

Re: Office of Civil Rights

To: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Subject: Re: Office of Civil Rights

He could but I doubt he would.

Can I call Harold Koh and Eric Goosby?

To: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Subject: Can I call Harold Koh and Eric Goosby?

I'll be home in ten minutes if you want to talk.

Office of Civil Rights

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

**RELEASE IN PART
B6****To:** Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net**Subject:** Office of Civil Rights

Before I mention to as something perhaps worth exploring- do you think this is a role he can fulfill?

Office of Civil Rights

At the Department of State, diversity is not just a worthy cause: it is a business necessity. Diversity of experience and background helps Department employees in the work of diplomacy. The Secretary believes that diversity is extremely important in making the State Department an employer of choice. The Secretary has delegated both tasks of advancing diversity within the Department and ensuring equal opportunity to all employees to the Director of the Office of Civil Rights (S/OCR), who also serves as the Chief Diversity Officer (CDO).

S/OCR advises and assists the Secretary and other principal officers in equal employment opportunity (EEO) policy and diversity management issues that relate to the Department of State. The office is symbiotically separated into three sections: Diversity Management and Outreach, Intake and Resolution, and Legal.

The Diversity Management and Outreach section helps the Department foster a work environment free of discrimination by maintaining an affirmative outreach program. It performs this task by preparing workforce diversity reports, managing special emphasis programs, delivering EEO and diversity briefings, conducting workforce analysis to eradicate barriers to equal employment opportunity for individuals and groups, organizing commemorative events to recognize the contributions of a diverse array of individuals and groups, and more.

The Intake and Resolution section handles all complaints surrounding employment discrimination in both the informal/counseling process and the formal complaint process. The Intake and Resolution section manages the Department's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program and the counseling program. Any employee or applicant who believes he/she has been discriminated against on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, religion, sexual orientation, or reprisal for protected EEO activity should contact S/OCR or an EEO counselor within 45 calendar days of the alleged discriminatory act.

S/OCR is one of the few EEO offices within the federal government to employ in-house counsel. The Legal section seeks to advance the mission of S/OCR by providing assistance with legal compliance as it relates to the administrative processing of

EEO complaints and other EEO issues. The Legal section also investigates sexual and discriminatory harassment complaints within the Department reported pursuant to the requirements of 3 FAM 1525 and 1526.

S/OCR is in the forefront of establishing best practices for EEO and diversity management within a federal agency. The Department of State is the first cabinet-level agency to appoint a Chief Diversity Officer with oversight authority to integrate and transform diversity principles into practices in the Department's operations. Another best practice cited by the EEOC is a dialogue between S/OCR and each bureau within the Department to discuss the bureau's current diversity statistics and ways that each bureau can work to improve the diversity of staff, experiences, and thought.

For more information about EEO and diversity, please contact us at:

Office of Civil Rights

S/OCR, Room 7428

Department of State

Washington, DC 20520

Email: socr_direct@state.gov

Tel: (202) 647-9295 or (202) 647-9294

Fax: (202) 647-4969

Fwd: Fw: WSJ: Former President Clinton Retracts Default Comments

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com RELEASE IN PART
B6

Subject: Fwd: Fw: WSJ: Former President Clinton Retracts Default Comments

Per your request

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Cheryl Mills
Date: Thu, 26 May 2011 20:30:55 -0400
Subject: Re: Fw: WSJ: Former President Clinton Retracts Default Comments
To: Doug Band

yes

and then we should not do so again

On Thu, May 26, 2011 at 4:10 PM, Doug Band wrote:

>
>
> *From*: Matt McKenna [redacted]
> *Sent*: Thursday, May 26, 2011 12:46 PM
> *To*: Doug Band
> *Cc*: Gene Sperling ; Jen Psaki <
> [redacted]
> *Subject*: WSJ: Former President Clinton Retracts Default Comments
>
>
> Former President Clinton Retracts Default Comments
> By LAURA MECKLERAnd DAMIAN
> PALETTA

- >
- > WASHINGTON—Former President Bill Clinton retracted comments that the U.S.
- > government could default on its debt for a few days without "calamitous"
- > consequences, after being urged to do so by top White House officials,
- > people familiar with the events said Thursday.
- >
- > After hearing Mr. Clinton's comments on Wednesday, White House Chief of
- > Staff Bill Daley and Gene Sperling, director of the National Economic
- > Council, spoke with aides to Mr. Clinton and advised that he clarify his
- > thinking, two people said. The former president did so that afternoon.
- >
- > The White House officials suggested Mr. Clinton's comments could be used by
- > congressional Republicans, who are resisting the Obama administration's push
- > to raise the government's \$14.3 trillion borrowing limit. Some Republicans
- > have been arguing that allowing the deadline to pass wouldn't be as
- > catastrophic as the Obama administration has warned.
- >
- > Mr. Daley, who is traveling with President Barack Obama in Europe, heard
- > about Mr. Clinton's remarks and called Doug Band, the former president's top
- > aide. Mr. Daley suggested that Mr. Clinton may have walked into a bigger
- > controversy than he intended, one person said. For months, the White House
- > has been urging Congress to raise the legal borrowing limit so the
- > government has enough money to pay all its bills.
- >
- > Mr. Sperling, who was at a conference on fiscal issues where Mr. Clinton
- > spoke, helped draft Mr. Clinton's clarification. Mr. Sperling and Mr. Daley
- > both worked for Mr. Clinton when he was president.
- >
- > The White House's effort at damage control came as it is negotiating with
- > Republicans about ways to reduce the federal budget deficit, which they hope
- > will win them broad support for raising the borrowing limit. Obama
- > administration officials have argued that the debt ceiling must be raised
- > before Aug. 2 or the government will default, which could rock financial
- > markets and trigger another recession.
- >
- > Speaking at the Washington conference, sponsored by the Peter G. Peterson
- > Foundation, Mr. Clinton said, "If we defaulted on the debt once for a few
- > days, it might not be calamitous. But if people thought we were literally

> not going to pay our bills anymore, then they would stop buying our debt."
>
> That echoed GOP arguments that lawmakers can negotiate over an increase in
> the government's borrowing limit until the last possible minute—and even
> risk a default—without major consequences. Mr. Clinton's remarks strayed not
> only from the Obama White House position, but also from his own
> administration's view during a similar battle in the 1990s.
>
> In the statement, Mr. Clinton's spokesman, Matt McKenna, said the former
> president "inadvertently misspoke" and "did not in any way mean to suggest
> that a default would not be highly damaging for the economy even for a very
> short period of time."
>
> "What he meant to say was that if a vote to extend the debt limit failed in
> advance of a default, that might not be harmful for a couple of days, but
> that if people thought that we might actually default, that in his words 'we
> were literally not going to pay our bills anymore,' then they would stop
> buying our debt."
>
> The U.S. government hit the debt ceiling last week, but the Treasury
> Department has several tools to avoid a default until the beginning of
> August.
>
> *Write to * Laura Meckler at laura.meckler@wsj.com and Damian Paletta at
> damian.paletta@wsj.com
>

--

Sent from my mobile device

RE: few notes

From: Huma Abedin huma@clintonemail.com

RELEASE IN FULL

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: few notes

alonzo says wheels up is 3:35

From: H
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2011 3:16 PM
To: Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: few notes

Ok to all.

----- Original Message -----

From: Huma Abedin
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2011 03:10 PM
To: H
Subject: few notes

- just got Jeff Sessions call sheet, sending to chappaqua for you.
- reminder that Kerry called you back
- the draft of the global leadership coalition is still pretty unwieldy. they wont be ready to send to you till sunday. hope that is ok.
- we will get you michele bachelets numbers and add to call list.

RELEASE IN FULL

few notes

From: Huma Abedin huma@clintonemail.com

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

Subject: few notes

- just got Jeff Sessions call sheet, sending to chappaqua for you.
- reminder that Kerry called you back
- the draft of the global leadership coalition is still pretty unwieldy. they wont be ready to send to you till sunday. hope that is ok.
- we will get you michele bachelets numbers and add to call list.

Fwd: Senior Advisor or Whatever you Like

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Subject: Fwd: Senior Advisor or Whatever you Like

Fwd: Former US economic envoy Declan Kelly launches merchant bank and private equity and consulting company Bill Clinton and Tony Blair to join board of new company Teneo

From: Cheryl Mills

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

B6

Subject: Fwd: Former US economic envoy Declan Kelly launches merchant bank and private equity and consulting company Bill Clinton and Tony Blair to join board of new company Teneo

Fyi

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Caitlin Klevorick
Date: Wed, 29 Jun 2011 19:23:41 -0400
Subject: Former US economic envoy Declan Kelly launches merchant bank and private equity and consulting company Bill Clinton and Tony Blair to join board of new company Teneo
To: Cheryl Mills

[image: comment on article]
r

Former US economic envoy Declan Kelly launches merchant bank and private equity and consulting company Bill Clinton and Tony Blair to join board of new company Teneo
By
JAMES O'SHEA

IrishCentral.com Staff Writer

Published Wednesday, June 29, 2011, 3:20 PM
Updated Wednesday, June 29, 2011, 4:39 PM

Declan Kelly, who recently stepped down as the United States Government's Economic Envoy to Northern Ireland, is re-entering the private sector with a new business in partnership with Douglas Band, the long time top advisor to President Bill Clinton, Irish Central has learned.

The company, called Teneo, will comprise a merchant bank, a private equity vehicle and an international consulting business, with offices initially in New York, London, Washington DC and Toronto.

It is also expected that the company will have a presence in Dublin in the near future.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Fw:

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: Huma Abedin [redacted]; Hil lary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; Jake Sullivan
[redacted]

Subject: Fw:

I am going to tell denis this is not where we ended up


-----Original Message-----

From: McDonough, Denis R.

To: Cheryl Mills


Sent: Wed Jan 21 18:13:19 2009

Subject:



B5

B5



RELEASE IN PART B6

Madame Secretary. Hi and [redacted]

B6

From: Roy Spence [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Madame Secretary. Hi and [redacted]

This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you received this email in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error via email to disclaimerinquiries@ideacity.com and please delete the email from your system, retaining no copies in any media. We appreciate your cooperation.

-----ideacity.legal.disclaimer.01112008

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: Two Names & Email Address

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: Nancy Bekavac Nbekavac [redacted] Jan Piercy [redacted]

Subject: FW: Two Names & Email Address

See below.

cdm

From: Lael Brainard [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:27 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Two Names & Email Address

I promised to send you some names with the criteria we discussed. I imagine you might have both on your list, but if not, you might want to take a serious look. Both are very strong, although in different facets of the bureau:

[redacted]

I also promised to send you contact information for [redacted] portfolio for some time during the Clinton administration and has been serving as [redacted]

[redacted]

Hope this is helpful.

Lael Brainard
Vice President and Founding Director
Brookings Global Economy & Development
Bernard L. Schwartz Chair in International Economics
1775 Massachusetts Ave NW|Washington DC 20036

B6

Ranked
Top International Economic Think Tank and Top International Development Think
Tank by Foreign Policy Magazine

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: OGAC Question re: [redacted]

B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: OGAC Question re: [redacted]

FYI - traffic below.

From: Goosby, MD, Eric [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 7:08 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: RE: OGAC Question re: [redacted]

Thanks Cheryl. I will call you tomorrow morning, I am in the hospital for the next 4 hours or so (too late to call). Thanks for responding.

Eric

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 2:20 PM
To: Goosby, MD, Eric; Cheryl Mills1
Subject: RE: OGAC Question re: [redacted]

Please call me Cheryl.

Thanks for your email.

This is a likely more challenging situation than may have been reflected in your conversation, as I understand it.

I am happy to discuss by telephone but believe it was the right decision based upon what I understand and [redacted]

B6

[redacted]
I can be reached at [redacted] should you wish to speak.

best.

cdm

From: Goosby, MD, Eric [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:48 PM
To: Cheryl Mills1
Subject: OGAC Question re: [redacted]
Importance: High

Ms. Mills:

We met Sunday afternoon in the discussion about Office of General AIDS Coordinator. Lona gave me your contact info. I appreciated meeting you. I am writing after having just gotten off a call from Michael Iskowitz (an old friend). Michael was phoned by [redacted]

[redacted] I just wanted to make you and the Senator were aware of this and make sure issues around continuity in the transition and concerns with inter-Agency coordination (between USAID and US-CDC) would be addressed.

[redacted]

I am sorry to bother you with this... I see the Senator just received her approval, great news.

Best,

Eric

Eric Goosby, M.D.
CEO/CMO
Pangaea Global AIDS Foundation

Professor of Clinical Medicine
University of California, San Francisco
Global Health Sciences
Department of Medicine



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

RE:

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net;Huma Abedin Huma_Abedin@clinton.senate.gov

Subject: RE:

sent you traffic on this - you want her to get a paying job?

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: hr15@att.blackberry.net [mailto:hr15@att.black berry.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 5:42 PM
To: Huma Abedin; Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re:

Pls try to do this.

-----Original Message-----

From: Huma Abedin
To: Hillary Clinton
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Jan 21, 2009 5:31 PM
Subject: RE:

B5
B6

Yes tamera passed to Cheryl who [redacted] tells me followed up immediately.
But I heard from [redacted] this am and [redacted] no longer wants an
internship, she wants a paying job.

-----Original Message-----

From: hr15@att.blackberry.net [mailto:hr15@att.black berry.net]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 3:25 PM
To: Cheryl Mills; Abedin, Huma (Clinton)
Subject:

B5

B6

Do you know about who works at State?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

RE: [redacted]

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: RE: [redacted]

Not today - but will tomorrow.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: hr15@att.blackberry.net [mailto:hr15@att.black berry.net]

Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:30 PM

To: Cheryl Mills

Subject: [redacted]

Did you call them to thank them and tell them [redacted]

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

FW:

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW:

See below.

I said based upon your comfort and his, Mitchell should head out on Friday.

[redacted]

B5

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: Edelman, Martin [mailto:[redacted]] B6
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 4:29 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject:

Omar Sulaiman is the key egyptian for mitchell to connect with to set up the [redacted]

[redacted]

B5

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: As required by U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

For additional information, please visit our website at www.paulhastings.com.

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

Final Description - Holbrook

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; Jim Steinberg [redacted]

Subject: Final Description - Holbrook

HRC/Jim:

Attached and below is the final description for Dick (with one edit for diplomacy he made).

I have not seen the Mitchell draft.

I also have not sent this to WH, but will do so tomorrow am assuming all fine.

cdm

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 11:17 PM
To: katimarton [redacted]
Subject: RE: Final Description

Dick


Done - below is final with that modification.

best.


cdm

B5

TERMS OF REFERENCE - 21 January 2009 - DRAFT



B5



From: katimarton [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:57 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Final Description

B6

Cheryl,
a thought: Perhaps we should remove statement [redacted] which was for HRC
only..
Thanks
Richard
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

B5

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Wed, 21 Jan 2009 21:27:32 -0500
To:
Subject: Final Description
Dick

I hope you are getting ready to be busy!

Attached and below is a revised description for your responsibilities.

It reflects changes which HRC believes captures the scope of your duties.

best.

cdm

TERMS OF REFERENCE - 21 January 2009 - DRAFT



B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Kent Hall

From: Cheryl Mills B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Kent Hall

See traffic below -- Kent Hall was held over to run USAID for reason below.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: Kennedy, Patrick F [mailto:KennedyPF@state.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:46 PM

To: Cheryl Mills

Subject: Re:

Cheryl

Apparently there was not one single career presidential appointee left on on usaid

And the acting head of any agency has to be confirmed presidential appointee

Usaid and ptt worked out one non career presidential confirmed appointee to hold over

Choices are to quickly nominate and confirm new presidential

Or

Have president designate someone (jack low after confirmation) to run

usaid and accept resignation of holdover

Regards

Pat

----- Original Message -----

From: Cheryl Mills

To: Kennedy, Patrick F

Sent: Wed Jan 21 21:29:12 2009

B6

Subject: FW:

Follow-up:

Was Kent Hall Dir of Global Health at USAID held over?

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: God she's good

To: Cheryl Mills

B6

Subject: Re: God she's good

You can fool some of the people all the time and all of the people some of the time! And today was one of those times. Thanks so much for getting us off to such a good start.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

FW: Final Description

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; Jim Steinberg jim.steinberg@ptt.gov

Subject: FW: Final Description

!

From: katimarton [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 9:51 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Final Description

Terrific.
With thanks
Richard
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Wed, 21 Jan 2009 21:27:32 -0500
To:
Subject: Final Description
Dick

I hope you are getting ready to be busy!

Attached and below is a revised description for your responsibilities.


It reflects changes which HRC believes captures the scope of your duties.

best.


cdm

B5

TERMS OF REFERENCE - 21 January 2009 - DRAFT



B5



RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

To:

Cheryl Mills

B6

Subject:

Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

What about Jack and Jim?

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

FW: POTUS remarks

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: POTUS remarks

fyi - draft potus remarks for envoy

From: Benjamin Rhodes [mailto:[redacted]]

Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 10:58 PM

To: Lmuscatine [redacted] Jake Sullivan1; Cheryl Mills

Cc: denis_r_mcdonough [redacted]

Subject: POTUS remarks


B6

Guys - Below are the President's remarks for tomorrow. These might change, as he may make additional edits, but wanted to make sure you guys have a heads-up. Please let me know if you have any questions. Should be a great event tomorrow.

Thanks (and forgive the makeshift email - I don't yet have all of my stuff set up).

Remarks of President Barack Obama
Announcement of Special Envoys
State Department
January 22, 2009

B5





RELEASE IN PART
B6

susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

To: Huma Abedin habedin

Subject: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: By the way

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: By the way

By the way

fyi

From: Wendy Sherman [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 7:33 PM
To: Cheryl Mills1
Subject: By the way

Great first full day!!!
Wendy R. Sherman
Principal
The Albright Group LLC

[redacted]

sent from Blackberry

FW: FYI -- Dennis Ross memo making the rounds

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: FYI -- Dennis Ross memo making the rounds

fyi

From: will edgar [mailto:
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 6:12 PM
To: Cheryl Mills; Cheryl Mills1
Subject: FYI -- Dennis Ross memo making the rounds

i guess it's old, but it's in circulation as people try and figure out why he wasn't announced with Holbrooke and Mitchell.

http://www.politico.com/blogs/bensmith/0109/The_Ross_job.html?showall

The Ross job

One oddity of today's State Department news: It contradicts previous reports on Dennis Ross's role in the administration, as a kind of "super-envoy" who would be over regional envoys.

But Ross wasn't on the stage today, the marquee announcement of Clinton's upper echelon. With Mitchell in the picture, there's not all that much space for yet another key Middle East advisor, though the Iran task -- expected to be Ross's portfolio -- remains open.

In any case, contrast the absence today with this recent memo from the Washington Institute for Near East Policy to its board and other stakeholders, which kicked off the Ross reporting with the claim that he would be Clinton's "top advisor" on issues including the peace process:

To: Members of the Board of Trustees
From: Chairman Fred Lafer, President Howard Berkowitz, and Executive Director Robert Satloff
Re: Ambassador Dennis Ross to Join Obama Administration

We are delighted to share the news that Ambassador Dennis Ross, counselor and Ziegler Distinguished Fellow at The Washington Institute, has accepted an invitation to join the Obama administration as ambassador-at-large and senior advisor to Secretary of State-designate Hillary Clinton.

In that seventh-floor job, designed especially for him, Ambassador Ross will be the secretary's top advisor on a wide range of Middle East issues, from the Arab-Israeli peace process to Iran. Ambassador Ross will not reprise his previous role as special Arab-Israeli peace envoy, a post that will be held by someone else; rather he will be working closely with both the special envoy and the secretary. Ambassador Ross is expected to take his post immediately after inauguration.

We know you share our pride in Ambassador Ross's achievements, which reflect not only his outstanding contribution to U.S. foreign policy, but also the Institute's unique role in supporting those who can advance peace and security in the Middle East.

RE: Dennis Ross - Points for Abdullah

From: Cheryl Mills

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

B6

Subject: RE: Dennis Ross - Points for Abdullah

* I'm trying to get through my email to review it b/c Jim sent today.

* after I review and circulate to you and jim/ denis wants to see.

cdm

From: hr15@att.blackberry.net [mailto:hr15@att.black berry.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 9:56 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Dennis Ross - Points for Abudullah

Where are we on getting Ross in? Also did you hear anything from Denis McD about today?

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Thu, 22 Jan 2009 21:49:47 -0500
To:
Subject: Dennis Ross - Points for Abudullah

See below from Dennis - pasted his talking points at the bottom.

cdm

From: dennis [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 6:06 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re:

B6


Cheryl,


Looks like everything went very well. I am attaching some talking points for the call to King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia. HRC should call him after POTUS. [redacted]

B5

It is not a problem for me to work like this but obviously I can be of more help if I am in the building and the truth is I would like to get launched. There is not much time to lose. Jim and I went over the title and position yesterday so I hope I can be official soon. Thanks, Dennis

-----Original Message-----





B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

RE: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: RE: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

let's try.
cdm

From: hr15@att.blackberry.net [mailto:hr15@att.blackberry.net]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 11:34 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

Just talked to maggie about Jonathan Prince. She will call him. Can he be cleared to go w Mitchell?

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Thu, 22 Jan 2009 23:24:42 -0500
To: ; Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats
Not yet

-----Original Message-----
From: hr15@att.blackberry.net
To: Cheryl Mills

Sent: Thu Jan 22 21:23:26 2009

Subject: Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

What about Jack and Jim?

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills

To: Huma Abedin

Cc: Hillary Clinton

Sent: Jan 22, 2009 9:14 PM

Subject: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

FW: Point of contact for Secretary Clinton

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6 RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: Point of contact for Secretary Clinton

HRC:

B5

Do you want to see him or have Jim see him first?

cdm

From: Robert Einhorn [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 1:55 PM
To: Burns, William J; Cheryl Mills; [redacted]
Subject: FW: Point of contact for Secretary Clinton

B6

Bill/Cheryl,

The note below is from John Hamre, who I'm sure has a good reason to see the Secretary for a very short meeting. Whom should he call to schedule a meeting?

Bob

From: John Hamre
Sent: Saturday, January 24, 2009 10:29 AM
To: Robert Einhorn
Subject: Point of contact for Secretary Clinton

Bob, there is a matter

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

B5

John

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; Cheryl Mills [redacted]

Subject: Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

Not yet

-----Original Message-----

From: hr15@att.blackberry.net

To: Cheryl Mills

Sent: Thu Jan 22 21:23:26 2009

Subject: Re: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

What about Jack and Jim?

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills

To: Huma Abedin

Cc: Hillary Clinton

Sent: Jan 22, 2009 9:14 PM

Subject: susan rice was confirmed tonight so should likely send her note or call for congrats

Draft Description for Ross

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net


RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Subject: Draft Description for Ross

HRC:

Below is a draft reflecting Jim and my revisions.

cdm



B5



From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 4:06 PM
To: Burns, William J
Subject: FW: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

Bill

Thanks for your email - sorry I missed you.

May I call you later this evening before I get on my flight?

Attached is Dennis' job description.

Jim embraced my edits - do you have others?

best.

cdm

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:50 PM
To: Jim Steinberg
Subject: RE: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

My comments in track change attached.

cdm

From: Jim Steinberg [mailto:Jim.Steinberg@ptt.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:54 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

for your comments and suggestions

FW: Just checking to see if HRC met with you today. cdm

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: Just checking to see if HRC met with you today. cdm

Re: Just checking to see if HRC met with you today -- I had put you on her calendar. cdm

FYI [redacted] - see below re how she thinks counselor role came to be)

From: Anne-Marie Slaughter [mailto:[redacted]]

Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 7:47 PM

To: Cheryl Mills

Subject: Re: Just checking to see if HRC met with you today. cdm

I did -- was going to write u to say thanks and to congratulate you on being made counselor. Remember when I kept trying to talk to u before a counselor was chosen? I wanted to say that she didn't have to choose ANOTHER person as counselor; that in the past people had held dual positions. I told holbrooke and my guess is he took it immediately to you. It's a great outcome -- for u personally and for the team.

