

FD-302a (Rev. 05-08-10)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

282B-WF-2896615

Continuation of FD-302 of Interview of [REDACTED], On 07/10/2013, Page 2 of 4

b6 -2  
b7C -2

approves 30%-40% of the applications he screens. If a case needs work, he puts it in intermediate process or full development. If there is only minimal work to do, he sends it to accelerated processing (AP).

[REDACTED] would check the BOLO list when screening cases. The BOLO list was for consistency purposes; so that the same group would work the same cases. The BOLO list would tell someone what to do with certain types of cases. It would give recommendations on how to work the case. Some listings in the BOLO would tell the screener to see his/her manager. Items on the BOLO list usually came from revenue agents seeing something that was consistently wrong on incoming applications or something that needed extra scrutiny. [REDACTED] does not know who decided what went on the BOLO list.

b6 -2  
b7C -2

[REDACTED] ran screener group meetings to talk about what the screeners were seeing in the applications they were working. These meetings were held monthly and lasted anywhere from one to three hours. In 2010, [REDACTED] identified Tea Party cases. The Tea Party was in the media and things in the media are high profile. It was known that if cases were high profile, for "CYA" (cover your ass) purposes, people would bring them to the attention of their managers. Regardless of whether a case were approved or not approved, it would still make the news.

b6 -2,3  
b7C -2,3

[REDACTED] saw a few applications that were Tea Party cases and he sent them to a special group to work. [REDACTED] identified cases by seeing if they had the Tea Party name or had verbiage that lined up with Tea Party beliefs. If he saw this, he sent it for development because he knew he could not approve the case. [REDACTED] does not remember how guidance on the Tea Party was given or labeled. He was not sure whether the Tea Party was initially on the BOLO or not. [REDACTED] did not see the cases after they left screening. [REDACTED] only recalls having seen a few political advocacy cases prior to [REDACTED] bringing the issue up. He would have usually sent them to inventory because of the political aspect.

b6 -2,3  
b7C -2,3

[REDACTED] was shown an e-mail dated June 2011 (bates 92 to 93) sent to senior screeners. [REDACTED] does not remember the e-mail specifically; he may have had conversations with [REDACTED] who sat next to him.

b6 -2,3  
b7C -2,3

[REDACTED] used his own judgment to decide what should go to development. [REDACTED] has not read the TIGTA audit report. [REDACTED] was shown page six of the audit report and the table in Figure 3 entitled "Criteria for Potential Political Cases (June 2011)". [REDACTED] is not sure what [REDACTED] was. The term [REDACTED] might raise a flag, but he never saw that. These four listings were not provided to him as criteria to use to screen cases. He does not remember receiving any specific guidance for screening Tea Party cases.

b3 -1  
b6 -2  
b7C -2

UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

14-cv-1239-FBI-62