

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Monday, May 01, 2017 9:23 PM
To: Albence, Matthew
Cc: Miller, Philip T
Subject: FW: DDOR - New York Counties
Attachments: BUF Issued Detainer Sheet.xlsm; BUF DDOR_DocumentCommentWorksheet.docx; RE: ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report, DDOR 3, February 11-17, 2017

Matt -

Looks like they were not supposed to be on 1 and 2, so correction was made and they were dropped off of 3.

According to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) this sheriff has never declined a detainer.

NRA

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, May 01, 2017, 8:47 PM
To: Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - New York Counties

Nathalie,

St. Lawrence County was removed off of DDOR 3 because in February the Sheriff stated that he will honor ICE detainers and asked if we would provide an I-200 or I-205 with the detainer (see the attached). The Sheriff has never declined a detainer ever from ICE. The only reason I can see that LESA had them on the report was because we had two detainers that were on aliens in two separate New York State prisons. The prisons are located within the county but are under the control of the New York State Department of Corrections (NYSDOCS). NYSDOCS has always honored our detainers, too.

I don't recall St. Lawrence County being on DDOR 1 and 2, but if they were I think that the LESA mistakenly put them on there. I believe early on in the DDOR report LESA was data mining information using search parameters for counties and not diving deep enough to see that the actual facilities were State prisons.

I hope this helps, if you need anything else please let me know.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(Acting)Field Office Director
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Immigration & Customs Enforcement
Enforcement & Removal Operations
Bufflo, NY
(716) 843 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Monday, May 1, 2017 8:08 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: DDOR - New York Counties

Hey (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I'm about to be out of range for the next hour - can you take a look at the ask below and work on a response?

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, May 01, 2017, 7:53 PM

To: Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: DDOR - New York Counties

Nat-can you check w Buffalo regarding St. Lawrence County and how they ended up on DDOR 1 and 2, and why dropped off 3. Thanks!

Matt

Sent with BlackBerry Work
(www.blackberry.com)

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, May 01, 2017, 6:26 PM

To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR - New York Counties

Thanks. What do we know about St Lawrence County in NY?

Sent with BlackBerry Work
(www.blackberry.com)

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, May 01, 2017, 6:24 PM

To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR - New York Counties

Tom:

Per your questions, below is the language that was included on the ICE website as a correction to DDOR 1. Note that Franklin County, NY was included in this.

Due to a data processing error, the Jan. 28 - Feb 03, 2017 Declined Detainer Outcome Report incorrectly attributed issued detainers to Franklin County, Iowa; Franklin County, New York; Franklin County, Pennsylvania; and Montgomery County, Iowa that were in fact issued to agencies outside of the respective county's jurisdiction in similarly named locations. Additionally, detainers that appeared as being declined by Williamson County, TX and Bastrop County, TX were cases where the individual was transferred to another facility where they were released. Finally, detainers appeared as being

declined by Chester County, PA and Richmond County, NC when those detainers were incorrectly issued to those locations. The subjects of those detainers were in different locations.

St. Lawrence did appear on the first two DDORs, but not DDOR 3. The jurisdictions listed were based on FOD validation. I can dig deeper into this one if you want.

Matt

(202) 732-(b)(6)(o)
(202) 271-(b)(7)(c)

Document Comment Sheet

Document Title: DRAFT DDOR

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5),WIF Draft

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 1 Apr 2017 18:01:07 -0400
To: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF
Subject: FW: HSGAC prep session Monday morning
Attachments: Attachment 1 - 6 - Potential Questions for 4-5-17 HSGAC Hearing v1.docx, Attachment 2 - ENF Activity Snapshot _3.18.17.pdf, Attachment 3 - Cross Check op stats by field office.docx

FYI on this submission. We had a short turn-around task for potential hearing questions that S1 will be prep'ing with on Monday. Attached are the submissions derived from press statements, public facing sources (e.g. DDOR FAQs), or cleared congressional correspondence. Previously used responsive content.

Not sure why DD Staff is running point however I looped in OCR. The policy language piece on "Police" markings was taken by ICE Policy however I fed their office cleared talking points and the relevant section of the Fug Ops handbook.

Thanks

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, April 1, 2017 5:58 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; #ICE DD STAFF; #OPLA CHIEF OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S;
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: HSGAC prep session Monday morning

Attachment 1 (Q&A) is cleared by ERO. Responses are derived from press statements, public facing sources (e.g. DDOR FAQs), or cleared congressional correspondence. I have deferrals highlighted. (Three deferrals to M&A, one to OPLA, one to DHS OIA, one to HSI) Text of Q&A copy/pasted below for mobile reading.

Attachment 2 is our "latest stat sheet" (Enforcement Activity Snapshot).

Attachment 3 contains February and March Cross Check stats. February stats were provided by LESA and are our official stats, March stats are field derived and unofficial.

To jump on (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) bandwagon, thanks for the April Fool's prank. Had to step out of a movie for this one. ;)

--

Potential Questions for April 5, 2017 Hearing Before the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

CBP and ICE Hiring:

- For the outward facing Components, such as CBP and ICE that interface with the public/diverse groups, how would you characterize their diversity? **(M&A)**
- What efforts are you making to ensure the 15,000 CBP and ICE personnel hired (per the Executive Order) will be diverse? **(M&A)**
- How was the determination of hiring additional Border Patrol and ICE Agents made? Had the Department indicated that such an employment plan was necessary prior to the issuance of the EOs? **(M&A)**
- What is the process being used to hire additional Border Patrol and ICE agents called for in the EOs? **(M&A)**

Detention/Immigration/Interior Enforcement:

- What are your thoughts on when it is appropriate to use private contractors for detention facilities? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- With the end of the “catch and release” policy, does DHS currently have sufficient appropriations to fulfill this order without having to construct additional facilities? If not, how much additional funding will be required? Does DHS plan to reprogram monies for such projects or request new funding from Congress? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- How should ICE be prioritizing people for removal? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- How is DHS implementing the detention for illegal entry language included in the EO on border security/immigration enforcement? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

-

locations generally non-cooperative with ICE law enforcement efforts, usually in a custodial setting. In order to identify removable aliens, it is imperative that ICE has access to individuals in state and local jails, and prisons, who have been arrested by law enforcement. Access to these facilities is required in order to interview criminal aliens

(b)(5);WIF Draft

immigration detainer, but will refuse to hold individuals past the time when the individual would have otherwise been released from state or local custody. Oftentimes this occurs during off-duty hours or in locations geographically dispersed from ERO resources. If a deportation officer is unable to respond prior to an alien being released by a law enforcement agency, ERO must identify, target, and apprehend these "at-large" individuals in the community before they re-offend. These arrests require a combined effort between the ERO Criminal Alien Program and Fugitive Operations teams.

(b)(5);WIF Draft

-

on pending registration.

- Rather than cutting off funding for sanctuary cities, is there a better way for DHS to work with these jurisdictions to increase law enforcement cooperation? (ERO)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- What is the Administration doing about the overstay population in the U.S., including enforcement priorities? **(HSI & ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- I'm very concerned that I continue to hear stories of mistreatment and abuse of innocent immigrants by DHS authorities. All of us here have heard stories of people being forced to stand for hours in detainment, or be forcibly removed from schools and put in cuffs and transported to other cities where they have no family. What are you doing to stop this insanity and ensure your people are following the law? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- Do you agree that withholding federal financial assistance from countries that deny or delay the acceptance of their nationals ordered removed will assist with our deportation efforts? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- In your February 20, 2017 implementation memorandum, you created the Victims Of Immigration Crime Engagement (VOICE) office to assist victims of crimes committed by undocumented immigrants to be paid for reallocating any department resources currently going to advocating for undocumented immigrants. Please provide me with any other federal, state, or local plan that has been used to model the creation of this office. Please provide a list of any department resources being using or that have used in the past 5 years to advocate for any undocumented immigrants. Please provide any information about Department resources for future, current, or past expended on advocacy for any undocumented immigrants. (VOICE/OPA)

-
Northern Border:

- How will you balance the use of DHS's limited resources to address the movement of illegal drugs, such as methamphetamines, the Northern Border? (HSI)
- What do you currently assess as the Top Three threats at the Northern Border? (HSI)
- What is your assessment of cooperation with Canada on Northern Border threat issues? (HSI)
- How does the Department cooperate with state, local, and tribal partners on Northern Border threat issues? (HSI)

-
JTFs:

- What do you envision the mission of the JTFs to be? (HSI)
- What is the potential of the JTFs to fight heroin/opioids? (HSI)
- With unique cross border challenges, and significant trade and commerce between the United States and Canada, do you think a similar effort to improve coordination in the Northern Border Region through the creation of a "JTF North" would be effective? (HSI)

-
-

-
Threats/Risk:

- How do you envision a risk-based homeland security system? (HSI)
- What could be done better regarding DHS threat determinations? (HSI)
- Is it possible that a threat is too remote to spend counterterrorism dollars on? (HSI)

UACs:

- I've seen some reports that indicate that the two-year-long surge of UACs and families on our Southwest Border is finally declining. To what circumstances do you attribute this change? Some are calling it the "Trump effect" – do you believe our new President's Executive Orders are a factor? What actions are you taking to ensure that this very positive trend continues? **(ERO)**

(b)(5):WIF Draft

- I'm concerned about the sudden decline of UACs asking for asylum at our border. What do you know about circumstances in Central America today? Is the gang violence and extreme poverty that has been pervasive over decades finally improving, or are we just – as a nation – leaving them to their fates? How are we addressing the "push/pull" factors? **(HSI & ERO)**

(b)(5):WIF Draft

Information Sharing:

- Can you speak to your understanding of information sharing challenges between Components? **(HSI & ERO)**

ICE/ERO maintains a robust information sharing platform via its system of record. The information contain in this system is shared amongst components to include CBP, USCIS, and accessible to the DHS Office of Immigration Statistics.

Cybersecurity:

- We have heard rumors of a cyber Executive Order. What has been DHS' role in drafting the order? **(HSI)**
- Should DHS continue to be the lead agency for cyber? Does DHS need more authorities? **(HSI)**
- Please describe the resources you believe DHS needs in order to fulfill its role in this country's cybersecurity. **(HSI)**
- What do you see as the Department's role in supporting state and local governments that lack the resources to adequately respond to cyber-attacks? **(HSI)**
- How will you work to harden the cyber security of our federal networks and protect our citizens' sensitive information? **(M&A)**

Management/Oversight:

- Please describe in detail how you will make sure that you live up to your commitment in ensuring the Department responds to requests and invitations to hearings. **(OCR, speak to ICE)**
- Please state whether you believe the Department has an obligation to appear at a Congressional hearing when it is invited to provide testimony on the Department's policies and/or programs. **(OCR, speak to ICE)**
- DHS doesn't have a great record of responding to whistleblower complaints. What specific ways can DHS improve that? **(M&A)**
- How can DHS proactively change its culture regarding whistleblowing? **(M&A)**
- Why do morale issues continue to plague DHS and Components? Is it a mismatch of employee skills and mission? What would you do differently? **(M&A, ERO, HSI)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, April 1, 2017 2:39 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: HSGAC prep session Monday morning

Importance: High

All,

(b)(5)

On the numbered list of items, (b)(6), #3 should be VSP, not VWP. To frame #6 see the following provided by OLA in a prior email;

...we've now heard stories twice about ICE uniforms not showing a patch that says "ICE" but rather just "Police". Some Senators feel that has led to a lot of confusion at the local level. S1 said he didn't see a reason why the uniform wouldn't say "ICE" somewhere on the vest.

Sen Duckworth produced a video that showed an ICE arrest recently and the vests only read "Police".

Again, if there are any other questions about the ask; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) or I can assist.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Deputy Chief of Staff

ICE-Deputy Director

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Office: (202) 732-(b)(6)

Cell: (202) 407-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, April 01, 2017 2:25 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: #HSI EAD Staff; #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; #MASTAFF; #OPLA CHIEF OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: HSGAC prep session Monday morning

Importance: High

All this tasker is for immediate action,

The Secretary will have a hearing prep session on Monday morning and we have been tasked to prepare briefing materials for the following. The pulse for this hearing is likely to cover ICE enforcement actions, perceived "sweeps" at schools and churches, and current hiring of officers/agents. Please provide the following by **2 pm Sunday April 2nd, 2017**:

1. The latest stat sheets for HSI and ERO.
2. Current numbers for the Cross Check, Gang and Overstay surge.
3. Current issues or concerns with VWP.
4. The current stats of the ICE Hiring plan.
5. Summary on the status of our EO areas.
6. The policy as to why ICE uniforms not showing a patch that says "ICE" but rather just "Police".
7. **As well as the questions from DHS listed below (or attached).**

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS WITH ANSWERING THE QUESTIONS – PLEASE REACH OUT TO

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

OR

ME. PLEASE ENSURE YOUR RESPONSES ARE CONSISTANT PRIOR EO RESPONSES.

Potential Questions for April 5, 2017 Hearing Before the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

CBP and ICE Hiring:

- For the outward facing Components, such as CBP and ICE that interface with the public/diverse groups, how would you characterize their diversity? (M&A)
- What efforts are you making to ensure the 15,000 CBP and ICE personnel hired (per the Executive Order) will be diverse? (M&A)
- How was the determination of hiring additional Border Patrol and ICE Agents made? Had the Department indicated that such an employment plan was necessary prior to the issuance of the EOs? (M&A)
- What is the process being used to hire additional Border Patrol and ICE agents called for in the EOs? (M&A)

Detention/Immigration/Interior Enforcement:

- What are your thoughts on when it is appropriate to use private contractors for detention facilities? (ERO)
- With the end of the “catch and release” policy, does DHS currently have sufficient appropriations to fulfill this order without having to construct additional facilities? If not, how much additional funding will be required? Does DHS plan to reprogram monies for such projects or request new funding from Congress? (ERO)
- How should ICE be prioritizing people for removal? (ERO)
- How is DHS implementing the detention for illegal entry language included in the EO on border security/immigration enforcement? (ERO)
- What do you mean by sanctuary city? (ERO)
- How will the Administration implement the EO on sanctuary cities, in particular the funding language? Is more legislation needed to address this issue?
- Rather than cutting off funding for sanctuary cities, is there a better way for DHS to work with these jurisdictions to increase law enforcement cooperation? (ERO)

- What is the Administration doing about the overstay population in the U.S., including enforcement priorities? **(HSI & ERO)**
- I'm very concerned that I continue to hear stories of mistreatment and abuse of innocent immigrants by DHS authorities. All of us here have heard stories of people being forced to stand for hours in detainment, or be forcibly removed from schools and put in cuffs and transported to other cities where they have no family. What are you doing to stop this insanity and ensure your people are following the law? **(ERO)**
- Do you agree that withholding federal financial assistance from countries that deny or delay the acceptance of their nationals ordered removed will assist with our deportation efforts? **(ERO)**
- In your February 20, 2017 implementation memorandum, you created the Victims Of Immigration Crime Engagement (VOICE) office to assist victims of crimes committed by undocumented immigrants to be paid for reallocating any department resources currently going to advocating for undocumented immigrants. Please provide me with any other federal, state, or local plan that has been used to model the creation of this office. Please provide a list of any department resources being using or that have used in the past 5 years to advocate for any undocumented immigrants. Please provide any information about Department resources for future, current, or past expended on advocacy for any undocumented immigrants. **(VOICE/OPA)**

Northern Border:

- How will you balance the use of DHS's limited resources to address the movement of illegal drugs, such as methamphetamines, the Northern Border? **(HSI)**
- What do you currently assess as the Top Three threats at the Northern Border? **(HSI)**
- What is your assessment of cooperation with Canada on Northern Border threat issues? **(HSI)**
- How does the Department cooperate with state, local, and tribal partners on Northern Border threat issues? **(HSI)**

JTFs:

- What do you envision the mission of the JTFs to be? **(HSI)**
- What is the potential of the JTFs to fight heroin/opioids? **(HSI)**
- With unique cross border challenges, and significant trade and commerce between the United States and Canada, do you think a similar effort to improve coordination in the Northern Border Region through the creation of a "JTF – North" would be effective? **(HSI)**

-
-
Threats/Risk:

- How do you envision a risk-based homeland security system? (HSI)
- What could be done better regarding DHS threat determinations? (HSI)
- Is it possible that a threat is too remote to spend counterterrorism dollars on? (HSI)

UACs:

- I've seen some reports that indicate that the two-year-long surge of UACs and families on our Southwest Border is finally declining. To what circumstances do you attribute this change? Some are calling it the "Trump effect" – do you believe our new President's Executive Orders are a factor? What actions are you taking to ensure that this very positive trend continues? (ERO)
- I'm concerned about the sudden decline of UACs asking for asylum at our border. What do you know about circumstances in Central America today? Is the gang violence and extreme poverty that has been pervasive over decades finally improving, or are we just as a nation – leaving them to their fates? How are we addressing the "push/pull" factors? (HSI & ERO)

-
Information Sharing:

- Can you speak to your understanding of information sharing challenges between Components? (HSI & ERO)

Cybersecurity:

- We have heard rumors of a cyber Executive Order. What has been DHS' role in drafting the order? (HSI)
- Should DHS continue to be the lead agency for cyber? Does DHS need more authorities? (HSI)
- Please describe the resources you believe DHS needs in order to fulfill its role in this country's cybersecurity. (HSI)
- What do you see as the Department's role in supporting state and local governments that lack the resources to adequately respond to cyber-attacks? (HSI)
- How will you work to harden the cyber security of our federal networks and protect our citizens' sensitive information? (M&A)

Management/Oversight:

- Please describe in detail how you will make sure that you live up to your commitment in ensuring the Department responds to requests and invitations to hearings. (OCR, speak to ICE)
- Please state whether you believe the Department has an obligation to appear at a Congressional hearing when it is invited to provide testimony on the Department's policies and/or programs. (OCR, speak to ICE)
- DHS doesn't have a great record of responding to whistleblower complaints. What specific ways can DHS improve that? (M&A)
- How can DHS proactively change its culture regarding whistleblowing? (M&A)
- Why do morale issues continue to plague DHS and Components? Is it a mismatch of employee skills and mission? What would you do differently? (M&A, ERO, HSI)
- DHS is very heavy on contractors. How will you balance DHS employees and contractors doing inherently governmental work? (M&A, ERO, HSI)
- What are your thoughts on getting rid of contractors for use as staff augmentation? (M&A, ERO, HSI)
- Have you ever done cost-benefit analysis of contractors? (M&A)
- Please describe your plans for incorporating the Privacy Office and the Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties into the policy decision-making process at DHS. (M&A, ODCR)

Visa Waiver Program (VWP):

- Now that you have been on the job for several months, what are your thoughts on the VWP? Does the program need to be further strengthened? (HSI)
- Are VWP partners upholding their obligations for program participation? (HSI)
- Are you monitoring issues or concerns with certain VWP countries? (HSI)

v/r

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Deputy Chief of Staff

Office of the Deputy Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(o) 202.732.(b)(6) - (c) 202.491.(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Potential Questions for April 5, 2017 Hearing Before the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

CBP and ICE Hiring:

- For the outward facing Components, such as CBP and ICE that interface with the public/diverse groups, how would you characterize their diversity? (M&A)
- What efforts are you making to ensure the 15,000 CBP and ICE personnel hired (per the Executive Order) will be diverse? (M&A)
- How was the determination of hiring additional Border Patrol and ICE Agents made? Had the Department indicated that such an employment plan was necessary prior to the issuance of the EOs? (M&A)
- What is the process being used to hire additional Border Patrol and ICE agents called for in the EOs? (M&A)

Detention/Immigration/Interior Enforcement:

- What are your thoughts on when it is appropriate to use private contractors for detention facilities? (ERO)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- With the end of the “catch and release” policy, does DHS currently have sufficient appropriations to fulfill this order without having to construct additional facilities? If not, how much additional funding will be required? Does DHS plan to reprogram monies for such projects or request new funding from Congress? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- How should ICE be prioritizing people for removal? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- How is DHS implementing the detention for illegal entry language included in the EO on border security/immigration enforcement? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- What do you mean by sanctuary city? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- How will the Administration implement the EO on sanctuary cities, in particular the funding language? Is more legislation needed to address this issue?

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- Rather than cutting off funding for sanctuary cities, is there a better way for DHS to work with these jurisdictions to increase law enforcement cooperation? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- What is the Administration doing about the overstay population in the U.S., including enforcement priorities? **(HSI & ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- I'm very concerned that I continue to hear stories of mistreatment and abuse of innocent immigrants by DHS authorities. All of us here have heard stories of people being forced to stand for hours in detainment, or be forcibly removed from schools and put in cuffs and transported to other cities where they have no family. What are you doing to stop this insanity and ensure your people are following the law? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- Do you agree that withholding federal financial assistance from countries that deny or delay the acceptance of their nationals ordered removed will assist with our deportation efforts? **(ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- In your February 20, 2017 implementation memorandum, you created the Victims Of Immigration Crime Engagement (VOICE) office to assist victims of crimes committed by undocumented immigrants to be paid for reallocating any department resources currently going to advocating for undocumented immigrants. Please provide me with any other federal, state, or local plan that has been used to model the creation of this office. Please provide a list of any department resources being using or that have used in the past 5 years to advocate for any undocumented immigrants. Please provide any information about Department resources for future, current, or past expended on advocacy for any undocumented immigrants. **(VOICE/OPA)**

Northern Border:

- How will you balance the use of DHS's limited resources to address the movement of illegal drugs, such as methamphetamines, the Northern Border? **(HSI)**
- What do you currently assess as the Top Three threats at the Northern Border? **(HSI)**
- What is your assessment of cooperation with Canada on Northern Border threat issues? **(HSI)**
- How does the Department cooperate with state, local, and tribal partners on Northern Border threat issues? **(HSI)**

JTFs:

- What do you envision the mission of the JTFs to be? **(HSI)**
- What is the potential of the JTFs to fight heroin/opioids? **(HSI)**
- With unique cross border challenges, and significant trade and commerce between the United States and Canada, do you think a similar effort to improve coordination in the Northern Border Region through the creation of a "JTF – North" would be effective? **(HSI)**

Threats/Risk:

- How do you envision a risk-based homeland security system? (HSI)
- What could be done better regarding DHS threat determinations? (HSI)
- Is it possible that a threat is too remote to spend counterterrorism dollars on? (HSI)

UACs:

- I've seen some reports that indicate that the two-year-long surge of UACs and families on our Southwest Border is finally declining. To what circumstances do you attribute this change? Some are calling it the "Trump effect" do you believe our new President's Executive Orders are a factor? What actions are you taking to ensure that this very positive trend continues? (ERO)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- I'm concerned about the sudden decline of UACs asking for asylum at our border. What do you know about circumstances in Central America today? Is the gang violence and extreme poverty that has been pervasive over decades finally improving, or are we just as a nation leaving them to their fates? How are we addressing the "push/pull" factors? (HSI & ERO)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

Information Sharing:

- Can you speak to your understanding of information sharing challenges between Components? **(HSI & ERO)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

Cybersecurity:

- We have heard rumors of a cyber Executive Order. What has been DHS' role in drafting the order? **(HSI)**
- Should DHS continue to be the lead agency for cyber? Does DHS need more authorities? **(HSI)**
- Please describe the resources you believe DHS needs in order to fulfill its role in this country's cybersecurity. **(HSI)**
- What do you see as the Department's role in supporting state and local governments that lack the resources to adequately respond to cyber-attacks? **(HSI)**
- How will you work to harden the cyber security of our federal networks and protect our citizens' sensitive information? **(M&A)**

Management/Oversight:

- Please describe in detail how you will make sure that you live up to your commitment in ensuring the Department responds to requests and invitations to hearings. **(OCR, speak to ICE)**
- Please state whether you believe the Department has an obligation to appear at a Congressional hearing when it is invited to provide testimony on the Department's policies and/or programs. **(OCR, speak to ICE)**
- DHS doesn't have a great record of responding to whistleblower complaints. What specific ways can DHS improve that? **(M&A)**
- How can DHS proactively change its culture regarding whistleblowing? **(M&A)**
- Why do morale issues continue to plague DHS and Components? Is it a mismatch of employee skills and mission? What would you do differently? **(M&A, ERO, HSI)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- DHS is very heavy on contractors. How will you balance DHS employees and contractors doing inherently governmental work? **(M&A, ERO, HSI)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- What are your thoughts on getting rid of contractors for use as staff augmentation? **(M&A, ERO, HSI)**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

- Have you ever done cost-benefit analysis of contractors? **(M&A)**
- Please describe your plans for incorporating the Privacy Office and the Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties into the policy decision-making process at DHS. **(M&A, ODCR)**

Visa Waiver Program (VWP):

- Now that you have been on the job for several months, what are your thoughts on the VWP? Does the program need to be further strengthened? **(HSI)**
- Are VWP partners upholding their obligations for program participation? **(HSI)**
- Are you monitoring issues or concerns with certain VWP countries? **(HSI)**

Enforcement and Removal Operations

Enforcement Activity Snapshot

Enforcement Action*

Enforcement Action*	Jan. 24, 2016 - Mar. 19, 2016	Jan. 22, 2017 - Mar. 18, 2017	% Change	FY 16 YTD	FY 17 YTD	% Change
Charging Documents Issued	12,975	18,323	41	36,819	44,092	20
Detainers	12,971	23,562	82	38,014	54,111	42
Administrative Arrests	16,928	22,826	35	50,392	56,248	12
At-Large Arrests	4,669	7,275	56	13,195	15,755	19
All Other Arrests	12,259	15,551	27	37,197	40,493	9
FUGOPS Arrests	3,342	5,583	67.06	9,873**	11,757***	19
Criminal Aliens	2,851	4,637	62.64	8,600**	10,231***	19
Non-Criminal Aliens	491	946	92.67	1,273**	1,526***	19.8
PERC Detainers	2,462	3,283	33	7,140	7,425	4
IAR At-Large Referrals (PERC)	1,653	4,330	162	6,473	7,239	11.8
ICE Removals	34,855	32,490	-7	109,974	112,489	2

This report compares enforcement actions between calendar year 2016 and 2017—pre and post Executive Orders.

Additionally, the report provides updates on important enforcement initiatives and highlights significant cases.

The FUGOPS arrest and PERC detainer numbers are subsets of the overall ERO numbers. They are listed to highlight activities within those programs.

** NFOPFY 16 YTD arrests are from October 1, 2015—February 17, 2016

*** NFOP FY17 YTD arrests are from October 1, 2016—February 17, 2017

* Please note that the throughput and outcomes of Enforcement activity may vary based upon multiple uncontrollable factors (e.g. consular issuance rates, mechanical failures, cancellations, etc.) Accordingly, enforcement and removal activity may not reflect a bi-weekly increase due to the fluidity though the overall trend should be positive.

Cross Check Operations

February 2017 Cross Check Operation

ERO conducted a series of targeted enforcement operations across the country. ICE officers in the Los Angeles, Chicago, Atlanta, San Antonio and New York City AORs arrested **675 individuals** who pose a threat to public safety, border security or the integrity of our nation's immigration system. **Of those arrested, 496 (approximately 73 percent) were criminal aliens.** As of March 4, 2017, of the 675 arrested, **153 (approximately 23 percent)** had been removed from the United States.

Upcoming March 2017 Cross Check Operation

In March 2017, ICE ERO will conduct a Cross Check Operation across seven AORs. This operation will target those who have criminal convictions and those with pending criminal charges as well as those who have violated federal immigration law as described in the January 25, 2017 Executive Order entitled *Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States*. Target lists are still being developed between Field Offices and Headquarters, but it is anticipated that there will be over 750 targets.

Criminal Alien Program Surge

CAP provides ICE-wide direction and support in the biometric and biographic identification, arrest, and removal of priority aliens who are incarcerated in federal, state, and local prisons and jails, as well as at-large criminal aliens that have circumvented identification. As part of its central mission, CAP identifies and processes priority aliens while they are in custody of other law enforcement agencies, which is the most efficient and safest manner of enforcement.

In light of the President's Executive Order, *Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States*, each ICE ERO Field Office is expected to conduct two CAP Surge Operations by the end of March 2017.

High Profile Arrests

New Orleans

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(COA: EWI; COC: Guatemala)

On March 18, 2017, subject was arrested by the Birmingham Fugitive Operations Team during a Cross Check Operation. Subject has a felony criminal warrant for forgery out of Cincinnati, Ohio. Subject was processed as an at-large criminal alien and turned over to Morgan County Sheriff's Office (Alabama) pending extradition to Ohio.

New Orleans

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(COA: LPR; COC: Jamaica)

On March 20, 2017, subject was arrested by the Birmingham Fugitive Operations Team during a Cross Check Operation. Subject has a conviction for felony sexual battery by an authority figure (13 year-old child). Subject was served a Notice to Appear and will be detained in ICE custody pending removal proceedings.

Page 30

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 31

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: 30 Mar 2017 21:27:51 +0000
To: 'Executive Director'
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

Agreed. Travel safe.

From: Executive Director [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 5:27 PM
To: Miller, Philip T
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

They are and they will, see you in Austin.

Thanks. Remember, we want to support and be helpful. In this new world we have to re-learn we do get along and have common ground...

From: Miller, Philip T [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

(b)(5)

Be safe,
Phil

From: Executive Director [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 5:09 PM
To: Miller, Philip T
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

How was meeting today?

From: Miller, Philip T [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 4:59 PM
To: Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thanks for sending me this email. My direction was for the FOD or DFOD to speak with the Sheriff about the DDOR to (a) ensure accuracy and (b) discuss a path forward. A form email is not an acceptable alternative to a personal conversation and or meeting. I will reach to El Paso now so that this is not repeated.

Best,
Phil

From: Executive Director [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 4:52 PM
To: Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

Phil,
I just received copy of below, this was...not warmly welcomed from our folks...

I just wanted to *inform you of this and see if your stance on ICE detainees has not changed since we spoke last.???*

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 3:12 PM
To:
Subject: DDOR and ICE Detainer comming

Sir as we discussed moments ago, ICE has a report called the Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) that is produced weekly. This DDOR is an important piece of President Trump's Executive Order "Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States". It highlights the public safety threats associated not only with sanctuary jurisdictions, but with any law enforcement agency that does not honor detainers and refuses to cooperate with ICE's enforcement efforts.

It was brought to our attention today that your facility will be appearing on our next DDOR as a facility that does not accept detainers. I just wanted to inform you of this and see if your stance on ICE detainers has not changed since we spoke last. A copy of this public report can be found on the ICE website under <https://www.ice.gov/declined-detainer-outcome-report>. On that website is a FAQs section that may answer some questions you may have on any possible ramifications for agencies or facilities that do not cooperate with ICE. Please review it and if you have any questions please feel free to contact me so we can discuss them.

I understand you will need to speak with your County Commissioners on this and I would appreciate any updates once you receive them.

Additionally, I wanted to provide you with the new information on ICE's new detainers, the I-247A. Beginning April 2, 2017, DHS will retire the Form I-247D (Immigration Detainer – Request for Voluntary Action), Form I-247N (Request for Voluntary Notification of Release of Suspected Priority Alien), and Form I-247X (Request for Voluntary Transfer) and replace them with a consolidated detainer form, Form I-247A (Immigration Detainer – Notice of Action).

Form I-247A requests that the receiving law enforcement agency both: (1) notify DHS as early as practicable, at least 48 hours, if possible, before a removable alien is released from criminal custody; and (2) maintain custody of the alien for a period not to exceed 48 hours beyond the time the alien would otherwise have been released to allow DHS to assume custody for removal purposes. Form I-247A maintains the substantive legal improvements made with the detainer and notification forms that will be retired. Specifically, Form I-247A sets forth the basis of the issuing immigration officer's probable cause to believe that the subject is a removable alien. In addition, Form I-247A advises that a copy of the form must be served on the alien in order for the detainer to take effect and limits the request to maintain custody to a period up to 48 hours, without any exception for Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

I appreciate your time and look forward to hearing from you soon.
Thank you

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

DHS/ICE/ERO
El Paso Field Office
11541 Montana Ave, (b)(6);(b)
El Paso, TX 79936
915-856-(b)(6)desk

From: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 7:06 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Just a quick update. ERO Washington DFOD (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) spoke earlier this afternoon with (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). They are meeting in person tomorrow afternoon.

Phil

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 4:09 PM
To: Miller, Philip T
Cc: Executive Director
Subject: DDOR Correction

Good afternoon Phil,

I received an email from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) in Chesterfield County (VA) Sheriffs' Office with concerns that his office was incorrectly included in the first report as not honoring detainees. According to him, they've got ICE personnel in the jail pretty regularly as well as the courts building. I promised to share his information so we could work to get that corrected. I've included his contact details below should you need to reach out.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chesterfield County Sheriff's Office
PO Box 940
Chesterfield, VA 23832-0001
(O) 804-768-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(F) 804-318-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Director of Government Affairs
National Sheriffs' Association
1450 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

703.838 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (direct)
571.233 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (mobile)
703.683.6541 (fax)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: 7 Apr 2017 01:24:45 +0000
To: Executive Director
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

I agree. Thanks (b)(6)

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Executive Director <(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)>
Date: Thursday, Apr 06, 2017, 21:17
To: Miller, Philip T <(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)>
Subject: Re: DDOR Correction

Yeah, spoke with leadership, committed to suspension until regularly correct and a small work group on path forward.

We have to fix!

Please forgive any typos, errors or tonal shortcomings as this message is being done on my phone.

From: Miller, Philip T <(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)>
Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 6:34:42 PM
To: Executive Director
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

Thanks (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) I saw the message. I know (b)(6) is very upset, we are working through issues with DHS to reach out.

(b)(5)

Phil
504.915 (b)(6);(b)

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Executive Director <(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)>
Date: Thursday, Apr 06, 2017, 13:13
To: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

(b)(5)

J

From: Miller, Philip T [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 1:06 PM
To: Executive Director <ed@sheriffs.org>
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

Voting on 287(g) programs for the next couple of hours. I'll let you know when we're finished.

Phil

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Executive Director <(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)>
Date: Thursday, Apr 06, 2017, 12:48
To: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: DDOR Correction

Can you chat?

Please forgive any typos, errors or tonal shortcomings as this message is being done on my phone.

From: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 5:22:58 PM
To: Executive Director
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Be safe,
Phil

From: Executive Director [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 5:09 PM
To: Miller, Philip T
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

How was meeting today?

From: Miller, Philip T [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 4:59 PM
To: Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thanks for sending me this email. My direction was for the FOD or DFOD to speak with the Sheriff about the DDOR to (a) ensure accuracy and (b) discuss a path forward. A form email is not an acceptable alternative to a personal conversation and or meeting. I will reach to El Paso now so that this is not repeated.

Best,

Phil

From: Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 4:52 PM

To: Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

Phil,

I just received copy of below, this was...not warmly welcomed from our folks...

I just wanted to inform you of this and see if your stance on ICE detainers has not changed since we spoke last.???

Changed minds about how sheriff thinks he risks federal judge's wrath...please have a corrective conversation with your people, to my thinking this is not helpful.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]

Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 3:12 PM

To:

Subject: DDOR and ICE Detainer coming

Sir as we discussed moments ago, ICE has a report called the Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) that is produced weekly. This DDOR is an important piece of President Trump's Executive Order "Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States". It highlights the public safety threats associated not only with sanctuary jurisdictions, but with any law enforcement agency that does not honor detainers and refuses to cooperate with ICE's enforcement efforts.

It was brought to our attention today that your facility will be appearing on our next DDOR as a facility that does not accept detainers. I just wanted to inform you of this and see if your stance on ICE detainers has not changed since we spoke last. A copy of this public report can be found on the ICE website under <https://www.ice.gov/declined-detainer-outcome-report>. On that website is a FAQs section that may answer some questions you may have on any possible ramifications for agencies or facilities that do not cooperate with ICE. Please review it and if you have any questions please feel free to contact me so we can discuss them.

I understand you will need to speak with your County Commissioners on this and I would appreciate any updates once you receive them.

Additionally, I wanted to provide you with the new information on ICE's new detainers, the I-247A. Beginning April 2, 2017, DHS will retire the Form I-247D (Immigration Detainer – Request for Voluntary Action), Form I-247N (Request for Voluntary Notification of Release of Suspected Priority Alien), and Form I-247X (Request for

Voluntary Transfer) and replace them with a consolidated detainer form, Form I-247A (Immigration Detainer - Notice of Action).

Form I-247A requests that the receiving law enforcement agency both: (1) notify DHS as early as practicable, at least 48 hours, if possible, before a removable alien is released from criminal custody; and (2) maintain custody of the alien for a period not to exceed 48 hours beyond the time the alien would otherwise have been released to allow DHS to assume custody for removal purposes. Form I-247A maintains the substantive legal improvements made with the detainer and notification forms that will be retired. Specifically, Form I-247A sets forth the basis of the issuing immigration officer's probable cause to believe that the subject is a removable alien. In addition, Form I-247A advises that a copy of the form must be served on the alien in order for the detainer to take effect and limits the request to maintain custody to a period up to 48 hours, without any exception for Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

I appreciate your time and look forward to hearing from you soon.
Thank you

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

DHS/ICE/ERO
El Paso Field Office
11541 Montana Ave, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
El Paso, TX 79936
915-856-(b)(6) desk

From: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 7:06 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Correction

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Just a quick update. ERO Washington DFOD Albert Carter spoke earlier this afternoon with (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). They are meeting in person tomorrow afternoon.

Phil

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 4:09 PM
To: Miller, Philip T
Cc: Executive Director
Subject: DDOR Correction

Good afternoon Phil,

I received an email from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) in Chesterfield County (VA) Sheriffs' Office with concerns that his office was incorrectly included in the first report as not honoring detainees. According to him, they've got ICE personnel in the jail pretty regularly as well as the courts building. I promised to share his information so we could work to get that corrected. I've included his contact details below should you need to reach out.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chesterfield County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 940

Chesterfield, VA 23832-0001

(O) 804-768-(b)(6)

(F) 804-318-8215

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Director of Government Affairs

National Sheriffs' Association

1450 Duke Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

703.838-(b)(6) (direct)

571.233-(b)(7)(C) (mobile)

703.683.6541 (fax)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 1 May 2017 08:58:51 -0400
To: Albence, Matthew
Cc: Miller, Philip T; [REDACTED]; Homan, Thomas; [REDACTED]; Robbins, Timothy S; [REDACTED] #ICE DD STAFF
Subject: RE: Revised DDOR
Attachments: DDOR4 (beginning 4-2) DRAFT v38 - DD edits.docx

Matt:

Just some edits to the language that seeks to make "declined" a little clearer for the average reader. I'm copying Tom as I shared this version to make sure he didn't have any major concerns. We should huddle to discuss.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 9:23 AM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: Miller, Philip T; [REDACTED]
Subject: Revised DDOR

Dan:

Attached is the abbreviated DDOR as we discussed. It is conceptually the same as the one that you and Tom reviewed last week, with a few changes to try to make it more understandable,

(b)(5)WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft



Please let me know if any issues/concerns. Thanks!

