



Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C. 20535

November 29, 2018

MR. WILLIAM F MARSHALL
JUDICIAL WATCH
SUITE 800
425 THIRD STREET, SOUTHWEST
WASHINGTON, DC 20024

FOIPA Request No.: 1391365-000
Civil Action No.: 18-cv-154
Subject: Communications between Peter Strzok and
Lisa Page (February 1, 2015 – Present)

Dear Mr. Marshall:

The enclosed documents were reviewed under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Title 5, United States Code, Section 552. Below you will find check boxes under the appropriate statute headings with indicate the types of exemptions asserted to protect information which is exempt from disclosure. The appropriate exemptions are noted on the enclosed pages next to redacted information. In addition, a deleted page information sheet was inserted to indicate where pages were withheld entirely and identify which exemptions were applied. The checked exemption boxes used to withhold information are further explained in the enclosed Explanation of Exemptions.

Section 552

☐ (b)(1)

☐ (b)(2)

☐ (b)(3)

☐ (b)(4)

☒ (b)(5)

☒ (b)(6)

☒ (b)(7)(A)

☐ (b)(7)(B)

☒ (b)(7)(C)

☐ (b)(7)(D)

☒ (b)(7)(E)

☐ (b)(7)(F)

☐ (b)(8)

☐ (b)(9)

Section 552a

☐ (d)(5)

☐ (j)(2)

☐ (k)(1)

☐ (k)(2)

☐ (k)(3)

☐ (k)(4)

☐ (k)(5)

☐ (k)(6)

☐ (k)(7)

500 pages of potentially responsive records were reviewed.

207 pages are being released in whole or in part.

8 pages are being withheld in full per exemptions.

160 pages are being withheld duplicate.

45 pages are being withheld referral/consult.

80 pages were determined to be non-records/non-responsive to the FOIA request.

Below you will also find additional informational paragraphs about your request. Where applicable, check boxes are used to provide you with more information about the processing of your request. Please read each item carefully.

☒ Document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning, other Government Agency (ies) [OGA].

☐ This information has been referred to the OGA(s) for review and direct response to you.

☒ We are consulting with another agency. The FBI will correspond with you regarding this information when the consultation is completed.

☐ In accordance with standard FBI practice and pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) and Privacy Act exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. § 552/552a (b)(7)(E)/(j)(2)], this response neither confirms nor denies the existence of your subject's name on any watch lists.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)). This response is limited to those records subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist. Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Explanation of Exemptions.

Please direct any further inquiries about this case to the Assistant United States Attorney representing the Government in this matter. Please use the FOIPA Request Number and/or Civil Action Number in all correspondence or inquiries concerning your request.

You may direct any further inquiries to the attorney representing the Government in this matter.

☒ See additional information which follows.

Sincerely,



David M. Hardy
Section Chief
Record/Information
Dissemination Section
Information Management Division

Enclosure(s)

In response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, enclosed is a processed copy of Bates Stamped documents, FBI(18-cv-154)-2536 through FBI(18-cv-154)-3035. The enclosed documents represent the seventh interim release of information responsive to your request.

The FBI conducted email searches for any email communication between Peter Strzok and Lisa Page. This search located both official government records and non-record personal communications between these two individuals.

The FBI reviewed 500 pages of these emails. While conducting this review, the FBI individually analyzed the emails to determine whether they pertained to official government business constituting records under the FOIA or whether they consisted of purely personal communications between the two individuals. As a result of the FBI's review, it determined 80 pages were non-record, personal communications not subject to the FOIA; and 420 pages consisted of responsive FBI records.

As previously indicated, document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning another agency (ies). We are consulting with the other agency (ies) and are awaiting their response. Our office has processed all other information currently in our possession. The FBI will correspond with you regarding those documents when the consultation is completed.

To minimize costs to both you and the FBI, duplicate copies of the same document were not processed.

EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

- (b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;
- (b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;
- (b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;
- (b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;
- (b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;
- (b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
- (b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;
- (b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or
- (b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

- (d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;
- (j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;
- (k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;
- (k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;
- (k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;
- (k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;
- (k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA)
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOIA Request No.: 1391365-000 Civil
Action No.: 18-cv-154**

Total Withheld Page(s) = 291

Bates Page Reference	Reason for Withholding (i.e., exemptions with coded rationale, duplicate, sealed by order of court, etc.)
FBI(18-cv-154)-2537 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2538	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2576 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2587
FBI(18-cv-154)-2541 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2546	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2576 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2587
FBI(18-cv-154)-2557 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2565	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2576 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2587
FBI(18-cv-154)-2571 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2575	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2576 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2587
FBI(18-cv-154)-2582	b5-1
FBI(18-cv-154)-2589	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2592 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2594	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2601 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2605	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2609 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2612	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2615 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2619	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2620 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2624
FBI(18-cv-154)-2620 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2624	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2625 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2630	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2633 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2634	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2635	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2674 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2676
FBI(18-cv-154)-2636 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2638	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2641 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2643
FBI(18-cv-154)-2639 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2640	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2674 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2676
FBI(18-cv-154)-2653 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2657	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2658 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2663
FBI(18-cv-154)-2664 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2668	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2669 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2671	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2674 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2676

FBI(18-cv-154)-2677	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2683 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2685	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2688	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2690 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2691	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2697 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2699	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2700 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2701	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2702	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2703	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2704
FBI(18-cv-154)-2705 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2708	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2709 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2712	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2705 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2708
FBI(18-cv-154)-2713 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2714	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2715 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2716
FBI(18-cv-154)-2717 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2719	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2720 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2721	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2722 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2723
FBI(18-cv-154)-2726 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2727	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2728 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2743	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2744 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2751
FBI(18-cv-154)-2744 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2748	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2749 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2751	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2746 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2748
FBI(18-cv-154)-2752 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2758	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2759 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2764	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2765 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2770
FBI(18-cv-154)-2765 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2770	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2771 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2773	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2774 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2775	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2776 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2777
FBI(18-cv-154)-2782	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2785 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2809	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2810 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2816
FBI(18-cv-154)-2810 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2816	Referral/Consult

FBI(18-cv-154)-2817	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2818
FBI(18-cv-154)-2818	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2819	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2820 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2823	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2827 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2829
FBI(18-cv-154)-2824	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2825 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2826	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2827 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2829
FBI(18-cv-154)-2830 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2831	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2832 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2835	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2836 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2837
FBI(18-cv-154)-2841 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2845	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2846	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2847
FBI(18-cv-154)-2857 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2860	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2861 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2868
FBI(18-cv-154)-2870	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2871	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2873
FBI(18-cv-154)-2874 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2875	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2876 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2878
FBI(18-cv-154)-2881 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2883	b5-1, 2, 3
FBI(18-cv-154)-2884 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2885	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2886 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2888	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2889 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2894	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2895 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2897
FBI(18-cv-154)-2898 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2900	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2902 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2904	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2906 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2911	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2915 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2916	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2917 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2918	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2919 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2920
FBI(18-cv-154)-2923 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2924	b5-1, 2
FBI(18-cv-154)-2925	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request

FBI(18-cv-154)-2927 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2928	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2930	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2931	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2932 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2933
FBI(18-cv-154)-2939	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2943	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2950 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2952	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2953 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2954
FBI(18-cv-154)-2966	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2972	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2976 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2977
FBI(18-cv-154)-2976 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2977	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2978 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2981	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2982 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2985
FBI(18-cv-154)-2986	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2987 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2992	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2993 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2998
FBI(18-cv-154)-2998	b5-1, 2, 3
FBI(18-cv-154)-2999	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-3000 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3002	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-3003 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3005
FBI(18-cv-154)-3006 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3009	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-3010 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3013
FBI(18-cv-154)-3014 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3015	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-3016 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3023	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-3024 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3027

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:04 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Possible Op-Ed

Just tried calling. How do you want to tackle? Happy to participate in whatever way makes sense. I think group writing efforts sometimes suck and someone should take the primary pen. Having said that, I'm happy to come in Sun and Mon and write it, as I think we all have a sense of his voice.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 3:55 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Possible Op-Ed

Jon: The Director is under the impression that folks are working on a draft "Op-Ed" for him to release (possibly as early as next week). I just spoke to the DD who said that you might have the pen on such a rough draft. Below is the boss' thinking generally if it provides a guidepost for your efforts.

Thanks,
Jim

b6 -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:54 PM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Up to you. We can handle any way you please...

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:52 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm going to block it out on my laptop (all of the material they prepped for me lives on FBINET) and we can exchange some drafts. Sound good? I'll go first and then you two can work magic on it...

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:28 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Ok, I'm in. And frankly like the idea. Just talked to Lisa and heard about the other taskers, esp the retrospective for the Man. Lets talk when youre free..

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Taking off Bill and [REDACTED] We three do pretty well at group writing efforts, but I'll defer to you guys for how you want to split up the many efforts which seem assigned for this weekend/next week.

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

And by "write it," I mean with you, or any combination of people, or alone. Truly agnostic.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Just tried calling. How do you want to tackle? Happy to participate in whatever way makes sense. I think group writing efforts sometimes suck and someone should take the primary pen. Having said that, I'm happy to come in Sun and Mon and write it, as I think we all have a sense of his voice.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 3:55 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E
W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Jon: The Director is under the impression that folks are working on a draft "Op-Ed" for him to release (possibly as early as next week). I just spoke to the DD who said that you might have the pen on such a rough draft. Below is the boss' thinking generally if it provides a guidepost for your efforts.

Thanks,
Jim

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 5:22 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: All-Member Briefing

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 5:21 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Coleman, Randall C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Trainor,
James C. (CYD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Sporre, Eric W. (CYD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P.
(DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: All-Member Briefing

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

They are shooting for the week of 9/12 for the all-member briefings.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 5:08 PM
To: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Coleman, Randall C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Trainor, James C. (CYD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Sporre, Eric W. (CYD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Kortan,
Michael P. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO)
(FBI) [REDACTED] Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: All-Member Briefing

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Update: NSC determined that this will be a classified briefing. The initial audience was a gable - it is not an all member briefing, but will be a briefing for leadership and chairs/rankings of homeland and intelligence committees (12 Members).

Jim:

-----Original Message-----

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 3:16 PM
To: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Coleman, Randall C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Trainor, James C. (CYD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Sporre, Eric W. (CYD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Kortan,
Michael P. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO)
(FBI) [REDACTED] Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: All-Member Briefing

I sent information related to the possible 9/8 Director all-member briefing on FBI Net. Action is needed by Tuesday (9/6) by CyO and CD.

Thanks,
Jim

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 5:54 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Possible Op-Ed

Jesus. Please stop him...

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 5:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Steinbach,
Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

All: This matter was discussed at today's close-out. Jon Moffa has provided me a draft piece, which I will now review. I will then provide it to Mike Steinbach (to be pushed to the DD and D this weekend). Thx, Bill

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:08 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

We can do it if need be. Word length?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:00 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Cc: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P.
(CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I think the team was focused more on putting together "the facts" that he might use, but if he wants us to take a stab at the actual draft we can do that too. I don't recall a time line being discussed, but if it's next week, so be it.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 3:55 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"

"Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Priestap, E

W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Possible Op-Ed

Jon: The Director is under the impression that folks are working on a draft "Op-Ed" for him to release (possibly as early as next week). I just spoke to the DD who said that you might have the pen on such a rough draft. Below is the boss' thinking generally if it provides a guidepost for your efforts.

Thanks,

Jim

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 6:07 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Grassley Statement on FBI's Partial Release of Records following Clinton Email Investigation

b6 -1
b7C -1

His first email in response simply said, "Outstanding."

;))

----- Original message -----

From: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Date: 09/02/2016 6:04 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Grassley Statement on FBI's Partial Release of Records following Clinton Email Investigation

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I should have added that I'm proud of the way we have handled this release. Thanks for the work on it. Just another reminder that Emerson was right when he said, "To be great is to be misunderstood." Have a great and quiet weekend,

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 9/2/16 5:41 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>
Subject: Grassley Statement on FBI's Partial Release of Records following Clinton Email Investigation

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

For Immediate Release

Friday, September 02, 2016

FBI (18-cv-154)-2551

Grassley Statement on FBI's Partial Release of Records following Clinton Email Investigation

WASHINGTON – Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley made the following statement regarding FBI's partial release of its unclassified summary of its investigation into Hillary Clinton's email arrangements while Secretary of State.

"FBI Director Comey said 'the American people deserve the details in a case of intense public interest,' and 'unusual transparency is in order.' Well, a Friday afternoon release of incomplete records ahead of a three-day weekend is hardly my idea of transparency—especially considering the FBI has limited congressional investigators' access to *unclassified* information. As a result, congressional staff working to perform our constitutionally-mandated oversight responsibility have an incomplete view of the facts. That's simply unacceptable," Grassley said.

"Today's selective release of portions of the FBI's findings is exactly the sort of thing Secretary Clinton's own advocates warned against when calling for full disclosure. It provides an incomplete and possibly misleading picture of the facts without the other unclassified information that is still locked away from the public and even most congressional staff. That's not fair to the American people, Congress or Secretary Clinton.

"Americans deserve accountability from their government. FBI should follow through on Director Comey's call for transparency by releasing all unclassified records, and by providing congressional oversight committees with full and complete copies of the unclassified information."

Some information in the FBI's summary memorandum appears to be inaccurate, but a fully informed public discussion cannot occur until the other unclassified interview summaries are released.

The FBI submitted records of its investigation into Clinton's email arrangement to Congress last month. While a significant majority of the material is unclassified, it was commingled with classified material, limiting its review to a small number of congressional staff who hold high-level security clearances. Grassley has called on the Office of Senate Security, which holds the documents, and the FBI to follow regulations and historic precedents by providing copies of the unclassified material to Senate oversight committees.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 9:03 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Tuesday

This is on the hack. You should tell bill...

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 8:50 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Looks like Andy's talk with Mike and Randy worked out GREAT

----- Original message -----

From: "Laycock, Stephen C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 8:48 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Will do

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 8:46 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Laycock, Stephen C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Not certain about this specifically, but I know there are a few Congressional briefs. Could you ask whoever to send me on yellow? I'm going IN Sunday and will check. Thanks

----- Original message -----

From: "Laycock, Stephen C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 8:42 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hey guys. Sorry to bother at this hour. Are either of you aware of a cyber brief to the D and HPSCI Tuesday that I believe is related to the project? Just got a call from a buddy who is tdy to cyber as SC

FBI (18-cv-154)-2553

...and was privy to this presentation where he noticed a few CD centric things that CD may have an interest in taking a look at and scrubbing. This may already have been coordinated but just wanted to make sure. [REDACTED] Let me know thought or if good to go..

b7E -3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 10:29 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Tuesday

No, I'm pretty sure we are briefing the hack to the hill, but yeah, find out. Why not just email jason?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 10:14 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hopefully just confusion about D brief to Intels and judiciaries.

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 10:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sounds good - I haven't been advised about a HPSCI brief by CyD on Tuesday, but that doesn't mean there isn't one.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 9:13 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

FYI. I will call [REDACTED] (the TDYer Steve is talking about) and ask for a coordination copy.

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Laycock, Stephen C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 8:48 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Tuesday

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Will do

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 8:46 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Laycock, Stephen C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Tuesday

Not certain about this specifically, but I know there are a few Congressional briefs. Could you ask whoever to send me on yellow? I'm going in Sunday and will check. Thanks

----- Original message -----

From: "Laycock, Stephen C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 8:42 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Tuesday

Hey guys. Sorry to bother at this hour. Are either of you aware of a cyber brief to the D and HPSCI Tuesday that I believe is related to the project? Just got a call from a buddy who is tdy to cyber as SC and was privy to this presentation where he noticed a few CD centric things that CD may have an interest in taking a look at and scrubbing. This may already have been coordinated but just wanted to make sure. [REDACTED] Let me know thought or if good to go..

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b7E -3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 11:26 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

I'll be in in about 20 or so. Will check soon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/04/2016 11:22 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm in the office taking a look at the separate deliverable for the D on Tuesday, but just as an update, Bill took a look at my first draft of the op ed last night and streamlined it a little (which I thought was good) and sent it back. He wants a draft to start up the chain through the EAD by Monday night, so if you guys could take a look today at some point with any additions/changes, I'll get on the laptop tomorrow morning and finish it off and send him the final for submission up to the EAD.

Thanks,

J

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 03, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] I'll try to take a stab at it.

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 8:00 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks. I'll look at it when I get home, as [REDACTED]

b7E -3

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:54 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

I quit sleeping. It really helps productivity. :)

...

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:50 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good lord! You're an animal! Thanks Jon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:50 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Op ed Draft #1 is sitting in both of your fbinet email inboxes. I also gave you the raw research stuff to look at to see if you think we should add anything else.

Got an early start...

J

...

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 5:10 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hells yes we will.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 5:04 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Yeah I'll be damned if we give them (re JR's last email) anything less than a spectacular "holy sh*t this is great and ready to go now."

;))

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 5:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

All good. Shouldn't too tough with what they pulled together for us to use...

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 5:01 PM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

That would be perfect. I frankly prefer editing to writing anyway. Thanks Jon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:59 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

It will be really rough when I send it your way, but I think it's probably best to start discussing it from some semblance of a draft. I'm going to hit the laptop early tomorrow because I am currently burnt out and I'll send you both the first draft and the "raw material" used to put it together and we can shape it up. I'm going

[REDACTED]
Thanks,

J

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:55 PM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

----- Original message -----

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

From: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:52 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm going to block it out on my laptop (all of the material they prepped for me lives on FBINET) and we can exchange some drafts. Sound good? I'll go first and then you two can work magic on it...

J

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:28 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Ok, I'm in. And frankly like the idea. Just talked to Lisa and heard about the other taskers, esp the retrospective for the Man. Lets talk when youre free..

----- Original message -----
From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Taking off Bill and [redacted] We three do pretty well at group writing efforts, but I'll defer to you guys for how you want to split up the many efforts which seem assigned for this weekend/next week.

b6 -1

----- Original message -----
From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

And by "write it," I mean with you, or any combination of people, or alone. Truly agnostic.

----- Original message -----
From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Just tried calling. How do you want to tackle? Happy to participate in whatever way makes sense. I think group writing efforts sometimes suck and someone should take the primary pen. Having said that, I'm happy to come in Sun and Mon and write it, as I think we all have a sense of his voice.

FBI (18-cv-154)-2569

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 3:55 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E
W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Jon: The Director is under the impression that folks are working on a draft "Op-Ed" for him to release (possibly as early as next week). I just spoke to the DD who said that you might have the pen on such a rough draft. Below is the boss' thinking generally if it provides a guidepost for your efforts.

Thanks,
Jim

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 12:56 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Jon, I'm in Pete's ofc if you want to join. We're both just reading now.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/04/2016 12:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Yes, old one. Because I haven't bothered to memorize the tumbler of the new one

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 12:47 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sorry, hard getting out the door, be there in 4. Your ofc?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/04/2016 12:42 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hey I just got in, are you all around?

From: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 11:23 AM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm in the office taking a look at the separate deliverable for the D on Tuesday, but just as an update, Bill took a look at my first draft of the op ed last night and streamlined it a little (which I thought was good) and sent it back. He wants a draft to start up the chain through the EAD by Monday night, so if you guys could take a look today at some point with any additions/changes, I'll get on the laptop tomorrow morning and finish it off and send him the final for submission up to the EAD.

Thanks,

J

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 03, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] I'll try to take a stab at it.

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 8:00 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks. I'll look at it when I get home, as [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b7E -3

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:54 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I quit sleeping. It really helps productivity. :)

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:50 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good lord! You're an animal! Thanks Jon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:50 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Op ed Draft #1 is sitting in both of your fbinet email inboxes. I also gave you the raw research stuff to look at to see if you think we should add anything else.

Got an early start...

1

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 5:10 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hells yes we will.

----- Original message -----

Original message

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 5:04 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Yeah I'll be damned if we give them (re JR's last email) anything less than a spectacular "holy sh*t this is great and ready to go now."

:)

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 5:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

All good. Shouldn't too tough with what they pulled together for us to use...

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
 Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 5:01 PM
 To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
 [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

That would be perfect. I frankly prefer editing to writing anyway. Thanks Jon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 4:59 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

It will be really rough when I send it your way, but I think it's probably best to start discussing it from some semblance of a draft. I'm going to hit the laptop early tomorrow because I am currently burnt out and I'll send you both the first draft and the "raw material" used to put it together and we can shape it up. I'm going

b5 -1

Thanks,

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:55 PM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:52 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm going to block it out on my laptop (all of the material they prepped for me lives on FBINET) and we can exchange some drafts. Sound good? I'll go first and then you two can work magic on it...