I had a great meeting w/ Lissa, whom I liked immediately, and w/ my deputy at S/P. You've got lots of terrific women around; I'm going to love this job.

Look forward to seeing u monday instead of just talking electronically!

AM

Anne-Marie Slaughter

Dean

Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs

Princeton University

----- Original Message -----

From: Cheryl Mills

To: Anne-Marie Slaughter

Cc: slaughter@state.gov

Sent: Fri Jan 23 18:39:11 2009

Subject: Just checking to see if HRC met with you today. cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

FW: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

I am getting ready to send you the draft description for Dennis, which now has been blessed by Jim and quasi by Bill Burns (see below).

I am sending the message below to you confidentially so am not including it in the next message with the description which is for you and Jim.

B5

See below from Bill Burns.

cdm

From: Burns, William J [mailto:BurnsWJ@state.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 6:37 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: RE: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

Cheryl,

Call anytime. Job description with your edits looks ok. My only, [redacted]

B5

All best, Bill

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2009 4:06 PM
To: Burns, William J
Subject: FW: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

B6

Bill

Thanks for your email - sorry I missed you.

May I call you later this evening before I get on my flight?

Attached is Dennis' job description.

Jim embraced my edits - do you have others?

best.

cdm

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 10:50 PM
To: Jim Steinberg
Subject: RE: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

My comments in track change attached.

cdm

From: Jim Steinberg [mailto:Jim.Steinberg@ptt.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 8:54 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: draft Ross job description and title for Ross

for your comments and suggestions

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: I will need a call

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com; Cheryl Mills [redacted]

Subject: Re: I will need a call

I will call you in next hour if that works - on my way through security.

-----Original Message-----

From: H

To: Cheryl Mills

Sent: Sun Jan 25 13:01:36 2009

Subject: I will need a call

Much to report before tomorrow so pls let me know what times might work.

Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

From: Sullivan, Jacob J SullivanJJ@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com; Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

Subject: Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

Here is a modified version ready to be recirculated

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

B5

Special Envoy for Climate Change

[Large Redacted Area]

----- Original Message -----

From: H
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Jan 25 16:04:22 2009
Subject: Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

[Redacted] So pls revise accordingly and send back to me then we can recirculate. I am on shuttle and will not be able to respond for at least an hour. Thx.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Sullivan, Jacob J"

Date: Sun, 25 Jan 2009 15:58:42
To: ; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

Good afternoon,

See below, further down this chain, Todd Stern's proposed position description.

[Redacted]

B5

Thanks,
Jake

----- Original Message -----

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Jan 25 14:53:13 2009
Subject: RE: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

Not to my knowledge. But we should be discussing this with Todd and Lael,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

James B. Steinberg
Dean, LBJ School of Public Affairs and JJ Pickle Regents Chair

[Redacted]

B6

-----Original Message-----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]

Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 2:51 PM

B6

To: Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D; Lew, Jacob J;

Subject: Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

I will pass the first point back to Todd. On the second, has there been thinking/discussion of the staffing and relationship to E/OES, etc.?

Thanks,
Jake

----- Original Message -----

From: Steinberg, James B

Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

From: Sullivan, Jacob J SullivanJJ@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

B5

Yes -- [redacted] I
will recirculate soon.

Thanks

----- Original Message -----

From: H
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Jan 25 16:04:22 2009
Subject: Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

[redacted] So pls revise accordingly and
send back to me then we can recirculate. I am on shuttle and will not be able to respond for at least an hour. Thx.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Sullivan, Jacob J"

Date: Sun, 25 Jan 2009 15:58:42
To: ; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Fw: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

Good afternoon,

See below, further down this chain, Todd Stern's proposed position description.

[Redacted]

Thanks,
Jake

B5

----- Original Message -----

From: Steinberg, James B
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sun Jan 25 14:53:13 2009
Subject: RE: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

Not to my knowledge. But we should be discussing this with Todd and Lael, [Redacted]

[Redacted]

James B. Steinberg
Dean, LBJ School of Public Affairs and JJ Pickle Regents Chair

[Redacted]

B6

-----Original Message-----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]
Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 2:51 PM
To: Steinberg, James B; Mills, Cheryl D; Lew, Jacob J; jim.steinberg [Redacted]
Subject: Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

B6

I will pass the first point back to Todd. On the second, has there been thinking/discussion of the staffing and relationship to E/OES, etc.?

Thanks,
Jake

----- Original Message -----

From: Steinberg, James B

To: Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D; Lew, Jacob J; jim.steinberg [redacted]

Sent: Sun Jan 25 14:09:48 2009

Subject: RE: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

B6

[redacted]

B5

James B. Steinberg

Dean, LBJ School of Public Affairs and JJ Pickle Regents Chair

[redacted]

B6

-----Original Message-----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]

Sent: Sunday, January 25, 2009 1:58 PM

To: Mills, Cheryl D; Lew, Jacob J; jim.steinberg [redacted]

Subject: Re: Todd Stern envoy description -- revised

B6

My apologies -- I sent before adding the last paragraph. See below:

The Envoy's Brief

[redacted]

B5

[Redacted]

B5

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J

To: Mills, Cheryl D; Lew, Jacob J; 'jim.steinberg' [Redacted]

Sent: Sun Jan 25 13:56:55 2009

Subject: Todd Stern envoy description

B6

Todd has sent the following position description for your review. Please make edits directly into the text.

Thanks much,
Jake

The Envoy's Brief

[Redacted]

B5

Re: A wonderful start...

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: A wonderful start...

Agree

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Sun Jan 25 18:58:51 2009
Subject: Fw: A wonderful start...

We should ask him to help w the first trip.

-----Original Message-----

From: Brady Williamson
To: Hillary Clinton
Sent: Jan 25, 2009 5:56 PM
Subject: A wonderful start...

Sunday evening

Hillary: after that marvelous start, you may not need all that much help, but I wanted to give you the briefest report on my discussions with Cheryl. We've had two substantive conversations, and I'm ready to begin--on personnel or planning or both--whenever you/she give the word. Any time, any place. Brady

Re: Fwd: date

To: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Subject: Re: Fwd: date

Yes--it is very important to her so let's try for first week in September.

B6

RE: [redacted] -A long time friend and supporter of yours

From: Roy Spence [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: [redacted] -A long time friend and supporter of yours

Perfect-we are going to get Maggie/Cheryl and team to go over to Gallup's world Poll again-it is stunning info...will loop you when Ms Maggie says so---keep you posted. [redacted]

[redacted] Madame Sis...

Ride at Dawn.

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 7:03 AM
To: Roy Spence
Cc: Hillary Clinton
Subject: Re: [redacted] -A long time friend and supporter of yours

Bro-Man--

I also have known him for years and am a fan. I will add to THE LIST which is a little like the DaVinci code. He will be considered. You ok? [redacted]

From: Roy Spence
Date: Sun, 25 Jan 2009 15:54:14 -0600
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: [redacted] -A long time friend and supporter of yours

B6

Madame Sis---I wanted to wholeheartedly recommend [redacted] for the position of Ambassador for the Organization of Economic Co-Operation and Development OECD. I have known [redacted]

[redacted] makes him a perfect fit.

But-sincerely-standing behind my almost 40 year consistent record of not asking for anything-cause you all get enough of that-except good government-which you always deliver, I am just passing this on.

[redacted] and onward!

Roy

Ride at Dawn!

This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you received this email in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error via email to disclaimerinquiries@ideacity.com and please delete the email from your system, retaining no copies in any media. We appreciate your cooperation.

-----ideacity.legal.disclaimer.01112008

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Fw: North Korea Envoy

From: H hdr22@clintonemail.com
To: Cheryl Mills CMills@hillaryclinton.com
CC: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Fw: North Korea Envoy

Can we get Bob and Rose into transition space? Pls put Kerry and Lugar on call lists so I can ask them to schedule the two of them.

From: "Robert Einhorn"
Date: Mon, 26 Jan 2009 08:11:56 -0500
To:
Subject: RE: North Korea Envoy

Madame Secretary,

I very much appreciate your intention to push for early confirmation for the entire arms control and nonproliferation team.

B5

On the North Korea envoy, I'm available to talk or meet with you whenever you'd like.

Bob

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2009 7:44 AM
To: Robert Einhorn
Cc: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: North Korea Envoy

Bob,

These are excellent suggestions. Let me think them over and discuss w others, including you so we can make a decision.

Also, I am going to try to push for your entire team to appear before the committee and be confirmed asap. Do you know if everyone has their paperwork and how quickly can it be submitted? Are there any problems we should address? I would like to see [] and [] included. Do you know if because of their Ambassadorial rank, they have to be confirmed? Can you clarify w Cheryl Mills and Pat Kennedy?


We have so much to do w START, NPT,
CTBT, and all the rest.

B6

From: "Robert Einhorn"
Date: Sun, 25 Jan 2009 21:56:25 -0500
To:
Subject: North Korea Envoy
Madame Secretary,

You asked for ideas about the North Korea envoy. Kurt Campbell and I have discussed this. Here are several names for your consideration.

B5
B6



B5
B6

RELEASE IN PART B5, B6

Re: Ambassador Rice's comments on Iran

From: Steinberg, James B [redacted]

B6

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Ambassador Rice's comments on Iran

[redacted]

B5

Let's discuss

today. Are you confirmed yet?

No

From: H

To: Steinberg, James B

Sent: Tue Jan 27 06:11:41 2009

Subject: Re: Ambassador Rice's comments on Iran

From: "Steinberg, James B"

B6

Date: Tue, 27 Jan 2009 04:27:58 -0600

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com'; 'Jake.Sullivan' [redacted]

B5

Subject: Re: Ambassador Rice's comments on Iran

[redacted]

From: H

To: Jake Sullivan

B6

Cc: jim.steinberg [redacted]; burnswj@state.gov ; Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Tue Jan 27 04:01:46 2009
Subject: Re: Ambassador Rice's comments on Iran

From: Jake Sullivan
Date: Mon, 26 Jan 2009 23:00:35 -0500
To: hr15@att.blackberry.net
Subject: Ambassador Rice's comments on Iran

In case you haven't seen, below is the AP readout of Susan Rice's comments on Iran earlier today, in which she stated that the U.S. would engage in "direct diplomacy" with Iran. Here is the key excerpt and the entire article follows:

Rice said the U.S. remains "deeply concerned about the threat that Iran's nuclear program poses to the region, indeed to the United States and the entire international community."

"We look forward to engaging in vigorous diplomacy that includes direct diplomacy with Iran, as well as continued collaboration and partnership" with the other four permanent members of the Security Council — Britain, China, France and Russia — plus Germany, Rice said.

"And we will look at what is necessary and appropriate with respect to maintaining pressure toward that goal of ending Iran's nuclear program," she said.

US envoy predicts 'direct diplomacy' with Iran

By JOHN HEILPRIN - 8 hours ago

UNITED NATIONS (AP) — President Barack Obama's administration will engage in "direct diplomacy" with Iran, the newly installed U.S. ambassador to the United Nations said Monday.

Not since before the 1979 Iranian revolution are U.S. officials believed to have conducted wide-ranging direct diplomacy with Iranian officials. But U.S. Ambassador Susan Rice warned that Iran must meet U.N. Security Council demands to suspend uranium

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Fw: [redacted]

B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Fw: [redacted]

Fyi

-----Original Message-----

From: Tony Podesta

To: Cheryl Mills1

Sent: Mon Jan 26 15:06:01 2009

Subject: [redacted]

C <> heryl,

[redacted] wants to be [redacted] You may remember the name. Her mom was [redacted]
[redacted] She was always a big Clinton supporter. I haven't worked
with her but she has an impressive resume and I wanted to get it in your hands, if it's not already there.

Thanks,

Tony Podesta

Office: 202-879-9300

Cell: [redacted]

[Redacted]

B6

1001 G St. NW, Suite 900 East

Washington, DC 20001

www [Redacted] >

Re: Followup

From: Cheryl Mills

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Followup

B6


Let's finish off today topics we did not get to on this list plus a few others I have:

B5

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Cheryl Mills
CC: Hillary Clinton
Sent: Mon Jan 26 08:31:18 2009
Subject: Followup

Cheryl--pls discuss this list w me. Thx.



B5

RELEASE IN PART
B6

RE: Chris Hill at 9:15am

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Chris Hill at 9:15am

on 10pm call but will call you after

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 10:15 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Chris Hill at 9:15am

Can you talk for a minute?

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Tue, 27 Jan 2009 21:55:28 -0500
To:
Subject: FW: Chris Hill at 9:15am

making sure you saw this

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 8:58 PM

To: hr15@att.blackberry.net
Cc: Huma Abedin; Lona Valmore
Subject: Chris Hill at 9:15am

Hillary:

Chris Hill was coming to see Burns/Steinberg at 9:30am.

I asked him to come at 9:15am so you could spend a 15 minutes with him before your 9:30am appt (don't have your draft schedule in front of me so don't know if you have a commitment or not - I know you have to leave at 10am for a WH meeting).

In talking to Jim, everyone would like to see if Chris would do it b/c everyone likes that idea better. If he will, then we can walk back - if he declines, we leave as is.

cdm

B6

FW: Higher Education Advisory Council Proposal for HRC

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Higher Education Advisory Council Proposal for HRC

B6

Higher Education Advisory Council Proposal for HRC

See below - remind me to discuss this with you in context of discussing priorities for counselor shop.

I attached the proposal in body of email as well at bottom of Bob's message

cdm

From: Robert Harrison [mailto:[redacted]]

Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 10:22 AM

To: Cheryl Mills1

Subject: Higher Education Advisory Council Proposal for HRC

Cheryl,

It is hard to believe that I have been with the Clinton Foundation, the Alliance and CGI for an aggregate of nearly five years, and we still have not met face-to-face. Of course, I hear about you all the time from Bruce, Doug and others.

The reason for this email is to thank you in advance, following your conversation with Matt McKenna, for agreeing to speak with Cornell President David Skorton about his proposal for a State Department Higher Education Advisory Council that he would like to put in front of Secretary Clinton. I am a Vice Chair of the Cornell Board of Trustees and have gotten to know David very well. He is a great leader of Cornell and a huge fan of both HRC and WJC. He is passionate about the role that

Cornell and other higher education institutions can play in addressing great global challenges, like poverty, health, sustainability, etc. He has delivered a convocation speech and has written for the Chronicle of Higher Education on the topic.

I will have David reach out to you directly to schedule a call to discuss his proposal, which I attach.

Thanks again for your help. I look forward to meeting you one of these days.

Regards,

Bob

--

Robert S. Harrison
Chief Executive Officer
Clinton Global Initiative

B6

January 19, 2009

A PROPOSAL FOR A HIGHER EDUCATION ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Submitted by David J. Skorton, President, Cornell University

Summary: Establish a Higher Education Advisory Council for the Secretary of State. This would be a unique approach to "smart power" diplomacy, employing the expertise and credibility of American colleges and universities to inform, improve and advance the foreign policy agenda of the United States, and help restore U.S. global standing.

Proposal: Higher education has long played a critical role in international affairs. American universities collaborate with international partners out of a profound sense of commitment to learning, research, and engagement. Higher education can be a powerful force if mobilized for the public good and for public diplomacy.

For half a century, international student exchange, faculty research collaborations, and other forms of cooperation have thrived, regardless of political, social, religious, and cultural differences. This involvement of higher education internationally represents one of the United States' most effective and credible sources of "smart power," to influence other nations and their leaders by attraction rather than coercion.

The culture of academic inquiry and education has contributed to a robust international dialogue on issues that are often difficult to approach through traditional diplomatic channels. Particularly significant in today's world, academic interaction and

education offer numerous opportunities to help bridge the divide between diverse cultures and religions, including between the Islamic and Western world.

We believe U.S. higher education must strengthen the capacity of our citizens and country to understand and deal with the world in all its complexity, advance the values of our democratic society, and continue to make a major contribution to economic growth and social change in the developing world. This work should be an integral part of U.S. foreign policy. A Higher Education Advisory Council for the Secretary of State can provide valuable problem-focused insight to the Department as well as reliable resources from academic institutions. The advisory council can provide a forum for discussion of issues of importance to U.S. foreign policy.

Some examples:

1. We need a new commitment for training to provide people with skills to manage complex societies. Many international cities now have populations of more than 10 million, but too few people in those cities have the expertise to run sewage, electrical or transportation systems. Lack of sanitation is responsible for many deaths. This problem is best addressed through education and training, and American universities can assist and advise with this capacity building.

2. We must address daunting post-conflict problems in Afghanistan and the southern Sudan. There is no trust and often-difficult personal histories; a generation has missed the chance for education and is illiterate; infrastructure is poor and leadership suspect. In the southern Sudan, cultural and religious differences fester. Much has been learned about Truth and Reconciliation Commissions from South Africa and Rwanda, but the process of setting up functional institutions with good leadership in these environments is difficult. This, too, can be accomplished in part through direct partnerships between American universities and institutions overseas.

3. We need a serious discussion about the current "guns and butter" approach to soft diplomacy that assigns both military and development responsibilities to the armed forces. Confusion and complications are likely when soldiers arrive in an Afghan or Iraqi village with butter on some days and guns on others. We do need more soft diplomacy, but how it is delivered is critical. Advice on this may be garnered from policy experts in our faculties.

4. A dialogue is urgently needed on emerging issues such as ethical dimensions of stem cell research, in view of religious differences.

5. We must make bilateral and multilateral aid more effective, including packaging and improving U.S. aid in programs such as food assistance, HIV/AIDS program delivery, and renewable energy strategies.

6. Address the human capacity deficit in strengthening emerging educational institutions.

Two concrete examples from Cornell University are included in the appendix; dozens of other examples can be supplied from a variety of public and private higher education institutions throughout the U.S.

Appendix: Two Examples from Cornell University

Below are two examples of initiatives from Cornell University that combine education, research and outreach to address pressing societal problems. Examples from many other U.S. institutions could be cited:

1. To address Tanzania's pressing health needs, faculty from Weill Cornell Medical College are working with colleagues from the Weill Bugando Medical complex, which includes a new medical school. A third of all Tanzanian patients suffer from tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS or malaria and doctors are in very short supply. Community-ba

B6

RE:

From:

Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To:

hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject:

RE:

yes - maura did a vet - did not turn up anything that seemed show stopper so just want to connect with doug b/c I have not yet.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 8:34 PM

To: Cheryl Mills

Subject:

Did you find out additional info about him?

FW: Ross Staffing

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Ross Staffing

Let's also discuss this in am in light of my traffic with Denis.

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 11:48 PM
To: dennisr [redacted]
Cc: millscd@state.gov
Subject: Ross Staffing

Dennis

Let's discuss this tomorrow am - I want to check in with HRC and to make sure we have common understanding of impact on total of these folks who would be Sched C or SES that she is slating.

Otherwise, this makes sense.

What is the best number to reach you at in am? Not to mention to get a chance to put a face with an email.

Copying my state address too.

best.

cdm

B6

From: dennis [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 4:19 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject:

Cheryl,

I now have a badge and can begin to go around the building. I would like to know that I can begin to meet some of the ambassadors who have been seeking to see me and I have been ducking. As importantly, I would like to begin the process of bringing on the staff I have in mind. The Secretary in my conversations with her was responsive to the size staff and kinds of people I had in mind. The first four people I am about to mention are all people I have mentioned to the Secretary and to Jim Steinberg: [redacted]-who is especially good on all assistance related issues throughout the Middle East. ([redacted] and will be very good in helping us develop different teams with NEA and other bureaus for dealing with some of the region-wide issues. [redacted] [redacted] before and I would probably make her my deputy.) Lastly, [redacted] was an assistant of mine for four years--providing critical support for two books I wrote. He is an emerging star, excellent writer and someone I would like to function as a special assistant to me.

There are two additional people I would like to bring on if at all possible. I have not mentioned them previously. The first would not cost a slot because he is owned by the military: [redacted] He is excellent on security issues throughout the Gulf and the Middle East. He is in the Army reserves but on active duty now and I am pretty sure he would be able to come at least for the remainder of the year. The second is a young woman, [redacted] who is very sharp on political-military issues. She worked on the Hill for a few years and then was in the Department in PM, working arms sale issue for I think two years, but left to go work in the general campaign. Unfortunately, she would cost a slot. She is also a real talent.

Anyway, if I get the go-ahead, I would like to proceed with Pat Kennedy. Thanks-Dennis,

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See
yours in just 2 easy steps!

RE: [redacted] and the State Department

B6

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: [redacted] and the State Department

K

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 7:20 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Fw: [redacted] and the State Department

Cheryl, pls be sure we follow up on the young man rec'd below. Thx.

From: "H"
Date: Tue, 27 Jan 2009 12:17:28 +0000
To: Nicole Davison
Subject: Re: [redacted] and the State Department
Nicole, thanks for the wonderful recommendation. I will be sure we follow up. H

From: "Nicole Davison"
Date: Sun, 25 Jan 2009 19:40:41 -0500
To:
Subject: [redacted] and the State Department

Hillary,

Hello! I hope all is well and that the first week is off to a great start.

[Redacted]

B6

[Redacted]

Miss you and thinking of you as always!

All my best,
Nicole

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

RE: Dennis Ross

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Dennis Ross

y

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 6:05 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Dennis Ross

We should discuss w Steinberg this am. There's a bigger back story.

Also, [redacted]

B5

FW: Draft Description for Ross [HRC - READ TRAFFI FROM BOTTOM UP]

From: Cheryl Mills

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Draft Description for Ross [HRC - READ TRAFFI FROM BOTTOM UP]

See below

From: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 11:43 PM
To: McDonough, Denis R.
Subject: RE: Draft Description for Ross

* interesting - not going to be his title as you see.

B5

can you advise - what is issue?

cdm

From: McDonough, Denis R. [mailto:
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 11:35 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Draft Description for Ross

B6

Here is some blog traffic on this. just fyi.

B5

Denis.

Laura Rozen at ForeignPolicy.com has news on Dennis Ross: "Dennis Ross has indeed been tapped as the U.S. envoy to Iran, with the possible title 'ambassador at large.'

From: Cheryl Mills
To: McDonough, Denis R.
Sent: Wed Jan 28 23:17:43 2009
Subject: RE: Draft Description for Ross

yes - or he's at least been in the building - he's still in paperwork phase so technically, he has to pass all those as well as vetting before he is final

From: McDonough, Denis R. [mailto:
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 10:56 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: RE: Draft Description for Ross

B6


I will get this thru with the chief tomorrow. Has he been hired?

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2009 10:48 PM
To: McDonough, Denis R.
Cc: Jake Sullivan1; jim.steinberg
Subject: Draft Description for Ross

B6

This is still in draft, but below is draft reflecting Jim and my revisions.

cdm



B5



B5

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

FW:

B6

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW:

FYI - this is surprising so I am calling over in am.

cdm

From: Heather Samuelson

Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 11:04 AM

To: Cheryl Mills

Subject:

B5

Fw: Passback

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: Passback

Jack is going to be in ny this weekend - are you comfortable if he catches a ride back with you or would you prefer that time to yourself?

----- Original Message -----

From: Lew, Jacob J

B6

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Cc: 'cmills'

Sent: Thu Jan 29 22:18:22 2009

Subject: Passback

The 2010 budget passback appeal is now due on Monday at noon. Supp appeals will be due at the same time.

I met with the team tonight before they had a chance to do all the analysis and will meet with them again in the morning.

My goal is to have the analysis and the draft appeal (which goes from HRC to OMB formally) by Sat night or Sunday. If it is OK for me to travel back to DC with her, we can use the travel time to review. Otherwise I will arrange to get her paper in NY so we can get her reaction Sunday pm or Mon am.

