UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY CENTRAL DIVISION FRANKFORT

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC., on behalf	:
of itself and certain of its members,	
425 Third Street, Ste. 800	:
Washington, D.C. 20024	

Plaintiff, Civil No. _____

v. <u>PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT</u>

:

:

:

:

ALISON LUNDERGAN GRIMES,

in her official capacity as Secretary of State and Chief Election Official of the

Commonwealth of Kentucky, 700 Capital Ave., Ste. 152,

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 :

Franklin County

MARY SUE HELM,

in her official capacity as Interim
Executive Director of the Kentucky

State Board of Elections,

140 Walnut Street

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Franklin County

ALBERT B. CHANDLER, III,

in his official capacity as Member of the : Kentucky State Board of Elections,

140 Walnut Street :

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Franklin County

DONALD W. BLEVINS,

in his official capacity as Member of the Kentucky State Board of Elections,

140 Walnut Street

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Franklin County

(Cont.)

JOSHUA G. BRANSCUM, :

in his official capacity as Member of the **Kentucky State Board of Elections,**

140 Walnut Street

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Franklin County

STEPHEN HUFFMAN,

in his official capacity as Member of the : Kentucky State Board of Elections, 140 Walnut Street :

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Franklin County

GEORGE RUSSELL,

in his official capacity as Member of the **Kentucky State Board of Elections,**

140 Walnut Street

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Franklin County

and

MICHAEL G. ADAMS,

in his official capacity as Member of the Kentucky State Board of Elections, 140 Walnut Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 **Franklin County**

Defendants.

Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc., by its attorneys, brings this action for declaratory and injunctive relief and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. seeks declaratory and injunctive relief to compel the Commonwealth of Kentucky to comply with its voter list maintenance obligations and its record production obligations, under Section 8 of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 ("NVRA"), 52 U.S.C. § 20507.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as this action arises under the laws of the United States, and under 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2), as the action seeks injunctive and declaratory relief under the NVRA.
- 3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this district.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Division because all Defendants perform their duties in, and thus reside in the City of Frankfort, Franklin County, Kentucky. LR 3.2(a)(1), (e).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff JUDICIAL WATCH, INC. ("Judicial Watch") is a not-for-profit, educational organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia and headquartered at 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20024. Its mission is to promote transparency, integrity, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law. As part of this mission, Judicial Watch regularly requests records from state and local governments pursuant to federal and state laws, analyzes the responses and disseminates both its findings and the requested records to the American public to inform it about "what the government is up to." Judicial Watch will sue to enforce compliance with federal and state laws concerning the provision of records, public integrity, government accountability, and voting rights. It has undertaken investigations and commenced other lawsuits to enforce the NVRA.
- Defendant ALISON LUNDERGAN GRIMES is the Secretary of State of the
 Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Secretary") and has served in this capacity since January 2,
 The Commonwealth of Kentucky has designated the Secretary as Chair of the State Board

of Elections and as the "chief election official" for the Commonwealth. Ky. REV. STAT. ANN. § 117.015(2); see 52 U.S.C. §20509.

- 7. Defendant MARY SUE HELM is the Interim Executive Director, and Defendants ALBERT B. CHANDLER, III, DONALD W. BLEVINS, JOSHUA G. BRANSCUM, STEPHEN HUFFMAN, GEORGE RUSSELL, and MICHAEL G. ADAMS are members of the Kentucky State Board of Elections ("Board of Elections"). Kentucky law provides that the Board of Elections "maintain a complete roster of all qualified registered voters within the state by county and precinct voters" and compile "all information furnished to the board relating to the inclusion or deletion of names from the rosters for four (4) years." KY. REV. STAT. ANN. § 117.025(3)(a), (d).
 - 8. All Defendants are sued in their official capacities only.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

9. The NVRA requires states to "conduct a general program that makes a reasonable effort to remove the names of ineligible voters from the official lists of eligible voters." 52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(4).

Kentucky's Excessive Registration Rates

- 10. In June of each odd-numbered year, the U.S. Election Assistance Commission ("EAC") is required by law to release a report regarding state voter registration practices. 52 U.S.C. § 20508(a)(3).
- 11. In June 2017, the EAC published the most recent report ("2017 EAC Report") that contained, *inter alia*, voter registration statistics based on information provided by the states.
- 12. Whenever a jurisdiction has more voter registrations than individuals old enough to register in other words, a registration rate exceeding 100% of adult residents it is a strong

indication, recognized by federal courts, that the jurisdiction is not taking the steps required by law to remove the registrations of ineligible registrants.