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:28 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Ok, I'm in. And frankly like the idea. Just talked to Lisa and heard about the other taskers, esp the retrospective for the Man. Lets talk when youre free..

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/02/2016 4:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Taking off Bill and [REDACTED] We three do pretty well at group writing efforts, but I'll defer to you guys for how you want to split up the many efforts which seem assigned for this weekend/next week.

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 4:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

And by "write it," I mean with you, or any combination of people, or alone. Truly agnostic.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 4:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
 Subject: Fwd: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Just tried calling. How do you want to tackle? Happy to participate in whatever way makes sense. I think group writing efforts sometimes suck and someone should take the primary pen. Having said that, I'm happy to come in Sun and Mon and write it, as I think we all have a sense of his voice.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 3:55 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
 Subject: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Jon: The Director is under the impression that folks are working on a draft "Op-Ed" for him to release (possibly as early as next week). I just spoke to the DD who said that you might have the pen on such a rough draft. Below is the boss' thinking generally if it provides a guidepost for your efforts.

Thanks,

Jim

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 1:05 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Jon, you need to come down here, we need a tiebreaker.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/04/2016 12:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Yes, old one. Because I haven't bothered to memorize the tumbler of the new one

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 12:47 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sorry, hard getting out the door, be there in 4. Your ofc?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/04/2016 12:42 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hey I just got in, are you all around?

From: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 11:23 AM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm in the office taking a look at the separate deliverable for the D on Tuesday, but just as an update, Bill took a look at my first draft of the op ed last night and streamlined it a little (which I thought was good) and sent it back. He wants a draft to start up the chain through the EAD by Monday night, so if you guys could take a look today at some point with any additions/changes, I'll get on the laptop tomorrow morning and finish it off and send him the final for submission up to the EAD.

Thanks,

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 03, 2016 8:10 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] I'll try to take a stab at it.

b6 -1

----- Original message -----
From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 8:00 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks. I'll look at it when I get home, as [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b7E -3

----- Original message -----
From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:54 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I quit sleeping. It really helps productivity. :)

----- Original message -----
From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:50 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good lord! You're an animal! Thanks Jon.

----- Original message -----
From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/03/2016 7:50 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Op ed Draft #1 is sitting in both of your fbinet email inboxes. I also gave you the raw research stuff to look at to see if you think we should add anything else.

Got an early start...

J

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 5:10 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Hells yes we will.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 5:04 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Yeah I'll be damned if we give them (re JR's last email) anything less than a spectacular "holy sh*t this is great and ready to go now."

;))

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 5:02 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

All good. Shouldn't too tough with what they pulled together for us to use...

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 5:01 PM

To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

That would be perfect. I frankly prefer editing to writing anyway. Thanks Jon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Date: 09/02/2016 4:59 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

It will be really rough when I send it your way, but I think it's probably best to start discussing it from some semblance of a draft. I'm going to hit the laptop early tomorrow because I am currently burnt out and I'll send you both the first draft and the "raw material" used to put it together and we can shape it up. I'm going

Thanks,

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:55 PM

To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 4:52 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

I'm going to block it out on my laptop (all of the material they prepped for me lives on FBINET) and we can exchange some drafts. Sound good? I'll go first and then you two can work magic on it...

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 4:28 PM

To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Ok, I'm in. And frankly like the idea. Just talked to Lisa and heard about the other taskers, esp the retrospective for the Man. Lets talk when youre free..

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/02/2016 4:08 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

Taking off Bill and [REDACTED] We three do pretty well at group writing efforts.

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1

but I'll defer to you guys for how you want to split up the many efforts which seem assigned for this weekend/next week.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 4:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

And by "write it," I mean with you, or any combination of people, or alone. Truly agnostic.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 4:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: Fwd: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Just tried calling. How do you want to tackle? Happy to participate in whatever way makes sense. I think group writing efforts sometimes suck and someone should take the primary pen. Having said that, I'm happy to come in Sun and Mon and write it, as I think we all have a sense of his voice.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/02/2016 3:55 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: Possible Op-Ed

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Jon: The Director is under the impression that folks are working on a draft "Op-Ed" for him to release (possibly as early as next week). I just spoke to the DD who said that you might have the pen on such a rough draft. Below is the boss' thinking generally if it provides a guidepost for your efforts.

Thanks,
 Jim

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 04, 2016 11:12 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Opening

Yes, but are all of those things true? I like the opening but think I might like [REDACTED]

b5 -1

[REDACTED] Will think about it...

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/04/2016 11:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: Opening

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

How about this for an opening para. I'd like to avoid any further discussion because I fear the whole thing is already a paragraph or two too long. Took the first para and spun it around a bit, and I think it works:

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:43 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

Yeah, *I* told rybicki [REDACTED] You're welcome.

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/06/2016 9:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Fysa

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/06/2016 9:01 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks Pete - I'll follow-up with Jim R in the AM.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/06/2016 9:00 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I havent received any request for TPs, nor have I seen any from CYD for coordination. Jon provided comment, which I agreed with, for today's CYD sessions. They were limited and intended to keep CYD from misspeaking, not to fully inform them of our issues, which is a much larger set of information.

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/06/2016 8:50 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Gents:

Rybicki contacted me and said he received CyD's talking points for the D on Thursday, but that he had not received CD's.

I don't recall anyone asking us to provide talking points; and

Didn't you guys weigh-in on CyD's talking points this weekend? (If you weighed-in this weekend, it may not be necessary to provide a separate set of talking points, but please let me know your thoughts.)

Thanks,
Bill

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 10:16 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

But you DO need to review what CyD put together. Still not at all clear if it's accurate. I have only a partial copy. Get from Jim R.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/06/2016 9:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Fysa

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/06/2016 9:01 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks Pete - I'll follow-up with Jim R in the AM.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/06/2016 9:00 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I havent received any request for TPs, nor have I seen any from CYD for coordination. Jon provided comment, which I agreed with, for today's CYD sessions. They were limited and intended to keep CYD from misspeaking, not to fully inform them of our issues, which is a much larger set of information.

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/06/2016 8:50 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED]
Subject:

Gents:

Rybicki contacted me and said he received CyD's talking points for the D on Thursday, but that he had not received CD's.

I don't recall anyone asking us to provide talking points; and

Didn't you guys weigh-in on CyD's talking points this weekend? (If you weighed-in this weekend, it may not be necessary to provide a separate set of talking points, but please let me know your thoughts.)

Thanks,
Bill

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 7:39 AM
To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 9:00

b6 -1
b7C -1

I can [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/07/2016 7:23 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: 9:00

Good morning. Would this small group be able to get together around 9:00 this morning for about 5 minutes? We could meet in my office.

Thanks all. Jason

...

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 2:52 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Insider threat efforts

Don't forward. Just FYSA to you.

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 2:50 PM
To: Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI); Killinger, Dale R. (INSD) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1

All,

The Deputy would like a joint brief on our Insider Threat efforts from InTC, CD, SecD and INSD, to be held early next week. Could you please identify the appropriate person to brief the role your Division plays in the Bureau's insider threat efforts? Following this briefing, the Deputy will likely request that a paper describing these efforts be produced, and a follow-on brief for the Director is expected. Happy to answer any questions that may arise. Thank you.

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:57 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Insider threat efforts

Great.

----- Original message -----

From: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/07/2016 3:47 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E
W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Killinger, Dale R. (INSD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James
A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Agree,

I will discuss this with Andy. We are already moving down that road and he and I have not had time to coordinate yet since his return from New York.

DB

From: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:46 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E.W.
(CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Killinger,
Dale R. (INSD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
(DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO)
(FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I would suggest we need to go back to him and advise we are not ready for this/request some more time -- we have some work to do which Dave is looking at.

MICHAEL B. STEINBACH
Executive Assistant Director

FBI (18-cv-154)-2599

EXECUTIVE ADJUTANT GENERAL
National Security Branch
Federal Bureau of Investigation
(O [REDACTED])
(C [REDACTED])

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 2:50 PM
To: Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E
W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Killinger,
Dale R. (INSD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
(DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO)
(FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

All,

The Deputy would like a joint brief on our Insider Threat efforts from InTC, CD, SecD and INSD, to be held early next week. Could you please identify the appropriate person to brief the role your Division plays in the Bureau's insider threat efforts? Following this briefing, the Deputy will likely request that a paper describing these efforts be produced, and a follow-on brief for the Director is expected. Happy to answer any questions that may arise. Thank you.

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 7:46 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Insider threat efforts

See, THIS is what someone does when they are not trying to make some statement.

----- Original message -----

From: "McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/08/2016 7:33 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Lisa,

I spoke with the ADS and we agreed Dale could cover INSD since I'm on AL; however, Dale is out next week as well. If you still need an INSD rep, Voviette Morgan can cover.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/07/2016 2:50 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Killinger, Dale R. (INSD) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)", [REDACTED] "Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)", [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)", [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

All,

The Deputy would like a joint brief on our Insider Threat efforts from InTC, CD, SecD and INSD, to be held early next week. Could you please identify the appropriate person to brief the role your Division plays in the Bureau's insider threat efforts? Following this briefing, the Deputy will likely request that a paper describing these efforts be produced, and a follow-on brief for the Director is expected. Happy to answer any questions that may arise. Thank you.

Lisa

FBI (18-cv-154)-2606

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 3:49 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Insider threat efforts

soft yay...

From: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 3:32 PM
To: Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI); Killinger, Dale R. (INSD) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1

Dave & Mike:

As I discussed with you both, Lisa was following through on the Director's request to me for an insider threat briefing this Friday.

After discussing with Jim R, I believe the Director can hold until likely next Wednesday (Jim -- please confirm). If that is the case, I would like a pre brief from Insider Threat and all relevant stakeholders next Tuesday.

Please coordinate details with Lisa and Jim in my absence.

Thanks

Andrew G. McCabe
Deputy Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

W [REDACTED]
M [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:48 PM
To: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Killinger, Dale R. (INSD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Agree,

FBI (18-cv-154)-2607

I will discuss this with Andy. We are already moving down that road and he and I have not had time to coordinate yet since his return from New York.

DB

From: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 3:46 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI);
[REDACTED] McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Priestap, E.W.
(CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]; Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Killinger,
Dale R. (INSD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI);
[REDACTED] Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
(DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO)
(FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I would suggest we need to go back to him and advise we are not ready for this/request some more time -- we have some work to do which Dave is looking at.

MICHAEL B. STEINBACH
Executive Assistant Director
National Security Branch
Federal Bureau of Investigation
(O) [REDACTED]
(C) [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2016 2:50 PM
To: Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI);
[REDACTED] McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Priestap, E.
W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bucheit, Laura A. (SECD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Killinger,
Dale R. (INSD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI);
[REDACTED] Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
(DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO)
(FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: Insider threat efforts

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

All,

The Deputy would like a joint brief on our Insider Threat efforts from InTC, CD, SecD and INSD, to be held early next week. Could you please identify the appropriate person to brief the role your Division plays in the Bureau's insider threat efforts? Following this briefing, the Deputy will likely request that a paper describing these efforts be produced, and a follow-on brief for the Director is expected. Happy to answer any questions that may arise. Thank you.

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 9:45 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Prep tomorrow

So go! Absolutely no one will be troubled by your presence. I'm positive Jason just doesn't want to burden you/add to your awful schedule.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/08/2016 9:34 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Prep tomorrow

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I don't know if I'M comfortable with not having someone from the investigative team there. But whatever. Will defer to him, OGC, and theUSIC.

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/08/2016 6:43 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Prep tomorrow

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm not sure it's necessary to have someone from the investigative team.

Right now, we are scheduled to have an 11:00 call with the interagency to talk/coordinate in advance of the Monday hearing. On that call will be FBI, DOJ, State, ODN, CIA, and NSC. I think it may make the most sense for Jim and me to do that call together. I'm guessing it will last about 45 minutes.

Why don't [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (and anyone else we think would add value) plan to meet us in Jim's office at 11:45 to help us prepare. Should probably bring a set of binders...

b6 -1
b7C -1

Good?

Thanks all. Jason

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 6:30 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]; Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Subject: RE: Prep tomorrow

[redacted] and [redacted] have all aspects of production knowledge covered. Would the prep benefit from someone from the investigative team? Of course [redacted] was there for that as well.

Thanks,
Pete

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 10:58 AM

To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)

Cc: Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Subject: Prep tomorrow

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Jim, Jason:

Apologies for the short notice, but I will not be in the office tomorrow and so will not be able to participate in this prep. You are in wholly excellent hands with [redacted] and [redacted] there is absolutely nothing I can add that they don't already know. Thanks.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 8:26 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE:

[REDACTED]

b5 -3

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:15 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:11 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: "Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:07 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Trainor, James C. (CYD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject:

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

For visibility only...

In the wake of CNN reporting, WaPo's Ellen Nakashima likely to publish later this evening that DOJ/FBI "highly confident" that Russia behind recent hacks, and that DOJ/FBI officials frustrated with USG reluctance to publicly attribute.

Multiple anonymous sources, some DOJ/FBI.

Comment declined on our end.

FBI (18-cv-154)-2631

CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION

Richard P. Quinn
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Media/Investigative Publicity

[REDACTED] (c)
(m)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 9:00 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

They're really legal not op questions. Really, the question is why are Bowdich and Steinbach on the call.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:54 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Agreed. You going to talk to him? Might be counterproductive. I'd just show at the insider threat meeting. The notion that Dale and [REDACTED] and group could speak to it is ludicrous. It will NOT be representative of what the FBI is doing.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Also, any reason Trisha and not Bill included on Andy's small group?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

This frustrates me. I specifically sent an email removing Jason about having a call without him, Bowdich chose not to respond to that one. Now, Andy and Jason ultimately agreed with me, and Jason is not going to call-in, but I can't help but feel like I am being undermined...

I also don't like that he took me off the invite for the insider threat prep. That makes me quite mad.

----- Original message -----

From: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 7:20 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Andy,

I will have a call scheduled for the weekend as we will likely be pressed for time on Monday. Is

FBI (18-cv-154)-2641

I will have a call scheduled for the weekend as we will likely be pressed for time on Monday. In addition to these issues, Jim and I discussed some bucketed responses we can arm Jason with before he goes to the Hill.

How does 1:00 pm on Sunday work for everyone?

DB

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/09/2016 7:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Jason and smaller group:

My inclination is [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

b5 -1

We should probably discuss. I am happy to jump on a conference call this weekend or I could do it on Monday morning at 0830 eastern time. After that I'm on a plane.

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 9/9/16 2:16 PM (GMT-08:00)
 To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

So far, no subpoena from the Hill for the below information that Chaffetz's folks put on my radar last night. For the hearing on Monday, I'm certain that I will be pressed very hard for the FBI position on providing these things. It would be helpful on Monday to get a sense of whether [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

b5 -1

I'm certain I will be expected to know the answer to these questions at the hearing on Monday since they floated it to me in advance.

I'm happy to discuss anytime between now and Monday.

Thanks all. Jason

-----Original Message-----

From: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 7:11 PM

To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
 Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter
 P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C.
 (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth
 R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Priestap, E.W. (CD)
 (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Subject: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

I received a call from House Oversight and Government Reform staff. Chaffetz intends to issue a subpoena (probably tomorrow) for the following things:

- 1) Three additional copies of the binders for use at the hearing on Monday and to be maintained in HDGR space (not in House Security);
- 2) One additional copy with the PII redactions lifted; and
- 3) All of the remaining 3Q2s that were not included in the production to the Hill.

I tried to talk them out of the subpoena. I offered that we would authorize House Security to bring the copies currently maintained in House security to the Monday hearing. I explained the principled reasons for redacting the PII for those individuals who are not already public...these are closed investigative case files, etc. I explained that the relevant 3Q2s have been provided, etc., etc. They said that wasn't good enough and that this demand for documents is coming directly from Chairman Chaffetz.

No subpoena yet, but I fear it is coming tomorrow...

Jason

Jason V. Herring
 Acting Assistant Director
 Office of Congressional Affairs
 Federal Bureau of Investigation
 [REDACTED]

b6 -1
 b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2016 9:56 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Conference Call 12p EST

----- Original message -----

From: "Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 8:59 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker,
James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Conference Call 12p EST

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Alcon,

A bridgeline has been established for a conference call today at 12p EST. Please see dial in instructions below.

Rainer

----- Original message -----

From: SIOC [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 7:30 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: SIOC [REDACTED]
Subject: Conference Call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good Morning,

Your unclassified conference call was setup as requested. Instructions to gain access to the conference call are below. Please forward to all participants.

- Dial [REDACTED]
- When prompted to do so, please enter your pass code followed by the #sign. Your pass code is [REDACTED]
- If you need assistance, please call the SIOC's main number [REDACTED]

b7E -6

THE CONTENT OF THIS EMAIL IS LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
Forwarding this email or its contents to a non-government account is prohibited

PLEASE NOTE: SIOC has a limited number of conference lines available each day. If your plans change, and you will not be using any or all of the requested lines, please let SIOC know as soon as you know so that we may release those lines to others who may be wanting to use them.

Emergency Action Specialist [REDACTED]

Strategic Information & Operation Center (SIOC)

FBIHQ, Room [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

THIS MESSAGE CAN BE PROVIDED TO OUTSIDE AGENCIES BECAUSE WE ARE NOW
ROTATING CODES WHEN OUTSIDE AGENCIES WERE/ARE INVOLVED IN CONFERENCE
CALLS.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2016 10:32 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

----- Original message -----

From: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 10:01 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki,
James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael
B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

Folks,

Since I can't be on the call today, I just wanted to throw a couple of thoughts out there regarding the subpoena.

Thanks.

Jim

----- Original message -----

From: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 9:23 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

FBI (18-cv-154)-2646

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

All,

I will have my folks arrange a conference call through SIOC tomorrow at noon EST. Dial-in should come out in the morning.

Dave

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 09/09/2016 7:53 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

All

Sunday is tough for me so if possible let's move the call to tomorrow. 1200 eastern works.

I would like it if as a group we can flesh out some basic positions to the main questions Jason will get. I'm not sure Jason even needs to be on the call as the spit balling might end up being more confusing than productive for him.

Some time following the call, it would probably be good if Lisa and Jason can get together to frame up some language on specific responses to the questions based on the ideas we come up with on Saturdays call. You guys can do that whenever and however works best for you.

On Monday, you all can meet with Jason and the D to put some final polish on his prep.

Sounds good?

----- Original message -----

From: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 9/9/16 4:20 PM (GMT-08:00)
 To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James
 A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael
 B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Andy,

I will have a call scheduled for the weekend as we will likely be pressed for time on Monday. In addition to these issues, Jim and I discussed some bucketed responses we can arm Jason with before he goes to the Hill.

How does 1:00 pm on Sunday work for everyone?

DB.

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/09/2016 7:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson,
 Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich,
 David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Jason and smaller group:

My inclination is [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

b5 -1

We should probably discuss. I am happy to jump on a conference call this weekend or I could do it on Monday morning at 0830 eastern time. After that I'm on a plane.

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 9/9/16 2:16 PM (GMT-08:00)
 To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page,
 [REDACTED]

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] "Moffa,
Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[redacted] P, "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"
[redacted] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] "Priestap, E
W. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

So far, no subpoena from the Hill for the below information that Chaffetz's folks put on my radar last night. For the hearing on Monday, I'm certain that I will be pressed very hard for the FBI position on providing these things. It would be helpful on Monday to get a sense of [redacted]

b5 -1

I'm certain I will be expected to know the answer to these questions at the hearing on Monday since they floated it to me in advance.

I'm happy to discuss anytime between now and Monday.

Thanks all. Jason

-----Original Message-----

From: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 7:11 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Strzok, Peter
P. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C.
(CD) (FBI) [redacted] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Beers, Elizabeth
R. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Priestap, E W. (CD)
(FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I received a call from House Oversight and Government Reform staff. Chaffetz intends to issue a subpoena (probably tomorrow) for the following things:

- 1) Three additional copies of the binders for use at the hearing on Monday and to be maintained in HDGR space (not in House Security);
- 2) One additional copy with the PII redactions lifted; and
- 3) All of the remaining 302s that were not included in the production to the Hill.