Re: Aide paid by lobby with foreign clients

To: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Subject: Re: Aide paid by lobby with foreign clients

Well, well, well.

RELEASE IN FULL

Fw: Washington Post article on Administration's Iran policy

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Fw: Washington Post article on Administration's Iran policy

Fyi

From: LaVine, Christopher M
To: NEWS-Mahogany
Cc: NEWS-NEA; SES-O; Knopf, Payton L; Hale, David M; NEWS-Iran
Sent: Thu Jan 29 22:50:25 2009
Subject: Washington Post article on Administration's Iran policy

Colleagues,
Ops thought you might be interested in this WPost article on the Administration's Iran policy. Thank you,
Regards,
Chris LaVine
Operations Center

Writings Offer Look at Administration Debate on Iran

By Glenn Kessler
Washington Post Staff Writer
Friday, January 30, 2009; A12

President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton in the past week have sent repeated signals to Iran that the door is now wide open for direct talks between the two countries three decades after the Iranian revolution, but U.S. officials say the method, the pace and the tenor of that diplomacy still remain to be settled. But while officials say a plan will not be in place for several months, key players in the discussions have outlined their views in papers they wrote before joining the administration, giving a unique window into the administration's debate.

Obama, during a private discussion with Jewish leaders a year ago, also provided a road map to his thinking. "The time, I believe, has come to talk directly to the Iranians and to lay out our clear terms: their end of pursuit of nuclear weapons, an end of their support of terrorism and an end of their threat to Israel and other countries in the region," Obama said, according to a transcript. Bigger "carrots," he said, will give the United States more leverage to win support for sanctions if Iran rebuffs the approach.

One complicating factor is that Iran will hold a presidential election in June. American officials want to avoid taking steps that might bolster the stature of the current president, Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, whose anti-Semitic rants and hostile attitude toward the West make him a potentially difficult interlocutor for diplomatic outreach.

Another complicating factor is that the United States and five other powers have demanded that Iran suspend its uranium enrichment program before substantive talks can begin on its nuclear program. Any sudden change in that approach may alarm allies.

Finally, the government in Iran is so opaque that officials want to be sure they are communicating with the right power centers.

"It is unclear who exactly that dialogue would be with in Iran," White House spokesman Robert Gibbs said yesterday.

Dennis Ross, the former Middle East envoy who will be Clinton's senior adviser on Iran, has recommended that the initial approach to Iran take place through a "direct, secret back channel," which would be one way to avoid empowering Ahmadinejad or publicly undercutting the ongoing nuclear negotiations.

"Keeping it completely private would protect each side from premature exposure and would not require either side to publicly explain such a move before it was ready," Ross wrote in a lengthy paper, titled "Diplomatic Strategies for Dealing With Iran," published by the Center for a New American Security in September. "It would strike the Iranians as more significant and dramatic than either working through the Europeans or non-officials -- something that is quite familiar."

Ross said the United States should ask the Iranian representative during the private talks to explain how his government sees U.S. goals toward Iran and how Iran thinks the United States perceives Iranian goals. The purpose of this dialogue, he wrote, is to "find a way to show the Iranians that we are prepared to listen and to try to understand Iranian concerns and respond to them, but ultimately no progress can be made if our concerns cannot also be understood and addressed."

Ross conceded that it may be difficult "to set up such a direct channel that is also authoritative," because in the Iranian system, the president has much less power than the supreme leader, Ayatollah Ali Khamenei.

Another top Obama adviser, Gary Samore of the Council on Foreign Relations, argued for a more public approach, without requiring Iran to suspend its enrichment activities: direct bilateral talks, preferably with a representative of the supreme leader, that would cover a range of issues, including the nuclear program, U.S.-Iranian relations, Iraq and the Israeli-Palestinian peace process. Samore, who will be the top nonproliferation official at the White House National Security Council, co-authored with Bruce Riedel of the Brookings Institution a paper published last month that outlined this concept.

The Bush administration had some secret contacts with Iranian officials before the Iraq war and held ambassador-to-ambassador meetings in Iraq and Afghanistan. But it never attempted a broad dialogue, despite an apparent effort by some Iranian officials to reach out after Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein was toppled in 2003. Samore recommended that the administration proceed "cautiously" and develop its negotiating strategy through "high-level bilateral and multilateral consultations" with the governments seeking to negotiate with Iran -- Britain, France, Germany, Russia and China -- and with Middle Eastern allies.

It was important, Samore said, that the United States not be seen as abandoning the larger framework for nuclear talks; in fact, "opening a bilateral channel with Iran may help invigorate the multilateral process."

A top State Department official, Undersecretary William J. Burns, will begin such consultations when he meets with representatives to the Iran negotiating group in Europe next week. A senior European diplomat said yesterday that European leaders would welcome a U.S.-Iranian dialogue but that it must be handled carefully. "The possibility of a dialogue with the U.S. is a very important card in our game" with Iran, he said. "We don't have many cards left."

A more provocative approach was advocated by John Brennan, Obama's White House director for counterterrorism, in a paper published in July. Brennan pressed for toning down rhetorical jabs at Iran and ignoring anti-American comments from Iranian officials, and also stressed the need for a presidential envoy to handle negotiations with Iran. In order to smooth the path for dialogue, he also argued for the "political courage" to admit that Iran has significantly scaled back its use of terrorism in the past decade. "It would not be foolhardy" to encourage greater assimilation of Hezbollah -- the armed political movement backed by Syria and Iran -- in the Lebanese political system, even though Washington officially considers Hezbollah a terrorist organization, Brennan wrote.

Another important policymaker, Defense Secretary Robert M. Gates, pressed for a carefully focused dialogue when he co-led a Council on Foreign Relations task force on Iran in 2004. "A 'grand bargain' that would settle comprehensively the outstanding conflicts between Iran and the United States is not realistic," the task force concluded. Instead, the United States should selectively engage Iran on issues where the U.S. and Iranian interests converge and build on incremental progress.

Gates, a holdover from the Bush administration, felt it was important such discussions begin before the nuclear concerns were resolved -- a position that puts him firmly in sync with Obama's approach.

Re: USAID

From: janpiercy [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: USAID

Here's some of my reasoning re sounding out [redacted]

-- The S of Defense has acknowledged that DoD is not best equipped to provide reconstruction assistance, which is development, not defense. This creates the opportunity for a new look at how post-conflict reconstruction assistance can best be integrated into effective development assistance. [redacted]

[redacted] so the development perspective would weigh in on how to proceed. Globally, there are a staggering number of places requiring post-conflict thinking in planning development support -- it's been gotten "wrong" for a long time; now we have the chance to take a fresh look, and engage strategically.

I believe this might intrigue him.

-- I think lending his stature to this challenge for even 18 months would set the right course, so he would not have to commit long-term to have major impact. He would be involved in the foreign assistance act rethinking, a critically important undertaking that is likely to set the framework for our engagement for the next decade or more. However, if there's not strong leadership from State/AID and the Administration on this Act, it risks ending up worse than the present "Christmas tree" of separate earmarked directives preventing flexible bilateral engagement that takes account of what other bilateral and multilateral donors may be doing in a given country.

-- as part of the SofState's senior team, [redacted] I

[redacted]

-- in the end, even if he won't agree to head USAID, there's a lot to be said for asking him. I don't know your relationship with him which is of course quite an important consideration. But I was very struck in two interviews by how he said that he would do whatever the President asked -- he did not say it in a way that sounded coy or evasive but simply literally true.

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Jan Piercy ; hdr22@clintonemail.com
Cc: Nancy Bekavac ; Cheryl Mills
Sent: Sat, 31 Jan 2009 8:29 am
Subject: Re: USAID

Interesting idea but very unlikely. I will explore w him and report back.

From: Jan Piercy
Date: Fri, 30 Jan 2009 15:32:43 -0600
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com >
Subject: USAID

Don't laugh me out of the room for this question: is it at all conceivable that you'd entertain idea of [redacted] and is it known whether something else has already been proposed to him? The development assistance advocacy community is unusually unified at present around reform and he has been talked about extensively as possibly the one person who could put development assistance on a par with DoD and State. Sense is that he might do it if framed as a turn-around that could be accomplished in a year or two, as he might well not want this long-run. We hear over and over (and we concur) that USAID must be someone 1) of enormous stature; 2) capable of immediate traction/effectiveness on the hill, 3) high energy, capable leader-manager, 4) someone who could function broadly as member of senior State team, with your confidence and trust right away.

B6

I do not know your relationship with him, for starters. He has said that he would do whatever the President asked, while not seeking to join an Administration. I do believe that he is loyal (some might say "to a fault") to lines of command as a military person, and he believes in development assistance. If you have or could have a rapport, this is not a crazy idea.

The other counter-intuitive possibility is former Republican Congressman [redacted]
[redacted] Nancy and I were impressed with his substantive range and depth, and his ability to navigate Congress, a critical skill with the early prospect of Berman moving out on revamping the Foreign Assistance Act. We'd like you to meet him.

Which stars will make the biggest headlines in 2009? Get Hollywood news, celebrity photos and more with the PopEater Toolbar

FW: Political-Military Bureau (PM) Assistant Secretary

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Political-Military Bureau (PM) Assistant Secretary

B6

per our conversation

From: Robert Einhorn [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 9:05 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: RE: Political-Military Bureau (PM) Assistant Secretary

I just wanted her to have alternatives to choose from, including the alternative of double-hatting a senior military officer as PM A/S and her senior military adviser. If she wants to consider that option, I'd have to pursue further with the individuals whether they would be prepared to say yes to the Secretary. Please let me know if and when you'd like me to follow up with them. Until I hear from you, I'll stand down.

Bob

From: Cheryl Mills [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2009 9:00 AM
To: Robert Einhorn; Cheryl Mills1
Subject: RE: Political-Military Bureau (PM) Assistant Secretary

Bob:

Thanks for this summary.

To be frank, in the end this ultimately will be the Secretary's appointment.

She is interested in having the best outcome.

I will be back to you.

best

cdm

B6

From: Robert Einhorn [mailto:]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 11:50 PM
To: Cheryl Mills; Cheryl Mills; Cheryl Mills1
Subject: Political-Military Bureau (PM) Assistant Secretary

Cheryl,

In our phone call this morning, I mentioned briefly that I had begun to reach out to retired military officers who would be good for the PM A/S job. With Kurt Campbell's help, I've been in touch with [redacted]
[redacted] Each was intrigued with the possibility of coming to State as PM A/S and might be persuaded to do it.

PM has traditionally been State's link to the Pentagon, and in the past, several active duty military officers (usually at the two-star level) have served as A/S. All three of these officers would be outstanding. Their stature would be a huge asset in forging and strengthening the bilateral security relationships with friends and allies around the world that PM is responsible for cultivating. All of them have extensive experience in regions of critical concern to us - [redacted]
[redacted]. A respected military leader like these three, in addition to running PM, could serve as the Secretary's senior military adviser. Given Iraq, Afghanistan, and other potential hotspots, having an in-house military adviser of this caliber could be immensely valuable to the Secretary. And

he would also serve as a critical channel of communication between State and the uniformed military, which would be very useful for many of State's bureaus.

B6

I believe serving as Deputy Assistant Secretary under one of these three officers would be a great experience for [redacted]. It would give him the opportunity to master a wide range of political-military issues - commercial arms sales, security assistance, day-to-day security operations, and so on - and then possibly move up to the Assistant Secretary level, in PM or elsewhere at State, after a few years. He would be part of a highly professional PM front office. The two other DASes would be [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] who told me he wants to come to PM.

I think this solution would be good for all - the Secretary, the Department, and [redacted]. If the Secretary is interested in pursuing this approach, I could provide you with more information about each of these retired officers. Tomorrow morning (Friday), I'll be at CSIS [redacted] or on my cell [redacted]. Please let me know what you think.

Bob

RELEASE IN PART
B6

RE: Rory Stewart

From: Strobe Talbott [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Rory Stewart

Brooke would be delighted. You're lovely to think of it. Her email is brookeshearer@gmail.com . Cheers, S.

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2009 9:49 AM
To: Strobe Talbott
Subject: Re: Rory Stewart

Strobe, Thanks for the info. Do you think Brooke would be up to coming for all or some of the evening?

From: Strobe Talbott
Date: Sat, 31 Jan 2009 09:16:56 -0500
To: HRC
Subject: Rory Stewart

Hillary, gather you're meeting with Rory Stewart Tuesday. FYI, Brooke works closely with Rory; she's U.S. Executive Director of the Turquoise Mountain Foundation, which Rory founded and is still active with—it's reconstructing and otherwise help the old city of Kabul. Anyway, it's a terrific idea to see him. He'll be double-value, on Afghanistan and Iraq. Also: congrats on Ikenberry/Kabul: Hill/Baghdad. You couldn't have done better in both postings. Strobe

=====

Strobe Talbott
President, The Brookings Institution

1775 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036
202.797.6200

B6



=====

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: Mayor Ron Dellums

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Mayor Ron Dellums

He's been on your call sheet for last several days and you have had no time but if you can get to him today or this weekend that would be ideal.

[redacted]

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: alvin brown [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2009 2:40 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Mayor Ron Dellums

Hi Cheryl,

Is there anyway you could have HRC to call the Mayor today? He called a few mins ago asking for a Private/ call with her.

Thanks
Alvin

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills

Date: Tue, 3 Feb 2009 21:01:28

To: ;

Subject: RE: Mayor Ron Dellums

got it - thanks.

the swearing in was wonderful - it was a nice moment for her.

in nyc but back in dc tomorrow.

best.

cdm

New York University
70 Washington Square South
New York, NY 10012

B6

From: alvin brown [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 10:44 AM
To: cmills@hillaryclinton.com
Cc: cheryl.mills [redacted]
Subject: RE: Mayor Ron Dellums

Good Morning Cheryl -

[redacted]



B6

on the position.

How did the swearing-in and the reception go yesterday?

I look forward to following-up with you this week.

Best Regards,

Alvin

> To: alvin.brown [redacted] cmills@hillaryclinton .com

> CC: cheryl.mills [redacted]

> Subject: Re: Mayor Ron Dellums


> From: cheryl.mills [redacted]

> Date: Tue, 3 Feb 2009 10:13:24 +0000

>

> He was on her call sheet yesterday but given her schedule, i doutd she got to him so he will be on it again today.

>



> Cdm

> -----Original Message-----

> From: alvin brown

> To: Cheryl Mills
> Cc: Cheryl Mills
> Sent: Feb 2, 2009 11:27 AM
> Subject: Mayor Ron Dellums
>
> Hi Cheryl -
>
> I hope that you are doing well today.
>
> I wanted to let you know that Mayor Dellums left me a voicemail this morning informing me that he may not make it to Washington, DC this week, because a number of things are happening in Oakland.
>
> He would like to do a conference call with Secretary Clinton today, if at all possible.
>
> The Mayor is requesting the call to be setup through his Executive Assistant, Marisol Lopez. Here is Marisol's information:
>
> Marisol G. Lopez
> Cell: [REDACTED]
> Business: (510) 238-3120
> [REDACTED]
>
> I look forward to speaking with you this afternoon.
>
> Best,
>
> Alvin
>
>
> -----
> Windows LiveT Hotmail@.more than just e-mail. See how it works.
>
> Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

B6

Windows LiveT Hotmail@.more than just e-mail. See how it works.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Fw: Follow Up to Meeting

From: Jack Keane [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

CC: Jack Keane [redacted]

Subject: Fw: Follow Up to Meeting

Hillary

Enjoyed seeing you on Friday in your regal surroundings. So delighted you are there!! Rich and I did not have much time, do to his schedule, so I passed the following to him. I also did not want to overload him and stuck to the most critical. All the best, Jack

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----

From: jkeane [redacted]

Date: Sun, 1 Feb 2009 23:52:09

To:

Subject: Follow Up to Meeting

Ambassador,

Enjoyed seeing you again on Friday. Know you were rushed and we were not able to talk very much so I thought I'd summarize some of the key points on Afghanistan:

1. Strategy- overall strategy and end state does not exist. What do we want to see in 5 and 10 years that is realistic and achievable. While NATO complicates this, to be certain, the US should drive it, and its absence undermines a much needed unity of effort. Our strategy must result in the development of a Joint/Combined Campaign plan which is the driver for our entire effort in Afghanistan. This will take time to develop because it requires collective understanding and making difficult choices. We do not have one now, because it is very hard to do, but essential for success.

___civil/military strategy also does not exist. Most of our efforts in a counter insurgency are largely non-military and we most go forward with an integrated civil/military strategy with buy-in from the GOA.

---military strategy- it should not have to be stated, but we need a military strategy, to defeat the insurgency. Throwing troops and resources at the issue is not the solution and is what paralyzed USG efforts in Iraq for 3 years. Passing it to the ANA, while a strategy, is doomed to fail. Only a partnership with the ANA conducting side by side operations, using proven counterinsurgency practices, has a chance to succeed. Fighting a rural insurgency is different than an urban insurgency, as was the case in Iraq. E.G. the Afghans are considerably less tolerant to occupation and damage to their villages and towns than were Iraqis. We should not conclude we don't protect the people or just leave it to the Afghans. It does suggest a thoughtful Afghan/Nato approach which considers this reality.

2. Leadership- Having the right leaders to implement the strategy is crucial. POTUS has right to expect the very best we have, particularly, when we are conducting a counter-offensive to regain the offensive momentum, beginning in 2009.

--- As such, Mckiernan while smart and a solid guy, is too cautious and not aggressive enough, to drive the strategy, performance, and the results needed. His lack of interpersonal skills handicaps the command from getting the much needed support from key influencers, both in and outside USG, which is vital to maintain public support for our efforts. Additionally, his staff is much too small to develop a Jt Campaign Plan, much less oversee its execution. His headquarters needs a significant staff plus up to meet the demands. What is truly missing is an operational headquarters to fight the war, which would be subordinate to Mckiernan's Hqs and all the tactical Hqs would work for this commander. This should be a corps level headquarters with a 3 star commander and his complete staff. This HQs should deploy in 2009 and rotate every year. --- Carl Eikenberry is clearly a step in the right direction as Ambassador. His close working relationship with the NATO commander cannot be overstated.

---Karzai is increasingly unpopular due to pervasive corruption and ineffectiveness. As such it is spilling over on us because he is perceived as our surrogate. If reelected and allowed to continue current practices it is hard to imagine a more worse scenario as he executes another 5 year term. We can encourage other candidates to run and monitor the election to insist on openness and fairness(and provide personal security). Or we could cut a deal with Karzai and insist on substantive improvement in exchange for our continued support. We must do something because the status quo dooms us.

3. Resources. We must know what we want based on sound analysis and it should be comprehensive.

---US/NATO force increase(how much and what for)

---ANF/ANA must ramp up significantly(closer to 300K). Requires trainers, money and time(note: we produced 125K ISF in Iraq in one calendar year, 2007, and they were invaluable)

---development- need a strategy and plan first, that makes sense and has GOA buy in, then apply the resources, with performance objectives and oversight. ---Timing. Currently, we are rushing additional brigades to Afghanistan without a clear strategy and with no Jt Campaign Plan to drive their operations. NOTE: if we gave Gen Casey in Iraq more troops, we still fail, because we were executing the wrong strategy. We should spend 2009 getting the strategy correct, putting the JT Campaign Plan together, deploying the Corps Hqs and then, finally, deploying the troops after we have set the conditions for their success.

Recognize there are many ancillary issues that are vital for success, as well, which I am not documenting. Frankly, if we do not get the strategy right with the best leaders available and then apply the resources necessary for the strategy to succeed, then, we fail.

If I can ever be of assistance, do not hesitate. Please protect this confidential info.

All the best, Jack Keane

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Re: WH protocol

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com; Cheryl Mills [redacted]

Subject: Re: WH protocol

Connecting with cheryl on this.

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall; Cheryl Mills
Sent: Sun Feb 08 11:29:56 2009
Subject: WH protocol

B6

I want to connect the two of you re the position [redacted] asked for. As I understand from Capricia, the man they wanted will now be [redacted] asked Cheryl for a protocol job for someone named [redacted]. Can she fill this position and satisfy everyone? Pls see if we can do this on Monday.

B5
B6

RE: Follow up on USAID candidate

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Follow up on USAID candidate

[Redacted]

B5
B6

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, February 02, 2009 11:02 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Follow up on USAID candidate

The message rec'd is gibberish. Sorry.

-----Original Message-----

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"

Date: Mon, 2 Feb 2009 10:02:51
To:
Subject: FW: Follow up on USAID candidate

FYI - their USAID candidate.

B6


From: Tibbits, Nathan D. [mailto:[Redacted]]
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2009 12:48 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Follow up on USAID candidate

Hi Cheryl,

B5
B6



Nate



B6

B6



Nate Tibbits

Presidential Personnel Office

Phone:

Mobile:

Email:

A rectangular box with a thin black border, containing redacted contact information for Nate Tibbits. The box is empty, indicating that the phone, mobile, and email details have been withheld.

RELEASE IN FULL

Korea

From: H hdr22@clintonemail.com

To: Cheryl Mills CMills@hillaryclinton.com; Huma Abedin HAbedin@hillaryclinton.com

CC: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Korea

A bunch of Korean-Americans are planning to go to Korea while I'm there. So we have to have an event and let them know. Let's discuss tomorrow.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: Forget State vs. Treasury -NY TIMES OP-ED

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Sullivan, Jacob J SullivanJJ@state.gov; Slaughter, Anne-Marie SlaughterA@state.gov; Chollet, Derek H CholletDH@state.gov; Slaughter, Anne-Marie SlaughterA@state.gov; Muscatine, Lissa MuscatineL@state.gov

Subject: FW: Forget State vs. Treasury -NY TIMES OP-ED

FYI - See note and op ed below

From: Hormats, Robert [redacted]
Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 6:27 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Forget State vs. Treasury -NY TIMES OP-ED

B6

<> Hello Cheryl,
It was great to see you last night. I very much enjoyed our conversation.
One point that I wanted to follow up on was the discussion of countries looking inward to resolve their financial/economic problems. I think we need to be very careful on that issue. Doing that, especially if it involves even a little protection, invites others to do the same. And exports necessary for us to get out of our downward spiral.
The attached piece, which I mentioned in our discussion, focuses on this topic. I think that the history here is highly relevant to Hillary's trip and the crisis we face today.
I hope you and she find it of interest.
Have a great weekend.
Best regards,
Bob Hormats

Opinion

Forget State vs. Treasury

By ROBERT HORMATS and DAVID M. KENNEDY

Published: November 29, 2008

AMONG the parallels between our present financial turmoil and the Great Depression of the 1930s, few are more important to understand than the implications of economic upheaval for national security. One lesson from the Depression bears repeating loudly: Economic policy and foreign policy are not two distinct domains. They constitute a strategic nexus whose interconnections we ignore at our peril.

The perception that the United States was too enfeebled by its domestic travails to defend its interests emboldened Japan to invade Manchuria in 1931. The spectacle of Depression-era America continued to feed Japanese aggression, leading eventually to the brazen gamble that a single blow at Pearl Harbor might so demoralize the economically enervated Americans that they would throw in the towel and leave Asia to Japan.

In the 1930s, as now, in the face of severe economic affliction the temptation was strong to turn inward, to "put our own house in order" and tend to the international neighborhood later. That was Franklin Roosevelt's policy in 1933. "Our international trade relations, though vastly important, are in point of time and necessity secondary to the establishment of a sound national economy," he said in his first inaugural address.

Accordingly, Roosevelt left unchallenged the Smoot-Hawley Tariff passed during the Hoover administration, and he added some nationalist measures of his own. Perhaps his worst decision was to scuttle London's World Economic Conference in 1933, convened to discuss international debt rescheduling, exchange-rate stabilization and the restoration of the gold standard. The conference afforded the last, desperate chance to deliver a concerted international counterpunch to the worldwide depression. Yet Roosevelt effectively withdrew the American delegation in July by declaring that the United States would have no further truck with the "old fetishes of so-called international bankers."