- 13. Judicial Watch analyzed the data in the 2017 EAC Report and compared it to the most recent census data to determine the registration rates of United States counties.
- 14. Kentucky leads every other state in the nation in the number of counties in which total registration is greater than the voting-age population. Specifically, 41 Kentucky counties have more registered voters than voting-age residents.
- 15. Judicial Watch also compared voter registrations to *citizen* voting-age population. Citizen voting-age population excludes noncitizens, who are not lawfully entitled to register or vote in federal or state elections, and so is a more meaningful way to assess a jurisdiction's registration rate than voting-age population alone.
- 16. Kentucky leads every other state in the nation in the number of counties in which total registration exceeds the citizen voting-age population. Specifically, the number of voter registrations exceeds the number of age-eligible citizens in 48 Kentucky counties, or 40% of all Kentucky counties.
- 17. This represents a large increase in what was already one of the highest state totals of counties with citizen-adjusted registration rates exceeding 100%. Prior to release of the 2017 EAC Report, the data showed that 30 Kentucky counties had more registered voters than citizens over the age of 18.
- 18. The Commonwealth of Kentucky as a whole has more statewide registrations than it has resident citizens of voting age. Kentucky is one of only three states in the nation with an active, statewide registration rate exceeding 100%.

19. Kentucky's high registration rates indicate that it is not conducting a general program that makes a reasonable effort to cancel the registrations of ineligible registrants.

Kentucky's Failure to Report Inactive Registrations

- 20. Federal regulations require the states to provide various kinds of registration data to the EAC for use in its biennial report. This data is supposed to include the "total number of registered voters statewide, including both 'active' and 'inactive' voters if such a distinction is made by the state," for the last two general federal elections. 11 C.F.R. § 9428.7(b)(1), (2).
- 21. Kentucky law has established an inactive list for those voters who fail to respond to a confirmation notice. Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 116.112(5).
- 22. Kentucky failed to report to the EAC the number of its inactive registrations in violation of federal regulations.
- 23. If Kentucky were conducting reasonable list maintenance, registrations routinely would be moved to the inactive list for two general federal elections whenever registrants failed to respond to a confirmation notice.
- 24. Kentucky's failure to report this data suggests that it is not performing reasonable voter list maintenance.
- 25. Inactive registrants may vote on Election Day, so inactive registrations must be considered part of a state's voter registration list. 52 U.S.C. § 20507(d)(2)(A).
- 26. By failing to include inactive registrations, Kentucky's registration rates are made to appear lower and more reasonable than they actually are. Stated differently, if Kentucky had reported inactive voter registrations to the EAC as required by federal law, the number of Kentucky counties with registration rates exceeding 100% would be even greater.

27. On information and belief, Kentucky is not querying voters who may have moved, placing the registrations of voters who do not respond to those queries on its inactive list, and then cancelling such registrations after two general federal elections, in numbers that are consistent with its obligation to conduct a general program that makes a reasonable effort to cancel the registrations of ineligible registrants.

Kentucky's Failure to Report Sending Any Confirmation Notices

- 28. The NVRA provides that no registration may be cancelled on the ground that a voter has moved elsewhere unless the registrant either confirms this fact in writing or fails to respond to an address-confirmation notice described by the statute (the "confirmation notice"). 52 U.S.C. § 20507(d)(1). The confirmation notice must incorporate a "postage prepaid and preaddressed return card, sent by forwardable mail," asking the registrant to confirm his or her residence address. *Id.* at (d)(2). If no response is received to this notice, a registration may be cancelled, but only following a statutory waiting period lasting at least two general federal elections. *Id.* at (d)(1)(B)(ii).
- 29. Sending confirmation notices is the primary method prescribed by federal law for determining whether someone who may have moved still resides in an electoral jurisdiction.
- 30. Federal regulations require states to provide to the EAC for use in its biennial report the "statewide number of confirmation notices mailed out between the past two federal general elections and . . . responses received to these notices during the same period." 11 C.F.R. § 9428.7(b)(8).
- 31. Kentucky failed to report to the EAC the number of confirmation notices it sent during the previous two-year period in violation of federal regulations.

32. On information and belief, Kentucky is not sending confirmation notices to those who are believed to have moved at a rate that is consistent with its obligation to conduct a general program that makes a reasonable effort to cancel the registration of ineligible registrants.

*Judicial Watch's Notice Letter and Defendants' Responses**

- 33. On April 11, 2017, Judicial Watch sent an NVRA Section 8 notice of violation letter (the "Notice Letter," **Exhibit A**), both by email and by certified mail to the address for the Secretary. According to the U.S.P.S. tracking system, the Notice Letter was delivered on April 19, 2017 to 700 Capital Ave., Suite 152, Frankfort, Kentucky, 40601, the mailing address for the Secretary.
- 34. The Notice Letter observed that there were more registered voters than citizens of voting age in (at that time) 30 Kentucky counties. The Notice Letter concluded that the Commonwealth and these counties were not conducting a reasonable list maintenance program that complied with the NVRA.
- 35. The Notice Letter stated that "This letter serves as statutory notice that Judicial Watch will bring a lawsuit against [the Secretary] if [the Secretary] do[es] not take specific actions to correct these violations of Section 8 within 90 days."
- 36. The Notice Letter asked for a written response within 45 days "informing [Judicial Watch] of the compliance steps [the Secretary is] taking," and described in detail the kinds of compliance-related information being sought.
- 37. Citing Section 8(i) of the NVRA, the Notice Letter also requested "all pertinent records concerning 'the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency' of Kentucky's official eligible voter lists during the past 2 years. Please include these records with [the Secretary's] response to this letter."