Matt

LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE --- NOT VALIDATED

(b)(5);WIF Draft



LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE

LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE --- NOT VALIDATED

(b)(5);WIF Draft

2


LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5),WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5)WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5):WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5).WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5):WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 10:41 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report, DDOR 3, February 11-17, 2017
Attachments: BUF Declined Detainer Sheet.xlsm; BUF Issued Detainer Sheet.xlsm; BUF DDOR_DocumentCommentWorksheet.docx; BUF DQ&I Field POC.pdf

For your review.

Good Morning,

Per the tasking and instructions, the Buffalo Field Office submits the following information:

1. Section I is contained in attachment 1 and has been saved as BUF Declined Detainer Sheet. There are no additions or modifications made to this attachment and Buffalo has zero detainers declined in the AOR for this time period.
2. Section II is contained in attachment 2 and has been saved as BUF Issued Detainer Sheet. There were some changes made here, specifically in the "Field Comments Column". Be advised the New York State Department of Corrections will honor our detainers and State Facility will hold detainees for ICE.
3. Section III has been modified in attachment 3 and has been modified on BUF DDOR Document Comment Worksheet. Please use this updated form.
4. Attachment 4 saved as BUF DQ&I POC has been modified. Please remove AFOD (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and add AFOD (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) with an email address of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and phone number of 716-843-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please reach out to Acting FOD (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) if you need further clarification on any of these documents before the next DDOR publication. Thanks.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(Acting) Deputy Field Office Director
Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Enforcement and Removal Operations
Fugitive Ops, CART, Task Forces
(716) 843-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: ERO Taskings
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 5:42 PM
Subject: ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report, DDOR 3, February 11-17, 2017
Importance: High

This message is sent on behalf of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Acting Assistant Director, Enforcement and Removal Operations, Field Operations

To: Field Office Directors and Deputy Field Office Directors
Subject: ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report, DDOR 3, February 11-17, 2017

Due Date: March, 31, 2017 at 2:00PM EST

To reduce the number of data quality errors and change requests previously associated with DDORs 1 and 2, ERO Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis (LESA) requests that all FODs review the attached DDOR 3 in advance, notifying LESA DQI of any proposed changes. Please note that all system changes should be made prior to returning the above Sections I and II, *Issued and Declined Detainers* spreadsheets. Section III changes should be requested via the *Section III Instructions* sheet.

Please review the following Six (6) attachments:

1. DDOR 3 (DDOR3 (ending 2-17) DRAFT v4 with subject ids.pdf)
2. DDOR and Issued Detainers Tasking Instructions (DDOR and Issued Detainer Tasking.docx)
3. DDOR Section III Instructions (DDOR_DocumentCommentWorksheet_DRAFT_v5.docx)
4. Declined Detainers Spreadsheet (Declined Detainer Outcome Report 3.xlsm)
5. Issued Detainers Spreadsheet (Issued Detainer Report 3.xlsm)
6. DQ&I Field POC's .pdf

Please ensure to provide edits and comments per the provided instructions (provide Section I/II edits and comments within the Excel spreadsheets; provide Section III edits and comments within the *Instructions* document). Please provide updated DQ&I POC if the one listed in the attached document (6) is not current.

Provide your responses to the LESA Data Quality Unit (DQI) at (b)(7)(C) and the LESA Strategic Analysis Unit (SOA) at (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) **no later than 2:00PM EST, Friday, March 31, 2017**

Please contact SOA Unit Chief (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) or 202-732 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) with questions or concerns.

~~NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or otherwise confidential information. If you are not an intended recipient or believe you have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, retransmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Please inform the sender that you received this message in error and delete the message from your system.~~

Document Comment Sheet

Document Title: DRAFT DDOR

Document Comment Sheet		
Title or Description of Draft Document: DRAFT- Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) #3.		Program Office: LESA/ ERO Email: <u>ICE-EROLESA-DATAQUALITY@ice.dhs.gov</u>
AOR/Name/Title: Buffalo/ (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) /FOD Field Office/Unit: Buffalo/ICE ERO Phone: 716-843 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)		Date Submitted: 3/31/2017

INSTRUCTIONS for completing the columns in the headers below:

1. Enter AOR Contact Information above.
2. Review DRAFT DDOR 3 (Sections I, II, and III).
3. Read and follow Data Quality & Integrity (DQI) Excel Spreadsheet directions.
4. DDOR Sections I and II: Update "Issued" and "Declined" Detainers Excel Spreadsheet(s) according to the provided directions.
5. DDOR Section III: Submit any proposed changes below **ONLY**. Do not make Section III changes in the Excel Spreadsheets.

(b)(5);WIF Draft

Document Title: 2017-ICLI-00016 71

(b)(5);WIF Draft

Attachment 4

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 7 Apr 2017 12:28:06 +0000
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Recommendations: DDOR and ICE Detainers,
Attachments: Recommendations - DDOR Detainers (002) V2.docx

Director Holman,

Over the past weeks our office has had several conversations with our law enforcement stakeholders regarding the DDOR and ICE Detainers. These discussions from our perspective was simply to listen, capture their issues and share them with our DHS decision makers.

From these many conversations a general themes emerged. This office capsulated those conversations in to recommendations that are included in the attached document. Please understand, it is not OSLE intent to delve into your business and create policy in this issue. However, it is our goal to provide from these listening sessions possible options that you may consider in moving forward. OSLE has a working relationship with our LE partners who turn to us for guidance and assistance, and in that way we hope to support your efforts as well.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss further, and how we might be of assistance.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
202-282 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Recommendations Regarding the Declined Detainer Outcome Report and ICE Detainers

On March 20, 2017, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR). This report is required by President Donald J. Trump's Executive Order, Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, signed on January 25, 2017. The DDOR is issued weekly to highlight jurisdictions that do not cooperate with ICE detainers. ICE places detainers on aliens who have been arrested on local criminal charges or who are in local custody and for whom ICE possesses probable cause to believe that they are removable from the United States.

Since the release of the first DDOR, the Office for State and Local Law Enforcement (OSLLE) and Office of Intergovernmental Affairs (IGA), within the Office of Partnership and Engagement (OPE), have heard concerns from state and local law enforcement and elected officials about the content and goal of the DDOR. These concerns have been raised directly to OPE and also during two stakeholder meetings at the end of March.

Context: Since release of the first DDOR on March 20, 2017, OPE has heard concerns from state and local law enforcement and elected officials about the content and goal of the DDOR. Common concerns include the following:

(b)(5)



(b)(5)

Recommendations:

Recommendations specific to the DDOR:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Recommendations broader to ICE detainers:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: ICE-Broadcast
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 11:20:43 -0400
Subject: Declined Detainer Outcome Report

A Message from ICE Acting Director Thomas D. Homan

To all ICE employees
March 20, 2017

Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Today we are posting information to our public facing website, ICE.gov, detailing instances where local jurisdictions have failed to honor an ICE detainer. This [Declined Detainer Outcome Report](#) (DDOR) shines a light on local law enforcement partners who remain uncooperative and continue releasing criminal aliens back into the community without properly notifying ICE.

When law enforcement agencies fail to honor immigration detainers and release criminal offenders, it significantly undermines ICE's critical public safety mission. Despite these challenges, we will continue collaborating with our law enforcement partners to help ensure that aliens who may pose a threat to our communities are not released back into those communities to re-offend.

Published weekly, the DDOR is an important piece of President Donald J. Trump's Executive Order "*Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States*" and highlights the public safety threats associated not only with sanctuary jurisdictions, but with any law enforcement agency that does not honor detainers and refuses to cooperate with ICE's enforcement efforts.

In those jurisdictions that decline ICE detainers and release dangerous criminals to the street, ICE will continue to pursue those aliens for arrest in order to remove threats to our society.

Thank you for your unwavering commitment to our mission and your dedication to protect our nation.

Thomas D. Homan
Acting Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 14 Apr 2017 22:38:36 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Albence, Matthew; Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DRAFT Engagement Plan re: DDOR and Detainers
Attachments: DDOR and Detainer Engagement Plan - DRAFT 4.0.docx

Chief,

As a follow up to our meeting with the Secretary on Tuesday, you requested OPE pull together a draft engagement strategy/plan. Please note this is a draft, we are continuing to work with the offices to ensure any engagement that we embark on does not over promise something to our stakeholders, and then under deliver. Overall goal is to create a level of transparency that our stakeholders have felt is missing and to hear them out and potentially take some of their feedback into our processes. We wanted to have a working draft document to you before the weekend to ensure we're going in the right direction that you and the Secretary envisioned. Happy to make adjustments as you see necessary.

Regards,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5),WIF Draft


FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 6 Apr 2017 15:46:11 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: For Immediate Release: Sheriffs Voice Outrage over Flawed ICE Report



For Immediate Release

Contact: Sheriff (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
248-858-(b)(6);
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sheriffs Voice Outrage over Flawed ICE Report

Last week, in an effort to enhance communication with our federal partners, multiple MCSA members participated in a roundtable discussion with representatives from DHS, CBP and ICE to discuss the flawed Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) and local immigration challenges.

Despite compliance with ICE requests for notification and participation in the 287G program, many MCSA members have been listed in all three weekly reports as being non-cooperative or having restrictive cooperation policies. The DDORs were issued without prior law enforcement consultation and in our meeting last week, no clarification, corrections or apologies were offered. Additionally, the report does not provide a clear picture of the legal complexities surrounding ICE detainees.

Local law enforcement follows and enforces the rule of law, not an inside the beltway interpretation of how it should be. MCSA members have been hamstrung due to numerous federal courts rulings that ICE detainers are unconstitutional and exceed sheriffs' arrest authority. Without proper arrest authority, Sheriffs cannot willfully disregard an individual's 4th amendment rights as articulated in these court cases.

Several MCSA members respectfully requested a pause in the weekly DDOR until the information can be accurately presented and verified. However, the Department made it clear they are going to press on. It should be noted that the DDOR does not report on ICE's pickup rates or lack of information sharing with local law enforcement. In the spirit of true transparency, the American public should know that in many jurisdictions across our nation, ICE routinely

allows criminal back onto the streets by failing to pick up criminal aliens upon release. Often times, the pickup rate is as low as 36%. Additionally, there is no direct access to ICE databases when a person is queried on a traffic stop, or as they come into booking even though that information is critical to maintain public safety. We have been asking for this information sharing from ICE for over 8 years.

As constitutionally elected law enforcement leaders, Sheriffs take erroneous allegations very seriously and every time the flawed DDOR is released without fact checking, our relationship with our federal partners erodes. If we are to be collaborative, the Department must make a more concerted effort to be truthful and transparent with the law enforcement community. ***Make no mistake, the American public has a right to know which jurisdictions are blatantly ignoring the rule of law and are endangering community safety and they should be held accountable.*** If a jurisdiction is following the law of its' state or a binding court ruling it is disingenuous for the Department to continue to release a report absent facts.

Moving forward, the MCSA again requests a pause in the DDOR until the data formulation and collection recognizes these important differences in circumstance and local law enforcement is consulted.

###

The Major County Sheriffs of America (MCSA) is an association of elected sheriffs representing the nation's largest counties with populations of 500,000 people or more, representing over 100 million Americans

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 31 Mar 2017 15:59:26 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: Clips

Please see the response from ERO on the clips. Nathalie has also instructed each FOD to increase their review and scrubbing of the report so improve data quality.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Dan

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:49 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Clips

I li Dan,

At Tom's request, below are facts surrounding several jurisdictions included in both DDORs that were subject to error or in need of further clarification.

Thx,
Nathalie

DDOR 1:

Bastrop County, TX* - Location had detainer placed on an alien at this jail. Alien transferred to a non-compliant jail and the detainer "followed" the alien however, when released from the subsequent jail, the detainer was not honored and attributed to Bastrop County, TX.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Chester County, PA* – Location listed in Section III (public policy section) correctly, erroneously included in DDOR Section II (aliens released resulting from non-honored detainer) as alien was encountered in Chesterfield County, VA and ERO Washington lodged the detainer with Chesterfield County, VA but it appears the processing officers used the wrong location code in EAGLE and made it appear that the detainer was lodged with Chester County, PA. Chesterfield County, VA declined the detainer and the alien was released.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Franklin County, IA* - Location listed in Section III (public policy section) due to ACLU attribution however this location was removed as there was no other apparent public source and field confirmed compliance. The number of *issued* detainers listed in Section I (detainers issued section) was incorrect as *some* of the total listed were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Franklin County, NY – Location listed in Section III (public policy section) correctly, but the number of *issued* detainees listed in Section I was incorrect as they were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

Franklin County, PA* - Location listed in Section III (public policy section) incorrectly, the number of *issued* detainees listed in Section I was incorrect as *some* of the total listed were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Montgomery County, IA – Location listed in Section III (public policy section) correctly, but it was agreed that we would remove them from Section III in future DDORs. The inclusion was due to their previous sheriff being cited in an ACLU report stating that they would not fully comply with detainees. There is now a new sheriff and he was quoted saying that they will comply with detainees. The number of *issued* detainees listed in Section I (detainers issued section) was incorrect as they were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

Richmond, NC* (Appeared in 03/30/2017 ICE Clips) - Erroneously included in DDOR Section II (aliens released resulting from non-honored detainer) as alien was encountered in Richmond, VA and ERO Washington lodged the detainer with Richmond, VA but it appears the processing officers used the wrong location code in EAGLE and made it appear that the detainer was lodged with Richmond, NC. Richmond, VA declined the detainer and the alien was released.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Williamson County, TX – Location had detainer placed on an alien at this jail. Alien transferred to a non-compliant jail and the detainer "followed" the alien however, when released from the subsequent jail, the detainer was not honored and attributed to Williamson County, TX.

DDOR 2*:

Note-All California counties are listed due to the Trust Act. Since this publication of the DDOR, some counties have made public statements of cooperation however they will not hold on alien beyond their release date. **Due to their unwillingness to hold beyond the detainee's release date, ERO does not consider these locations compliant.*

Kern, CA – No error in Section I (detainers issued section) or Section III (public policy section). Location had 65 detainees issued during the week reported and no detainees declined.

Santa Barbara, CA (Appeared in 03/31/2017 ICE Clips) – No errors in Section I (detainers issued section) or Section III (public policy section). Location had 24 detainees issued during the week reported and no detainees declined.

San Diego, CA - No errors in Section I (detainers issued section) or Section III (public policy section). Location had 44 detainees issued during the week reported and no detainees declined.

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 8:25 AM

To: Asher, Nathalie R

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Clips

Can we take a quick run though the latest and see what we can separate as a real error versus disagreement? Thx.

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 31, 2017, 8:22 AM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Clips

(b)(5)

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 18 Mar 2017 19:02:29 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: DDOR
Attachments: 03 13 17 -- Rollout Plan - Report v12.docx

Please see below and attached

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 6:57:37 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you, OGC!

Good evening all – attached please find Version 12 of the public rollout materials. (Note that this document also includes the ICE employee message, which is pending clearance from Director Homan, but is included for folks' visibility.)

DHS/ICE OPA, DHS OLA, and DHS OPE: please review these materials one final time to ensure they are satisfactory.

PLCY: respectfully request you also review this final version, as there have been substantive changes since your last review.

If others have any further edits or changes, please send them forward. I will be transmitting the final version of the report to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) tomorrow morning by 0800.

Thank you all for your continued support and assistance!

Best,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 4:47 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(5)

For version control purposes, I've attached the version of the document that we reviewed. It's the one (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) circulated at 3:08pm today.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security
Desk: 202-282-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: 202-657-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 4:30:34 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you! OGC is reviewing once more.

Once I have received that version and adjudicated edits, OPE OLA and OPA should review once more this evening to ensure the public documents are comprehensive and compete.

Pls let me know if anyone has questions. Thank you all very much for your assistance throughout this process.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Mobile: +1 (202) 264-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 3:08:05 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please see attached.

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Acting Chief of Staff
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Enforcement and Removal Operations
Desk: 202-732-(b)(6)
Cell: 202-486-(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 11:41 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Good morning – (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) apologies for the delay, but we need some additional information for the Q&A before it can be finalized.

ICE and OGC: please see the document attached. Need to address the three questions indicated with highlighting/comments from OGC and (b)(6) in the report.

Finally, OPA and others feel strongly that we need to answer the two questions that follow, as we will receive them from the media and stakeholders. **Who can provide a draft response?**

(b)(5)

Please provide final edits/updates/answers by email or word doc 12:45 if at all possible so I can finalize the material for A52/CO5 review.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 9:40 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(

I have accepted (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits in the attached and finalized the document. Please let us know once it is cleared. Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 9:19 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) - OPA has now received the final round of edits to the rollout plan and public materials; I will adjudicate and send you updated/final documents first thing in the morning.

ICE is handling the final version of the report itself.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Mobile: +1 (202) 264-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 8:44:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks, everyone! Can I get the final versions of the report and roll out materials to share with AS2 and COS for final approval?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 6:45:47 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

And a few suggested edits from me, too. Thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 6:09 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

From OGC. Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Chief of Staff
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security

Desk: (202) 282 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (202) 657 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 10:44 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Good morning – OPA has made some updates to the press products, in the version attached. I have inputted (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits as well as (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on top of this version.

OGC and (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) if you could please review this version too. Additionally, there are 2 new questions that need answers.

Please let me know if you have any questions!

Best,
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 7:58 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Sorry, I realize the first item below isn't very clear. At the top, for whatever week we are using to refer to jurisdictions that don't honor our detainers (Cook County, etc.), we

(b)(5)

So an American citizen sitting at home can open the report, see the total number of detainers issued in a week, detainers issued to jurisdictions that don't cooperate, the confirmed declined detainer list, and the list of all jurisdictions that don't honor detainers. A snapshot, in essence.

Thanks again!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 7:51:33 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you for everyone's input. We will work to adjudicate the comments this morning.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 10:32:07 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks for all of the hard work on this. I have a few questions:

- Can we list the total number of detainers issued the previous week at the top?
- Will it be possible to get info down the road on the notable crimes for detainers issued for the non cooperative jurisdictions (i.e. a table like the one we have for the detainers that were confirmed as denied)?

- Can we change the order? First, add the total number of detainers issued, the number issued to non-cooperative (until we can get a table that looks like the one for confirmed denied detainers), the table of confirmed declined detainers, and then the table that had all of their policies?

And finally, how will the report look on the website? Is this report going to appear as a standalone report that one scrolls down, but that changes from week to week and folks can pull old reports?

Thanks again!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 6:24:27 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Fine with the first doc, some edits to the second. Working off of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:25 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi, all. I just wanted to check in on whether OGC, PLCY and (b)(6) have had a chance to review and provide their feedback on the report and the rollout. Attached are a few thoughts from me.

I know ICE will need to have everyone's thoughts on this in advance of the meeting tomorrow so if you haven't sent your feedback, yet, we will need you to as soon as possible.

Thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 9:20 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Two recommended edits in track changes and summarized below:

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 6:30 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi all,

Please find attached the revised DDOR for your review and consideration. Note that Sections I and II are new and added per our discussion yesterday afternoon. Feel free to contact us if we may provide any additional information.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 5:44 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

All,

Confirming that the rollout is now scheduled for Monday. However, the Acting Deputy and COS will want to see the latest report and the updated rollout materials tomorrow morning. We cannot push this out again, so we are anxious to have leadership review in case there is a need for additional tweaks.

Thank you!!! I know everyone is scrambling on multiple issues.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:58:00 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

We will have the revised DDOR by COB today. The revised DDOR will contain the totally non-complaint list up front with the count of detainees issued during the reporting week and policy indicators (an asterisk next to jurisdiction name) for those that have publicly stated policy.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:39 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6); Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) who is helping to coordinate this for OGC.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:37 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) – the additional press materials (Fact Sheet and Press Release) are coming to me shortly from ICE OPA, so that (b)(6) and OGC can clear them concurrently with the Q&A.

From the OPA perspective, we are still tracking the rollout plan as discussed in yesterday's meeting. We are standing by to schedule the phone calls.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:29 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks so much!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:24:44 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,

Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I just sent you and DHS OPA ICE's response to the additional questions for the roll out. I will get back to you shortly with an ETA for the revised DDOR.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:16:40 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)


(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR


Hi everyone. Thanks again for the good discussion yesterday. I wanted to check in on where things are with the updated DDOR and rollout plan. If you could provide an ETA, that would be terrific.

Thank you!

(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5) WIF Draft

(b)(5),WIF Draft




(b)(5)WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 16 Mar 2017 20:47:00 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: DDOR
Attachments: 03 13 17 -- Rollout Plan - Report v6 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)ogc comments.docx

I plan on having (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) go to this meeting with you unless you need me to go. Let me know

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 8:45:23 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

OGC comments placed on top of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) comments.

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security

Desk: (202) 282-(b)(6);
Mobile: (202) 657-(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:25 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi, all. I just wanted to check in on whether OGC, PLCY and (b)(6); have had a chance to review and provide their feedback on the report and the rollout. Attached are a few thoughts from me.

I know ICE will need to have everyone's thoughts on this in advance of the meeting tomorrow so if you haven't sent your feedback, yet, we will need you to as soon as possible.

Thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 9:20 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Two recommended edits in track changes and summarized below:

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 6:30 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

; Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi all,

Please find attached the revised DDOR for your review and consideration. Note that Sections I and II are new and added per our discussion yesterday afternoon. Feel free to contact us if we may provide any additional information.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 5:44 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

All,

Confirming that the rollout is now scheduled for Monday. However, the Acting Deputy and COS will want to see the latest report and the updated rollout materials tomorrow morning. We cannot push this out again, so we are anxious to have leadership review in case there is a need for additional tweaks.

Thank you!!! I know everyone is scrambling on multiple issues.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:58:00 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

We will have the revised DDOR by COB today. The revised DDOR will contain the totally non-complaint list up front with the count of detainees issued during the reporting week and policy indicators (an asterisk next to jurisdiction name) for those that have publicly stated policy.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:39 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) who is helping to coordinate this for OGC.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:37 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) – the additional press materials (Fact Sheet and Press Release) are coming to me shortly from ICE OPA, so that Gene and OGC can clear them concurrently with the Q&A.

From the OPA perspective, we are still tracking the rollout plan as discussed in yesterday's meeting. We are standing by to schedule the phone calls.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:29 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks so much!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:24:44 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Valerio,

Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I just sent you and DHS OPA ICE's response to the additional questions for the roll out. I will get back to you shortly with an ETA for the revised DDOR.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:16:40 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)


(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR

Hi everyone. Thanks again for the good discussion yesterday. I wanted to check in on where things are with the updated DDOR and rollout plan. If you could provide an ETA, that would be terrific.

Thank you!


(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



PREDECISIONAL DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE - PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION - ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

(b)(5) WIF Draft

PREDECISIONAL DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE - PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION - ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

(b)(5);WIF Draft

PREDECISIONAL DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE - PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION - ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

(b)(5);WIF Draft

PREDECISIONAL DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE - PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION - ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

(b)(5);WIF Draft


PREDECISIONAL DRAFT - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE - PREDECISIONAL DRAFT
ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION - ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5)WIF Draft



From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 08:26:08 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: DDOR
Attachments: 03 20 17 -- DDOR Rollout Plan - Report v14.docx

Please see attached

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 8:50 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Attached please find the final version of the rollout plan and related materials (Version 14). Cleared by OGC, PLCY, OPE, OLA, OPA, and Gene.

Would like to re-flag (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) concern from last night, however, regarding S1's meeting with the NYPD Commissioner, at the same time as the public release of the documents wherein one of three examples is from NYC.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 10:43 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 10:07:10 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

All—thank you so much for your quick responses and edits. Version 13, attached, incorporates final edits from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OLA, OPE, and PLCY.

DHS and ICE OPA – anything further? Please provide clearance or edits. Thank you!

Finally, OLA raised the following question. I don't think we need to have an answer written out in publicly available Q&A, but think our SMEs should address this on the various calls.

(b)(5)

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 9:41 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Edits to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) version are attached. Thanks.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 7:24 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Some additional edits from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and from me on top of the version (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) just sent. There are some typos and minor (but necessary) clean up items.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 4:47 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Ogc clears. We're satisfied with the responses to our comments and the answers to the new questions.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

For version control purposes, I've attached the version of the document that we reviewed. It's the one (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) circulated at 3:08pm today.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security
Desk: 202-282-(b)(6);
Mobile: 202-657-(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 4:30:34 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you! OGC is reviewing once more.

Once I have received that version and adjudicated edits, OPE OLA and OPA should review once more this evening to ensure the public documents are comprehensive and compete.

Pls let me know if anyone has questions. Thank you all very much for your assistance throughout this process.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Mobile: +1 (202) 264-(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 3:08:05 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S;

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please see attached.

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Chief of Staff

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Enforcement and Removal Operations

Desk: 202-732-(b)(6)

Cell: 202-486-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 11:41 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S;

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Good morning - (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) apologies for the delay, but we need some additional information for the Q&A before it can be finalized.

ICE and OGC: please see the document attached. Need to address the three questions indicated with highlighting/comments from OGC and Gene in the report.

Finally, OPA and others feel strongly that we need to answer the two questions that follow, as we will receive them from the media and stakeholders. **Who can provide a draft response?**

Q: Is this report all inclusive of declined detainers?

Q: Can I get more information about a specific case?

Please provide final edits/updates/answers by email or word doc 12:45 if at all possible so I can finalize the material for AS2/COS review.

Thank you,

(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 9:40 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I have accepted (b)(6);(C) edits in the attached and finalized the document. Please let us know once it is cleared. Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 9:19 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) - OPA has now received the final round of edits to the rollout plan and public materials; I will adjudicate and send you updated/final documents first thing in the morning.

ICE is handling the final version of the report itself.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Mobile: +1 (202) 264-(b)(6);(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 8:44:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks, everyone! Can I get the final versions of the report and roll out materials to share with AS2 and COS for final approval?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 6:45:47 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

And a few suggested edits from me, too. Thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 6:09 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

From OGC. Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff

Office of the General Counsel

Department of Homeland Security

Desk: (202) 282-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Mobile: (202) 657-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 10:44 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Good morning – OPA has made some updates to the press products, in the version attached. I have inputted (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits as well as (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on top of this version.

OGC and (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) if you could please review this version too. Additionally, there are 2 new questions that need answers.

Please let me know if you have any questions!

Best,

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 7:58 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Sorry, I realize the first item below isn't very clear. At the top, for whatever week we are using to refer to jurisdictions that don't honor our detainers (Cook County, etc.), (b)(5)

(b)(5)

So an American citizen sitting at home can open the report, see the total number of detainers issued in a week, detainers issued to jurisdictions that don't cooperate, the confirmed declined detainer list, and the list of all jurisdictions that don't honor detainers. A snapshot, in essence.

Thanks again!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 7:51:33 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you for everyone's input. We will work to adjudicate the comments this morning.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 10:32:07 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks for all of the hard work on this. I have a few questions:

(b)(5)

Thanks again!

(b)(6);
(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 6:24:27 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Fine with the first doc, some edits to the second. Working off of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:25 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi, all. I just wanted to check in on whether OGC, PLCY and (b)(6) have had a chance to review and provide their feedback on the report and the rollout. Attached are a few thoughts from me.

I know ICE will need to have everyone's thoughts on this in advance of the meeting tomorrow so if you haven't sent your feedback, yet, we will need you to as soon as possible.

Thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 9:20 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Two recommended edits in track changes and summarized below:

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 6:30 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi all,

Please find attached the revised DDOR for your review and consideration. Note that Sections I and II are new and added per our discussion yesterday afternoon. Feel free to contact us if we may provide any additional information.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 5:44 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,

Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

All,

Confirming that the rollout is now scheduled for Monday. However, the Acting Deputy and COS will want to see the latest report and the updated rollout materials tomorrow morning. We cannot push this out again, so we are anxious to have leadership review in case there is a need for additional tweaks.

Thank you!!! I know everyone is scrambling on multiple issues.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:58:00 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,

Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

We will have the revised DDOR by COB today. The revised DDOR will contain the totally non-complaint list up front with the count of detainers issued during the reporting week and policy indicators (an asterisk next to jurisdiction name) for those that have publicly stated policy.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:39 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

+ (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) who is helping to coordinate this for OGC.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:37 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) the additional press materials (Fact Sheet and Press Release) are coming to me shortly from ICE OPA, so that (b)(6) and OGC can clear them concurrently with the Q&A.

From the OPA perspective, we are still tracking the rollout plan as discussed in yesterday's meeting. We are standing by to schedule the phone calls.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:29 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks so much!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:24:44 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A;
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I just sent you and DHS OPA ICE's response to the additional questions for the roll out. I will get back to you shortly with an ETA for the revised DDOR.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:16:40 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)


(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR

Hi everyone. Thanks again for the good discussion yesterday. I wanted to check in on where things are with the updated DDOR and rollout plan. If you could provide an ETA, that would be terrific.

Thank you!

(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5),WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5) WIF Draft




(b)(5)WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 4 Apr 2017 19:10:54 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas;Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: DDOR 1 pager - final draft
Attachments: DDOR Process Improvement v3.docx

As requested.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Tuesday, Apr 04, 2017, 7:08 PM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager - final draft

Matt,

As discussed, attached is clean draft for your review.

NRA

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 6:00 PM
To: Smith, Tadgh A; Asher, Nathalie R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager

Thanks Tadgh.

Nathalie – unless you have any issues, will send this up.

From: Smith, Tadgh A
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 5:51 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager

Attached.

-Tadgh

Tadgh Smith
DAD Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis Division
DHS/ICE/ERO

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Mobile: (202) 427-~~(b)(6)~~

Office: (202) 732-~~(b)(6)~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 5:46 PM

To: Asher, Nathalie R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Smith, Tadgh A

Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager

Tadgh -

Do you have this? Thx

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Tuesday, Apr 04, 2017, 1:24 PM

To: Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR 1 pager

All:

Tom just got off the phone w the dept. we need a one-pager describing the issues we experienced w/DDOR 1, and how we rectified. Same w DDOR 2. And going forward, what's system limitations/DQI will we face, and how we are rectifying (if we can). Also, if there are data issues stemming from the new instructions to not include those in Section 2 when we received the body directly, please Identify as well. You can add the suggestion to remove section 1 in here as well because of the inherent DQI issues. Need by COB. Sorry and thanks.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 6 Apr 2017 15:36:16 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: DDOR 1 pager - final draft
Attachments: DDOR Process Improvement v12.docx

Dan cleared this

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 6:23 PM
To: Dan Ragsdale
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: DDOR 1 pager - final draft

Dan:

Per the below request. Thanks.

Matt

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Apr 05, 2017, 6:29 AM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR 1 pager - final draft

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 11:45:06 PM
To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager - final draft

Thanks, Tom. Can your team put together a matrix that shows the date, jurisdiction, detainer issues, their allegations, our responses. etc.? Something quick and easy to use.

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 7:14 PM

To: [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: DDOR 1 pager - final draft

As requested by [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 7:10:54 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: FW: DDOR 1 pager - final draft

As requested.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Asher, Nathalie R [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Tuesday, Apr 04, 2017, 7:08 PM

To: Albence, Matthew [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

[REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Miller, Philip T
[REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager - final draft

Matt,

As discussed, attached is clean draft for your review.

NRA

From: [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 6:00 PM

To: Smith, Tadgh A; Asher, Nathalie R; [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager

Thanks Tadgh.

Nathalie – unless you have any issues, will send this up.

From: Smith, Tadgh A

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 5:51 PM

To: [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R; [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager

Attached.

-Tadgh

Tadgh Smith
DAD Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis Division
DHS/ICE/ERO

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (202) 427-(b)(6)
Office: (202) 732-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 5:46 PM
To: Asher, Nathalie R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Smith, Tadgh A
Subject: RE: DDOR 1 pager

Tadgh -

Do you have this? Thx

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Tuesday, Apr 04, 2017, 1:24 PM
To: Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR 1 pager

All:

Tom just got off the phone w the dept. we need a one-pager describing the issues we experienced w/DDOR 1, and how we rectified. Same w DDOR 2. And going forward, what's system limitations/DQI will we face, and how we are rectifying (if we can). Also, if there are data issues stemming from the new instructions to not include those in Section 2 when we received the body directly, please Idenfy as well. You can add the suggestion to remove section 1 in here as well because of the inherent DQI issues. Need by COB. Sorry and thanks.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

(b)(5) WIF Draft

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 15 Mar 2017 13:34:53 -0400
To: Albence, Matthew;Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: DDOR broadcast message
Attachments: 03-14-17 DDOR broadcast V3.docx

Want to make sure you guys are okay with this?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 2:07 PM
To: #ICE DD STAFF
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR broadcast message

Attached is a draft broadcast message on the posting of the DDOR for AD1. OPA plans to issue this message to employees right after the report posts on ICE.gov and right before the news release is issued.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Page 172

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 08:56:34 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: DDOR broadcast message
Attachments: 03-14-17 DDOR broadcast V3(MA).docx
Importance: High

Good morning,

Are you good with this broadcast to launch the DDOR?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

A Message from ICE Acting Director Thomas D. Homan

To all ICE employees
March 17, 2017

Declined Detainer Outcome Report

(b)(5)

Thomas D. Homan
Acting Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 8:43 AM

To: Robbins, Timothy S
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR broadcast message
Importance: High

Tim,

Can you review the document as this is slated to go out at 1100am prior to the official release of the DDOR by DHS.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 2:07 PM
To: #ICE DD STAFF
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR broadcast message

Attached is a draft broadcast message on the posting of the DDOR for AD1. OPA plans to issue this message to employees right after the report posts on ICE.gov and right before the news release is issued.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 11 Apr 2017 14:11:56 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas;Robbins, Timothy S;(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR Mock-up & one-pager
Attachments: DDOR - Proposed Revisions 4-8-17 (MA update).docx, DDOR DRAFT Arrests Design MOCKUP_v9 comments.docx, DDOR Process Improvement v12.docx, DDOR Process Improvement v3.docx
Importance: High

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Tuesday, Apr 11, 2017, 2:09 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR Mock-up & one-pager

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 2:25 PM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR Mock-up & one-pager

Matt/Phil –

Attached is the DDOR one-pager Homan cleared today, DDOR process improvements one-pager, DDOR process improvements matrix and – **newest doc** – the DDOR 4 mock-up. It is a clean copy with exception to the comment bubbles that call out the new language changed DDOR 3 and included DDOR 4 elaborating upon the revisions between the formats in the reports. Below is a link to DDOR 3 in case you'd like to do a side-by-side:

(b)(7)(E)

These should be all the read-aheads necessary for tomorrow's meeting. Please note that the mock-up has not been seen yet by the OD.

(b)(5) WIF Draft

1
LES//FOUO//DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5),WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 13 Apr 2017 17:46:39 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas;Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: Follow up from DDOR Meeting re: Engagement Plan
Attachments: DDOR and Detainer Engagement Plan - DRAFT 3.0 (clean).docx

This is way way over the top.

(b)(5)

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Thursday, Apr 13, 2017, 5:09 PM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins,
Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Follow up from DDOR Meeting re: Engagement Plan

All:

At the end of the meeting with the Secretary on Tuesday regarding the DDOR, COS (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) asked that OPE put together an engagement plan for consideration. Attached is a draft plan - I would like to provide this to the COS by 3pm tomorrow. Can you and/or your staffs review and provide thoughts/feedback by **2pm tomorrow**?

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft


FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY


FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 08:54:25 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

This one?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 10:59 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; Albence, Matthew; Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

(b)(5)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, April 7, 2017 10:47 AM
To: Albence, Matthew; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Could you please make sure (b)(6) is up to speed on everything NY as he will be on the calls with OGC and NYC? Thx

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 10:46 AM
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

FYSA. The first one!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 10:34 AM
To: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

FYI

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 10:32 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Heads up - Decker confirms an actual transfer from Rikers today – only because the case meets the NYC's own criteria.

Case specifics below if needed....

NRA

From: Decker, Thomas
Sent: Friday, April 7, 2017 10:29 AM
To: Asher, Nathalie R
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Nathalie, Here's the information on this case.

There was one additional case they released to us, a Cuban national on March 30, 2017. We lodged that detainer on March 29, 2017 – and based on them saying his previous conviction met their criteria – they honored our detainer. (case information to follow)

Name: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

The subject is an LPR (IR2) .

DOB – (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

COB – Saint Lucia

DOE – 07/24/2008

NTA – will be served today

The subject has four convictions, the two convictions in 2013 were as an juvenile, however he was charged/convicted as an adult. He was identified by CAP in DEC/2016 as he was in the City of NY custody pending the fraud & weapons charges (later convicted in 2017).

Based on the 2013 convictions the subject is an aggravated felon, legal sufficiency was obtained and an detainer was lodged on March 30, 2017 .

Convictions;

Larceny – 04/24/2013

Robbery – 04/24/2013

Fraud – 11/07/2014

Fraud – 01/13/2017

Weapons Offense – 02/14/2017

We were contacted on April 05, 2017 and advised that the subject met the City of New York criteria to honor our detainer and released to us today.

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 9:00 AM
To: Decker, Thomas
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

10/4 – what are the specifics of the case?

NRA

From: Decker, Thomas
Sent: Friday, April 7, 2017 8:57 AM
To: Asher, Nathalie R
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Thanks Nathalie,

Also, I was just informed that we do have one pick-up for today at Rikers.

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 09:01:13 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 6:51 PM
To: [REDACTED] Robbins, Timothy S;
[REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

We will work with the team and get it done. Thanks [REDACTED]

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Thursday, Apr 06, 2017, 6:48 PM
To: [REDACTED] Homan, Thomas [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Robbins, Timothy S [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

She raised this on a call on the initial rollout, too. I think they don't like the way their policy is described in section 3. She couldn't explain why it was incorrect.

It would be good to hear specifically what they think is incorrect about the summary.

[REDACTED]

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 6:44:05 PM
To: Homan, Thomas; [REDACTED] Robbins, Timothy S;
[REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Thanks Tom

[REDACTED]

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas

Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 6:38 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Certainly but NYC is extremely uncooperative. We will provide the information and work with OPLA and OGC staff to engage. They removed our officers from Rikers Island and will not honor detainers. I met with them personally last year in an effort to gain more cooperation. We will review asap.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Thursday, Apr 06, 2017, 6:31 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Could we make this a priority to understand the concern and correct the report as appropriate?
Could we do an initial reach out to NYC tomorrow and let Sen. Schumer know we are engaging?
Thanks

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 5:54 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan,
Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Hi everyone,

We received the email below from Senator Schumer's staff, asking DHS to talk to the attorneys for NYC. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5) Do y'all agree?