Among those who drew malign conclusions was Hitler. Watching events from his Berlin chancellery, he calculated that the economic weakness of his adversaries opened vistas of opportunity for conquest. The inability of the democracies to cooperate economically portended their inability to cooperate militarily or diplomatically. And the ailing economy that was driving the United States inward removed America from Hitler's geopolitical calculus altogether.

On Nov. 5, 1937, having re-armed Germany in violation of its Versailles Treaty obligations, Hitler presented his senior political and military officials with an exhaustive blueprint for aggression. Over four hours, he analyzed in detail the probable reactions of other powers, including Britain, France, Russia, Italy, Japan, Belgium and Czechoslovakia. He did not even mention the United States, which he deemed incapable of offering serious resistance. By going AWOL

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: Global AIDS Coordinator - confidential

To: Cheryl Mills B6

Subject: Re: Global AIDS Coordinator - confidential

Can you talk? I'm at home--in DC.

RELEASE IN FULL

Korea

To: Cheryl Mills CMills@hillaryclinton.com;Huma Abedin HAbedin@hillaryclinton.com

Subject: Korea

A bunch of Korean-Americans are planning to go to Korea while I'm there. So we have to have an event and let them know. Let's discuss tomorrow.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: Global AIDS Coordinator - confidential

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Global AIDS Coordinator - confidential


FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Healy [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, February 08, 2009 6:24 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Cc: Fred P. Hochberg
Subject: Global AIDS Coordinator - confidential

Cheryl,

So great to see you at the Secretary's swearing-in.




I have no dog in this fight. Neither of these guys is a personal friend.

I'm only weighing in on this because our AIDS policy matters tremendously to me.

As you know, I'm HIV-positive myself. I was on President Clinton's

White House AIDS Council.

And I've been involved in AIDS projects in Africa, Latin America and Asia so I know the players.



B6

I'd love to talk to you about this - or anyone who is directly working on this with the Secretary.

Thanks so much!

xoxoxo,

Tommy

TOM HEALY



RELEASE IN PART
B6

Fw: North Korea

From: Cheryl Mills B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: North Korea

See traffic from bottom up

-----Original Message-----

From: Wendy Sherman

To: Robert Einhorn ; Cheryl Mills1; MillsCD@state.gov ; Kurt Campbell External ; Kurt Campbell

Sent: Fri Feb 06 14:48:54 2009

Subject: RE: North Korea

By the way, the more senior person could be you, Bill Burns or Jim Steinberg if you didn't want to do an outside person. There just has to be someone more senior than the person who does the Six-party with Kim Gae Gwan, who is engaged , identified and ready to go.

Wendy R. Sherman

Principal

The Albright Group LLC

Albright Capital Management LLC

1101 New York Avenue NW

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005

B6

[Redacted]

f. +1-202-370-3599

[Redacted]

From: Robert Einhorn [Redacted]

Sent: Friday, February 06, 2009 11:41 AM

To: Wendy Sherman; Cheryl Mills1; MillsCD@state.gov ; Kurt Campbell External; Kurt Campbell

Subject: RE: North Korea

Wendy, a few reactions to your ideas:

What worked in the second Bill Clinton term was having a respected, senior official in State (i.e., Wendy) who could bring other agencies together and lead the North Korea policy effort in Washington. One approach in the current Administration would be to have such a senior official serving both as Washington coordinator and as envoy, in which case s/he could have the stature to interact with Kang Suk Ju or the Dear Leader himself. Another model would be to have a senior line officer (e.g., EAP A/S) serve as Washington policy coordinator with another, equally or even more senior, official serving as envoy. A third model of having a Special Advisor for NE Asia Security (who would coordinate in DC and do some high-level diplomatic missions), a separate envoy (who would not be as senior), and a senior line officer with day-to-day responsibility for NE Asia, like the EAP A/S, strikes me as too many cooks in the kitchen. I would prefer either of the first two models.

I agree that we should have an early, general communication from the President to KJI (a video?) "extending his hand" and outlining the broad parameters of his approach toward the DPRK. But any direct contact with NK – even at a very general, tone-setting level – would need to be previewed to the allies and China in advance, lest we generate early suspicions of what

we're up to. That means we could use HRC's trip to preview such a contact, but not do the contact concurrently. An HAK/MKA tandem emissary visit would be fine, but also after we had previewed it with our partners.

Bob

From: Wendy Sherman



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: North Korea

To:

Cheryl Mills

[Redacted]

B6

Subject:

Re: North Korea

I talked w Steve Bosworth last night. He will come see me Tuesday and if he is willing, I will ask him to do NK envoy.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

US-China Economic Policy Debate

From: Roach, Stephen (FM)

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: US-China Economic Policy Debate

Dear Secretary Clinton,

As you prepare for your upcoming Asia swing, I thought the attached might be helpful. It is testimony (and accompanying charts) on the US-China economic relationship that I will be delivering next week in Washington at a hearing of the US-China Economic & Security Review Commission. I will be in Washington next Tuesday (2/17) and Beijing on Thursday and Friday (2/19 and 2/20). Happy to help in any way I can.

Best regards and good luck on this important and timely trip.
Stephen S. Roach
Chairman
Morgan Stanley Asia

B6

NOTICE: If received in error, please destroy and notify sender. Sender does not intend to waive confidentiality or privilege. Use of this email is prohibited when received in error.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

From: Muscatine, Lissa [mailto:MuscatineL@state.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:38 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Imuscatine [redacted] Imuscatine [redacted] Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills1; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Speech draft

Here it is, but please tell her the following: There is still discussion internally about how to work out the language for the economic section and the China section. Am waiting for language on Strategic Partnership with Indonesia and a few other items. IT NEEDS MORE ON HUMAN RIGHTS. And I'm working on fleshing out the ending. Obviously I'm still also trying to polish it and tighten it, but this will give her the basics.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:17 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Mills, Cheryl D; 'cheryl.mills' [redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'Lissa Muscatine'
Subject: RE: Speech draft

I suspect she will say she wants it as soon as she can get it.

B6

From: Muscatine, Lissa

Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:16 PM

To: Mills, Cheryl D; 'cheryl.mills@[redacted]'; Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma

Subject: Speech draft

Hey - I'm heading home and will work on speech from there. Still waiting for a few clarifications and tidbits and am still working on an ending and on tightening the whole thing. Could you let me know if HRC wants to see it now, or later tonight, or wait until the morning? Best to contact me via [redacted] Having blackberry issues. Thanks

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Fw: DRL

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: DRL

Fyi

-----Original Message-----

From: Koh, Harold

To: Koh, Harold ; cheryl.mills [redacted] ; Cheryl Mills; millsc@state.gov

Sent: Mon Feb 09 18:55:20 2009

Subject: RE: DRL

Hi Cheryl:

I realized that in my DRL list I did not give you a name that could well be the strongest name after [redacted] for the DRL:

[redacted]

*

You may already know her. Her cv is here: [redacted]

*

She is an expert on human rights; [redacted]

*

[redacted]

B6

[Redacted]

*

She has a charismatic personality [Redacted]

[Redacted]

*

[Redacted]

*

She has worked on a broad range of human rights issues, [Redacted]

[Redacted]

See this recent

interview [Redacted]

*

In terms of sheer ability to get things done at DRL, I would still rate [Redacted] at the top; [Redacted] just below, based on their experience and credibility with the human rights community, but of the fresh face possibilities: I would put [Redacted] a notch below--she is talented but young and relatively untested, as compared to [Redacted]

*

Obviously, this is a tough call. I am happy to talk more about any of the names whenever you have a moment. The best number is my cell [Redacted]

Best,
Harold

From: Koh, Harold
Sent: Sunday, February 08, 2009 7:00 AM
To: cheryl.mills [Redacted] cmills [Redacted] millsc@state.gov
Subject: DRL

Hi Cheryl:

I am sure you and the Secretary are getting a lot of input re DRL. Having worked there, and knowing this community well, I have a pretty clear pictures of the strengths and weaknesses of everyone I am hearing mentioned: [Redacted]

[Redacted] and others who may be in the mix. I am happy to give you and the Secretary my confidential views if they would be helpful to you. Hope all goes well otherwise

Best,
Harold
Harold Hongju Koh

Yale Law School

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

RE: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

From: Cheryl Mills B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

Huma is doing it now

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 9:29 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Cc: Huma Abedin; Lissa Muscatine
Subject: Re: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

I don't have it. Can someone fax to my house?

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Wed, 11 Feb 2009 21:21:34 -0500
To: ;
Subject: FW: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

From: Muscatine, Lissa [mailto:MuscatineL@state.gov]

B6

Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:38 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: Imuscatine [redacted] Imuscatine [redacted] Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills1; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Speech draft

Here it is, but please tell her the following: There is still discussion internally about how to work out the language for the economic section and the China section. Am waiting for language on Strategic Partnership with Indonesia and a few other items. IT NEEDS MORE ON HUMAN RIGHTS. And I'm working on fleshing out the ending. Obviously I'm still also trying to polish it and tighten it, but this will give her the basics.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:17 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Mills, Cheryl D; 'cheryl.mills' [redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'Lissa Muscatine'
Subject: RE: Speech draft

I suspect she will say she wants it as soon as she can get it.

From: Muscatine, Lissa
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:16 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; [redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma
Subject: Speech draft

Hey - I'm heading home and will work on speech from there. Still waiting for a few clarifications and tidbits and am still working on an ending and on tightening the whole thing. Could you let me know if HRC wants to see it now, or later tonight, or wait until the morning? Best to contact me via [redacted] Having blackberry issues. Thanks

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

FW: [redacted]

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: FW: [redacted]

Fw: [redacted]

fyi


From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:49 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Fw: [redacted]

Dear Cheryl,
I am resending the message below to this address in the event the other one no longer works. All best wishes. [redacted]
Please note my new email address: [redacted] and new cell [redacted] and add to your contact list.

----- Original Message -----

From: [redacted]
To: 'cmills' [redacted]
Sent: Wed Feb 11 07:35:37 2009
Subject: [redacted]

Dear Cheryl,
I hope this will be forwarded to you. Congratulations on your new job. HRC could not be better supported.
I was wondering if you and she have given any thought to whether there is a role for [redacted] in the new administration, perhaps as Ambassador somewhere.



B6

Since I have not heard from anybody I thought I would reach out, since if there is no place for us we need to get on with our lives.

I look forward to hearing from you. All the best.



Please note my new email address: and new cell and add to your contact list.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

B6

FW: [redacted]

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: [redacted]

Re: [redacted]

we should try for [redacted] for him

From: [redacted]

Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2009 9:17 AM

To: Cheryl Mills

Subject: Re: [redacted]

Dear Cheryl,

Thanks so much. You are terrific, as always. [redacted]

Please note my new email address: [redacted] and new cell [redacted] and add to your contact list.

----- Original Message -----

From: Cheryl Mills

To: [redacted]

Cc: millscd@state.gov

Sent: Wed Feb 11 18:54:33 2009

Subject: RE: [redacted]

[redacted]

great to hear from you!

I will follow-up with her - I think this is an excellent idea.

x0

cdm

B6

From: [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:49 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Fw: [redacted]

Dear Cheryl,
I am resending the message below to this address in the event the other one no longer works. All best wishes. [redacted]
Please note my new email address: [redacted] and new cell [redacted] and add to your contact list.

----- Original Message -----

From: [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Sent: Wed Feb 11 07:35:37 2009
Subject: [redacted]

Dear Cheryl,
I hope this will be forwarded to you. Congratulations on your new job. HRC could not be better supported.
I was wondering if you and she have given any thought to whether there is a role [redacted] in the new administration, perhaps as [redacted] somewhere.



B6

Since I have not heard from anybody I thought I would reach out, since if there is no place for us we need to get on with our lives.

I look forward to hearing from you. All the best.

Please note my new email address: and new cell and add to your contact list.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

To:

Cheryl Mills

B6

Subject:

Re: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

I don't have it. Can someone fax to my house?

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

RE: More follow up

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: More follow up

got it.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 8:46 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: More follow up

A new idea for our Ambassador to [redacted]

B5
B6

[redacted]

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

From: Huma Abedin [redacted] B6

To: Cheryl Mills [redacted] hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

Should have started coming through.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
CC: Huma Abedin; Lissa Muscatine
Sent: Wed Feb 11 21:31:41 2009
Subject: RE: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

Huma is doing it now

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 9:29 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Cc: Huma Abedin; Lissa Muscatine
Subject: Re: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

I don't have it. Can someone fax to my house?

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Wed, 11 Feb 2009 21:21:34 -0500
To: ;
Subject: FW: Speech draft - HUMA HAS IT AND I THINK PRINTED IT FOR YOU

B6

From: Muscatine, Lissa [mailto:MuscatineL@state.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:38 PM
To: Abedin, Huma
Cc: lmuscatine [redacted] lmuscatine [redacted] Mills, Cheryl D; Cheryl Mills1; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Speech draft

Here it is, but please tell her the following: There is still discussion internally about how to work out the language for the economic section and the China section. Am waiting for language on Strategic Partnership with Indonesia and a few other items. IT NEEDS MORE ON HUMAN RIGHTS. And I'm working on fleshing out the ending. Obviously I'm still also trying to polish it and tighten it, but this will give her the basics.

From: Abedin, Huma
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:17 PM
To: Muscatine, Lissa; Mills, Cheryl D; 'cheryl.mills' [redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: 'Lissa Muscatine'
Subject: RE: Speech draft

I suspect she will say she wants it as soon as she can get it.

B6

From: Muscatine, Lissa

Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 7:16 PM

To: Mills, Cheryl D; '[REDACTED]' Sullivan, Jacob J; Abedin, Huma

Subject: Speech draft

Hey - I'm heading home and will work on speech from there. Still waiting for a few clarifications and tidbits and am still working on an ending and on tightening the whole thing. Could you let me know if HRC wants to see it now, or later tonight, or wait until the morning? Best to contact me via [REDACTED] Having blackberry issues. Thanks

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

FW: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many -HRC READ

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many -HRC READ

Seem my comments below - just got off with Denis.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: McDonough, Denis R. [mailto:[redacted]]

Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 9:18 PM

To: Cheryl Mills1

Subject: Re: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many

[redacted]

----- Original Message -----

From: Cheryl Mills

To: McDonough, Denis R.

Cc: Cheryl Mills1 ; Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Sat Feb 14 21:05:15 2009

Subject: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many


Hey dear:

Looking to connect on the following:

B5

B6

[redacted]



B5
B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: Position for [redacted] **at OPIC**

From: Nancy Bekavac [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Position for [redacted] at OPIC

Thank you -- it would be a grand thing.
Good luck in Asia and happy Valentine's Day!

Nancy
-----Original Message-----

From: "H"
To: Nancy Bekavac
Cc: Hillary Clinton
To:
Cc: Cheryl Mills

Sent: 2/14/2009 6:43:49 PM
Subject: Re: Position for [redacted] at OPIC

Thx for passing this on. I'll try to make the case to the White House.

-----Original Message-----


From: "Nancy Bekavac"

Date: Tue, 10 Feb 2009 13:13:27
To:
Cc: ;
Subject: Re: Position for [redacted] at OPIC


** Confidential **

Dear Hillary -

B6



How to square this circle? I hope I have come up with an answer:



I wanted to get this to you in plenty of time to get it filed in the file for "Boards and Commissions" -- I believe it would be a wonderful way for to serve you and the Government with expertise she has developed over the last three decades.

Yours,
Nancy

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Re: More follow up

To: Cheryl Mills B6

Subject: Re: More follow up

Good. B5

FW: NOTE to HRC from J Prince (you can print attached if easier)

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5

To: Abedin, Huma AbedinH@state.gov

Subject: FW: NOTE to HRC from J Prince (you can print attached if easier)

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY


February 18, 2009

FROM: Jonathan Prince


SUBJECT: Putting the diplomacy back in public diplomacy



B5



B5



B5

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

RE: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many -HRC READ

From: Cheryl Mills B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many -HRC READ

on with maggie now - a few things - will catch you either tonight or tomorrow on it

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 9:53 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many -HRC READ

Anything else of interest?

-----Original Message-----

From: "Cheryl Mills"

Date: Sat, 14 Feb 2009 21:48:27
To:
Cc:
Subject: FW: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many -HRC READ

Seem my comments below - just got off with Denis.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: McDonough, Denis R.
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 9:18 PM
To: Cheryl Mills1

Subject: Re: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many

B6

----- Original Message -----

From: Cheryl Mills

To: McDonough, Denis R.


Cc: Cheryl Mills1 ; Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Sat Feb 14 21:05:15 2009

Subject: Connecting on A Few Matters Among Many

Hey dear:

Looking to connect on the following:



B5

B6



B5
B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Re: Can we talk tonight?

To: Cheryl Mills B6

Subject: Re: Can we talk tonight?

Great. Are you calling secure?

RELEASE IN PART
B5

Fw: Priorities review

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com


Subject: Fw: Priorities review

See below

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Feb 14 16:38:03 2009
Subject: RE: Priorities review

Would you mind re-forwarding your preliminary thoughts? I'm drowning in email and assume Cheryl is too.

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 1:07 PM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Priorities review



B5

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Sat Feb 14 10:23:52 2009
Subject: RE: Priorities review

B5

[Redacted]

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Saturday, February 14, 2009 10:19 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Priorities review


[Redacted]

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Fri Feb 13 18:04:12 2009
Subject: Priorities review
Hi Anne-Marie

[Redacted]

They'll be looking for State to appoint a representative for this - the first meeting will be next week, probably Wednesday.

B5



Thanks,
Jake

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Can we talk tonight?

To: Cheryl Mills CMills@hillaryclinton.com

Subject: Can we talk tonight?

B6

I just landed and will be home shortly. We can try a secure call at your convenience. Let me know what time works.

RELEASE IN PART
B6

RE: Can we talk tonight?

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Can we talk tonight?

they were supposed to connect us - I called some time ago to do a secure call with you -- call them and ask them to try the connection

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 22, 2009 9:39 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Can we talk tonight?

Are you calling me? What #? I called ops and they gave me your "secure" cell which I just tried but only got a long high pitched whining sound.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Sent: Feb 22, 2009 8:58 PM
Subject: Re: Can we talk tonight?

I can do now

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Sun Feb 22 18:37:51 2009
Subject: Can we talk tonight?

I just landed and will be home shortly. We can try a secure call at your convenience. [redacted] Let me know what time

works.

Fw: Hope all is well in your first global trip as SOS for "humanpotential"

From: H hdr22@clintonemail.com

RELEASE IN FULL

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

CC: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: Hope all is well in your first global trip as SOS for "humanpotential"

From: Roy Spence
Date: Sat, 14 Feb 2009 20:51:56 -0600
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Hope all is well in your first global trip as SOS for "human potential"

This e-mail is intended only for the named person or entity to which it is addressed and contains valuable business information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you received this email in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error via email to disclaimerinquiries@ideacity.com and please delete the email from your system, retaining no copies in any media. We appreciate your cooperation.

-----ideacity.legal.disclaimer.01112008

Re: Burma

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Burma

left you vox on cell - I am on my cell

-----Original Message-----

From: H

To: Bill Burns

CC: Jim Steinberg ; Cheryl Mills

Sent: Sat Feb 28 16:50:53 2009

Subject: Burma

Dear Bill--

Do you know if EAP is conducting an internal policy review and working on ideas about Burma to present to us? I also would like a readout of our ASEAN ambassador's report from his meetings. I hope we can discuss the week I get back. Thx.

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

Fw:

[Redacted]

B5

From:

Cheryl Mills

[Redacted]

B6

To:

hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject:

Fw:

[Redacted]

B5

See below - I will get out our old list

-----Original Message-----

From: Nelson W. Cunningham

To: Cheryl Mills1

Sent: Thu Feb 26 18:01:06 2009

Subject:

[Redacted]

B5

C <> heryl -

First of all, congratulations on rejoining public service . . .

[Redacted]

B6

I was over at Presidential Personnel yesterday helping review applications for ExIm and OPIC (I handled the transition review of those agencies), and

[Redacted]

B6


[Redacted]



B6


Nelson

NELSON W. CUNNINGHAM



Qualifications:





B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

RE: Follow up - CDM UPDATES

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Follow up - CDM UPDATES

See below in caps

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 28, 2009 10:31 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Cc: Hillary Clinton
Subject: Follow up

Here's a list of matters I wanted to pass on--

Sen. Schumer called me re ambassadors. He says he sent you a list of his requests. Did you receive? [MY FOLKS, WHLIAISON AND LAUREN HAVE NO RECORD SO WE'VE REACHED OUT FOR THE LIST]

Did you get to talk w Seth Harris about [redacted] [LEFT TWO MESSAGE SO EXPECT WILL SPEAK WITH HIM IN THE AM]

Adm. Bill Owens who is living in Hong Kong came to see me in Beijing. He is doing exchanges btw American and Chinese retired military officers as a trust and confidence building effort. He calls it "complementary exchanges" and wants to expand it to include political, academic and other exchanges. I'd like someone to call him to follow up. Lauren has contact info. [WILL DO]

B6

Also pls try to see [redacted] so we can decide btw him and [redacted] And see [redacted] and vet w White House re Envoy position. [AM SEEING [redacted]

[redacted] THIS WEEK; [redacted] - WILL

B5

CHECK IN WITH WH ON THEIR CANDIDATE]

[REDACTED]

B5
B6

Also call [REDACTED] and let me know what she says. If it's no, can you talk to Strobe to ask his advice. But let's go ahead and get [REDACTED] confirmed. [LEFT MESSAGE FOR [REDACTED]

B6

[REDACTED] [FOLLOWING UP WITH JACK]

B5
B6

[REDACTED]

Can we get Kerry to do any hearings for those we have ready next week? [ASKED MIKE POLT TO DO SO]

B6

Let me know about [REDACTED] [SENT YOU HER MESSAGE - [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

B5
B6

Can we do a reception/give a medal to Ryan Crocker? [THINK HUMA WAS FOCUSING ON THIS -- ASKED PAT RE THIS AS WELL B/C I KNOW BILL SAID RYAN WAS NOT LIKELY TO WANT A CELEBRATION - WILL FOLLOW-UP]

Let me know about [REDACTED] [HE IS SUPPOSED TO BE SENDING HIS PROPOSAL]

B6

B6

Have you had time to call [redacted] for War Crimes? [redacted]
[redacted] [WILL BE CALLING HIM - JUST GOT HIS CONTACT INFORMATION -
DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO THINK [redacted]]

[redacted]

B5
B6

Are you meeting on ambassadors this week? [redacted]
[redacted] [WILL DISCUSS ONCE I HAVE AL OUR
AMBASSADORS WE WANT TO RECOMMENT]

[redacted]

I'd like to start on Commissions. [redacted]
[redacted] [K - WILLTURN TO THEM LATER THIS WEEK]

B6

I asked Huma to call Kris Balderson re [redacted] for N Ireland Envoy. He
is asking around. Also, Kris should go into WH Liaison for a few months.

[redacted]

B5
B6

What do we do re [redacted] Did he ever turn in his paperwork? [HE CALLED ME
TODAY - WE HAVE TO DECIDE WHETHER WE WANT TO FISH OR CUT BAIT]

B6

Pls follow up on [redacted] re the email I sent you from him. [DONE]

I'd like to talk to you about [redacted] Pls
remind me. [I WILL CALL HIM]

More to come.

Re: Burma

To: Cheryl Mills

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Subject: Re: Burma

Calling now.

Re: Burma

From: H hdr22@clintonemail.com

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

To: Bill Burns [redacted] hdr22@clintonemail.com

CC: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Burma

Thanks.

From: wburns [redacted]
Date: Sat, 28 Feb 2009 17:08:32 -0500
To:
Subject: Re: Burma
Madam Secretary,

EAP has done a good draft paper on Burma options, and we'll make sure it's ready for your return. Will also get you a report on Scott Marciel's ASEAN meetings.