- 38. The Notice Letter constitutes statutory notice, pursuant to 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(1), of violations of Sections 8(a)(4) and 8(i) of the NVRA.
- 39. Judicial Watch received a response dated April 25, 2017 via U.S. Mail (the "Response Letter," **Exhibit B**) from Maryellen Allen, then Executive Director of the Board of Elections.
- 40. The Response Letter made no mention of Judicial Watch's demand in the Notice Letter that Defendants identify "the compliance steps [the Secretary is] taking" to remedy its failings or to meet its obligations under Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA, and did not address Judicial Watch's allegations that 30 Kentucky counties had more registered voters than citizen voting-age population. Instead, the Response Letter only discussed Judicial Watch's requests for documents.
- 41. In response to Judicial Watch's request for copies of the most recent voter registration database, the Response Letter stated that "the [Board of Elections] objects to this request pursuant to [KY. REV. STAT. ANN. §] 117.025(3)(h)" as Judicial Watch is not a "duly qualified candidate[], political party committee[] or official[] thereof, or any committee that advocates or opposes an amendment or public question," adding that "the [Board of Elections] denied [Judicial Watch's] request."
- 42. In response to the other requests for documents in the Notice Letter, the Board of Elections indicated "they will use its best efforts to make available to [Judicial Watch] any responsive, non-exempt public records in its care, custody or control on or before June 26, 2017."
- 43. By letter dated June 26, 2017 (the "Second Response Letter," **Exhibit C**), Director Allen wrote to update the status of the "non-exempt" record search. The Second

Response Letter stated that the Board of Elections "is still in the process of preparing this information" and "will use its best efforts to make available to [Judicial Watch] any responsive, nonexempt public record on or before August 7, 2017."

- 44. The Second Response Letter again made no mention of Judicial Watch's request in the Notice Letter that Defendants identify "the compliance steps [the Secretary is] taking" to remedy its failings or to meet its obligations under Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA. Once again, the Second Response Letter only discussed Judicial Watch's requests for documents.
- 45. As of the date of this complaint, there have been no further communications from Defendants to Judicial Watch concerning either (1) compliance with the list maintenance provisions of the NVRA, or (2) the production of records by the Secretary or the Board of Elections.

Judicial Watch's Interest in Compliance With the NVRA

46. As part of its mission to promote transparency, integrity, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law, Judicial Watch regularly requests records from state and local governments pursuant to Section 8(i) of the NVRA. Judicial Watch analyzes all responses, as well as federal, state, and local data from any available source, to determine whether jurisdictions are properly maintaining accurate voter rolls as required by Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA. If it believes that a jurisdiction is not complying with Section 8 of the NVRA, Judicial Watch will sue under 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b) to enforce that statute. In pursuit of this particular mission, Judicial Watch recently wrote to eleven other states besides Kentucky to inform them of perceived violations of the NVRA and to request NVRA-related documents. Judicial Watch has a current NVRA lawsuit against Maryland and Montgomery County, Maryland, and in the recent past has sued and resolved NVRA cases against Ohio and Indiana.

Judicial Watch also has submitted several friend-of-the-court briefs at all levels of the federal court system in cases concerning enforcement of the NVRA.

- 47. Kentucky's failure to comply with Section 8 of the NVRA has caused and will cause Judicial Watch to expend significant, additional resources to achieve its basic organizational mission.
- 48. A person becomes a member of Judicial Watch by making a financial contribution, in any amount, to the organization. The financial contributions of members are by far the single most important source of income to Judicial Watch and provide the means by which the organization finances its activities in support of its mission.
- 49. Judicial Watch has approximately 12,102 members in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. As a membership organization, Judicial Watch represents the interests of these members, many of whom are lawfully registered to vote and have the right to vote in Kentucky, including the right to vote in elections for federal office.
- 50. Judicial Watch solicits the views of its members in carrying out activities in support of its mission, including the views of its members in Kentucky. The views of Judicial Watch's members significantly influence how Judicial Watch chooses activities to engage in to further its mission.
- 51. About 1,187 of Judicial Watch's Kentucky members have an email address and have contributed \$10 or more to Judicial Watch within the past two years. One hundred and thirty (130) of these members who are lawfully registered to vote in Kentucky have directly informed Judicial Watch that they are concerned about Kentucky's failure to satisfy its voter list maintenance obligations under Section 8 of the NVRA, and wish Judicial Watch to take legal

action on their behalf to protect their right to vote. The views of Judicial Watch's members were a substantial factor weighing in favor of the initiation of this lawsuit.

- 52. Protecting the rights of Judicial Watch members who are lawfully registered to vote in Kentucky is part of Judicial Watch's mission of promoting integrity, transparency, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law, as is ensuring compliance with the voter list maintenance obligations of Section 8 of the NVRA and protecting the integrity of the election process in general. It also is well within the scope of the reasons why members of Judicial Watch join the organization and continue to support its mission.
- 53. Members of Judicial Watch who are lawfully registered to vote in Kentucky not only have the constitutional right to vote in state elections, including elections for federal office, but they also have a statutory right to the safeguards and protections set forth in the NVRA.
- 54. Kentucky's failure to comply with the NVRA inflicts a burden on the constitutional right to vote of those members of Judicial Watch who are lawfully registered to vote in the Commonwealth, by undermining their confidence in the integrity of the electoral process, discouraging their participation in the democratic process, and instilling in them the fear that their legitimate votes will be outweighed by fraudulent or ineligible ones.
- 55. Kentucky's failure to satisfy its voter list maintenance obligations under Section 8 of the NVRA infringes the statutory rights of those members of Judicial Watch who are lawfully registered to vote in Kentucky. Those individuals have a statutory right to vote in elections for federal office that comply with the procedures and protections required by the NVRA, including the voter list maintenance obligations set forth in Section 8 of the NVRA.
- 56. Absent action by Judicial Watch, it is unlikely that any individual member of Judicial Watch who is lawfully registered to vote in Kentucky would have the ability