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 4:14:51 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I hope you're doing well. As I mentioned on one of the recent DHS briefing calls, the Department has incorrectly summarized New York City's ordinance and policies in the weekly ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Reports. I have copied attorneys with the city government. Could we please set up a time to discuss this? Given the fact that you all are releasing this report to the public on a weekly basis, we believe it is critical to get the policy right. I hope you agree.

Many thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Counsel

CHARLES E. SCHUMER

United States Senate Democratic Leader

Office: 202-224-(b)(6);

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 7 Apr 2017 09:05:57 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: need info on this

Tom: see below. (b)(5)
(b)(5) Just landed. Be there shortly.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Apr 07, 2017, 9:04 AM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: need info on this

These guys were in the clips last week, we ran this issue down when Homan last asked about issues with the DDOR last Friday:

Santa Barbara, CA (Appeared in 03/31/2017 ICE Clips) – No errors in Section I (detainers issued section) or Section III (public policy section). Location had 24 detainers issued during the week reported and no detainers declined.

This week's DDOR showed Santa Barbara as having 15 detainers issued.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 8:56 AM
To: Asher, Nathalie R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: need info on this

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Apr 07, 2017, 8:18 AM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: need info on this

SANTA BARBARA SHERIFF FRUSTRATED WITH ICE DETAINER REPORT.

The Santa Barbara (CA) Independent (4/6, 119K) reports Santa Barbara County Sheriff Bill Brown is frustrated with being included on ICE's detention report. Brown said, "It's frustrating. ... My frustration is not with the local ICE authorities. It's with the way this thing was rolled out." ICE spokesperson Virginia Kice "explained the report lists the declined detainers that ICE agents became aware of during that week in February." Kice

“acknowledged criticism of the rollout but said the reports have ‘succeeded in focusing attention on an issue we believe is a vital importance to public safety. ... It’s got people talking, and that’s important.”

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 21 Apr 2017 13:14:20 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: NYT

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 12:46 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T; Asher, Nathalie R; #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF
Subject: NYT

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/21/us/politics/sanctuary-city-justice-department.html?_r=0

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Acting Chief of Staff
Enforcement and Removal Operations
500 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20536
(202) 732-(b)(6)()

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 3 Apr 2017 13:41:56 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: S1 letter request
Attachments: DDOR Letter - Williamson (b)(6) (od 4.3.17).docx, DDOR Letter - Franklin (b)(6); (od 4.3.17).docx, DDOR Letter - Montgomery (b)(6) (od 4.3.17).docx

Sorry, I meant to include clean versions as well. Please see the attached for the clean versions.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 1:25 PM
To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

As requested...

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 8:22 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Sunday, April 02, 2017 9:58 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

This strike a good balance to me mind. When we last spoke, you were going to talk to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) about this idea? But I understand we owe the letter anyway.

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Sunday, Apr 02, 2017, 8:42 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

Dan:

Please see attached. Thanks.

Matt

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: Sunday, April 2, 2017 8:20 PM
To: Albence, Matthew
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

Hey,

Sorry but now Tom wants this ASAP. Attached are the first three for your clearance.

Phil

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Thursday, Mar 30, 2017, 12:00
To: Miller, Philip T
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

See attached, with edits in redlines. No apologies, just an admission of the data error.

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 12:38 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

Dan wants this reframed to be more affirmed and less "I'm sorry".

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Thursday, Mar 30, 2017, 11:57
To: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

Phil -

Any issues? These locations are differently situated, as you can see below.

Let me know if I can pass up to the OD.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Thursday, Mar 30, 2017, 11:55 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Smith, Tadgh A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C),
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

(b)(6) see attached. DHS has specific paper with the letterhead on it that they will print the letters on. They don't use electronic letterhead.

Let me know if you need anything else.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 11:39 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Smith, Tadgh A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

The letters appear fine for each.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 11:13 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Smith, Tadgh A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

(b)(6);(

See attached. I think each one of the jurisdictions we situated differently. Franklin was in section III and erroneously listed in section I, Montgomery was flat out erroneously listed in the DDOR, and Williamson

was listed erroneously but a detainee xfer occurred and the detainer was declined at the subsequent location.

Adding (b)(6); and Tadgh to confirm. Please put this in the correct template along with sheriff info/address as soon as feasible while (b)(6) and Tadgh confirm their status.

Thanks!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 10:57 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

I see this and am working on it.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 10:48 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

(b)(6)

(b)(6); is going to send us the content to the below letters. One change though, they will be signed out by S1. Need someone available to (please) format the content in appropriate letterhead so we can send to the front office quickly. Content is due to us by 1pm.

Thanks!

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 9:39 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; Albence, Matthew
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

An update. The letters may be signed out by AD1 and not S1. I don't think that will change the tone or content but wanted to keep everyone updated.

Thanks y'all,
Phil

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 29, 2017, 20:02

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

The counties mentions are correct. The below is for reference as we posted this language on the website under the "corrections" tab.

Due to a data processing error, the Jan. 28 - Feb 03, 2017 Declined Detainer Outcome Report incorrectly attributed issued detainers to Franklin County, New York and Montgomery County, Iowa that were in fact issued to agencies outside of the respective county's jurisdiction in similarly named locations. Please note, however, the corrections only apply to section I. The data processing error did not impact information on these jurisdictions in Section III. Additionally, detainers that appeared in as being declined by Williamson County, TX were cases that had detainers lodged in that county and where the individuals were subsequently transferred to Travis County, TX. The detainers were then declined by Travis County, TX. These declinations should have been attributed to Travis County, TX.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 29, 2017, 7:49 PM
To: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Price, Corey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

Thanks, sir!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(A) Deputy Principal Legal Advisor for Enforcement and Litigation
Office of the Principal Legal Advisor
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Desk: 202-732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Iphone: 202-500-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 29, 2017, 7:47 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

Yes, we posted corrections today.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 29, 2017, 19:38
To: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 letter request

I will get with Nathalie in the morning to understand how they were erroneously included. Also, with the next DDOR include an errata or something correcting the record?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(A) Deputy Principal Legal Advisor for Enforcement and Litigation
Office of the Principal Legal Advisor
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Desk: 202-732-(b)(6);
Iphone: 202-500-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 29, 2017, 7:18 PM
To: Asher, Nathalie R (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: S1 letter request

Nat & (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Can y'all draft the letters for S1? I believe we will need three: Montgomery County, IA; Franklin County, NY; and Williams County, TX. Please confirm locations with (b)(6); and have drafts NLT 1300 tomorrow.

Thanks,
Phil

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 7:16 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: S1 letter request

Good evening, gentlemen,

The Secretary has asked for a letter to be drafted from him to each sheriff/police chief whose jurisdiction was listed on the last DDOR in error. Just a simple apology, thanking for support, and statement about working together in the future. Can y'all have someone please draft such a letter for each and send my way sometime tomorrow? Nothing too labor intensive.

Thank you!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Page 227

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft: (b)(5)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 226

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft: (b)(5)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 229

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft: (b)(5)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 30 Apr 2017 10:45:03 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: S1 Mtg w Boston Mayor on Monday
Attachments: S1 Meeting with the Mayor of Boston.docx

Good morning Tom,

I hope yesterday's event went well. We plan to share the attached with OIA. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 7:18 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: FW: S1 Mtg w Boston Mayor on Monday

Good evening Dan,

S1 is meeting with the Mayor of Boston on Monday. DHS OIA asked us to put together a briefer on our engagement with the City. Would you mind reviewing?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 12:24 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: S1 Mtg w Boston Mayor on Monday

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) received Tim's out of office, can you help?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 12:21:51 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: S1 Mtg w Boston Mayor on Monday

Tim,

We just prebriefed S1 for his Boston trip Monday and did not have an engagement with the Mayor planned, however the Secretary requested we add a meeting with him on the schedule. We just locked that meeting in with the Mayor at 2:45 on Monday. given how late this is in scheduling, we are not going the Esec route for information- can you help and have ICE send us

pertinent information related to Boston regarding heir cooperation? Given the Mayor, we anticipate he will focus is questions to S1 on sanctuary jurisdictions, DDOR, etc.

(b)(6);(FYI- also anything else we should highlight for s1's materials in prep for this Monday meeting?

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)
(C)

**S1 MEETING WITH BOSTON MAYOR
MAY 1, 2017**

Objective:

- S1 will be meeting with the Martin J. Walsh, Mayor of the City of Boston, and has requested a brief overview on local ICE engagement.

Discussion Points:

❖ **Local Engagement**

- ICE Boston regularly collaborates with the Boston Police Department (BPD) and participates with state and local law enforcement in the planning, coordination, and execution of large-scale events such as the Boston Marathon and Sail Boston as well as criminal investigations related to transnational criminal organizations.
- Currently, there are two full time task force officers (TFO) from BPD assigned to the ICE Boston Strike Force and High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA), and several part-time TFOs working gangs and human trafficking.
- ICE Boston and Mayor Walsh recently participated in the repatriation of Italian artifacts at the Boston Library where Mayor Walsh made positive comments about the cooperation with the ICE.

❖ **Detainers**

- When law enforcement agencies fail to honor immigration detainers and fail to transfer serious criminal offenders to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), it undermines ICE's ability to protect public safety and carry out its mission.
 - When criminal aliens are released from local or state custody, they have the opportunity to reoffend.
 - It is much safer for all involved – the community, law enforcement, and the criminal alien – if ICE officers take custody in the controlled environment of a jail or another law enforcement agency.
 - For Fiscal Year 2017, as of April 22, 2017, the Boston AOR has:
 - Issued 1,009 detainers (it issued 691 during the same time period last year).
 - Conducted 1,399 arrests, including 932 criminals and 467 non-criminal immigration violators (there were 989 arrests during the same time period last year, including 837 criminals and 152 non-criminals immigration violators).
 - Removed 969 aliens, including 625 criminal and 344 non-criminal immigration violators (there were 781 removals during the same time period last year, including 551 criminals and 230 non-criminal immigration violators).

❖ **Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR)**

- The President's Executive Order on *Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States* requires weekly publication of a report listing locations that have ignored or otherwise failed to honor an immigration detainer or request for notification.
- Boston has been included in the Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR), as the Boston Trust Act of August 20, 2014 limits cooperation with immigration officials. Section 2 of the Act specifies that cooperation will be limited as follows:

- Except as provided in subsection (b), a law enforcement official shall not detain an individual on the basis of a civil immigration detainer request after that individual becomes eligible for release from custody.
- (b) Law enforcement officials may continue to detain an individual in response to a civil immigration detainer request for up to forty-eight (48) hours after that individual becomes eligible for release from custody, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays, if the individual meets any of the following criteria:
 - (1) ICE has a criminal warrant for the individual;
 - (2) The individual has ever been convicted of a violent crime as defined in Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 140, Section 121;
 - (3) In the past ten (10) years, the individual has been convicted of a felony as defined in Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 274, Section 1;
 - (4) The individual is a current registrant on the Massachusetts Sex Offender Registry;
 - (5) The individual is identified in the federal government's consolidated Terrorist Watchlist.

❖ **Sanctuary Cities**

- On February 25, 2017, Mayor Walsh publicly discussed *Boston's status as a sanctuary city in the Boston Globe*, "I'm not afraid of losing money, first of all because we have the Constitution on our side, and secondly, we're doing the right thing here in the city of Boston."

❖ **Sensitive Locations / Arrests at Courthouses**

- The ICE October 24, 2011 memorandum entitled, Enforcement Actions at or Focused on Sensitive Locations remains in effect.
- This memorandum is not intended to categorically prohibit lawful enforcement operations when there is an immediate need for such actions.
- Courthouses do not fall under ICE's policy concerning enforcement actions at or focused on sensitive locations.
 - ICE Boston received a letter from the Chief Justice of the Trial Court Paula Carey expressing concerns about immigration enforcement actions taken against victims who appear in court. ICE responded on March 22, 2017.
 - When arrests at or near courthouses are planned, ICE officers act as discreetly as possible, generally conducting the arrest off court property and in a manner so as not to draw attention. There are occasions, however, when arrests are made on court property.
 - ICE greatly values its cooperative relationship with all levels of the Massachusetts judiciary, including the Trial Court, and ICE is committed to the continued partnership. ICE will continue to be respectful of, and work closely with, the courts when carrying out its mission. Further, any necessary enforcement actions on court property will be taken in collaboration with court security staff.

Participants:

John Kelly, Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security
Martin J. Walsh, Mayor of the City of Boston

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 24 Feb 2017 10:51:52 -0500
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: Santa Cruz, CA

From ERO...

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:50 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Santa Cruz, CA

Good morning Tim. Here are a few bullets from SFR regarding Santa Cruz' past level of cooperation with ERO.

Regards,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

- Santa Cruz County did not participate in the Priority Enforcement Program and does not accept detainees.
 - However, the county will sometimes make notification to ICE and, at the county's discretion, allow ICE to pick up prior to release for specific cases
- ERO San Francisco has two officers assigned to the HSI task force in the Santa Cruz Area.
- HSI requested and we provided six additional ERO officers to assist during the day of this operation.
- HSI led and coordinated communications and correspondences during the operation.
- HSI determined who was arrested and processed all cases during the operation.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:48 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Santa Cruz, CA

Clear. Thanks!

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:22:25 AM
To: Albence, Matthew
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Santa Cruz, CA

Good morning Matt. SFR provided the following information regarding Santa Cruz' past level of cooperation as background for D1's 1100 phone call. Tim Robbins is asking for this.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

- Santa Cruz County did not participate in the Priority Enforcement Program and does not accept detainees.
 - However, the county will sometimes make notification to ICE and, at the county's discretion, allow ICE to pick up prior to release for specific cases
- ERO San Francisco has two officers assigned to the HSI task force in the Santa Cruz Area.
- HSI requested and we provided six additional ERO officers to assist during the day of this operation.
- HSI led and coordinated communications and correspondences during the operation.
- HSI determined who was arrested and processed all cases during the operation.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:14 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Wong, Ricardo; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Santa Cruz, CA

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please see the below:

- Santa Cruz County did not participate in the Priority Enforcement Program and does not accept detainees.
 - However, the county will sometimes make notification to ICE and, at the county's discretion, allow ICE to pick up prior to release for specific cases
- ERO San Francisco has two officers assigned to the HSI task force in the Santa Cruz Area.

- HSI requested and we provided six additional ERO officers to assist during the day of this operation.
- HSI led and coordinated communications and correspondences during the operation.
- HSI determined who was arrested and processed all cases during the operation.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Field Operations

Enforcement and Removal Operations

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Department of Homeland Security

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Email
SIPRnet
JWICS

Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:12 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Wong, Ricardo

Subject: RE: Santa Cruz, CA

10-4 , just understand that D1 has a call at 11 and they need it ahead of time. They're for comments reflecting past levels of cooperation with ERO.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:06 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Wong, Ricardo; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Santa Cruz, CA

We just heard back from ERO SFR, and they confirmed that they are still working on pulling something together on this. We do not have an ETA from ERO SFR at this time.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Field Operations

Enforcement and Removal Operations

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Department of Homeland Security

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Email
SIPRnet

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

JWICS

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:05 AM

To: Wong, Ricardo; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Santa Cruz, CA

Gentlemen, can I get an eta for this please.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 8:44 AM

To: Wong, Ricardo; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Santa Cruz, CA

Good, beautiful, Friday morning gentlemen. Please provide some bullets regarding ERO's relationship with Santa Cruz, CA LEAs and or jails. D1 has a phoncon at 1100 with the Chief and needs some back ground. The Chief is alleging ICE/ERO lied to them about aspects relating to a recent HSI gang operation which ERO may have participated in. is Santa Cruz a sanctuary city? Are they part of a county reflected on the DDOR?

I need this back by 10a if possible.

Regards,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

<http://ktla.com/2017/02/23/santa-cruz-police-chief-claims-ice-misled-agency-during-collaboration-in-recent-raids/>

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 13:39:04 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: URGENT: FW: S1BB - 04.11.17 - DDOR Meeting (Due: 04.10.17, 0900)
Attachments: S1-S2 Talking Points Template - October 2015.docx, S1-S2 BM Template - 2017.docx
Importance: High

We have been asked for briefing docs on tomorrow's DDOR meeting. Are you good with what Matt sent?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 11:03 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: FW: URGENT: FW: S1BB - 04.11.17 - DDOR Meeting (Due: 04.10.17, 0900)
Importance: High

Per discussion, anything to shake loose that DDOR on pager would be appreciated since it was tasked to us.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Apr 07, 2017, 3:31 PM
To: #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: ICE Exec Sec (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE
DD STAFF (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: URGENT: FW: S1BB - 04.11.17 - DDOR Meeting (Due: 04.10.17, 0900)

ERO / OPLA –

We just received the below task to provide briefing materials for the Tuesday meeting on the DDOR. Our materials are due back to DHS by 9am Monday. I'm hoping you may have known this meeting was coming and so it won't be a heavy lift to put the materials together. We're tasking this out in OESIMS right now, but we ask you to please expedite your materials/review.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(202) 732-(b)(6);
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 3:21 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) ICE Exec Sec
Cc: ESEC-BBIC; MGMTExecSec; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OGC Exec Sec; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) I&A Exec Sec; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Plcy Exec Sec
Subject: S1BB - 04.11.17 - DDOR Meeting (Due: 04.10.17, 0900)

All materials must be formatted to comply with the attached templates

Event Date	Tuesday, 01.09.17 (1400-1415)
Event Name	DDOR Meeting
Lead Component	ICE
Required Coordination	MGMT, OGC, I&A, PLCY
Product	Briefing Memo, Talking Points
Notes	<p><u>Details</u></p> <p>Attendees: Secretary</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 100px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; line-height: 100px;">(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)</div> <p>Tom Homan, ICE Tim Robbins, ICE</p> <p>Location: RRB, 1300 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Secretary's Conference Room (4.3D)</p> <p>Meeting Classification: Please include bullet in background section of briefing memorandum if the meeting or any of the briefing materials are classified. (i.e., "This meeting [or any of the briefing materials] are classified").</p> <p>Please note that all materials being shown to the Secretary must be passed through Exec Sec first. Please do not bring anything to the meeting ES has not seen (classified or unclassified) without prior approval. If a presentation is to be made, Lead Component is responsible for providing an appropriate number of handouts at the meeting. (15 if the meeting takes place in Rm. 5110D; 25 if in Rm. 5107.)</p>
Due	Monday, 04.10.17 (0900)

DHS Briefing Book and Interagency Coordination Standards and Procedures (including links to templates) are located on the DHS intranet at:
<http://dhsconnect.dhs.gov/ORG/COMP/ESEC/Pages/default.aspx>

NAME OF MEETING
Talking Points

- Talking points should be provided for all external meetings. This document should be “Attachment A” on your briefing memo
- Talking points should be in the third person. Though this document should be more detailed and specific than the “Discussion Points” section of a briefing memo, do not provide a script as if the Secretary will be reading word-for-word. (Example: “Thanks XXX for...” “Inform XXX that...”)
- Express thanks and other needed pleasantries with participants.
- Indicate conversational points S1 needs to make in advancement of goals indicated in the briefing memo
- Include appropriate responses to topics likely to arise from other participants

██████████ What are your views on CISA?

CORRECT: Ask for his/her views on the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act (CISA).

██████████ I am interested in your work with the EU.

CORRECT: Express interest in his/her work with the European Union (EU).

██████████ Our relationship with Country X is important.

CORRECT: Reinforce the importance of our relationship with Country X.

██████████ We are interested in expanding training.

CORRECT: Note DHS’s interest in expanding training.

NAME OF MEETING

Date of Meeting

NOTE: Briefing memo is a maximum of two pages, bulleted throughout, and in complete sentences. ESEC will edit all briefings to ensure compliance.

Objective:

- This is one or two bullets that clearly states why the S1/S2 is participating in this meeting/event. It should include what DHS's goals for the meeting and any asks of the meeting participant(s).

Event Demographics/Venue Information

(For remarks and large-scale external events, both open and closed press please omit this section for other meetings)

- Who, What, When, Where (Logistics – please use complete sentences).
- Describe the estimated size of the audience
- Describe the audience composition including the background and nature of attendees.
- Discuss the venue, including the size, a description of the room, and the layout
- Describe any unique variables – (e.g., CSPAN live; giant screen simulcast; webcast/live streaming, etc).
- Confirm open or closed press

Background: (Bullets)

- Expand on who S1/S2 is meeting with and any significant recent interaction with DHS.
- Summary of key information/issues to be raised (include the status of any related negotiations or ongoing legal proceedings). Issues should be clearly defined without pleasantries, nuances, or unnecessary verbosity. Please include issues that meeting participants are likely to raise with S1/S2.
 - Keep in mind that these materials are for the Department's most senior leadership—tell them what they need to know in as concise a paper as possible. Materials should be written at the Principal level.
 - Do not skirt tough issues.
 - Use bullets as above (solid circle, arrow, solid square, open circle).
- Please do not include background information S1 already has. Always use plain language and titles.

Discussion Points: (For all meetings)

- Express main overarching arguments and points that support achieving the overall goals of the meeting as identified in the Objective section.
- **Do not** provide a script as if Secretary will be reading word for word; rather use imperative sentences.

Participants: (Please identify all participants, DHS participants should be listed first, followed by external participants)

Secretary or Deputy

Name, Title, Organization

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Attachments: (Critical items only – no more than 5. Any attachments longer than 10 pages will not be included, instead please provide a one page executive summary)

A. Talking Points or Remarks (*talking points for external meetings; remarks for podium*)

B. Agenda or Additional Materials

C. Biographies (*Principals only; limit to one page each*)

NOTE: The order of attachments above is the preferred S1/S2 format

Staff Responsible for Briefing Memo: (Provide names of multiple staff members who can answer questions about the information contained in the memo) SME, Title, Phone Number; SME, Title, Phone Number.

Reviewed and approved by: Chief of Staff or higher, Title, Phone Number

OGC Reviewer: Attorney in Component Counsel or HQ, Title, Phone Number.

OGC coordination is essential. Please ensure that briefing materials have been fully coordinated with OGC. Lead components are now required to coordinate with their Component Counsel and identify in their OGC submission of briefing materials, who in their component counsel office reviewed and cleared.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 17 Mar 2017 18:12:17 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: Fwd: Proposed Executive Order Implementation Reporting Structure

Tom, this is what we spoke about the other day - terrific to see (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) is standing up a structure to help manage the EO implementation, and also dedicate more leadership to it (similar to what you did for the administration transition). I think that is much needed!

Have a great weekend,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Valerio, Tracey A" (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: March 17, 2017 at 5:58:02 PM EDT
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Proposed Executive Order Implementation Reporting Structure

FYSA

From: Valerio, Tracey A
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 5:57 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Edge,
Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #MASTAFF; #ICE DD STAFF
Subject: Proposed Executive Order Implementation Reporting Structure

All,

Below is a proposed structure to organize how we approach Executive Order implementation. The idea here is to establish a structure where we have clear accountability and roles, a management structure with regular meeting cadence, and an integrated plan of attack - so that we can proactively shape the Department's agenda (vs. reacting to tasks on an *ad hoc* basis) and ensure that we are working strategically and expeditiously to implement the President's instructions.

In addition, given the extremely rapid pace at which everything is moving, it is important that we have a structure to ensure that the leadership has full visibility and the staff has clear guidance on leadership vision as well as a clear path to leadership input on a fairly quick turnaround. There are a few key components, as set forth below. There will likely be many more areas that emerge for "working groups," which could easily be added to the structure as things develop. I would continue to be ultimately responsible for coordinating the overall EO implementation, but, as you see

below, would use the newly-arrived Acting Deputy EAD to serve as a functional lead on a daily basis. The names listed out below are just a first cut, any changes could be made to the team compositions, just wanted to give everyone something to chew on.

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5)

Please let me know your thoughts.

Thanks, have a great weekend.

Tracey

Tracey A. Valerio
Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
202.732 (b)(6) (o)
202.534 (b)(7) (c)

+=====+
====+
~~This email is confidential and may be privileged. If you have received it
in error, please notify us immediately and then delete it. Please do not
copy it, disclose its contents or use it for any purpose.~~
+=====+
====+

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: 30 Mar 2017 09:41:43 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Data for NSA

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

We had a good initial discussion. OPLA flagged a few legal concerns and Enforcement flagged a few training and oversight concerns. I don't think there are any show stoppers but it will be a whole of ERO effort to manage a large number of small sites -- in addition to the concerns raised above, including IGSA contracting, transportation services and supervisory/programmatic oversight.

The teams are putting together a CONOPS on our vision of how the program would work and what challenges we have to overcome. The CONOPS is due by COB Tues and we will reconvene next Wednesday. I will be in Austin for the SWB Sheriffs' Conference through Tuesday; which is why the next meeting is on Wednesday.

Please let me know if you have any specific questions.

Thanks,
Phil

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 29, 2017, 23:06
To: Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Data for NSA

How did the discussion of 287(g) lite go?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Miller, Philip T

Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 8:41 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Albence, Matthew

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Data for NSA

Thanks (b)(6); Those are the get backs I have. Later today, ERO and OPLA are meeting to discuss the first two items, along with 287(g) lite. I hope to have the two lists by early next week.

I spoke with the FODs yesterday regarding communicating with their Sheriffs. All stated they are talking with their sheriffs regarding the DDOR and the upcoming I-247A. We are reconfirming this morning that jurisdictions listed in Section II (specific) cases are aware that they will appear on the report. Additionally, we identified 8 jurisdictions in Iowa that were previously listed in Section III as having a policy or statement of non-cooperation, who now honor detainers and work with ICE. We confirmed with FOD St. Paul that those jurisdictions do cooperate with ICE and their previous policies are no longer in place.

Phil

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 10:10 PM

To: Miller, Philip T

Cc: Homan, Thomas; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Data for NSA

Hey y'all,

Phil did a great job today with the NSA. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) had a few requests:

- The top 100 jurisdictions for possible 287(g) engagements.
- The top 100 jails we don't have access to.
- Confirmation that we've fixed any errors in the DDOR.

How quickly do you think we can provide responsive information? Phil, are you tracking anything differently?

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 24 Mar 2017 21:09:52 -0400
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Now that the report isn't going out until Wednesday, Monday morning should be fine.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 24, 2017, 9:07 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

I can't download this one either. When is it due to DHS?

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:59:34 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Reattaching as pdf in case you can review. We received confirmation that DHS is good with us moving future releases of the DDOR to Wednesdays.

Substantive changes are in the Summary -

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:46 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

The attachment won't open unfortunately. What changed from the first edition?

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:33:08 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

Please let me know if you have any suggested edits or is this cleared for DHS review.
Thx

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 24, 2017, 5:59 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Attached

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:56 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Stand by...we saw an additional edit. Updated version forthcoming

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; 'Homan, Thomas'
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR - Revised Language

Please see the attached DDOR report with suggested edits for your review/clearance

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Thanks, Matt. Looks good overall. I made a few suggested edits.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 9:22 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR - Revised Language

All:

Per yesterday's discussion, please take a look at the edits I made. Thanks!

Matt

(202) 732 (b)(6)(o)
(202) 271 (b)(7)(c)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 24 Mar 2017 21:07:30 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S;Homan, Thomas
Cc:
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Langaue

I can't download this one either. When is it due to DHS?

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:59:34 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Langaue

Reattaching as pdf in case you can review. We received confirmation that DHS is good with us moving future releases of the DDOR to Wednesdays.

Substantive changes are in the Summary -

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:46 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

The attachment won't open unfortunately. What changed from the first edition?

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:33:08 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Please let me know if you have any suggested edits or is this cleared for DHS review.
Thx

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 24, 2017, 5:59 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Attached

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:56 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languague

Stand by...we saw an additional edit. Updated version forthcoming

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; 'Homan, Thomas'
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR - Revised Languague

Please see the attached DDOR report with suggested edits for your review/clearance

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languague

Thanks, Matt. Looks good overall. I made a few suggested edits.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 9:22 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR - Revised Languague

All:

Per yesterday's discussion, please take a look at the edits I made. Thanks!

Matt

(202) 732 (b)(6) (o)
(202) 271 (b)(7) (c)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 24 Mar 2017 21:00:38 -0400
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H;Robbins, Timothy S;Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Langaue

Disregard text in email body...didn't format correctly.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:59 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Langaue

Reattaching as pdf in case you can review. We received confirmation that DHS is good with us moving future releases of the DDOR to Wednesdays.

Substantive changes are in the Summary -

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:46 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

The attachment won't open unfortunately. What changed from the first edition?

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:33:08 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Please let me know if you have any suggested edits or is this cleared for DHS review. Thx

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 24, 2017, 5:59 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Attached

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:56 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Stand by...we saw an additional edit. Updated version forthcoming

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:54 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; 'Homan, Thomas'

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: DDOR - Revised Language

Please see the attached DDOR report with suggested edits for your review/clearance

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:00 PM

To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Thanks, Matt. Looks good overall. I made a few suggested edits.

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 9:22 AM

To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR - Revised Language

All:

Per yesterday's discussion, please take a look at the edits I made. Thanks!

Matt

(202) 732- (b)(6) (o)

(202) 271- (b)(7)(C) (c)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 24 Mar 2017 20:59:34 -0400
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H;Robbins, Timothy S;Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge
Attachments: DDOR 2-04 to 2-10_DRAFT_v5_pdf.pdf

Reattaching as pdf in case you can review. We received confirmation that DHS is good with us moving future releases of the DDOR to Wednesdays.

Substantive changes are in the Summary -

(b)(5)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:46 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

The attachment won't open unfortunately. What changed from the first edition?

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 8:33:08 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Please let me know if you have any suggested edits or is this cleared for DHS review. Thx

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 24, 2017, 5:59 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Attached

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:56 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Stand by...we saw an additional edit. Updated version forthcoming

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; 'Homan, Thomas'
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR - Revised Language

Please see the attached DDOR report with suggested edits for your review/clearance

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:00 PM

To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Thanks, Matt. Looks good overall. I made a few suggested edits.

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 9:22 AM

To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR - Revised Language

All:

Per yesterday's discussion, please take a look at the edits I made. Thanks!

Matt

(202) 732-(b)(6)(o)

(202) 271-(b)(6)(c)

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

PART 1
(b)(5) WIF Draft

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO


(b)(5)



DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO


DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft



DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft


DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft



DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5),WIF Draft



DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO


(b)(5);WIF Draft

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft


DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft



DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5)WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft



DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5) WIF Draft



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 24 Mar 2017 17:59:55 -0400
To: Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language
Attachments: DDOR 2-04 to 2-10_DRAFT_v5_notableDTC(MA) (b) edits (b)(7)(C).docx

Attached

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:56 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Stand by...we saw an additional edit. Updated version forthcoming

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; 'Homan, Thomas'
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR - Revised Language

Please see the attached DDOR report with suggested edits for your review/clearance

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Language

Thanks, Matt. Looks good overall. I made a few suggested edits.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 9:22 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR - Revised Language

All:

Per yesterday's discussion, please take a look at the edits I made. Thanks!

Matt
(202) 732 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (o)
(202) 271 (b)(7)(C) (c)

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5) WIF Draft

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5),WIF Draft

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5),WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5) WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5):WIF Draft

DRAFT / DELIBERATIVE / FOUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5):WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5) WIF Draft



From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 28 Mar 2017 13:08:24 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; Miller, Philip T
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

I think he may be in the air. + Phil

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:59 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

I think it's fine. Matt?

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:52:17 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

How's this?

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:42 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

(b)(5)

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:25:36 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Langaue

Tom:

I understand you may have talked to (b)(6) about the intent of the footnote?

(b)(5)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 9:44 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Langaue

(b)(5)

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 9:35:35 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Langaue

Dan:

What are you looking for in Footnote 3? I was thinking it was broad enough to cover the (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) type issues. Thanks.

Matt

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 11:10 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

This looks OK to me but after talking to Tim footnote 3 may not be clear enough to cover all the people that ultimately came into our custody.

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:54 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR - Revised Languauge

Please see the attached DDOR report with suggested edits for your review/clearance

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:00 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Revised Languauge

Thanks, Matt. Looks good overall. I made a few suggested edits.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 9:22 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR - Revised Languauge

All:

Per yesterday's discussion, please take a look at the edits I made. Thanks!

Matt

(202) 732 (b)(6);(o)
(202) 271 (b)(7)(C)
(c)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 28 Mar 2017 22:05:08 -0400
To: Albence, Matthew;Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: DDOR

Tom is good

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Tuesday, Mar 28, 2017, 7:02 PM
To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR

Referencing my text/VM, we made edits to the DDOR in Section 3. There were 11 jurisdictions that were on the first report as a result of an ACLU article that listed them. Based on feedback we had received from this counties, congress, and the FOD, we have determined that 8 of them do fully cooperate and hold for 48, so we are going to take them off the list.

Dan is cool with this but wanted to make sure you were aware and concurred.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 15 Apr 2017 20:34:22 -0400
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H;Homan, Thomas
Cc: Miller, Philip T;Robbins, Timothy S;#ICE DD STAFF
Subject: RE: DDOR 4

10-4. We'll run the mockup w/just 274A data as we discussed.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Date: Saturday, Apr 15, 2017, 8:32 PM
To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Miller, Philip T
(b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6):(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD
STAFF (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 4

10-4. Matt, can ERO get in a clean version for sharing with DHS? Thx.

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Date: Saturday, Apr 15, 2017, 8:12 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Miller, Philip T
(b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6):(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD
STAFF (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 4

I think this looks good. Sorry for the delay. Jus got home a bit ago so I could review

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Date: Saturday, Apr 15, 2017, 12:58 PM
To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Miller, Philip T
(b)(6):(b)(7)(C), Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6):(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD
STAFF (b)(6):(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 4

Tom:

Reviewed the attached and agree with Matt that it makes sense to look at this going forward from 4/2 for the reasons noted below. Thus, I've recast this assuming we can

(b)(5)

Before ERO works on my edits, I think you should take a look at these ideas to see what if anything is a non-starter so we don't waste time.

You can change the view to "clean" to avoid the redlines.

Thanks.

Dan

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Saturday, Apr 15, 2017, 12:02 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Dan Ragsdale
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 4

10-4.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Saturday, Apr 15, 2017, 9:56 AM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Dan Ragsdale
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR 4

Will review. Stand by.

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Saturday, Apr 15, 2017, 9:21 AM
To: Dan Ragsdale (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR 4

Dan:

Please see the first mockup of the revised DDOR. As was discussed in the meeting, we indicated we would flag potential issues with the report, and offer options to avoid them.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

I'm driving for the next 6-7 hours, so will check emails when I stop. If you have questions and need a need quick response, calling is a better option. Thanks!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 5:54 PM

To: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T

Subject: DDOR 4

Matt –

Per request, see attached. Please note that deleting repeated comments of DHS_FP2, 3 and 4 delete these comments in their entirety.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Deputy Chief of Staff (A)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Enforcement and Removal Operations

Desk: 202-732-(b)(6)

Cell: 202-486-(b)(6)

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Friday, Apr 14, 2017, 5:53 PM

To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR 4

Matt –

Per request, see attached. Please note that deleting repeated comments of DHS_FP2, 3 and 4 delete these comments in their entirety.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Deputy Chief of Staff (A)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Enforcement and Removal Operations

Desk: 202-732-(b)(6)

Cell: 202-486-(b)(6);

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 9 Apr 2017 17:30:57 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: DDOR Revision Paper
Attachments: DDOR - Proposed Revisions 4-8-17 (MA update).docx

Sorry

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Sunday, April 9, 2017 4:20 PM
To: Albence, Matthew
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: DDOR Revision Paper

Nothing attached

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Saturday, April 8, 2017 5:25 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: DDOR Revision Paper

Tom:

As requested. Dan has reviewed and I made the requisite edits. Please let me know if any issues/concerns. Thanks.

Matt

(b)(5);WIF Draft

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 09:42:31 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: Albence, Matthew
Subject: RE: DDOR Rollout - Final Materials
Attachments: 03-20-17 DDOR broadcast V3 (b)(6)(b)(7)(C).docx

Latest with Matt's edits. Copying Matt.

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 8:29 AM
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: DDOR Rollout - Final Materials

It is imbedded in the rollout plan attached.

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 8:24 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: DDOR Rollout - Final Materials

Where is my draft message?

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 8:09 AM
To: Homan, Thomas; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: FW: DDOR Rollout - Final Materials

FYI...final roll out plans for today

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 8:22 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR Rollout - Final Materials

Thanks, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

All—

Attached please find the final rollout plan and final report for tomorrow's rollout. OPA will send final materials first thing tomorrow morning.

You should have just received a slew of calendar invitations from me for all of tomorrow's phone calls. Please plan to dial in to the 0730 sync call.

For the other calls throughout the day, please note** Unless you are the briefer (office lead) or part of our SME team, you are not required to be on the phone. The invitations were for visibility only. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding call participation.

Thank you so much for your assistance throughout the past week and this weekend; feel free to call or email with any questions!

Best,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Office: (202) 447-(b)(6)

Mobile: (202) 264-(b)(7)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 7:58 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Just talked to COS and you are good to go.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 6:44:34 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) – once we receive COS clearance, I will send final materials to the group. I will also send calendar invitations for each of tomorrow's calls. Standing by.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 5:52 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

I haven't heard back from COS, yet, but we should be good for tomorrow as planned. Will confirm shortly.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 5:17:02 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6)

Please find attached. Note that I thought we were awaiting final clearance from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). If this is cleared, please let us know. ICE OPA needs to know when this is final so that they can update the beta webpage for tomorrow's roll out.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 5:03 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Will send shortly.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 5:01:53 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

ICE – can you please provide me with the final version of the DDOR report, ready for release tomorrow?
(Removing draft markings, PDF, etc)

Thank you.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 8:49 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Attached please find the final version of the rollout plan and related materials (Version 14). Cleared by
OGC, PLCY, OPE, OLA, OPA, and Gene.

Would like to re-flag (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) concern from last night, however, regarding S1's meeting with the NYPD Commissioner, at the same time as the public release of the documents wherein one of three examples is from NYC.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 10:43 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 10:07:10 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

All—thank you so much for your quick responses and edits. Version 13, attached, incorporates final edits from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OLA, OPE, and PLCY.

DHS and ICE OPA – anything further? Please provide clearance or edits. Thank you!

