
From: Marks Nancy J
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 11:50 AM
To: Lerner Lois G
Subject: FW: Revised response

Can I see the response for my own reading pleasure

-----Original Message-----

From: Daly Richard M
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:33 PM
To: Grant Joseph H; Lerner Lois G; Paz Holly O; Marks Nancy J
Cc: Flax Nikole C
Subject: FW: Revised response

I have four comments:

1. [REDACTED] (b)(5)
2. [REDACTED] (b)(5)
3. [REDACTED] (b)(5)
4. [REDACTED] (b)(5)

There are a few other personal-preference nits, but I'm suppressing them.

Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 11:50 AM
To: Flax Nikole C; Grant Joseph H; Daly Richard M
Subject: RE: Revised response

I think the response looks really good. Admits so me flaws, but lays out the context and what we did to correct. Good job! Holly and I will work on dates --some easier to shorten up than others --stay tuned

Lois G. Lerner
Director of Exempt Organizations

-----Original Message-----

From: Flax Nikole C

Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2013 9:34 AM

To: Grant Joseph H; Lerner Lois G; Daly Richard M

Cc: Flax Nikole C

Subject: Revised response

Please take a look and let me know of any suggested edits. Steve needs to see any changes before we submit so please send back to me. Also, he asked that we [REDACTED] (b)(5)

[REDACTED] Thanks