or the resources to take legal action to protect his or her rights or redress his or her injuries with respect to Kentucky's failure to satisfy its voter list maintenance obligations under Section 8 of the NVRA.

57. Judicial Watch and its Kentucky members are persons aggrieved by a violation of the NVRA, as set forth in 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(1).

COUNT I

(Violation of Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA, 52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(4))

- 58. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 59. Defendants have failed to fulfill Kentucky's obligations under Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA to conduct a general program that makes a reasonable effort to cancel the registrations of registrants who are ineligible to vote in Kentucky elections.
- 60. Plaintiff Judicial Watch and its members have suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury as a direct result of Defendants' failure to fulfill Kentucky's obligations to comply with Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA.
 - 61. Plaintiff Judicial Watch and its members have no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II

(Violation of Section 8(i) of the NVRA, 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i))

- 62. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. Defendants have failed to fulfill Kentucky's obligations under Section 8(i) of the NVRA to make available to Judicial Watch "all records" within the past two years "concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters."

- 64. To the extent that Judicial Watch's right to obtain access to voting records described by Section 8(i) of the NVRA is limited or foreclosed by KY. REV. STAT. ANN. § 117.025, or by any other Kentucky statute, those Kentucky statutes are superseded and preempted by the provisions of Section 8(i) of the NVRA, and are invalid and unenforceable against Judicial Watch.
- 65. Plaintiff Judicial Watch and its members have suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury as a direct result of Defendants' failure to fulfill Kentucky's obligations to comply with Section 8(i) of the NVRA.
 - 66. Plaintiff Judicial Watch and its members have no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for entry of a judgment:

- a. Declaring Defendants to be in violation of Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA;
- b. Permanently enjoining Defendants from violating Section 8(a)(4) of the NVRA;
- c. Ordering Defendants to develop and implement a general program that makes a reasonable effort to remove from Kentucky's rolls the registrations of ineligible registrants;
- d. Declaring that Section 8(i) of the NVRA supersedes and preempts any contrary Kentucky law;
- e. Declaring that Defendants are in violation of Section 8(i) of the NVRA by refusing to allow Judicial Watch to inspect and copy the requested records;
- f. Permanently enjoining Defendants from refusing to allow Judicial Watch to inspect and copy the records Judicial Watch requested;
- g. Ordering Defendant to pay Judicial Watch's reasonable attorney's fees, including litigation expenses and costs; and

h. Awarding Judicial Watch such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 14, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark A. Wohlander
Mark A. Wohlander
Wohlander Law Office, PSC
P.O. Box 910483
Lexington, Kentucky 40591
mark@wohlanderlaw.com
Office: (859) 309-1691
Facsimile: (859) 309-1698
Collular: (850) 361,5604

Facsimile: (859) 309-1698 Cellular: (859) 361-5604 *Co-Counsel for Plaintiff*

Robert D. Popper
JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.
425 Third Street S.W., Ste. 800
Washington, DC 20024
rpopper@judicialwatch.org
Office: (202) 646-5172
Facsimile: (202) 646-5199
Co-Counsel for Plaintiff
[Moving Pro Hac Vice]

H. Christopher Coates
Law Offices of H. Christopher Coates
934 Compass Point
Charleston, South Carolina 29412
curriecoates@gmail.com
Cellular: (843) 609-7080
Co-Counsel for Plaintiff
[Moving Pro Hac Vice]

Thomas E. Clay
Clay Daniel Walton & Adams, PLC
462 South Fourth Street, Suite 101
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
tclay@tclaylaw.com
Office: (502) 561-2005

Facsimile: (502) 415-7505 Co-Counsel for Plaintiff Case: 3:17-cv-00094-GFVT Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 11/14/17 Page: 1 of 6 - Page ID#: 16



April 11, 2017

VIA USPS CERTIFIED MAIL AND EMAIL

The Honorable Alison Lundergan Grimes Kentucky Secretary of State 700 Capital Ave., Suite 152 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Re: Violations of Section 8 of the National Voter Registration Act, 52 U.S.C. § 20507

Dear Secretary Grimes:

We write to bring your attention to violations of Section 8 of the National Voter Registration Act ("NVRA") in Kentucky. From public records obtained, thirty (30) counties in Kentucky have more total registered voters than adult citizens over the age of 18 living in that county as calculated by the U.S. Census Bureau's 2011-2015 American Community Survey. This is strong circumstantial evidence that these Kentucky counties are not conducting reasonable voter registration record maintenance as mandated under the NVRA.

