
From: Marks Nancy J
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:31 PM
To: Lerner Lois G
Subject: RE: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1).doc

I agree

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 1:02 PM
To: Marks Nancy J
Subject: RE: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1).doc

I do think Mike is right on the (b)(5)

Lois G. Lerner

Director of Exempt Organizations

From: Marks Nancy J
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:59 PM
To: Lerner Lois G
Subject: RE: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1).doc

Right (wasn't planning to try that ; -)

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:59 PM
To: Marks Nancy J
Subject: RE: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1).doc

By the way--this is Steve's version, after two other versions --one really bad. So, while I understand Mike wanting to comment--it probably won't change absent a mis-statement. (-:

Lois G. Lerner

Director of Exempt Organizations

From: Marks Nancy J
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:57 PM
To: Lerner Lois G
Subject: RE: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1).doc

thanks

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:54 PM
To: Marks Nancy J

Cc: Daly Richard M
Subject: FW: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1).doc

Lois G. Lerner

Director of Exempt Organizations

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 12:26 PM
To: Flax Nikole C
Cc: Paz Holly O; Daly Richard M; Grant Joseph H
Subject: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1).doc

See notes on text--a couple suggestions.

(b)(5)

, let us know what you are thinking.