

1 And--

2 Q And is it correct that because we see a listing
3 here of last BIs, you would believe that the actual BIs had
4 already been received from the FBI at the time this was
5 drafted?

6 A I would believe that they had been.

7 MR. SCHANZER: And one of the lines crossed out
8 with some writing, do you have any knowledge what that
9 means?

10 THE WITNESS: The lines crossed out with the
11 writing saying, gone, lead me to believe that these people
12 had already left the White House and so Tony marked them off
13 ~~the list of people who needed reinvestigations.~~

14 MR. SCHANZER: Do you believe that's Tony's
15 handwriting or Nancy Gemmell's?

16 MR. SRERE: Or somebody else.

17 MR. SCHANZER: Or somebody else. I'm sorry, whose
18 handwriting do you think that might be?

19 MR. SRERE: Do you recognize it?

20 THE WITNESS: The gone looks to be Tony's because
21 I can barely read it and Nancy's I can read.

22 MR. SRERE: Do you recognize that as Tony
23 Marceca's handwriting?

24 THE WITNESS: I do not specifically recognize that
25 as Tony Marceca's handwriting.

1 013183

1 BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

2 Q But you believe it's probably not Nancy Gemmell's
3 handwriting?

4 A It's probably not Nancy's.

5 Q Okay.

6 MR. SCHANZER: So, would this document lead you to
7 believe that Mr. Marceca found out after he had some files
8 that people he had files on had, indeed, left the White
9 House?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

12 Q Do you recall Ms. Gemmell ever suggesting to you
13 or, to your knowledge, to anyone else that Project Update
14 should proceed with low turnover offices being done first
15 and high turnover offices being done later?

16 A Not to my recollection. As I said, I only
17 received a brief overview as to how it was done. And we had
18 Tony come in and Nancy briefed Tony specifically on Project
19 Update. And he continued from there.

20 Q Do you know why Tony was selected for Project
21 Update?

22 A Because he was already handling the SF-86 forms
23 and everything dealing with getting all the information in
24 and I was handling getting everybody a permanent pass that
25 we could possibly get a permanent pass. Lisa was handling

1 getting everybody a name check that had to have a name
2 check.

3 And, so, Tony, it was the most logical choice for
4 Tony to handle it since he was already doing all the SF-86s
5 and IRS checks and everything in conjunction with that.
6 Because these people were still going to have to fill out an
7 SF-86 if they were still there.

8 Q Okay. I'm trying to clarify a little bit on the
9 time line when this happened. I believe you suggested that
10 before Tony even arrived he had kind of been identified as
11 the individual who would do Project Update, is that correct?

12 A Hmm-hmm.

13 Q So, are you telling us that at that time before he
14 had arrived it was also understood that he would be handling
15 the SF-86s and that you would not?

16 A No. At that time I and Lisa Wetzl were handling
17 the SF-86s but the volume was such that it was huge, it was
18 unbelievable that we needed extra help. It was not
19 something the interns could do because they just, for some,
20 I can't remember why we decided that they could not do it.

21 But it was just something that when it was brought
22 in we had time to quickly glance over it and then we, if we
23 caught something we asked the individual, you know, hey, you
24 left out this year or you left out where you lived or you
25 left out this information. And the FBI was continually

1 calling us back going, look, we need to get this.

2 And, so, it was clogging up the process and we
3 were finding, me and Lisa were finding ourselves just
4 overwhelmed with everything else that we had to do.

5 Q And this would have been in the spring or summer
6 of '93?

7 A Summer.

8 Q Okay.

9 A Summer is when we started to get them in from--I
10 mean we started getting the trickle in--

11 Q The trickle of?

12 A Of SF-86s in the spring and then we really started
13 getting them in, in the summer.

14 Q This was all for new employees?

15 A This is all for new employees.

16 Q And you were swamped by all this new--

17 A We were swamped.

18 Q So, then what happened?

19 A Tony came on board and since Tony had a background
20 in investigation Tony took over the SF-86.

21 Q Okay.

22 A And took over the Project Update.

23 Q Can I step back, before he actually came on board--
24 - I'm really trying to focus in on the decision to bring him
25 on board and to assign Project Update to him--how did the

1 decision to bring someone else on board take place? Did you
2 and Lisa say something to Craig?

3 A I believe I stated before that Craig had made the
4 decision that Tony, he was going to try and get Tony to come
5 over because Craig was completely aware of this whole time
6 the workload and he knew it was going to come to the point
7 that we would not be able to do it with just two staffers.

8 Because in the office previous there were five and
9 we had been cut down to two. Well, I take that back. There
10 were five total and we had been cut down to three total.

11 And, so, we were literally just trying to keep our
12 head above water. And Craig knew that with the on coming of
13 all the SF-86 forms and the tax check forms and everything
14 else we were going to need a detailee to help us get this
15 through, to get like all the SF-86s in, to get all the tax,
16 IRS checks in, to get everything in, in order for us to do
17 our job.

18 Q So, at some point during the summer, you
19 understood that a detailee, Mr. Tony Marceca would be coming
20 on to join your office?

21 A As I stated before, in June I understood that
22 Craig was going to try and get Tony to be detailed over to
23 our office to help facilitate the process.

24 Q And did you have an understanding at that time of
25 what specifically would be Tony's functions?

1 A As I stated before, Tony had been--

2 Q This was before he actually came, I'm talking
3 about--

4 A As I stated before, it had been decided that Tony
5 was going to be doing the Project Update that Nancy was
6 currently doing and that he was going to be doing the SF-86s
7 and, like the employee packets that you had to fill out.

8 MR. SCHANZER: Ms. Gemmell had started the Project
9 Update before Mr. Marceca arrived, is that correct?

10 THE WITNESS: She had started it but I don't think
11 she had gotten very far.

12 MR. SCHANZER: What's the basis for that belief?

13 ~~THE WITNESS: I didn't see that much.~~

14 MR. SCHANZER: Do you know if she had made
15 requests for background investigations from the FBI at that
16 time?

17 THE WITNESS: She had shown me one or two that she
18 had done and real quickly gave me a brief overview of how it
19 went about.

20 MR. SCHANZER: Was she showing you that as samples
21 or that she had gotten one or two?

22 THE WITNESS: I believe that she had gotten one or
23 two but as a sample as to how it was done.

24 MR. SCHANZER: Okay. Would it be inconsistent
25 with your recollection that she had received, actually