NVRA Section 8 requires states to do reasonable list maintenance so as to maintain accurate lists of eligible voters for use in conducting federal elections. As you may know, Congress enacted Section 8 of the NVRA to protect the integrity of the electoral process. Allowing the names of ineligible voters to remain on the voting rolls harms the integrity of the electoral process and undermines voter confidence in the legitimacy of elections. As the U.S. Supreme Court has stated, "[P]ublic confidence in the integrity of the electoral process has independent significance, because it encourages citizen participation in the democratic process."

This letter serves as statutory notice that Judicial Watch will bring a lawsuit against your office if you do not take specific actions to correct these violations of Section 8 within 90 days. In addition, by this letter we are asking you to produce certain records to us which you are required to make available under Section 8(i) of the NVRA. We hope that litigation will not be necessary to enforce either of these claims.

¹ In Kentucky, responsibility to coordinate statewide NVRA Section 8 compliance lies with the Secretary of State's Office. See KRS § 117.015(2); 52 U.S.C. § 20509.

² Crawford et al. v. Marion County Election Board, 553 US 181, 197 (2008).

³ 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i).

Case: 3:17-cv-00094-GFVT Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 11/14/17 Page: 2 of 6 - Page ID#: 17

Thomas J. Fitton April 11, 2017 Page | 2

Judicial Watch is a non-profit organization that seeks to promote integrity, transparency, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law. As part of its mission, Judicial Watch is committed to the private enforcement of the NVRA, and we regularly commence and litigate NVRA lawsuits. See Judicial Watch and True the Vote v. Husted, Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-00792 (S.D. Oh.); Judicial Watch, et. al v. King, Case No. 1:12-cv-00800 (S.D. Ind.).

As the top election official in Kentucky, it is your responsibility under federal law to coordinate Kentucky's statewide effort to conduct a program that reasonably ensures the lists of eligible voters are accurate. The following information explains how we determined that your state is in violation of NVRA Section 8 and the remedial steps you now must take to comply with the law.

1. Thirty Kentucky Counties Have More Total Registered Voters Than Citizen Voting Age Population.

Based on our review of 2014 Election Assistance Commission (EAC) data, the 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey, and the November 2014 and February 2017 Kentucky total voter registration records, Kentucky is failing to comply with the voter registration list maintenance requirements of Section 8 of the NVRA. For example, a comparison of the five-year American Community Survey conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau and 2014 EAC data shows there were more total registered voters than there were adult citizens over the age of 18 living in each of the following 30 counties: Anderson (registration rate 106%), Bath (104%), Boone (108%), Breathitt (108%), Caldwell (100%), Carlisle (101%), Cumberland (102%), Fulton (100%), Gallatin (101%), Greenup (100%), Hancock (104%), Henry (100%), Jefferson (100%), Jessamine, Kenton (101%), Livingston (100%), Magoffin (103%), McCracken (101%), Menifee (109%), Mercer (105%), Monroe (103%), Oldham (106%), Powell (103%), Russell (101%), Scott (102%), Spencer (102%), Trigg (101%), Trimble (104%), Wolfe (102%), and Woodford (107%).

The situation in Kentucky has, if anything, gotten worse since the publication of that report. A comparison of the February 2017 total voter registration records of these 30 counties obtained from your website with the 2011-2015 U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey data shows that this trend has either stayed constant or has increased. The data shows 27 of the 30 counties have increased their total voter registrants by a greater percentage than the rate of increase of citizen voting age population, thus only adding to the disparity. Further, all 30 counties have more total voter registrants than citizens eligible to vote, even accounting for the U.S. Census Bureau's margin of error.

Your failure to maintain accurate, up-to-date voter registration lists has created the risk that the 2018 federal elections will lack the integrity required by federal law and by the expectations of Kentucky's citizens, and will therefore undermine public confidence in the electoral process.

Thomas J. Fitton April 11, 2017 Page | 3

2. The NVRA Requires You to Undertake Reasonable Efforts to Maintain Accurate Lists of Eligible Registered Voters

Under Section 8 of the NVRA, Kentucky is required to undertake a uniform, nondiscriminatory voter registration list maintenance program that complies with the Voting Rights Act of 1965. Specifically, Section 8 requires states to make a reasonable effort to remove the names of ineligible voters from the official lists of eligible voters due to (A) "the death of the registrant" or (B) "a change in the residence of the registrant" to a place outside the jurisdiction in which he or she is registered. Section 8 also requires states to ensure noncitizens are not registered to vote.

The list maintenance obligations of Section 8 of the NVRA were elaborated upon by the Help America Vote Act ("HAVA"), which requires states to "ensure that voter registration records in the State are accurate and updated regularly" and undertake a "system of file maintenance that makes a reasonable effort to remove registrants who are ineligible to vote from the official list of eligible voters." HAVA also requires each state to coordinate its computerized statewide voter registration list with state agency death records. Finally, HAVA requires all states to remove convicted felons from the voter rolls if felons cannot vote under state law. 9

As the chief state election official for Kentucky, you are required to lead and direct voter list maintenance efforts in your state, and you must conduct an active oversight program to monitor local county election officials' list maintenance activities. ¹⁰ If your oversight reveals that counties have failed to adequately execute list maintenance tasks, you must either change the state's program to ensure county compliance, or assume direct responsibility over the failing counties' list maintenance tasks. ¹¹

3. Failure to Comply with NVRA Subjects You to Lawsuits and Financial Costs

In passing the NVRA, Congress authorized a private right of action to enforce the provisions of the NVRA, including Section 8. Accordingly, Judicial Watch and others may bring a lawsuit against you under the NVRA if you fail to correct these violations within 90 days

⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 20507(b)(1).