Finally, OLA raised the following question. I don't think we need to have an answer written out in publicly available Q&A, but think our SMEs should address this on the various calls.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thank you,

(b)(6);
(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 9:41 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Edits to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) version are attached. Thanks.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 7:24 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Some additional edits from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and from me on top of the version (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) just sent. There are some typos and minor (but necessary) clean up items.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 4:47 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(5)

For version control purposes, I've attached the version of the document that we reviewed. It's the one (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) circulated at 3:08pm today.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security
Desk: 202-282-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: 202-657-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 4:30:34 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you! OGC is reviewing once more.

Once I have received that version and adjudicated edits, OPE OLA and OPA should review once more this evening to ensure the public documents are comprehensive and compete.

Pls let me know if anyone has questions. Thank you all very much for your assistance throughout this process.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Mobile: +1 (202) 264-(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 3:08:05 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(

Please see attached.

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Acting Chief of Staff
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Enforcement and Removal Operations
Desk: 202-732-(b)(6);
Cell: 202-486-(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 11:41 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Good morning – (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) apologies for the delay, but we need some additional information for the Q&A before it can be finalized.

ICE and OGC: please see the document attached. Need to address the three questions indicated with highlighting/comments from OGC and (b)(6) in the report.

Finally, OPA and others feel strongly that we need to answer the two questions that follow, as we will receive them from the media and stakeholders. **Who can provide a draft response?**

(b)(5)

Please provide final edits/updates/answers by email or word doc 12:45 if at all possible so I can finalize the material for AS2/COS review.

Thank you,

(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 9:40 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I have accepted (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits in the attached and finalized the document. Please let us know once it is cleared. Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 9:19 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OPA has now received the final round of edits to the rollout plan and public materials; I will adjudicate and send you updated/final documents first thing in the morning.

ICE is handling the final version of the report itself.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Mobile: +1 (202) 264-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 8:44:17 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks, everyone! Can I get the final versions of the report and roll out materials to share with AS2 and COS for final approval?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 6:45:47 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

And a few suggested edits from me, too. Thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 6:09 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

From OGC. Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Homeland Security

Desk: (202) 282 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (202) 657 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 10:44 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Good morning – OPA has made some updates to the press products, in the version attached. I have inputted (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits as well as (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on top of this version.

OGC and (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) if you could please review this version too. Additionally, there are 2 new questions that need answers.

Please let me know if you have any questions!

Best,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 7:58 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Sorry, I realize the first item below isn't very clear. At the top, for whatever week we are using to refer to jurisdictions that don't honor our detainers (Cook County, etc.), (b)(5)

(b)(5)

So an American citizen sitting at home can open the report, see the total number of detainers issued in a week, detainers issued to jurisdictions that don't cooperate, the confirmed declined detainer list, and the list of all jurisdictions that don't honor detainers. A snapshot, in essence.

Thanks again!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 7:51:33 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thank you for everyone's input. We will work to adjudicate the comments this morning.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 10:32:07 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks for all of the hard work on this. I have a few questions:

(b)(5)

Thanks again!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 6:24:27 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Fine with the first doc, some edits to the second. Working off of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) edits.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:25 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi, all. I just wanted to check in on whether OGC, PLCY and (b)(6) have had a chance to review and provide their feedback on the report and the rollout. Attached are a few thoughts from me.

I know ICE will need to have everyone's thoughts on this in advance of the meeting tomorrow so if you haven't sent your feedback, yet, we will need you to as soon as possible.

Thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 9:20 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Two recommended edits in track changes and summarized below:

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 6:30 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi all,

Please find attached the revised DDOR for your review and consideration. Note that Sections I and II are new and added per our discussion yesterday afternoon. Feel free to contact us if we may provide any additional information.

(b)(6);(b)(7)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 5:44 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

All,

Confirming that the rollout is now scheduled for Monday. However, the Acting Deputy and COS will want to see the latest report and the updated rollout materials tomorrow morning. We

cannot push this out again, so we are anxious to have leadership review in case there is a need for additional tweaks.

Thank you!!! I know everyone is scrambling on multiple issues.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:58:00 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

We will have the revised DDOR by COB today. (b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:39 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) who is helping to coordinate this for OGC.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:37 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) - the additional press materials (Fact Sheet and Press Release) are coming to me shortly from ICE OPA, so that (b)(6) and OGC can clear them concurrently with the Q&A.

From the OPA perspective, we are still tracking the rollout plan as discussed in yesterday's meeting. We are standing by to schedule the phone calls.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:29 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks so much!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:24:44 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A;

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I just sent you and DHS OPA ICE's response to the additional questions for the roll out. I will get back to you shortly with an ETA for the revised DDOR.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:16:40 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR

Hi everyone. Thanks again for the good discussion yesterday. I wanted to check in on where things are with the updated DDOR and rollout plan. If you could provide an ETA, that would be terrific.

Thank you!

A Message from ICE Acting Director Thomas D. Homan

To all ICE employees

March 20, 2017

Declined Detainer Outcome Report

(b)(5)

Thank you for your unwavering commitment to our mission and your dedication to protect our nation .

Thomas D. Homan
Acting Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 17 Apr 2017 10:56:18 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas;Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) please see below. Thanks.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 17, 2017, 7:29 AM
To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR

Hey y'all,

Hope all is well. Can you send me a clean, simple list of the 7-8 specific problems we had on the DDOR?

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 17, 2017, 9:35 AM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Homan, Thomas
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 9:06:59 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: DDOR

10-4. We'll get it over to you asap.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 17, 2017, 7:29 AM
To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR

Hey y'all,

Hope all is well. Can you send me a clean, simple list of the 7-8 specific problems we had on the DDOR?

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 14 Apr 2017 17:55:12 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Follow up from DDOR Meeting re: Engagement Plan
Attachments: DDOR and Detainer Engagement Plan - DRAFT 3.0 (clean)(MA).docx

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

Thanks.

Matt

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 4:02 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Follow up from DDOR Meeting re: Engagement Plan

Thanks - I have to get something to the COS by 5pm so if you can get your edits to me by then that would be great, otherwise I'll move forward the draft for her initial review and then we can add in the ICE information after.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 2:27 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Follow up from DDOR Meeting re: Engagement Plan

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

We will get back with you, as we do have some input to provide, by Tom, Tim, and I have all been on the road the past couple of days and have only recently just gotten back into the office. Thanks!

Matt

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 5:09 PM

To: Albence, Matthew; Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Follow up from DDOR Meeting re: Engagement Plan

All:

At the end of the meeting with the Secretary on Tuesday regarding the DDOR, COS (b)(6);(C) asked that OPE put together an engagement plan for consideration. Attached is a draft plan - I would like to provide this to the COS by 3pm tomorrow. Can you and/or your staffs review and provide thoughts/feedback by **2pm tomorrow?**

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5)WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

5


FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5);WIF Draft



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 6 Apr 2017 22:48:16 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

She raised this on a call on the initial rollout, too. I think they don't like the way their policy is described in section 3. She couldn't explain why it was incorrect.

It would be good to hear specifically what they think is incorrect about the summary.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 6:44:05 PM
To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins,
Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Thanks Tom

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 6:38 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Certainly but NYC is extremely uncooperative. We will provide the information and work with OPLA and OGC staff to engage. They removed our officers from Rikers Island and will not honor detainees. I met with them personally last year in an effort to gain more cooperation. We will review asap.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Thursday, Apr 06, 2017, 6:31 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Could we make this a priority to understand the concern and correct the report as appropriate?
Could we do an initial reach out to NYC tomorrow and let Sen. Schumer know we are engaging?
Thanks

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 6, 2017 5:54 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Hi everyone,

We received the email below from Senator Schumer's staff, asking DHS to talk to the attorneys for NYC. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5) Do y'all agree?

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 4:14:51 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I hope you're doing well. As I mentioned on one of the recent DIIS briefing calls, the Department has incorrectly summarized New York City's ordinance and policies in the weekly ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Reports. I have copied attorneys with the city government. Could we please set up a time to discuss this? Given the fact that you all are releasing this report to the public on a weekly basis, we believe it is critical to get the policy right. I hope you agree.

Many thanks.

(b)(6);(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Counsel

CHARLES E. SCHUMER

United States Senate Democratic Leader

Office: 202-224 (b)(6);(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 14:58:41 +0000
To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

spoke with NYC about their request to our office and the request that came in via Schumer's office - they said the two requests are separate but tied together. Let them know I'd follow up with them tomorrow afternoon, I figure by that point we'll have more direction from S1 on where we are going and then can set something up if necessary to address both asks.

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 9:01 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Looks like OPE had some dialogue with them. See below

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 10:59 AM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; Albence, Matthew; Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 9 Mar 2017 19:41:43 -0500
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: Meeting at the WH Tomorrow Morning at 9:30
Attachments: AS2 HSAC TPs 3.6.17 v.1.7_red line.docx, ICE 287g Update 3.7.2017.docx

Hi (b)(6)

I'm also including background information related to pertinent EO efforts for general awareness and potential reference.

(b)(5)

Thanks.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 7:07 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Meeting at the WH Tomorrow Morning at 9:30
Importance: High

(b)(6) for Tom's 9:30 tomorrow.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 6:58 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Meeting at the WH Tomorrow Morning at 9:30
Importance: High

Tim,

Per your request, please see below draft talking points for D1's consideration. Also, attached are documents related to the DDOR, including (1) DDOR Mock-Up; (2) DDOR Roll Out Plan; and (3) DDOR Data Matrix. Hope this helps.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 6:20 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Meeting at the WH Tomorrow Morning at 9:30
Importance: High

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 2:28 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Meeting at the WH Tomorrow Morning at 9:30

Importance: High

Good afternoon, everyone,

The White House Domestic Policy Council will be hosting a meeting between us and DOJ tomorrow morning at 9:30. I have been told that the meeting will primarily focus on the following issues:

- (1) Plan for cutting funding for sanctuary cities,
- (2) Plan for the immigration-judge surge, and
- (3) Status of (and data due in) the two reports described below.

A. Executive Order: Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States

Jan. 25, 2017

Sec. 9 (b) To better inform the public regarding the public safety threats associated with sanctuary jurisdictions, the Secretary [of Homeland Security] shall utilize the Declined Detainer Outcome Report or its equivalent and, on a **weekly** basis, make public a **comprehensive list of criminal actions committed by aliens and any jurisdiction that ignored or otherwise failed to honor any detainers with respect to such aliens.**

B. Executive Order: Border Security and Immigration Enforcement Improvements

January 25, 2017

Sec. 14. Government Transparency. The Secretary shall, on a **monthly** basis and in a publicly available way, report **statistical data on aliens apprehended at or near the southern border using a uniform method of reporting by all Department of Homeland Security components**, in a format that is easily understandable by the public.

While we have many equities here, I'd like to keep our footprint t at a manageable size. Also, many apologies for the short fuse on this. I think we should have the following in attendance:

- Me
- (b)(6);(b)(7) or his designee (OGC)
- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) or her designee (Policy)
- Tom Homan or his designee (ICE FO)
- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (ICE OPLA)
- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) designee (he's on travel) (CBP FO)
- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) designee (he's on travel) (DHS OPA)

So we'd have 8 from DHS in attendance. DOJ, including EOIR, will be there, too.

I don't have an admin or the bandwidth to coordinate logistics, but could you all please coordinate within each of your respective offices and let me know who can attend?

Please have them fill out this link to get into the White House EEOB:

(b)(7)(E)

I'll send a calendar invite to the people who confirm attendance from each office.


Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft





U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

(b)(5),WIF Draft



**U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement**

(b)(5);WIF Draft

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 18:39:22 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Agree, we will start working on some questions and answers similar to what (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) suggested so we are prepared to answer if asked. Also, we will incorporate your edits into the below.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 6:22 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

I think (b)(6) raises some questions in a separate chain we should be prepared to answer.

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 5:52:31 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Take two...

Statement:

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 5:34 PM

To: Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Robbins, Timothy S

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 5:28:04 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

This is what ICE is suggesting:

Statement

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:35 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

ICE OPA is working on something right now

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 3:22 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(5)

Can we put together a short statement explaining what we're doing, and why, and get that to the Hill and stakeholders soonest, just before we provide to media (and before stories begin to appear without our input)?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6) connected w NSA they're aware of the media inquiries and that we will need to respond, if we put out a statement we'd like to flag that for them as well before it goes.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:08:34 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Tom

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and I spoke last Thursday after I spoke to you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) or (b)(7)(C) - can you pls reach out?

From: Homan, Thomas

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:06:12 PM

To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Can we hold this just momentarily? It would help if we could notify the NSA first. It will build upon our partnership rather than reading about it in the press. Please advise when we can notify NSA of the pause.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 2:43 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Adding Tom. (b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:24 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Tom- thoughts?

Our meeting with S1 is tomorrow.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Reports suspended?

Heads up. First query received on this (so far).

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 12:03:10 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Reports suspended?

Hi there, hope you had a good weekend. Sorry to bug. I hear that you guys have officially suspended issuance of the declined detainee reports? Anything you want me to include in coverage of the decision?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 21:38:40 +0000
To: Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(5)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 5:28 PM
To: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

This is what ICE is suggesting:

Statement

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:35 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

ICE OPA is working on something right now

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 3:22 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:17 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6) connected w NSA they're aware of the media inquiries and that we will need to respond, if we put out a statement we'd like to flag that for them as well before it goes.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:08:34 PM
To: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Tom
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and I spoke last Thursday after I spoke to you.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) or (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) - can you pls reach out?

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:06:12 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Can we hold this just momentarily? It would help if we could notify the NSA first. It will build upon our partnership rather than reading about it in the press. Please advise when we can notify NSA of the pause.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S <(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)>

Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 2:43 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Adding Tom. (b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:24 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Tom- thoughts?

Our meeting with S1 is tomorrow.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Reports suspended?

Heads up. First query received on this (so far).

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 12:03:10 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Reports suspended?

Hi there, hope you had a good weekend. Sorry to bug. I hear that you guys have officially suspended issuance of the declined detainee reports? Anything you want me to include in coverage of the decision?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(C)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 17:28:04 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

This is what ICE is suggesting:

Statement

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:35 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

ICE OPA is working on something right now

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 3:22 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan,
Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:17 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6) connected w NSA they're aware of the media inquiries and that we will need to respond, if we put out a statement we'd like to flag that for them as well before it goes.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:08:34 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Tom

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and I spoke last Thursday after I spoke to you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) or (b)(6) can you pls reach out?

From: Homan, Thomas

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:06:12 PM

To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Can we hold this just momentarily? It would help if we could notify the NSA first. It will build upon our partnership rather than reading about it in the press. Please advise when we can notify NSA of the pause.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 2:43 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Adding Tom. (b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:24 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Tom- thoughts?

Our meeting with S1 is tomorrow.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Reports suspended?

Heads up. First query received on this (so far).

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 12:03:10 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Reports suspended?

Hi there, hope you had a good weekend. Sorry to bug. I hear that you guys have officially suspended issuance of the declined detainee reports? Anything you want me to include in coverage of the decision?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 23:43:18 +0000
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

The NY Times has now published its story on the suspension of the DDOR, without comment from DHS/ICE (note references to ICE apologies for errors, according to local officials).

Trump Administration Halts Reports on Immigration Cooperation

WASHINGTON — The Trump administration will temporarily stop publication of a weekly report spotlighting cities and counties that fail to cooperate with federal immigration officials, people briefed on the change said, after several jurisdictions questioned the accuracy of the data.

The report, which is required by an executive order signed by President Trump in January, shows localities that decline requests from Immigration and Customs Enforcement officials to hold undocumented immigrants so they can be picked up later for deportation.

ICE officials say the lack of cooperation endangers Americans, but cities and counties named in the reports say they are an attempt to force them to collaborate with the immigration authorities.

Officials at ICE and the Department of Homeland Security did not immediately comment on the decision to suspend the report.

The first report, released last month, was intended to provide a complete tally of cities and counties that had declined requests from ICE. But it contained misleading information that prompted confusion and defiance among law enforcement officials from the jurisdictions named.

For example, Nisha Agarwal, commissioner of the New York City Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, said the ICE report incorrectly listed the city as being uncooperative even though it does assist the immigration agency.

Ms. Agarwal said the city responded to ICE requests to detain people who had committed a violent crime or who were on a terrorism watch list. It does not respond to requests for people who have been convicted of minor crimes or have had charges against them dropped, she said.

One of the nation's largest counties — Nassau County, N.Y., on Long Island — was also listed as noncooperative in the first report. But the Nassau County Sheriff's Department said it was one of the first localities in the nation to agree to accept administrative warrants from the agency.

Officials there said ICE had apologized for including the county in its initial report.

ICE was also forced to apologize to Franklin County, Pa., for including it on a list of the top 10 noncompliant jurisdictions. The county said it had been erroneously placed on the list even though it provides reports on prisoners to ICE and grants the agency access to inmates for interviews.

Stephen Ritchey, assistant director at ICE's office in York, Pa., wrote, "On a personal note, I would like to apologize for the unwarranted attention this issue has placed on you and your staff."

In Minnesota, the Hennepin County Sheriff's Office called the report "incorrect in many ways" and also demanded an apology from ICE officials.

Sheriff Richard Stanek of Hennepin County said his office had cooperated with requests from ICE and notified immigration officials when two undocumented immigrants wanted by the federal authorities were going to be released. He said both inmates were transferred into ICE custody when they were released from jail.

During a news conference last month, Mr. Stanek displayed time-stamped pictures from his jail showing the two inmates being picked up by deportation agents the day they were released.

Jon Collins, a spokesman for the Hennepin County Sheriff's Office, said ICE had not issued a correction or an apology for its description of the county's cooperation.

Disagreements over whether ICE has the authority to force local law enforcement officials to hold inmates suspected of being undocumented immigrants for the federal authorities has been a point of contention for years.

ICE issues a so-called detainer to ask a city or a county to hold a person in custody after charges have been dismissed in a criminal case, or after the accused has posted bail or completed a sentence. The detainer gives the agency up to 48 hours to look into the person's immigration status and, where appropriate, begin deportation procedures.

But federal judges in Illinois, Oregon and Pennsylvania have ruled that accepting detainers without judicial warrants is unconstitutional and that counties could be held liable. A similar case on the lawfulness of detainers is pending before the Massachusetts Supreme Court.

Officials at the Department of Homeland Security said that they did not think the agency needed a warrant to ask local jurisdictions to hold immigrants believed to be undocumented, and that they considered the detainers legally sufficient.

The weekly reports are part of Mr. Trump's get-tough policies on illegal immigration. He has pledged to find, arrest and deport people who are in the country illegally, regardless of whether they have committed serious crimes; to build a wall on the border with Mexico; and to hire 15,000 new Border Patrol and ICE agents.

Mr. Trump has also directed the Department of Homeland Security to publicize crimes by undocumented immigrants, strip such immigrants of privacy protections, enlist local police officers as enforcers, build new detention facilities, discourage asylum seekers and, ultimately, speed up deportations.

From: Robbins, Timothy S

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 5:53 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Take two...

Statement:

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 5:34 PM

To: Robbins, Timothy S; Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Counselor to the Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

From: Robbins, Timothy S

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 5:28:04 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

This is what ICE is suggesting:

Statement

(b)(5)

From: Homan, Thomas

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:35 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

ICE OPA is working on something right now

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 3:22 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan,
Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6) connected w NSA they're aware of the media inquiries and that we will need to respond, if we put out a statement we'd like to flag that for them as well before it goes.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:08:34 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Tom

(b)(6);(b)(7) and I spoke last Thursday after I spoke to you.

(b)(6);(b)(7) or (b)(6);(b)(7) can you pls reach out?

From: Homan, Thomas

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:06:12 PM

To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Can we hold this just momentarily? It would help if we could notify the NSA first. It will build upon our partnership rather than reading about it in the press. Please advise when we can notify NSA of the pause.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 2:43 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

Adding Tom.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:24 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: RE: Reports suspended?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Tom- thoughts?

Our meeting with S1 is tomorrow.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Reports suspended?

Heads up. First query received on this (so far).

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 12:03:10 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Reports suspended?

Hi there, hope you had a good weekend. Sorry to bug. I hear that you guys have officially suspended issuance of the declined detainee reports? Anything you want me to include in coverage of the decision?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 1 May 2017 08:58:51 -0400
To: Albence, Matthew
Cc: Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); #ICE DD STAFF
Subject: RE: Revised DDOR
Attachments: DDOR4 (beginning 4-2) DRAFT v38 - DD edits.docx

Matt:

Just some edits to the language that seeks to make "declined" a little clearer for the average reader. I'm copying Tom as I shared this version to make sure he didn't have any major concerns. We should huddle to discuss.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 9:23 AM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Revised DDOR

Dan:

Attached is the abbreviated DDOR as we discussed. It is conceptually the same as the one that you and Tom reviewed last week, with a few changes to try to make it more understandable, provide greater clarity, reduce potential for DQI, and hopefully decrease unnecessary blowback. I will note that the jurisdictions/info contained in Section 1 contains the publicly available info that was provided on the prior DDORs, as well as info provided by the FODs (which was sent back to them for validation this week). As a reminder, prior to publication, we will socialize w/NSA and each FOD will personally contact any of their LEAs on the list to provide advanced notice and one last opportunity to revise/clarify their stance.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please let me know if any issues/concerns. Thanks!

Matt

LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE --- NOT VALIDATED

(b)(5);WIF Draft




1
LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE


(b)(5)WIF Draft

LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE --- NOT VALIDATED


(b)(5),WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5)WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft




LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE --- NOT VALIDATED

(b)(5)WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft


(b)(5)WIF Draft




(b)(5)WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft




(b)(5);WIF Draft




DRAFT


(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5),WIF Draft




LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE --- NOT VALIDATED


(b)(5);WIF Draft

LES//FOUO/DRAFT//DELIBERATIVE --- NOT VALIDATED


(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 31 Mar 2017 16:00:17 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers
Attachments: Field Ops - Detainer Ordinances Egregious Criminal Cases Released
REVAMP....docx

For your records, ERO identified 10 pages worth of examples.

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:56 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

Thank you

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:17:34 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

I have a 3 page document we've been working on to gather additional stories.

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:02 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

You need to get them more significant cases. You had some the other day

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 2:52:19 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

(b)(6) had more significant cases that we found the other day

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 2:49:33 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

FYSA.

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 31, 2017, 2:47 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

FYSA on this one as the request is coming from a senior WH official. I will clear through all levels prior to sharing with DHS.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 2:46 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

As discussed, here are the cases from Chicago that we would like to provide to the WH in response to a request from Senior Advisor (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). All but the last example are of charges, not convictions, so we would like some guidance on what might be possible to provide under Appendix B.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Wong, Ricardo
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 2:23 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Asher, Nathalie R
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

I just received these additional cases from Chicago for possible use. .

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) **POB: BULGARIA**

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) a citizen and national of Bulgaria, was arrested by a multi-agency task force for residential burglary on January 27, 2017, and taken to the Northbrook, Illinois Police Department for processing. An ICE detainer was generated and provided to Northbrook Police. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was transferred from Northbrook Police to the custody of Cook County Jail, and the detainer followed him. Cook County released the subject on electronic monitoring despite a detainer on record. On January 31, 2017, shortly after being released on electronic monitoring, the subject cut his ankle bracelet off of his leg, and is again at large. An escape warrant has been issued.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) **POB POLAND**

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) a citizen and national of Poland, was arrested by a multi-agency task force for residential burglary on January 27, 2017, and taken to the Northbrook, Illinois Police Department for processing. An ICE detainer was generated and provided to Northbrook Police. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was transferred from Northbrook Police to the custody of Cook County Jail, and the detainer followed him. Cook County released the subject on electronic monitoring despite a detainer on record. On January 31, 2017, shortly after being released on electronic monitoring, the subject cut his ankle bracelet off of his leg, and is again at large. An escape warrant has been issued.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) **POB Poland**

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) a citizen and national of Poland, was arrested by a multi-agency task force for residential burglary on January 27, 2017, and taken to the Northbrook, Illinois Police Department for processing. An ICE detainer was generated and provided to Northbrook Police. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was transferred from Northbrook Police to the custody of Cook County Jail, and the detainer followed him. Cook County released the subject on electronic monitoring despite a detainer on record. On January 31, 2017, shortly after being released on electronic monitoring, the subject cut his ankle bracelet off of his leg, and is again at large. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) had prior burglary conviction and assault conviction. An escape warrant has been issued.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) **POB: Mexico, Reinstatement**

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) a prior deport with convictions for manufacturing and delivery of drugs, arrests for domestic battery, and a prior gang member (Party People-a violent street gang) was released by Cook County Jail despite a detainer on record. ERO officers from the Chicago Mobile Criminal Alien Team (MCAT) arrested the subject at large on January 24, 2017, and reinstated his prior order of removal.

Thanks

Ricardo A. Wong | Acting Deputy Assistant Director | Domestic Operations, Eastern Operations |
Department of Homeland Security | U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement | Enforcement
and Removal Operations
500 12th Street SW Room (b)(6); Washington, D.C. 20536



Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO) Law Enforcement Sensitive. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:36 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

Actually, just Chicago, if we have any on hand.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:28 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

Can I get some Philly and Chicago examples for the WH?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:25 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

Adding (b)(6); and (b)(6);(b) who can assist.

I think the DDOR announce had two philly examples.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:12:06 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Sanctuary Cities Declined Detainers

Getting a question on Philly and Chicago -- need some examples ASAP.

Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only
Deliberative

(b)(5);WIF Draft

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

(b)(5);WIF Draft

Deliberative
Law Enforcement Sensitive – For Official Use Only

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: 12 Feb 2017 18:21:13 -0500
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

[REDACTED]

Will do. Let me circle up with the stats team tomorrow and get back with you. Thanks!

Matt

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 5:57 PM
To: Albence, Matthew
Cc: [REDACTED] Homan, Thomas;
Robbins, Timothy S; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

[REDACTED]

Please add this to the ones you are tracking.

Matt-

Please advise when this week we can release data towards Section 9 and what format is best.

Thanks very much

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 3:06 PM
To: Albence, Matthew [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Homan, Thomas [REDACTED] Robbins, Timothy S
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi Matt,

Thank you- this is a very helpful explanation.

- (1) Matt- Pls proceed as you describe so that we can put something together soon- with the appropriate caveats and descriptions of how the document/data will improve as we go forward. [REDACTED] as we discussed, please review for legal sufficiency etc.
- (2) Matt- Could you pls send a high level description of what we will provide with explanations that the task force can send to OMB?
- (3) To all- Open question is how we publish the "weekly report". Suggestions or recommendations on how to accomplish from the group?
- (4) [REDACTED]/Tom/[REDACTED] this information can also be used for the larger narrative effort (in earlier emails) and should be cataloged with the other data sources you are identifying..

Thanks to all for the hard work on this. I believe getting available data out sooner (if we can explain and caveat and feel comfortable) will help on a variety of fronts.

Please let me know if any questions.
Thanks!

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 1:26 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

; Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)

No problem whatsoever. It sounds like maybe some wires got crossed in the myriad of taskings, so let me see if I can try to provide some clarity and a potential way forward. Also, please feel free to call me directly with any questions. It's a long email. 202-271-(b)(6)

First, we do have a *Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR)* that is available. It was just run this week and contains data through the end of FY 17 Q1.

Of note:

(b)(5)

In addition to the DDOR, we maintain a list of jurisdictions that don't cooperate (or cooperate on a limited basis).

Of note:

(b)(5)

With both of the above in mind, we do know that the DDOR does not provide the entire picture of declined detainees. This is due to several factors:

(b)(5)

While we initially indicated that a DDOR would be not be ready until mid-March, with the enhanced context as to what is required, we can provide it as indicated above.

(b)(5)

Best,

Matt

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 11, 2017 11:04 PM

To: Albence, Matthew

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas;
Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi Matt,

Apologies for the Saturday night email.

I understand that we are not able to comply with Section 9b of the EO that directs the Secretary to “utilize the Declined Detainer Outcome Report or its equivalent and, on a weekly basis, make public a comprehensive list of criminal actions committed by aliens and any jurisdiction that...failed to honor any detainers with respect to such aliens.”

(b)(5)

Thanks very much

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 6:07 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info
Attachments: Issued_to_Declined_spreadsheet top-50.xlsx; 17016607 LESA SOA Local Detainer Ordinances_01_23_2017_DRAFT_v3.docx; FY17 Q1 Declined Detainers with MSC Details_LESA-STU Summary.xlsx

(b)(6);(b)(7)

(b)(5)

Please let me know if any further questions. Thanks!

Best,

Matt

(202) 732-(b)(6)(o)
(202) 271-(b)(7)(c)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 7:00 AM
To: Valerio, Tracey A; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Great. Looks like we're tracking a window between 12-1 today. Shouldn't be more than 30 minutes. We'll want to discuss the data ICE recommends publishing (what, where, how) in the immediate term and what is possible with a little more lead time.

From: Valerio, Tracey A
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 8:19:18 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Me too.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 8:18:01 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Works for me.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 8:17 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Tracy/Matt,

Per the email below, I think it would be helpful if we pull together a quick meeting with all of you and the COS to discuss what you propose in terms of publishing in the short term detainer/crim data and ensure everyone is on the same page. Unless there are objections, I'll plan to get that set for Monday afternoon.

Let me know your thoughts....

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 5:59:36 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Yes, thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 5:57 PM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please add this to the ones you are tracking.

Matt-

Please advise when this week we can release data towards Section 9 and what format is best.

Thanks very much

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 3:06 PM

To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi Matt,

Thank you- this is a very helpful explanation.

- (1) Matt- Pls proceed as you describe so that we can put something together soon- with the appropriate caveats and descriptions of how the document/data will improve as we go forward. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) as we discussed, please review for legal sufficiency etc.
- (2) Matt- Could you pls send a high level description of what we will provide with explanations that the task force can send to OMB?
- (3) To all- Open question is how we publish the "weekly report". Suggestions or recommendations on how to accomplish from the group?
- (4) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)/Tom (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) this information can also be used for the larger narrative effort (in earlier emails) and should be cataloged with the other data sources you are identifying..

Thanks to all for the hard work on this. I believe getting available data out sooner (if we can explain and caveat and feel comfortable) will help on a variety of fronts.

Please let me know if any questions.

Thanks!

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 1:26 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

No problem whatsoever. It sounds like maybe some wires got crossed in the myriad of taskings, so let me see if I can try to provide some clarity and a potential way forward. Also, please feel free to call me directly with any questions. It's a long email. 202-271 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

First, we do have a *Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR)* that is available. It was just run this week and contains data through the end of FY 17 Q1.

Of note:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

In addition to the DDOR, we maintain a list of jurisdictions that don't cooperate (or cooperate on a limited basis).

(b)(5)

With both of the above in mind, we do know that the DDOR does not provide the entire picture of declined detainers. This is due to several factors:

(b)(5)

While we initially indicated that a DDOR would be not be ready until mid-March, with the enhanced context as to what is required, we can provide it as indicated above.

(b)(5)

Best,

Matt

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 11, 2017 11:04 PM

To: Albence, Matthew

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi Matt,

Apologies for the Saturday night email.

I understand that we are not able to comply with Section 9b of the EO that directs the Secretary to “utilize the Declined Detainer Outcome Report or its equivalent and, on a weekly basis, make public a comprehensive list of criminal actions committed by aliens and any jurisdiction that...failed to honor any detainers with respect to such aliens.”

(b)(5)

Thanks very much

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5):WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5),WIF Draft

(b)(5):WIF Draft

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 6:23 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Homan, Thomas
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report
Attachments: Hennepin County Writ of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) FOD Memo.pdf; Hennepin.xlsx; Hennepin Release Memo 2-16-2016.dot

Dan/Tom:

Please see attached re: Hennepin County in preparation for tomorrow morning's call. With regard to the two cases below, and in accordance with discontinuation of PEP, the field has been instructed post-EO to consider refusal to hold an alien as a declined detainer. Thanks.

Matt

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 6:12 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Here you go.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 6:10 PM
To: Asher, Nathalie R; Miller, Philip T
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

This is excellent. Thanks. Can you also forward the referenced memos? Homan and I have a call with the Sheriff tomorrow morning. Thanks!

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 6:02 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Matt,

As (b)(6) shared on the call, below find background on the 2 Hennepin CJ cases cited as well as historical notes on SPM's dealings with this sheriff.

NRA

The information on the two cases from the attached Hennepin spread sheet is below:

*** Please note that we assign an officer to be at the Hennepin County Jail every morning, Monday thru Friday, by 0700 to check the previous night's jail booking logs and pick up detainer cases.**

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C): SPM Deportation Officer received a night phone call on 02/01/2017 at 2000 and placed a I-247. On 02/03/2017, Hennepin County Adult Detention Center notified ICE at 1845 that (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was being released. SPM ERO picked up (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) at 2115. The detainer lift code was put down as Detainer Declined by LEA because Hennepin County Adult Detention Center refused to hold (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) until the morning.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) SPM Deportation Officer received a night phone call on 02/01/2017 at 2345 and placed a I-247. On 02/03/2017, Hennepin County Adult Detention Center notified ICE at 1845 that (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was being released. SPM ERO picked up (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) at 2115. The detainer lift code was put down as Detainer Declined by LEA because Hennepin County Adult Detention Center refused to hold (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) until the morning.

Hennepin County has historically been inconsistent with notifying ICE of releases that have had an I-247 placed on them. When Hennepin County does notify an officer of a release, the amount of time they are given to respond to the jail has been as short as 10 minutes and then at other times they will hold for up to an hour before they release the alien to the street. There are other times that Hennepin County will not notify of a release at all or they will notify after the alien has already been released to the street.

Here are some examples of issues that we have dealt with regarding Hennepin Counties inconsistencies:

1. Hennepin County issued a Writ to assume an alien (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) out of ICE custody and then refused to return the body to ICE after the alien was convicted and served out their time. The memo of the incident is attached.
2. Hennepin County Adult Detention Center released an alien (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) out the front door of the jail as an ICE officer was waiting in their sally port to take him into custody. The memo of the incident is attached.
3. On November 10, 2011, the Hennepin County District Court at Minneapolis, MN convicted (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) for second degree assault and sentenced him to 51 months. On January 22, 2016, the Richfield, MN Police Department arrested (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) a citizen of Mexico, on the charge of giving false information to a police officer. On January 22, 2016, the Law Enforcement Support Center issued a request for notification (I-247N) with the Hennepin County Sheriff's Office, which they did not honor. On September 16, 2016, ERO St. Paul arrested (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). On September 19, 2016, ERO St. Paul transferred custody to the U.S. Marshal's Service for prosecution under 8 USC 1326(b)(2). Sentencing is set for April 25, 2017.

Below is a breakdown of the Hennepin County statistics from the first quarter of F17 (10/01/2016 to 01/10/2017).

Duty Calls (174) (These are calls received from Hennepin county and additionally the PERC has placed a detainer. The PERC is placing an I-247 X on every biometric match they receive.)

The calls from Hennepin Co ADC range from being very cooperative to no call at all. When they call they will usually state something such as, Subject is in custody with identifying information, Subject is in custody but does not want to speak with ICE, Subject is being released in an hour, to Subject is being released now or has already been released. Here is a break down on the 174 calls we received during this time frame.

- 54- LPR
- 09 - OSUP
- 17 - No conviction / No removable conviction
- 29 - USC
- 04 - TPS/DACA/Relief Granted
- 07- Released to the street and ERO is currently attempting to locate
- 04 - Already in IJ proceedings
- 03 - Prosecutorial Discretion (PD)
- 09 - Extradited / Department of Corrections
- 27 - Picked up by ERO
- 02 - General info calls from Henn Co ADC
- 03 - In Custody at Henn Co ADC
- 06 - released to the street without notification

Of the 174 cases noted above here is a breakdown of our results:

SPM Arrests

40 - Street Arrest (Cases where the subject was released before we could pick them up at Hennepin county and we re-arrested them on the street)

27 - CAP (Arrests where Hennepin County notified us and we were able to pick them up in time before they were released)

05 - CAP (Workhouse) (Arrests where Hennepin County notified us and we were able to pick them up at the Hennepin County Work house which is a holding facility not associated with Hennepin County Sheriff's Office.)

Additionally SPM ERO placed (33) I-247X detainers. Here is a breakdown of these I247X cases:

- 13 - Notified and Picked Up
- 03 - Released / Notified
- 07 - Released / Not Notified
- 07 - Release / ICE Street Arrest (One outside the jail that was released as ICE was on the way to pick up Subject)
- 03 - In custody at Henn Co ADC

Sheriff (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) has indicated that we are only responding to 28% of the notifications they are making to ICE. Without providing any clarifying information we were able to determine that this may be somewhat accurate as roughly 77% of the cases they contacted ICE on were non-removable cases.

Below is a breakdown of the of the 71 night phone calls that were received between 01/01/2016 thru 01/21/2016. Roughly 77% of the 71 notifications they made to ICE were non-removable cases. This is possibly were Sheriff Stanek is getting the 28% notification rate.

Non-Removable (55)

17 – LPR
13 – OSUP
08 – No conviction / No removable conviction
12 – USC
05 – TPS/DACA/Relief Granted

Removable / Action Taken (16)

01 - Released to the street, ERO re-arrested
04 – Released to the street and ERO is currently attempting to locate
01 – Already in IJ proceedings
06 - No conviction / No removable conviction
01 – Prosecutorial Discretion (PD)
02 – Extradited / Department of Corrections
01 – Picked up by ERO

Hopefully this information is responsive to your needs. If you require additional information or clarification please let me know.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Field Office Director | St. Paul Field Office | MN, ND, SD, IA, NE
Department of Homeland Security | U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement | Enforcement and Removal Operations
1 Federal Drive, Suite (b)(6) Ft. Snelling, MN 55111
PH: 612-843-(b)(6)
Fax: 612-843-8770

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 12:20 PM
To: Asher, Nathalie R; Miller, Philip T
Subject: FW: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Nat:

Can you see what (b)(6) can provide? The more specifics the better. Stanek said we only pick up 28% of the ones we lodge 247Ns on. Also, there were two cases from Hennepin on the DDOR-can we get the specifics on those as well. Thanks!

Matt

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 12:13 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Can (b)(6) put some numbers on the lead time to make the arrests? That would a powerful way to make the point.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 11:53 AM
To: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

(b)(5)

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 11:48:51 AM
To: Albence, Matthew; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: FW: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

We will set up a call. We knew this coming

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Executive Director
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:08:34 AM
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: FW: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Tom,
I have a large brewing problem with Weekly Detainer report, we have to talk asap.

As you can see...lots of misinformation...

