing NAFTA Technical Working Group on Pesticides, develop a long-term trade irritant/risk reduction strategy for pulse crops and resolution of specific priority trade issues identified by stakeholders.</p>		<p>December 2005</p>
			<p>1.3.d.3. Long term trade irritant risk reduction strategy.</p>	<p>December 2005</p>
		<p>1.3.3. Release final risk assessments based on a thorough re-evaluation of heavy duty wood preservatives.</p>		
			<p>1.3.d.4. Final risk assessment for wood preservatives.</p>	<p>December 2006</p>
		<p>1.3.4. Prioritize reviews for 4-6 conventional chemicals</p>		<p>December 2005</p>
		<p>1.3.5. Undertake joint/workshare reviews</p>		<p>September 2006</p>

IPP Commitment:
1. Enhance laboratory coordination and information-sharing by conducting targeted bilateral and/or trilateral activities to establish a mechanism to exchange information on laboratory methods and to build confidence regarding each other's testing procedures

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestones	Deliverables	Timelines	
2.1. Enhance capacity by leveraging expertise and technology by working co-operatively within the established North American Foreign Animal Disease laboratory network to identify methodologies and recognize equivalent diagnostic performance and identification methodologies for select animal diseases, such as BSE and avian influenza.	Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFIA • HC 	2.1.1. Identify programs in areas of common interest, to be able to identify methodologies and recognize equivalent diagnostic performance for select animal diseases, such as BSE and avian influenza.		June 2005	
		2.1.2. Prioritize and implement steps to determine methodologies and equivalency of performance.		March 2006	
	Mexico <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON 		2.1.d.1. Recognize the methodologies and proficiency for select animal diseases, such as BSE and avian influenza.		March 2007
		US <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USDA • FDA 	2.1.3. Provide training course for Mexican laboratory diagnosticians to learn histopathology and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for bovine TB diagnostics. (Mexico-US)		December 2005
			2.1.4. Implement project to standardize Brucella tests between US and Mexico.		December 2005

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestone	Deliverables	Timelines
<p>2.2. Enhance capacity by leveraging expertise and technology through the establishment of a plant health laboratory network to identify equivalent methodologies for the detection and identification, surveillance and risk assessment of plant diseases and pests.</p>	<p>Canada</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFIA 	<p>2.2.1. Develop terms of reference for a plant health laboratory network.</p>		<p>March 2006</p>
	<p>Mexico</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON 		<p>2.2.d.1. Establish a laboratory working group in the area of plant health similar to the existing North America Animal Health Laboratory network.</p>	<p>March 2006</p>
	<p>US</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USDA • FDA • EPA • APHIS 	<p>2.2.2. Identify areas of common interest, such as methodologies to recognize equivalency in the detection and identification, surveillance and risk assessment of select plant pests and diseases.</p>		<p>March 2007</p>
		<p>2.2.3. Prioritize and implement steps to determine methodologies and equivalency of performance in areas of common interest.</p>		<p>March 2008</p>
			<p>2.2.d.2. Recognize equivalent diagnostic methodologies for the detection and identification, surveillance and risk assessment of select plant pests and diseases.</p>	<p>March 2009</p>

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestone	Deliverables	Timelines
<p>2.3. [Identify the appropriate group/vehicle to facilitate implementation of food safety laboratory initiatives such as to assess and recognize equivalence, as appropriate, of analytical methods based on agreed method performance criteria and to enhance quality assurance for priority areas of food safety hazards.]</p> <p>Build confidence through trilateral participation in training courses and exchanging of information and participation in proficiency testing.</p>	<p>Canada</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFIA • HC • AAFC <p>Mexico</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON <p>US</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USDA • FDA • APHIS • EPA 	2.3.1. Establish a task force to identify existing trilateral fora for laboratory cooperation ensuring that all appropriate regulatory authorities are included.		January 2006
		2.3.2 Identification or establishment of the appropriate group/vehicle.		March 2006
			2.3.d.1. Recognition or establishment of a food safety laboratory group/vehicle	March 2006
		2.3.3. Develop a mechanism to rapidly share analytical methodologies.		December 2005
			2.3.d.2. A mechanism to rapidly share analytical methodologies.	June 2006
		2.3.4. Determine method performance criteria to assess analytical methods.		
			2.3.d.3. Common method performance criteria to assess analytical methods.	January 2007
		2.3.5. Identify areas of common interest, to assess equivalency of analytical methods using agreed method performance criteria.		December 2007
		2.3.6. Assess analytical methods.		March 2008
			2.3.d.4. Identify analytical methods as meeting performance criteria.	December 2008
		2.3.7. Share laboratory quality assurance and quality control procedures and practices.		March 2006
			2.3.d.5. Enhanced QA systems.	March 2006
		2.3.8. Exchange information of proficiency testing programs each country participates in and identify and fill any gaps where programs are not available.		March 2007
2.3.11. Participation in Food Emergency Response Network (FERN) course for both microbiological and chemical disciplines.		June 2005		
2.3.12. Participation of all three countries in general laboratory procedures/courses offered by Canada and Mexico.		January 2006 March 2006		

SP Commitment:
Increase cooperation in the development of regulatory policy related to the agricultural biotechnology sectors in Canada, Mexico and the United States, through the work of the North American Biotechnology Initiative (NABI)

Objective	Lead/Supporting Departments	Milestone	Deliverables	Timelines
1. Continue to support the cooperative effort within NABI for the initiation, coordination and prioritization of various biotech activities.	Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CFIA • AAFC Mexico <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAGARPA • SSA • SECON US <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USDA • FDA • EPA 	3.1.1. Work towards developing common approaches for regulatory policies related to products of biotechnology.		March 2007
			3.1.d.1. Expand the current technical regulatory exchanges between Canada and the United States to include Mexican regulators and formalize regular trilateral regulatory exchanges.	March 2006
			3.1.d.2. Training workshops in Mexico for risk assessors.	March 2006
		3.1.2. Cooperate and share information on international biotechnology activities.		
			3.1.d.3. Formalize NABI intercessional conference calls as needed to discuss biotechnology issues in international organizations such as APEC, CODEX, OECD and the CBD.	March 2007
		3.1.3. Work towards an effective and transparent system of import measures for the transboundary movement of agricultural products of biotechnology for food, feed or processing, under the trade/marketing working group at NABI.		December 2005
			3.1.d.4. NABI participants to discuss next steps for the initiation of the pilot program for the transboundary movement of the GM corn.	September 2005 - After MOP-2 meeting in Montreal
	3.1.d.5. Acquire results and review outcomes from the pilot program for the transboundary movement of GM corn among Canada, the United States and Mexico.	March 2006		

Acronyms from the Government of Canada

AAFC Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
CFIA Canadian Food Inspection Agency
PMRA Pest Management Regulatory Agency
VDD Veterinary Drugs Directorate
HC Health Canada
CBSA Canadian Board Services Agency

Acronyms from the Government of Mexico

SSA Secretaría de Salud
SAGARPA Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería, Desarrollo Rural, Pesca y Alimentación
SENASICA Servicio Nacional de Sanidad, Inocuidad y Calidad Agroalimentaria
SECON Secretaría de Economía
COFRIPRIS La Comisión Federal para la Protección contra Riesgos Sanitarios

Acronyms from the Government of the United States

USDA US Department of Agriculture
FDA Food and Drug Administration
EPA Environment Protection Agency