⁵ 52 U.S.C. § 20507(a)(4).

⁶ U.S. v. Florida, 870 F. Supp. 2d 1346, 1351 (N.D. Fla. 2012) ("For noncitizens, the state's duty is to maintain an accurate voting list... A state can and should... block[] a noncitizen from registering in the first place").

⁷ 52 U.S.C. §§ 21083(a)(4) and 21083(a)(4)(A).

⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 21083(a)(2)(A)(ii)(II).

⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 21083(a)(2)(A)(ii) and (ii)(I).

¹⁰ U.S. v. Missouri, 535 F.3d 844, 850-851 (8th Cir. 2008).

¹¹ U.S. v. Missouri, 535 F.3d 844, 851 (8th Cir. 2008).

Case: 3:17-cv-00094-GFVT Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 11/14/17 Page: 4 of 6 - Page ID#: 19

Thomas J. Fitton April 11, 2017 Page | 4

of your receipt of this letter. ¹² You are receiving this letter because you are the designated chief state election official under the NVRA.

Congress also authorized awards of attorney's fees, including litigation expenses and costs, to the prevailing party. Consequently, if we initiate a lawsuit under the NVRA and the court finds you in violation, you will be responsible for paying our attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses.

4. Avoiding Litigation

In order to avoid litigation, we hope you will promptly initiate efforts to comply with Section 8 so that no lawsuit will be necessary. We ask you to please respond to this letter in writing no later than 45 days from today informing us of the compliance steps you are taking. Specifically, we ask you to: (1) conduct or implement a systematic, uniform, nondiscriminatory program to remove from the list of eligible voters the names of persons who have become ineligible to vote by reason of a change in residence; and (2) conduct or implement additional routine measures to remove from the list of eligible voters the names of persons who have become ineligible to vote by reason of death, change in residence, or a disqualifying criminal conviction, and to remove noncitizens who have registered to vote unlawfully.

When you respond to this letter, please identify all the steps you are taking or plan to take in detail, and advise us of the results of those efforts or the target implementation date for each activity or program you will be undertaking. If you plan to begin taking new steps in 2017 to comply with your obligations, please outline them to us in your response, providing specific dates for completion of each activity. In order to avoid litigation, we may seek certain reasonable assurances that Kentucky will affirmatively undertake the steps outlined, up to and including the execution of a settlement agreement between you and Judicial Watch. You may wish to consult Judicial Watch's recent settlement agreement with the State of Ohio for examples of certain activities which tend to show compliance with NVRA Section 8. You should also evaluate whether your office is communicating and coordinating effectively for list maintenance purposes with the various federal, state, and local entities listed immediately below in Section 5 of this letter.

5. Production of Records

Finally, pursuant to your obligations under the NVRA,¹⁵ please make available to us all pertinent records concerning "the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency" of Kentucky's official eligible voter lists during

¹² 52 U.S.C. § 20510(b)(2).

¹³ 52 U.S.C. § 20510(c).

¹⁴ A copy of the Settlement Agreement between Judicial Watch and Ohio is available at http://www.judicialwatch.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/01-14-Ohio-Voter-Rolls-Settlement.pdf.

^{15 52} U.S.C. § 20507(i).

Thomas J. Fitton April 11, 2017 Page | 5

the past 2 years. Please include these records with your response to this letter. These records should include, but are not limited to:

- 1. Copies of the most recent voter registration database from each Kentucky county and city mentioned in this letter, including fields indicating name, date of birth, home address, most recent voter activity, and active or inactive status.
- 2. Copies of all email or other communications internal to the office of the Kentucky Secretary of State, including any of its divisions, bureaus, offices, third party agents, or contractors, (hereinafter, collectively "Secretary's Office") relating to the maintenance of accurate and current voter rolls.
- 3. Copies of all email or other communications between the Secretary's Office and all Kentucky County voter registration officials concerning:
 - a. Instructions to the counties concerning their general list maintenance practices and obligations;
 - b. Instructions to the counties for the removal of specific noncitizens and deceased, relocated, or convicted persons identified by the Secretary's Office; and
 - c. Notices to the counties concerning any failure to comply with their voter list maintenance obligations under Kentucky's program.
- 4. Copies of all email or other communications between the Secretary's Office and the Kentucky State Department of Health, the Kentucky State Department of Corrections, the Kentucky Department of Motor Vehicles, and the Kentucky State Judiciary concerning obtaining information about deceased, relocated, convicted, or noncitizen registered voters for the purpose of updating Kentucky's voter registration lists.
- 5. Copies of all email or other communications between the Secretary's Office and the U.S. Attorney(s) for Kentucky, the U.S. District Court for Kentucky, the U.S. Social Security Administration, the U.S. Postal Service, the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security concerning the National Change of Address database, the Systematic Alien Verification for Entitlements database, or any other means of obtaining information about deceased, relocated, convicted, or noncitizen registered voters for the purpose of updating Kentucky's voter registration lists.
- 6. Copies of all email or other communications between the Secretary's Office and the Interstate Voter Registration Cross-Check Program, the Electronic Registration Information Center, the National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems, and any other U.S. State concerning obtaining information about deceased or relocated registered voters for the purpose of updating Kentucky's voter registration lists.