I tried to talk them out of the subpoena. I offered that we would authorize House Security to bring the copies currently maintained in House security to the Monday hearing. I explained the principled reasons for redacting the PII for those individuals who are not already public...these are closed investigative case files, etc. I explained that the relevant 302s have been provided, etc., etc. They said that wasn't good enough and that this demand for documents is coming directly from Chairman Chaffetz.

No subpoena yet, but I fear it is coming tomorrow....

Jason

Jason V. Herring
Acting Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
FBI (18-cv-154)-2649

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2016 11:29 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Conference Call 12p EST

A-maz-ing.

----- Original message -----

From: "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 11:26 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Conference Call 12p EST

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I don't think I will be in a position to get on the call. I will touch base with Dave later of that's OK.

MICHAEL B. STEINBACH

Executive Assistant Director

National Security Branch

Federal Bureau of Investigation

(O) [REDACTED]
(C) [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 8:59 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Conference Call 12p EST

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Alcon,

A bridgeline has been established for a conference call today at 12p EST. Please see dial in instructions below.

Rainer

----- Original message -----

From: SIOC [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 7:30 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Drolshagen, Rainer S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: SIOC [REDACTED]
Subject: Conference Call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good Morning,

Your unclassified conference call was setup as requested. Instructions to gain access to the conference call are below. Please forward to all participants.

- Dial [REDACTED]
- When prompted to do so, please enter your pass code followed by the #sign. Your pass code is [REDACTED]
- If you need assistance, please call the SIOC's main number [REDACTED]

b7E -6

THE CONTENT OF THIS EMAIL IS LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
Forwarding this email or its contents to a non-government account is prohibited

PLEASE NOTE: SIOC has a limited number of conference lines available each day. If your plans change, and you will not be using any or all of the requested lines, please let SIOC know as soon as you know so that we may release those lines to others who may be wanting to use them.

Emergency Action Specialist [REDACTED]

Strategic Information & Operation Center (SIOC)

FBIHQ, Room [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

THIS MESSAGE CAN BE PROVIDED TO OUTSIDE AGENCIES BECAUSE WE ARE NOW
ROTATING CODES WHEN OUTSIDE AGENCIES WERE/ARE INVOLVED IN CONFERENCE
CALLS.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2016 3:43 PM
To: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 2:40 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 9/10/16 11:21 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Copy. I presume we are still [REDACTED]

b5 -1

Jas, will plan to have draft TPs to you by mid-day tomorrow, or if you'd prefer to see only final, a final draft probably by the end of the day tomorrow. Either way, happy to follow-up by phone sooner if you would like.

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/10/2016 2:14 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

All

The Director concurs with the positions we discussed on the conference call.

[REDACTED]

Lisa please work with Jason on appropriate language.

Thanks all.

----- Original message -----

From: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 9/10/16 7:01 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

Folks,

Since I can't be on the call today, I just wanted to throw a couple of thoughts out there regarding the subpoena.

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks.

Jim

----- Original message -----

From: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/09/2016 9:23 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James

A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael

B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

All,

I will have my folks arrange a conference call through SIOC tomorrow at noon EST. Dial-in should come out in the morning.

Dave

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/09/2016 7:53 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James

A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

All

Sunday is tough for me so if possible let's move the call to tomorrow. 1200 eastern works.

I would like it if as a group we can flesh out some basic positions to the main questions Jason will get. I'm not sure Jason even needs to be on the call as the spit balling might end up being more confusing than productive for him.

Some time following the call, it would probably be good if Lisa and Jason can get together to frame up some language on specific responses to the questions based on the ideas we come up with on Saturdays call. You guys can do that whenever and however works best for you.

On Monday, you all can meet with Jason and the D to put some final polish on his prep.

Sounds good?

----- Original message -----

From: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 9/9/16 4:20 PM (GMT-08:00)
 To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James
 A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael
 B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Andy,

I will have a call scheduled for the weekend as we will likely be pressed for time on Monday. In addition to these issues, Jim and I discussed some bucketed responses we can arm Jason with before he goes to the Hill.

How does 1:00 pm on Sunday work for everyone?

DB

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
 Date: 09/09/2016 7:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)"
 [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson,
 Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich,
David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

Jason and smaller group:

My inclination is [REDACTED]

b5 -1

We should probably discuss. I am happy to jump on a conference call this weekend or I could do it on Monday morning at 0830 eastern time. After that I'm on a plane.

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 9/9/16 2:16 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page,
Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa,
Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E
W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

So far, no subpoena from the Hill for the below information that Chaffetz's folks put on my radar last night. For the hearing on Monday, I'm certain that I will be pressed very hard for the FBI position on providing these things. It would be helpful on Monday to get a sense of [REDACTED]

b5 -1

I'm certain I will be expected to know the answer to these questions at the hearing on Monday since they floated it to me in advance.

I'm happy to discuss anytime between now and Monday.

Thanks all. Jason.

-----Original Message-----

From: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 7:11 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter
P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C.
(CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth
R. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E W. (CD)
(FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Potential subpoena from Chaffetz

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I received a call from House Oversight and Government Reform staff. Chaffetz intends to issue a subpoena (probably tomorrow) for the following things:

- 1) Three additional copies of the binders for use at the hearing on Monday and to be maintained in HOCR space (not in House Security);
- 2) One additional copy with the PII redactions lifted; and
- 3) All of the remaining 302s that were not included in the production to the Hill.

I tried to talk them out of the subpoena. I offered that we would authorize House Security to bring the copies currently maintained in House security to the Monday hearing. I explained the principled reasons for redacting the PII for those individuals who are not already public...these are closed investigative case files, etc. I explained that the relevant 302s have been provided, etc., etc. They said that wasn't good enough and that this demand for documents is coming directly from Chairman Chaffetz.

No subpoena yet, but I fear it is coming tomorrow...

Jason

Jason V. Herring
Acting Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation



b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 10:35 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Draft Talking Points

b6 -1
b7C -1

Adding [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

I'm working on it now. If folks can hold on additional comments, I will incorporate mine and Pete's and then send it around for everyone's further comment. Unfortunately, it will have to remain on FBInet. Thanks.

Lisa

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/11/2016 10:31 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft Talking Points

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Jason,

They look good. I had some input which I sent to Lisa as I think she has the pen on reviewing and drafting TPs. Happy to discuss if you'd like.

Pete

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/10/2016 4:22 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Draft Talking Points

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Lisa/Trisha/Pete/Ion,

So, I probably made a tactical error by drafting my talking points on FBINET. Not sure if you have the ability to [REDACTED] but if so, my draft talkers should be in your inbox. They are very much a work in progress. Please take a look and let me know what you think. And please don't be shy about making suggestions. I'm also trying to anticipate questions, so let me know if you think of any. Allegedly, this hearing will "focus on process related questions with the production and decisions to redact, omit, and classify certain information."

b7E -3


There is no sense in re-creating the wheel, so I wanted share these with you guys.....

FBI (18-cv-154)-2672

I think I'm done for the day, but I will be back in the office tomorrow morning to continue.

I very much appreciate the help.

Cheers. Jason

Jason V. Herring
Acting Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation


b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 5:42 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

b6 -1
b7C -1

No, we're all good [REDACTED] Welcome home.

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Date: 09/11/2016 4:17 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

One can only hope.

Just landed at Dulles and in a never-ending customs line. I assume you don't need me to go in and review this tonight since I have no idea what the hell is going on. Let me know if that's wrong.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/11/2016 10:33 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hopefully the Red Notice Chaffetz filed doesn't jam her up coming into Dulles today...

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/11/2016 10:13 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Yeah, her "absences" during intense periods of MYE activity is becoming quite conspicuous...

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

FBI (18-cv-154)-2674

Date: 09/11/2016 10:11 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

Why do I read this as [redacted]

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 09/11/2016 1:56 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
(OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

[redacted] I get back around 4 today if there's something I can do to help.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 09/10/2016 3:28 AM (GMT+01:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
(OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

Ha. Thanks [redacted] if you guys need me I can pitch in for sure...

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:52 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
(OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

[redacted] You're excluded. ☹

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:51 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Yep. Happy to help.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:48 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TPs for Jason

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Of course whatever you (and he) needs

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/09/2016 8:45 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: TPs for Jason

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Guys, need you to keep this between us, but Jason is really stressing out and I don't think he feels prepared for Monday. [REDACTED]

b5 -1

[REDACTED] He and I spoke tonight. I told him I'd write up some likely Q & As for him on Sunday so that he has plenty of time to digest them on Monday. It will mean though that I will probably need some iteration of you to take a look at the proposed responses to make sure they're accurate. Just wanted to flag for you all as early as possible. Thanks in advance.

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 7:59 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Letter to Director Comey
Attachments: Doc Release Comey letter 091516.pdf

b6 -1
b7C -1

And so it begins...

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/15/2016 7:46 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] Coleman, Randall C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Richardson, Stephen E. (CID) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Letter to Director Comey

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

For your situational awareness, attached is a letter we received over night from Senator Elizabeth Warren re the 2008 financial crisis. Referencing the Clinton investigation as precedent, this letter requests the FBI release investigative materials and prosecutorial recommendations of individuals and corporations referred to DOJ for investigation by Congress' Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (FCIC) following the financial crisis. It also requests that the Director agree to a public hearing so that Congress can ask questions about non-prosecution decisions...

Jason

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 5:29 AM
To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Letter to Director Comey

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

FYI.

----- Original message -----

From: "Cohen, Brian (Warren)" <Brian_Cohen@warren.senate.gov>
Date: 09/14/2016 10:37 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

FBI (18-cv-154)-2678

Subject: Letter to Director Comey

Per discussion.

Please call if you have any questions.

BC

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.

COMMITTEES:
BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS
HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR, AND PENSIONS
ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING

United States Senate

2400 JFK FEDERAL BUILDING
15 NEW SUDBURY STREET
BOSTON, MA 02203
P: 617-552-3170

1500 MAIN STREET
SUITE 408
SPRINGFIELD, MA 01103
P: 413-735-2690

www.warren.senate.gov

September 15, 2016

The Honorable James Comey
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
935 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20535

Dear Director Comey:

Earlier today, I asked the Department of Justice (DOJ) Office of the Inspector General to examine the inability of the DOJ to successfully prosecute any of the individuals referred to the DOJ by the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (FCIC) in 2010. I write to bring this matter to your attention – and to request that you promptly facilitate the release of any and all materials related to the FBI's investigations and prosecutorial decisions regarding these referrals.

Established by Congress in the wake of the 2008 financial meltdown, the FCIC's statutory mandate included "refer[ring] to the Attorney General of the United States and any appropriate State attorney general any person that the Commission finds may have violated the laws of the United States in relation to such crisis."¹ The full archives of the FCIC proceedings have only recently been released.² My staff has reviewed these records and identified 11 separate FCIC referrals of individuals or corporations to DOJ in cases where the FCIC found "serious indications of violation[s]" of federal securities or other laws consistent with this statutory mandate.³ Nine specific individuals were implicated in these referrals - yet not one of these nine has gone to prison or been prosecuted for a criminal offense. Similarly, my staff identified 14 corporations that were referred to DOJ by the FCIC for potential violations of securities or other laws. Not one of the 14 was not criminally prosecuted, nor was any of the individuals responsible for the actions described in the FCIC referrals. Only five of these 14 corporations paid any fine or suffered any additional consequences for their actions.⁴

The DOJ's inability to obtain meaningful convictions or settlements in the vast majority of these FCIC referrals – let alone in any other cases involving senior Wall Street executives – suggests that the Department failed to hold the individuals and companies most responsible for the financial crisis and the Great Recession accountable. Releasing additional information may help in the examination of this failure.

¹ PL 111-21, §5(c)(4)

² National Archives, National Archives Opens Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission Records (March 11, 2016) (<https://www.archives.gov/press/press-releases/2016/nt16-45.html>).

³ Letter from Senator Elizabeth Warren to The Honorable Michael E. Horowitz, Department of Justice Inspector General (September 15, 2016).

⁴ Letter from Senator Elizabeth Warren to The Honorable Michael E. Horowitz, Department of Justice Inspector General (September 15, 2016).

Your recent actions with regard to the investigation of former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton provide a clear precedent for releasing additional information about the investigation of the parties responsible for the financial crisis. On July 5, 2016, you announced that the FBI would not be recommending prosecution of Secretary Clinton for actions related to the email system used during her service.⁵ As a matter of course, when the FBI makes such decisions, no information is made public.⁶ In this case, however, you declined to follow that practice. Instead, you delivered a 2,300 word statement that included previously undisclosed information about the case and your decision. In addition, you provided extensive testimony to Congress.⁷ You explained these actions by noting your view that that “the American people deserve those details in a case of intense public interest.”⁸ Earlier this month, you went further, releasing a slate of additional documents: a summary of the FBI interview of Secretary Clinton, and a factual summary of the FBI investigation.⁹ The FBI does not typically release internal documents from its investigations,¹⁰ but you did so anyway, “making these materials available to the public in the interest of transparency.”¹¹

These new standards present a compelling case for public transparency around the fate of the FCIC referrals. If Secretary Clinton's email server was of sufficient "interest" to establish a new FBI standard of transparency, then surely the criminal prosecution of those responsible for the 2008 financial crisis should be subject to the same level of transparency. As a consequence of the 2008 crash, trillions of dollars in American housing wealth was destroyed. Millions of Americans were touched personally as they lost their homes, their jobs, or both. Hundreds of pension funds were eviscerated, and millions of retirees saw their financial futures wiped out. Congress created the FCIC to examine what went wrong and to determine whether any individuals or entities deserved law enforcement scrutiny as a result of their actions in this crisis. The FCIC followed the law and sent such referrals to the DOJ, yet not a single senior Wall Street executive has ever been criminally prosecuted. For the uncounted millions of Americans whose lives were changed forever and for those who are still dealing with the consequences of the crash, I can think of no matter of "intense public interest" about which "the American people deserve the details" than the issue of what precisely happened to the criminal referrals that followed the 2008 crash.

⁵ FBI, Statement by FBI Director James B. Comey on the Investigation of Secretary Hillary Clinton's Use of a Personal E-Mail System (July 5, 2016) (<https://www.fbi.gov/news/pressrel/press-releases/statement-by-fbi-director-james-b-comey-on-the-investigation-of-secretary-hillary-clinton2016s-use-of-a-personal-e-mail-system>).

⁹ See, e.g., Matthew Miller, James Comey's abuse of power, *Washington Post* (July 6, 2016) (https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/james-comeys-abuse-of-power/2016/07/06/7799d39e-4392-11e6-8856-f26de2537a9d_story.html?hpid=hp_hp-top-table-main-comey%3Ahomepage%2Fstory&hpid=hp_hp-top-table-main-comey%3Ahomepage%2Fstory).

² Statement by FBI Director James B. Comey, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, *Oversight of the State Department* (hearing) (July 7, 2016) (<https://oversight.house.gov/hearing/oversight-state-department/>).

⁸ FBI, Statement by FBI Director James B. Comey on the Investigation of Secretary Hillary Clinton's Use of a Personal E-Mail System (July 5, 2016) (<https://www.fbi.gov/news/pressrel/press-releases/statement-by-fbi-director-james-b-comey-on-the-investigation-of-secretary-hillary-clinton2019s-use-of-a-personal-e-mail-system>).

⁹ FBI, FBI Releases Documents in Hillary Clinton E-Mail Investigation (September 2, 2016) (<https://www.fbi.gov/news/pressrel/press-releases/fbi-releases-documents-in-hillary-clinton-e-mail-investigation>).


¹⁹ Washington Post, FBI releases Hillary Clinton email investigation documents, *Washington Post* (September 2, 2016) (https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/fbi-releases-hillary-clinton-email-investigation-documents/2016/09/02/21bd3682-704e-11e6-8365-b19e428a975e_story.html).

¹¹ FBI, FBI Releases Documents in Hillary Clinton E-Mail Investigation (Sep. 2, 2016) (<https://www.fbi.gov/news/pressrel/press-releases/fbi-releases-documents-in-hillary-clinton-e-mail-investigation>).

For this reason, I am writing to you today to ask that you release all investigative materials related to the FBI investigations and prosecution recommendations of individuals and corporations referred to the DOJ by the FCIC. I further ask that you follow the standard you have set for such cases of "intense public interest" and include as a part of such public disclosures, at minimum, factual summaries of the investigations and summaries of all interviews conducted as part of the investigations, along with detailed explanations of why the FBI did or did not recommend prosecution. I also ask that you agree to a public hearing so that Congress has the chance to ask questions about the non-prosecution decisions.

The American people deserve to understand why no individuals were held appropriately accountable in these cases. Your release of these documents and your willingness to testify will enhance transparency and serve the public interest. I therefore ask that you make these documents relating to the financial crisis public as expeditiously as possible so that Congress and the public may begin to understand the FBI's decision not to prosecute the people who, in the eyes of FCIC, may have been criminally responsible in the crash of 2008.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Elizabeth Warren", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Elizabeth Warren
United States Senator

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 8:09 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: SB

Rgr that.

[REDACTED]

b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/15/2016 7:52 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: SB

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Yay system works.

Good job today, Page. :)

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/15/2016 7:50 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: SB

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Absolutely right.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/15/2016 7:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: SB

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

OK I'll read in the AM. This is all generally good/what we want, though, right? (Even if incremental)?

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

FBI (18-cv-154)-2686

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Date: 09/15/2016 7:47 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: SB

Just received from OGC as well. My understanding is this wa [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/15/2016 7:41 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: SB

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Heard DD concurred with phone issue, please let me know if you don't get that via OGC channels by tomorrow morning.

Thanks,

Pete

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: [REDACTED]

b7E -4

Just FYI in case you don't hear it from Bill, others.

----- Original message -----

From: "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/17/2016 12:42 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Sporre, Eric W. (CYD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

All -- We had a follow-up meeting with Tash yesterday about the data review proposal. She was satisfied with the briefing and supports the proposal. The next step will be a briefing for the AG and DAG next week. ODAG will work through [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to find a time that works.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Trisha

...

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 18, 2016 9:22 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC)
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yes to everything in this email. Andy meets with AG/DAG M/W/F, so updates the night before are best. CD is responsible for the update, so just get what you need from CyD when you need it, and run it up the chain if you encounter problems.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/18/2016 9:18 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Can you guys remind me which days of the week we settled on to push written updates up to the DD on everything?

Did we say Tues, Thurs, Friday?

I want to tell Bill and first thing Monday I'm going to tell CyD when I need their info (and that they can stop doing their version of the update they are doing now).

Pete, you agree I should [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 18, 2016 9:26 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1

Well then it doesn't make it in and you let Andy know why...

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/18/2016 9:24 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sorry didn't see your last question. Yes, we'll assemble it.

My worry is getting them to produce Intel that is relevant to the audience and contains what we need to say, without them taking hours to approve.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/18/2016 9:18 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Can you guys remind me which days of the week we settled on to push written updates up to the DD on everything?

Did we say Tues, Thurs, Friday?

I want to tell Bill and first thing Monday I'm going to tell CyD when I need their info (and that they can stop doing their version of the update they are doing now).

Pete, you agree I should [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

J

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 18, 2016 9:33 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC)
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1

Re your #2 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/18/2016 9:30 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Great. Thanks guys.

Pete, to this last point I might ask your help to call Sporre and just tell him:

b5 -1

What do you think?

J

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/18/2016 9:24 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sorry didn't see your last question. Yes, we'll assemble it.

My worry is getting them to produce Intel that is relevant to the audience and contains what we need to say, without them taking hours to approve.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 09/18/2016 9:18 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: Days of the week for updates

Can you guys remind me which days of the week we settled on to push written updates up to the DD on everything?

Did we say Tues, Thurs, Friday?

I want to tell Bill and first thing Monday I'm going to tell CyD when I need their info (and that they can stop doing their version of the update they are doing now).

Pete, you agree I should [REDACTED]

J

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, September 18, 2016 9:47 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1

And Andy DEFINITELY doesn't want it anymore complicated than that.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/18/2016 9:44 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Ok. We'll just do a version for sharing. That works. This ultimately shouldn't be a big deal. We did it every day for MYE and I don't intend to make it any more difficult than that.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/18/2016 9:42 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Days of the week for updates

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Make those two categories a separate item that CYD doesn't get. Bill already told the whole group at the first meeting that there will be stuff we can't share. They should get that. They do plenty of sidebar briefing on their own (when I covered the morning meeting for Bill, there was something with a major provider that they did on their own) and should understand.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 2:37 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Draft Subpoena Response

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Only comment is that I would [REDACTED]

b5 -1, 2

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 2:34 PM
To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: Draft Subpoena Response

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

All,

Attached is a draft response to the HOCR's subpoena. Please review and include others on this e-mail as you find appropriate.