J

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:03 AM
To: Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Re: Conference Call Yesterday on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

(b)(6);(b)(7)

As Chair of the NSA Homeland Security Committee, I also ask for an immediate meeting with DHS/ICE to further clarify what yesterdays call was about and the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report. 6 weeks ago we had the opportunity to meet with President Trump and his cabinet, inc General Kelly from DHS - they both pledged to work with our Nation's Sheriffs - now is the time to do just that. I will make myself available as needed.

Sheriff (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Hennepin County Sheriff's Office
350 South 5th Street, Room 6 Courthouse
Minneapolis, MN. 55415
612.348-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Disclaimer: Information in this message or an attachment may be government data and thereby subject to the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 13.

On Mar 21, 2017, at 8:45 AM, Executive Director (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) wrote:

Folks,
I've received several irate calls from Sheriffs yesterday and today questioning ICE efforts.

I have placed an urgent call into Tom Homan to discuss yesterday's...briefing. I will request an immediate rebalancing of their formulation and release data, as well as the format for releasing.

Need to understand what exactly their process is, and how our sheriffs data is being collected/disseminated. Moreover we need to clarify:

1. Without Detainer legal clarification, I believe 247 requests will not be further honored, unless USG lawyers are prepared to appear in federal courts on sheriffs' behalf. So, we must get that language clarified asap.
2. Dispute process ahead of data release
3. Inmate status of notification vs. detain...it appears that ICE's data may not be...completely accurate.

As soon as I hear from Tom I will reach back to all with an update.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) - Sheriff (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 4:15 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Invitation - Conference Call TODAY at 1:50 p.m. EST on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

I'm hoping they send out a meeting breakdown from the questions I have listed below.

When you see the numbers in the report they;

Anticipate the request for detainers amount to increase.

Also anticipate there will be more refusals

Imperative ICE has access to facilities to review bookings and what charges they are incarcerated for.

- 1) *decline detainer request numbers question?*

two issues, request for notification and detainer why do they not break it down

- 2) *how many folks that were picked up on detainers were actually prosecuted or had enforcement action taken by ICE should be also included on report ?*
- 3) *Why won't they adopt DOJ limited use agreement to help Jails to avoid 4th amendment violations since it will be a reimbursable contract for housing? Vs just holding them and being sued.*
- 4) *What if a county disputes being on the list since they do cooperate but cant hold them for a length of time?*
- 5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Sheriff

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 9:02

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Invitation - Conference Call TODAY at 1:50 p.m. EST on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

From: OSLL E (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 8:57

To: OSLL E

Subject: Invitation - Conference Call TODAY at 1:50 p.m. EST on the Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Law Enforcement Partners:

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security Office for Partnership & Engagement is hosting a conference call **TODAY** to provide an informational briefing on the recently released, “Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report For Recorded Declined Detainers Jan. 28th – Feb. 3rd, 2017”. Per Executive Order 13768, Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, Sec. 9 (b) and the Secretary of Homeland Security’s subsequent implementation memo, Enforcement of the Immigration Laws to Serve the National Interest, Sec. H, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) has made this report available for public release, the Declined Detainer Outcome Report can be found at the following link: <https://www.ice.gov/declined-detainer-outcome-report>.

Please join us for a conference call TODAY Monday, March 20th at 1:50pm EST.

Information for the call is below:

Date: March 20, 2017

Time: 1:50 P.M. EST. PLEASE DIAL IN 15 MINUTES BEFORE THE START OF THE CONFERENCE CALL.

Call in information:

Phone number:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Conference Pin:

There are limited spaces available for this call. Please do not forward this invitation or call information.

Thank you as always for your continued support and partnership.

Sincerely,

Office for State and Local Law Enforcement

<image001.jpg>

Confidentiality and Nondisclosure Notice: This e-mail transmission and any attachments are intended for use by the person(s) or entity (entities) named above and may contain confidential/privileged information. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by e-mail, and delete or destroy all copies plus attachments.

Disclaimer: If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please immediately notify the sender of the transmission error and then promptly delete this message from your computer system.

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
1 Federal Drive, Suite (b)(6)
Fort Snelling, MN 55111



U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

July 22, 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR: Scott R. Banieceke
Field Office Director

THROUGH: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) SDDO

FROM: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Deportation Officer

SUBJECT: Hennepin County Writ of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Discussion:

Subject, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was encountered on January 4, 2016 on a CAP phone call from Hennepin County ADC. Records checks revealed a Final Order of Removal to Mexico and multiple reinstatements of that order. Subject met current enforcement priorities, so an I-247D was placed on January 4, 2016. Subject was released to ICE custody in the late afternoon of January 11, 2016. Due to the late release of the Subject, processing was completed on January 12, 2016 at which point Subject was served and uncontested I-871. Subject claimed fear of return to Mexico. Subject was not given a bond.

Hennepin County District Court Judge Bev J. Benson issued a Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum on February 23, 2016 for MNCIS case # (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (copy attached to this memo), commanding ICE produce (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). EADM indicates a release of Subject to Hennepin County Sheriff's Office on March 9, 2016. Minnesota Court Register of Actions indicates Subject was convicted in Hennepin County District Court of DWI - Second Degree - Driving While Impaired; Refuse to submit to chemical test, in violation of Minnesota State Statute 169A.25.1(b), and was sentenced on May 25, 2016 to 89 days at the Hennepin County Workhouse (Case # (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)). On May 26, 2016, EARM comments indicate Hennepin County called the CAP day phone line to inform ICE that Subject was headed to the Hennepin County Workhouse for 89 days. Hennepin County was told at that time the Subject will need to be returned to ICE on the writ when those days are complete (EARM comments page attached to this memo).

On July 14, 2016, a fax was received by ICE from the Hennepin County Workhouse notifying ICE of the scheduled release of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on July 22, 2016. Review of EARM comments on July 18, 2016 determined that this was a writ to Hennepin County, and when this issue was discussed, the workhouse staff stated that ICE still

needed to pick-up the Subject. Coordination was made with the Workhouse for a 0830 pick-up of the Subject on July 22, 2016.

DO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) arrived at the Hennepin County Workhouse at approximately 0830 on July 22, 2016. While waiting for the Subject to be brought down for release, there was a discussion on why ICE was picking up an individual with a writ instead of Hennepin County returning the Subject to ICE as directed in the writ. Workhouse staff indicated that they were instructed not to deliver this Subject to ICE and that if ICE did not show to pick up the subject that they should "kick him to the street". DO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) did not press the issues further and thanked the staff for their cooperation and support in honoring ICE holds. The workhouse staff has always maintained a professional and cooperative working relationship with ICE, to include honoring ICE holds, and this situation is in contrast to the usual interaction with the workhouse, so it was reported to SDDO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Subject was taken into ICE custody and transported to the SPM ICE ERO Field Office without incident.

cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
1 Federal Drive, Suite (b)(6)
Fort Snelling, MN 55111



U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

February 17, 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR: Scott R. Baniecke
Field Office Director

THROUGH: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Assistant Field Office Director

FROM: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Deportation Officer

SUBJECT: Hennepin County ADC release of Subject A# (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Deportation Officer, with SPM ERO at Fort Snelling, MN received a call from the detention control room at approximately 1244 on Tuesday, February 16, 2016 informing me a TWIX release notification for (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) was received from Hennepin County ADC at 1238. The A-file was located and it was determined that an I-247N had been placed with Hennepin County ADC for this Subject by DO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on February 10, 2016. A call was also received by DO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on the CAP day phone at approximately 1309 from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) in Hennepin County ADC Records notifying ICE of the release. A joint call was placed back to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) in records by DO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and DO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) at approximately 1322 to confirm that ICE is dispatching an Officer to pick up the Subject. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) stated at that time the Subject was schedule to be released in the next 2-4 hours and would log that ICE was coming to pick up the Subject.

I departed for Hennepin County ADC after completion of the confirmation call with (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) in records and arrived at the facility at approximately 1345. Before entering the sally port, I was asked by Hennepin County ADC's control which agency I was with and who I was picking up. I provided my identification as well as the Subject's information. After checking their systems, Hennepin's control informed me that the Subject had not yet been brought down from the jail, but opened the sally port doors and said I could wait inside the sally port until the Subject was brought down for release. I parked my vehicle and checked in at the control bubble where they again confirmed that Subject was still in the jail and said I could wait there until they notified me he was moved to the release area.

I waited in my vehicle until I was waved over to the bubble at approximately 1520. At this time I was instructed to proceed to the Transfer area to be escorted to the Release area by a Deputy to see why it was taking so long. Upon arrival in the release area, the transfer Deputy and I were informed by the releasing Deputy that the Subject had been released to the street at approximately 1459. I returned to the Transfer area with the transfer Deputy who looked on his system and found a record under the Subject stating that ICE would pick up the Subject and he further stated he was not sure why the Subject would have been released with a log showing confirmation ICE would pick up the Subject. After departing the Transfer Area, I was waved

SUBJECT: Hennepin County Release of Subject A# (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Page 2

over to the control bubble where a Deputy there showed me the pink copy of the release paperwork that had a note on it stating that ICE would pick up the Subject. She further explained she was not sure why the control bubble was never contacted to send me in or why the Subject was released to the street with a note on the release paperwork stating that ICE would be picking up the Subject.

I contacted my first line supervisor SDDO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) at 1339 after departing the Hennepin County ADC and provided him the details surrounding the release of the Subject.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Deportation Officer

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Robbins, Timothy S
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 12:27 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status
Attachments: UncoopCountiesBrief 2 (AVL) (2)(MA).docx; UncoopCounties April 1.xlsx

Thoughts, this looks good to me. Both Dan and OPLA have cleared

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 12:13 PM
To: Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Tim, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C):

These documents are responsive to #1. Dan has cleared. (b)(5)
(b)(5) This is also the info that we would look to share w/NSA (obviously will be formatted differently). Don't know if Tom wants to review prior to sending to (b)(6) for forwarded to the Dept. Thanks.

Matt

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 6:49 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

- 1) Sanctuary City: Canvas field offices for they types of impediments that accompany detainer non-compliance. This includes lack of information sharing, not allowing ERO personnel into jails and not turning over aliens to ERO via detainers. [With ERO for review]
- 2) White paper to DOJ/ODAG for information pertaining to most egregious sanctuary jurisdictions to inform its decision on whether to take enforcement action against jurisdictions that have violated the statute. [with DOJ for review]
- 3) ICE Detention Policy. Met with DHS 3/24. ERO leading internal ICE working group to revise standards - DHS set 30 day deadline (4/23). [With ERO]
- 4) DHS would like for ICE to address whether metric can be provided as to the new priorities. Understanding that we currently may not have the capabilities to capture or produce metrics as it pertains to certain of the

priorities, we should be prepared to produce a matrix as to what we can/cannot produce and why. [ERO- Tentative Due date of Wednesday if possible].

- 5) 287(g) TF model. Further analysis. [ERO and OPLA]
- 6) TPs for ESC 4/6/17 [Revisions pending with Cheng]

On 4/5/2017, DHS held a kickoff meeting for a cross-component working group led by PA&E to develop a response to **EO 13781**, and is reporting directly to the Deputy's Management Action Group (DMAG). This EO requests a comprehensive plan to improve the efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability of the executive branch. The department re-iterated that EO 13781 is not a cost cutting effort but is focused on enhancing operational effectiveness. Each Federal Agency is directed to submit a plan to reorganize the agency, if appropriate, to OMB by September 11, 2017. Each component must present 2 ideas by Friday, April 21. The suggestions can be ICE-specific or cross-cutting within DHS and the Executive Branch. DHS is also accepting employee suggestions. A tasking will be sent via Exec Sec (likely on Friday, April 7).

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy EAD
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 6:14 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

(b)(5)

Today, DHS held a kickoff meeting for a cross-component working group led by PA&E to develop a response to **EO 13781**. This EO requests a comprehensive plan to improve the efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability of the executive branch. Each Federal Agency is directed to submit a plan to reorganize the agency, if appropriate, to OMB by

September 11, 2017. Each component must present 2 ideas by Friday, April 21. This WG meeting will be further discussed at the EO TF muster call tomorrow afternoon. Tasking to follow.

Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy EAD

Management & Administration

U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement

202-732-(b)(6)

***** This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy EAD

Management & Administration

U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement

202-732-(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 6:45 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

(b)(5)

- Launch of the VOICE Office has been postponed until more capabilities can be implemented. Date TBD.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy EAD

Management & Administration

U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement

202-732-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 6:39 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

(b)(5)

- Launch of the VOICE Office has been postponed from next week until more capabilities can be implemented.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 6:03 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

(b)(5)

No

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Assistant Director

Office of Policy

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(202) 732-(b)(6) (office)

(202) 270-(b)(7) (cell)

*****This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 8:46 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Assistant Director

Office of Policy

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(202) 732-(b)(6) (office)

(202) 270-(b)(7) (cell)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 5:57 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Notes from today's TF meeting:

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Assistant Director

Office of Policy

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(202) 732-(b)(6) (office)

(202) 270-(b)(7) (cell)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 9:13 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening:

Here are the updates for today:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief Counsel
Office of the Chief Counsel
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
26 Federal Plaza, Room (b)(6);
New York, NY 10278
(212) 264-(b)(6) or via SECTOR

*** Warning *** Attorney/Client Privilege *** Attorney Work Product ***

This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or sensitive attorney/client privileged information or attorney work product and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of the Principal Legal Advisor, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. This document is for INTERNAL GOVERNMENT USE ONLY and may be exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 USC §§ 552(b)(5), (b)(7).

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 6:49 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy EAD

Management & Administration

U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement

202-732 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 6:49 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; Moynihan, Timothy; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T;

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Notes from today's ESC: Taskings will be sent to each program's COS, as appropriate.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Have a good weekend,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

*****This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 5:49 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Have a good evening,

(b)(6);

*****This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 6:35 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Have a nice evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

~~*****This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 6:08 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T;(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Please note that as we get more organized with EO Taskings and Get Backs this function, as well as the recurring SharePoint updates will be transferred over to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and team in the near future. More information to come in that regard. Thank you for everyone's continued assistance.

Have a nice evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

~~*****This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 6:21 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Have a nice evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

***** This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 5:26 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Have a nice weekend,

(b)(6);

***** This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 6:40 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Have a good evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 9:24 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Notes:

- As the agency POC for the Border Security and Interior Enforcement Working Group, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be proactively working with various ICE program offices in making sure that activities are being accomplished and milestones are met. I will continue to assist with taskings, get backs, and reporting.
- DHS has implemented a new tracking tool in a SharePoint environment. My office is adjusting the internal ICE tracker to better match the new tool and may need to change the deadline associated with the weekly recurring updates. More to come on this point.

Have a nice evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

*****This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or deliberative and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other

than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of Policy, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

From: OP EO Tasking

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 6:59 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OP EO Tasking; Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Status of outstanding tasks:

(b)(5)

Please note that the contents of this email are intended for ICE internal use only and should not be disseminated outside of the agency.

Additional notes:

DHS plans to issue a new ESC briefing template. They will provide more details at tomorrow's TF meeting.

Have a nice evening,

(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 6:17 PM

To: OP EO Tasking; Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(5)

Additional notes:

- DHS has transitioned to a new EO Implementation Tracker effective immediately. DHS provided a link and training for users today.

Have a great evening. Be safe in the snow.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: OP EO Tasking

Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 5:47 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; Moynihan, Timothy (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OP EO Tasking

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening,

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Have a nice weekend,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2017 6:18 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Hi all,

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(5)

Have a great evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2017 8:30 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Hi all,

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(5)

Note: the TF meeting is scheduled for tomorrow. I will be back in touch with any updates or tasks from that meeting. EO Executive Steering Committee is scheduled for Friday.

Have a great evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2017 6:31 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Hi all,

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(5)

Please note that the *EO Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the U.S.* issued on March 06, 2017, contains data collection and reporting requirements that may involve ICE. Specifically section 11, "Transparency and

(b)(5)

Have a great evening.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, March 6, 2017 6:33 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Hi all,

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(5)

Have a great evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 3, 2017 5:14 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Hi all,

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Have a great evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 2, 2017 6:44 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Hi all,

Please find below the status of outstanding tasks.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Have a great evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, March 1, 2017 6:31 PM

To: Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Hi all,

Please find below updates from today's EO TF meeting and status of outstanding tasks. I will task new items to your respective COS and EO POCs with responses due back to me and my EO team by the stated deadlines.

(b)(5)

Have a great evening,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Assistant Director

Office of Policy


U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(202) 732-(b)(6) (office)


(202) 270-(b)(6) (cell)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft



(b)(5);WIF Draft



From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 7 Apr 2017 13:22:45 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: Sheriffs

10-4

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Apr 07, 2017, 1:17 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Sheriffs

They are working on it. In my conversation last night I asked that we be given a chance to do something different than the DDOR which contains fields we were "told to add". I want a shot of doing a report that has the same end game but using fields we can more accurately track

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 1:12 PM
To: Homan, Thomas
Subject: RE: Sheriffs

10-4. Happy to take a look at the document if helpful.

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Apr 07, 2017, 1:04 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Sheriffs

FYI

From: Homan, Thomas
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 11:18 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S
Cc:
Subject: RE: Sheriffs

We will have a proposed way forward to you by COB today, as discussed last night. A report that gets us to where we need to go but under more control.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 6:57 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Sheriffs

I just got off the phone with (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) who stated there are multiple errors in the latest report.

Tom- time to chat quickly? (b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 1 Mar 2017 18:25:58 -0500
To: Valerio, Tracey A
Cc: #MASTAFF;#ICE DD STAFF
Subject: RE: Updates

We should hold the 2 rejected appeals in the event something changes.

From: Valerio, Tracey A
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 6:24 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: #MASTAFF; #ICE DD STAFF; Valerio, Tracey A
Subject: Updates
Importance: High

A few updates from today:

EO Task Force:

(b)(5);(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

OPA:

(b)(5)

Union Briefing: At AD1's request, I briefed C118 today on EO implementation. I stuck to the administrative side of things. Nothing of significance came up.

Passback:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

Workload Staffing Model: I sent it back to OCFO with your questions to provide responses. Will have for you in the morning.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 7 Apr 2017 11:38:38 -0400
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: #ICE DD STAFF;Robbins, Timothy S;Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);Miller, Philip T;Johnson, Tae D (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);Johnson, Tae D
Subject: VOICE

Wanted to ensure everyone saw this story from today's clips.

<https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-04-06/ice-agents-go-from-friend-to-foe>

Over the past decade, Rudy Bustamante spent a lot of time driving around Phoenix, meeting immigrants anywhere they felt comfortable—schools, churches, coffee shops. As a community relations officer for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, he's had the difficult task of trying to build trust between immigrants and the federal agency in charge of deporting those who are here illegally.

Part of Bustamante's job has been to persuade immigrants to help ICE find serious criminals while assuring them that they and their families won't face deportation for traffic violations or other minor offenses. Building that trust hasn't always been easy, as in 2010, when Arizona passed a law requiring immigrants to carry registration documents and giving police broad leeway to question people. "Rudy Bustamante met with all the different immigrant groups," says Michael Nowakowski, a Phoenix city councilman. "That dialogue really helped during those crises moments."

Bustamante's job is about to change. On Jan. 25, President Trump signed an executive order calling for the creation of a department within ICE, the Victims of Immigration Crime Engagement, or Voice, office. The almost two dozen ICE community relations officers across the U.S. will now be responsible for highlighting crimes committed by members of the community they've spent years trying to strengthen.

This is all part of Trump's broader strategy to focus on criminal aliens, or "bad hombres" as he's called them since he first announced his presidential bid in June 2015.

Throughout the campaign, he made cracking down on undocumented immigrants who commit crimes a key part of his platform, despite research showing that undocumented immigrants are less prone to crime than U.S.-born citizens. A new analysis of census data by the Cato Institute estimates an incarceration rate among undocumented immigrants of 0.85 percent, compared with 1.53 percent for U.S.-born citizens.

By focusing on acts of crime, the Voice program could undo years of work building relationships between ICE and immigrant communities, says John Sandweg, former acting director of ICE under Obama. "When you now task these guys with going around trying to scare the general public, all you're doing is reinforcing the message to those groups, 'Don't you dare call ICE,' because they think they're going to deport you," Sandweg says. "All it's going to do is amp up the chilling effect and make it that much harder for ICE agents to do their job."

Although Voice hasn't been rolled out yet, the broader shift in tone has already started. In March, ICE began releasing its first weekly list of crimes committed by undocumented immigrants and the "sanctuary" jurisdictions that refused to detain them on immigration

charges. Voice will eventually provide quarterly reports about “the effects” of crime by the undocumented.

In a report released on March 20, the Department of Homeland Security listed the 10 largest sanctuary jurisdictions, including Los Angeles, New York, and San Diego, along with the names of undocumented immigrants released and their “notable criminal activity,” such as domestic violence and burglary.

To ensure victims are notified when an offender is deported or released into the community, DHS is planning to put into operation a system designed under Obama but never formally launched, called the Victim Information and Notification Exchange, which will send victims of immigrant crime updates via text message and email.

Until now, victims had no way of knowing whether ICE had deported a perpetrator or released him into the community. Part of the problem has been a lack of funding, says Sandweg, who says he backed the creation of a notification program while at ICE. The other issue has been complexities with privacy laws that limit interaction between certain state and federal databases. As part of Voice, the Trump administration will no longer extend privacy rights to undocumented immigrants, breaking with past interpretations of the 1974 Privacy Act.

ICE declined to say how much the program will cost or where the funding will come from. In a Feb. 20 memo, DHS Secretary John Kelly directed that “any and all resources that are currently used to advocate on behalf of illegal aliens” be reallocated to Voice. Obama set up an English and Spanish toll-free hotline for detained immigrants and established the position of “public advocate” within ICE to serve as an access point for people in immigration proceedings and for immigrant advocacy groups. Immigration hardliners, such as Diane Black, a Republican representative from Tennessee, criticized the public advocate as an “illegal alien lobbyist.” Texas Republican Representative Lamar Smith said it was “further proof that the Obama administration puts the interests of illegal immigrants ahead of the interests of Americans.” Congress eliminated the public advocate position in April 2013.

Jessica Vaughan, director of policy studies at the Center for Immigration Studies, which advocates for less immigration, thinks eliminating these types of services could free up funds for Voice. “These resources were being used almost exclusively on behalf of people in this country illegally,” she says. “Whereas people harmed by the actions of people in the country illegally were getting almost no help.”

Jacinta Gonzalez, field director at advocacy group Mijente, worries that Voice will mean that community relations officers will no longer be a “point of contact” for people detained. “If they’re not even going to be pretending to talk to the community, it just goes to show that they don’t really care at all about the impacts their enforcement is going to have,” she says.

Nowakowski, the Phoenix councilman, holds out hope that the new role for community officers like Bustamante will still involve finding common ground. “That’s where Rudy could be that mediator to help somebody who may have lost a loved one and thinks all Mexicans are murderers,” he says. “It’s having a person there who’s level-headed who can actually tell both sides of the story and help people heal.”

The bottom line: Under Trump’s Voice initiative, ICE community relations officers will now publicize the crimes of immigrants they once helped.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Assistant Director (A)

Office of Public Affairs

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)

202.732 (b)(6) office | 202.570 (b)(6) cell

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Follow ICE



~~CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This document may contain confidential and sensitive U.S. Government information, and is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies of the original. Any disclosure of this document must be approved by the Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) on behalf of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 13 Feb 2017 16:37:44 +0000
To: S2 Internal;Homan, Thomas;Robbins, Timothy S;Ragsdale, Daniel
H;Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Conference Call re: Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Dial in: (202) 243-(b)(6) PIN#: 989913

Attendees: AS2 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tom Homan
Daniel Ragsdale

Re: Conference Call re: Declined Detainer Outcome Report

BM: No

POC:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 30 Jan 2017 09:17:53 -0500
To: Edge, Peter T; Valerio, Tracey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ICE DD STAFF; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: FW: ICE Executive Action Implementation Matrix
Attachments: ICE Executive Action Implementation Matrix v3 1_27_2017.docx

Please see the attached implementation matrix that will be discussed at the pre-brief at 11am for the CBP/ICE Operations meeting. Below is an additional comment provided by Mr. (b)(6) that did not make it on the matrix at this time.

(b)(5)

Thanks and let me know if you have any questions.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2017 4:57 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Valerio, Tracey A; #MASTAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE Executive Action Implementation Matrix

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Per your request yesterday, we have reformatted the matrix for readability. Please see attached. Feel free to let us know if we may be of further assistance.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 5:48 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #MASTAFF
Subject: ICE Executive Action Implementation Matrix

Please see final draft matrix for your review. Let us know if you have any edits or revisions you would like us to make.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Page 488

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 489

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 490

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 491

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 492

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 493

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 494

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 495

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 496

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 497

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 21 Feb 2017 12:10:55 +0000
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: Fw: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2017 06:13 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Thanks, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) ICE did not run these by our transition folks there, so yesterday, I asked them to take a look at these products and provide feedback. Will check in with them.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2017 5:43:24 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

OGC, PLCY, OPA, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Checking back to confirm whether you have any edits/comments on the DDOR doc.
Need your thoughts today to support an early release this week (given that it's a short week!)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) for your visibility. Would appreciate your thoughts on rollout and whether you see any issues.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 12:11:02 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Hi, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) I know we are anxious to get this posted (and we've been the ones pushing!), but I understand AS2 bought us a couple more days to ensure everyone has a chance to do a thorough review and get this ready for public release. That said, we do need a quick review from the folks on this chain to support an early release next week.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 11:53:51 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Thank you, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) I look forward to input from others as well and appreciate a quick review of the DDOR that is slated to go live today.

Thanks again,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 11:40 AM
To: Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Thank you so much, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Here are a few initial thoughts from me on the DDOR. I've added (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) to take a look at this and the VOICE docs to see if they have any other thoughts.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 5:58 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please find attached for your review and consideration a mock-up of the DDOR along with the proposed web/public interface.

Also attached is the VOICE office's Implementation Plan, Org Chart, and Launch Tick Tock, which includes a public announcement strategy.

Feel free to let us know if we may provide you with any further information.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Assistant Director

Office of Policy

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(202) 732-(b)(6) (office)

(202) 270-(b)(7) (cell)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 8 May 2017 17:00:09 -0400
To: #ICE-OPA-COB
Subject: ICE OPA COB 5-8-17
Attachments: ICE OPA COB 05082017.docx



U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

CLOSE OF BUSINESS REPORT

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS Daily Close of Business Report May 8, 2017

Compiled by: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homeland Security Investigations

HSI – I-9 Inspection query, *Philadelphia Daily News*

Reporter Stu Bykofsky requested general information regarding I-9 audits. PAO referred reporter to ICE.gov. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

HSI – Detroit: One charged, large firearms cache, drugs seized, *news release, Detroit News, NBC and FOX affiliates, Mlive.com*

PAO issued press release announcing the arrest of a Michigan man Friday on federal drug distribution charges, following a local task force probe. In addition to the arrest, authorities seized more than four dozen firearms and small quantities of various illegal narcotics. The man is USMS custody, pending an initial hearing tomorrow. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

HSI – Richmond, Virginia: Cigarette trafficking investigation, *Breitbart News*

Two reporters contacted PAO seeking information about HSI's involvement in a cigarette trafficking investigation focused in and around Richmond, Virginia. PAO is waiting for background information from the ASAC office before responding to the reporters. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

HSI – Phoenix: Student visa holders obtaining firearms through hunting licenses, *Arizona Daily Star*

Phoenix SAC (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) did an on-the-record interview using HSI cleared responses regarding F-1 students obtaining firearms by applying for Arizona hunting licenses. PAO anticipates significant media interest on this topic. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Enforcement and Removal Operations

ERO – Austin: DDOR latest report, *CBS Evening News*

CBS Evening News correspondent Scott Pelley is filing a story regarding the Texas Sanctuary Bill that Texas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) signed on Sunday. CBS is looking for the latest DDOR stats. ICE

(b)(6);(b) explained the latest report is the most updated report available. ICE (b)(6);(b) provided the approved statement provided by HQ OPA. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C))

ERO – Del Rio: Mexican Consul saying its taking deportations in Eagle Pass, Texas, *Del Rio Herald*

Del Rio Herald is asking why the Del Rio Mexican Consul is taking over deportations in Eagle Pass. The consul is making it appear as if they are conducting ICE removals on the American side. PAO is working with SNA ERO to obtain information on why the consul would make such remarks to the local media. Item is pending. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – San Antonio: Latest FRC numbers, *San Antonio Express News*

Reporter Jason Buch is filing a story regarding family detention mainly focusing on immigration hearings. He requested for the most current FRC stats. PAO provided him those stats. (b)

(b)(6);(b)(7)

ERO – Atlanta: Project South claims Georgia facilities not compliant with PBNDS, *Atlanta NPR affiliate*

PAO discussed ICE enforcement and detention policy with Atlanta NPR following the station's coverage of an activist group "study" released last week that alleged Stewart and Irwin County are not compliant with ICE detention standards. PAO pointed out that in reality both facilities have been regularly inspected by numerous entities and repeatedly found to be compliant. (b)(

(b)(6);(

ERO – Tampa: IGSA contract, *Tampa Bay Times*

A reporter has requested a copy of the IGSA with Baker County, Fla. based on Florida open records laws. As the IGSA defers to ICE on release, the PAO will be advising the reporter she will need to FOIA for the information she is looking for. (b)(6);(b)(7)(

ERO – Naples, Fla.: Immigration status of man charged with child molestation, *Naples Daily News*

A local reporter has asked about the immigration background of a Haitian-born man arrested on child molestation charges; ERO researched and determined the man is a naturalized U.S. citizen. The reporter also was looking for the VOICE quarterly reports mentioned in the implementation memos. PAO let the reporter know the individual did not fall under the purview of ICE and that the VOICE reports were not yet published. (b)(6);(b)(7)(

ERO – Baltimore: Arrest of teenager after detainer declined, *Washington Post, DC-area Affiliate, WTOP*

Multiple media outlets requested the press release in which ICE announced the arrest of an individual after Montgomery County, Maryland, released him on an active detainer. The individual was had been recently arrested for auto theft and possessing a rifle stolen from a police vehicle. (b)(6);(b)(7)(

ERO – New York: Admin arrest numbers, *Crain's New York*

PAO responded to follow-up inquiries from Crain's reporter. Specifically, she was seeking clarification to criminal vs. non-criminal arrests. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Newark: arrest of four Indonesian nationals, WNYC Radio, Asbury Park Press

Reporters requested confirmation that four Indonesian nationals have been taken into custody by ERO Newark. The four people were detained after checking for their annual visit with a deportation officer. PAO confirmed the four people are in ICE custody, and provided a previously cleared statement regarding ICE reporting requirements. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Philadelphia: Enforcement action query, Univision

Reporters requested agency comment regarding a recent enforcement action at Kaolin Mushrooms in Avondale, Pennsylvania on April 26th. PAO provided previously cleared statement confirming the targeted action and clarifying the arrest site. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Philadelphia: Detention center jurisdiction query, Thomson Reuters Foundation

Reporter requested agency comment regarding where detainees are assigned. PAO provided previously cleared statement emphasizing that detainees are typically held near arrest sites to ensure adequate access to visits and local legal services. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Boston: Immigration inquiry re: double homicide suspect, Fox, ABC, CBS affiliates, Boston Globe, Herald (dailies)

Various reporters requesting ICE comment on the matter of a LPR alien from Guinea-Bissau who is a suspect in a double murder over the weekend. PAO responded on background that ICE has no jurisdiction in the matter at present, but will monitor the case as it develops. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Boston: USCIS arrest follow up questions, Boston Globe (daily), ABC affiliate

Boston media continue to track the matter of one of five aliens arrested at a Lawrence, Mass., USCIS office last month. The individual and US Government reached a settlement agreement in a Habeas case last week in which the suspect dropped his case in lieu of being released on a GPS device. PAO referred inquiries to the USAO Mass for comment. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Washington: Removal of Ingmar Guandique, CBS News, ABC News, New York Daily News, Washington Post, DC-based local media (CBS, ABC, FOX, NBC and Univision affiliates), news release

PAO issued a news release announcing the removal of Ingmar Guandique to El Salvador. Guandique's case has been extremely high-profile, and a number of media outlets are interested in the details of his removal from the United States. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Chicago: Follow-up question regarding detainee arrested during gang op, CNN (Chicago correspondent)

Reporter requesting ICE comment on whether ICE arrested (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) based on information from Chicago PD's gang database it shared with ICE. Reporter also asked whether other police departments share their gang databases with ICE. Attorneys recently filed a lawsuit on behalf of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) that names ICE as a defendant. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) claims ICE agents executed a "raid" on his home March 27 without a warrant based on information it received from Chicago PD. He alleges the raid injured PAO responded with previously approved Statement. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Boston: USCIS arrest follow up questions, *Boston Globe, ABC affiliate*

Boston media continue to track the matter of one of five aliens arrested at a Lawrence, Mass., USCIS office last month. The individual and US Government reached a settlement agreement in a Habeas case last week in which the suspect dropped his case in lieu of being released on a GPS device. PAO referred inquiries to the USAO Mass for comment. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Los Angeles: News conference highlighting Orange County removal case, *Associated Press, Los Angeles ABC and NBC affiliates*

Reporters sought ICE comment on Monday's arrest of an Indian national with a longstanding removal order who was taken into custody when he reported for his previously scheduled check-in with ERO. Activists held a news conference Monday morning calling on ICE to grant the man a stay of removal. The man, who was originally ordered deported in 1999, has litigated his case at all levels of the legal system, including filing multiple petitions with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. PAO provided a cleared statement noting this man's case has undergone exhaustive review and judges at all levels of the legal system have upheld his original removal order. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – national: End of 'catch and release,' *Fox News*

Reporter William Lajeunesse requested current and historical ICE statistics to support that DHS has ended its prior policy of "catch and release." Lajeunesse is on assignment in South Texas for the story, which is expected to air tomorrow. PAO is coordinating with HQ to determine what the agency may be able to provide. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – San Francisco: DACA recipient taken into custody, *Monterey County Herald*

Reporter sought information regarding the arrest of a 19-year-old DACA recipient in Monterey County. PAO provided previously released statement and declined to respond to new allegations. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Los Angeles: Immigration status of shooting suspects, *Breitbart*

Reporter asked whether ICE had lodged detainers against two individuals who fired on police officers during a carjacking and high-speed pursuit in Riverside County Friday. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Phoenix: German actress detained at Eloy, *DIE Welt, Berlin Kurier, B.Z., RTL Television*

Reporters sought comment regarding German actress Katja Bienert who is currently detained at the Eloy Detention Center. Ms. Bienert is a visa waiver over-stay. PAO drafted an issue paper and cleared statement as appropriate before sending to media. Additionally, *RTL Television* requested an interview with the actress. PAO is checking to see if she will provide the required signed consent. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Phoenix: Local removal numbers, *GOOD Magazine*

Reporter sought removal numbers for Arizona for FY 2016 and FY 2017 to compare if removals had gone up or down since the new administration issued the executive orders. PAO provided previously cleared statistics. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Seattle: Releasable information on detainees at Northwest Detention Center, *Univision*

Reporter Pablo Gaviria is seeking criminal and immigration histories of three individuals arrested by ICE last week. The reporter received multiple tips from viewers that the individuals were arrested during a raid as opposed to a targeted operation. PAO is coordinating with ERO to gather releasable information for privacy clearance. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – The Dalles, Ore.: ICE relationship with NORCOR, local AP affiliate

Reporter Andrews Selsky is seeking an explanation of ICE’s relationship with the Northern Oregon Regional Correctional Facility (NORCOR) based on a report that NORCOR is anticipated to make roughly \$1 million in FY17/18 by holding an average of 40 detainees per day. PAO is coordinating with ERO on a response. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Strategic Communications

ICE.gov:

#RidewithEllen [Full Story](#)

inSight:

New! HSI San Antonio/ASAC Harlingen hosts exciting TYCTWD [Full Story](#)

Take Your Child to Work Day 2017 Photo Galleries [Full Story](#)

U.S. Attorney’s Office in Dallas commends local Deportation Officer (b)(6) [Full Story](#)

HSI Chicago gets championship treatment [Full Story](#)

Social Media:

New! Today on [Twitter](#): ICE arrests public safety threat after detainer not honored [Full Story](#)

New! On [Twitter](#): Read more about the Secretary's trip to Jordan [Full Story](#)

New! On [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#): Attaché James Cole & ICE HSI (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) supported @DHSgov Sec. Kelly’s trip to Jordan w/ our colleagues from @CustomsBorder & @USEmbassyJordan

New! On [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#): Mexican siblings indicted in New Mexico for operating a counterfeit vehicle airbag business [Full Story](#)

Operations Anticipated/Scheduled Interviews and Events

Tuesday, May 9 Reuter’s National Correspondent Julia Ainsly/Edwards is traveling to the southern border to profile a story related to border security. SNA ERO is providing Reuters and some local media outlets with a media tour of the Port Isabel Detention Center located at 27991 Buena Vista Blvd, Los Fresnos, Texas, 78566. The tour will begin at 10:30 a.m., and will be followed by a question-and-answer session. This is a “pen and pad” media tour. **SNA ERO FOD Daniel Bible** will be the “on the record” POC. SNA PAO will staff the tour and interviews. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tuesday, May 9 **Houston DFOD** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) is anticipated to interview with Houston Public Radio regarding the latest fugitive operation and the MS-13 member arrested as a part of El Salvador’s “Top 100”. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tuesday, May 9 – San Antonio SAC (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will talk on background with New York Times reporter Ron Nixon regarding generic local HSI operations in the San Antonio AOR, and especially along the border. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tuesday, May 9 – The U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia plans to issue a news release announcing the sentencing of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) a NASA employee, for trafficking in counterfeit goods. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thursday, May 11 Crews from CBS News, the Los Angeles CBS affiliate, and Reuters will ride-along with Fugitive Operations in Orange County as the teams seek to locate and arrest five criminal alien targets, including a registered sex offender. **Los Angeles FOD David Marin** will provide any on the record interviews. PAO will ensure all media reps agree in advance not to provide any live coverage during the actual operation. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Friday, May 12 – Tampa SAC, **Jacksonville ASAC SA** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and **San Diego SAC SA** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be interviewed by a documentary team with Good Night Smoke Productions about an old cocaine smuggling case that involves campfires, boats, buried cocaine and a treasure map. PAO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will staff this multimedia-approved project. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Monday, May 22 – Los Angeles ASAC (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be interviewed during a one-hour radio show on AM 590 about HSI's efforts to combat human trafficking. The show is hosted by Opal Singleton, CEO of the organization Million Kids. PAO provided updated talking points. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Week of May 22 (Tentative) **Boston SA** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be interviewed by Miki Meek of *This American Life*, concerning a mother and her two daughters' Notario scheme that defrauded numerous aliens (about \$800,000). The interview will be staffed by PAO and **ASAC** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and has SAC approval. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