All best,

Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Bill Burns [redacted]
Cc: Jim Steinberg ; Cheryl Mills
Sent: Sat, 28 Feb 2009 4:50 pm
Subject: Burma

Dear Bill-- Do you know if EAP is conducting an internal policy review and working on ideas about Burma to present to us? I also would like a readout of our ASEAN ambassador's report from his meetings. I hope we can discuss the week I get back. Thx.

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

Fw: AFP: Clinton, staff face 'trench warfare' for smart power funds (3/5)

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART
B5

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com; Sullivan, Jacob J SullivanJJ@state.gov; Abedin, Huma AbedinH@state.gov

Subject: Fw: AFP: Clinton, staff face 'trench warfare' for smart power funds (3/5)



B5

Cdm

From: Lew, Jacob J
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Mar 05 08:03:55 2009
Subject: FW: AFP: Clinton, staff face 'trench warfare' for smart power funds (3/5)

fyi

From: Greene, Richard L
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 7:42 AM
To: Lew, Jacob J; Campbell, Piper
Subject: FW: AFP: Clinton, staff face 'trench warfare' for smart power funds (3/5)

Good coverage of your remarks

(3/5)
Clinton, staff face 'trench warfare' for smart power funds
3 hours ago
WASHINGTON (AFP) — Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and her staff face what one of her predecessors calls "trench warfare" to win all the funds needed to carry out the planned overhaul in US foreign policy.

Former secretary of state Colin Powell, a leading senator and other public figures warn it will be especially hard to persuade lawmakers to double foreign aid when voters are losing sleep over the worst economic crisis in 70 years. But members of the elite Washington group, speaking at a forum here on Wednesday, hoped to carry the day with a concerted push by bureaucrats backed by President Barack Obama's much vaunted powers of persuasion. Key to the fight for Clinton's State Department is Jacob Lew, who said the proposed White House budget unveiled last week "stays on the path of doubling foreign assistance that President Obama committed to in the campaign." The president's 3.55 trillion dollar budget provides 51.7 billion dollars for the State Department and other international programs, a 3.5 billion dollar rise over the current year. It is still dwarfed by a proposed defense budget of 663.7 billion dollars. In a new foreign policy built on "smart power," Clinton and Obama want to stress diplomacy and development as much as defense in order to revive the US standing in the world after eight years of president George W. Bush. The State Department said the increased foreign aid will support "initiatives to educate children in the poorest countries, foster global food security through sustainable agriculture... and stabilize post-conflict states." The budget also focuses on global health programs as well as efforts to stop the spread of dangerous weapons, terrorism and crime while it adds more diplomats and development experts to the payroll, it said in a statement. "We can't ramp up the effort on foreign assistance if we don't have the people to run the programs," Lew said at the Washington gathering sponsored by the Center for US Global Engagement. "It's critically important that diplomacy and development be right up there with defense... In the long run, the more effective we are at development and diplomacy, the less we need to turn to defense," Lew added. He said it was also important for Washington's credibility in the world to pay its bills to the United Nations and international organizations. "The effort to win resources for international funding is not a short or an easy one. We're in the most difficult domestic economic times of our lifetimes," Lew acknowledged. General Powell, a Vietnam War veteran, said "it comes down to trench warfare with the Congress," as he recalled his own experience as a former secretary of state and former chairman of the joint chiefs of staff. "You put your helmet on, your flak jacket and you go testify before eight committees, as I used to do every year," he said, triggering laughter. But, in order to put pressure on Congress, "first you've got to appeal to the American people and get them to buy into the importance of these efforts," said Powell, who served for both George Bush senior and junior. "The American people still think that foreign assistance, development assistance, is something we just give away to the rest of the world with no return on investment," he said. Menendez, a leading advocate for foreign assistance in the Senate, said boosting living standards abroad creates new markets for US goods and services as well as fosters stability and reduces the need for military intervention. But the senator from New Jersey said: "The president is going to have to argue for his budget provisions... to build up the State department and the development capacity. "He is a great communicator, and right now the American people solidly support him. He needs to use that political capital to make it happen."

Copyright © 2009 AFP. All rights reserved. More »

David B. Goldberg
Director for Europe and Eurasia
Office of the Director of Foreign Assistance (F)
U.S. Department of State
Tel: 202.647.5103
Fax: 202.647.8669

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

FW: T candidates

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: T candidates

fyi below

B6

From: Robert Einhorn [mailto:[redacted]]

Sent: Sunday, March 08, 2009 7:02 PM


To: millscd@state.gov; Cheryl Mills1

Subject: T candidates


B5

B6

Cheryl,



B5
B6



I'll be at State tomorrow at x75633.

Bob

RELEASE IN PART
B5

Fw: Morning Senior Staff Meeting

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: Morning Senior Staff Meeting

Fyi

From: Smith, Daniel B
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: McLean, Lori A
Sent: Wed Mar 04 10:25:02 2009
Subject: Morning Senior Staff Meeting

Sensitive But Unclassified

Cheryl,

Jack Lew had a conflict and Pat Kennedy is traveling. Here are the highlights per your request:

- Sudan - As expected, ICC issued indictment of President al-Bashir on charges of war crimes and crimes against humanity. (Gordon noted that the press has picked up on the fact that the indictment does not include genocide.) Our Embassy in Khartoum reports things calm so far, although demonstrators are gathering and internet access has been interrupted. We have stood up a virtual Monitoring Group to follow the situation. Bill Burns reported that we seem to be ok in Security Council where there are not enough votes to block ICC action. In terms of public affairs,

[Redacted]

[Redacted] (L noted separately that the Sudanese are preparing their legal case, including claims of head of state immunity.)

- Mexican Trucking - Mike Polt reported on [Redacted]

[Redacted]

B5



- Historians Office – Jim noted he continues to hear concerns about the operation of the office. Bill noted Pat had been working the issue and said he would follow up with him to see where things stand.
- North Korea – Brief classified discussion of options in response to possible event there.
- Cuba and Senator Menendez – Mike Polt noted that Senator Menendez remains unhappy about provisions in Omnibus on Cuba and is prepared to vote against the bill for this reason.
- Turkey and Armenia – Bill noted that the Swiss intermediaries are pushing for a signing event for the agreement sometime in March, so the Secretary’s visit is timely.
- Congressmen Rothman (D-New Jersey) and Kirk (R-Illinois) and Gaza Assistance – Mike noted that the congressmen were planning to hold a joint press conference today expressing concern about possible diversion (to Hamas) of some of our \$900 million in assistance pledged for Gaza. They plan to introduce a non-binding resolution. H will proposed that Jack Lew call Rothman today to assure him that we have provisions in place to prevent diversion.

Dan

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Fw:

[Redacted]

B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

B6

Subject: Fw: [Redacted]

Your Deputy??

B5

[Redacted]

From: Cheryl Mills

B6

To: Samuelson, Heather F; Cheryl Mills1 ; heather.samuelson [Redacted]

Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Mon Mar 09 22:29:46 2009

B6

Subject: RE: [Redacted]

B5

[Redacted]

From: Samuelson, Heather F [mailto:SamuelsonHF@state .gov]

B6

Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 10:19 PM

To: Cheryl Mills1; heather.samuelson [Redacted]

B6

Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Mills, Cheryl D

Subject: Re: [Redacted]

B5

[Redacted]

B5

[Redacted]

From: Cheryl Mills
To: 'Heather Samuelson' ; Samuelson, Heather F
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Mar 09 20:47:50 2009
Subject: [Redacted]
Heather -

B6

B5

Can you see what of this list is open.

[Redacted]

cdm

B6

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, March 09, 2009 4:45 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Touching Base


Cheryl --

B6

I just wanted to quickly touch base, since it had been a few months since we had been in contact. Congrats on all that you and the others have achieved at State over the past few months; you're putting in place a remarkable team, from all accounts. I'm delighted to hear that you'll be COS for the Secretary, where your skills will be extremely valuable, [Redacted]

[Redacted]





RELEASE IN PART
B5

RE: Guest List for March 12 Discussion Dinner on Mexico Policy

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov


To: hdr22@clintonemail.com


Subject: RE: Guest List for March 12 Discussion Dinner on Mexico Policy

I'm going to try again. Sorry about that.

The following three people are recommended invitees from Laura, Maria, and Jose for the March 12 Discussion Dinner on Mexico Policy. If you agree, I will sign off on the list and Protocol will start the invitations.


B5





B5

Mexico Discussion Dinner Guest List



[Redacted]

B5

Others

[Redacted]

Possible Alternates

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2009 4:13 PM

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Subject: Re: Guest List for March 12 Discussion Dinner on Mexico Policy

Sorry but it came thru as gibberish.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

FW: Updated Status List

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Updated Status List

fyi - I'm following up on a couple of questions.

From: Samuelson, Heather F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Toiv, Nora F; Peña, Laura; Marlon D Marshall
Sent: Thu Mar 12 19:20:17 2009
Subject: Updated Status List

B5

Cheryl - see below and attached. [redacted] are the next round we are waiting for WH to announce and send to the Hill. Their nominating papers are with WH.

[redacted]

Status of PAS Candidates for State:

B5

Esther Brimmer - Nomination at the Hill

Ivo Daadler - Nomination at the Hill

Karl Eikenberry - Nomination at the Hill


Phil Gordon - Nomination at the Hill

Chris Hill - Nomination at the Hill

Rich Verma - Nomination at the Hill


B5

Melanne Verveer - Nomination at the Hill



of SFRC)

B5



RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Re: T candidates

To: Cheryl Mills B5

Subject: Re: T candidates

B5
B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5

FW: Draft press release

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Draft press release

See below

From: Donoghue, Joan E
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 9:30 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Deeks, Ashley S
Subject: Draft press release

Cheryl,
Here is a version of the DOJ press release from last night. I expect it to undergo revisions within the next few hours.
Joan


[Redacted]

B5

DRAFT 3

B5

[Redacted]



###

Joan E. Donoghue
Principal Deputy Legal Adviser
U.S. Department of State
(202) 647-5036

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

B5
B6

FW: Thanks, and [redacted]

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Thanks, and [redacted]

B5
B6

see below

From: Harold Koh [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 9:13 PM
To: Cheryl Mills; Cheryl Mills1; Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Thanks, and [redacted]

B6


B5
B6

Cheryl:

Some thoughts in favor of [redacted] for the war crimes position:

B5
B6
B5
B6

[Large redacted area]



B5
B6

On Fri, Mar 13, 2009 at 7:07 PM, Harold Koh > wrote:

Cheryl:

It was great to see you today. You are a kindred spirit and I am grateful for all you are shouldering.

I will get you for the Secretary two-pagers on Darfur/ICC and Detention Policy this weekend. In the meantime, here is
 resume. And here is her webpage.

h

RELEASE IN PART
B6

FW: Sec. Clinton's Mexico Trip

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Sec. Clinton's Mexico Trip

FYI - useful - would have been interesting to have had him at dinner too.

B6

From: Jones, James [mailto:]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 11:45 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Sec. Clinton's Mexico Trip

Ms. Mills,

Jack Lew's office suggested that I go through you to offer some ideas for Secretary Clinton's trip to Mexico next week. If she wants me to expand, I will be happy to do so.

As introduction, I was US Ambassador to Mexico (1993-97), former Member of Congress (Budget Committee Chairman & Ways & Means), former CEO of American Stock Exchange, the last chief of staff to President Lyndon Johnson, and full-fledged supporter of Hillary's campaign last year.

Our firm does considerable business in Mexico but deliberately we do not represent any government entities. I go to Mexico at least twice monthly on behalf of foreign direct investors and visit regularly with Calderon ministers and Mexican congressional leaders.

Most important words for the Secretary to drive home are collaboration, partnership and stability. Secretary Rice is criticized for identifying Mexico as a "failed state." President Sarkozy was not well received on his recent visit to Mexico because he "sounded much like US political leaders of the past." Given that, I suggest that she address the Mexican Senate or Chamber of Deputies. Sarkozy addressed the Senate. The leader of the Senate with whom I had breakfast in Mexico City last Friday will issue an invitation if there is an interest.

In other words, she can stand in very positive contrast by building on those three words -- collaboration, partnership and stability. I won't suggest texts unless there is interest.

Education is another theme common to both governments. Stressing education reforms, the difficulties but the necessity for our economic future are common threads to build on.

Investment in technology both for security and business development are important. Here I have some ideas about developing bi-national "green innovation zones."

Finally, there is general concern about not having an Ambassador in place or announced. As the Secretary knows well from our peso crisis days etc., the sensitivity of our bilateral relationship is keenly felt in Mexico and the US Ambassador is an important symbol of the respect it wants. It is not necessary to announce a nominee, but just be prepared to answer that the Obama Administration is aware and will choose a qualified person who will be close to the President and Secretary of State. (I have recommended at least two such persons among many who should be considered.)

The Secretary's trip is very important. If I can be helpful in anyway for briefings or working with the speech writers, I'm happy to do so.

JimJones

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To comply with requirements imposed by recently issued treasury regulations, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written by us, and cannot be used by you, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein. For information about this legend, go to <http://www.manatt.com/circ230>

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Re: Hi

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Hi

K

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Wed Mar 18 19:29:53 2009
Subject: Re: Hi

[redacted]

B5

From: "Cheryl Mills"
Date: Wed, 18 Mar 2009 19:24:34 -0400
To:
Subject: Re: Hi

Had never heard this - we gave to reta lewis

-----Original Message-----

From: H
To: Cheryl Mills
Sent: Wed Mar 18 11:16:23 2009
Subject: Fw: Hi

Do you know about this?

-----Original Message-----

From: Colin Powell

To: Hillary Clinton

Sent: Mar 18, 2009 10:15 AM

Subject: Hi

B6

Hillary, You probably already know that [REDACTED] is lusting after the ECA job. [REDACTED] is a good guy and worth consideration.

RE: sudan

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

RELEASE IN
PART B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: sudan

We just spoke - he declined.

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 8:01 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: sudan

We should discuss. I'm on my way in.

Also did ever call you back?

B6

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Tue, 17 Mar 2009 07:56:02 -0400
To:
Subject: sudan
Below is article post is seeking follow-up on.

Asked Philippe to work with Robert to ensure our best foot forward.

Cdm

Sudan to throw out aid workers

By Ken Dilanian, USA TODAY

WASHINGTON — Having already ousted 13 foreign aid groups from the Darfur region, Sudan's president said Monday he would expel all international aid workers from the country over the next year, a move that threatens to cut off millions from their only source of food and water.

The announcement by Omar al-Bashir, who this month became the target of an arrest warrant by the International Criminal Court for crimes against humanity relating to killings in Darfur, prompted new calls for President Obama to respond to what the United Nations calls a looming humanitarian disaster.

The United Nations has said that 1.1 million people in Darfur refugee camps will soon be without food and medicine. Sudanese troops and allied militia groups have attacked civilians in the region of western Sudan in a long-running conflict over control of the area.

A leading activist on Darfur and two members of Congress questioned Monday whether Obama's muted response to the worsening situation in the western region of Sudan matched up with his bold campaign rhetoric, which included a call for American military action to stop the government-sponsored killing.

"Why is there a disconnect between how passionate and articulate candidate Obama addressed the issue of Darfur ... and what President Obama is doing now?" Jerry Fowler, executive director of the Save Darfur coalition, said Monday.

Rep. Michael Capuano, a Massachusetts Democrat and co-chairman of the congressional caucus on Sudan, said he had hoped Obama would have acted more quickly on Darfur. "We need some action," said Capuano, who wrote Obama this month urging him to appoint a special envoy for Sudan.

Last year, Obama said the United States had a "moral obligation" to stop the killing in Darfur, which he called a "stain on our souls." He said the United States should help establish a no-fly zone to prevent the Sudanese air force from attacking civilians, a position backed by fellow Democratic senators Joe Biden and Hillary Rodham Clinton.

Obama and Secretary of State Clinton have continued the Bush administration's policy of quiet diplomacy in the wake of al-Bashir's March 5 expulsion of aid workers. The president's only public comment on the Darfur situation came during a March 10 news conference, when he said he wanted to "send a strong, unified, international message that it is not acceptable to put that many people's lives at risk."

That same day, a State Department spokesman promised "some action on Darfur" but offered no details or timeline.

"I can assure you we are working hard diplomatically to try to get the Sudanese to reverse this decision," spokesman Robert Wood said at a news briefing.

Also Monday, Wood condemned the proposed expulsion of all foreign aid workers.

"Any responsibility for the humanitarian suffering that flows out of this decision should fall squarely on the shoulders of the Sudanese," he said.

The White House at the "highest levels" is trying to convince Sudan's government to change course, a National Security Council spokesman said Monday.

Last month, the chairman and ranking member of the Senate foreign relations subcommittee on Africa, Sen. Russ Feingold, D-Wis., and Sen. Johnny Isakson, R-Ga., urged Obama to quickly appoint a special envoy.

Rep. Frank Wolf, R-Va., a longtime voice in Congress on Darfur, expressed frustration Monday that an envoy and a supporting staff wasn't in place.

Obama "hasn't done anything (on Darfur) since he got in," Wolf said.

Wood said Monday that he expected the

RELEASE IN FULL

FW: me as envoy for northern ireland???

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: FW: me as envoy for northern ireland???

You MUST take me out of my misery . . .

cdm

From: Slaughter, Anne-Marie
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2009 11:40 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: me as envoy for northern ireland???

A friend sent me this from the Belfast Telegraph – I assume that it is complete noise, as I have heard no mention of my name even being on a list.

For the Northern Ireland envoy, it is believed that the White House has reportedly been mulling over four finalists in recent weeks.

They are: Mark Tuohey, a Washington lawyer who travels to Ireland regularly and acted as an advisor to the Patten commission; Elizabeth Bagley, who was US ambassador to Portugal from 1994 until 1997; Carol Wheeler, who was Obama's point person on Irish issues during the presidential campaign; and Dr Anne-Marie Slaughter, a former Dean of the Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs at Princeton University who Hillary Clinton appointed to be the State Department's new Director of Policy Planning.

Although Obama could choose someone else, Capitol Hill sources contacted by the Belfast Telegraph said that Dr Slaughter may be the favourite, in part because she now holds the post once held by current Northern Ireland envoy Paula Dobriansky, and her immediate predecessors, Mitchell Reiss and Richard Haass.

Classified by Director A/GIS/IPS, DoS on 07/06/2018 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL
~ Reason: 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 07/06/2028

RELEASE IN PART
B1,1.4(D),B6

[Redacted]

1.4(D)
B1

From: Jack Keane [Redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hdr22@clintonemail.com

CC: Jack Keane [Redacted]

B6

Subject: [Redacted]

1.4(D)
B1

Hillary,
Tried calling but know your swamped. This is in reference to [Redacted]
He spent 2.5 hrs with him and I do recommend you talk to him.

[Redacted]

In the 90's he worked on the Israeli/Syria peace accord having met with [Redacted] and multiple times with Netanyahu and then Barack. The negotiations, while coming close, did not succeed.

1.4(D)
B1

[Redacted] to the Bush administration on the political developments in Iraq and he was the first one to be very critical of the administrations support of Challibi. He prevailed.

As the Maliki government came to power I know for a fact that he provided VP Cheney with insight to Iraq's political leaders and political development, that he was receiving no where else.

All that said, below is some of [Redacted]

[Redacted]

1.4(D)
B1



1.4(D)
B1

recommend it be one person only to try 

If you defer,

All the best, jack

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Fw: Adoption

From: Cheryl Mills cheryl.mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

CC: cheryl.mills [redacted]

Subject: Fw: Adoption

Second try
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----

From: "Cheryl Mills"

Date: Thu, 19 Mar 2009 10:06:37

To: Hr15

Subject: Fw: Adoption

See below. We need to set up a swat team to figure out how to address - I am going to seek to do nless you have any reservations.

Cdm
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]

Date: Sat, 21 Feb 2009 09:59:23

To: 'cheryl.mills' [redacted]

Subject: Adoption

Hi,

I hope you and your family are well. I called you yesterday regarding an important issue regarding the workings of the State Department. You may have received the arrival announcement for our daughter, [redacted] whom I picked up from India in December after over two years of waiting to adopt her.

B6

Cheryl, the eyes of all the children I saw in the orphanage, most of whom have parents identified and waiting back here, haunt me. Our personal ordeal is over, but I've pledged to myself to push as hard as I can for adoption reform.

I know Secretary Clinton has much on her plate, but surely there's room for this as an increasing number of Americans get married later and seek families in less traditional ways.

The State Department is at the center of the storm. New Hague regulations have set up absurd requirements that are internally contradictory. Ironically, [redacted] and I were delayed in India not by the Indian government - notorious for its red tape - but by the US Embassy. The protocols followed by the Embassy are arcane and outdated. Nothing can be done electronically. Paperwork gets sent, and misplaced, from USCIS in the midwest, to DHS in Nebraska, to the National Visa Center in Portsmouth, then to the Embassy. At one point, after [redacted] had been told by the Visa Center that [redacted] and I may be stuck in India for six weeks, and after I then called every lobbyist I knew, a senior Consular officer in New Delhi told me that "Indians need to learn how to stand in line.". This while I am alone in India with an eleven-month old strapped to my chest.

Studies show conclusively that adopted kids need to be with their parents as soon as possible. With [redacted] the impaired growth of her head circumference was directly linked to the lack of stimuli provided by parents. We were paired with her when she was three months old, yet I couldn't get her for another eight months due to bureaucrats. I understand that child protection requires screening of parents. But this simply shouldn't take this long.

Furthermore, the wait for anyone seeking a visa is clearly preventing the best and the brightest from entering the country. This is so evident when you visit the Embassy in Delhi, where bright college kids are choosing to do advanced studies in Europe because they're just giving up on the US.


This is all Bush-Cheney, and I can only hope Secretary Clinton can fix it.

A final note. In [redacted] orphanage sits a baby with a severe heart condition. I saw him - he's adorable. He has parents who are willing to adopt him in Minnesota. The child needs surgery asap. And to my knowledge, they still can't get her out only because the child doesn't have a visa to enter the US.

It's madness.

Thanks for reading.

Best,



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Re: Staffing for E

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Staffing for E

Will do

-----Original Message-----

From: H

To: Cheryl Mills

Sent: Sun Mar 22 17:00:00 2009

Subject: Staffing for E

B5

B6

I liked Margaret's memo. Can I meet her Mon or Tues before I go?

Also, can you and she interview candidates while I'm gone? [redacted] by the two of you. Then when I get back, you can make a rec to me.

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

RE: Follow uo

From: Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com; Cheryl Mills [redacted] B6

Subject: RE: Follow uo

will ask her which she might prefer

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2009 6:35 AM

To: Cheryl Mills1

Subject: Re: Follow uo

[redacted]

B5

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills

Date: Tue, 24 Mar 2009 06:23:05

To:

Subject: RE: Follow uo

Let me see if we can coordinate a time on your calendar - we may do later in the day.

I don't believe G has a DAS -- I think DAS are in each bureau but that said, let me figure it out.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2009 6:11 AM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Re: Follow uo

Can I meet Margaret today?

[Redacted]

B5

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: Cheryl Mills
To: hdr22@clintonemail.com
Sent: Mar 23, 2009 11:20 PM
Subject: RE: Follow uo

[Redacted]

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2009 9:07 PM
To: Cheryl Mills
Subject: Follow uo

Do you know if the paperwork has gone to ambassador s?
F?