I am in the process of finalizing the files for updating the binders and will report back when I have an idea of when they will be complete this week.

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 9:34 AM
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Press Questions

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yeah, I think [REDACTED] Thanks.

b5 -1

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 9:06 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Press Questions

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good morning,

This was just forwarded to me. [REDACTED]

b5 -1

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Nelson, Steven
Sent: Tuesday, September 20, 2016 9:18 AM
To: npo@ic.fbi.gov
Subject: Press Questions

Hello,

I'm seeking comment on whether the FBI was aware during the course of its Hillary Clinton email investigation that Paul Combetta apparently was posting questions relating to Clinton's email system to reddit.


Additionally, I have two follow-up questions:

-If the FBI was not aware, are agents now reviewing this content?

-And does this revelation in any way affect Mr. Combetta's immunity deal?

FBI (18-cv-154)-2715

Steven Nelson
U.S. News & World Report



b6 -3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 6:25 PM
To: Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Draft transmittal for supplemental production

b6 -1
b7C -1

Looks okay to me.

From: Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 6:10 PM
To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft transmittal for supplemental production

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Revised draft incorporating Jason's edits. The binders are complete, so we're looking to finalize the letter and deliver the materials tomorrow.

Thanks,

Bob Wynn
FBI Office of Congressional Affairs

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 2:41 PM
To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Subject: Draft transmittal for supplemental production

Attached is a draft transmittal letter to provide the updated material (PII redactions lifted) to our oversight committees. Per our last production, we will send individual letters to oversight committee chairmen, copying the ranking Member and appropriate security office. Please review and provide edits – we'll prepare the other letters when this language is locked down. Thanks,

Wendy Smith

Special Agent in Charge



b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2016 10:34 AM
To: Sporre, Eric W. (CYD) (FBI); Coleman, Randall C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Meeting at 4:30

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Andy just asked me to reach out to CyD regarding what he would like to see in the prep material for the D's PC early next week, but after discussing with Rybicki, it sounds like you are already there. Regardless, Andy would like to meet at 4:30 this afternoon to review and discuss the paper, please.

[REDACTED] could you add this to the calendar at 4:30 today, with invites to Eric, Randy, Bill, and Pete?
Thanks so much.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2016 11:46 AM
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media inquiry - Cheryl Mills

b6 -1
b7C -1

b5 -1, 3

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2016 11:44 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: Media inquiry - Cheryl Mills

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hello all,

b5 -1, 3

Please advise on how you wish us to handle it.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2016 10:21 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (HRD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Mills Samuelson laptops

Follow-up Q [REDACTED]

b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/28/2016 10:11 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Mills Samuelson laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I think below is enough, right?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/28/2016 10:10 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"
Cc: [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Mills Samuelson laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks. I think we're good for now, hold on [REDACTED] Will let you know if that changes.

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/28/2016 10:08 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"
Cc: [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Mills Samuelson laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I do not recall any classified from the laptops but I am sure we found work emails on the Mills laptop. There really wasn't much within scope. In addition, we de-duped most of what was obtained so we can't say for sure there was no classified on the laptop. Certainly no new classified. [REDACTED] is available to check if [REDACTED] if

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -3

needed. Let me know if it needs done today.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2016 9:35 AM

To: [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

Subject: Mills Samuelson laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1

Did we recover any classified emails (even ones we already had) from the laptops. Did we get any work emails off of them?

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2016 10:52 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (OTD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Call tomorrow morning

b6 -1
b7C -1

Sigh. I'm trying not to go in tomorrow. Suppose Pete will have to suffice. Let me know if it makes sense to pop in for a quick call anyway. Thanks.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/29/2016 10:00 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (OTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: Call tomorrow morning

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete/Lisa,

There is a new issue that has popped up tonight. [REDACTED] will need to brief you in the morning as soon as you are available. He can also update you on the issue we discussed.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[REDACTED] (O)
[REDACTED] (M)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [REDACTED]

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 6:44 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Corsi, Dina M. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Language for review by the D/DD

The Director won't be in today. I would suggest you get it up to Andy asap. He should be in by 7 or so. I would not leave it with [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/30/2016 6:18 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Corsi, Dina M. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Language for review by the D/DD

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good morning,

OGA had their Director review the language/paragraph we submitted to them late last night and their Director submitted changes and approved on his end. I now have the final language (approved from his perspective) on yellow side and they want to push it external as early as possible this morning. Per our arrangement with them last night, they are holding it until we tell them that our Director also approves the final language of this version.

Bill,

Would you like me to just hand it to you first thing when you get in so you can take it upstairs for review? Also happy to get it anywhere else it needs to go. I will share with CyD for their info....

Thanks,

J

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2016 7:12 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] draft language

b7A -1
b7E -4

Perfectly acceptable response.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/30/2016 7:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: [REDACTED] draft language

b7A -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Thinking of sending this - thoughts?

Hi Dina, was closing the loop on this tonight as I didn't know we had responded. Looks like we're good. Would it be possible to get a softcopy of our response on Mon? Thanks

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/30/2016 6:54 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] draft language

b7A -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Dina communicated the FBI's concurrence to [REDACTED] on the yellow side. We only concurred with the language. [REDACTED]

b7A -1
b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/30/2016 6:44 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] draft language

b7A -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Yes, D reviewed and discussed direct with the DD. Not sure how it is being communicated back.

Thanks,
Jim

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 09/30/2016 6:42 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] draft language

b7A -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Jim,
DD cleared a draft paragraph on [REDACTED] for D to review this morning. Copy was sent on SCION to [REDACTED] at 8:05 this morning. Do you know if D was able to review and approve? After our concurrence, [REDACTED] was supposed to provide to WH this morning, and as of noon they had not received a response from us. I have not seen any traffic since then, so I'm not sure if there was any discussion this afternoon, or if [REDACTED] simply went ahead and sent the paragraph to the WH. In any event, I wanted to close the loop with you.
Thanks,
Pete

b7A -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4

Peter P. Strzok II
Deputy Assistant Director, Branch I
Counterintelligence Division

[REDACTED] (O)
[REDACTED] (C)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: Accepted: DOJ - FBI update
Location: Room 4021

Start: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 11:00 AM
End: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:00 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Required Attendees: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

When: Oct 4, 2016 11:00:00 AM
Where: Room 4021

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: Accepted: DOJ - FBI update
Location: Conf. Room 4017

Start: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 11:00 AM
End: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:00 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Required Attendees: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

When: Oct 4, 2016 11:00:00 AM
Where: Conf. Room 4017

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 07, 2016 11:05 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Laptops

Yes. But wouldn't be surprised if we start late. Everything is behind today.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/07/2016 10:48 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hi. Went well. Headed back. Still on for 1130?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/07/2016 8:10 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED];
[REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

No, sorry. Have a svtc with [REDACTED] at 1. May run over. 2 would be better [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/07/2016 7:46 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED];
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Would 1:30 work for everyone? We should probably pull in Trisha and [REDACTED] Anyone else?

b6 -1
b7C -1

-----Original Message-----

From: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 06, 2016 8:57 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]; Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]; Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

We've not been pressed on it. I've explained it to a few reporters in conversation, along Pete's lines.

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 6, 2016 8:55 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Laptops

Great, thanks. I'll try to set something up tomorrow.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/06/2016 8:50 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED];
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/06/2016 8:48 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; Strzok,
Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Copy. Maybe we can discuss with our group tomorrow. Many SACs are being hit on this issue and the immunity agreement
at community engagements [REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/06/2016 8:45 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED];
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Who folks' [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1, 3

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/06/2016 8:43 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; Strzok,
Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Do we have any concerns [REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/06/2016 8:40 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED];
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Laptops

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I don't recall exactly [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 10/06/2016 8:36 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Laptops

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Strzok,

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

What have we said publicly about the alleged destruction of the laptops?

--

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:26 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: MYE matters

b6 -1
b7C -1

Of course:

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:18 AM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: MYE matters

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Great. Let me talk to Mike first.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/13/2016 7:15 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: MYE matters

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Works for me. Should we include Rich Quinn and/o [REDACTED] from the press shop here?

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:15 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: MYE matters

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hey Pete-

I do. We had a lot of conversations out here on this. Do you guys want to do a call at 115 (eastern)? I'll jump on from here with Mike Kortan.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 10/13/2016 7:11 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: MYE matters

Jim,

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:29 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: MYE 302s coming your way

b6 -1
b7C -1

We will get you those 302s as well, just so you have them.

-----Original Message-----

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:28 AM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: MYE 302s coming your way

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b7E -4

-----Original Message-----

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 13, 2016 10:26 AM
To: Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Cc: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: MYE 302s coming your way

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Rich, [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Jason Herring will be providing you with three 302s of current and former FBI employees who were interviewed during the course of the Clinton investigation. These 302s are scheduled to be released to Congress in an unredacted form at the end of the week, and produced (with redactions) pursuant to FOIA at the beginning of next week. As you will see, they describe a discussion about potential quid pro quo arrangement between a then-DAD in IOD and an Undersecretary at State whereby IOD would get more LEGAT positions if the FBI could change the basis of the FOIA withhold re a Clinton email from classified to something else.

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: Accepted: MYE conf call
Location: Lisa's office

Start: Thursday, October 13, 2016 1:15 PM
End: Thursday, October 13, 2016 1:45 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Required Attendees: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

When: Oct 13, 2016 1:15:00 PM
Where: Lisa's office

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 6:17 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Getting killed with H case

Mark G. recently called Kortan saying the same thing.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/14/2016 6:15 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Getting killed with H case

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm going to. Talked to Bill about it.

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 6:03 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Getting killed with H case

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Why not reach out?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/14/2016 6:00 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Getting killed with H case

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Let's chat before I do....

-----Original Message-----

From: John Giacalone [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 6:01 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Getting killed with H case

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Grassley's office called my house today. Give me a shout when you get a chance. Hope you are well- John

Sent from my iPhone

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 6:37 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b7A -1
b7E -4

I think that's fine. Sounds like the DD is going to need it before the Monday Intel briefs; we will need to tell him to look for it Monday morning. What are these TPs exactly? What OI wrote to the DAG explaining what this is?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/14/2016 6:13 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -1, 4

Hey we got the TPs from [REDACTED] told him it would make sense for the DD to have them in the event the DAG wanted to use them as the basis for discussion.

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -9

I haven't heard back from [REDACTED] and no answer on his phone. I think I'm going to email him, cc you, say something to the effect of,

H [REDACTED] have you had a chance to review the revised copy? I'm wondering if we might be in a position to tee this up discussion between the DAG and DD (if she feels it's needed) following the 9:00 Mon Intel brief...

At a minimum, that keeps the hurry the F up pressure on him. Thoughts?

Peter P. Strzok II
Deputy Assistant Director, Branch 1
Counterintelligence Division

[REDACTED] (O)
[REDACTED] (C)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 5:11 PM
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

I'm not sure whether we cleared it with the D and the DD, but [REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:04 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
"Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Here we go.

Lisa: where did we end up on how far we would go as to how we addressed the issue? TX M

----- Original message -----

From: "Herridge, Catherine" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/16 1:53 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fox query

b6 -1, 3
b7C -1
b7E -6

Mike

Trust you can help.

Two congressional sources tell fox news that fbi interview summaries and notes, provided to the house intelligence committee late Friday, contain allegations of a "quid pro quo" between a senior state department executive Patrick Kennedy and FBI agents during the Clinton email investigation.

Congressman jason chaffetz who has been briefed on the fbi interviews told fox. "There was an alleged quid pro quo involving ambassador patrick kennedy and the fbi over at least one classified email, and in return for altering the classification, the possibility of additional slots for the fbi at missions overseas was discussed."

Please let us know if the FBI will provide comment on the congressman's assessment as well as timing for the release of the 302s. We were told as early as Monday.

Thank you

FBI (18-cv-154)-2849

- Catherine

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 5:11 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

Agreed.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:10 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Adding [REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -6

I recommend [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 2:04 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Here we go.

Lisa: where did we end up on how far we would go as to how we addressed the issue? TX M

----- Original message -----

From: "Herridge, Catherine" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/16 1:53 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 3
b7C -1
b7E -6

FBI (18-cv-154)-2851

Subject: Fox query

Mike

Trust you can help.

Two congressional sources tell fox news that fbi interview summaries and notes, provided to the house intelligence committee late Friday, contain allegations of a "quid pro quo" between a senior state department executive Patrick Kennedy and FBI agents during the Clinton email investigation.

Congressman Jason Chaffetz who has been briefed on the fbi interviews told fox. "There was an alleged quid pro quo involving ambassador Patrick Kennedy and the fbi over at least one classified email, and in return for altering the classification, the possibility of additional slots for the fbi at missions overseas was discussed."

Please let us know if the FBI will provide comment on the congressman's assessment as well as timing for the release of the 302s. We were told as early as Monday.

Thank you

- Catherine

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 6:45 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

Likewise.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 6:26 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Looks good to me.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 6:14 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Clean copy incorporating edits.

b5 -1

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:51 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm fine with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:43 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I defer to the team on the accuracy, but just a few minor comments below. I know that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] if
someone has a better formulation, please advise. Thanks!

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 5:23 PM

To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Fox query

As a first cut:

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 10/15/2016 5:10 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC)
(FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Adding [REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

I recommend [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 2:04 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Here we go.

Lisa: where did we end up on how far we would go as to how we addressed the issue? TX M

----- Original message -----

From: "Herridge, Catherine" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/16 1:53 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fox query

b6 -1, 3
b7C -1
b7E -6

Mike

Trust you can help.

Two congressional sources tell fox news that fbi interview summaries and notes, provided to the house intelligence committee late Friday, contain allegations of a "quid pro quo" between a senior state department executive Patrick Kennedy and FBI agents during the Clinton email investigation.

Congressman Jason Chaffetz who has been briefed on the fbi interviews told fox. "There was an alleged quid pro quo involving ambassador Patrick Kennedy and the fbi over at least one classified email, and in return for altering the classification, the possibility of additional slots for the fbi at missions overseas was discussed."

Please let us know if the FBI will provide comment on the congressman's assessment as well as timing for the release of the SO2s. We were told as early as Monday.

Thank you

- Catherine

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 6:51 PM
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

I emailed, called, and texted him, so hopefully will hear back soon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 6:48 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

thanks. i'm teed up to get it to/ discuss with FOX, and to get story/response out to SACs. M

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 6:44 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

Will forward to Andy now.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 6:15 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good by me (although I think there are extra brackets in there). Lisa: Can you get this in front of the DO quickly?

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 6:14 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

Clean copy incorporating edits.

b5 -1

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Date: 10/15/2016 5:51 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E.W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm fine with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

--

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:43 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E.W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I defer to the team on the accuracy, but just a few minor comments below. I know that [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] If someone has a better formulation, please advise.
Thanks!

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 5:23 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E.W. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

As a first cut:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:10 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Adding [REDACTED]

I recommend [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 2:04 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Here we go.

Lisa: where did we end up on how far we would go as to how we addressed the issue? TX M

----- Original message -----

From: "Herridge, Catherine" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/16 1:53 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fox query

b6 -1, 3
b7C -1
b7E -6

Mike.

Trust you can help.

Two congressional sources tell fox news that fbi interview summaries and notes, provided to the house intelligence committee late Friday, contain allegations of a "quid pro quo" between a senior state department executive Patrick Kennedy and FBI agents during the Clinton email investigation.

Congressman Jason Chaffetz who has been briefed on the FBI interviews told Fox: "There was an alleged quid pro quo involving Ambassador Patrick Kennedy and the FBI over at least one classified email, and in return for altering the classification, the possibility of additional slots for the FBI at missions overseas was discussed."

Please let us know if the FBI will provide comment on the congressman's assessment as well as timing for the release of the 302s. We were told as early as Monday.

Thank you

- Catherine

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 6:56 PM
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

Andy clears on the statement, except he suggests that [REDACTED]

b5 -1

Mike, he wanted to know what we are doing with the statement, i.e. background, official to press, etc.

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 6:48 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

thanks. I'm teed up to get it to/ discuss with FOX, and to get story/response out to SACs. M

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 6:44 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

Will forward to Andy now.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 6:15 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good by me (although I think there are extra brackets in there). Lisa: Can you get this in front of the DD quickly?

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 6:14 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

FBI (18-cv-154)-2865

Subject: RE: Fox query

Clean copy incorporating edits.

[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:51 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm fine with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:43 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I defer to the team on the accuracy, but just a few minor comments below. I know that [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] if someone has a better formulation, please advise.
Thanks!

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

[REDACTED]

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 15, 2016 5:23 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Priestap, E.W. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1

As a first cut:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 5:10 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Adding [REDACTED]

I recommend [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/2016 2:04 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Fox query

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Here we go.

Lisa: where did we end up on how far we would go as to how we addressed the issue? TX M

----- Original message -----

From: "Herridge, Catherine" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/15/16 1:53 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: Fox query

b6 -1, 3
b7C -1
b7E -6

Mike

Trust you can help.

Two congressional sources tell fox news that fbi interview summaries and notes, provided to the house intelligence committee late Friday, contain allegations of a "quid pro quo" between a senior state department executive Patrick Kennedy and FBI agents during the Clinton email investigation.

Congressman Jason Chaffetz who has been briefed on the fbi interviews told fox. "There was an alleged quid pro quo involving ambassador Patrick Kennedy and the fbi over at least one classified email, and in return for altering the classification, the possibility of additional slots for the fbi at missions overseas was discussed."

Please let us know if the FBI will provide comment on the congressman's assessment as well as timing for the release of the 302s. We were told as early as Monday.

Thank you

- Catherine

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 10:15 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1

Are you leaving? Take your phone. [REDACTED] or I will call.

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 10:00 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I just sent [REDACTED] a Lync message on the red side, but I figured I would hit you guys here as well...

b6 -1
b7C -1

CD's TPs for the PC have been done for a while, but we still don't have DyD's update input (I've been in touch with them a couple of times and they know they owe it - they say it is coming). I didn't want you to think CD was blowing off the 10am deadline. I know the DD prefers to have both divisions' input in one piece.

!

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 6:25 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: TPs

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 6:24 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

TPs answering the questions we discussed last week are with Jim and Trisha for review. Just FYI.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 6:26 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: TPs

Sorry, meant to cc you, just typed too fast.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 6:23 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 6:22 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sure, I will.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 6:19 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hey do you want to affirmatively send something to Rybicki that the TPs are with Jim and Trisha? I feel like I (or someone) need to as he asked me to do them by COB today. Thanks

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 7:06 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yup, think a call is fine. No rush.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 6:57 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I don't know. Think we should? Don't want to appear to be picking on her. Maybe I'll call and/or ask in person tomorrow.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 6:54 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

It was. Do we ask [REDACTED] (Just wonder if she knew...)

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 6:18 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Good, thorough analysis was done.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 6:12 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

This is a very long answer and likely not suitable for responses by OPA but this was my analysis when I went through the materials.

[REDACTED]
UNIT CHIEF | FOIA LITIGATION UNIT
OFFICE: [REDACTED] | MOBILE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 5:58 PM

To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Is there a reason we redacted [REDACTED] (asking because the press is asking)

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 5:44 PM

To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] name was redacted. Kennedy's was not.

[REDACTED]
UNIT CHIEF | FOIA LITIGATION UNIT
OFFICE: [REDACTED] | MOBILE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 5:43 PM

To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]

Subject: [REDACTED]

Was [REDACTED] name redacted in the 302s that went up on the Vault? Kennedy's?

Thanks

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Peter P. Strzok II
Deputy Assistant Director, Branch 1

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

Counterintelligence Division



(S)
(C)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 7:58 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Nancy

Yup.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 7:55 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Nancy

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I sense [REDACTED] called someone. ...

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/17/2016 7:12 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Nancy

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thank you.

On a different note, before 8 AM tomorrow, could you please let me know whether the plea did in fact occur this afternoon?