TBD PAO will coordinate with U.S. Attorney's Office in announcing the sentencing of four defendants in a case regarding ammunition and weapons seized by HSI Tucson that were headed to Hong Kong. **Phoenix SAC** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be quoted in the release. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

#ICE#

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Public Affairs Officer
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)
Philadelphia Field Office
(215) 717-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) office
(267) 623-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) cell



U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

CLOSE OF BUSINESS REPORT

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS

Daily Close of Business Report

May 8, 2017

Compiled by: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homeland Security Investigations

HSI – I-9 Inspection query, *Philadelphia Daily News*

Reporter Stu Bykofsky requested general information regarding I-9 audits. PAO referred reporter to ICE.gov. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

HSI – Detroit: One charged, large firearms cache, drugs seized, news release, *Detroit News, NBC and FOX affiliates, Mlive.com*

PAO issued press release announcing the arrest of a Michigan man Friday on federal drug distribution charges, following a local task force probe. In addition to the arrest, authorities seized more than four dozen firearms and small quantities of various illegal narcotics. The man is USMS custody, pending an initial hearing tomorrow. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

HSI – Richmond, Virginia: Cigarette trafficking investigation, *Breitbart News*

Two reporters contacted PAO seeking information about HSI's involvement in a cigarette trafficking investigation focused in and around Richmond, Virginia. PAO is waiting for background information from the ASAC office before responding to the reporters. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

HSI – Phoenix: Student visa holders obtaining firearms through hunting licenses, *Arizona Daily Star*

Phoenix SAC (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) did an on-the-record interview using HSI cleared responses regarding F-1 students obtaining firearms by applying for Arizona hunting licenses. PAO anticipates significant media interest on this topic. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Enforcement and Removal Operations

ERO – Austin: DDOR latest report, *CBS Evening News*

CBS Evening News correspondent Scott Pelley is filing a story regarding the Texas Sanctuary Bill that Texas Greg Abbott signed on Sunday. CBS is looking for the latest DDOR stats. ICE (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) explained the latest report is the most updated report available. ICE Pruneda provided the approved statement provided by HQ OPA. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Del Rio: Mexican Consul saying its taking deportations in Eagle Pass, Texas, *Del Rio Herald*

Del Rio Herald is asking why the Del Rio Mexican Consul is taking over deportations in Eagle Pass. The consul is making it appear as if they are conducting ICE removals on the American side. PAO is working with SNA ERO to obtain information on why the consul would make such remarks to the local media. Item is pending. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – San Antonio: Latest FRC numbers, *San Antonio Express News*

Reporter Jason Buch is filing a story regarding family detention mainly focusing on immigration hearings. He requested for the most current FRC stats. PAO provided him those stats. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Atlanta: Project South claims Georgia facilities not compliant with PBNDS, *Atlanta NPR affiliate*

PAO discussed ICE enforcement and detention policy with Atlanta NPR following the station's coverage of an activist group "study" released last week that alleged Stewart and Irwin County are not compliant with ICE detention standards. PAO pointed out that in reality both facilities have been regularly inspected by numerous entities and repeatedly found to be compliant. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Tampa: IGSA contract, *Tampa Bay Times*

A reporter has requested a copy of the IGSA with Baker County, Fla. based on Florida open records laws. As the IGSA defers to ICE on release, the PAO will be advising the reporter she will need to FOIA for the information she is looking for. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Naples, Fla.: Immigration status of man charged with child molestation, *Naples Daily News*

A local reporter has asked about the immigration background of a Haitian-born man arrested on child molestation charges; ERO researched and determined the man is a naturalized U.S. citizen. The reporter also was looking for the VOICE quarterly reports mentioned in the implementation memos. PAO let the reporter know the individual did not fall under the purview of ICE and that the VOICE reports were not yet published. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Baltimore: Arrest of teenager after detainer declined, *Washington Post, DC-area Affiliate, WTOP*

Multiple media outlets requested the press release in which ICE announced the arrest of an individual after Montgomery County, Maryland, released him on an active detainer. The individual was had been recently arrested for auto theft and possessing a rifle stolen from a police vehicle. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – New York: Admin arrest numbers, *Crain's New York*

PAO responded to follow-up inquiries from Crain's reporter. Specifically, she was seeking clarification to criminal vs. non-criminal arrests. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Newark: arrest of four Indonesian nationals, *WNYC Radio, Asbury Park Press*

Reporters requested confirmation that four Indonesian nationals have been taken into custody by ERO Newark. The four people were detained after checking for their annual visit with a

deportation officer. PAO confirmed the four people are in ICE custody, and provided a previously cleared statement regarding ICE reporting requirements. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Philadelphia: Enforcement action query, Univision

Reporters requested agency comment regarding a recent enforcement action at Kaolin Mushrooms in Avondale, Pennsylvania on April 26th. PAO provided previously cleared statement confirming the targeted action and clarifying the arrest site. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Philadelphia: Detention center jurisdiction query, Thomson Reuters Foundation

Reporter requested agency comment regarding where detainees are assigned. PAO provided previously cleared statement emphasizing that detainees are typically held near arrest sites to ensure adequate access to visits and local legal services. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Boston: Immigration inquiry re: double homicide suspect, Fox, ABC, CBS affiliates, Boston Globe, Herald (dailies)

Various reporters requesting ICE comment on the matter of a LPR alien from Guinea-Bissau who is a suspect in a double murder over the weekend. PAO responded on background that ICE has no jurisdiction in the matter at present, but will monitor the case as it develops. (b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Boston: USCIS arrest follow up questions, Boston Globe (daily), ABC affiliate

Boston media continue to track the matter of one of five aliens arrested at a Lawrence, Mass., USCIS office last month. The individual and US Government reached a settlement agreement in a Habeas case last week in which the suspect dropped his case in lieu of being released on a GPS device. PAO referred inquiries to the USAO Mass for comment. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Washington: Removal of Ingmar Guandique, CBS News, ABC News, New York Daily News, Washington Post, DC-based local media (CBS, ABC, FOX, NBC and Univision affiliates), news release

PAO issued a news release announcing the removal of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) to El Salvador. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) case has been extremely high-profile, and a number of media outlets are interested in the details of his removal from the United States. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Chicago: Follow-up question regarding detainee arrested during gang op, CNN (Chicago correspondent)

Reporter requesting ICE comment on whether ICE arrested (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) based on information from Chicago PD's gang database it shared with ICE. Reporter also asked whether other police departments share their gang databases with ICE. Attorneys recently filed a lawsuit on behalf of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) that names ICE as a defendant. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) claims ICE agents executed a "raid" on his home March 27 without a warrant based on information it received from Chicago PD. He alleges the raid injured PAO responded with previously approved Statement. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Boston: USCIS arrest follow up questions, Boston Globe, ABC affiliate

Boston media continue to track the matter of one of five aliens arrested at a Lawrence, Mass., USCIS office last month. The individual and US Government reached a settlement agreement in

a Habeas case last week in which the suspect dropped his case in lieu of being released on a GPS device. PAO referred inquiries to the USAO Mass for comment. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Los Angeles: News conference highlighting Orange County removal case, *Associated Press, Los Angeles ABC and NBC affiliates*

Reporters sought ICE comment on Monday's arrest of an Indian national with a longstanding removal order who was taken into custody when he reported for his previously scheduled check-in with ERO. Activists held a news conference Monday morning calling on ICE to grant the man a stay of removal. The man, who was originally ordered deported in 1999, has litigated his case at all levels of the legal system, including filing multiple petitions with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. PAO provided a cleared statement noting this man's case has undergone exhaustive review and judges at all levels of the legal system have upheld his original removal order. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – national: End of 'catch and release,' *Fox News*

Reporter William Lajeunesse requested current and historical ICE statistics to support that DHS has ended its prior policy of "catch and release." Lajeunesse is on assignment in South Texas for the story, which is expected to air tomorrow. PAO is coordinating with HQ to determine what the agency may be able to provide. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – San Francisco: DACA recipient taken into custody, *Monterey County Herald*

Reporter sought information regarding the arrest of a 19-year-old DACA recipient in Monterey County. PAO provided previously released statement and declined to respond to new allegations. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Los Angeles: Immigration status of shooting suspects, *Breitbart*

Reporter asked whether ICE had lodged detainers against two individuals who fired on police officers during a carjacking and high-speed pursuit in Riverside County Friday. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Phoenix: German actress detained at Eloy, *DIE Welt, Berlin Kurier, B.Z., RTL Television*

Reporters sought comment regarding German actress Katja Bienert who is currently detained at the Eloy Detention Center. Ms. Bienert is a visa waiver over-stay. PAO drafted an issue paper and cleared statement as appropriate before sending to media. Additionally, *RTL Television* requested an interview with the actress. PAO is checking to see if she will provide the required signed consent. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Phoenix: Local removal numbers, *GOOD Magazine*

Reporter sought removal numbers for Arizona for FY 2016 and FY 2017 to compare if removals had gone up or down since the new administration issued the executive orders. PAO provided previously cleared statistics (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – Seattle: Releasable information on detainees at Northwest Detention Center, *Univision*

Reporter Pablo Gaviria is seeking criminal and immigration histories of three individuals arrested by ICE last week. The reporter received multiple tips from viewers that the individuals

were arrested during a raid as opposed to a targeted operation. PAO is coordinating with ERO to gather releasable information for privacy clearance. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ERO – The Dalles, Ore.: ICE relationship with NORCOR, local AP affiliate

Reporter Andrews Selsky is seeking an explanation of ICE’s relationship with the Northern Oregon Regional Correctional Facility (NORCOR) based on a report that NORCOR is anticipated to make roughly \$1 million in FY17/18 by holding an average of 40 detainees per day. PAO is coordinating with ERO on a response. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Strategic Communications

ICE.gov:

#RidewithEllen [Full Story](#)

inSight:

New! HSI San Antonio/ASAC Harlingen hosts exciting TYCTWD [Full Story](#)

Take Your Child to Work Day 2017 Photo Galleries [Full Story](#)

U.S. Attorney’s Office in Dallas commends local Deportation Officer (b)(6) [Full Story](#)

HSI Chicago gets championship treatment [Full Story](#)

Social Media:

New! Today on [Twitter](#): ICE arrests public safety threat after detainer not honored [Full Story](#)

New! On [Twitter](#): Read more about the Secretary's trip to Jordan [Full Story](#)

New! On [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#): Attaché James Cole & ICE HSI (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) supported @DHSgov Sec. Kelly’s trip to Jordan w/ our colleagues from @CustomsBorder & @USEmbassyJordan

New! On [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#): Mexican siblings indicted in New Mexico for operating a counterfeit vehicle airbag business [Full Story](#)

Operations Anticipated/Scheduled Interviews and Events

Tuesday, May 9 – Reuter’s National Correspondent Julia Ainsly/Edwards is traveling to the southern border to profile a story related to border security. SNA ERO is providing Reuters and some local media outlets with a media tour of the Port Isabel Detention Center located at 27991 Buena Vista Blvd, Los Fresnos, Texas, 78566. The tour will begin at 10:30 a.m., and will be followed by a question-and-answer session. This is a “pen and pad” media tour. **SNA ERO FOD Daniel Bible** will be the “on the record” POC. SNA PAO will staff the tour and interviews. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tuesday, May 9 – **Houston DFOD** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) is anticipated to interview with Houston Public Radio regarding the latest fugitive operation and the MS-13 member arrested as a part of El Salvador’s “Top 100”. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tuesday, May 9 – San Antonio SAC (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will talk on background with New York Times reporter Ron Nixon regarding generic local HSI operations in the San Antonio AOR, and especially along the border. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tuesday, May 9 – The U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia plans to issue a news release announcing the sentencing of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) a NASA employee, for trafficking in counterfeit goods. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thursday, May 11 – Crews from CBS News, the Los Angeles CBS affiliate, and Reuters will ride-along with Fugitive Operations in Orange County as the teams seek to locate and arrest five criminal alien targets, including a registered sex offender. **Los Angeles FOD David Marin** will provide any on the record interviews. PAO will ensure all media reps agree in advance not to provide any live coverage during the actual operation. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Friday, May 12 – Tampa SAC, **Jacksonville ASAC SA** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and **San Diego SAC SA** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be interviewed by a documentary team with Good Night Smoke Productions about an old cocaine smuggling case that involves campfires, boats, buried cocaine and a treasure map. PAO (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will staff this multimedia-approved project. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Monday, May 22 – Los Angeles ASAC (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be interviewed during a one-hour radio show on AM 590 about HSI's efforts to combat human trafficking. The show is hosted by Opal Singleton, CEO of the organization Million Kids. PAO provided updated talking points. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Week of May 22 (Tentative) – Boston SA (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be interviewed by Miki Meek of *This American Life*, concerning a mother and her two daughters' Notario scheme that defrauded numerous aliens (about \$800,000). The interview will be staffed by PAO and **ASAC** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and has SAC approval. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

TBD PAO will coordinate with U.S. Attorney's Office in announcing the sentencing of four defendants in a case regarding ammunition and weapons seized by HSI Tucson that were headed to Hong Kong. **Phoenix SAC** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) will be quoted in the release. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

#ICE#

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 4 May 2017 18:26:49 -0400
To: Homan, Thomas;Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: OCR's summary of AD1's meeting with Rep. Jimmy Panetta (D-CA)
Attachments: AD1 & Panetta Courtesy Visit 20170504.docx

Mr. Homan/Mr. Ragsdale-

Attached is my summary of AD1's meeting with Rep. Jimmy Panetta this afternoon.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please let me know.

v/r,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Department of Homeland Security
U.S. immigration and Customs Enforcement
Office of Congressional Relations

202-732-(b)(6)(direct)

202-732-(b)(7)(main)

202-732-4269 (fax)



U.S. Immigration
and Customs
Enforcement

MEMORANDUM

To: Acting Director Homan, Deputy Director Ragsdale
From: (b)(6)-(b)(7)(C) Deputy Assistant Director, OCR
Date: May 4, 2017
Re: Summary of Acting Director Homan's Courtesy Visit with Rep. Jimmy Panetta (D-CA/20)

On Thursday, May 4, 2017, Acting Director Homan met with Representative Jimmy Panetta (D-CA/20) to provide an update on a Homeland Security Investigation (HSI) enforcement operation, Project Cruz Control, which took place in the Santa Cruz County, California area. This meeting was a follow up from a February 24, 2017 conference call Acting Director Homan had with the Rep. Panetta regarding HSI's enforcement operation.

Rep. Panetta remarked that the Secretary is the right man to run the Department of Homeland Security and has the right message. Rep. Panetta believes in a "common sense" approach to law enforcement. His areas of interest during the meeting were:

- ICE's relationship with Sheriff's in California
- How ICE prioritizes who is targeted for enforcement actions
- How ICE is handling those counties that are not as cooperative with honoring detainees

Acting Director Homan updated Rep. Panetta on Project Cruz Control, informing him that there have been two more indictments in the case (currently ongoing investigation). He also informed the congressman that ten of eleven collaterals that were encountered during the enforcement action were processed and released on some form of monitoring. Only one collateral remains in ICE custody (a known gang member). In response to Rep. Panetta's additional questions, he emphasized:

- ICE prioritizes its targets that are a public safety and national security threats. Focus is on criminals, fugitives and re-entrants. Collaterals are not the focus but if they are encountered, they will be processed.
- ICE is working with the National Sheriffs Association to collaborate on how to work with local LE and not shame those that do not honor our detainees (i.e., DDOR).
 - 95 percent of the sheriffs want us in their jails but due to political/community rhetoric refrain from complying.
 - This is really an officer safety issue, the more officers we can get in the jails, the less we have to go into the community or into the homes to apprehend a target.
- ICE does not conduct raids, traffic stops or sweeps, does not arrest people in sensitive locations (i.e., hospital, schools or churches), and only arrest people at courthouses as a last resort.

Acting Director Homan also mentioned that an ICE Press Release will be coming out next week on ICE's 100-day progress. He also mentioned that ICE will be doing more worksite enforcement operations, mostly focused on national security threats to infrastructure (i.e., airports, refinery plants,

water treatment plants, etc.). Although agriculture is not a large priority, he informed the congressman, that industry will not be immune to enforcement action. Acting Director Homan suggested that Congress should consider looking into or expanding the worker programs (e.g., Barrio Work Program).

Following the discussion, Rep. Panetta asked, “what can I do to help.” Acting Director Homan remarked one area of concern for ICE is:

- California’s SB-54, Law Enforcement: Sharing data (very problematic for ICE)
 - Challenging for immigration enforcement and task forces
 - Eliminates asset sharing with local LE

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 31 Mar 2017 15:58:23 -0400
To: Asher, Nathalie R
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T;
Subject: RE: Clips

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thanks Nathalie.

Will send up and see if there are follow up questions. Thanks.

Dan

From: Asher, Nathalie R
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:49 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T;
Subject: RE: Clips

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I li Dan,

At Tom's request, below are facts surrounding several jurisdictions included in both DDORs that were subject to error or in need of further clarification.

Thx,
Nathalie

DDOR 1:

Bastrop County, TX* - Location had detainer placed on an alien at this jail. Alien transferred to a non-compliant jail and the detainer "followed" the alien however, when released from the subsequent jail, the detainer was not honored and attributed to Bastrop County, TX.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Chester County, PA* - Location listed in Section III (public policy section) correctly, erroneously included in DDOR Section II (aliens released resulting from non-honored detainer) as alien was encountered in Chesterfield County, VA and ERO Washington lodged the detainer with Chesterfield County, VA but it appears the processing officers used the wrong location code in EAGLE and made it appear that the detainer was lodged with Chester County, PA. Chesterfield County, VA declined the detainer and the alien was released.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Franklin County, IA* - Location listed in Section III (public policy section) due to ACLU attribution however this location was removed as there was no other apparent public source and field confirmed compliance. The number of *issued* detainers listed in Section I (detainers issued section) was incorrect as *some* of the total listed were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Franklin County, NY – Location listed in Section III (public policy section) correctly, but the number of *issued* detainees listed in Section I was incorrect as they were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

Franklin County, PA* - Location listed in Section III (public policy section) incorrectly, the number of *issued* detainees listed in Section I was incorrect as *some* of the total listed were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Montgomery County, IA – Location listed in Section III (public policy section) correctly, but it was agreed that we would remove them from Section III in future DDORs. The inclusion was due to their previous sheriff being cited in an ACLU report stating that they would not fully comply with detainees. There is now a new sheriff and he was quoted saying that they will comply with detainees. The number of *issued* detainees listed in Section I (detainers issued section) was incorrect as they were in fact issued to a similarly named LEA outside of the counties' jurisdiction.

Richmond, NC* (Appeared in 03/30/2017 ICE Clips) - Erroneously included in DDOR Section II (aliens released resulting from non-honored detainer) as alien was encountered in Richmond, VA and ERO Washington lodged the detainer with Richmond, VA but it appears the processing officers used the wrong location code in EAGLE and made it appear that the detainer was lodged with Richmond, NC. Richmond, VA declined the detainer and the alien was released.

**Recently discovered error, will be included in ICE.gov "Corrections" tab during next week's posting.*

Williamson County, TX – Location had detainer placed on an alien at this jail. Alien transferred to a non-compliant jail and the detainer "followed" the alien however, when released from the subsequent jail, the detainer was not honored and attributed to Williamson County, TX.

DDOR 2*:

Note-All California counties are listed due to the Trust Act. Since this publication of the DDOR, some counties have made public statements of cooperation however they will not hold on alien beyond their release date. **Due to their unwillingness to hold beyond the detainee's release date, ERO does not consider these locations compliant.*

Kern, CA – No error in Section I (detainers issued section) or Section III (public policy section). Location had 65 detainees issued during the week reported and no detainees declined.

Santa Barbara, CA (Appeared in 03/31/2017 ICE Clips) – No errors in Section I (detainers issued section) or Section III (public policy section). Location had 24 detainees issued during the week reported and no detainees declined.

San Diego, CA - No errors in Section I (detainers issued section) or Section III (public policy section). Location had 44 detainees issued during the week reported and no detainees declined.

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 8:25 AM

To: Asher, Nathalie R

Cc: Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Clips

Can we take a quick run though the latest and see what we can separate as a real error versus disagreement? Thx.

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Friday, Mar 31, 2017, 8:22 AM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Clips

I noticed there are some stories on the inaccuracy of the DDOR and upset Sheriffs that say the report is "riddled" with inaccuracies. Can you pull the folks together and see what is happening? This will continue to be an embarrassment for this Agency if those stories are true.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 23 Feb 2017 14:49:56 -0500
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ICE DD STAFF
Subject: RE: DDOR and VOICE

Thx.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 2:21:46 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: #ICE DD STAFF
Subject: FW: DDOR and VOICE

Here are all of the documents.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Special Assistant to the Deputy Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Desk: 202-732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cell: 202-253-(b)(7)(C)
Email: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 2:17 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR and VOICE

Latest DDOR copy from DHS (reason for the call) is attached. Also included is the VOICE paper, but I believe there won't be much discussion on that this afternoon.

V/r,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Chief of Staff
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
(202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 26 May 2017 16:31:09 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (b)(6);(b)(7)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good afternoon everyone.

The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

Have a wonderful holiday weekend.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

*Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6);*

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 8:52 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

This is the end of day report from last night. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6);
(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 6:17 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,

Tracey A

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732 (b)(6);(b)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2017 6:12 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,

Tracey A

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement

202-732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 6:04 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,
Tracey A

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

*Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6)*

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, May 20, 2017 7:25 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,
Tracey A

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good morning. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management and Administration
US DHS/ICE
202-732-(b)(6)

~~*** Warning *** Attorney/Client Privilege *** Attorney Work Product ***~~

~~This communication and any attachments may contain confidential and/or sensitive attorney/client privileged information or attorney work product and/or law enforcement sensitive information. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this email has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies. Furthermore do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Any disclosure of this communication or its attachments must be approved by the Office of the Principal Legal Advisor, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. This document is for INTERNAL GOVERNMENT USE ONLY and may be exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 USC §§ 552(b)(5)(b)(7).~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2017 4:51 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,
Tracey A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 6:07 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,
Tracey A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
*Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6);*

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 5:24 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) R; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,
Tracey A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

Thank you.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director

Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, May 15, 2017 5:36 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,
Tracey A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF; #MASTAFF; OP EO Tasking; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: End of Day: EO TF Get Backs and Status

Good evening. The status of pending EO tasks:

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732-(b)(6);(

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 23 Feb 2017 14:49:45 -0500
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: #ICE DD STAFF
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Thx.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 2:02:30 PM
To: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Cc: #ICE DD STAFF
Subject: FW: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 6:07:43 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A;
Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please find attached ICE's responses (in yellow highlight) to DHS' comments on the DDOR.

Feel free to let us know if we may provide you with any further information.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 5:46 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio,

Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Good afternoon,

Detainers request that a jurisdiction detain an alien for up to 48 hours. There are parts of the U.S. that don't have an ERO office within close proximity, and responding to a same-day notification may be improbable (i.e. the Chicago AOR may get a call from a detention center 6 hours away from the closest office). While the jurisdiction may have cooperated by providing notification, if the jurisdiction released the alien in advance of the 48 hours and before ERO could respond, the detainer was not honored.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 5:33 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S;
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

(b)(5)

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 2:32 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Valerio, Tracey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Hi, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Do you guys have the latest version of the DDOR with comments to date incorporated? (b)(6) would like to tee this up for decision to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) today.

(b)(6) still need your chop. Thanks!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2017 12:27:31 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thank you for the additional input. We will incorporate and reach out with any questions.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, February 21, 2017 12:15 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

All,

Attached are some additional comments (b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2017 9:11:43 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Yes, agree. Thank you. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2017 7:25 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

; Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2017 6:44:24 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

All, enclosed is an additional set of recommendations (b)(5)

(b)(5)

View in revisions shown.

Seems as this could move us closer to the EO intent. For consideration and discussion. v/r (b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 11:40 AM

To: Valerio, Tracey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Thank you so much, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Here are a few initial thoughts from me on the DDOR. I've added (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) to take a look at this and the VOICE docs to see if they have any other thoughts.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 5:58 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: Valerio, Tracey A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: ICE submission DDOR and VOICE

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please find attached for your review and consideration a mock-up of the DDOR along with the proposed web/public interface.

Also attached is the VOICE office's Implementation Plan, Org Chart, and Launch Tick Tock, which includes a public announcement strategy.

Feel free to let us know if we may provide you with any further information.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Assistant Director

Office of Policy

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (office)

(202) 270-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (cell)

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: 22 Mar 2017 13:08:59 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Albence, Matthew; Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

No concerns

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 22, 2017, 13:05
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Looks good to me. Defer to other for their input.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Wednesday, Mar 22, 2017, 12:38 PM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Miller, Philip T
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);
Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Q&A for LE

Folks –

(b)(5)

Please let me know if you have any edits/suggestions before we send them up to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and (b)(6);

Many thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)

Department of Homeland Security

(202) 282 (b)(6)(o)

(202) 760 (b)(7)(c)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:20 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan,

Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Just crossed emails, but agree with your point, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:04:46 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Thanks, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:00 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Will do.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 9:55:40 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Q&A for LE

Hi all,

(b) could you pls have your team develop some Q&As that (b)(6); and ICE can use in outreach and responses to LE to direct them to (a) work with their legislatures to change the laws and (b) (b)(6); can we direct them to DOJ since they have the legal detainer language?

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff

Department of Homeland Security

202-282-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 29 Jan 2017 14:32:26 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T
Subject: RE: sanctuary cities

We'll be on the calls today. No guidance received from outside channels on implementation (e.g. NSC or DOJ). We're engaged with HQ OPA and OLA and referring inquiries there, which we're getting because of both disaster and non-disaster grants portfolio we manage. Discussions slated with OGC this week to work through implementation questions and issues on grants.

Would like to offer someone to staff the war room if appropriate so we can support and remain lashed up with the Department-wide implementation.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
202-495-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2017 12:30 AM
To: Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Edge, Peter T
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: sanctuary cities

Ps (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) is included because of the questions on grants.
Thx

From: Miller, Philip T
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 11:41:38 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H; Edge, Peter T
Subject: RE: sanctuary cities

+ Dan and Pete

I will pull the last DDOR and ask the team to update ASAP.

Phil

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 11:33 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T
Subject: RE: sanctuary cities

Good idea. + Phil Miller from ICE who likely has some info/data re detainees that he can provide to the war room.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2017 11:16:07 PM
To:
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: sanctuary cities

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Wanted to check in on sanctuary cities so we can be sure to get the facts to our war room for external comms. (b)(6) and will pass relevant info to the war room

As you know we have received many requests.

(b)(6) have you all engaged on this yet?

All, I recognize this is part of the larger implementation effort but wanted to check in so we can start the messaging.

Thank you

Best,

(b)(6)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 6:07 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info
Attachments: Issued_to_Declined_spreadsheet top-50.xlsx; 17016607 LESA SOA Local Detainer Ordinances_01_23_2017_DRAFT_v3.docx; FY17 Q1 Declined Detainers with MSC Details_LESA-STU Summary.xlsx

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

Please let me know if any further questions. Thanks!

Best,

Matt

(202) 732 (b)(6);(o)
(202) 271 (b)(7)(c)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 7:00 AM
To: Valerio, Tracey A; Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Great. Looks like we're tracking a window between 12-1 today. Shouldn't be more than 30 minutes. We'll

(b)(5)

From: Valerio, Tracey A
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 8:19:18 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Me too.

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 8:18:01 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Works for me.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 8:17 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Valerio, Tracey A
Cc: Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; Ragsdale, Daniel H
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Tracy/Matt,

(b)(5)

Let me know your thoughts....

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 5:59:36 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Yes, thank you!

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 5:57 PM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please add this to the ones you are tracking.

Matt-

Please advise when this week we can release data towards Section 9 and what format is best.

Thanks very much

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 3:06 PM

To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi Matt,

Thank you- this is a very helpful explanation.

- (1) Matt- Pls proceed as you describe so that we can put something together soon- with the appropriate caveats and descriptions of how the document/data will improve as we go forward. (b)(6) as we discussed, please review for legal sufficiency etc.
- (2) Matt- Could you pls send a high level description of what we will provide with explanations that the task force can send to OMB?
- (3) To all- Open question is how we publish the "weekly report". Suggestions or recommendations on how to accomplish from the group?
- (4) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) this information can also be used for the larger narrative effort (in earlier emails) and should be cataloged with the other data sources you are identifying..

Thanks to all for the hard work on this. I believe getting available data out sooner (if we can explain and caveat and feel comfortable) will help on a variety of fronts.

Please let me know if any questions.

Thanks!

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2017 1:26 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

No problem whatsoever. It sounds like maybe some wires got crossed in the myriad of taskings, so let me see if I can try to provide some clarity and a potential way forward. Also, please feel free to call me directly with any questions. It's a long email. 202-271-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

First, we do have a *Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR)* that is available. It was just run this week and contains data through the end of FY 17 Q1.

Of note:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

In addition to the DDOR, we maintain a list of jurisdictions that don't cooperate (or cooperate on a limited basis).

Of note:

(b)(5)

With both of the above in mind, we do know that the DDOR does not provide the entire picture of declined detainers. This is due to several factors:

(b)(5)

If you think our suggested approaches are viable, then we will move full steam ahead. If not, or if you would like to discuss other possible alternatives, please let me know and I'd be glad to explore.

Best,

Matt

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Saturday, February 11, 2017 11:04 PM

To: Albence, Matthew

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Homan, Thomas; Robbins, Timothy S

Subject: Section 9 report on Declined Detained Outcome Report and other info

Hi Matt,

Apologies for the Saturday night email.

I understand that we are not able to comply with Section 9b of the EO that directs the Secretary to “utilize the Declined Detainer Outcome Report or its equivalent and, on a weekly basis, make public a comprehensive list of criminal actions committed by aliens and any jurisdiction that...failed to honor any detainers with respect to such aliens.”

(b)(5)

If will be helpful to explain the difficulties in more detail for the WH understanding.

Thanks very much

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5)WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5),WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5),WIF Draft

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 16:26:59 -0400
To: Albence, Matthew;Ragsdale, Daniel H;Robbins, Timothy S
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ERO CHIEFS OF
STAFF: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T
Subject: FW: DDOR
Importance: High

Sirs,

Here is OPA's proposed statement on the pause of the DDOR. With your approval, we will clear with DHS and provide to requesting reporters from ABC News and the New York Times.

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Deputy Press Secretary (A)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

o: 202.732 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

m: 202.486 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 16:21:18 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: Declined Detainer Outcome Report, re: Bastrop and Williamson counties

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Austin American Statesman is saying they spoke to the Sheriff's in Bastrop and Williamson Counties who say their counties were erroneously reported on.

They would like to ICE to respond.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 3:15 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Declined Detainer Outcome Report, re: Bastrop and Williamson counties

Hi (b)(6)

Spoke to Sheriffs Cook and Chody in Bastrop and Williamson counties respectively. Both told me their counties were erroneously put in today's DDOR report. True?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Staff writer / Austin American-Statesman

o 512.445 (b)(6) f 512.445.1736

e (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Twitter: @PhilJankowski

Statesman MEDIA

statesman.com mystatesman.com austin360.com ahorasi.com

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 14:36:10 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: incorrect NY information in ICE Detainer Report

Please see below from NY Times. This is also the reporter who is doing the backgrounder with the FOD tomorrow.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Public Affairs Officer
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
New York Field Office
(646) 313-(b)(6) office
(646) 648-(b)(7)(C) cell

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Mar 20, 2017, 2:08 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: incorrect NY information in ICE Detainer Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I'm working on the piece about the ICE detainer reports and I see a glaring factual error about NY. The law was enacted in 2014, not 2013, and it does provide for cooperation with ICE under specific circumstances.

Could you or someone at HQ please comment?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Metro Immigration Reporter
New York Times
212-556-(b)(6); (o)
917-207-(b)(7)(C) (c)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 7 Apr 2017 15:49:29 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

This one may take a while.

From: Jennings, David W
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 3:37 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

I think the end result was by phone calls hashing it out – I don't see any messages. I'll defer to (b)(6) he's out of pocket at the moment.

Field Office Director
San Francisco, CA

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 12:35 PM
To: Jennings, David W; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

Can you guys send me a copy of that email? We need to publish a correction on the DDOR page on ICE.gov.

From: Jennings, David W
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 3:32 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

Hi – exactly what that statement says – they counted every detainer placed with the county limits as a Kern County Sheriff detainer – which is not the case. There are state and federal facilities within the county. (b)(6) figured it out and let LESA know, who corrected how they get the numbers.

Field Office Director
San Francisco, CA

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 12:28 PM
To: Jennings, David W; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

Hey gents –

What errors are you attributing to the DDOR? I didn't get this feedback from LESA, they told me there were no issues with Kern.

Some attributed all to KCSO which was inaccurate. The majority of detainers issued were transmitted to state and federal prisons located within Kern County; facilities outside the purview of Sheriff Youngblood and KCSO.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 3:25 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Jennings, David W; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

Actually, can you hold on this for a few please?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 3:22 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Jennings, David W; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

Cleared by ERO with edit noted below.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
...
(b)(6);(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 3:13 PM
To: #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Jennings, David W; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: OPA ISSUE - ICE-Kern County Calif. Law Enforcement Cooperation

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C);(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Public Affairs Officer/Spokesman - San Francisco
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Desk: 415-844-(b)(6);
Cell: 415-305-(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 23 Mar 2017 11:12:07 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Q&A for LE

See below, additional DDOR language you may be able to use. Cleared by ICE yesterday.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 2:19 PM
To: Albence, Matthew; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T; Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Great edits – I don't think that is overly aggressive at all. Many thanks! Will send forward unless there was anything else from the group.

From: Albence, Matthew
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 2:10 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 1:08 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew; Miller, Philip T; Ragsdale, Daniel H; Robbins, Timothy S
Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Thanks (b)(6) Will stand by.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 1:05 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Looks good to me. Defer to other for their input.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Wednesday, Mar 22, 2017, 12:38 PM

To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Miller, Philip T (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C), Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Q&A for LE

Folks –

Before sending the Q&A requested by COS for D1 to the DHS FO, I wanted to make sure ICE concurred with the language. The DHS FO asked us to generate responses to the three questions posed below. Yesterday's DDOR response answers the first two. However, the third Q includes new language.

Please let me know if you have any edits/suggestions before we send them up to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Many thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Q1: How did ICE determine which jurisdictions to include on the Declined Detainer Outcome report?

(b)(5)

Q2: What can individual jurisdictions do to get themselves removed from the list, particularly if they have, in some fashion, attempted to cooperate with ICE?

(b)(5)

Q3: Why is ICE publicly calling state or local law enforcement agencies for not cooperating with the agency when ICE knows that these agencies' are prohibited from doing so by state or local laws/ordinances or court decisions affecting their jurisdictions?

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)
Department of Homeland Security
(202) 282-(b)(6)(o)
(202) 760-(b)(7)(c)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:20 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

; Homan, Thomas

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Just crossed emails, but agree with your point, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:04:46 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Thanks, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) please send the potential responses to me and (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) when y'all have them drafted. While some of these jurisdictions have laws and ordinances that prohibit them from participating, and some have leadership that prevents them based on political ideology, there are other jurisdictions that are just blatantly confused about the legality of the use of detainers. Their internal legal shops have given them advice based on interpretations of bad court decisions – some of which didn't involve ICE/DHS as a party, and the previous administration failed to intervene appropriately in the case.

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:00 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); Homan, Thomas (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Q&A for LE

Will do.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 9:55:40 PM

To: Homan, Thomas; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Q&A for LE

Hi all,

[] could you pls have your team develop some Q&As that (b)(6); and ICE can use in outreach and responses to LE to direct them to (a) work with their legislatures to change the laws and (b) (b)(6) can we direct them to DOJ since they have the legal detainer language?

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief of Staff

Department of Homeland Security

202-282 (b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 21 Mar 2017 15:01:17 +0000
To: ICEMedia
Subject: Inquiry about Montpelier, VT inclusion in Declined Detainer outcome report

Hello,

I'm hoping to speak with somebody concerning the Declined Detainer report issued yesterday. Montpelier, VT is listed as a jurisdiction with policies limiting cooperation with ICE due to a fair and impartial policing policy. My understanding is that the city's FIP policy mirrors a model statewide policy that most other communities in the state have adopted. My questions for ICE are as follows:

- What about Montpelier's FIP policy classified it to be included in the report?
- Why were other Vermont law enforcement agencies with similar FIP policies not included in the report?
- What are the ramifications of being included in the list?

My deadline is close-of-business today. You can reach me by email or phone at (802) 917-(b)(6);(

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Reporter, VTDigger.org
802.917. (b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 27 Mar 2017 16:28:23 -0400
To: #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: OPA - Yahoo News on Declined Detainer Report

ISSUE: PAO Arizona received a list of questions from Caitlin Dickson with Yahoo News New York related to the declined detainer report issued last week. PAO drafted responses utilizing OPA talking points provided to the field PAOs. Reporter is on deadline for today, Monday, CoB.

PROPOSED RESPONSE:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ICL: Public Affairs Officer/Spokesman

Email: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Desk: 602-200-(b)(6)

Cell: 602-469-(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 21 Mar 2017 17:40:41 +0000
To: ICEMedia
Subject: Questions on Declined Detainer Outcome Report.

Hello,

I'm a reporter with Newsday (on Long Island, New York) inquiring about the inclusion of Nassau County, New York, in the first weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report issued by ICE and DHS yesterday.

The policy listed in your report for Nassau County conflicts with what the sheriff's office has been telling us about their policy – since the county has previously stated that it does honor ICE as well as judicial detainees. I am also interested in hearing more about the potential consequences of not complying with these requests going forward.

The report doesn't detail how many detainees were issued in that jurisdiction and how many were honored, as compared to those declined. It also doesn't specify the reason they were declined or the type of cases/crimes those wanted people had been charged with committing.

I'd appreciate being able to speak to one of your spokespeople about these questions – or receiving a statement from your office that addresses these specific questions. I can be reached at the office number below or via this email address.