[Redacted]

Are we seeking agreement for

[Redacted]

Re: Please forward to Mdm Secretary

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN
PART B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Re: Please forward to Mdm Secretary

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: hr15@att.blackberry.net
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall
Sent: Thu Jan 22 08:25:13 2009
Subject: Re: Please forward to Mdm Secretary

So nice. We need to use her at State.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: Capricia Penavic Marshall
To: Hillary Clinton
Sent: Jan 22, 2009 8:21 AM
Subject: FW: Please forward to Mdm Secretary

fyi

From: Tracy Bernstein [mailto:[redacted]]

Sent: Thu 1/22/2009 7:49 AM
To: Capricia Penavic Marshall
Subject: Please forward to Mdm Secretary

Adam and I are so so thrilled to learn of your confirmation. We know how committed you are to bringing peace to the middle east and the state of Israel, [REDACTED], as well as supporting the equal rights of all citizens regardless of gender, race or religion. We are all so fortunate to call you, Madame Secretary.

B6

Best wishes,
Tracy

Re: Follow up

From: Nancy Bekavac [redacted]

B6

RELEASE IN
PART B5, B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Re: Follow up

Dear Hillary -

Filently. Also some Cantonese. Am forwarding to you a memo re her experience. I believe she has support of Curt, Holbrooke and many others (pretty sure Strobe thinks she's right but I haven't spoken with him). She has had some contact with Obama's people re ambassadorship and

[redacted]

[redacted] She is super smart, worked for you in primaries and is gracious.

Speaking of which, Geitner no help in his testimony -- Chinese papers are going nuts over his statements on currency. (Dan Guttman checked them on computer this morning -- [redacted] I digress).

Am chatting with [redacted] around noon time. [redacted]

[redacted] Why don't you see her here or there asap?

You looked stunning on the news shows.

N

>>> 01/22/09 11:15 PM >>>

I'm favoring [redacted] for the DHRL job but am happy to meet [redacted]

Does she speak Mandarin?

From: "Nancy Bekavac"
Date: Thu, 22 Jan 2009 08:49:50 -0800
To: ;
Subject: Re: Follow up

Dear All -

Just got off the phone with [redacted] She was most helpful on the G positions (I almost wrote "G spots" but thought better of it . . .). On the DHRL position in G, she suggested [redacted] as very well respected, but also brought up a new name, [redacted] [redacted] having been kicked out and readmitted. She has mostly done HR in Asia, where [redacted] thinks she has been the most effective and most pragmatic person working in the area -- and incredibly effective in Washington. [redacted] says hse has been there a long time [redacted] that she would have the respect of the HR community and that she is dynamic, energetic and really good. This is a new name.

B6

She is thinking hard about Econ development in E.

[redacted] -- who served in State under WJC and is good friends with the Area Undersecretary that you named (Curt). What she would like now is the Ambassadorship. I will sing her praises later, but would point out the other name floating around is [redacted] I can't say enough how little I think of naming [redacted] Close your eyes and imagine the political cartoons -- or even the confirmation hearings. [redacted] and other complex issues. A heads up -- I know we're not doing ambassadors now, but I had to put this in.

B5

B6

Hillary, you would LOVE to talk with [redacted] but you needed to know re the ambassadorship first.

More later.

N

>>> 01/22/09 7:34 AM >>>

Thanks so much for your help and for the laughs along the way!

A few things--

Can you check out [redacted] Sen. Durbin rec'd him for development position.

B5

B6

Would it be crazy to feel out [redacted]? He probably doesn't want to exchange [redacted] for the halls of Capitol Hill, but we could explore.

B5
B6

Pls find out more about [redacted], generally suggested by Melanne.

I interviewed [redacted] who chairs [redacted]. He is very impressive and wants a position in development. He wrote me an excellent memo which I will get to you.

What about [redacted] and well-regarded in the development world?

Should I meet w [redacted]

Fw: Judicial Watch Files Suit, Challenges Hillary State Department Appointment

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

RELEASE IN
PART B5, B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: Judicial Watch Files Suit, Challenges Hillary State Department Appointment

Fyi - tps below; justice will defend this suit.

From: Thessin, James H
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Conklin, Maegan L; Sullivan, Jacob J; Townley, Stephen G
Sent: Thu Jan 29 18:17:17 2009
Subject: Judicial Watch Files Suit, Challenges Hillary State Department Appointment

Cheryl,
For comment. Both Beth Nolan and Dan Koffsky at OLC have cleared the answer. I then added the background and Dan has cleared it in substance.

Jim

Question: Do you have any comment on the lawsuit filed by Judicial Watch challenging Secretary Clinton's appointment as Secretary of State?

Answer:

B6

Background:

B5



B5

Jim
U.S. Department of State
Deputy Legal Adviser
Rm. 6421 Main State Bldg.
Washington, DC 20520-6421
202-647-8460

From: Beth Nolan [mailto:
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 6:06 PM
To: Thessin, James H
Subject: Re: FW: JW Files Suit, Challenges Hillary State Department Appointment

B6

Think the comment is good. Not unexpected.

On Thu, Jan 29, 2009 at 3:32 PM, Thessin, James H > wrote:

Would welcome your comments.

Question: Do you have any comment on the lawsuit filed by Judicial Watch challenging Secretary Clinton's appointment as Secretary of State?

Answer:

B5

Jim

U.S. Department of State
Deputy Legal Adviser
Rm. 6421 Main State Bldg.
Washington, DC 20520-6421
202-647-8460

From: Conklin, Maegan L
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 2:32 PM
To: Legal-EMP-DL; Thessin, James H
Cc: Donoghue, Joan E
Subject: FW: JW Files Suit, Challenges Hillary State Department Appointment

Please see request for press guidance on the lawsuit filed by Judicial Watch (on behalf of a current foreign service officer) challenging Clinton's appointment as Secretary of State. Will it be possible to get draft guidance to PA by COB?

From: Yehl, Ashley C (PACE) [mailto:YehIAC2@state.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 2:20 PM
To: Conklin, Maegan L; Prosser, Sarah E; Greenberg, Brenda L
Subject: FW: JW Files Suit, Challenges Hillary State Department Appointment

Hi Meagan, Sarah, and Brenda

We received the below story from our AP reporter Matt Lee and Robert is requesting guidance on it. When you have a draft, would you mind sending it to us?

Thanks!

Ashley

From: Jill Farrell [mailto:
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2009 1:44 PM
To: jfarrell.
Subject: JW Files Suit, Challenges Hillary State Department Appointment
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
January 29, 2009
Contact: Jill Farrell (202) 646-5172 ext 305

B6

Judicial Watch Files Lawsuit Challenging
Hillary Clinton Appointment on
Behalf of State Department Foreign Service Officer

Hillary Clinton Constitutionally Ineligible to Serve as Secretary of State

Washington, DC -- Judicial Watch, the public interest group that investigates and prosecutes government corruption, announced today that it has filed a lawsuit against newly sworn-in Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton on behalf of U.S. Foreign Service Officer and State Department employee David C. Rodearmel, (Rodearmel v. Clinton, et al., (D. District of Columbia)). The lawsuit maintains that Mrs. Clinton is constitutionally ineligible to serve as Secretary of State and that Mr. Rodearmel cannot be forced to serve under the former U.S. Senator, as it would violate the oath he took as a Foreign Service Officer in 1991 to "support and defend" and "bear true faith and allegiance" to the Constitution of the United States.

Under the "Emoluments" or "Ineligibility" clause of the U.S. Constitution, no member of Congress can be appointed to a civilian position within the U.S. government if the "emoluments" of the position, such as the salary or benefits paid to whoever occupies the office, increased during the term for which the Senator or Representative was elected.

Specifically, article I, section 6 of the U.S. Constitution provides, "No Senator or Representative shall, during the Time for which he was elected, be appointed to any civil Office under the Authority of the United States, which shall have been created, or the Emoluments whereof shall have been increased during such time." The text of the provision is an absolute prohibition and does not allow for any exceptions.


According to Judicial Watch's lawsuit, the "emoluments" of the office of U.S. Secretary of State increased three times during Mrs. Clinton's most recent U.S. Senate term. That term, which began on January 4, 2007, does not expire until January 2013, regardless of Mrs. Clinton's recent resignation. The lawsuit notes that Congress attempted to evade this clear constitutional prohibition with a so-called "Saxbe fix" last month, reducing the Secretary of State's salary to the level in effect on January 1, 2007. This maneuver, first used in the Taft Administration, has been more frequently used in recent years by both parties, allowing notably Republican Senator William Saxbe to become U.S. Attorney General in 1973 and Democratic Senator Lloyd Bentsen to become Treasury Secretary in 1993. A similar "fix" has been enacted for Senator Ken Salazar to join the Obama Cabinet as Secretary of the Interior.

Judicial Watch's lawsuit, however, points out that the legislation "does not and cannot change the historical fact that the 'compensation and other emoluments' of the office of the U.S. Secretary of State increased during Defendant Clinton's tenure in the U.S. Senate" The U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia is required to give expedited consideration to the lawsuit.

"This historic legal challenge should remind politicians of both parties that the U.S. Constitution is not to be trifled with," said Judicial Watch President Tom Fitton. "Mrs. Clinton is constitutionally ineligible to serve as the U.S. Secretary of State until at least 2013, when her second term in the U.S. Senate expires. We hope the courts will put a stop to these end runs around the Constitution and affirm the rule of law."

To read a copy of the lawsuit against Hillary Clinton, visit www.judicialwatch.org .
###

Jill S. Farrell
Director of Public Affairs
Judicial Watch
501 School St, SW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20024



Director Bios: <http://www.judicialwatch.org/dirbios.shtml>

B6

Judicial Watch, Inc. is a constitutionally conservative, nonpartisan educational foundation that promotes transparency, accountability and integrity in government, politics and the law.

The information contained in this communication is intended for the use of the designated recipients named above. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify The Associated Press immediately by telephone at +1-212-621-1898 and delete this e-mail. Thank you. [IP_US_DISC]msk dccc60c6d2c3a6438f0cf467d9a4938

RELEASE IN PART B6

Dilulio: 'Faith-Based' Hopes

From: Burns Strider **To:** hdr22@clintonemail.com**Subject:** Dilulio: 'Faith-Based' Hopes

From "America, The National Catholic Weekly"
 'Faith-Based' Hopes

Religious nonprofits have been struggling harder than ever.

By John J. DiIulio Jr | FEBRUARY 9, 2009

In 2001 President George W. Bush created the White House Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives. I was its first director. Our main purpose was to promote poverty-fighting partnerships between religious nonprofit organizations and federal agencies.

Bless Bush! He put "faith-based" on the federal policy agenda to stay. He was a compassionate conservative at heart. Witness his multi-billion-dollar H.I.V./AIDS initiative in sub-Saharan Africa and his innovative program for mentoring prisoners' children. And he inspired caring souls in state and local governments to launch their own faith-based initiatives.

All good, but legacy-minded Bush loyalists have stretched the truth in their final "fact sheet" on the faith-based initiative issued on Dec. 2, 2008. Its self-congratulatory semi-truths mock the nonprofit organizations, both religious and secular, both national and local, that entered 2009 struggling harder than ever to meet growing health and human services needs caused largely by Bush-era increases in poverty and unemployment.

Take the "150,000 social entre-preneurs" who were allegedly "trained" to have "impact." That counts people who attended brief off-site events that were more like political rallies than training programs.

Or take "more than 110,000 matches." Fine print: not 110,000 live matches between prisoners' children and adult mentors, but every match ever wholly or partially funded by Washington since the program's inception, including some that lasted less than a year. Bush's original goal was 100,000 prisoners' children matches fully funded and functioning for at least four years, plus a million mentors for other at-risk youth mobilized in partnership with four national secular service organizations.

Spin seduces, but facts matter and history bites. Pseudo-statistics suitable only for presidential library framing are pardonable but will be found out. Besides, self-serving half-truths do not increase actual charitable giving, supply more poor children with health care or bless more inner-city churches with grants.

The whole truth is that America's "armies of compassion" remain much as Bush described them in his maiden campaign speech in 1999: "outnumbered and outflanked and outgunned," needing "more support, public and private" and forced to "make bricks without straw."

B6

The whole truth is that religious nonprofits, large and small, national and local, have been struggling harder than ever to meet human needs begotten by increases in poverty and unemployment. Thanks to well-meaning leaders and staff in my former office, Bush's faith-based initiative had a little post-2006 surge, but the office's "mission accomplished" hype unintentionally masked and mocked the unmet needs.

Now President Barack Obama promises to do better. On July 1, 2008, in a speech and interviews in Zanesville, Ohio, he outlined his faith-based plan. His advisers consulted me, and I publicly endorsed his plan. In August 2008, I spoke at the Democratic Convention's "faith caucus" meetings. After the election, Obama's transition team consulted with me on faith-based issues. So consider the source.

To succeed, Obama, a former Catholic Charities community worker in Chicago, must insist that all grantees serve all people in need without regard to religion. He must keep the faith-based effort fact-based, bipartisan and open to corrections. And he must honor all campaign pledges to create or expand programs that benefit low-income children and families.

Will he? In any case, heated controversies are unavoidable. Will Obama uphold or rescind the executive orders and waivers that Bush bestowed on worthwhile groups that hire only coreligionists? Will his council track how his policies actually affect religious nonprofits that serve the poor in places ranging from post-Katrina New Orleans to sub-Saharan Africa? Will his administration pull grants from failed programs favored by key clergy supporters?

Our new president truly seems to want to help the civic saints who go marching in to help people in real need. Pray for him, his staff and his faith-based initiatives. At least for his first 100 days, have the audacity to hope that those prayers will be answered.

John J. DiIulio Jr. is the author of *Godly Republic: A Centrist Blueprint for America's Faith-Based Future* (Univ. of California Press, 2007).

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Faith Stuff...

From: Burns Strider [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Faith Stuff...

The WH faith team has started weekly conference calls with a small group (I left emails below) to go over what's going on and what needs to happen... Today was first... here is follow up email:

From: D. Paul Monteiro [mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 10:53 AM
To: Mara Vanderslice
Cc: Jim Wallis; Adam Taylor; Acacia; Jennifer Butler; jkelly [redacted] Eric Sapp; Burns Strider; rtune [redacted]; Reva Price; Rachel Laser; Alexia Kelley; Katie Paris; kmcintosh [redacted] Mike McCurry; b.girtonmitchell [redacted] Chris Korzen; James Salt; Max Finberg; Joshua DuBois; Mark Linton; Michael Wear
Subject: Re: TPs on Economic Recovery

Thanks for your time. The list of targeted states is below. Please let me know of any groups or organizations that we should reach out to that can help. [redacted] or [redacted]

Midwest
Indiana
Ohio
Wisconsin
Missouri

South
Mississippi
Tennessee

Northeast
Maine

The asks are:

- * that they will speak out in support of the economic recovery package
- * we can use their name as a supporter
- * they will write an op-ed piece in their local paper
- * they will call their U.S. senators to voice their support

RECOVERY PLAN TALKING POINTS

- Our economy is in the midst of an unprecedented crisis that calls for unprecedented action. That is why the President has proposed an American Recovery and Reinvestment Plan to immediately jumpstart job creation and foster long-term economic growth.
- The plan will create or save 3 to 4 million jobs over the next two years. Independent analyses by Macroeconomic Advisers and Moody's Inc. have confirmed that the Recovery Plan will meet this job goal. Jobs created will be in a range of industries from clean energy to health care, with over 90% in the private sector.
- In addition to essential investments designed to create and save jobs in the near term, the plan addresses long-neglected needs that are critical to laying the foundation for a robust and sustainable 21st century economy. Specifically:
 - o The plan will modernize more than 75% of federal buildings and improve the energy efficiency of two million American homes, saving consumers and taxpayers billions on our energy bills. The plan will also double renewable energy generating capacity over three years.
 - o Making the immediate investments necessary to ensure that within five years, all of America's medical records are computerized, reducing medical errors and saving billions in health care costs.
 - o Equipping thousands schools, community colleges, and public universities with 21st century classrooms, labs, and libraries.
 - o Expanding broadband across America, so that a small business in a rural town can connect and compete with their counterparts anywhere in the world.
 - o Enacting the largest investment in America's crumbling roads, bridges and transit systems since the creation of the national highway system
- The Recovery Plan includes unprecedented transparency and accountability. The bill has no earmarks and all information on projects funded will be made available to the public online at www.recovery.gov . There will also be a new oversight board to monitor progress and address problems early and aggressively.

- Under the plan, 95% of American workers will get a tax cut and over \$100 billion will be invested in roads, bridges, mass transit, flood control and clean water projects.
- The plan will get funds out quickly, with at least 75% of the package spending out in the first 18 months after passage. By including major fast-spending provisions like tax cuts for middle class families, measures to avoid state health care cuts, and temporary expansions of unemployment insurance, food stamps and health care for unemployed workers, the package will spend out at least 75% of its total commitment within the first 18 months after passage. The Administration will work with Congress to refine this package to ensure that it meets this 75% goal.

RELEASE IN FULL

Re: Not sure

To: Tamera Luzzatto tamera_luzzatto@clinton.senate.gov;'hdr22@clintonemail.com' hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Not sure

Tamera--this is my new address. I saw you and David at the reception and got to see and take photos w so many of our staff. Contact me anytime on this address, but, pls know, I cannot check it during the day unless I leave my office. I hope to have a secure computer set up soon to be able to get email during the workday. Much love, H

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: Follow Up to Meeting

From: Jack Keane [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hdr22@clintonemail.com

CC: Jack Keane [redacted]

Subject: Fw: Follow Up to Meeting

Have correct email now.
Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----

From: jkeane [redacted]

Date: Sun, 1 Feb 2009 23:55:54
To: HRC
Subject: Fw: Follow Up to Meeting

Hillary

Enjoyed seeing you on Friday in your regal surroundings. So delighted you are there!! Rich and I did not have much time, do to his schedule, so I passed the following to him. I also did not want to overload him and stuck to the most critical. All the best, Jack

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----

From: jkeane [redacted]

Date: Sun, 1 Feb 2009 23:52:09
To:
Subject: Follow Up to Meeting

Ambassador,

Enjoyed seeing you again on Friday. Know you were rushed and we were not able to talk very much so I thought I'd summarize some of the key points on Afghanistan:

1. Strategy- overall strategy and end state does not exist. What do we want to see in 5 and 10 years that is realistic and achievable. While NATO complicates this, to be certain, the US should drive it, and its absence undermines a much needed unity of effort. Our strategy must result in the development of a Joint/Combined Campaign plan which is the driver for our entire effort in Afghanistan. This will take time to develop because it requires collective understanding and making difficult choices. We do not have one now, because it is very hard to do, but essential for success. ___civil/military strategy also does not exist. Most of our efforts in a counter insurgency are largely non-military and we must go forward with an integrated civil/military strategy with buy-in from the GOA.

---military strategy- it should not have to be stated, but we need a military strategy, to defeat the insurgency. Throwing troops and resources at the issue is not the solution and is what paralyzed USG efforts in Iraq for 3 years. Passing it to the ANA, while a strategy, is doomed to fail. Only a partnership with the ANA conducting side by side operations, using proven counterinsurgency practices, has a chance to succeed. Fighting a rural insurgency is different than an urban insurgency, as was the case in Iraq. E.G. the Afghans are considerably less tolerant to occupation and damage to their villages and towns than were Iraqis. We should not conclude we don't protect the people or just leave it to the Afghans. It does suggest a thoughtful Afghan/Nato approach which considers this reality.

2. Leadership- Having the right leaders to implement the strategy is crucial. POTUS has right to expect the very best we have, particularly, when we are conducting a counter-offensive to regain the offensive momentum, beginning in 2009.

--- As such, Mckiernan while smart and a solid guy, is too cautious and not aggressive enough, to drive the strategy, performance, and the results needed. His lack of interpersonal skills handicaps the command from getting the much needed support from key influencers, both in and outside USG, which is vital to maintain public support for our efforts. Additionally, his staff is much too small to develop a Jt Campaign Plan, much less oversee its execution. His headquarters needs a significant staff plus up to meet the demands. What is truly missing is an operational headquarters to fight the war, which would be subordinate to Mckiernan's Hqs and all the tactical Hqs would work for this commander. This should be a corps level headquarters with a 3 star commander and his complete staff. This HQs should deploy in 2009 and rotate every year. --- Carl Eikenberry is clearly a step in the right direction as Ambassador. His close working relationship with the NATO commander cannot be overstated.

---Karzai is increasingly unpopular due to pervasive corruption and ineffectiveness. As such it is spilling over on us because he is perceived as our surrogate. If reelected and allowed to continue current practices it is hard to imagine a more worse scenario as he executes another 5 year term. We can encourage other candidates to run and monitor the election to insist o

RELEASE IN PART B6

HuffPo about you

From: David Helfenbein

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

Subject: HuffPo about you

B6

FYI, this will likely be on HuffPo in the next few days. I submitted it today. Just wanted to give you a heads up. Sorry for two emails this weekend. I know how busy you are but I wanted you to see this. I will include you on final email once (assuming, which I'm sure will be) published.

Hope all is well. Please send my best to the President and Oscar.

D

Secretary Clinton, Middle East Negotiations, and Her Hair? Get Over It.

Have you seen it? Secretary Clinton is in the midst of probably the most intense and arguably the most important diplomatic talks in years, and what are some of the newspapers writing about: the length of her hair! "In her latest act of defiance, Hillary Rodham Clinton gets a new, longer hairdo," The Washington Post titles an article on Sunday, August 29th just before the talks began; The Buffalo News titled an article: "Clinton's longer hair opens debate for women of a certain age" on September 3rd toward the end of a week filled with talks. These articles delve into age and hair-length and psyche and what this all means for someone in her sixties to be wearing hair at, G-d forbid, shoulder length. And so, I say, who cares?

When John Edwards turned to Hillary Clinton during the South Carolina presidential debate in July of 2007 and criticized her jacket, that was painful enough – but at this stage of the game one would think, or at the very least hope, that we would be past all of this. Sure, some of these articles are complimentary (i.e. The Washington Post piece). Yet, this is entirely beside the point.

Find me parallel articles where a man in the midst of such important meetings has running commentary on his hair length; they don't exist. Newspapers don't write articles about the length of hair or face wrinkles or growing bald spots or suits and ties on men. This is the case unless, of course, there is something patently absurd to write about.

Is this because our societal values are so overtly placed on the importance of women's beauty and men's machismo? And thus, in such a universe, we occasionally see a photo-op with a male politician throwing around a football or playing basketball or golf, but rarely does the media demand certain "look" standards of male politicians. Now don't get me wrong, I have studied media and recall the Kennedy Nixon debates well. As many of you likely already know, the majority of those watching on television thought that Kennedy won. The majority of those listening on the radio thought that Nixon won. Why? Watch the video. Of course looks matter.

But overall, with men today is it possible that it is the opposite societal structure? If man (back to Mr. Edwards) gets an expensive haircut that is over the top – this is where the criticism comes in, not the other way around.

So, what does this tell us about society that we don't already know? That ultimately we are all captivated by sexism? Or is sexism so ingrained that it is no longer sexism but entirely something else? Does the media continually spin us into this sexist state, if one does exist, or are we in one naturally – in a world blinded from papers and television; a little bit of the chicken-and-egg phenomenon. But when Secretary of State Clinton is working on negotiating peace in the Middle East, let's not paparazzi this. Let's lay off the hair. Frankly, it's a bit of an embarrassment to us, isn't it?

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: The Huffington Post: Secretary Clinton, Middle EastNegotiations and Her Hair? Get Over It. by David Helfenbein

From: dphelf [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

CC: dphelf [redacted]

Subject: Re: The Huffington Post: Secretary Clinton, Middle EastNegotiations and Her Hair? Get Over It. by David Helfenbein

[redacted]

I assume you are heading back to DC

tonight or tomorrow, hope you had some rest, and then as I read, off to Egypt for more negotiation talks. If there is one person who can make a huge difference, it is you. Must be really interesting perspective for you, especially knowing the toughest parts of it from back @ the end of the 1990s. Look forward to you reading my piece. It's about the negotiations, women, and you. Wishing you the best, as always. D

-----Original Message-----

From: H

Date: Mon, 6 Sep 2010 18:56:37

To: 'dphelf' [redacted]

Subject: Re: The Huffington Post: Secretary Clinton, Middle East Negotiations and Her Hair? Get Over It. by David Helfenbein

Thx, David--I'll look forward to seeing it online. [redacted]

[redacted] All the best from Chappaqua--H

----- Original Message -----

From: David Helfenbein

To: David Helfenbein

Sent: Mon Sep 06 15:22:02 2010

Subject: The Huffington Post: Secretary Clinton, Middle East Negotiations and Her Hair? Get Over It. by David Helfenbein

Have you seen it? Secretary Clinton is in the midst of probably the most intense and arguably most important diplomatic talks in years, and what are some of the newspapers writing about? The length of her hair!