In regards to another topic, before 8 AM tomorrow, could you please let me know whether anything derived

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7D -1
b7E -4

Thanks,
Bill

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2016 6:59 PM
To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Nancy

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Bill, I got in touch with Nancy and gave her the heads up about the MYE INSD issue we discussed earlier.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 10:55 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI);
[redacted] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted]
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C.
(CD) (FBI)
Cc: Rybicki, James E. [redacted]
Subject: MYE TPs (draft 10-18-16)
Attachments: MYE TPs (draft 10-18-16).docx

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Here's the latest version with Jim and Trisha's edits. [redacted] or [redacted]
[redacted] Could someone please take care of that?
Also, I've added one notable substantive sentence, trying to address my own comment bubble. Let me know what you think.

b5 -1, 2
b6 -1
b7C -1

Jim R. -- here's where it is so far. Let us know if this is not what you had in mind. NB: I haven't shown it to the DD yet. Thanks.

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 10:03 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b7A -1
b7E -4

Not going to write it here. Will tell you later.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/18/2016 10:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Why not? I dont think that's an unreasonable response.

See my last email. It will be done by 730. If they edit it some more, we will [REDACTED] it again.

b7A -1
b7E -4

What did Andy have to say?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/18/2016 10:00 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

I am obviously not going to respond to that.

I spoke to Andy.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/18/2016 9:51 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

It will be [REDACTED] whenever it needs to be done. If you think it will help, I will have them go in now.
Not meaning to sound like a dick, but I truly have no way to assess when is reasonable.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/18/2016 9:47 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Any idea what time he can have it [redacted] by? I know it's not going to matter because DOJ is going to take the time DOJ wants to take, I just don't want this waiting on us at all. Just a thought.

b7A -1
b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 10/18/2016 9:35 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (NY) (FBI)" [redacted] (WF) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
(OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Perfect. Thank you.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (NY) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 10/18/2016 9:25 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] (WF) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
(OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Correct, there were no major changes. They added some new language from yesterday's meeting and moved some paragraphs around. I'm 100% comfortable with the facts we have in there.

I sent you a copy when I got it this evening. The copy they sent over was the track changes version, they were having their management review the same copy.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 10/18/2016 9:17 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
[redacted] (NY) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7A -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Thanks.

[redacted] to confirm, no major changes, you are comfortable with the facts in there?

Also, if I'm not on the copy count of the draft, I need an email copy by 6a tomorrow so I can read and tell the DD that we are all good with it. Thanks

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted]

Date: 10/18/2016 8:56 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"

b7A -1

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -4, 6

Subject: Fwd [redacted]

Yep thanks [redacted]

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (NY) (FBI)" [redacted]

b7A -1

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -4, 6

Date: 10/18/2016 8:55 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: [redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted]

Subject: RE: [redacted]

It should still be. Although, at this point, I'm not sure what difference it'll make. Since its supposed to

They sent over a new version around 7. It had some minor changes, nothing too earth shattering, I should be able to bang out the [redacted] tomorrow.

b7A -1

b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted]

b7A -1

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -4, 6

Date: 10/18/2016 8:52 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: [redacted] (NY) (FBI)" [redacted]

Subject: Fwd [redacted]

Still an [redacted]

b7A -1

b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]

b7A -1

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -4, 6

Date: 10/18/2016 8:46 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (WF) (FBI)"

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]

Subject [redacted]

Did we send it over, or convert it, as an [redacted]

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2016 6:34 PM
To: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: [REDACTED] Cleared to File

b7A -1
b7E -4

FYI

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/19/2016 6:27 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] Cleared to File

b7A -1
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

b7A -1
b7E -4

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 6:56 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Fox Business News

AD from when? And of what? HRD?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/20/2016 6:53 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fox Business News

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Don't know if you saw this:

Fmr. FBI Assistant Director James Comey Let Down the Citizens of This Country

By Matthew Wisner Published October 19, 2016

With Hillary Clinton's email scandal continuing to weigh on her campaign, former FBI Assistant Director James Kallstrom weighed in on the allegations of negotiations between the State Department and the FBI for a 'quid pro quo' deal to declassify emails and FBI Director James Comey's handling of the investigation into the email scandal.

An FBI agent now claims that the State Department's Undersecretary for Management, Patrick Kennedy, asked him directly to declassify certain Hillary Clinton emails in exchange for FBI posts overseas.

"This is the same guy, Kennedy, that refused all the security requests for Benghazi, this is that same guy," Kallstrom told the FOX Business Network's Stuart Varney.

According to Kallstrom, on the surface the deal looks to be illegal.

"I don't know enough about the actual deal, but it certainly looks like on paper, you know, something that's actually a federal crime."

Kallstrom explained why he felt the investigation into Hilary Clinton's email scandal was mishandled by FBI Director James Comey.

"He's [James Comey] let down the citizens of this country in my view, he's let down the FBI, he's thrown all the agents under the bus."

Kallstrom then gave examples of why the probe into Hilary Clinton's emails was out of character compared to how the FBI usually conducts an investigation.

"There was a point in time when this investigation went into what I would call the sham category. And I could talk for a half an hour on why that is, no grand jury, no subpoenas, no court orders, you know, immunity to the co-conspirators, letting the co-conspirators in on the interview. I mean, these are all crazy things that the FBI never does."

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, October 23, 2016 8:23 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: WSJ article

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yeah, thanks Pete. Despite extensive engagement with the reporter, there are easily 4 or 5 facts he has purposefully shaded or omitted or mischaracterized in order to get out the story HE wanted to tell. It's another depressing chapter in this whole post-investigation saga.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/23/2016 8:05 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
A. (RO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: WSJ article

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Fyi

Clinton Ally Aided Campaign of FBI Official's Wife

Group linked to Virginia Gov. Terry McAuliffe donated nearly half a million dollars to 2015 state Senate candidate

Campaign finance records show Virginia Gov. Terry McAuliffe's political-action committee donated \$467,500 to the 2015 state Senate campaign of Dr. Jill McCabe, who is married to Andrew McCabe, now the deputy director of the FBI. Photo: paul j. richards/Agence France-Presse/Getty Images

By

Devlin Barrett

Oct. 23, 2016 7:41 p.m. ET

[1 COMMENTS](#)

The political organization of Virginia Gov. Terry McAuliffe, an influential Democrat with longstanding ties to Bill and Hillary Clinton, gave nearly \$500,000 to the election campaign of the wife of an official

FBI (18-cv-154)-2912

at the Federal Bureau of Investigation who later helped oversee the investigation into Mrs. Clinton's email use.

Campaign finance records show Mr. McAuliffe's political-action committee donated \$467,500 to the 2015 state Senate campaign of Dr. Jill McCabe, who is married to Andrew McCabe, now the deputy director of the FBI.

The Virginia Democratic Party, over which Mr. McAuliffe exerts considerable control, donated an additional \$207,788 worth of support to Dr. McCabe's campaign in the form of mailers, according to the records. That adds up to slightly more than \$675,000 to her candidacy from entities either directly under Mr. McAuliffe's control or strongly influenced by him. The figure represents more than a third of all the campaign funds Dr. McCabe raised in the effort.

Mr. McAuliffe and other state party leaders recruited Dr. McCabe to run, according to party officials. She lost the election to incumbent Republican Dick Black.

A spokesman for the governor said he "supported Jill McCabe because he believed she would be a good state senator. This is a customary practice for Virginia governors... Any insinuation that his support was tied to anything other than his desire to elect candidates who would help pass his agenda is ridiculous."

Among political candidates that year, Dr. McCabe was the third-largest recipient of funds from Common Good VA, the governor's PAC, according to campaign finance records. Dan Gecker received \$781,500 from the PAC and \$214,456 from the state party for a campaign that raised \$2.9 million, according to records; and Jeremy McPike received \$803,500 from the PAC and \$535,162 from the state party, raising more \$3.8 million that year for his candidacy.

The governor could recall only one meeting with Mr. McCabe—when he and other state Democrats met with the couple on March 7, 2015, to urge Dr. McCabe to run, according to the spokesman.

The FBI said in a statement that during his wife's campaign Mr. McCabe "played no role, attended no events, and did not participate in fundraising or support of any kind. Months after the completion of her campaign, then-Associate Deputy Director McCabe was promoted to Deputy, where, in that position, he assumed for the first time, an oversight role in the investigation into Secretary Clinton's emails."

FBI officials said that after that meeting with the governor in Richmond on March 7, Mr. McCabe sought ethics advice from the bureau and followed it, avoiding involvement with public corruption cases in Virginia, and avoiding any campaign activity or events.

Mr. McCabe's supervision of the Clinton email case in 2016 wasn't seen as a conflict or an ethics issue because his wife's campaign was over by then and Mr. McAuliffe wasn't part of the email probe, officials said.

"Once I decided to run," Dr. McCabe said, "my husband had no formal role in my campaign other than to be a supportive husband to me and our children. As a federal official...everyone who participated in our campaign understood and respected that he could not participate."

Mr. McCabe is a longtime FBI official who focused much of his career on terrorism. His wife is a hospital physician who campaigned in northern Virginia, where the couple live with their children.

The 2015 Virginia State senate race was Dr. McCabe's first run for office and her campaign spent \$1.8 million. The race was part of Mr. McAuliffe's failed effort to win a Democratic majority in the Virginia legislature, which would have given him significantly more sway in Richmond, the state capital.

Mr. McAuliffe has been a central figure in the Clintons' political careers for decades. In the 1990s, he was Bill Clinton's chief fundraiser and he remains one of the couple's closest allies and public boosters. Mrs. Clinton appeared with him in northern Virginia in 2015 as he sought to increase the number of Democrats in the state legislature.

Dr. McCabe announced her candidacy in March 2015, the same month it was revealed that Mrs. Clinton had used a private server as secretary of state to send and receive government emails, a disclosure that prompted the FBI investigation.

At the time the investigation was launched in July 2015, Mr. McCabe was running the FBI's Washington, D.C., field office, which provided personnel and resources to the Clinton email probe.

That investigation examined whether Mrs. Clinton's use of private email may have compromised national security by transmitting classified information in an insecure system. A review of Mrs. Clinton's emails concluded that 110 messages contained classified information. Mrs. Clinton has said she made a mistake but that she never sent or received messages that were marked classified.

At the end of July 2015, Mr. McCabe was promoted to FBI headquarters and assumed the No. 3 position at the agency. In February 2016, he became FBI Director James Comey's second-in-command.

As deputy director, Mr. McCabe was part of the executive leadership team overseeing the Clinton email investigation, though FBI officials say any final decisions on that probe were made by Mr. Comey, who served as a high-ranking Justice Department official in the administration of George W. Bush.

Mr. McAuliffe has been under investigation for months by the FBI's Washington field office, a probe that includes an examination of donations made on behalf of a Chinese businessman, according to people familiar with the matter. His lawyers have denied any wrongdoing and said the investigation is seeking to determine if Mr. McAuliffe may have violated a law requiring people to register as agents of a foreign entity.

It was unclear the extent to which Mr. McCabe may have recused himself from discussions involving Mr. McAuliffe. When Mr. McCabe's wife began her campaign, he shied away from involvement in Virginia public corruption cases, according to officials.

Once the campaign was over, officials said, Mr. McCabe and FBI officials felt the potential conflict-of-interest issues ended.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 3:07 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: FBI Director Lobbied Against Criminal Charges For Hillary After Clinton Insider Paid His Wife \$700,000 | True Pundit

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

From: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 2:56 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: FBI Director Lobbied Against Criminal Charges For Hillary After Clinton Insider Paid His Wife \$700,000 | True Pundit

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Fyi

----- Original message -----
From: [REDACTED]
Date: 10/24/16 2:31 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: FBI Director Lobbied Against Criminal Charges For Hillary After Clinton Insider Paid His Wife \$700,000 | True Pundit

b6 -1, 3
b7C -1, 3
b7E -6

<http://truepundit.com/fbi-director-lobbied-against-criminal-charges-for-hillary-after-clinton-insider-paid-his-wife-700k/>

FBI Director Lobbied Against Criminal Charges For Hillary After Clinton Insider Paid His Wife \$700,000

External Release Pending



Democratic factions controlled by a Hillary Clinton insider paid the Deputy Director of the FBI's wife almost \$700,000 in campaign funds before the senior FBI official, who was supervising Clinton's investigation, lobbied against charging her

FBI (18-cv-154)-2919

criminally, according to records and interviews obtained by True Pundit.

Dr. Jill McCabe was a Virginia state senate candidate in 2015. Longtime Clinton family consigliere and Virginia Gov. Terry McAuliffe, sent her approximately \$675,000 to fund the Democrat hopeful's campaign coffers. Dr. McCabe, a physician, is married to Andrew McCabe, the second in charge of the FBI. Only FBI Director James Comey outranks McCabe.

According to one FBI insider, the McAuliffe-generated campaign funds may have ultimately bought Clinton some strategic breathing room.

"McCabe was one of the few people who backed Comey's decision not to refer Hillary Clinton to the Justice Department for indictment," a FBI source said of the July decision not to refer Clinton for criminal charges for violating email and document safeguards for classified and Top Secret national security intelligence. "McCabe and Comey are both lawyers. They aren't street agents. They're more political. We wanted her (Clinton) indicted. They did not."

The political action group Common Good VA, established by McAuliffe in 2014 to raise political donations, was Dr. McCabe's single largest campaign contributor. According to records obtained by True Pundit, Common Good VA made six different contributions to the spouse of the FBI Deputy Director, including

- June 9, 2015: \$7,500
- June 19, 2015: \$7,500
- August 31, 2015: \$2,500
- Oct. 1, 2015: \$150,000
- Oct. 27, 2015: \$125,000
- Oct. 29, 2015: \$175,000

Additionally, the Democratic Party of Virginia, controlled by McAuliffe, made the following contributions to Dr. McCabe's campaign:

- Sept. 30, 2015: \$147,961
- Oct. 22, 2015: \$59,827

Gov. McAuliffe has been an important Clinton family insider for decades. During Bill Clinton's presidential candidacy and subsequent reelection, McAuliffe often spearheaded investigations into Clinton critics and helped silence women who alleged Bill Clinton harassed or sexually assaulted them, sources said.

Ironically, McAuliffe is currently under investigation by the FBI for alleged campaign-related finance infractions.

McAuliffe's contributions to Dr. McCabe's campaign match the exact time frame of the FBI's parallel Clinton investigation. No contributions were made prior to the FBI's probe of Clinton. McCabe was overseeing personnel decisions, including assigning agents to the Clinton investigation team, at the FBI's Washington D.C.'s field office when his wife began her 2015 campaign. His wife lost the election after spending an estimated \$1.8 million on the senate run. Three months later, Comey promoted McCabe to FBI Deputy Director in February 2016. The promotion helped fill a very large void created by the retirement of John Giacalone, who was the supervisor of the bureau's National Security Branch and also the FBI brains and genesis behind the Clinton email and private server investigation. Since the inception of the case, Giacalone had spearheaded the Clinton investigation, and helped hand select top agents who were highly skilled but also discreet. Many of those agents were concerned when Giacalone abruptly resigned in the middle of the investigation.

FBI insiders said Giacalone used the term "sideways" to describe the direction the Clinton probe had taken in the bureau. Giacalone lamented privately he no longer had confidence in the direction the investigation was headed. He felt it was simpler to quietly step aside, walk away instead of fight to keep the investigation on its proper track. Giacalone was a true heavyweight agent at FBI. In fact, he likely should have been running the entire show. His pedigree included running and creating FBI divisions in New York, Philadelphia, Washington D.C. and even serving as deputy commander in the Iraqi theater of operations. But in the midst of the Clinton investigation, Giacalone handed the bureau his retirement papers in Feb.

The day after Giacalone's departure in February, Comey tapped McCabe to help oversee the ongoing Clinton case and personally serve "as the eyes and ears" for Comey, sources confirmed. Since early July, Comey has come under intense fire from critics and the majority of Americans who believe he granted Clinton a get-out-of-jail-free card by refusing to refer the case to the Justice Department for a probable slam-dunk indictment on at least one of potential dozens of criminal charges.

Again, McAuliffe is currently under investigation by the FBI for alleged campaign-related finance infractions. However, given what we now know about McAuliffe's infiltration into the finances of state politics linked to the FBI and Clinton, it is likely the FBI's probe is little more than intelligence theater in action, absent any genuine plans to refer the governor's alleged misdeeds for an indictment.

- 30 -

See Also: EXCLUSIVE: FBI Used Agents As Pawns To Insulate Hillary, Aides & Clinton Foundation From Prosecutions

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 6:31 PM
To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: A little more objective

FYI. Obviously through DD already has this.

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/24/2016 6:29 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>, "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki,
James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO)
(FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: A little more objective

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2016/10/24/why-the-latest-clinton-conspiracy-might-not-be-what-it-seems/>

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 7:42 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: Updated McCabe guidance note for SAC's
Attachments: Update_MYE_Doc_for_SACs-FINAL edits.docx

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/24/2016 7:34 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO)
(FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Updated McCabe guidance note for SAC's

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I've attached edits for the document in response to the Deputy's request for Lisa and I to review it before it's sent.

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 7:05 PM
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Updated McCabe guidance note for SAC's

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks [REDACTED] Per the DD's request, I am reviewing this now. I think [REDACTED]

b5 -1, 2, 3
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/24/2016 6:54 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P.
(DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: Updated McCabe guidance note for SAC's

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 9:34 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Cancelled

-----Original Message-----

From: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 9:14 AM
To: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: Cancelled

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

The Hill briefing that was scheduled for tomorrow (Wednesday) at 4:15 has been cancelled...

Jason

Jason V. Herring
Acting Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:35 PM
To: Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Rsp to Chairman Goodlatte et al

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hi Beth. Pete, [REDACTED] and I have reviewed the document and are comfortable with it. Thanks.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Lisa

-----Original Message-----

From: Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:49 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Cc: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Rsp to Chairman Goodlatte et al

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

DOJ has a few last edits to the response to multiple incoming letters from Chairman Goodlatte and others. OLA advises that Toscas already discussed the tweaks in the third paragraph with his FBI counterparts, so I'm hoping nothing in the attached is a surprise. DOJ plans to send by COB today, so please advise ASAP if there are any edits/ concerns. Thanks,

Beth Beers
FBI Office of Congressional Affairs
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:31 AM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Meeting with INSD

b6 -1
b7C -1

OPR or INSD?

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Date: 10/26/2016 8:29 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Meeting with INSD

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I remember meeting with OPR in [REDACTED] office. We did not give them the 302s then. Don't recall any other discussions, but I'll check my notes. Can't let this kid beat me to the punch!

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/26/2016 6:05 AM (GMT-06:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Meeting with INSD

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Still first. I don't know about giving them the 302s. I'll look to see if I have anything. I know I didn't do it...

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 7:03 AM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Meeting with INSD

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sigh. You wanna play this game, youngster? Walk down to my office. There's an old notebook to the left of my keyboard with an email from [REDACTED] from then that I printed out. Yesterday. :)

b6 -1
b7C -1

My gap is the subsequent provision of the [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] 302s. I have no record of actually giving it to them. Last nite I have to myself is around Sept 24th. And my recollection is [REDACTED] said he took care of it, which isn't entirely helpful.

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

FBI (18-cv-154)-2932

Date: 10/26/2016 6:50 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Meeting with INSD

First

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 6:49 AM

To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Meeting with INSD

Yay! You win! :)

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 10/26/2016 6:44 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: Meeting with INSD

I finally found our (CD/OGC) meeting with Inspection Division. It was August 31 2015 at 2pm. I have the email chain with the names of the INSD people we spoke to as well. Will forward on red.

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 3:24 PM
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media inquiry - Subpoena

b6 -1
b7C -1

It is not accurate. [REDACTED]

b5 -1

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 1:32 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Media inquiry - Subpoena

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Circling back on this topic.

b5 -1

Thank you.

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 4:33 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: Media inquiry - Subpoena

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hello,

Seeking your guidance on this one.

Perhaps we just respond with something along the lines of-- [REDACTED]

b5 -1

FBI (18-cv-154)-2934

Thank you.

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Eric Geller [mailto:egeller@politico.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 3:21 PM

To: NPO <NPO@ic.fbi.gov>

Subject: FBI's Clinton investigation file

Hello,

I am told that the FBI has complied with a subpoena from the House Oversight Committee to turn over files relating to its investigation of Hillary Clinton's private email server. Can you please comment on the extent and nature of the document production? Is this the entire investigative file, as the committee specified? How many pages were turned over, and when were they turned over?