Best regards,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Staff Writer, Newsday

See my news updates on Twitter: @ (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Office: 631-843(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)* Fax: 631-843-2953
Snail mail: c/o Newsday, 235 Pinelawn Rd, Melville, N.Y. 11747

~~The information transmitted in this email and any of its attachments is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information concerning Altice USA and/or its affiliates and subsidiaries that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or subject to copyright. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you received this in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete and destroy the communication and all of the attachments you have received and all copies thereof.~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 21 Mar 2017 17:55:05 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Media Inquiry
Cc: ICEMedia
Subject: RE: ATTN: Detainer Outcome Report

Referring to our colleagues at ICE.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 1:53 PM
To: Media Inquiry <MediaInquiry@HQ.DHS.GOV>
Subject: ATTN: Detainer Outcome Report

To Whom It May Concern,

My name is (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and I am a writer with Alpha News MN. I was looking to get a quote for a story I'm working on. After reading the Detainer Outcome report, I spoke with the Hennepin County Sheriff's office who said the report was incorrect in some aspects and they cooperate with ICE to the fullest extent of the law.

I was wondering if you could provide me with background information on the County's cooperation with ICE and if there was a mistake in the report.

If you could provide information by my deadline of 5 pm that would be great.

Thank you for your time and I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent from my iPhone

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 18:52:03 -0700
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: BuzzFeed News inquiry

Thank you.

On Mon, Apr 10, 2017 at 6:17 PM, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) wrote:

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

You can use the following from me:

STATEMENT

ICE remains committed to publishing the most accurate information available regarding declined detainers across the country and continues to analyze and refine its reporting methodologies. While this analysis is ongoing, the publication of the Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) will be temporarily suspended. The DDOR has already sparked important conversations between ICE and law enforcement agencies across the nation, and the revised report will add to this discussion.

ICE continues to collaborate with all law enforcement agencies to help ensure that aliens who may pose a threat to our communities are not released onto the streets to potentially reoffend and harm individuals living within our communities. However, in some cases, state or local laws, ordinances or policies restrict or prohibit cooperation with ICE. In other cases, jurisdictions choose to willfully decline ICE detainers or requests for notification and release criminals back into the community.

When criminal aliens are released from local or state custody, they have the opportunity to reoffend. There are also many risks and uncertainties involved when apprehending dangerous criminal aliens at-large in the community. It takes careful planning and extensive resources to mitigate those risks and make a safe apprehension in a community setting. It is much safer for all involved – the community, law enforcement, and even the criminal alien – if ICE officers take custody in the controlled environment of another law enforcement agency.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 9:11 PM
To: ICEMedia <ICEMedia@ice.dhs.gov>, mediainquiry@dhs.gov <mediainquiry@dhs.gov>
Subject: BuzzFeed News inquiry

Good evening,

I wanted to confirm that DHS is no longer publishing their weekly reports on jurisdictions that refuse to work with federal immigration authorities in some capacity. I'd also like to get a reason as to why DHS is no longer publishing it. Thank you.


--



Adolfo


Flores | BuzzFeed

| Reporter |

o: (310) 307-

|

c:

(323) 519-

| @AFlores

 Los Angeles CA 90038
www.buzzfeed.com/adolfoflores

--



Adolfo Flores | BuzzFeed | Reporter | o: (310) 307-3829 | c: (323) 519-9540 | [@AFlores](https://twitter.com/AFlores)
6824 Lexington Avenue, Los Angeles CA 90038
www.buzzfeed.com/adolfoflores

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 22 Mar 2017 23:40:20 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) ICEMedia
Subject: RE: Comment on Detainer Report

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Here's a statement from me about the jurisdictions listed on the report:

"The jurisdictions reflected in ICE's Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) are jurisdictions that have in the past publically expressed unwillingness to fully comply with ICE's detainer requests or have not provided ICE with sufficient time to allow for the safe transfer of a detainee. ICE seeks cooperation from all its law enforcement partners to achieve our mutual goal of protecting public safety. Should a jurisdiction make a posture change with regard to their policies involving detainees that is captured as a matter of public record and that ICE can point to, ICE will revise the report accordingly."

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

o: 202.732 (b)(6)
m: 202.486 (b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 2:50 PM
To: ICEMedia
Subject: Comment on Detainer Report

Hello,

My name is (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and I'm the immigration reporter at Rewire. I'm covering the detainer report that was released yesterday and I was hoping you could comment on allegations that the report is inaccurate and misleading, as it characterizes all who were released from local jails as a result of ICE detainees not being honored as "criminal aliens," despite the fact that some of those released had not been convicted of any crime.

Similarly, why does the report not differentiate between arrests, charges, and convictions?

Lastly, why doesn't the report delve into reasons why local law enforcement agencies may not want to honor ICE detainer requests, including several federal court decisions that found detainer requests unconstitutional.

My deadline is 4 p.m. ET today.

Best,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Immigration Reporter

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) | 562.619 (b)(6);(

rewire.news

Follow us on Twitter

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 28 Mar 2017 19:43:44 -0400
To: Smith, Tadgh A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Corrections

I hear ya, let's talk in the morning. Seeing it both ways but want to hear you guys out too.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: Smith, Tadgh A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Tuesday, Mar 28, 2017, 7:22 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Corrections

The link provided is from the Governor to the head of State corrections, which appears to make it about the state and not just the city. Further, it references a restrictions from a previous letter. Do you you have that? If not, should we search the web? Do we need to reconcile with what the field report too? If you have a way to reference this policy and who to attribute it to, I'm all ears.

I'm not trying to be difficult, but we have been beat up all week on what was added at the last minute in the last DDOR.

If we are listing a state, we need to get it right.

-Tadgh

Tadgh Smith
DAD Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis Division
DHS/ICE/ERO

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (202) 427-(b)(6)
Office: (202) 732-(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Tuesday, Mar 28, 2017, 6:58 PM
To: Smith, Tadgh A (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Corrections

Tadgh – looking around regarding Baltimore’s status, and it looks like Baltimore City is an independent city and not part of any county. I hate to be a persistent thorn in your side, but we probably need it added. I’d like to say that the county ordinance covers it but that doesn’t appear to be the case here.

From: Smith, Tadgh A
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 6:18 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Corrections

(b)(5)

Please, can we resist any more changes? – we publish tomorrow. It is a weekly report. We can get to it in a future edition.

-Tadgh

Tadgh Smith
DAD Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis Division
DHS/ICE/ERO
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (202) 427-(b)(6)
Office: (202) 732-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 5:54 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Smith, Tadgh A
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR Corrections

See below – can we add Baltimore in section 3 please before posted tomorrow?

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 5:47 PM
To: LESA SOA

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR Corrections

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.732 (b)(6)
m: 202.486 (b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 4:19 PM
To: LESA SOA
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR Corrections

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Deputy Press Secretary (A)
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.732 (b)(6)
m: 202.486 (b)(6)

From: Ragsdale, Daniel H
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 21:26:19 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Albence, Matthew
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S;#ICE DD STAFF;#ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF;(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR statement/NYT article

10-4

Sent with BlackBerry Work

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Apr 10, 2017, 7:50 PM
To: Albence, Matthew (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Ragsdale, Daniel H
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: Robbins, Timothy S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE DD STAFF
<ICEDDSTAFF@ice.dhs.gov>, #ERO CHIEFS OF STAFF <EROCHIEFSOFSTAFF@ice.dhs.gov>,
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR statement/NYT article

Sirs,

After some back and forth with DIIS, here is the below statement will be provided to the media. The NYT has already published an article – it's pasted in below the statement.

STATEMENT

ICE remains committed to publishing the most accurate information available regarding declined detainers across the country and continues to analyze and refine its reporting methodologies. While this analysis is ongoing, the publication of the Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) will be temporarily suspended. The DDOR has already sparked important conversations between ICE and law enforcement agencies across the nation, and the revised report will add to this discussion.

ICE continues to collaborate with all law enforcement agencies to help ensure that aliens who may pose a threat to our communities are not released onto the streets to potentially reoffend and harm individuals living within our communities. However, in some cases, state or local laws, ordinances or policies restrict or prohibit cooperation with ICE. In other cases, jurisdictions choose to willfully decline ICE detainers or requests for notification and release criminals back into the community.

When criminal aliens are released from local or state custody, they have the opportunity to reoffend. There are also many risks and uncertainties involved when apprehending dangerous criminal aliens at-large in the community. It takes careful planning and extensive resources to mitigate those risks and make a safe apprehension in a community setting. It is much safer for all involved – the community, law enforcement, and even the

criminal alien – if ICE officers take custody in the controlled environment of another law enforcement agency.

ARTICLE

Trump Administration Halts Reports on Immigration Cooperation

WASHINGTON — The Trump administration will temporarily stop publication of a weekly report spotlighting cities and counties that fail to cooperate with federal immigration officials, people briefed on the change said, after several jurisdictions questioned the accuracy of the data.

The report, which is required by an executive order signed by President Trump in January, shows localities that decline requests from Immigration and Customs Enforcement officials to hold undocumented immigrants so they can be picked up later for deportation.

ICE officials say the lack of cooperation endangers Americans, but cities and counties named in the reports say they are an attempt to force them to collaborate with the immigration authorities.

Officials at ICE and the Department of Homeland Security did not immediately comment on the decision to suspend the report.

The first report, released last month, was intended to provide a complete tally of cities and counties that had declined requests from ICE. But it contained misleading information that prompted confusion and defiance among law enforcement officials from the jurisdictions named.

For example, Nisha Agarwal, commissioner of the New York City Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs, said the ICE report incorrectly listed the city as being uncooperative even though it does assist the immigration agency.

Ms. Agarwal said the city responded to ICE requests to detain people who had committed a violent crime or who were on a terrorism watch list. It does not respond to requests for people who have been convicted of minor crimes or have had charges against them dropped, she said.

One of the nation's largest counties — Nassau County, N.Y., on Long Island — was also listed as noncooperative in the first report. But the Nassau County Sheriff's Department said it was one of the first localities in the nation to agree to accept administrative warrants from the agency.

Officials there said ICE had apologized for including the county in its initial report.

ICE was also forced to apologize to Franklin County, Pa., for including it on a list of the top 10 noncompliant jurisdictions. The county said it had been erroneously placed on the list even though it provides reports on prisoners to ICE and grants the agency access to inmates for interviews.

Stephen Ritchey, assistant director at ICE's office in York, Pa., wrote, "On a personal note, I would like to apologize for the unwarranted attention this issue has placed on you and your staff."

In Minnesota, the Hennepin County Sheriff's Office called the report "incorrect in many ways" and also demanded an apology from ICE officials.

Sheriff Richard Stanek of Hennepin County said his office had cooperated with requests from ICE and notified immigration officials when two undocumented immigrants wanted by the federal authorities were going to be released. He said both inmates were transferred into ICE custody when they were released from jail.

During a news conference last month, Mr. Stanek displayed time-stamped pictures from his jail showing the two inmates being picked up by deportation agents the day they were released.

Jon Collins, a spokesman for the Hennepin County Sheriff's Office, said ICE had not issued a correction or an apology for its description of the county's cooperation.

Disagreements over whether ICE has the authority to force local law enforcement officials to hold inmates suspected of being undocumented immigrants for the federal authorities has been a point of contention for years.

ICE issues a so-called detainer to ask a city or a county to hold a person in custody after charges have been dismissed in a criminal case, or after the accused has posted bail or completed a sentence. The detainer gives the agency up to 48 hours to look into the person's immigration status and, where appropriate, begin deportation procedures.

But federal judges in Illinois, Oregon and Pennsylvania have ruled that accepting detainers without judicial warrants is unconstitutional and that counties could be held liable. A similar case on the lawfulness of detainers is pending before the Massachusetts Supreme Court.

Officials at the Department of Homeland Security said that they did not think the agency needed a warrant to ask local jurisdictions to hold immigrants believed to be undocumented, and that they considered the detainers legally sufficient.

The weekly reports are part of Mr. Trump's get-tough policies on illegal immigration. He has pledged to find, arrest and deport people who are in the country illegally, regardless of whether they have committed serious crimes; to build a wall on the border with Mexico; and to hire 15,000 new Border Patrol and ICE agents.

Mr. Trump has also directed the Department of Homeland Security to publicize crimes by undocumented immigrants, strip such immigrants of privacy protections, enlist local police officers as enforcers, build new detention facilities, discourage asylum seekers and, ultimately, speed up deportations.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 29 Mar 2017 17:27:54 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Dropped jurisdictions

Yes

Clay:
<http://www.news4jax.com/news/politics/clay-county-an-immigration-sanctuary-not-for-long>

Franklin:
<https://www2.law.temple.edu/csrf/files/a-changing-landscape.pdf>

Have to check on the public links for Nassau and Bedford....

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 5:19 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Dropped jurisdictions

Were these drops intentional: Bedford County and Franklin Counties in Pennsylvania, Nassau County in New York and Clay in Florida?

Also, from a reporter: for what it's worth, Philadelphia appears twice, on page 9 and page 20 and Polk County, Oregon, appears twice on page 19.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Deputy Press Secretary (A)
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.732.(b)(6)
m: 202.484.(b)(6);(

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 14:54:32 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Heads up

Probably because they didn't issue a press release indicating their non-compliance. These were derived from public announcements.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 2:45 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Heads up

This doesn't actually answer the question. Why isn't Baltimore City listed on here?

I'm going to give him the answer that this report, as stated on the call this morning, is an iterative process and we should expect to see some changes in the coming weeks, but I wanted to flag this for you.

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.732.(b)(6)
m: 202.486.(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 2:35 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Heads up

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 2:09 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: Heads up

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I have some suggested edits to the footnotes in the final section of the report.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Field Operations
Enforcement and Removal Operations
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Department of Homeland Security

(b)(6) (b)(6)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Email
SIPRnet
JWICS

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

From: Smith, Tadgh A

Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:55 PM

To:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

Subject: FW: Heads up

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Attached is the validated "notable crimes" version of the DDOR covering the week ending 2/3.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Your team can stand down on validating the all-crimes version of the 2/3 report. Instead, we would like you to focus on validating next week's report (2/10).

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) if you could get the team working on pulling all declined detainers since the EO with all crimes from CES, we can have (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) team sort on declined date and start validating those for the week of 2/10.

-Tadgh

Tadgh Smith

DAD Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis Division

DHS/ICE/ERO

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Mobile: (202) 427-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Office: (202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Date: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 at 1:43 PM

To: Tadgh Smith

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Heads up

Tadgh- see "notable criminal activity" version attached.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief, Strategy and Operations Analysis

DHS/ICE/ERO/LESA

202-732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) w

202-487-(b)(7)(C) c

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:24 PM
To: Smith, Tadgh A; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Heads up

Hey all –

Any updates to the DDOR? Will I have a draft soon?

From: Smith, Tadgh A
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 9:34 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Heads up

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I have seen a draft that is going through a quality review as I type this. So I'm confident we will make a 2pm deadline. What's new:

We will have the totally non-complaint list up front with the count of detainers issued that week (spoiler alert: the numbers are not that high because the order to issue in these counties hadn't gone out or had just gone out)

We have all crimes from CES listed ((b)(6);(b)(7)(C) is reviewing)

We have the policy indicators (an asterisk next to jurisdiction name) for those that have publicly stated policy and put back the appendix or ordinances.

We will not have immigration status added for a while.

-Tadgh

Tadgh Smith
DAD Law Enforcement Systems and Analysis Division
DHS/ICE/ERO

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: (202) 427-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Office: (202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 6:13:03 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Smith, Tadgh A
Subject: Heads up

Deadline for DDOR updates is 2pm tomorrow. Aggressive deadline.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Chief of Staff

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Enforcement and Removal Operations

Desk: 202-732-(b)(6)

Cell: 202-486-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 16:14:38 -0400
To:
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Thanks (b)(6).

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 4:10 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Here's the evolution of the DDOR questions from Schumer's office.

(b)(6);(b)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 4:44 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Hi (b)(6);

ERO has added a "Correction" banner to the DDOR report page (<https://www.ice.gov/declined-detainer-outcome-report>). If a municipality feels there's an error in our reporting, please email (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5);(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

V/R,

(b)(6);(b)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 4:39 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

I know there were a few other municipalities that feel they were incorrectly designated on this list. How did we deal with them?

Adding (b)(6);(b) for the City angle.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (Schumer)
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 4:14:51 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Incorrect Information in ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I hope you're doing well. As I mentioned on one of the recent DIIS briefing calls, the Department has incorrectly summarized New York City's ordinance and policies in the weekly ICE Declined Detainer Outcome Reports. I have copied attorneys with the city government. Could we please set up a time to discuss this? Given the fact that you all are releasing this report to the public on a weekly basis, we believe it is critical to get the policy right. I hope you agree.

Many thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
)

Counsel

CHARLES E. SCHUMER

United States Senate Democratic Leader

Office: 202-224-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 15:15:36 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: incorrect NY information in ICE Detainer Report

So it looks like 2013 is accurate then.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 3:04 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: incorrect NY information in ICE Detainer Report

This is the march 2013 law:

<http://legistar.council.nyc.gov/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=1265017&GUID=0D6DEEAF-2ED7-45AD-A9DF-27088096F9B2>.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.732 (b)(6);
m: 202.486 (b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 2:55 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: incorrect NY information in ICE Detainer Report

Punt concerns to the CROs and local field offices.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 2:48 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: incorrect NY information in ICE Detainer Report

I know this question was addressed on the Congressional call this afternoon, but I had to hang up before it was answered. How did we respond?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.732 (b)(6);
m: 202.486 (b)(6);

From: Robbins, Liz (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Monday, Mar 20, 2017, 2:08 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: incorrect NY information in ICE Detainer Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I'm working on the piece about the ICE detainer reports and I see a glaring factual error about NY. The law was enacted in 2014, not 2013, and it does provide for cooperation with ICE under specific circumstances.

Could you or someone at HQ please comment?

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

--

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Metro Immigration Reporter

New York Times

212-556-(b)(6) (o)

917-207-(b)(7) (c)

@nytlizrobbins

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 18:48:52 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) ICEMedia
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Media Interview Request -

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thank you and yes, I have both read the website and been engaged in reporting on immigration issues as I live 15 minutes from the border.

Here are my questions:

The Whatcom County Sheriff's Office is listed as non-compliant, despite the stated position of the Sheriff that he is withheld from honoring the detainers by means of court finding. The FAQ lists an answer for why the jurisdiction is listed, but how is that jurisdiction supposed to move into compliance with ICE without violating the understood terms of a court enforced order? Further, how can it be merely a Sheriff's decision to comply with a court order, when the court is the one commanding the action, or laying out the framework of action that would be considered illegal?

The City of Bellingham, our counties largest city, has also publicly stated, and adopted as policy, that it will not utilize police resources to assist in immigration enforcement efforts. Is ICE aware of this, and do they intend to add that jurisdiction to the list pending review?

My deadline is 2:30p Pacific.

Thank you-

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

KGMI News/Talk 790, 96.5 FM in Bellingham
O: 360-733-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
<http://kgmi.com>

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 11:34 AM
To: Peter Wagner (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) ICEMedia <ICEMedia@ice.dhs.gov>
Subject: RE: Media Interview Request -

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I want to be sure you've reviewed the fact sheet and FAQ we put out with the report. You can find them [here](#).

After that, please send us your questions in writing and we'll get back to you as soon as we're able.

Regards,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Office: 202-732-(b)(6)
Mobile: 202-595-(b)(6);d

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 2:30 PM
To: ICEMedia
Subject: Media Interview Request -

Hello-

My name is (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) with KGMI News/Talk 790 in Bellingham. Per the release of the "Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report," we have a few questions regarding local agencies and the memo at large.

Would you be available to answer questions over the phone some time today?

My direct line is 360-733-(b)(6);(b)

Thank you-

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

KGMI News/Talk 790, 96.5 FM in Bellingham
O: 360-733-(b)(6);d
<http://kgmi.com>

~~This email message, including attachments, may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please delete it. Saga Communications, Inc. and all its subsidiaries and stations that it owns or operates prohibit all forms of discrimination in advertising contracts. We do not, and shall not, discriminate on the basis of race, ethnicity, or gender regarding advertising practices. All advertising agencies warrant, where applicable, that all radio and/or television commercials provided to our stations are properly licensed to be broadcast on radio and/or television stations and the associated internet streams.~~

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 21 Mar 2017 13:57:27 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: On deadline, can you please explain ICE weekly detainer report

Below is what we've used in the past...I think Tom covered the spirit of what's here without the citations...

When ICE files a detainer, we are seeking the custody of an alien to enforce a civil/administrative immigration matter. Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure authorizes federal court judges to issue arrest warrants. However, there is no known mechanism for a federal judge or magistrate to issue an arrest warrant in civil/administrative matters. The authority to issue an administrative arrest warrant has been granted to certain immigration officers (8 CFR 236.1) however the mayor's executive order does not recognize these warrants as 'judicial orders.'

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 1:55 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: On deadline, can you please explain ICE weekly detainer report

How did Tom explain the warrant issue in NYC on background? I'd like the answer I give her to mirror what he said.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.733 (b)(6);
m: 202.486 (b)(6);

From: Beth Fertig (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 1:46 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: On deadline, can you please explain ICE weekly detainer report

Thanks, here's all I need to know because I'm going to focus on NYC:

There are 4 people the city declined to turn over, listed on page 6. NYC's policy is to turn people over if there's a warrant and if they've committed one of more than 170 serious crimes. Do you know if there were warrants in these cases?

Also – I'm confused about the column that says "Detainer issue date." What does that mean? In many cases it was years ago. Is that when the person was first charged with an offense (or convicted)? Does that mean ICE, at that time, asked for them to be turned over ... but they weren't?

Does ICE intend to update the language on page 32 that says the city doesn't turn people over, because it does if there's a warrant.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 1:31 PM
To: Beth Fertig
Subject: FW: On deadline, can you please explain ICE weekly detainer report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

In response to your first question, jurisdictions listed in section 1 are a subsection of those listed in section 3. Section 2 is only a report of detainers that were declined during the reporting period.

I'll look into the other issues for you. I don't think I'll make 4 PM, but I will try.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

o: 202.732 (b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 12:29 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: On deadline, can you please explain ICE weekly detainer report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I was reading this and I have a couple of questions, I believe you are the correct people to ask but please let me know if there is someone else.

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/03/20/us/politics/ice-report-undocumented-immigrants.html?smid=tw-share&_r=0

I went back and looked at the report that was published yesterday on the ICE website. I'm confused by a few things and I'm wondering if you could please help.

- 1) on page 2, it says Nassau County is among the noncooperative counties with the highest volume of detainers, saying 38 were issued. But when I closely read the report there were no recorded declined detainers in Nassau County between pages 3 and 22. Is this why Nassau County says it was incorrectly put on the list? If so, is it true that 38 detainers were issued in Nassau County? Were those honored?
- 2) The New York Times report quotes a New York City official saying ICE did not correctly describe the local policy because they will turn people over if there is a warrant, for something like 170 serious felonies. Will this be updated in your next report?
- 3) Are there other counties in New York and New Jersey where you were told of incorrect information that you plan to update?

Thank you very much I would love to get something by 4 PM. I am planning to write something for the local WNYC newscasts.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Senior Reporter, covering courts and legal affairs in NYC

646-829-(b)(6) office

917-658-(b)(6) cell

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(Occasional odd grammar & spelling mistakes may result from using Dragon dictation software)

From: Gomez, Alan
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 21:59:19 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: One more followup

Ugh. Let me go correct my correction.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 5:55 PM
To: Gomez, Alan <agomez@usatoday.com>
Subject: RE: One more followup

Yeah, that's a coding error. I meant to tell you when I had you on the phone. Those should all be Travis County Jail.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
o: 202.732.(b)(6)
m: 202.486.(b)(6);

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 5:54 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: One more followup

The biggest number of detainer denials (128) came from "Travis City Jail" in Travis County, Texas. We cannot identify any such jail. Is that the Austin Police Department? And is that separate from denials by the Travis County Jail?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Reporter
USA Today
Miami, Fla.
Office: (305) 960-(b)(6);
Cell: (703) 870-(b)(6);
Twitter: @ (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
www.usato (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 24 Mar 2017 17:39:20 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: OPA - Las Vegas Review Journal on DDOR

Cleared by ERO.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
[REDACTED]
(b)(6);
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
EROCongressional@ice.dhs.gov

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 5:21 PM
To: #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: OPA - Las Vegas Review Journal on DDOR

ISSUE: A reporter with the Las Vegas Review Journal is seeking clarification as to Clark County (Nev.) listing in the Declined Detainer Report as a jurisdiction whose stated policies limit cooperation with ICE. Reporter is also asking if Secure Communities is currently in effect. PAO intends to provide the proposed response below:

BACKKGROUND:

According to the reporter, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department claims that they DO cooperate with ICE, and that ICE is using dated policy from 2014 to determine its non-cooperation status.

PROPOSED RESPONSE:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Public Affairs Officer/Spokeswoman
Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)
Western Region Laguna Niguel, California
949-360-(b)(6)(Office)
949-337-(b)(6)(Cell)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:04 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: LVMPD

Hey (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Happy Friday!

I'm putting the finishing touches on my follow up on the DDOR report, and I had some lingering questions I was hoping you could help with.

(b)(6);(b) gave me some great stuff clarifying ICE guidelines and explaining what full compliance looks like, but she couldn't really get into jurisdiction-specific stuff since she's in Washington.

I guess the main question I have is whether ICE's characterization of Clark County as noncooperative is based solely on that 2014 press release or if there are other issues with the police department's enforcement. Are they declining detainers or notifications or anything like that?

I'm also curious about the Secure Communities fingerprint database. Is that program up and running again (I saw on the ICE website it had been suspended in 2014 until January).

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Las Vegas Review-Journal
702-383-(b)(6);
@ (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 23 Mar 2017 14:17:00 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) I made some recommended changes including to correcting the spelling for publicly.

Proposed response:

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Director of Communications, Central Region (Spokesman)
based in Dallas, TX
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) www.ice.gov

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

214-905-(b)(6)(office)
214-850-(b)(6)(cell)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 1:09 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: OPA ISSUE: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

Do you guys want to clean this up, then I'll send to DHS for their viz?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Office: 202-732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Mobile: 202-595-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 1:41 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Banieceke, Scott R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Please see below. May need a little tweaking to improve the flow. Thanks!

Matt

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 12:57 PM

To: #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Banieceke, Scott R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

Apologies, these two issue papers got disconnected, but we do have a more specific statement we're proposing below (came up in a separate OPA issue paper at 12:20 p.m.). We will await your edits/clearance:

Issue: Numerous media outlets in the St. Paul AOR have requested additional ICE comment with regard to the Declined Detainer Outcome Report. Deadline: Thursday

Proposed response:

(b)(5)

Regards,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Office: 202-732-(b)(6);
Mobile: 202-595-(b)(6);(b)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: Albence, Matthew

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 12:48 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: OPA ISSUE: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(5)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 12:40 PM

To: #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: OPA ISSUE: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

Importance: High

Heads up Hennepin (Minn) County Sheriff and the County Attorney are holding a local press conference today to "present evidence" that the DDOR contains inaccurate info about their county's cooperation.

We'll plan to respond to queries with this statement:

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)

Office of Public Affairs

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Office: 202-732-(b)(6);

Mobile: 202-595-(b)(6);

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 12:32 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

Subject: FW: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

Importance: High

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) See (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) note below regarding a Minn. News conference today about DDOR.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Director of Communications, Central Region (Spokesman)
based in Dallas, TX
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) www.ice.gov

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

214-905-(b)(6)(office)
214-850-(b)(6)(cell)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2017 11:25 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR - Local Sheriff holding press conference today

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Hennepin County Sheriff Rich Stanek and County Attorney (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) are holding a press conference later today to “provide evidence” that ICE’s DDOR is factually inaccurate.

ERO St. Paul, via law enforcement contacts, was able to learn that the Sheriff and Atty are going to show a video, which depicts ICE officers walking two individuals out of the jail (the two people are listed as declined detainees from the recent DDOR).

What the video will likely be unable to show is that ICE was notified late, scrambled to get there, and had we not arrived right away the two would have been released. Further, Sheriff Stanek and Cty Atty Freeman publicly stated in 2014 that the county would not honor ICE detainees (see link below). So the factual basis of the report really isn’t in question A: they’ve issued public statements/documents stating non-cooperation; and B: while they may give arbitrary notification on some cases, they are not complying with the I-247 (in whichever form applies).

I plan to hold a background discussion with the local daily reporter covering the press conference. I’ll use the formal statement I just sent up as the ICE statement, but on background will clarify for media the nuances in the report and the county’s position.

<http://www.startribune.com/henn-co-jail-will-stop-honoring-feds-request-to-hold-immigration-violators/262719361/>

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Public Affairs Officer

Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)
Desk/Cell: 612-843-(b)(6)

Covering the following states: MN, IA, NE, ND, SD, KS, MO & New England

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 23 Mar 2017 12:46:04 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C); #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper
Cc: Baniecke, Scott R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: OPA Issue Paper: St. Paul AOR inquiries on the DDOR

Cleared by ERO.

Sent with BlackBerry Work (www.blackberry.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Date: Thursday, Mar 23, 2017, 12:20 PM
To: #ICE OPA ERO Issue Paper <ICEOPAEROIssuePaper@ice.dhs.gov>
Cc: Baniecke, Scott R; (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: OPA Issue Paper: St. Paul AOR inquiries on the DDOR

Issue: Numerous media outlets in the St. Paul AOR have requested additional ICE comment with regard to the Declined Detainer Outcome Report. Deadline: Thursday

Proposed response:

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Public Affairs Officer

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Desk/Cell: 612-843-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 22 Mar 2017 12:47:47 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

Adding (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) who is our OPA lead on this.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)
Office of Public Affairs
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Office: 202-732-(b)(6)
Mobile: 202-595-(b)(6)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 12:45 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

See news article below... Has ERO and/or OPA have a response? thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Office of Congressional Relations
(202) 732-(b)(6)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 12:42 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

Please see the Omaha World Herald article below. Can you confirm the section of the report suggesting that the listing of Montgomery, Iowa was a mistake? If not, as discussed, I would appreciate any evidence you can provide that Montgomery County, Iowa was appropriately listed in Section I of the report.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Iowa's Montgomery County mistakenly included on list of places not complying with Trump's immigration policy

- By Andrew J. Nelson / World-Herald staff writer
- Mar 21, 2017 Updated Mar 21, 2017

U.S. immigration officials appear to have erroneously placed a southwest Iowa county on the top-10 list of U.S. counties not complying with Trump administration policy on immigrants suspected of a crime.

Montgomery County, where Red Oak is the county seat, has found itself on the list of counties that Immigration and Customs Enforcement says routinely decline to hold immigrant suspects in jail for ICE to check their legal status.

County Sheriff Joe Sampson said he was surprised by the news.

“We have no qualms about working with ICE,” Sampson said.

On Monday, Immigration and Customs Enforcement published a Declined Detainer Outcome Report, naming local jurisdictions it says didn’t cooperate during the week of Jan. 28 to Feb. 3, 2017.

The report was prompted by an executive order signed by President Donald Trump in January. The order called on the government to document which jurisdictions aren't working with federal authorities to locate and deport immigrants in the U.S. illegally.

One section lists the top 10 counties where the agency has issued detainers and where the county has a policy of noncooperation, according to ICE.

Montgomery County, Iowa, ranked fourth along with a county in Washington state. That placed Red Oak in the company of cities like Las Vegas and Chicago.

A detainer is a request by ICE that an immigrant be turned over to ICE before being released from custody. A declined detainer is when law enforcement releases an individual from custody in a lawful manner, but irrespective of immigration concerns.

According to the federal report, the agency requested that 12 people in Montgomery County, Iowa, be detained that week.

Sampson said Montgomery County has not had an inmate in its jail with an immigration issue since late last year.

An immigration spokeswoman told The World-Herald Monday that the agency would check into the listing, but had not called back as of press time.

After The World-Herald called Sampson, the sheriff contacted ICE. Sampson said he was told that the listing was a mistake. The agency told Sampson it meant to list Montgomery County, Maryland, he said. The Maryland county is adjacent to Washington, D.C.

Some jails and police agencies around the U.S. have opted in recent years not to cooperate with immigration authorities on detainees.

Some local law enforcement officials cite federal court rulings that people cannot be held in local jails strictly because of their immigration status. Other jurisdictions have passed local ordinances barring cooperation with ICE.

This report contains material from The Associated Press.

http://www.omaha.com/news/iowa/iowa-s-montgomery-county-mistakenly-included-on-list-of-places/article_c8da8d10-4217-5a20-bce8-0a64ffe57c62.html

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

General Counsel

Senator Joni Ernst

111 Russell Senate Office Building

Direct: 202-224-(b)(6);(



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 1:05 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

I will be available after 2 pm

292-732 (b)(6);(

Sent with Good (www.good.com)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 12:46:28 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Cc:

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I'm enroute to a meeting in the Hill with our Acting Director. Would you mind giving (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (cc'd) a call?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Assistant Director
ICE Office of Congressional Relations

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 12:39:00 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Is there a number I can reach you on? I need to ask a follow up question.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

General Counsel
Senator Joni Ernst
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Direct: 202-224-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 11:39 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

Correct. 12 (out of the 157 total detainers issued to the 10 counties listed in Section I) in one week for Montgomery County, IA for the period of Jan 28 – Feb 3, 2017.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 11:30 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

But 12 in one week, as the report suggests? There are only 10,000 people in that county.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

General Counsel

Senator Joni Ernst

111 Russell Senate Office Building

Direct: 202-224-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 11:12 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I check with ERO. The indicate Section I is accurate in that we issued detainers to that location that week.

V/R,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 11:05 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

I understand that is why they were listed in Section III, but what I really want to confirm is that they were accurately included in Section I. They are both such small counties, so we were really surprised to see that they reportedly declined to comply with 12 (Montgomery) and 5 (Franklin) ICE detainers within the span of just one week. Can you go back to your ERO folks and pose that specific question about Section I?

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

General Counsel

Senator Joni Ernst

111 Russell Senate Office Building

Direct: 202-224-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:41 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Here's the location from which we pulled data that informed our listing of Montgomery and Franklin Counties:

<http://www.aclu-ia.org/2014/08/18/nineteen-iowa-county-jails-no-longer-honoring-ice-detainer-requests/>

Hope that helps,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 9:05 AM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Question re: Declined Detainer Report

Importance: High

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

We were very surprised to see the Declined Detainer Outcome Report include in Section I Montgomery County, Iowa and Franklin County, Iowa. Is it possible that this was a mistake? They are both very small counties, and they are also common names – is it possible that DHS intended to list another state?

https://www.ice.gov/doclib/ddor/ddor2017_01-28to02-03.pdf

Appreciate you checking into this for me asap. One of the counties listed is my boss's home county.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

General Counsel

Senator Joni Ernst

111 Russell Senate Office Building

Direct: 202-224-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)



From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 5 Apr 2017 12:59:33 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: ICEMedia
Subject: RE: Sheriffs and Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Yeah. Fun stuff. Got it.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 8:58 AM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: ICEMedia
Subject: RE: Sheriffs and Declined Detainer Outcome Report

Looks like this is you?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Public Affairs Officer/Spokesperson
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)
202-732-(b)(6) (desk)
202-641-(b)(7) (cell)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2017 8:54 AM
To: ICEMedia
Subject: Sheriffs and Declined Detainer Outcome Report

I'm a political reporter in Florida, following up on a press conference held yesterday by the Florida Sheriffs Association in which leaders of that group complained that ICE/DHS is demanding they serve detainers on jail prisoners that the sheriffs say they cannot legally do. They also further complain that the sheriffs who do not serve such detainers are set up for public criticism by the office's weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report.

I'd like to talk to someone at ICE this morning about this gulf in cooperation between sheriffs and ICE, and find out more about what the expectations are when these detainers are provided to sheriffs.

If someone can get in touch with me, I can best be reached at 407-234-(b)(6) or
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Thanks.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Central Florida Correspondent

www.FloridaPolitics.com

www.Orlando-Rising.com

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

407-234-(b)(6);

@S (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 23:48:32 +0000
To: ICEMedia
Subject: DDOR report and Clark County

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing about the DHS report out today that lists Clark County as the "non-cooperating jurisdiction" with the highest amount of detainer requests at the end of January. LVMPD has told me they think the characterization is unfair and said they do cooperate with your agency.

The report didn't offer much data to support that characterization -- it read like it was based on press reports from 2014. Is there any data about Clark County you can share that would shed light on that?

I spoke very briefly with our regional contact and she said I had to take this up with you guys...

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Las Vegas Review-Journal

702-383-(b)(6)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 19:40:44 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: DDOR statement/RTQ

Per a series of emails this afternoon with Tom Homan, Tim Robbins and our FO, I understand you all are working a statement/RTQ on the temporary suspension of the DDOR. Can one of you give me a call when you can?

FYI, I have received queries from ABC and NYT thus far. Are you getting queries as well?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary
Office of Public Affairs
Department of Homeland Security

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
202-447-(b)(6)(o)
202-768-(b)(7)(m)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 22:03:20 +0000
To: ICEMedia
Subject: Declined Detainer Outcome Report

The Declined Detainer Outcome Report that you posted on the ice.gov website appears to have an error in Section III. The table that list the "Jurisdiction" shows "Travis County Texas (San Antonio)."

Travis County **does not** include San Antonio TX. In fact, **San Antonio** (along with a few other incorporated cities, e.g. Alamo Heights, Leon Valley, etc) TX is in **Bexar County** TX, not Travis County. Travis county includes the capital and city of Austin TX. Is this what ICE meant to show in parentheses after "Travis County Texas" in Section III of the Report?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
San Antonio (Bexar Co) TX

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 20 Mar 2017 18:07:23 +0000
To: ICEMedia
Subject: detainers

Hi, with respect to this report, https://www.ice.gov/doclib/ddor/ddor2017_01-28to02-03.pdf

I have some questions:

1. Does each rejected detainer represent a different person? In other words, could there be more than one detainer for an individual? There are several cases where there might be four detainers with the same charge on the same day. It looks like that might represent four different counts against the same person. Trying to clarify that.
2. Also, the table refers to Travis County (San Antonio) and Montour County (Philadelphia). Both seem to be wrong. Montour County is nowhere near Philadelphia and Travis County is Houston, not San Antonio. Are those just errors, or am I missing something?
3. There are some cities that rejected detainer requests that do not have sanctuary policies. Could you explain why they were rejected?
4. The report mentions that the numbers will grow because of instructions to begin regularly issue detainers even to jurisdictions that have these policies. Do you have an estimate as to how many might be rejected in a typical week under that policy?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Senior political reporter
LifeZette
251-510 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

LifeZette
Life. Explained.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 21 Mar 2017 18:22:17 +0000
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: Draft DDOR Statement

Hey (b)(6)–

As discussed:

(b)(5)

Thoughts?

