
From: Daly Richard M
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2013 10:27 AM
To: Grant Joseph H; Lerner Lois G; Marks Nancy J; Ghougasian Laurice A
Subject: RE: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1)

My sense is that TIGTA always wants a date, so we should use today's date, per Nikole

From: Flax Nikole C
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2013 10:53 AM
To: Grant Joseph H; Daly Richard M; Lerner Lois G; Marks Nancy J; Ghougasian Laurice A
Subject: FW: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1)

Edits look fine. Assume you are good with (b)(5) (I know there were some emails yesterday). On the dates, re #7, I don't read the recommendation that they (b)(5). If they need a date in the system, just use today's date (but keep "ongoing" in the response). Also see change for 8 – we will mention to OTP/CC this week. Otherwise, good to go. Thanks

From: Grant Joseph H
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2013 7:42 PM
To: Flax Nikole C
Cc: Lerner Lois G; Daly Richard M; Ghougasian Laurice A; Grant Joseph H
Subject: TIGTA response draft 4-27-13(1)

Nikole,

Attached are the collected comments of Lois Lerner, Mike Daly, Nan Marks and me. I have left them in the track changes format so that you can see them easily. The changes are to clarify the intent. The biggest change was to the last sentence in the carryover paragraph at the top of page 3, where we propose to use two shorter sentences that unpack the several thoughts in the original sentence and parenthetical. Finally, as you requested we (b)(5)

(b)(5) *deliberative process*

We do appreciate all the time and effort that you and Steve have given to this response. We think that it is a good one, and one that we can fully embrace and implement.

Thanks as always – Joseph