—
Eric Geller
Cybersecurity Reporter
POLITICOPro
Desk: [REDACTED]
Cell: (301) 547-6954
Twitter: @ericgeller

b6 -3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 6:58 PM
To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Chaffetz letter

b6 -1
b7C -1

Removing a few. I can't do 10. 10:30 or 11 would work.

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/26/2016 5:33 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "McNamara, Nancy (INSD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Chaffetz letter

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Adding Mike Steinbach and Nancy McNamara who I inadvertently left off the below email....

Apologies Jason

-----Original Message-----

From: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 4:11 PM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: Chaffetz letter

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I received a message from Chaffetz's staff asking for the status of their document request which they make in the attached letter dated October 20. The letter focuses on the "quid pro quo" issue from last week and basically requests documents and communications related to the referrals, documents and communications related to Under Secretary Kennedy, and documents and communications related to any State requests for the FBI to change the classification of any emails. The letter also requested a briefing on the issue for HDGR staff which we declined. We instead offered a bipartisan briefing to members if needed.


The letter is attached.

To the extent we have responsive documents, we need to collectively decide how best to handle.

Would folks be available to meet in person to discuss? Say 10:00 tomorrow morning?

FBI (18-cv-154)-2936

Thanks all. Jason

Jason V. Herring
Acting Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation


b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 6:49 AM
To: Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: MYE

b6 -1
b7C -1

Team,

The Deputy has asked that we convene today to inform the Director about what we know regarding the laptop in NY. Time is TBD, but I just wanted to alert you all now. Thanks!

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 5:44 PM
To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); James B. Comey; Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Cc: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Jim, left you a VM

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

1 would be great, if possible. Thanks.

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/28/2016 5:04 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>, "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Jim, left you a VM

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Could we do noon or 1 PM? Thx, Bill

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:50 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] James B. Comey <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>; Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Jim, left you a VM

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

OK I will set up the bridge. 10:00am?

----- Original message -----

From: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/28/2016 4:48 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>, "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson,
Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Jim, left you a VM

OK. I agree. But we should probably do a call tomorrow sometime.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 4:45 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] James B. Comey <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>; Bowdich,
David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO)
(FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B.
(OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
(OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Jim, left you a VM

Yes makes sense [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

----- Original message -----

From: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/28/2016 4:41 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "James B. Comey" <jcb.dir@ic.fbi.gov>; "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E.
(DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael
P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Jim, left you a VM

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS MATERIAL

I received the email below from David Kendall and I called him back. Before doing so I alerted DOJ via email that I would do that.

[REDACTED]

He said that our letter was "tantalizingly ambiguous" and made statements that were "inchoate and highly ominous" such that what we had done was worse than transparency because it allows people to make whatever they want out of the letter to the prejudice of Secretary Clinton.

I told him that I could not respond to his requests at this time but that I would discuss it with others and get back to him.

I suggest that we have some kind of follow up meeting or phone call with this group either this evening or over the weekend to address this and probably other issues/questions that come up in the next 24 hours. Sound reasonable?

Thanks,

Jim

From: Kendall, David [mailto:DKendall@wc.com]

Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 1:46 PM

To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: Jim, left you a VM

Please call me ASAP-about Comey letter. My office phone is below, but if that's busy (press calls), please use my mobile: [REDACTED] Thanks.

David E. Kendall

Williams & Connolly LLP

725 Twelfth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20005

(P) 202-434-5145 | (F) 202-434-5029

dkendall@wc.com | www.wc.com/dkendall

This message and any attachments are intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you have received this message in error, please do not read, use, copy, distribute, or disclose the contents of the message and any attachments. Instead, please delete the message and any attachments and notify the sender immediately. Thank you.

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2016 2:43 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

Ho boy.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 2:40 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Re your last....

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 1:17 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete,

Is this an unclassified call.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 12:45 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CTD)
(FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

When: Oct 29, 2016 1:00:00 PM

NO CHANGE TO INFO SENT YESTERDAY

Just creating calendar event

Dial in info

Date/Time: 10/29/2016, 1:00pm - 2:00pm

FBI (18-cv-154)-2944

Number of lines: 20

Date:

From:

b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2016 2:43 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

So tomorrow don't make it a meeting. Just send the call in info. Everyone knows it is happening at 1.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 2:40 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Re your last....

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 1:17 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete,

Is this an unclassified call.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 12:45 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CTD)
(FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

When: Oct 29, 2016 1:00:00 PM

NO CHANGE TO INFO SENT YESTERDAY

Just creating calendar event

Dial in info

Date/Time: 10/29/2016, 1:00pm - 2:00pm

FBI (18-cv-154)-2946

Number of lines: 20

Dial in

Pin

b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2016 2:46 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

And you should reduce the number of lines, maybe just one more than we need.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 2:40 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Re your last....

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 1:17 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete,

Is this an unclassified call.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/29/2016 12:45 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CTD)
(FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Meeting request for Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI): MYE call

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

When: Oct 29, 2016 1:00:00 PM

NO CHANGE TO INFO SENT YESTERDAY

Just creating calendar event

Dial in info

Date/Time: 10/29/2016, 1:00pm - 2:00pm

FBI (18-cv-154)-2948

Number of lines: 20

Dial in

Pin

b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 7:52 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Last redline

I'm not sure how fresh mine were half asleep, but no problem.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/30/2016 7:38 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Last redline

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Truly really appreciate a fresh set of eyes on it. Was at the point that everyone had review fatigue last night.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/30/2016 7:36 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Last redline

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Nope.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/30/2016 7:30 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Last redline

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Thanks for reviewing.

Yahoo Inc still has Yahoo! on their webpage, so I think that's ok.

First is a good point but it's already up with SDNY for delivery and not worth changing if everything else is good.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/30/2016 6:33 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Last redline

b6 -1
b7C -1

Two small comments [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 10/30/2016 12:11 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: Last redline

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (NSD)" [REDACTED]

Date: 10/30/2016 12:08 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Last redline

b6 -1, 2
b7C -1, 2
b7E -6

Here is version (in word and PDF) of final edits in redline.

[REDACTED]
Deputy Chief
Counterintelligence and Export Control Section
National Security Division
U.S. Department of Justice

Phone [REDACTED]

b6 -2
b7C -2

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 3:48 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: WSJ update

To inform Bill's convo with Sweeney.

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/30/2016 2:19 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: WSJ update

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

From: Barrett, Devlin [devlin.barrett@wsj.com]
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 1:54 PM
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)
Subject: story is filed to my NY editors

a couple things in it I want to flag:

I'm doing a story which should post this afternoon that will say the agents found 650,000 emails on the laptop, and of those, according to the metadata, there are thousands of "potential hits" suggesting emails going to or from the Clinton email server (doesn't mean to her specifically necessarily, but to email addresses on that server). Investigators are starting to scour through the messages now to figure out what they are and how relevant they may be to the email probe. part of the reason this came up now is because headquarters was notified in early October that there was an issue of huma work emails on the laptop. then earlier this week at a meeting Toscas asked what was happening with that laptop, and that's when officials realized they did not need to wait for DoJ approval to go get that warrant, so mccabe had the email investigators and the weiner investigators talk to each other to see what they had. after that discussion the email guys felt there was definitely something to pursue there, and the director was briefed, setting in motion what we saw on Friday.

The story will then go into a long discussion of the internal conversations that have been going on around have more color from the Aug 12 McCabe-Axelrod call, tho at present I'm disinclined to name Axelrod.

The top end of the story will focus on the Weiner laptop and developments there, then it will get into the various issues surrounding the Foundation probe, including the request that McCabe rejected in February for Foundation investigators to get access to the devices searched as part of the email case. McCabe, I'm told, told them they could not try to do an end run around EDNY by taking the request to SDNY.

I think those are the main things I wanted to flag, I'm on my cell.

Dev

Devlin Barrett
Wall Street Journal
o (202) 862-6624
d [REDACTED]
t: @devlinbarrett

b6 -3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 3:59 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: WSJ

It's out.

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/30/2016 3:52 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: WSJ

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

FBI in Internal Feud Over Hillary Clinton Probe

Laptop may contain thousands of messages sent to or from private server

[Democratic presidential nominee Hillary Clinton greets a crowd in Pompano Beach, Fla., on Sunday.]ENLARGE

Democratic presidential nominee Hillary Clinton greets a crowd in Pompano Beach, Fla., on Sunday. PHOTO: JEWEL SAMAD/AGENCE FRANCE-PRESSE/GETTY IMAGES

By
DEVLIN BARRETT
Updated Oct. 30, 2016 3:34 p.m. ET
103 COMMENTS<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/laptop-may-include-thousands-of-emails-linked-to-hillary-clintons-private-server-1477854957#livefyre-comment>>

Federal agents are preparing to scour roughly 650,000 emails contained on the laptop of former Rep. Anthony Weiner<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/fbi-is-reviewing-new-evidence-in-hillary-clinton-s-email-server-case-1477675549>> to see how many relate to a prior probe of Hillary Clinton<<http://www.wsj.com/news/author/6344>>'s email use, as metadata on the device suggests there may be thousands sent to or from the private server that the Democratic nominee used while she was secretary of state, according to people familiar with the matter.

The review will take weeks at a minimum to determine whether those messages are work-related emails between Huma Abedin, a close Clinton aide and the estranged wife of Mr. Weiner, and State Department officials; how many are duplicates of emails already reviewed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation; and whether they include either classified information or important new evidence in the Clinton email probe, which FBI officials call "Midyear."

The FBI has had to await a court order to begin reviewing the emails, because they were uncovered in an unrelated probe of Mr. Weiner<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/anthony-weiner-investigated-after-reports-of-texts-with-teenager-1474594415>>, and that order was delayed for reasons that remain unclear.

READ MORE

- * Campaigns Scramble to Shape Race's Final Days Amid Surprise FBI Email Probe<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/clinton-trump-campaigns-turn-up-heat-to-shape-final-days-1477841003>>
- * Hillary Clinton's Campaign Hits Back at FBI Chief James Comey<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/hillary-clinton-s-campaign-criticizes-fbi-chief-james-comey-1477760553>>
- * FBI Is Reviewing Newly Discovered Emails<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/fbi-is-reviewing-new-evidence-in-hillary-clinton-s-email-server-case-1477675549>>
- * Email News Energizes Donald Trump and His Supporters<<http://blogs.wsj.com/washwire/2016/10/28/fbis-email-news-energizes-donald-trump-and-his-supporters/>>
- * Trump Stands to Benefit From High Number of Republican-Leaning Undecided Voters<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/trump-stands-to-benefit-from-high-number-of-republican-leaning-undecided-voters-1477832402>>

FBI (18-cv-154)-2956

* Anthony Weiner's Problems Threaten to Disrupt Clinton Campaign <<http://www.wsi.com/articles/anthony-weiners-problems-threaten-to-disrupt-clinton-campaign-1477701938?esia=y>>

* Read the Letter to Congress <<http://online.wsi.com/public/resources/documents/Binder2.pdf>>

Meanwhile, FBI Director James Comey's surprise disclosure Friday, less than two weeks before the election, that investigators were pursuing the potential new evidence lays bare tensions that have built for months inside the bureau and the Justice Department over how to investigate someone who could soon be elected president.

The continuing work means that if Mrs. Clinton wins the White House, she will likely do so amid at least one ongoing investigation into her inner circle being handled by law-enforcement officials who are deeply divided over how to manage such cases.

The latest development began in early October when New York-based FBI officials notified Andrew McCabe, the bureau's second-in-command, that while investigating Mr. Weiner for possibly sending sexually charged messages to a minor, they had recovered a laptop with 650,000 emails. Many, they said, were from the accounts of Ms. Abedin, according to people familiar with the matter.

Those emails stretched back years, these people said, and were on a laptop that both Mr. Weiner and Ms. Abedin used and that hadn't previously come up in the Clinton email probe. Ms. Abedin said in late August that the couple were separating.

The FBI had searched the computer while looking for child pornography, people familiar with the matter said, but the warrant they used didn't give them authority to search for matters related to Mrs. Clinton's email arrangement at the State Department. Mr. Weiner has denied sending explicit or indecent messages to the teenager.

In their initial review of the laptop, the metadata showed many messages, apparently in the thousands, that were either sent to or from the private email server at Mrs. Clinton's home that had been the focus of so much investigative effort for the FBI. Senior FBI officials decided to let the Weiner investigators proceed with a closer examination of the metadata on the computer, and report back to them.

At a meeting early last week of senior Justice Department and FBI officials, a member of the department's senior national-security staff asked for an update on the Weiner laptop, the people familiar with the matter said. At that point, officials realized that no one had acted to obtain a warrant, these people said.

[New York mayoral candidate Anthony Weiner and his wife Huma Abedin attended a news conference in New York in 2013. Mr. Weiner had attempted to revive his career with a bid for New York City mayor, but that effort was doomed after a website published photos that he had evidently sent to another woman.]ENLARGE

New York mayoral candidate Anthony Weiner and his wife Huma Abedin attended a news conference in New York in 2013. Mr. Weiner had attempted to revive his career with a bid for New York City mayor, but that effort was doomed after a website published photos that he had evidently sent to another woman. PHOTO: ERIC THAYER/REUTERS

Mr. McCabe then instructed the email investigators to talk to the Weiner investigators and see whether the laptop's contents could be relevant to the Clinton email probe, these people said. After the investigators spoke, the agents agreed it was potentially relevant.

Mr. Comey was given an update, decided to go forward with the case and notified Congress <<http://online.wsi.com/public/resources/documents/Binder2.pdf>> on Friday, with explosive results. Senior Justice Department officials had warned Mr. Comey that telling Congress would violate well-established policies against overt actions that could affect an election, and some within the FBI have been unhappy at Mr. Comey's repeated public statements on the probe, going back to his first press conference on the subject in July.

The back-and-forth reflects how the bureau is probing several matters related, directly or indirectly, to Mrs. Clinton and her inner circle.

The split within the Justice Department and FBI over Clinton-related matters has been particularly apparent in the bureau's investigation of the Clinton Foundation, the Clintons' family philanthropy. As the email investigation wound down in July, the internal disagreements surrounding the foundation probe heated up, according to people familiar with the matter.

New details show that senior law-enforcement officials repeatedly voiced skepticism of the strength of the evidence in that probe, sought to condense what was at times a sprawling cross-country effort, and, according to some people familiar with the matter, told agents to limit their pursuit of the case.

That led to frustrations among some investigators, who viewed FBI leadership as uninterested in probing the charity, these people said. Others involved disagreed sharply, defending FBI bosses and saying Mr. McCabe in particular was caught

between an increasingly acrimonious fight for control between the Justice Department and FBI agents pursuing the Clinton Foundation case.

Such internal tensions are common, and it isn't unusual for field agents to favor a more aggressive approach than supervisors and prosecutors think is merited. But the internal debates about the Clinton Foundation show the high stakes when such disagreements occur surrounding someone who is running for president.

[Director James Comey testified before the House Judiciary Committee in September on a variety of subjects including the investigation into former U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's email server.]ENLARGE

Director James Comey testified before the House Judiciary Committee in September on a variety of subjects including the investigation into former U.S. Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's email server. PHOTO: WIN MCNAMEE/GETTY IMAGES

The Wall Street Journal reported last week<<http://www.wsj.com/articles/clinton-ally-aids-campaign-of-fbi-officials-wife-1477266114>> that Mr. McCabe's wife, Jill McCabe, received \$467,500 in campaign funds in late 2015 from the political action committee of Virginia Gov. Terry McAuliffe, a longtime ally of the Clintons and, until he was elected governor in November 2013, a Clinton Foundation board member.

Mr. McAuliffe had supported Dr. McCabe in the hopes she and a handful of other Democrats might help win a majority in the state Senate, giving Mr. McAuliffe more sway in the state capitol. Dr. McCabe lost her race last November, and Democrats failed to win their majority.

A spokesman for the governor has said that "any insinuation that his support was tied to anything other than his desire to elect candidates who would help pass his agenda is ridiculous."

Dr. McCabe told the Journal, "Once I decided to run, my husband had no formal role in my campaign other than to be a supportive husband to me and our children."

In February of this year, Mr. McCabe ascended from the No. 3 position at the FBI to the deputy director post, making him second only to Mr. Comey. When he assumed that role, officials say, he started overseeing the probe into Mrs. Clinton's use of a private email server for government work when she was secretary of state.

FBI officials have said Mr. McCabe had no role in the Clinton email probe until he became deputy director, and there was no conflict of interest because by then his wife's campaign was over.

But other Clinton-related investigations were under way within the FBI, and they have been the subject of internal debate for months, according to people familiar with the matter.

Early this year, four FBI field offices—New York, Los Angeles, Washington and Little Rock, Ark.—were collecting information about the Clinton Foundation to see if there was evidence of financial crimes or influence-peddling, according to people familiar with the matter.

Los Angeles agents had picked up information about the Clinton Foundation from an unrelated public corruption case and had issued some subpoenas for bank records related to the foundation, these people said.

The Washington field office was probing financial relationships involving Mr. McAuliffe before he became a Clinton Foundation board member, these people said. Mr. McAuliffe has denied any wrongdoing, and his lawyer has said the probe is focused on whether he failed to register as an agent of a foreign entity.

Clinton Foundation officials have long denied any wrongdoing, saying it is a well-run charity that has done immense good around the world.

The FBI field office in New York had done the most work on the Clinton Foundation case and received help from the FBI field office in Little Rock, the people familiar with the matter said.

In February, FBI officials made a presentation to the Justice Department, according to these people. By all accounts, the meeting didn't go well.

Some said that is because the FBI didn't present compelling evidence to justify more aggressive pursuit of the Clinton Foundation, and that the career public integrity prosecutors in the room simply believed it wasn't a very strong case. Others said that from the start, the Justice Department officials were stern, icy and dismissive of the case.

"That was one of the weirdest meetings I've ever been to," one participant told others afterward, according to people familiar with the matter.

Justice Department officials told the FBI at the meeting they wouldn't authorize more aggressive investigative techniques, such as subpoenas, formal witness interviews, or grand-jury activity. But the FBI officials believed they were still well within their authority to pursue the leads and methods already under way, these people said.

In July, Mr. Comey announced he was recommending against any prosecution in the Clinton email case. About a week later, the FBI sought to refocus the Clinton Foundation probe, with Mr. McCabe deciding the FBI's New York office would take the lead with assistance from Little Rock.

The Washington field office, FBI officials decided, would focus on a separate matter involving Mr. McAuliffe. Mr. McCabe had decided earlier in the spring that he would continue to recuse himself from that probe, given the governor's contributions to his wife's former political campaign.

Within the FBI, the decision was viewed with skepticism by some, who felt the probe would be stronger if the foundation and McAuliffe matters were combined. Others, particularly senior officials at the Justice Department, felt that both probes were weak, based largely on publicly available information, and had found little that would merit expanded investigative authority.

According to a person familiar with the probes, on Aug. 12, a senior Justice Department official called Mr. McCabe to voice his displeasure at finding that New York FBI agents were still openly pursuing the Clinton Foundation probe, despite the department's refusal to allow more aggressive investigative methods in the case. Mr. McCabe said agents still had the authority to pursue the issue as long as they didn't use those methods.

The Justice Department official was "very pissed off," according to one person close to Mr. McCabe, and pressed him to explain why the FBI was still chasing a matter the department considered dead. Others said the point of the call was simply the Justice Department trying to make sure that FBI agents were following longstanding policy not to make overt investigative moves that could be seen as trying to influence the outcome of an election. Those rules discourage investigators from making any such moves before a primary or general election, and, at a minimum, checking with public integrity prosecutors before doing so.

"Are you telling me that I need to shut down a validly predicated investigation?" Mr. McCabe asked, according to people familiar with the conversation. After a pause, the official replied, "Of course not," these people said.

For Mr. McCabe's defenders, the exchange showed how he was stuck between an FBI office eager to pour more resources into a case and Justice Department leaders who didn't think much of the case, one person said. Those people said that following the call, Mr. McCabe reiterated past instructions to FBI agents that they were to keep pursuing the work within the authority they had.

Mr. McCabe's defenders in the agency said that following the call, he repeated the instruction that he had given earlier in the Clinton Foundation investigation: Agents were to keep pursuing the work within the authority they had.