"In her latest act of defiance, Hillary Rodham Clinton gets a new, longer hairdo," The Washington Post titles an article on Sunday, Aug. 29, just before the talks began; The Buffalo News titled an article : "Clinton's longer hair opens debate for women of a certain age" on Sept. 3, toward the end of a week filled with talks. These articles delve into age and hair-length and psyche and what this all means for someone in her sixties to be wearing hair at, G-d forbid, shoulder length. And so, I say, who cares?

When John Edwards turned to Hillary Clinton during the South Carolina presidential debate in July of 2007 and criticized her jacket, that was painful enough -- but at this stage of the game one would think, or at the very least hope, that we would be past all of this. Sure, some of these articles are complimentary (i.e. The Washington Post piece). Yet, this is entirely beside the point.

Find me parallel articles where a man in the midst of such important meetings has running commentary on his hair length; they don't exist. Newspapers don't write articles about the length of hair or face wrinkles or growing bald spots or suits and ties on men. This is the case unless, of course, there is something patently absurd to write about.

Is this because our societal values are so overtly placed on the importance of women's beauty and men's machismo? And thus, in such a universe, we occasionally see a photo-op with a male politician throwing around a football or playing basketball or golf, but rarely does the media demand certain "look" standards of male politicians. Now don't get me wrong, I have studied media and recall the Kennedy-Nixon debates well. As many of you likely already know, the majority of those watching on television thought that Kennedy won. The majority of those listening on the radio thought that Nixon won. Why? Watch the video. Of course looks matter.

But overall, with men today is it possible that it is the opposite societal structure? If a man (back to Mr. Edwards) gets an expensive haircut that is over the top -- this is where the criticism comes in, not the other way around.

So, what does this tell us about society that we don't already know? That ultimately

RELEASE IN PART B6

Diverse group to advise faith-based office (make sure you read end on hiring issues)

From: Burns Strider

B6

To: Clinton Hillary hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Diverse group to advise faith-based office (make sure you read end on hiring issues)

Diverse group to advise faith-based office

By ERIC GORSKI, AP Religion Writer Eric Gorski, Ap Religion Writer Tue Feb 3, 9:03 pm ET

The Obama administration is set to announce a diverse set of advisers to a revamped White House office that will steer government money to religious and neighborhood groups doing social service work. They include a former president of the Southern Baptist Convention, a Jewish rabbi active in Washington and a pioneering female African-American bishop. The advisory council is scheduled to be introduced Thursday with the formal announcement of the new White House Office for Faith-Based and Neighborhood Partnerships, according to a religious leader familiar with the details.

The official spoke on condition of anonymity because the details have not been announced.

Joshua DuBois, a 26-year-old Pentecostal minister who headed religious outreach for the Obama campaign, is to head the office, a senior administration official confirmed last week on the same condition. The White House press office did not immediately respond to requests for comment Tuesday night. According to the religious leader, the advisory group is a mix of leaders from the religious and secular world who have experience in social services. The group will convene at least twice a year. Members include:

_The Rev. Joel Hunter, an Orlando, Fla.-area evangelical megachurch pastor who was consulted by the Obama campaign and prayed privately with Obama over the phone the night he was elected.

_Bishop Vashti McKenzie, the first female bishop in the African Methodist Episcopal Church.

_The Rev. Frank Page of Taylors, S.C., the most recent past president of the conservative Southern Baptist Convention, the nation's largest Protestant denomination.

_Rabbi David Saperstein, director of the Washington-based Religious Action Center of Reform Judaism, a public policy arm of Judaism's liberal Reform branch.

_Judith Vredenburg, president and chief executive officer of Big Brothers and Big Sisters of America.

The religious leader with knowledge of the advisory group said representation from the gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender community is also anticipated.

"Certainly, they'll be encouraged to voice their individual voices," the leader said.

By far, the most sensitive issue surrounding the initiative is Obama's campaign pledge to allow religious institutions taking part in the program to hire and fire based on religion only in the non-taxpayer funded portions of their activities.

Where there are state or local laws prohibiting hiring choices based on sexual orientation in the federally funded portion of the programs, Obama has said he would support those being applied.

It remains unclear whether the Obama administration will rescind executive orders from then-President George W. Bush that allowed religious groups that get government money to hire only those who share their religious beliefs.

However, the religious leader knowledgeable of the plans for the revamped office said: "You can do a lot of things without rescinding those orders. That's not a necessary step to make changes."

The Rev. Samuel Rodriguez, president of the National Hispanic Christian Leadership Conference, said he and other religious leaders have conveyed their concerns about the hiring issue in conference calls with the transition team and the Obama White House. One concern is that churches or other faith groups would be required to change their bylaws or hiring practices to qualify for the grants.

"I believe it's not practical and it's not going to happen — and the president knows the backlash from the faith community would be egregious," Rodriguez said. "To push the envelope on that, to say, for example, 'You're going to have to hire gays and lesbians' ... that would be unprecedented."

Obama said during the campaign that he wanted to expand and reform the White House faith-based efforts that began under Bush. As a candidate, Obama pledged to increase spending on social services, increase training for charities applying for funding, pour \$500 million a year into a summer learning program for children and elevate the program's status within the White House.

The new office is scheduled to be unveiled on the same day as the annual National Prayer Breakfast in Washington, which Obama is expected to attend

Burns Strider

Founding Partner
The Eleison Group

www.eleisongroup.com

RELEASE IN PART B6

Invitations

From: kevinokeefe

B6

To: Lona Valmor ValmorLJ@state.gov

CC: kevinokeefe

Subject: Invitations

You will or have received 2 invitations for HRC to speak in Chicago

Richard M. Daley is sending a letter inviting her to speak at an event on April 27, 2009 at the City of Chicago Global Forum on Economic Recovery. The event is in conjunction with the Richard J. Daley Urban Forum at UIC

J.B. Pritzker has already written a letter inviting her to speak at the opening of the Illinois Holocaust Museum of which he is the chairman on April 19.

I don't know if she can do either of these events but they are both important here. When you have an idea yes or no, please give me a heads up so I can prepare the way. Needless to say they both need to know sooner rather than later. Thanks

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

FW: Diversity Check

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Diversity Check

FYI -

I asked of the folks you flagged (your staff, young people, others) and whom we are having do paperwork for schedule C positions, what the diversity breakdown was.

See below.

cdm

From: Samuelson, Heather F
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2009 8:54 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: robbymook [redacted]
Subject: Diversity Check

B6

Diversity Check:

42 names total were highlighted on HRC's list who have or are getting paperwork



B5

I marked the diverse candidates on the list. I will bring the hard copy to you tomorrow am.

RELEASE IN FULL

Re: Diversity Check

To: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

Subject: Re: Diversity Check

I'd like to see the names.

RELEASE IN PART B6**this is just horrible:**

From: Burns Strider

B6

To: Clinton Hillary hdr22@clintonemail.com**Subject:** this is just horrible:

One of your Veterans, Colonel Hal Donahue, wrote this for Huffington... he documents it with news clips and DoD links:
A Military Family Treated Shabbily -- a Demand for
Accountability

Our fallen warriors' families should NEVER need a United States senator to find out how their loved one died. Yet, one did. Thank you, Senator Bob Casey for stepping in when no one else would to help an anguished mother and her family learn how her son died. Another thank you for exposing the weakness and decay developing in the military. On January 2, 2008, Sgt. Ryan Maseth, a highly decorated Army ranger and a valuable national resource, stepped into the community shower of his Iraq building. Perhaps looking forward to a relaxing shower, young Maseth reached out to turn on the water and died, a victim of electrocution.

According to a CNN Special Investigations Unit story by Abbie Boudreau and Scott Bronstein from attorney statements, when fellow soldiers kicked down the door, they smelled burning hair, and they rushed over, saw Sgt. Maseth lying there unconscious, and one of the rescuers himself was shocked electrically and sustained a fairly good jolt because the water and the pipes were still electrified. The next day, Cheryl Harris, a mother with three sons serving in Iraq, was informed of his death.. She had feared such news might come one day. An article shortly after his death demonstrates clearly both the anguish of Sergeant Maseth's family and a bit about the man.

"I did ask exactly, 'How did Ryan die? What happened to him?' And he had told me that Ryan was electrocuted," Ms Harris said. That was not the full story and after misleading statements, a US Senator, Robert Casey, D PA, was needed to uncover the complete answer to that question. What developed was a story of military officers betraying their troops; DOD civilians protecting private contractors rather than soldiers and very likely negligent homicide.

Now, I know the public has visions of soldiers dying "taking the hill" or "sweeping the skies in sleek fighters" but reality can be so horribly different, mundane even. Roads, of necessity, swept too quickly and soldiers die. A soldier on routine patrol takes off their helmet to wipe the sweat from brow and a sniper takes that moment to put a round through the soldier's skull. A soldier uses a latrine at a "safe" base and a stray round takes the soldier's life. These things happen. The US military was the leader in controlling these types of loses. Because, emotional and family feelings aside, the loss of Sgt Maseth hurt US military capability and reduced our ability to fight the Iraq war. This is the real bottom-line. This is one of the major reasons why we

have Red Flag and Top Gun etc; so we can learn to prevent these losses in the confusion of actual war. Things appear to have changed.

According to a Pittsburgh Tribune-Review story; "Report:

U.S. troops exposed to 231 shock incidents " by Robin Acton, January 29, 2009:

....The 45-page document -- a high-level request for corrective action generated last fall -- found that Texas-based military contractor KBR Inc. failed to properly ground and bond its electrical systems, which contributed to soldiers "receiving shocks in KBR-maintained facilities on average once every three days since data was available in Sept. 2006."

... The report adds that government search results of a KBR-maintained database revealed that 231 electrical-shock incidents occurred in the period from September 2006 through July 31, 2008 -- indicating that the activity continued long after the death of Sgt. Ryan Maseth, 24, who suffered cardiac arrest after stepping into his Baghdad shower on Jan. 2, 2008.

A January 30, 2009, Pittsburgh Post-Gazette editorial, "Death

by shower: Punish those who betrayed the troops in Iraq " acknowledges what ca

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: State Department Paperwork

From: Maggie Williams

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

CC: Maggie Williams

Subject: Re: State Department Paperwork

In a meeting all day but Robby and I have discussed please include him in discussions.
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: "H"
Date: Thu, 5 Feb 2009 14:28:16 +0000
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Maggie Williams;
Subject: Re: State Department Paperwork
Let's discuss as soon as I get in.

From: "Mills, Cheryl D"
Date: Thu, 5 Feb 2009 08:26:34 -0500
To: ;
Subject: FW: State Department Paperwork

HRC/Maggie:

FYI in case Tamera raises any issues or concerns.

I asked Heather to send her an email regarding staff who were getting paperwork because they were slated to come in the building.

There is a larger management issue I want to discuss with you.

cdm

From: Samuelson, Heather F
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2009 8:31 PM
To: 'Luzzatto [redacted]'
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D; robbymook [redacted]
Subject: State Department Paperwork

B6

Tamera,

I wanted to let you know that I plan to send the State Department's hiring paperwork to the following Senate staffers.

While we do not have start dates for them yet, nor do we know which office/ bureau they will be assigned to, we wanted to get them started on the hiring paperwork.

Please let me if you have any questions or concerns.


Many thanks,

Heather

[redacted] cell
(202) 647-0646 office

- 1)
- 2)
- 3)
- 4)
- 5)
- 6)
- 7)
- 8)
- 9)
- 10)

- 11)
- 12)
- 13)
- 14)
- 15)
- 16)
- 17)
- 18)
- 19)
- 20)
- 21)
- 22)
- 23)
- 24)



B6

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Fw: Confidential

From: Tamera Luzzatto tamera_luzzatto@clinton.senate.gov

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' hdr22@clintonemail.com;'Ab edinH@state.gov' AbedinH@state.gov

Subject: Fw: Confidential

I doubt this was sent to just me, so checking if you got too.

----- Original Message -----

From: Bill White

Sent: Fri Feb 06 20:59:08 2009

Subject: Confidential



B6

RELEASE IN FULL

Steinberg report from Munich

From: Sullivan, Jacob J SullivanJJ@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Steinberg report from Munich

Steinberg checked in from Munich. Jones, Petraeus, Holbrooke, and he had a meeting with Pakistani FM Qureshi. They discussed the court-ordered release of AQ Khan. Bottom line: our guys were very stern and direct, and Qureshi indicated that the government was surprised by the ruling and would consider a range of options to respond to it and to address our concerns. I can give you a full report by phone.

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: Reminder

From: Huma Abedin

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Reminder

Claire and lauren had arranged. My understanding is you agreed to do it today.

-----Original Message-----

From: H

To: Huma Abedin

Sent: Sun Feb 08 09:23:48 2009

Subject: Re: Reminder

I did not know so thx for the headsup. Who should have told me? It's not on the schedule Lona sent me. The only thing on that is the shuttle.

-----Original Message-----

From: Huma Abedin

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Cc: Oscar Flores

Sent: Feb 8, 2009 9:01 AM

Subject: Reminder

Just a reminder, you have your 11:30am call with ambassador ryan crocker today. Ops will connect call to house.

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: Following up

To: Oscar Flores

B6

Subject: Fw: Following up

Pls print.

RELEASE IN PART B6

Your upcoming trip

From: Melanne Verveer

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net**Subject:** Your upcoming trip

I feel like I'm in limbo but trying to do what's allowable on the women's front.

I wanted to raise a few issues regarding your upcoming trip.

China - the state of female migrant workers is looming as a big challenge and exacerbated by the economic downturn. About half of the 130 million migrant workers are women. The Chinese government just announced that 20 million migrant workers have lost jobs. Women's fate is compounded by the fact that 85 million are reported suffering from domestic violence and an unknown number are victims of trafficking. According to the All China Women's Federation, 1/3 of China's 267 million families have witnessed domestic violence and 94% are of the victims are women. A Chinese court in 2008 issued the first ever protective order to a domestic violence victimso far 6 have been issued in 3 localities. A central government document now mandates police to respond to DV complaints made through hotlines and mandate the All-China Women's Federation to establish DV hotlines throughout the country. The All-China Women's Federation is committed to getting a domestic violence law approved this year. Also China has finally issued the national action plan on combating trafficking in women and children to adopt a comprehensive approach to trafficking. They have a long way to go but this represents progress.

If there's anyway to mention pressing concerns affecting women, particularly protecting migrant workers, the urgency of protecting victims of domestic violence and trafficking, it could make a difference.

If you have time to meet with any women or to include them in an already planned for discussion, there are several women (some of whom you met during your 1998 trip to China and again at Vital Voices event, as well as the representative of All-China Women's Federation, Chen Zhili). I can provide contact information if there's interest, as can Susan O'Sullivan in DRL.

Finally on HIV/AIDS

ZENG JINYAN is the wife of the famous HIV/AIDS activist and detainee Hu Jia who was nominated for a Nobel Peace Prize. Sec. Rice raised his case in 2008 as he has been detained since 2007 for testifying on TV for the EU about China's human rights situation.

Zheng, his wife, was selected by TIME as one of the 100 most influential people in the world. She is under house arrest with her 2 year-old daughter for speaking out on behalf of her husband. Zheng and Hu were our messengers to Dr Gao when we were trying to get her to come to Washington in 2006. ZHENG TRANSLATED YOUR LETTER TO THE CHINESE PRESIDENT AND PREMIER, AS WELL AS THE VITAL VOICES INVITATION INTO CHINESE FOR DR. GAO. If there's anyway you could meet with Zheng it would send a strong and personal message for human rights.

I don't know where DRL and the China desk come down on all of this, but I thought you'd want to know. You have no shortage of experts in the building.

Japan - The DCM, James Zumwalt, is the Charge. He is terrific. His wife, ANN KAMBARA, is also a Foreign Service officer. She took the lead when Baker was Ambassador (strongly supported by Nancy Kassebaum at the time), to get the Japanese to address the terrible trafficking problem, which had been largely ignored. (John Miller wanted to come down hard on the Japanese and Nancy knew that would be a counterproductive strategy with the Japanese.) Nancy brought in Vital Voices to engage others from around the world and demonstrate to the Japanese that this was a global problem that we all had to address. We worked really closely with Ann who did a terrific job of pulling a regional meeting together of top governments and NGOs -- first time Japanese govt was in same room with the NGOs. It had a very positive impact and the work continues.

If you have a chance to thank Ann for her work on trafficking, it would be well deserved.

She is a huge fan of yours. She had me do a speaking tour in different parts of Japan for the embassy and I learned a great deal about the rather nascent state of civil society and the strictures on young women to leave the work force once they become mothers. This is creating a real problem as the birth rate there is dropping significantly.

I'm sorry to put this in an email as opposed to a more readable memo. If you need anything additional on any of this, I'm happy to do what I can.

Have a productive trip.

A Good Credit Score is 700 or Above. See yours in just 2 easy steps!

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: What happens now?

From: Neera Tanden **To:** hdr22@clintonemail.com**CC:** Neera Tanden **Subject:** Re: What happens now?

In all honesty, things are a bit grim, though in a lot of flux. The machinations are a bit intense, and too long for email, but the short synopsis is: They are thinking of separating out the office of health reform from HHS and the names in circulation in the press for HHS are the real names - I've seen their list and there's no one, really, who is going to give this issue the oomph it needs. And there are people they are thinking of that would be counterproductive. But more importantly, the economic team, which has been trying to undermine health care, is now more free to take it over, and has been moving to do so over the last few days. That may be blocked, but I'm not optimistic. The fight around the budget was pretty intense and a bit dire, even before the Daschle fiasco.

So, as you can imagine, I've been better. I've been meaning to email you to get your advice. I know how busy you are, but please let me know if you have any time to talk. I'd really appreciate your guidance.

Neera

--- On Sun, 2/8/09, H wrote:

From: H

Subject: What happens now?

To: "Neera Tanden"

Date: Sunday, February 8, 2009, 7:09 AM

What are the plans for replacing Daschle and getting momentum again? Are you doing ok? Let me know. HRC

RELEASE IN PART B6**Hello with questions:**

From: Tamera Luzzatto tamera_luzzatto@clinton.senate.gov**To:** H (hdr22@clintonemail.com) hdr22@clintonemail.com**Subject:** Hello with questions:

Hope you are well.

Of the many people who have contacted me for feedback or the question of where else they can go, I wanted to ask about three whom I would think you'd especially want to get direction:

1. [redacted] interested in [redacted] Ambassador or another country. I know you reported you were aware of his interest. He wants to know if there's someone to talk to or a way to know if he's being considered.
2. [redacted] He called a number of times, and I replied by email - by now he may be current with you, but in case there's anything to tell him, I thought I better mention him.
3. [redacted] He called today to report that "some people" (he said Members and non gov'n't people) have talked to him about pursuing Ambassador to India and that he has indeed been there a number of times. Said if you or the Obama team have someone else slated or in mind, he'd like to know that so he doesn't bother anyone else about it. And asked what he should do if there is a way to pursue it, such as call you, try to see you, try to see someone else.

B6

I'm in the dark about where to send people about the Ambassador applying business, but again thought you might want these three people to get some kind of feedback from you or someone else I can consult with at your behest.

Best, Tamera

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: Job Search

From: [Redacted]

B6

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Re: Job Search

Thank you very much for reaching out to her on my behalf. I truly appreciate it.

That's great advice, and I'll definitely reach out to her and offer my assistance.

Thanks again.

----- Original Message -----

From: H

To: [Redacted]

Sent: Mon Feb 09 20:12:22 2009

Subject: Re: Job Search

[Redacted]

My advice is to wait until she is confirmed and can finally hire people. Until then, you could offer to help her and get to know her even better during the process. She definitely wants to hire you. Let me know what else I can do.

All the best, Hillary

-----Original Message-----


From: [Redacted]

Date: Mon, 9 Feb 2009 09:43:36

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com'

Subject: Job Search

Madame Secretary, I wanted to keep you apprised of a couple of developments in my job search.



B6

Thanks very much, and hope things are going well over in Foggy Bottom.

FW: Moscow Notes

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

RELEASE IN PART B6

To: Burns, William J BurnsWJ@state.gov; Steinberg, James B SteinbergJB@state.gov

Subject: FW: Moscow Notes

FYI

From: Rose Gottemoeller [mailto:

Sent: Mon 2/9/2009 11:01 AM

To: Cheryl Mills

Subject: Moscow Notes

B6

Dear Cheryl:

I was in Moscow last week for an event sponsored by the U.S. National Academy of Sciences.

While there, I had several conversations relevant to our relationship with the Russians. I am sending you a few points from my trip report in case Secretary Clinton may be interested.

Thanks, and best wishes, Rose Gottemoeller

No priority of importance suggested by the following order:

- * Everyone very positive about the team being put in place. "People we can work with" was the overall assessment.
- * Definitely ready to launch a fresh beginning and see if we can get a positive momentum going.
- * Seems there has been an interagency review going on regarding 1000 warheads as a Russian option. The interagency have agreed to it; "only President Medvedev's signature is required."
- * Ready to work with us on the denuclearization proposal of Kissinger, et. al., including practical measures to move in that direction.
- * However, if the situation remains unclear regarding the missile defense deployments in Europe and NATO expansion to Ukraine and Georgia, then some linkage to projects of high priority to us both, including the START follow-on, may occur.
- * Great concern was expressed that we are not getting started quickly enough; I was repeatedly asked when we could start talking START follow-on, and was constantly pinged for hints of the U.S. position. I kept repeating that I was in Moscow on NAS business and had no official standing; any comments I made were my personal and informal opinion.
- * In light of the preceding, doubts that we could be ready with anything in time for a presidential summit meeting in the May timeframe.
- * Welcoming attitude toward talking about missile defenses and the offense-defense relationship, including in a broad framework of "strategic stability" talks; also no allergy to talking about the theater missile defense project in the NATO-Russian Council.
- * Didn't talk much about the Conventional Forces in Europe Treaty (CFE), but I commented that there was a difference of opinion in Washington about whether the Russians wanted to preserve CFE or not. The answer was a resounding "yes, we want to preserve it" although we didn't talk about CFE in what form. I did ask, "including in the General Staff and MOD?" and the answer was still "yes."

RELEASE IN FULL

Fw: Kucinich to Gaza

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: Kucinich to Gaza

Fyi

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J; Polt, Michael C; Crocker, Bathsheba N
Sent: Tue Feb 10 19:18:37 2009
Subject: FW: Kucinich to Gaza

Cheryl
Despite personal briefing to Rep. Kucinich by a senior DS official he has decided to proceed to Gaza (with spouse we think).
Not clear how he is getting to Gaza.

Private trip sponsored by a Cleveland-based NGO called Access Ohio. Egyptian MFA is handling the logistics and is expecting an arrival in Cairo on the afternoon of Saturday, Feb 14, possible mtgs that day (Mubarak, Aboul-Gheit, Soliman, Abounaga and former AMBs Al-Reedy and Shaker have been requested; no confirmations except no from Aboul-Gheit), overnight in Cairo, and then travel to Rafah and into Gaza on Sunday, Feb 15. Rep Kucinich would like to exit Gaza into Israel through Erez, but it's unclear when. Egyptian MFA has asked MOD to provide transport from Cairo to Rafah. MFA is also coordinating airport pick-up, transport within Cairo and hotel accommodations for the night of Feb 14.
POC at the Cleveland-based NGO said that the purpose of the trip to the region is to enter Gaza, and that Ohio constituents have asked Rep Kucinich to do this. Dates could get pushed back a few days because of the stimulus vote. Rep Kucinich's staffer Amy Vossbrinck told me she thinks Kucinich may want to travel from Israel to Doha.