Case: 3:17-cv-00094-GFVT Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 11/14/17 Page: 6 of 6 - Page ID#: 21

Thomas J. Fitton April 11, 2017 Page | 6

If you do not produce these records within 45 days, or if you fail to otherwise advise us that you are making them available to us at specified times and locations, you will be deemed to be in violation of the NVRA and subject to litigation.

* * * * * * *

We hope our concerns can be resolved amicably. However, if we believe you do not intend to correct the above-identified problems, a federal lawsuit seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against you may be necessary. We look forward to receiving your prompt response.

Sincerely,

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.

Thomas J. Fitton President

By: U.S. Postal Service Certified Mail and Email

cc: Robert D. Popper, Esq., Judicial Watch; Jason Denny, Anderson County Clerk; Roger Coyle, Bath County Clerk; Kenny Brown, Boone County Clerk; Becky Curtis, Breathitt County Clerk; Toni Watson, Caldwell County Clerk; Michael Toon, Carlisle County Clerk; Kim King, Cumberland County Clerk; Betty Abernathy, Fulton County Clerk; Tracy Miles, Gallatin County Clerk; Pat Hieneman, Greenup County Clerk; Trina Olge, Hancock County Clerk; Shanda Archer, Henry County Clerk; Bobbie Holsclaw, Jefferson County Clerk; Johnny Collier, Jessamine County Clerk; Gabrielle Summe, Kenton County Clerk; Sonya Williams, Livingston County Clerk; Renee Arnett-Shepherd, Magoffin County Clerk; Julie Griggs, McCracken County Clerk; Brenda Hurt Carty, Menifee County Clerk; Gayle Johnson, Mercer County Deputy Clerk; Teresa M. Sheffield, Monroe County Clerk; Julie K. Barr, Oldham County Clerk; Rhonda A. Barnett, Powell County Clerk; Sue Popplewell Brockman, Russell County Clerk; Rebecca M. Johnson, Scott County Clerk; Lynn Hesselbrock, Spencer County Clerk; Carmen Finley, Trigg County Clerk; Tina R. Browning; Trimble County Clerk; Steve Oliver, Wolfe County Clerk; Sandra V. Jones, Woodford County Clerk

Case: 3:17-cv-00094-GFVT Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 11/14/17 Page: 1 of 3 - Page ID#: 22

EXHIBIT B



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS

ALISON LUNDERGAN GRIMES
Secretary of State & Chief Election Official

April 25, 2017

Via U.S. Mail
Mr. Thomas J. Fitton
Judicial Watch
425 Third Street, SW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024

Dear Mr. Fitton:

On April 11, 2017, the Kentucky Secretary of State received your letter concerning Section 8 of the National Voter Registration Act, 52 U.S.C. § 20507 via email. On April 19, 2017, the office received same by certified mail.

Because the Kentucky Secretary of State does not have the documents and data that you seek in its care, custody, and control, your correspondence has been forwarded to this agency, the Kentucky State Board of Elections. The Kentucky State Board of Elections objects to your requests. As you are aware, the NVRA requires only the maintenance and availability for public inspection of "records concerning the implementation of programs and activities conducted for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy and currency of official lists of eligible voters" for the past two (2) years. 52 U.S.C. § 20507(i). This is plainly a far narrower set of public records than the expansive field of documents and data compilations that you have requested. Accordingly, the Kentucky State Board of Elections objects generally to your requests to the extent that they seek materials not subject to disclosure under either the NVRA or the Kentucky Open Records Act, KRS 61.870, et seq.

Nonetheless, without waiving and subject to its above general objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections responds as follows to your individual requests for specific documents and data:

1. Copies of the most recent voter registration database from each county and city mentioned in this letter, including fields indicating names, date of birth, home address, most recent voter activity and active or inactive status.

In addition to its above-stated general objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections objects to this request pursuant to KRS 117.025(3)(h). Pursuant to that statute, the General Assembly has vested the Kentucky State Board of Elections with discretion as to whether to furnish certain voter registration data to persons other than "duly qualified candidates, political party committees or officials thereof, or any committee that advocates or opposes an amendment or public question" *Id.* At its monthly meeting of Tuesday, April 18, 2017, the Kentucky State Board of Elections denied your request.

2. Copies of all email or other communications internal to the office of the Kentucky State Board of Elections, including any of its divisions, bureaus, offices, third party agents, or contractors relating to the maintenance of accurate and current voter rolls.