Thanks!

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Press Secretary (Acting)
Department of Homeland Security
(202) 282-(b)(6)(o)
(202) 760-(b)(7)(c)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: 10 Apr 2017 16:08:54 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc:
Subject: FW: DDOR Publication Suspended

Per your request.

V/R,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 1:28 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (Schumer)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR Publication Suspended

Good afternoon, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Just wanted to give you a heads up that the weekly publication of the Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) has been temporarily suspended to allow our Enforcement and Removal Operations team time to rework the product to more accurately reflect public policy and the result of declined detainers. We're probably looking at approximately 3-4 weeks before its back online.

If you have questions or need additional information, please let us know.

Have a good weekend,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Relations | U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)@ice.dhs.gov

(b)(5);WIF Draft

Sincerely,

(b)(5);WIF Draft

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 3:48 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: FW: DDOR

FYI

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 3:47 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Seguin, Debbie (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Got it – thank you

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 3:46 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Seguin, Debbie (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

The DDOR is still on hold as we continue to work with NSA and our field offices to validate the information, per the direction of (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). We hope to complete validation by the end of next week and republication shortly thereafter.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(A) Deputy Chief of Staff
Enforcement and Removal Operations
500 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20536
Desk: (202) 732- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Cell: (973) 558- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 3:34 PM
To: Seguin, Debbie (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Any sense if it will be posted next week?

From: Seguin, Debbie
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 2:05 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR

Adding (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 2:00 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Seguin, Debbie

Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

I still think this is being worked within ERO as I haven't seen anything new in my office yet but adding (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) to chime in.

Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Chief Data Officer

Information Governance & Privacy

U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement

Direct: (202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Mobile: (202) 815-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Questions? Please visit the Information Governance & Privacy Office website at <https://insight.ice.dhs.gov/mgt/ooop/Pages/index.aspx>

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 1:53 PM

To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: DDOR

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

– I'm checking in on the status of the DDOR. Last week's report stated that ICE would repost the DDOR to its website this week. Do you know if that has happened? If not, do you know when it's expected to happen? Thanks

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 5:21 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Status?

ERO is doing data validation on the information in the mock-up and undergoing leadership review/clearance. Our posting deadline is 5/10 (30 days out from 4/12).

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 5:01 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Status?

Thanks.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 3:35 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: DDOR - Status?

We have a mock-up of the new format due to the Department tomorrow. Let me get an update as to where we are on that. Standby.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Acting Deputy Executive Associate Director
Management & Administration
U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement
202-732 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 3:20 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: DDOR - Status?

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Any updates on when we will see the new methodology/format and when you project the next report will be posted?

Thanks.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

**Chief of Staff, EO Implementation Task Force &
Deputy Presidential Transition Officer
Department of Homeland Security
202-284-**

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
To: LC Exec Sec
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Incoming Congressional Representative Elise Stefanik - Inquiry on behalf of Franklin County Sheriff (Intranet Quorum IMA00104288)
Date: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 3:23:01 PM
Attachments: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) - letter to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C).pdf
LCExternalFile.txt

The attached inquiry and accompanying message below was received in the Office of Legislative Affairs via Congress to DHS. The attached inquiry is not addressed to S1, S2 of OLA A/S so we are forwarding the inquiry to your office for direct reply. Please acknowledge receipt and provide a tracking number for the record and reference

From: Office of Representative Elise Stefanik (imailagent)
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2017 3:16:08 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: Congress to DHS
Subject: Representative Elise Stefanik - Inquiry on behalf of Franklin County Sheriff (Intranet Quorum IMA00104288)

2

April 4, 2017

Congressional Liaison
Department Of Homeland Security
301 7th Street SW, Mail Stop 0150
Washington, DC 20528-0001

Dear Congressional Liaison,

Enclosed is a copy of correspondence I have received from my constituent, Franklin County Sheriff Kevin Mulverhill, addressed to Mr. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) at New York State Division of Homeland Security, concerning an issue he is experiencing with the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

I would appreciate it if you would review the enclosed letter and provide me with any information that may be helpful to my constituent. I am grateful for any assistance you may be able to provide in this matter and look forward to your timely response. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) in my Plattsburgh District Office at 518-561 (b)(6);(b)(7)(C).

Sincerely,

2
ELISE STEFANIK
Member of Congress

2 2

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 4:07 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: DHS/ICE Issues

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

As you are aware the Department of Homeland Security recently publicly released the “Weekly Declined Detainer Outcome Report”. This report specifically addresses Non-Cooperative Jurisdictions in regard to ICE Detainers and further identifies agencies that have declined detainers and those agencies that have enacted policies which limit cooperation with ICE. This particular report was generated for the time period between January 28, 2017 to February 3, 2017. I should also add this report was released to the media. I was shocked and appalled at the inaccuracies that were reported about my County’s cooperation and compliance with ICE Detainers. Page 2 of your report reflected that Franklin County New York did not comply with 9 Detainers during the reporting period, which actually placed us within the top 10 of non-complying agencies in the entire country. Please allow me to give a little background: Franklin County is located in Northern New York State along the Canadian Border it is a rural area with a total population of just over 50,000 people. I have been the Franklin County Sheriff for over 6 years. In our area agency cooperation is imperative for officer safety and community security. I stress that the cooperation between Law Enforcement agencies in and around this county is among the best in the country. With that being said I firmly deny that any ICE detainers were issued in Franklin County New York during the time period reported and further that during my tenure as Sheriff there has not been any person or persons released from my custody with an ICE Detainer pending. If memory serves me I don’t believe we have had a total of 9 Detainers issued to this agency in the entire 6 years I have been here.

On page 27 of the aforementioned report Franklin County New York is again listed along with a comment “Will not honor ICE detainer without a warrant”. This dates back to August of 2014 and I believe reflects a position that was taken by most of the New York State Sheriff’s based upon advice given to us from the New York State Sheriff’s Association. I was under the impression at the time that Detainers were under review by a Federal Court and would most likely be heavily scrutinized. Under the advice of Council I enacted a policy that requested a warrant

accompany the ICE Detainer. This was in no way a signal of non-cooperation it was merely an attempt to prevent any possible litigation that could have been brought about as a result of holding an individual on just a Detainer. To my knowledge asking for a warrant is a reasonable request that may require some additional work on the part of ICE however does not interfere with the implied cooperation between agencies. To this day I have not been contacted by ICE or any ICE Official and advised that asking for a warrant to accompany an ICE Detainer would be interpreted as noncooperation. It was not until this document was released that I was even made aware that Franklin County New York appeared on any list produced by ICE or DHS. The misinformation contained in this document and then released to the public and the media has caused harm to the relationship between my Office and the people I serve. As an elected official I answer directly to the voters within my county and this reports indicates to them that I have refused to entertain ICE Detainers and that I have been uncooperative with ICE and DHS. Further that I have released individuals from my custody that are wanted by ICE or DHS and I have allowed these criminals to go free from my jail knowing they were committed a crime. I have spent the last 3 days on contacting constituents and media in an effort to undo the damage that has been done by this false report. I don't know what corrective action can be taken I am extremely frustrated by the events and how this has unfolded. As stated the position I hold is of a political nature and I hope to run for office again next year. Without a doubt this report will be used in a campaign against me. President Trump has come out against "Fake News" How dare DHS and ICE produce a document with inaccurate information. Trust is not easy to earn, easy to lose and difficult to regain. I look forward to hearing from you.

In kind regards

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Franklin County Sheriff

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 28, 2017, at 10:45 AM, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) wrote:

Hi (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Just wanted to send this over to make sure you have my e-mail address. Thanks!

Best,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

--

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Regional Director Plattsburgh

Congresswoman Elise M. Stefanik (NY 21)

23 Durkee Street, Suite C, Plattsburgh, NY 12901

c: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) | o: (518) 561- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) e: (518) 502- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

ESEC Workflow - (b)(7)(E)

This workflow is OPEN and is assigned to (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Workflow Status: OPEN **Created:** 3/16/2017 **Priority:** 9
Created By: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) **Due Date:** 3/30/2017

Primary Person: Bob Marshall*
Virginia House of Delegates
P.O. Box 421
Manassas, VA 20108-0421

People (associated with this workflow): The Honorable John F. Kelly
Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20528

Bob Marshall
Virginia House of Delegates
P.O. Box 421
Manassas, VA 20108-0421

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) @hq.dhs.gov

Agency Contacts:

Workflow Codes: (b)(7)(E)

Workflow User Defined Fields: Draft Due to ESEC: 03/28/2017

Draft Received in ESEC:Reply Direct Final Due Date:

ESEC Folder Number (ESEC Use Only):

(b)(7)(E)

To:

Secretary

cc:

Referred By:

Reference Number:

Accountable Mail Number:

Mode:

Email

Document Date:

03/16/2017

Postmark Date: (use only if no document date)

*Received Date:

03/16/2017

*Attachment:

Yes

Significant Correspondence (ESEC Use Only):

Yes

*Summary of Document:

Writes regarding a recent bill pertaining to

convicted inmates that was vetoed.

Lead Component (ESEC Use Only)

ICE

Team (ESEC Use Only)

ESEC-External Liaison

*Category:

State and Local

*Type:

State and Local - State Government

For Reporting Purposes Only.

Overdue Report - ESEC Reporting Only

*Action to be Taken:

Prepare For Front Office

Clearance/Component Head To Sign

Status:

Action:

Component:

OGC

Clearance Started:
Clearance Due:
Cleared By:
Cleared on Date:
Comments:
Attachment:
Component: MGMT
Clearance Started:
Clearance Due:
Cleared By:
Cleared on Date:
Comments:
Attachment:
Component: PLCY
Clearance Started:
Clearance Due:
Cleared By:
Cleared on Date:
Comments:
Attachment:
Component: CRCL
Clearance Started:
Clearance Due:
Cleared By:
Cleared on Date:
Comments:
Attachment:
*Signed By (ESEC Use Only):
*Date Response Signed:
*Action Completed:

*Complete on Time:
If No, Reason:
Distribution:
Accountable Mail Vendor:
Document Name:
File Code:

IQ Number:
ES Number:
Date Forwarded:
Signature Level:
Office Reviewing:
W/Office as of Date:
Summary of Document:
convicted inmates that was vetoed.
Classified:
Notes:
If Other describe:
Date Returned ESEC:
ESEC Received:
ESEC Team:
Workflow Start Date:
Signed:

(b)(7)(E)

Writes regarding a recent bill pertaining to

Comments: 3/17 - Sig Report

Workflow ID#: (b)(7)(E) Page 2

Workflow Notes:

Step Notes:

Step #1 - Initial Entry

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:36 PM	Field 'IQ Number' changed from 'Nothing' to (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Attached File -
(b)(7)(E)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Added Code(s): (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Comments changed from 'Nothing' to '3/17 - Sig Report'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'Draft Due to ESEC:' changed from 'Nothing' to '03/28/2017'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'ESEC Folder Number (ESEC Use Only)' changed from 'Nothing' to '17-1591'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'To' changed from 'Nothing' to 'Secretary'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'Mode' changed from 'Nothing' to 'Email'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'Document Date' changed from 'Nothing' to '03/16/2017'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field '*Received Date' changed from 'Nothing' to '03/16/2017'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field '*Attachment' changed from 'Nothing' to 'Yes'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'Significant Correspondence (ESEC Use Only)' changed from 'Nothing' to 'Yes'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field '*Summary of Document' changed from 'Nothing' to 'Writes regarding recent bill that was vetoed'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'Lead Component (ESEC Use Only)' changed from 'Nothing' to 'ICE'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field 'Team (ESEC Use Only)' changed from 'Nothing' to 'ESEC-External Liaison'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field '*Category' changed from 'Nothing' to 'State and Local'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field '*Type' changed from 'Nothing' to 'State and Local - State Government'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:39 PM	Field '*Action to be Taken' changed from 'Nothing' to 'Prepare For Front Office Clearance/Component Head To Sign'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:41 PM	Attached People Record - (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:43 PM	Attached People Record - (b)(7)(E) (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(7)(E)	3/16/2017 5:44 PM	Added Role 'Interested Party' for The Honorable (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:44 PM	Added Role 'Sender' for (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:44 PM	Primary Person changed from (b)(7)(E)
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:48 PM	Field '*Summary of Document' changed from 'Writes regarding recent bill that was vetoed.' to 'Writes regarding a recent bill pertaining to convicted inmates that was vetoed.'
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:48 PM	Field 'Component' changed from 'Nothing' to 'OGC'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:48 PM	Field 'Component', Row #2, changed from 'Nothing' to 'MGMT'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:48 PM	Field 'Component', Row #3, changed from 'Nothing' to 'PLCY'.
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)	3/16/2017 5:51 PM	Information Copies Sent to: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Information Copy from (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) for ESEC Workflow # 1140294

Message:

OGC MGMT PLCY CRCL: ICE has the lead to prepare a draft in response to the attached incoming. Please share this incoming with your leadership. If your leadership has any comments, concerns, or issues that should be incorporated into the draft response, please share them with the drafting Component within 24 hours so that the issues can be addressed prior to submitting the draft to ESEC.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/16/2017 5:51 PM Field 'Component', Row #4, changed from 'Nothing' to 'CRCL'

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/16/2017 5:56 PM This Step was Completed on 3/16/2017 5:56 PM by (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and Queued to User(s): (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Step #2 - Quality Control

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/16/2017 6:04 PM Attached People Record - '7431972 - (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) added mail 2810603 for (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) (pending)

NONE

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/16/2017 6:13 PM Delivery of Mail has failed. Please see the returned Mail Record in this Workflow for information on the failure.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/16/2017 6:13 PM added mail 2810607 for (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) NONE

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 8:12 AM Acquired Ownership.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 8:14 AM Field '*Summary of Document' changed from 'Writes regarding a recent bill pertaining to convicted inmates that was vetoed.' to 'Writes regarding a recent bill pertaining to convicted inmates that was vetoed.'

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 8:14 AM This Step was Completed on 3/17/2017 8:14 AM by (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) and Queued to Group(s): (b)(7)(E)

Step #3 - Task Action

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 8:14 AM AutoRule 'ICE Instructions' Alert/E-mail Sent to:

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 8:14 AM AutoRule 'IGA' Alert/E-mail Sent to: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 8:14 AM Field 'ES Number' changed from 'Nothing' to '17-1591'

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 8:14 AM Field 'Summary of Document' changed from 'Nothing' to 'Writes regarding a recent bill pertaining to convicted inmates that was vetoed.'

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) 3/17/2017 9:00 AM Acquired Ownership.

MEA Response Form					Date: March 24, 2017	
Disclaimer						
MEA reviews ICE-only enforcement data such as arrests, indictments, convictions and removals. MEA cannot verify, validate or confirm with absolute assurance the accuracy of any program's data. In addition, MEA does not clear data as we are not the data owners. However, MEA provides independent analysis of the degree to which certain key ICE-only enforcement data is consistent with previously reported or historical data and trends to ensure that consistent and repeatable processes are used to collect, produce and report data.						
ULTIMATELY THE DECISION TO RELY UPON OR DISREGARD A SPECIFIC DATA/METRIC RESTS WITH THE REQUESTOR.						
Data/Files Analyzed						
Program Name	Reference #	File #	File Name(s)			
MEA		-	HQEXS Task Due			
OESIMS		1	Response.docx			
Rating & Guidance						
MEA provides the following rating(s) for the ICE-only enforcement measures or metrics that are within MEA's scope of review, which are defined as those data sets that are specifically listed on MEA's Special Interest Data (SID) list:						
Rating	Explanation	File	Page	Item	Detailed Analysis	Recommendation
Unable to Rate	All other data and statistics are either not related to ICE-only enforcement operations; are out of MEA's scope of review; or are too granular or not reported frequently enough to establish a trend against which meaningful comparisons can be made.	1	1	Table 1. Summary of criminal illegal immigrants in Virginia that present a public safety risk (e.g. reoffenders) as a function of declined ICE detainees: Prior Convictions with Declined Detainers = 50, Known Reoffenders = 6, Likely Reoffenders Next Two Years = 13	Data are too granular or are not reported frequently enough to establish a trend against which a meaningful comparison can be made. MEA reviews ICE issued Detainers on a national basis, however, it does not track information on declined detainees, known reoffenders, or likely reoffenders. Additionally, MEA does not possess data at the state level (e.g. Virginia). Therefore, MEA is unable to rate.	None
Unable to Evaluate	All other data and statistics are either not related to ICE-only enforcement operations; are out of MEA's scope of review; or are too granular or not reported frequently enough to establish a trend against which meaningful comparisons can be made.					
Unable to Rate						
Questions or concerns should be addressed to						



From: iceopstasking@sp.ice.dhs.gov
Sent: 4 Apr 2017 10:13:28 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: (b)(6) OPLA Tasking: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
New task from HQEXOPS: (b)(7)(E)
Clearance Request (b)(7)(E)
Attachments: S1 Letter - 442017_100155AM_17-1926 DDOR Letter - Franklin 04.04.17 v1.docx, S1 Letter - 442017_100240AM_17-1926 DDOR Letter - Montgomery 04.04.17 v1.docx, S1 Letter - 442017_100300AM_17-1926 DDOR Letter - Williamson 04.04.17 v1.docx

Please do not reply to this e-mail. It is from an unmonitored system account. All action should occur within OESIMS.

Due Date:
4/4/2017 1:00:00 PM

Instructions:

ICE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY TASKINGS
Review & Comment
S1 Letters - Expedited Clearance Request (b)(7)(E)
(b)(7)(E)
Program-Level Clearance Required: SES

Tasking Assignments:

Program Assignment	Program	Due Date	Time
Lead Program	ERO Taskings	4/4/2017	NLT 10AM
Review	OPLA Taskings	4/4/2017	NLT 1PM

- OES is not responsible for coordinating or consolidating Program Office responses.
- The lead program office must reconcile all ICE intra-agency comments and/or questions prior to closing their task bar.

Instructions:

- Please review the attached documents and provide clearance.
 - **Show-stopping comments only.**
 - **No extensions will be granted.**
 - Please note that ERO cleared without comment.
- Pay close attention to the proper use of acronyms and that ICE is the overall stated agency response.
- Any Law Enforcement Sensitive information provided must be labeled correctly.
- Program offices are required to review and edit all responses prior to submission.

- Immediately contact ICE Taskings if you believe a program with equities has been inadvertently overlooked.

Failure to complete any of the above requirements will result in a re-task.

Background:

These letters were drafted by ICE for Secretary Kelly's signature. The tracked changes represent the changes that DHS made to the previous versions cleared by ERO and OPLA.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Taskings Assistant
Executive Secretary Tasking
Office of the Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(202) 732-(b)(6);(b)
Unclass: ICEExecSec2@ice.dhs.gov
Original Message:

This message is part of an automated workflow, please do not change the text in the subject line when responding or forwarding the message.

Folder Subject: (b)(7)(E)
Folder Originator: DHS
Due Date: 4/4/2017 1:00:00 PM
Workflow ID: (b)(7)(E)
Folder Location:
https://ocsims.ice.dhs.gov/apps/ocsims/_layouts/OESIMS2010/FullFolder.aspx?ID=87510
Task ID: (b)(7)(E)
Workflow Task ID: (b)(7)(E)
Assignment ID: (b)(7)(E)

Page 642

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 643

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 644

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(5):WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)@sp.ice.dhs.gov
Sent: 7 Apr 2017 15:43:21 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: New task from HQEXOPS: (b)(7)(E) Declined Detainer Outcome Report Meeting FOLDERID (b)(7)(E)
Attachments: S1-S2 BM Template - 2017.docx, S1-S2 Talking Points Template - October 2015.docx

Please do not reply to this e-mail. It is from an unmonitored system account. All action should occur within OESIMS.

Due Date:
4/7/2017 12:00:00 AM

Instructions:

ICE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY TASKINGS
Request for Information
S1BB Declined Detainer Outcome Report Meeting
(b)(7)(E)
Program-Level Clearance Required: SES

Tasking Assignments:

Program Assignment	Program	Due Date	Time
Lead Program	ERO Taskings	4/7/2017	NLT 5PM
Review	OPLA Taskings	4/7/2017	NLT 5:30PM

- OES is not responsible for coordinating or consolidating Program Office responses.
- The lead program office must reconcile all ICE intra-agency comments and/or questions prior to closing their task bar.

Instructions:

- **Please use the attached forms to create a briefing memo and talking points for the S1 Declined Detainer Outcome Report meeting.**
- Please provide your response in the required format, template, font, and page amount stated.
- Pay close attention to the proper use of acronyms and that ICE is the overall stated agency response.
- Any Law Enforcement Sensitive information provided must be labeled correctly.
- Program offices are required to review and edit all responses prior to submission.

- Immediately contact ICE Taskings if you believe a program with equities has been inadvertently overlooked.

Failure to complete any of the above requirements will result in a re-task.

Background:

Attendees:

Secretary

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Tom Homan, ICE

Tim Robbins, ICE

Location: RRB, 1300 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Secretary's Conference Room (4.3D)

Meeting Classification: Please include bullet in background section of briefing memorandum if the meeting or any of the briefing materials are classified. (i.e., "This meeting [or any of the briefing materials] are classified").

DHS Briefing Book and Interagency Coordination Standards and Procedures (including links to templates) are located on the DHS intranet at:

<http://dhsconnect.dhs.gov/ORG/COMP/ESEC/Pages/default.aspx>

Please note that ICE is the required coordinator on these materials. The cleared materials from ICE will be sent to MGMT, OGC, I&A, and PLCY for review and comment.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Taskings Assistant

Executive Secretary Tasking

Office of the Director

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

(202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Unclass: ICEExecSec2@ice.dhs.gov

Original Message:

This message is part of an automated workflow, please do not change the text in the subject line when responding or forwarding the message.

Folder Subject: (b)(7)(E) Declined Detainer Outcome Report Meeting

Folder Originator: DHS

Due Date: 4/7/2017 12:00:00 AM

Workflow ID: (b)(7)(E)

Folder Location:

(b)(7)(E)

Task ID: (b)(7)(E)

Workflow Task ID: (b)(7)(E)

Assignment ID: (b)(7)(E)

NAME OF MEETING

Date of Meeting

NOTE: Briefing memo is a maximum of two pages, bulleted throughout, and in complete sentences. ESEC will edit all briefings to ensure compliance.

Objective:

- This is one or two bullets that clearly states why the S1/S2 is participating in this meeting/event. It should include what DHS's goals for the meeting and any asks of the meeting participant(s).

Event Demographics/Venue Information

(For remarks and large-scale external events, both open and closed press please omit this section for other meetings)

- Who, What, When, Where (Logistics – please use complete sentences).
- Describe the estimated size of the audience
- Describe the audience composition including the background and nature of attendees.
- Discuss the venue, including the size, a description of the room, and the layout
- Describe any unique variables – (e.g., CSPAN live; giant screen simulcast; webcast/live streaming, etc).
- Confirm open or closed press

Background: (Bullets)

- Expand on who S1/S2 is meeting with and any significant recent interaction with DHS.
- Summary of key information/issues to be raised (include the status of any related negotiations or ongoing legal proceedings). Issues should be clearly defined without pleasantries, nuances, or unnecessary verbosity. Please include issues that meeting participants are likely to raise with S1/S2.
 - Keep in mind that these materials are for the Department's most senior leadership—tell them what they need to know in as concise a paper as possible. Materials should be written at the Principal level.
 - Do not skirt tough issues.
 - Use bullets as above (solid circle, arrow, solid square, open circle).
- Please do not include background information S1 already has. Always use plain language and titles.

Discussion Points: (For all meetings)

- Express main overarching arguments and points that support achieving the overall goals of the meeting as identified in the Objective section.
- **Do not** provide a script as if Secretary will be reading word for word; rather use imperative sentences.

Participants: (Please identify all participants, DHS participants should be listed first, followed by external participants)

Secretary or Deputy

Name, Title, Organization

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Attachments: (Critical items only – no more than 5. Any attachments longer than 10 pages will not be included, instead please provide a one page executive summary)

A. Talking Points or Remarks (*talking points for external meetings; remarks for podium*)

B. Agenda or Additional Materials

C. Biographies (*Principals only; limit to one page each*)

NOTE: The order of attachments above is the preferred S1/S2 format

Staff Responsible for Briefing Memo: (Provide names of multiple staff members who can answer questions about the information contained in the memo) SME, Title, Phone Number; SME, Title, Phone Number.

Reviewed and approved by: Chief of Staff or higher, Title, Phone Number

OGC Reviewer: Attorney in Component Counsel or HQ, Title, Phone Number.

OGC coordination is essential. Please ensure that briefing materials have been fully coordinated with OGC. Lead components are now required to coordinate with their Component Counsel and identify in their OGC submission of briefing materials, who in their component counsel office reviewed and cleared.

NAME OF MEETING
Talking Points

- Talking points should be provided for all external meetings. This document should be “Attachment A” on your briefing memo
- Talking points should be in the third person. Though this document should be more detailed and specific than the “Discussion Points” section of a briefing memo, do not provide a script as if the Secretary will be reading word-for-word. (Example: “Thanks XXX for...” “Inform XXX that...”)
- Express thanks and other needed pleasantries with participants.
- Indicate conversational points S1 needs to make in advancement of goals indicated in the briefing memo
- Include appropriate responses to topics likely to arise from other participants

██████████ What are your views on CISA?

CORRECT: Ask for his/her views on the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act (CISA).

██████████ I am interested in your work with the EU.

CORRECT: Express interest in his/her work with the European Union (EU).

██████████ Our relationship with Country X is important.

CORRECT: Reinforce the importance of our relationship with Country X.

██████████ We are interested in expanding training.

CORRECT: Note DHS’s interest in expanding training.

From: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)@sp.ice.dhs.gov
Sent: 19 Jun 2017 13:33:17 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) OPLA Tasking; New task from HQEXOPS (b)(7)(E) DDOR Meeting FOLDERID 88856
Attachments: S1-S2 BM Template.docx

Please do not reply to this e-mail. It is from an unmonitored system account. All action should occur within OESIMS.

Due Date:
6/19/2017 4:00:00 PM

Instructions:

ICE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY TASKINGS

Request for Information

SIBB DDOR Meeting

(b)(7)(E)

Program-Level Clearance Required: SES

Tasking Assignments:

Program Assignment	Program	Due Date	Time
Lead Program	ERO Taskings	6/19/2017	NLT 4PM
Non-Lead Program	OPLA Taskings	6/19/2017	NLT 5PM

- OES is not responsible for coordinating or consolidating Program Office responses.
- **The lead program office must reconcile all ICE intra-agency comments and/or questions prior to closing their task bar.**

Instructions:

- Please draft a briefing memo for the S1 Declined Detainer Outcome Report Meeting.
- Pay close attention to the proper use of acronyms and that ICE is the overall stated agency response
- Any Law Enforcement Sensitive information provided must be labeled correctly.
- Program offices are required to review and edit all responses prior to submission.
- Immediately contact ICE Taskings if you believe a program with equities has been inadvertently overlooked.

Failure to complete any of the above requirements will result in a re-task.

Thank you,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Taskings Assistant
Executive Secretary Tasking
Office of the Director
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(202) 732-(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Unclass: icccxcsec@dhs.gov
Original Message:

This message is part of an automated workflow, please do not change the text in the subject line when responding or forwarding the message.

Folder Subject: (b)(7)(E) DDOR Meeting

Folder Originator: DHS

Due Date: 6/19/2017 4:00:00 PM

Workflow ID: (b)(7)(E)

Folder Location:

(b)(7)(E)

Task ID: (b)(7)(E)

Workflow Task ID: (b)(7)(E)

Assignment ID: (b)(7)(E)

From: iceocr@sp.ice.dhs.gov
Sent: 23 Feb 2017 10:15:03 -0500
To: OPLA Tasking
Subject: New task from HQOCR: 86336 - FY 2016 Declined Detainer Statistics for Virginia FOLDERID 86336
Attachments: 86336 Draft response FY 2016 VA Declined Detainers_022217.docx

Please do not reply to this e-mail. It is from an unmonitored system account. All action should occur within OESIMS.

Due Date:
2/27/2017 5:00:00 PM

Instructions:
OPLA - please review for legal sufficiency.

Thanks,

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Original Message:

From: Short, Tracy [mailto:(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)]
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2017 1:39 PM
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Cc: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: Re: TOMORROW: Stop Sanctuary Cities In Virginia

Thanks. Do you have data on declined detainers in VA for FY16?

> On Jan 23, 2017, at 1:04 PM, (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) wrote:
>
> Hi Tracy -
>
> We're still trying to track down the "report from ICE" referenced in the media release. We don't have any requests for VA detainer information from any state government officials, so it's proving challenging.
>
> V/R,
> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
>
> -----
>
> **From:** Short, Tracy (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
> **Sent:** Tuesday, January 17, 2017 11:29 AM
> **To:** (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
> **Subject:** TOMORROW: Stop Sanctuary Cities In Virginia

>
> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
>
> Below is the press release.
>
> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
>
>
> View this email in your browser
>
>
>
> CONTACT THE
> SUBCOMMITTEE TODAY!
>
> View this email in your browser
>
>
> Dear Friends,
>
> My HB 1468 requires that if a locality releases an illegal alien before the end of their term of incarceration and the locality has received a request from ICE to detain that individual, that the locality may be sued by individuals injured or harmed by such alien for any acts committed by such alien through midnight of the day they were scheduled to be released.
> On July 1, 2015, Kate Steinle and her dad were walking on a crowded waterfront in San Francisco. She left this life that day because she was shot in the back by Sanchez Lopez, who was in America illegally, who had several prior felony convictions and who previously had been deported five times back to Mexico.
>
> The U.S. Immigration Customs Enforcement had requested that San Francisco sheriff Ross Mirkarimi detain Mr. Lopez for possible deportation. Sheriff Mirkarimi declined to honor the detainer request from ICE because the City of San Francisco has a policy of providing such individuals with what they call "sanctuary" from federal requests for deportation and because the Sheriff did not recognize ICE detainers as legal documents.
>
> A report from ICE shows that several Virginia localities have also released prisoners that they had ICE detainer requests for. Many of these prisoners had previous arrests.
>
> Jeff Sessions, President-elect Donald Trump's nominee for U.S. Attorney General has previously said that sanctuary cities should be prosecuted. Virginia needs to ensure that all of its law enforcement and local governments are complying with ICE detainers now so that we are not put in a position where Virginia taxpayer money is being used to defend non-compliant localities against prosecution.
>
> My HB 1468 will be heard TOMORROW in the Militia Police and Public Safety

Subcommittee #2 at 7:30 AM. Please contact the members of the subcommittee TODAY, January 11th, and ask them to support the bill using the link above or the list below.

>

> Thank you for your help!

>

> Sincerely,

>

> Delegate Bob Marshall

>

>

>

>

> First Name

> Last Name

> Capitol Phone

> Email

> Benjamin L.

> Cline (Chair)

> (804) 698-(b)(6);

> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> James E.

> Edmunds II

> (804) 698-(b)(6)

> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> Tony O.

> Wilt

> (804) 698-(b)(6);

> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> Israel D.

> O'Quinn

> (804) 698-(b)(6);

> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> Christopher T.

> Head

> (804) 698-(b)(6);

> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> Patrick A.

> Hope

> (804) 698-(b)(6);

> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> Sam

> Rasoul

> (804) 698-(b)(6)

> (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> Roslyn C.

> Tyler

> (804) 698-(b)(6);

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

> Paid for and Authorized by Friends of Bob Marshall

> Copyright © 2017 Bob Marshall, All rights reserved.

> You are receiving this email because you signed up or expressed interest in receiving updates from Bob Marshall.

> Our mailing address is:

> Bob Marshall

> PO Box 421

> Manassas, VA 20108

> Add us to your address book

> unsubscribe from this list update subscription preferences

This message is part of an automated workflow, please do not change the text in the subject line when responding or forwarding the message.

Folder Subject: (b)(7)(E) FY 2016 Declined Detainer Statistics for Virginia

Due Date: 2/27/2017 5:00:00 PM

Workflow ID: (b)(7)(E)

Folder Location:

(b)(7)(E)

Task ID: (b)(7)(E)

Workflow Task ID: (b)(7)(E)

Assignment ID: (b)(7)(E)

(b)(5);WIF Draft

~~Draft//Deliberative//For Official Use Only~~OUO

(b)(5);WIF Draft

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

1

(b)(5);WIF Draft

|
|
|
|
|
|
|
|

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(5):WIF Draft

|

|

|

|

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

From: oesims@sp.ice.dhs.gov
Sent: 24 Mar 2017 14:06:05 -0400
To: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Subject: New task from HQEXS: (b)(7)(E) Delegate Bob Marshall Writes the S1 Regarding his Intent to Override VA Governor Terry McAuliffe's Veto of Marshall's HB 1468 FOLDERID (b)(7)(E)
Attachments: IQ_Workflow_Control_Sheet_2017317_91641.rtf, 3172017_90053AM_17-1591 Marshall 03.16.17.pdf, 87141-03.24-Marshall Response.docx, MEA 793 Delegate Bob Marshall Writes the S1 Response OESIMS 87141.pdf

Please do not reply to this e-mail. It is from an unmonitored system account. All action should occur within OESIMS.

Due Date:
3/27/2017 10:00:00 AM

Instructions:
Please review the attached Secretary Priority draft response and provide SES clearance by 10am Monday, 3/27.

Thanks,
(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)
Original Message:

This message is part of an automated workflow, please do not change the text in the subject line when responding or forwarding the message.

Folder Subject: (b)(7)(E) Delegate Bob Marshall Writes the S1 Regarding his Intent to Override VA Governor Terry McAuliffe's Veto of Marshall's HB 1468
Folder Originator: Delegate Bob Marshall
Due Date: 3/27/2017 10:00:00 AM
Workflow ID: (b)(7)(E)
Folder Location:

(b)(7)(E)
Task ID: (b)(7)(E)
Workflow Task ID: (b)(7)(E)
Assignment ID: (b)(7)(E)



ROBERT G. MARSHALL
POST OFFICE BOX 421
MANASSAS, VIRGINIA 20108-0421
THIRTEENTH DISTRICT

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
HOUSE OF DELEGATES
RICHMOND

2017 MAR 16 PM 4:33
COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS
FINANCE
COUNTIES, CITIES AND TOWNS
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

March 16, 2017

The Honorable John F. Kelly
Secretary of Homeland Security
Washington, D.C. 20528
Via -- dhsexecsec@hq.dhs.gov

Re: ICE Detainer Compliance

Dear Secretary Kelly,

After you personally assured Virginia Governor Terry McAuliffe on February 26 that ICE would only be deporting persons who were here illegally and who had been involved in a criminal enterprise, the governor vetoed my HB 1468 which required all Virginia jails to turn over already convicted inmates to Immigration Customs Enforcement pursuant to ICE detainer requests.

I intend to seek an override of his veto. If you have comments as to how Governor McAuliffe's veto might affect public safety, I would gladly share your concerns with my colleagues on April 5 when we meet in Richmond to vote to override the governor's veto.

Richmond's Mayor Levar Stoney announced (2/6/17) he will NOT co-operate with any ICE detainer requests. Failure of the General Assembly to override the veto may spur other localities to ICE non-compliance.

I urge the Department to publish on the DHS website the jurisdictions declining to comply with ICE requests, as well as the names of individuals who were released, the reason ICE sought custody, and if such individuals commit criminal acts in the future.

Additionally, DHS should consider publishing the names and contact information of the elected officials responsible for the non-compliance with ICE requests.

Feel free to contact me: cell 703-853-(b)(6) [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) or [REDACTED] (b)(6);(b)(7)(C). Thank you.

Sincerely,

Bob Marshall

Delegate Bob Marshall

Page 665

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft: (b)(5)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 666

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft: (b)(5)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 667

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft: (b)(5)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

(b)(5);WIF Draft

~~Draft~~//Deliberative//For Official Use OnlyOCU

(b)(5);WIF Draft

~~Draft~~//Deliberative//For Official Use OnlyOUO

Page 670

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 671

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 672

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 673

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 674

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 675

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 676

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Page 677

Withheld pursuant to exemption

WIF Draft

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

DECLINED DETAINER OUTCOME REPORT MEETING
May 1, 2017

Objective:

- This document outlines a revised approach for redesigning the Declined Detainer Outcome Report (DDOR) to move from a heavily quantitative report to more qualitative report that better reflects the operational posture of state/local law enforcement agencies (LEA) regarding detainer acceptance. Additionally, the focus of this new structure moves from a labor-intensive document, requiring staff from U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) to expend hundreds of hours to produce the existing DDOR, to a report that is more efficient, requiring only 40 hours from ICE to produce. This increase in efficiency will allow these entities to focus on other high-profile projects and issues, while still meeting the intent of the Executive Order.

Background:

- Current DDOR Structure
 - Section I: Highest Volume of Detainers Issued to Jurisdictions which Restrict Cooperation with ICE (weekly statistics, six-week time lag)
 - Section II: Jurisdictions with Recorded Declined Detainers (weekly statistics, six-week time lag)
 - Section III: Table of Jurisdictions that have Enacted Policies which Restrict Cooperation with ICE (updated weekly as needed when new information becomes available)
 - Section IV: Report Scope and Data Fidelity
- Proposed Changes to Current DDOR structure

(b)(5)

(b)(5)

- Revised DDOR Structure:
 - Executive Summary: Brief overview.
 - Section I: Jurisdictions which restrict cooperation with ICE and the number of detainees (Form I-247A only), issued to them by ICE during the period.
 - State/County/City, State, Jurisdiction/Detention Location, Source, Extent of Cooperation, Form I-247A Issued

- Section II: Jurisdictions which declined detainers, (Form I-247A only) for individuals who were subsequently arrested at-large by ICE, and for whom the detainer was lodged between April 2, 2017 and April 8, 2017.
 - Detention Location Name, County, State, Citizenship, Detainer Issue Date, Detainer Declined Date, ICE Arrest Date, Key Criminal Activity
- Section III: Report Scope and Data Fidelity

Discussion Points:

(b)(5)

- Next Steps: Review mock-up for approval and reestablish publication schedule.

Participants:

Secretary Kelly

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

OGC

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

OPA

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

OPE

Tom Homan, ICE

Matt Albence, ICE

Staff Responsible for Briefing Memo: (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Acting Chief of Staff of ICE/ERO,
(202) 732- (b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

Reviewed and approved by: ICE/ERO Executive Associate Director Matthew Albence