

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 04/01/2013 13:11  
NAME : JUDICIAL WATCH  
FAX : 2026460190  
TEL : 2026465172  
SER. # : 000G8N646578

DATE, TIME : 04/01 13:10  
FAX NO./NAME : 16512905619  
DURATION : 00:01:57  
PAGE(S) : 03  
RESULT : OK  
MODE : STANDARD

# Judicial Watch™

425 Third St., SW, Ste. 800  
Washington, DC 20024  
Phone: 202-646-5172  
Fax: 202-646-5199  
Internet: [www.judicialwatch.org](http://www.judicialwatch.org)

## Fax

To: Sara Grewing From: Lisette Garcia  
Fax: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: (including cover page) \_\_\_\_\_  
Re: \_\_\_\_\_

Urgent  For Review  Please Comment  Please Reply



# Judicial Watch

*Because no one  
is above the law!*

April 1, 2013

**VIA CERT MAIL & FAX (651) 298-5619; (651) 266-8574; (651) 266-8919; (651) 266-8521; (651) 266-9124**

City Attorney Sara Grewing  
400 City Hall and Courthouse  
15 Kellogg Blvd., West  
Saint Paul, MN 55102  
(651) 266-8710

City Clerk Shari Moore  
310 City Hall  
15 Kellogg Blvd., West  
Saint Paul, MN 55102  
(651) 266-8688

HREEO Director Luz Maria Frias  
280 City Hall  
15 Kellogg Blvd., West  
Saint Paul, MN 55102  
(651) 266-8900

Mayor Christopher Coleman  
390 City Hall  
15 W. Kellogg Blvd.  
Saint Paul, MN 55102  
(651) 266-8510

DSI Director Ricardo X. Cervantes  
375 Jackson Street Suite 220  
Saint Paul, MN 55101  
(651) 266-8989

**Re: FOIA Seeking All Communications Involving Specific Federal Employees**

Dear Mmes. Grewing, Moore and Frias, and Messrs. Coleman and Cervantes:

Pursuant to the Minnesota Data Practices Act, Minn. Stat. § 13.01, *et seq.*, Judicial Watch, Inc., (Judicial Watch) hereby requests that the City of St. Paul and its relevant subdivisions produce the following records “as soon as reasonably possible.” The requested records are: all communications in any format from, to, about, or otherwise involving the following individuals by whatever aliases they may be known or addresses they may have used:

1. Thomas E. Perez;
2. Sara K. Pratt;
3. Sri Srinivasan;
4. B. Todd Jones;
5. Michelle Aronowitz;
6. Dane Narode;
7. Helen R. Kanovsky;
8. Kathleen M. Pennington;
9. Timothy Lambert;
10. Melissa Silverman.

The timeframe for this request is November 16, 2011 through February 16, 2012.

**St. Paul, MN**

**April 1, 2013**

In placing this request, Judicial Watch relies on the provisions of the Minnesota Data Practices Act which permits public inspection of government data in whatever form it is archived, including via remote access. As an educational media organization, Judicial Watch does not intend to use the data requested above for commercial purposes and, hence, is entitled to remote access of electronic records at no charge. Moreover, Judicial Watch has been designated a 501(c)(3) entity by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, establishing that the organization by definition has no commercial purpose. Rather, Judicial Watch exists to educate the public about the operations and activities of government, as well as to increase public understanding about the importance of ethics and the rule of law in government.

The particular records requested herein are sought as part of Judicial Watch's ongoing efforts to document the operations and activities of the federal government and to educate the public about these operations and activities. Once Judicial Watch obtains the requested records, Judicial Watch will educate the public via radio programs, Judicial Watch's website, and/or newsletter, among other outlets. It also will make the records available to other members of the media or researchers upon request. Judicial Watch has a proven ability to disseminate to the public information obtained through public records laws, as demonstrated by its long-standing and continuing public outreach efforts.

Given these circumstances, Judicial Watch is entitled to a public interest fee waiver of both search costs and duplication costs. Nonetheless, in the event our request for a waiver of search and/or duplication costs is denied, Judicial Watch is willing to pay up to \$250.00 in search and/or duplication costs. Judicial Watch requests that it be contacted before any such costs are incurred, however, in order to prioritize search and duplication efforts. Additionally, in an effort to facilitate prompt production of the requested data, Judicial Watch is willing to accept documents in electronic format (e.g. e-mail, .pdfs, discs), as stated above. When necessary, Judicial Watch will also accept the "rolling production" of documents.

Judicial Watch anticipates prompt receipt of responsive documents and a waiver of both search and duplication costs as soon as reasonably possible. Thank you for complying with all applicable laws in fulfilling this request.

Sincerely,



Lisette Garcia, J.D.  
Senior Investigator