In addition to its above-stated general objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections objects to this request to the extent that the records you have requested are confidential and proprietary; constitute preliminary drafts, notes, correspondence with private individuals, preliminary recommendations and preliminary memoranda; or are prohibited from disclosure by state or federal law. KRS 61.878(1)(a); (c); (i); (j); (k); (l). Subject to and notwithstanding its above general and specific objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections will use its best efforts to make available to you any responsive, non-exempt public records in its care, custody or control on or before June 26, 2017.

- 3. Copies of all email or other communications between the Kentucky State Board of Elections and all Kentucky County voter registration officials concerning:
 - a. Instructions to the counties concerning their general list maintenance practices and obligations;
 - b. Instructions to the counties for the removal of specific noncitizens and deceased, relocated, or convicted persons identified by the Kentucky State Board of Elections; and
 - c. Notices to the counties concerning any failure to comply with their voter list maintenance obligations under Kentucky's program.

In addition to its above-stated general objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections objects to this request to the extent that the records you have requested are confidential and proprietary; constitute preliminary drafts, notes, correspondence with private individuals, preliminary recommendations and preliminary memoranda; or are prohibited from disclosure by state or federal law. KRS 61.878(1)(a); (c); (i); (j); (k); (l). Moreover, the Kentucky State Board of Elections is not required to create or compile collections of information that do not exist currently in response to your request. Subject to and notwithstanding its above general and specific objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections will use its best efforts to make available to you any responsive, non-exempt public records in its care, custody or control on or before June 26, 2017.

4. Copies of all email and other communications between the Kentucky State Board of Elections and the Kentucky State Department of Health, the Kentucky State Department of Corrections, the Kentucky Department of Motor Vehicles, and the Kentucky State Judiciary concerning obtaining information about deceased, relocated, convicted, or noncitizen registered voters for the purpose of updating Kentucky voter registration lists.

In addition to its above-stated general objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections objects to this request to the extent that the records you have requested are confidential and proprietary; constitute preliminary drafts, notes, correspondence with private individuals, preliminary recommendations and preliminary memoranda; or are prohibited from disclosure by state or federal law. KRS 61.878(1)(a); (c); (i); (j); (k); (l). Subject to and notwithstanding its above general and specific objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections will use its best efforts to make available to you any responsive, non-exempt public records in its care, custody or control on or before June 26, 2017.

5. Copies of all email or other communications between the Kentucky State Board of Elections and the U.S. Attorney(s) for Kentucky, the U.S. District Court of Kentucky, the U.S. Social Security Administration, the U.S. Postal Service, the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security concerning the National Change of Address database, the Systematic Alien Verification and Entitlements database, or any other means of obtaining information about deceased, relocated, convicted, or noncitizen registered voters for the purpose of updating Kentucky's voter registration lists.

In addition to its above-stated general objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections objects to this request to the extent that the records you have requested are confidential and proprietary; constitute preliminary drafts, notes, correspondence with private individuals, preliminary recommendations and preliminary memoranda; or are prohibited from disclosure by state or federal law. KRS 61.878(1)(a); (c); (i); (j); (k); (l). Subject to and notwithstanding its above general and specific objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections will use its best efforts to make available to you any responsive, non-exempt public records in its care, custody or control on or before June 26, 2017.

6. Copies of all email or other communications between the Kentucky State Board of Elections and the Interstate Voter Registration Cross-Check Program, the Electronic Registration Information Center, the National Association for Public Health Statistics and Information Systems, and any other U.S. State concerning obtaining information about deceased or relocated registered voters for the purpose of updating Kentucky's voter registration lists.

In addition to its above-stated general objections, the Kentucky State Board of Elections objects to this request to the extent that the records you have requested are confidential and proprietary; constitute preliminary drafts, notes, correspondence with private individuals, preliminary recommendations and preliminary memoranda; or are prohibited from disclosure by state or federal law. KRS 61.878(1)(a); (c); (i); (j); (k); (l). Moreover, the Kentucky State Board of Elections is not required to create or compile collections of information that do not exist currently in response to your request. Subject to and notwithstanding its above general and specific objections, the Board of Elections will use its best efforts to make available to you any responsive, non-exempt public records in its care, custody or control on or before June 26, 2017.

Respectfully yours,

Maryellen Allen Executive Director

Kentucky State Board of Elections

Marveller allen

Case: 3:17-cv-00094-GFVT Doc #: 1-3 Filed: 11/14/17 Page: 1 of 1 - Page ID#: 25 EXHIBIT C



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS

ALISON LUNDERGAN GRIMES
Secretary of State & Chief Election Official

June 26, 2017

Via U.S. Mail
Mr. Thomas J. Fitton
Judicial Watch
425 Third Street, SW, Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20024

Dear Mr. Fitton:

On April 24, 2017, the State Board of Elections responded to your open records request of April 11, 2017. In the response, the State Board of Elections requested until June 26, 2017 to make available to you any responsive, non-exempt public records in its care, custody or control. The State Board of Elections has made every effort to meet our deadline of June 26, 2017, but is still in the process of preparing this information. Accordingly, the Kentucky State Board of Elections will use its best efforts to make available to you any responsive, nonexempt public records on or before August 7, 2017.

Respectfully yours,

Maryellen Allen Executive Director

Kentucky State Board of Elections

Maryllen allen