Others further down the FBI chain of command, however, said agents were given a much starker instruction on the case: "Stand down." When agents questioned why they weren't allowed to take more aggressive steps, they said they were told the order had come from the deputy director—Mr. McCabe.

Others familiar with the matter deny Mr. McCabe or any other senior FBI official gave such a stand-down instruction.

For agents who already felt uneasy about FBI leadership's handling of the Clinton Foundation case, the moment only deepened their concerns, these people said. For those who felt the probe hadn't yet found significant evidence of criminal conduct, the leadership's approach was the right response to the facts on the ground.

In September, agents on the foundation case asked to see the emails contained on nongovernment laptops that had been searched as part of the Clinton email case, but that request was rejected by prosecutors at the Eastern District of New York, in Brooklyn. Those emails were given to the FBI based on grants of partial immunity and limited-use agreements, meaning agents could only use them for the purpose of investigating possible mishandling of classified information.

Some FBI agents were dissatisfied with that answer, and asked for permission to make a similar request to federal prosecutors in Manhattan, according to people familiar with the matter. Mr. McCabe, these people said, told them no and added that they could not "go prosecutor-shopping."

Not long after that discussion, FBI agents informed the bureau's leaders about the Weiner laptop, prompting Mr. Comey's disclosure to Congress and setting of the furor that promises to consume the final days of a tumultuous campaign.

Write to Devlin Barrett at devlin.barrett@wsj.com or <mailto:devlin.barrett@wsj.com>

Devlin Barrett
Wall Street Journal
p (202) 863-6524
[REDACTED]
t: @devlinbarrett

b6 -3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 12:43 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Lawfare post

D mentioned another post this morning, had 18 things in it.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/31/2016 12:38 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Lawfare post

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

The Attorney General's Role in the Clinton Email Mess

By Jack Goldsmith, Benjamin Wittes
Monday, October 31, 2016, 10:23 AM

Over the last 48 hours, a parade of former senior Justice Department officials of both parties have written op-eds or given interviews slamming FBI Director James Comey for his action last week on the Clinton email matter. Former Attorney General Eric Holder writes this morning in the Washington Post that Comey's "decision was incorrect. It violated long-standing Justice Department policies and tradition. And it ran counter to guidance that I put in place four years ago laying out the proper way to conduct investigations during an election season. That guidance, which reinforced established policy, is still in effect and applies to the entire Justice Department—including the FBI."

Former Deputy Attorneys General Jamie Gorelick and Larry Thompson wrote yesterday that "senior officials in the Justice Department and the FBI" owe,

"a solemn obligation to maintain [the Department's] credibility. They are not to arrogate to themselves the choices made by the Justice Department and honored over the years. As part of that obligation, they must recognize that the department is an institution, not a person. As its temporary custodians, they must neither seek the spotlight for their own advancement nor avoid accountability for the hard decisions they inevitably face. Justice allows neither for self-aggrandizing crusaders on high horses nor for passive bureaucrats wielding rubber stamps from the shadows. It demands both humility and responsibility."

Leave aside, for a moment, the question of whether Comey acted rightly or wrongly, a matter we addressed in depth on Saturday and on which we think his moves are not above criticism.

But there's an elephant in the room alongside all these DOJ officials who are clucking about the FBI director's having gone rogue: Attorney General Lynch, having been consulted ahead of time, let him do it.

Lynch could have ordered Comey not to send the letter. She declined to do that, and instead acted in a manner that minimized her own responsibility, as the head of the Justice Department, for what Comey did.

This is not the way the matter is playing in the press. Many news stories over the weekend, citing anonymous Justice Department sources, suggested that Comey acted contrary to Justice Department wishes. The idea is that the DOJ was somehow helpless to prevent an out-of-control investigator from meddling in a presidential campaign with actions undertaken either to gratify Comey's ego and arrogate power and responsibility to himself, or to help Donald Trump—or because Comey just couldn't help himself.

But that's not how the Justice Department works, and that's not what happened here. If you think what Comey did was

FBI (18-cv-154)-2961

beyond the pale, Lynch does not get to escape accountability for the action.

The wishes and preferences of the attorney general and deputy attorney general, such as they were, were, in fact, conveyed in a tepid, responsibility-eschewing way. The New York Times reports that "the Justice Department strongly discouraged [sending the letter to Congress] and told [Comey] that he would be breaking with longstanding policy." It then adds that "Senior Justice Department officials did not move to stop him from sending the letter," but "did everything short of it."

Well, not everything, it turns out. Lynch, and her deputy, Sally Yates, did not demand that Comey hold off on sending the letter until they could make a decision for the Department about it. They did not pick up the phone or insist on a meeting to discuss the issue or even to express their views personally to Comey. "There was no direct confrontation between Lynch or Yates and Comey," reports CNN. "Instead, the disagreements were conveyed to Comey by Justice Department staff, who advised the FBI chief his letter would be against department policy to not comment on investigations close to an election."

Assuming these press reports are correct, this appears to be classic responsibility-dodging behavior that one of us (Jack) often witnessed during his time in the Justice Department. Sending a staffer to express a preference is what senior officials do when they do not have the courage of their convictions and do not want to take responsibility for action. The Attorney General was fence-riding; she didn't want Comey to send the letter, but she also didn't want the responsibility for ordering him not to send it.

Lynch clearly possessed the legal authority to direct Comey both not to go forward with the email review and also not to send the letter. Congress has specified that the FBI is "in the Department of Justice," and also that "[a]ll functions of other officers of the Department of Justice and all functions of agencies and employees of the Department of Justice are vested in the Attorney General." And by regulation, the Attorney General "shall [s]upervise and direct the administration and operation of the Department of Justice." These and other statutes and regulations make plain that Lynch could have issued an order to stop Comey from acting.

Perhaps Lynch felt compromised from acting to stop Comey because of Bill Clinton's tarmac visit, and Hillary Clinton's hint that she might keep Lynch on as Attorney General, and Lynch's inconsistent statements about her role in the Clinton email matter. In light of these taints, Lynch would have been accused of bias and political opportunism had she ordered Comey not to send the letter. This taint was among the original seeming conflict of interest sins that caused Comey to take his highly unusual course in the Clinton email matter beginning in July.

But Lynch's situation is precisely why the Justice Department has recusal rules and lines of authority. There must be independent, non-compromised Executive leadership at the top of the Department to take responsibility for the Department's actions. By allowing herself (1) to be compromised by the appearance of a conflict of interest, but nonetheless (2) to remain in charge of the Clinton case without recusal, Lynch appears to have forfeited her role as chief decider in the Clinton case.

Another possibility is that Lynch worried about a leak of any decision to stop Comey, and perhaps she worried that that leak would have a more dramatic impact on the election than Comey's letter by suggesting a cover-up. But this is exactly the rationale that, according to news reports, led Comey to send the letter in the first place. He feared that the discovery of the Weiner emails would have leaked, with a greater impact on the election than a mere notice of the fact to Congress.

Yet a third possible reason for Lynch's non-action is the departmental tradition of the Attorney General's allowing the FBI and its director to act with significant independence, a tradition in which the Attorney General does not, despite statutory authority, routinely tell the Director what to do. There are exceptions to this tradition in important contexts, just as there are exceptions for disregarding a similar tradition of independence toward the Solicitor General when the stakes are high enough. One example of an exception is when the Attorney General invokes statutes like the ones referenced above to issue guidelines for domestic FBI operations. Another exception is legal interpretation on important matters. Whatever the scope of the tradition of FBI independence, it would not apply if the attorney general believed the FBI director were unjustifiably departing from the department's policy related to investigations close to an election. If that were Lynch's view in this very high-profile context involving compliance with an Attorney General mandate, she should have made that view known personally to Comey and ordered him to cease. Instead she sent an aide to express her preference.

We suspect that Lynch's hands-off approach had its roots in a combination of all of these factors—her apparent conflict of interest, her worry about leaks, her desire not to be responsible for the Department not informing Congress about the Weiner emails, and the tradition of FBI independence, which would have raised the stakes of an order here yet further.

But whatever the explanation, it's important to understand how convenient Lynch's actions are for the very senior Justice Department officials who are now anonymously disparaging Comey's actions. Had Lynch taken a firm action, she rather than Comey would be accountable. By proceeding the way she did, she gets all of the benefits of Comey's action: Congress knows what happened; the new emails, whatever they may be, get ventilated before the election; and nobody can accuse the Justice Department (or Lynch herself) of any kind of cover-up for sitting on material that might have proven significant. What's more, Lynch avoids the heat for making this happen. She gets to be the victim of a denature from Justice

Department protocol, the standard-bearer of the regular order. Comey takes all of the flack. In short, Comey's instinct to fall on grenades, combined with Lynch's fence-sitting, puts Lynch in the position of having her interests protected while appearing the victim of a power grab.

For what it is worth, we believe Comey would have complied with an order from Lynch to cease the email search or not send the letter. Jack's experiences with him in the Justice Department a dozen years ago give us confidence that, based on his view of Article II, he believes he must follow the order (and legal interpretation) of an Executive branch superior unless he thinks that the order is so illegitimate as to demand resignation. If the Attorney General took responsibility for not informing Congress because of the election policy, Comey surely would have acquiesced.

There's another reason to think that Comey would have followed this order: he could be removed for insubordination if he didn't. There's this myth that the FBI director cannot be fired except for cause. It's not true. The FBI director serves a fixed ten-year term, assuming the President does not fire him. But there are no statutory restrictions on the President's authority to remove the FBI director. As the Justice Department concluded without equivocation in this OLC opinion, "the FBI Director is removable at the will of the President." OLC explained that "[n]o statute purports to restrict the President's power to remove the Director. Specification of a term of office does not create such a restriction. . . . Nor is there any ground for inferring a restriction. Indeed, tenure protection for an officer with the FBI Director's broad investigative, administrative, and policymaking responsibilities would raise a serious constitutional question whether Congress had 'impede[d] the President's ability to perform his constitutional duty' to take care that the laws be faithfully executed." If Lynch had ordered Comey not to send the letter or continue the investigation and he had gone ahead and done so—or, more generally, if the FBI director were really behaving like a rogue actor beyond any sort of political or democratic control—Lynch's boss, President Obama, had a remedy at his disposal.

But, of course, any such order from Lynch would have rendered her, not Comey, responsible for the outcome—a situation she has clearly sought to avoid.

That outcome would have been made more dramatic if Comey had resigned or been removed. We seriously doubt he would have resigned, especially if the basis for the Attorney General's order were a determination that sending the letter to Congress (or pursuing the Weiner investigation) would violate Department policy. Comey almost certainly would have followed the order.

None of this is to exonerate Comey for the decisions that he has made about the Clinton matter since July. He acted based on the cards dealt to him, as did the Attorney General, and both are responsible for their actions and non-actions.

But under-appreciated in the Clinton email mess is that Comey has been operating in the shadow of a compromised Attorney General who continued her compromised ways when she refused to take responsibility for the Department's actions last Friday.

In one paragraph of their op-ed, Gorelick and Thompson acknowledge many of the points that lead us to this conclusion. "Attorney General Loretta E. Lynch—nominally Comey's boss—has apparently been satisfied with advising Comey but not ordering him to abide by the rules. She, no doubt, did not want to override the FBI director in such a highly political matter, but she should not have needed to. He should have abided by the policy on his own."

Setting aside whether Comey actually violated the policy, it is passing strange to indict him so vigorously while forgiving the attorney general for not using her authority to restrain him from an action so purportedly outrageous.

[Disclosure: We know Director Comey personally and one of us has worked with him in prior government service. We write this on our own behalf and here state only our own views of these matters.]

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 9:30 PM
To: Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Here's how the FBI probe of Clinton emails will proceed; timeline not yet clear - TODAY.com

b6 -1
b7C -1

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/31/2016 9:09 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Here's how the FBI probe of Clinton emails will proceed; timeline not yet clear - TODAY.com

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1

J

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 10/31/2016 8:59 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
A. (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Here's how the FBI probe of Clinton emails will proceed; timeline not yet clear - TODAY.com

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

What the hell? Have we lost our collective minds?

Also accurate....

If this is a prelude to the rest of week it's gonna be a heck of a ride

----- Original message -----

From [REDACTED]

Date: 10/31/2016 8:56 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Here's how the FBI probe of Clinton emails will proceed; timeline not yet clear - TODAY.com

<http://www.today.com/video/here-s-how-the-fbi-probe-of-clinton-emails-will-proceed-timeline-not-yet-clear-797256259849>

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 10/31/2016 8:42 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

"Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: FBI Making Inquiry Into Ex-Trump Campaign Manager's Foreign Ties - NBC News

Wow, busy news night. Talked with [REDACTED] earlier, he said Ellen Nakashima had mentioned below to him...

<http://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/fbi-making-inquiry-ex-trump-campaign-manager-s-foreign-ties-n675881>

FBI Making Inquiry Into Ex-Trump Campaign Manager's Foreign Ties

by Ken Dilanian, Cynthia McFadden, William M. Arkin and Tom Winter

The FBI has been conducting a preliminary inquiry into Donald Trump's former campaign manager Paul Manafort's foreign business connections, law enforcement and intelligence sources told NBC News Monday.

Word of the inquiry, which has not blossomed into a full-blown criminal investigation, comes just days after FBI Director James Comey's disclosure that his agency is examining a new batch of emails connected to an aide to Hillary Clinton.

And it comes a day after Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid criticized Comey's revelation and asserted that Comey possesses "explosive information about close ties and coordination between Donald Trump, his top advisors, and the Russian government."

The FBI did not comment. Manafort told NBC News "none of it is true ... There's no investigation going on by the FBI that I'm aware of." He said he had never had ties to Russian president Vladimir Putin, or had dealings with Putin and his government. He said any suggestion of such ties was "Democratic propaganda."

"This is all political propaganda. meant to deflect," he said.

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -3


NBC News reported in August that Manafort was a key player in multi-million-dollar business propositions with Russian and Ukrainian oligarchs — one of them a close Putin ally with alleged ties to organized crime — which foreign policy experts said raised questions about the pro-Russian bent of the Trump candidacy.

A few days later, amid other reporting on Manafort's Ukraine ties, Manafort was ousted from the campaign.

Rep. Adam Schiff of California, the ranking Democrat on the House Intelligence Committee, regularly receives sensitive briefings. Schiff said he could not discuss Reid's assertions, but he said, "Americans have every right to be concerned about what they see in terms of Trump advisors and their closeness with the Kremlin, Trump's policies vis-a-vis Russia, Trump's potential financial interest, all of those things ought to be of deep concern to voters."

He added, "Whether an investigation is appropriate depends on whether there's evidence of criminal connections. Of course the intelligence community wants to know what foreign influence Russia may be looking to exert in the United States."

Manafort was paid millions of dollars — \$12.7 million in cash, according to The New York Times—representing a pro-Russian politician in the Ukraine.

The image is a broken image placeholder. It consists of a small square icon with an 'x' inside, followed by the text "itemprop='image' />". This is a common way to represent a missing image in HTML.



Manafort's name in an alleged payment ledger. The Times

Trump has taken a series of pro-Russian positions that experts from both parties say are far outside the mainstream, and inexplicable from a political viewpoint. He continues to cast doubt on Russian involvement in election hacking, for example, despite the intelligence community's public assessment.

"The relationships that Trump's advisors have had with pro-Russian forces are deeply disturbing," David Kramer, a former senior State Department official in the George W. Bush administration and a former adviser to Marco Rubio's presidential campaign, told NBC News in August. "Trump's attitude on Russia is not in line with most Republican foreign-policy thinking. Trump has staked out views that are really on the fringe."

An FBI inquiry is a preliminary examination that falls short of a criminal investigation. But in this highly charged atmosphere, it has some arguing that Comey is applying a double standard.

"Any specifics of what the FBI or intelligence agencies may be looking at are not something that the bureau should be discussing publicly," Schiff said. "But here, where the director has discussed an investigation involving one candidate, it opens the director up to claims of bias if he doesn't discuss other potential investigations."

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 10:34 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Subject: article

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

D specifically called out and complimented this online-only story in the Times today.

http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2016/11/02/us/elections/james-Comey-options-classified-information-Hillary-Clinton-elections.html?hp&action=click&pgtype=Homepage&clickSource=story-heading&module=first-column-region®ion=top-news&WT.nav=top-news&_r=0

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

Subject: Accepted: Post-election mtg
Location: 4012

Start: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 10:00 AM
End: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 10:30 AM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Required Attendees: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

When: Nov 9, 2016 10:00:00 AM
Where: 4012

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 8:04 PM
To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/03/2016 6:43 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

All: Assuming we are able to soon finish our review, I recommend the statement read as follows:

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 5:20 PM
To: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

FBI (18-cv-154)-2973

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
 DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

Lisa [redacted] Jon, and I all reviewed, and our comments are in redline in Jim's email below. We have some concern that [redacted]

b5 -1
 b6 -1
 b7C -1

[redacted]

[redacted]

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 4:15 PM

To: Priestan, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)
 [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Strzok, Peter P. (CD)
 (FBI) [redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] Steinbach,
 Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)
 [redacted] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Page, Lisa C. (OGC)
 (FBI) [redacted]

b6 -1
 b7C -1
 b7E -6

Subject: RE: Draft

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
 ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
 DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

Slightly revised draft based on a conversation that I just had with Jim R. Also, all of this depends of course on the assumption that we don't find anything in the slack space. And adding Lisa this time (sorry).

[redacted]

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 3:04 PM

To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)

Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)

(FBI) (OGC) (FBI)

Strzok, Peter P. (CD)

Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

Steinbach,

Michael B. (DO) (FBI)

Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)

Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

I decided to take a crack at a first draft of the email. Please let me know what you think.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2016 6:20 AM
To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (HRD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC)
(FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'll try to join you guys early [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 3

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/03/2016 9:24 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete: Let's provide one set of consolidated feedback to Jim R by 9 AM tomorrow.

Jim: Does that work for you?

Thanks,
Bill

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/03/2016 9:16 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Should we respond with comment tonight or hold for tomorrow? there are several immediate things that come to mind.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/03/2016 9:01 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page,
Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Draft

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

ALTERNATE VERSION FOR DISCUSSION TOMORROW. Looks like the case update will be at 11am (Cyber DPI will be moved).

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 3, 2016 6:43 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1

All: Assuming we are able to soon finish our review, I recommend the statement read as follows:

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 5:20 PM
To: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]; Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]; Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]; Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]; Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]; Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

(FBI) [redacted] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)
[redacted] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
(OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

Lisa, [redacted] Jon, and I all reviewed, and our comments are in redline in Jim's email below. We have some concern that [redacted]

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 4:15 PM
To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Strzok, Peter P. (CD)
(FBI) [redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] Steinbach,
Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)
[redacted] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) [redacted] Page, Lisa C. (OGC)
(FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

Slightly revised draft based on a conversation that I just had with Jim R. Also, all of this depends of course on the assumption that we don't find anything in the slack space. And adding Lisa this time (sorry).

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 3:04 PM

To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD)

(FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach,

Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)

[REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]

Subject: Draft

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

I decided to take a crack at a first draft of the email. Please let me know what you think.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2016 8:57 AM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1

We're working on edits now, Jim.

----- Original message -----

From: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/04/2016 8:55 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Is this sentence right:

b5 -1, 2, 3

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/04/2016 8:06 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Point taken. I think it can be easily removed from this version.

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, November 04, 2016 7:20 AM

To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)

[REDACTED] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO)

(FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C.

(OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]

(OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 11/03/2016 9:06 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page,

Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]

"Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Subject: RE: Draft

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]

Date: 11/03/2016 9:01 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[redacted] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"

[redacted] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page,

Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"

[redacted] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

Subject: Re: Draft

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

ALTERNATE VERSION FOR DISCUSSION TOMORROW. Looks like the case update will be at 11am (Cyber DPI will be moved).

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, November 3, 2016 6:43 PM

To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Draft

All: Assuming we are able to soon finish our review, I recommend the statement read as follows:

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 5:20 PM

To: Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)

[REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC)

(FBI); [REDACTED] Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)

[REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]

(OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Draft

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

Lisa [REDACTED] Jon, and I all reviewed, and our comments are in redline in Jim's email below. We have some concern that [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b5 -1
b6 -1
b7C -1

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 4:15 PM

To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD)

(FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach,

Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)

[REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC)

(FBI); [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

Slightly revised draft based on a conversation that I just had with Jim R. Also, all of this depends of course on the assumption that we don't find anything in the slack space. And adding Lisa this time (sorry).

b5 -1, 2, 3

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 03, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD)
(FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Steinbach,
Michael B. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Subject: Draft

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE
DELIBERATIVE PROCESS

I decided to take a crack at a first draft of the email. Please let me know what you think.

b5 -1, 2, 3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, November 05, 2016 9:51 AM
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (HRD) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Mye update

b6 -1
b7C -1

[REDACTED] so my participation is doubtful. Sorry.

b6 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/05/2016 9:39 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Mye update

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Agree

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/5/16 9:30 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Mye update

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Indexing just started and will take several hours to complete. The number we will need to review will be less than that but we cannot estimate by how much.

b7E -4

We think we will be in a good position at 1:00 to understand the data and have a sense of timing to complete. A significant variable is the time to index, as well as the occasional index failure/need to restart.

Our unscientific gut feel is we will be able to get through the material by this evening - everyone should be thinking it will not be this afternoon - with the chance we will need to work overnight to complete.

Based on this, do we want to push the conference call to 1?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/04/2016 7:26 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James
E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker,
James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan,
Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Request for conference call bridge

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Dial-in info below, 10:00 tomorrow

----- Original message -----

From: SIOC [REDACTED]
Date: 11/04/2016 7:22 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: SIOC [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for conference call bridge

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

DAD Strzok,

Your unclassified conference call for 11/5/16 at 10am - 12pm ET was setup as requested. Instructions to gain access to the conference call are below. Please forward to all participants.

- Dial [REDACTED]
- When prompted to do so, please enter your pass code followed by the #sign. Your pass code is [REDACTED]
- If you need assistance, please call the SIOC's main number [REDACTED]

b7E -6

PLEASE NOTE: SIOC has a limited number of conference lines available each day. If your plans change, and you will not be using any or all of the requested lines, please let SIOC know as soon as you know so that we may release those lines to others who may be wanting to use them.

Emergency Action Specialist [REDACTED]
Strategic Information & Operation Center (SIOC)
FBIHQ, Room [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED]

THIS MESSAGE CAN BE PROVIDED TO OUTSIDE AGENCIES BECAUSE WE ARE NOW
ROTATING CODES WHEN OUTSIDE AGENCIES WERE/ARE INVOLVED IN CONFERENCE
CALLS.

-----Original Message-----

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, November 04, 2016 6:53 PM

To: SIOC [REDACTED]

Subject: Request for conference call bridge

b7E -6

Good evening SIOC. Would you please set up an unclassified conference call bridge for 12 parties for two
hours from 10:00 AM-noon tomorrow, November 5th?

Thanks,
Pete

Peter P. Strzok II
Deputy Assistant Director, Branch I
Counterintelligence Division

[REDACTED] (O)
[REDACTED] (C)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, November 06, 2016 9:38 AM
To: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Request for conference call bridge

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yup. The sun is for the weak.

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/06/2016 9:37 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for conference call bridge

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I'm told that the sunshine room can't bring up unet on the big screen. Is it OK if we meet in the ADD conf room again so we can bring the statement up?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/6/16 8:56 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for conference call bridge

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Jim B.: for those of us who are at HQ, can we plan to meet in your sunshine room? Or in the alternative, I can open the ADD's conference room - there's just no sunshine there. ?

----- Original message -----

FBI (18-cv-154)-3010

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/06/2016 8:53 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa,
Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for conference call bridge

The Director will arrive at HQ at 1115. Let's plan on a 1130 brief for him in his conference room for those at HQ, and I'll setup a bridge for those who cannot make it.

Thanks,

Jim

From: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, November 06, 2016 7:26 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] Priestap, E.
W. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Baker, James A.
(OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Request for conference call bridge

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Just for planning..... It's likely that the Director will come into the office at some point after our 10a call for an in person brief with the team.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 11/6/16 6:00 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James
E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker,
James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan,
Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: Request for conference call bridge

See dial in information below for 10:00 EDT conference call.

[REDACTED] Jon, and I completed our review of all of the potential HRC work emails on the laptop. We found no previously unknown, potentially classified emails on the media. I'll circulate comments to Jim's 11:00pm draft separately.

We have a team coming in this morning to triple-check our methodology and conclusions, and we will have updated numbers for the 10.

----- Original message -----

From: SIOC [REDACTED]

Date: 11/05/2016 11:54 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: SIOC [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Request for conference call bridge

Your unclassified conference call has been set up as requested. Instructions to gain access to the conference call are as follows:

* Dial [REDACTED]

* When prompted to do so, please enter your pass code [REDACTED]

* If you need assistance, please call the SIOC's main number [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1
b7C -1

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b7E -6

If you need assistance, please call the SIOC's main number, [REDACTED]

b7E -6

THE CONTENT OF THIS EMAIL IS LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
Forwarding this email or its contents to a non-government account is prohibited

Emergency Action Specialist [REDACTED]

Strategic Information and Operations Center (SIOC)

FBI Headquarters, Room [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

-----Original Message-----

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Saturday, November 05, 2016 11:46 PM

To: SIOC [REDACTED]

Subject: Request for conference call bridge

b7E -6

Good evening SIOC,

Would you please set up an unclassified call bridge for 12 parties for 12 hours tomorrow (Sunday 6th), from 9:00 AM to 9:00 PM?

Thanks,

Pete Strzok

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA)
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOIA Request No.:1391365-000 Civil
Action No.: 18-cv-154

Total Withheld Page(s) = 2

Bates Page Reference	Reason for Withholding (i.e., exemptions with coded rationale, duplicate, sealed by order of court, etc.)
FBI(18-cv-154)-3029	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-3033 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-3035
FBI(18-cv-154)-3035	b6/b7C-1

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

X Deleted Page(s) X
X No Duplication Fee X
X For this Page X

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2016 7:14 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Daily Beast

Let's wait until we have ours, then call over.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/10/2016 7:13 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Daily Beast

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

That's what Bill said [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b7A -1
b7E -4

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/10/2016 7:11 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Daily Beast

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Andy didn't get any others.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/10/2016 7:10 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Daily Beast

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/10/2016 7:04 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Daily Beast

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Yup, I know. :)

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/10/2016 7:02 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Daily Beast

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Re [REDACTED] 'll explain if needed.

BLOOD MONEY

Top Trump Aide Led the 'Torturers' Lobby

Paul Manafort and the partners at his firm made a fortune repping some of the most despicable dictators of the 20th century.

Betsy Woodruff

Tim Mak

04.13.16 1:00 AM ET

Over the course of a long lobbying career in D.C., top Trump aide Paul Manafort and his firm made a fortune fronting for a group of clients once referred to as the "torturers" lobby.

So when Manafort accused opponent Ted Cruz of using "gestapo tactics" to court Republican delegates on Meet the Press this past Sunday—it's something he may have quite a bit of experience with firsthand.

Manafort was a principal at the lobbying firm Black, Manafort, Stone, and Kelly (along with another top Trump ally, Nixon alum Roger Stone), a K Street powerhouse with close ties to the Reagan and George H. W. Bush administrations, as well as top Republicans on Capitol Hill.

But over the years, they made millions by representing a rogue's gallery of clients far away from D.C.'s genteel corridors of power: dictators, guerilla groups, and despots with no regard for human rights—including one man responsible for mass amputations, and another who oversaw state-sanctioned rape.

One such client was Jonas Savimbi, who led a guerilla army trying to wrest control of the Angolan government from Marxists during the country's brutal civil war. Savimbi hired Manafort's lobbying firm to help him get financial support from the U.S. government for his guerilla army, UNITA (National Union for the Total Independence of Angola).

And Manafort and co. delivered.

"What the firm achieved was quickly dubbed 'Savimbi chic,'" reported Time magazine in 1985. "Doors swung open all over town for the guerrilla leader, who was dapperly attired in a Nehru suit and ferried about in a stretch limousine."

Savimbi paid the firm \$600,000 in 1985 for their trouble, The Washington Post reported. The lobbying paid off: then-Senate Majority Leader Bob Dole urged the State Department to send heavy arms to Savimbi's guerilla army. Savimbi came back for more in 1989, putting the firm on retainer to orchestrate a media blitz. They got him booked on 60 Minutes and Nightline, as the Post noted, and they shelled out more than \$200,000 to put him up at the Waldorf-Astoria and Grand Hotel.

"It greatly helped repackage Savimbi as a valiant anti-communist 'freedom fighter,'" reported Nairobi's The Daily Nation.

And that so-called freedom fight was quite lucrative for Manafort's firm—so lucrative, in fact, that they didn't want it to stop. Spy Magazine reported that the firm's "hawkish congressional lobbying for more military aid" may have kept a ceasefire in the country's civil war from coming sooner.

"Clearly, Savimbi wanted peace negotiations for a longer time than Black, Manafort wanted negotiations," said one conservative Hill aide sympathetic with Savimbi, according to the magazine.

In his memoir, former Sen. Bill Bradley credited Savimbi's lobbying team with lengthening the war.

"I thought we were making a colossal blunder in Angola," he wrote. "I had no sense that Jonas Savimbi, our client guerilla warrior, was any more committed to democracy than was the country's dictatorial leftist leadership. When Gorbachev pulled the plug on Soviet aid to the Angolan government, we had absolutely no reason to persist in aiding Savimbi. But by then he had hired an effective Washington lobbying firm, which successfully obtained further funding."

The slow peace process meant protracted violence. From 1985 to 1987, the Reagan administration sent a total of \$42 million to UNITA. According to Joy James's book *Resisting State Violence: Radicalism, Gender, and Race in U.S. Culture*, Savimbi's army "maimed or killed tens of thousands, creating one of the largest amputee populations in the world through its laying of landmines in farm fields, roads, and school yards."

As is often the case, one man's freedom fighter is another man's terrorist. And Savimbi's army was particularly violent.

"Indiscriminate killings, mutilation of limbs or ears, and beatings were used by rebels to punish suspected government

sympathizers or as a warning against betraying UNITA," reads a Human Rights Watch report. "UNITA continued to forcibly recruit men and teenage boys to fight. Girls were held in sexual slavery and used as a source of forced labor."

Savimbi and UNITA were not the only Manafort clients comfortable with brutality.

A 1992 report from the Center for Public Integrity listed Black, Manafort, Stone, and Kelly as one of the lobbying firms to profit the most by doing business with foreign governments that violated their people's human rights.

From 1991 to 1992, the firm made \$3.3 million from what the Center for Public Integrity dubbed "the torturers' lobby."

From 1990 to 1993, the Kenyan government paid Manafort's firm more than \$1.4 million to lobby the U.S. government to send them more aid. In that window of time, the U.S. was highly critical of the country's human rights record, as the Center for Public Integrity detailed.

Severe police brutality, prisoner abuse, and crackdowns on hunger strikers all drew opprobrium. The country still raked in \$38.3 million in aid from the U.S. government—thanks in part to the hard work of Manafort and his partners.

Mobutu Sese Seko, dictator of Zaire (now the Democratic Republic of Congo) also benefitted from Manafort's lobbying expertise. The Guardian described him as "one of Africa's most flamboyantly corrupt leaders."

He was also one of its worst. Human-rights activists hold the dictator responsible for government-sanctioned torture, detainment, and rape.

"Quantitatively, I think Zaire has the worst human rights record in Africa," one UN official told the Chicago Tribune in 1997. "In terms of social and economic rights and the number of state actors violating those rights, it's massive. And the bulk of human rights violations in this country never will be known. It's a black hole."

And the black hole had a powerful ally. The dictator retained Manafort's firm in 1989 to help remedy some longstanding PR problems—for a cool \$1 million a year.

Manafort partner Charlie Black, who backs Ohio Gov. John Kasich in the presidential race, pushed back on the assertion that his firm's foreign clients were anything but above board and said they "never (1981-present) accepted a foreign client without informally clearing with the State Department that our scope of work is in U.S. interests."

"In the case of Mobutu, he told US he would have democratic elections for a parliament. US State asked us to organize those elections," Black said. "We did. He did not like the results and fired us."

But, of course, there's more. In 1985, Manafort himself announced that his firm would take on the Chamber of Philippine Manufacturers, Exporters, and Tourists Associations as a client. That group had close ties with dictator Ferdinand Marcos, whose regime put the country under martial law and was responsible for hundreds of cases of torture. In 2004, Transparency International listed him as one of the world's 10 most notorious leaders of the previous two decades (along with Mobutu Sese Seko, another Manafort client). They estimate Marcos embezzled between \$5 million and \$10 million from his people. Almost 50,000 Filipinos have filed claims for reparations for crimes against them during Marcos's era of martial law, according to the Philippine news site Rappler.com.

Marcos enlisted Manafort's team as part of an effort to appear more democratic. Time reported that he paid the firm \$900,000, and that they said they were trying to make Americans have more faith in the country's democratic process.

"What we've tried to do is make it more of a Chicago-style election and not Mexico's," Manafort told the magazine.

When the Reagan administration finally soured on the dictator—the whole "Chicago-style election" thing didn't exactly work out—they helped out their pals at the firm.

"The firm was so entwined with the Reagan White House that administration officials gave it a heads-up so it could cancel its contract with a client, President Ferdinand Marcos of the Philippines, two hours before Reagan withdrew his support," reported The New York Times in 2008.

Another dictator who both made Transparency International's list and Paul Manafort's was Sani Abacha of Nigeria. He hired a different Manafort firm—Davis, Manafort & Freedman—in 1998 as part of "an aggressive public relations and lobbying campaign to persuade Americans that he was the leader of a progressive emerging democracy," according to The New York Times. Richard Davis, one of the principals in that firm, took a leave of absence to run John McCain's 2000 presidential campaign. When a reporter asked McCain's campaign about his campaign manager's association with Abacha, the campaign pointed to Manafort.

Howard Upinsky, a press secretary for the McCain campaign, said tonight that Mr. Davis did not actually work on the Nigeria account and that it was handled by a partner, Paul Manafort," The New York Times noted in a 2000 report.

Highlights of the Nigeria account include lots of torture. A 1997 State Department report detailed persistent torture and abuse under the Abacha regime.

"[D]etainees frequently died while in custody," reads the report, "and there were credible reports that security officers seeking to extract confessions regularly beat suspects, detainees, and convicted prisoners. Security officers tortured prisoners with whippings, suspension by the limbs from the ceiling, burning with candles, and extraction of teeth."

Then there was Manafort's work for Putinite Viktor Yanukovich, who became president in 2010. Manafort had been introduced to Yanukovich by Ukraine's richest man, an industrialist named Rinat Akhmetov. The New York Times reported.

It was Manafort's most recent high-profile political consulting job, and while his candidate was victorious, Yanukovich was eventually drummed out of office in disgrace in 2014 after accusations that he undercut freedom of the press and tried to suppress opposition political parties.

At the time of the election, Manafort had spun that Yanukovich was merely misunderstood, and that "the West has not been willing to move beyond the cold war mentality and to see this man and the outreach that he has extended."

As the seminal Euromaidan protests, which pressed the pro-Putin Yanukovich to develop closer ties with Europe, grew to a climax and reports of Yanukovich's lavish spending became public, he was removed from the presidency—but not before being accused of using a special police force to violently disband protesters. Even his own political party would eventually condemn him and cast him out.

Supporters of transparency and reform in Ukraine are appalled that a former Yanukovich adviser is now involved with the American presidential elections.

"Now we hear that an adviser of Yanukovich, Paul Manafort, has been hired by the Donald Trump campaign. This is someone who took part in perversion of democracy in Ukraine and if [Manafort's] role in that fiasco turns out to be substantial, then he should not be allowed within 100 feet of government buildings of any self-respecting democracy," Pavel Yarmolenko, a spokesman for the Ukraine Freedom Support Group, told The Daily Beast. The UFSG is dedicated to urging Congress to approve aid to Ukraine.

The list of unsavory clients continues on: in the mid-1980s, during the Reagan era, Manafort peddled influence on behalf of Saudi Arabia, urging Congress not to pass legislation to move the U.S. embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem—a longstanding contention in the broader Arab-Israeli dispute. Manafort's lobbying then is counter to Trump's current position on the issue, and most political candidates seeking support from the pro-Israel community have advocated for moving the embassy to Jerusalem, which Israel has declared to be its capital.

To this day, Saudi Arabia continues to have one of the world's worst human rights records—but that never seemed to bother Manafort. Ultimately for his efforts to lobby for and advise the Saudi government, he was paid what with inflation would today be more than \$1.5 million.

Manafort's firm also made \$450,000 a year from the now-deposed Somali dictatorship of Siad Barre, according to the now-defunct Spy Magazine in 1992.

Barre, who took power as president through a coup, ruled for 22 years before he was overthrown during a civil war. The dictator sought ties with the Soviet Union and attempted to implement an official ideology called "scientific socialism," but later attempted to switch course and seek U.S. aid. Barre's exile left the country on the edge of mass starvation. The New York Times reported in the dictator's obituary, and his time as its president was most notable for a war with Ethiopia and numerous human-rights abuses.

Trump's campaign has stirred controversy not only for his contentious comments and puzzling proposals, but also for the company he keeps. He has hired as senior staffers a lawyer who doesn't think spousal rape is a crime and a campaign manager who has been charged with assault, for example.

So a lobbyist to the world's most reprehensible dictators might fit in just fine.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, November 11, 2016 10:29 AM
To: Priestap, E. W. [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: FYSA

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Andy has not yet been able to make contact with [REDACTED] so he said you guys should probably plan to hold until at least Monday. Just wanted to let you know so that you can plan accordingly. Thanks.

b6 -3

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)


From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 3:24 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: ITB ADVISORY: Dates to Remember

Mine is set to expire. ? Yours?

----- Original message -----


From: [REDACTED]
Date: 11/14/2016 2:49 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: FBI_ALI [REDACTED]
Subject: ITB ADVISORY: Dates to Remember

b7E -6



Please be aware of the following IT initiatives:

Your RSA token could be EXPIRING!



Please check the serial number on the back of your RSA token.

RSA tokens with serial numbers beginning with 340 will expire soon. **If you are in a division outside of JEH, please visit the MFA site on FBINet by entering MFA on the "address bar" on the BUNET home page. A link will take you to the RSA POC list.**

If you are in JEH and your token is due to expire, please come to JEH room [REDACTED] during the following dates and times to replace it:

b7E -6

November 14 – 18, January 9 – 13, and March 6 – 10 (Only on these dates)

Last Name Starts With:	Time:
A – G	8:00 a.m. – 9:00 a.m.
H – M	9:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.
N – S	10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.
T – U	11:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
V – Z	12:00 p.m. – 1:00 p.m.

b7E -3

b7E -3

See [redacted] FAQs on FBI Net for more information. If any issues arise during the deployment, contact the EOC at 202-324-1500.

RFC1105263/16

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 4:18 PM
To: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Answering the mail

b6 -1
b7C -1

Sounds good, thanks.

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 11/14/2016 4:17 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Answering the mail

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Looks like we were overcome by events this afternoon.

Can we aim to get together tomorrow morning at 9:00 in room [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Lisa, I can just back brief you since I know you are otherwise occupied tomorrow morning...

Thanks all. Jason

From: Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 1:59 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Answering the mail

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Let's go ahead and get together for a few minutes this afternoon at 4:00 for whomever is available at that time. At least we can have a preliminary discussion. We can meet in my office, room [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks. Jason

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 1:39 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
[REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Answering the mail

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

b6 -1

[REDACTED] - I should be in by 11. I'm available this afternoon except 3-4.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 1:14 PM

To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

[REDACTED] Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Answering the mail

I'm available until mid-day tomorrow

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

Date: 11/14/2016 1:11 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C.

(CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Answering the mail

I'm at a two-day CLE. I could meet tomorrow at noon, so long as people don't mind if I eat. Of course, feel free to meet without me too.

----- Original message -----

From: "Herring, Jason V. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

Date: 11/14/2016 1:08 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth

R. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED]

Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Answering the mail

Team,

We've received a number of letters from Congress over the last few months with embedded questions re HRC we still have to answer. In addition, I've received a handful of phone calls over the last few days from our oversight committees who still have an interest in quickly getting answers to their outstanding questions. I'm optimistic once we answer the mail that we can move past this issue as the new Congress begins in January.

I would like to pull this team together as soon as possible to make a game plan for answering the mail. Would folks be available meet briefly either this afternoon (I realize that may be too soon) or tomorrow morning around 9:00?

Thanks, Jason

Jason V. Herring
Acting Assistant Director
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[REDACTED]

b6 -1

b7C -1