RELEASE IN PART B5

Fw: UPDATE -- H. R. 837 -- Would name the USUN building after Ron Brown

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: UPDATE -- H. R. 837 -- Would name the USUN building after Ron Brown

Thoughts?

B5

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Feb 10 14:02:15 2009
Subject: FW: UPDATE -- H. R. 837 -- Would name the USUN building after Ron Brown

Cheryl

We have just been advised the Rep. Rangel has introduced a bill to name the new US Mission to the UN Building {which is under construction} for former Commerce Secretary Ron Brown

No cosponsors.

Referred to House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee. Rangel is not a member of the committee.
Bill is on the agenda for Committee markup on Thursday
Interesting, in that Brown was not State Department [either DC or NYC], tho he did die in an airplane crash overseas
Do we/should we have a position on this?

Regards

pat

HR 837 IH

111th CONGRESS

1st Session

H. R. 837

To designate the Federal building located at 799 United Nations Plaza in New York, New York, as the `Ronald H. Brown United States Mission to the United Nations Building'.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 3, 2009

Mr. RANGEL introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure

A BILL

To designate the Federal building located at 799 United Nations Plaza in New York, New York, as the `Ronald H. Brown United States Mission to the United Nations Building'.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. DESIGNATION.

The Federal building located at 799 United Nations Plaza in New York, New York, shall be known and designated as the `Ronald H. Brown United States Mission to the United Nations Building'.

SEC. 2. REFERENCES.

Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Federal building referred to in section 1 shall be deemed to be a reference to the `Ronald H. Brown United States Mission to the United Nations Building'

RELEASE IN PART
B5, B6

FW: announcement

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Burns, William J BurnsWJ@state.gov; Steinberg, James B SteinbergJB@state.gov; Lew, Jacob J LewJJ@state.gov; Smith, Daniel B SmithD2@state.gov; Sullivan, Jacob J SullivanJJ@state.gov; Holbrooke, Richard C HolbrookeRC@state.gov; Slaughter, Anne-Marie SlaughterA@state.gov

Subject: FW: announcement

FYI - going out shortly.

cdm

From: McDonough, Denis R. [mailto:]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 11:12 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: announcement

B6

President Announces Team Leading Afghanistan-Pakistan Review

The President has asked Bruce Riedel to chair an interagency policy review of U.S. policy toward Afghanistan and Pakistan to be completed before the NATO summit in April. Ambassador Richard Holbrooke, Special Representative for Afghanistan and Pakistan, and Michelle Flournoy, Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, will co-chair. Mr. Riedel will report directly to the President and National Security Advisor Jones.

Mr. Riedel is working at the White House for sixty days while he is on leave from the Saban Center for Middle East Policy at the Brookings Institution where he is a Senior Fellow.

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 11:07 AM
To: Donilon, Thomas E.; McDonough, Denis R.; Craig, Gregory B.; Gips, Donald H.
Cc: Kennedy, Patrick F; Boswell, Eric J; Hardy, Sharon L; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: [REDACTED]

B5

Tom, Greg, Denis et al:

I am confirming our conversations regarding the [REDACTED]

The Secretary confirmed with Senator Kerry directly his comfort so we all should be moving forward efficiently.

We assume you will advise the FBI.

Thank you for all your assistance.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: So ...

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; Maggie Williams

B6

Subject: Fw: So ...

Fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: Jonathan Prince

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Tue Feb 10 20:55:41 2009

Subject: So ...

I'm really excited about this.

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: Terrific Meeting

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Maggie Williams [redacted]; hdr22@clintonemail.com

B6

Subject: Fw: Terrific Meeting

Fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: PJ Crowley
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Feb 10 14:35:40 2009
Subject: RE: Terrific Meeting

Standing by and thanks for the kind words.

PJ

-----Original Message-----

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 1:23 PM
To: PJ Crowley
Subject: RE: Terrific Meeting

PJ

Just getting through my traffic from yesterday - this is such a day-brightener!

I am so pleased and she is going to be so happy.

Let me check in with her today to make sure we're a go and get you started on paperwork.

I am looking forward to working with you.

Best.

cdm

-----Original Message-----

From: PJ Crowley [mailto:]

Sent: Monday, February 09, 2009 6:19 PM

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Subject: Terrific Meeting

B6

I am really touched by this gesture. It was completely unexpected and it is nice to be wanted. I told her I am ready to serve. Per your Miranda warning, I understand completely that this means pending other developments. I will not [] if something intervenes. In fact I told her that I have a meeting pending with Secretary [] in a policy capacity. I will ask [] to put that on hold until I get a signal from you one way or another. The Secretary did not mention []. We talked about briefings and we left it in what I consider the perfect middle ground. When it warrants, I'll brief but I should not get bogged down with daily briefings where the subject matter does not warrant. If we are doing our jobs properly, we should have key stuff to push out, I will be there regularly and do other things to maintain the credibility of the office and well as serve the interests of the Secretary and the department.. The team she is putting together is incredible. I am thrilled to think I might be a part of it. PJ

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

RELEASE IN PART B5

Fw: OMB Appeal

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Fw: OMB Appeal

Fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: Lew, Jacob J

To: Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Wed Feb 11 00:16:35 2009

Subject: Fw: OMB Appeal

Don't know if this will work but we should at least see what the real appeal would be fighting over if we say we need more.

----- Original Message -----

From: Lew, Jacob J

To: Kennedy, Patrick F; Greene, Richard L

Cc: Campbell, Piper; Bennett, Virginia L

Sent: Wed Feb 11 00:12:48 2009

Subject: OMB Appeal

Just got off the phone with Peter. He does not think we can get all the way to [redacted] which is where our list would leave us). [redacted] worth taking back. He is prepared to go [redacted] (which is higher than he is authorized to go this is half of our original appeal).

B5

I told him that taking another [redacted]
[redacted]

I told him that

[REDACTED]

B5

He asked me to [REDACTED] up the flagpole and if we can live with it he will take it [REDACTED]. They will be together on stimulus most of the day tomrw. [REDACTED]

First thing in the am I would like to look at

[REDACTED]

I will be in around 730 but feel free to call any time if you need clarification.

Thanks.

Jack

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Fw: OMB and DC Questions

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Fw: OMB and DC Questions

See below.

I am going to be meeting with jack and jim today (or fri if they can't b/c of budget)

There have been a number of [redacted] (including this one [redacted] below
which I advised [redacted]

B5

I have advised [redacted]

We should discuss that b/f hand.

[redacted] - fairly as he has made all the accomodations against what he had been promised (e.g., [redacted])
[redacted]

I will advise on meeting once we have it but will get with you on OES b/f I meet with them.

See below

Cdm

From: Lew, Jacob J
To: Mills, Cheryl D

Sent: Tue Feb 10 20:41:43 2009
Subject: FW: OMB and DC Questions

[Redacted]

B5

From: Lew, Jacob J
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 8:34 PM
To: 'Donilon, Thomas E.'
Cc: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: OMB and DC Questions

Peter got stuck on the Hill in stimulus meetings and I will either meet with him late tonight or early tomorrow. Will let you know where things stand after that. Appreciate you raising the issue at the PC.

I am not sure how to resolve the issue about

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

B5

From: Donilon, Thomas E. [mailto:[Redacted]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 8:24 PM
To: Lew, Jacob J
Subject: RE: OMB and DC Questions

B6

Jack—I am just back at my office and available to talk. I raised the

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

B5

On [Redacted] --as I said to Cheryl,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

B5

From: Lew, Jacob J [mailto:LewJJ@state.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 4:37 PM
To: Donilon, Thomas E.
Subject: OMB and DC Questions

Tom

Peter Orszag has asked me to come over for a one on one tonight at 745. Do you still want to pull a group together prior?

[redacted] I am inclined to attend and just want to confirm
with you so that [redacted]

B5

Regards,

Jack

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: [redacted]

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: [redacted]

Fyi

From: Sullivan, Jacob J
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Feb 10 10:46:05 2009
Subject: FW: [redacted]

Here you go...for your consideration

From: Peña, Laura
Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2009 10:26 AM
To: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: [redacted]

I met with [redacted] last week. Brief bullets, resume attached:

- [redacted] Willing to come in and help prep HRC or her policy team for April summit.
- Hardcore Hillary supporter
- [redacted]
- Looking to either [redacted] or anywhere else we need [redacted]

Let me know if you need anything else, [redacted] I think we should pull in.

Thanks
Laura

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: [redacted]

B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: [redacted]

B6

Fyi

From: Samuelson, Heather F
To: Mills, Cheryl D; robbymoo [redacted]
Sent: Thu Feb 05 14:01:54 2009
Subject: [redacted]

I just spoke with [redacted]

She does not want to be at [redacted] I will update our master list accordingly.

RELEASE IN FULL

RE: Voice mail

From: Lauren Jiloty JilotyLC@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: RE: Voice mail

I do have your new number. I asked justin about it on Monday and he said voice mail hadn't yet been set up. I'll ask him again.

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2009 10:21 AM

To: Jiloty, Lauren C

Subject: Voice mail

Do you have my new number so you can check messages.

RELEASE IN FULL

Fw: Outline paper of Holbrooke's goals for visit to Pak

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fw: Outline paper of Holbrooke's goals for visit to Pak

Fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: Smith, Daniel B
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Mon Feb 09 17:42:06 2009
Subject: FW: Outline paper of Holbrooke's goals for visit to Pak

You asked about what happened with the document that the Secretary had signed. Here is the e-mail chain by which it was given to the Operations Center for transmittal to the Communications folks in New York for the Secretary. As I noted, the Communications folks apparently did not understand, when the Secretary handed the approved document back, that it was urgent and decided it could wait until the next day. We are reviewing all of our SOPs, and I have made clear that anything the Secretary signs or acts on is, by definition, urgent. I've also underscored to Ops that they should have followed up with Commo folks to find out what happened. I'm very sorry about what happened and that we all let the Secretary down.

-----Original Message-----

From: Walker, Peggy J
Sent: Sunday, February 08, 2009 3:05 PM
To: Macmanus, Joseph E; Abedin, Huma; SES-O_SWO-Only
Subject: RE: Outline paper of Holbrooke's goals for visit to Pak

Commo in Chappaqua has confirmed receipt of the document; they are taking it to the Secretary's residence now.

-----Original Message-----

From: Macmanus, Joseph E
Sent: Sunday, February 08, 2009 2:57 PM
To: Abedin, Huma; SES-O_SWO-Only

Subject: Re: Outline paper of Holbrooke's goals for visit to Pak

This is on it's way now to commo. The draft paper is classified so it cannot go by blackberry email. We attached a draft transmittal note from Secretary to POTUS. Ops can make any changes; you can phone or email them or give the edits to commo and they will coordinate with Ops, which will send the completed package to the WH Sit Room for Donilon.

----- Original Message -----

From: Macmanus, Joseph E

To: Abedin, Huma; SES-O_SWO-Only

Sent: Sun Feb 08 14:07:30 2009

Subject: Outline paper of Holbrooke's goals for visit to Pak

Bill and the Secretary discussed this, and she asked to see this draft paper . It is on the classified system. I have asked ops to forward it to commo and bring over it to the Residence. I'll email you when this takes place.

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: Cash

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov
To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net
Subject: Fw: Cash

Fyi

----- Original Message -----

From: Macmanus, Joseph E
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Thu Feb 05 08:08:07 2009
Subject: FW: Cash

fyi

-----Original Message-----

From: Feltman, Jeffrey D
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 6:51 AM
To: Macmanus, Joseph E; S_SpecialAssistants; Shapiro, Andrew J; Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: FW: Cash

FYI, see below: Palestinian PM Salam Fayyad contacted the Israeli PM's office, and the Israelis subsequently agreed to Fayyad's request to transfer funds to Gaza.

This is very good news. It is a direct result of Secretary Clinton's phone call to Israeli PM Olmert yesterday. Thanks to the Secretary for achieving this.

Cheers,
Jeff

Jeffrey Feltman
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary

Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs

Department of State

-----Original Message-----

From: Walles, Jacob

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2009 6:41 AM

To: Feltman, Jeffrey D; Hale, David M; Goldberger, Thomas H; 'Daniel_B._Shapiro' [redacted]

'Michael_T._Pascua' [redacted] Cunningham, James B

Cc: Agor, Christina J; Marchese, Gregory M

Subject: Cash

B6

Salam called Tourgeman today. Shalom told him that the GOI decided to allow the transfer of NIS 175 million to Gaza. (Salam said he believes this is actually 130 million in NIS and 20 million in USD, based on other information he received.). Salam said Shalom told him the transfer could happen as early as today.

RELEASE IN PART B6

Updates

From: dhattaway [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

CC: dhattawa [redacted]

Subject: Updates

Hello Mme. Secretary! I hope you're doing well.

I also wanted to say I thought The New Moment speech was spot on. It's so clear to me through my work in development and democracy that the world really is looking to us for leadership, even though it's not fashionable or advisable to admit it in most places.

Your message was aspirational for the domestic audience that longs for our moral leadership and wants to believe that we (ie, they) can make the world a better place. All they get from the other side is fear and loathing of the world beyond our borders.

Safe travels - and if you're ever inclined it would be fun to see you and the team in person,



B6

All my best, Doug

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

RELEASE IN PART
B1,B6

Re: Confidential

From: Lanny J. Davis [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 03/28/2018 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 03/21/2028

Subject: Re: Confidential

Will do. Have my ways. Totally 100 % off the record. And will report back.

Just sent you email about meeting Pepsi's Indra Nooyi. Will resend in case you missed it.

Warmest regards,

Lanny

----- Original Message -----

From: H
To: Lanny J. Davis
Sent: Thu Sep 16 17:27:19 2010
Subject: Re: Confidential

Thx, my friend. I will reach out to you directly and hope you will continue to do the same w me. The most important issue now

[redacted]

B1

[redacted] And, be well--H

----- Original Message -----

From: Lanny J. Davis
To: H
Sent: Thu Sep 02 09:20:34 2010
Subject: Confidential

Dear Hillary:

I have a private and highly trusted communication line, unofficial and personal, to PM N; and he trusts me and my private source close to him does as well.

Please let know - or set me up with one of your aides - to help deliver unofficial messages if needed and to help persuade if needed.

Everything - everything - I do will be off-the-record, never never disclosed to anyone (even Carolyn) if you choose to contact me or someone else does.

You have my word of honor.

Good luck my friend.

A lot is riding on this and, in my biased opinion, no one on the planet (other than your wonderful husband) can get this done as well as you-- and he doesn't have your advantages in your position as a bridge between all worlds, within Admin as well as between I's and P's and US and Arab leaders.

Praying for you too-

Best,

L

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw:

From: caputo.lm [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com

CC: caputo.lm [redacted]

Subject: Fw:

Hillary-

Is the rumor true you are hiring Nides for Jack's job?

Xxoo,

Lisa

-----Original Message-----


From: Caputo, Lisa

To: 'caputo.lm' [redacted]

Sent: Sep 16, 2010 7:05 AM

Subject: Fw:

----- Original Message -----



B6

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: Changed my mind

From: Lanny J. Davis [redacted]
To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Re: Changed my mind

B6

I know this is right decision. Once I wrote the word optics to you, I knew it immediately.

And I know my dear friend Cheryl will recall that, during our White House partnership, whenever I had the slightest doubts about a judgment to be made, I would go to Cheryl -- and NEVER argue with her. :-)

And always always loved and appreciated her - then and now.

Lan

----- Original Message -----

From: H
To: Lanny J. Davis
Cc: 'cheryl.mills [redacted]'; 'millscd@state.gov'
Sent: Sat Sep 18 09:19:20 2010
Subject: Re: Changed my mind

Thx for looking out for me, my friend. I'll tell Cheryl to stand down.

----- Original Message -----

From: Lanny J. Davis
To: H
Sent: Sat Sep 18 10:14:02 2010
Subject: Changed my mind

H-

As soon as I wrote last email, I reverted to my old role as your crisis manager and worrier about you, read the word "optics" I suddenly felt - oops.

I am registered under FARA for one or more foreign governments or businesses. I don't think it would look right. I want to avoid any even slight chance of misperception.

So let's not chance it.

How can I describe what a great friend you are....?

I can't.

Best,

Lanny

----- Original Message -----

From: H

To: Lanny J. Davis

Cc: 'cheryl.mills[redacted]'; 'millscd@state.gov'

Sent: Sat Sep 18 08:03:37 2010

Subject:

B6

RELEASE IN FULL

Re: Update

To: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

Subject: Re: Update

That's good news.

RELEASE IN PART B6

Webb

From: Huma Abedin

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com**Subject:** Webb

Asia team is recommending you put a call into Jim Webb before we leave. Webb sent the article below to EAP noting his fear that we are losing influence in Thailand and its neighbors. Scot Marciel in EAP called to follow up with him but you might want to check in with Webb too. Article notes that you chose to go to Indonesia and not Thailand on your first trip.

ASIA HAND

When allies drift apart

By Shawn W Crispin

BANGKOK - When a Thai court last year refused to turn over an Iranian national United States authorities claimed was part of a missile parts smuggling operation, it marked the first-ever failed extradition between the two long-time strategic allies. The Thais ruled that because the suspect was an active Iranian military official, he was exempt under their bilateral extradition treaty with the US. The two sides are now wrestling again over the extradition of Viktor Bout, the alleged Russian arms dealer nabbed last year in a US Drug Enforcement Agency sting operation in Bangkok. While the Americans claim Bout has conspired to kill US citizens, the Thais have allowed the judicial review to drag for months and initially appointed an inexperienced judge to preside over the hearings. The Thai prosecutor meanwhile has failed to introduce lines of questioning recommended by the US Embassy and Foreign Ministry officials have told their US counterparts they must also consider bilateral ties with Russia in handling the case. Thailand's lack of cooperation on such key US security issues marks a significant departure for the bilateral relationship, signaling to some that Washington is slowly but surely losing influence over its long time strategic ally. It was only five years ago that the US granted Thailand major non-North Atlantic Treaty Organization treaty status, a military reward for Bangkok's cooperation in Washington's "war on terror" campaign, including the capture outside of Bangkok of key al-Qaeda suspect Riduan Isamuddin, better known as Hambali. Thai and US officials now acknowledge the relationship has drifted, due to diverging strategic interests and mounting trade tensions over intellectual property protection issues and the failed negotiation of a bilateral free-trade agreement (FTA) that Thai officials feel retrospectively was being foisted on them. Thailand's new willingness to confront the US on core strategic and trade issues heralds a potentially important shift from a US-dominated unipolar era to a new China-inclusive bipolar regional balance of power. The US has lost substantial regional ground to China, which has leveraged soft power initiatives and economic integration into hard power gains, including unprecedented joint naval and later this year special forces operations with Thailand. The US maintains substantial military, intelligence and law enforcement ties with Thailand, including the annual Cobra Gold joint military exercises, but some analysts now contend that's

led to an over-securitization of the relationship. Meanwhile, US-Thai economic ties have hit a new nadir, stemming from a US Trade Representative Office's decision in 2007 to put Thailand on its "priority watch list" of the world's worst intellectual property offenders. The downgrade was a reaction to the Thai government's use of so-called compulsory licensing to produce and distribute cheaper generic versions of drugs - including HIV/AIDS and cancer medicines - on which US pharmaceutical companies hold patents. The tussle, both US and Thai officials acknowledge, has undermined "trust" in bilateral economic ties. Beyond business, it's also clear that Thailand fails to share the US's threat perception of China's rapid regional rise. Thai foreign policy wonks point to the fact that China acceded in 2003 to the Association of Southeast Asian Nation's (ASEAN) treaty of amity and cooperation while the US five years later still refuses to sign on. One Bangkok-based Chinese diplomat says that any US attempt to contain China would be neither "realistic" nor "pragmatic" because of its growing economic integration with the region, and that any such move would have "no support" from Thailand or other regional countries. That assessment, however, is probably only half right. Bangkok's Institute of Security and International Studies (ISIS) director Thitinan Pongsudhirak sees a peninsular-versus-mainland dichotomy emerging in Southeast Asia, with mainland states falling under Beijing's sway and island nations (including coastal Vietnam) insecure about China's rise in the strategic league with the US. With Cambodia, Laos and Myanmar now firmly in China's orbit, Thailand, with feet in both camps, is thus key to Beijing's mainland consolidation. Emma Chanlett-Avery, a US Congressional Research Service specialist, views US-Thai ties as a "legacy alliance" without a "sustaining strategic dialogue". She says that the US defense establishment wonders whether Thailand could be trusted as a treaty ally if a conflict with China ever erupted. One telling test of that allegiance could come from a US request - as part of the Pentagon's ongoing transformation and realignment initiatives - to relocate strategic assets from Northeast Asia to Thai military facilities, a potential proposal that China would no doubt resist. New best friendIt is thus no doubt significant that new US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton chose to visit Indonesia and sidestep Thailand during her first trip to Southeast Asia. Some in Bangkok see a Thai snub in Clinton's travel schedule, where the US's top diplomat visits the region and leaves just a week before Thailand plays host to the ASEAN annual summit meeting - an event past US secretaries have attended. While some commentators have pointed to the symbolism of Clinton's Indonesia visit, in light of President Barack Obama's time there as a youth, others view it as the first overture towards forging a new strategic relationship with the island nation. Military experts say securing new maritime bases outside of Northeast Asia would give the US 7th Fleet significant new naval forward projection capabilities vis-a-vis China, particularly for the US's ability in a potential conflict to blockade the nearby Strait of Malacca, where its estimated 70%-80% of China's imported fuel now flows. Indonesia, which has competing maritime claims with China including in oil and gas rich areas, is believed to view warily China's fast improving naval capabilities. Still it's not clear that the US intends any time soon to downgrade its strategic commitment to Thailand. US diplomats and Thai Foreign Ministry officials speak to the need to "refresh" rather than radically overhaul the relationship. But if Obama's gambit to recast US diplomacy towards countries with (supposed) shared democratic values, then Indonesia's fast-emerging democracy arguably better meets those criteria than Thailand's backslide to military-influenced politics and a gathering crackdown on free expression, in the name of upholding a monarchy. Obama's announcement that he would shutter all the secret prisons the George W Bush administration established in allied countries to detain and interrogate captured terror suspects spoke directly to Thailand and its complicity in the controversial policy. A US Embassy official in Bangkok previously admitted such a facility once existed in Thailand, but that it was closed down after The Washington Post exposed it and in later reporting revealed the torture techniques used against terror suspects there. The US's intimate ties to elite and influential retired Thai military officials, long-time relationships often forged in the conflicts of the Cold War era, has arguably compromised Washington's ability to genuinely

promote democracy and human rights in Thailand. Those foreign policy objectives were under Bush subordinated to strategic concerns, which often cross-cut democracy promotion initiatives - as the US's secret prison policy demonstrated. There is a nagging suspicion among some Thai observers that the US gave the wink to the military coupmakers who in 2006 toppled Thaksin Shinawatra's democratically elected and, perceived by some in Washington, a China-leaning government. The Thai military has since become a prominent force in politics and there are mounting concerns the top brass might suspend democracy altogether to ensure a smooth royal succession after King Bhumibol Adulyadej eventually passes from the scene. Whether the US would be willing to downgrade its strategic commitment to Thailand in such an eventuality would be an important test of Obama's proclaimed democratic commitment. One US participant at a recent ISIS event held in Thailand stressed the importance of US access to Thailand's U-Tapao air base, the only facility in Southeast Asia capable of supporting large-scale logistical operations, was "impossible to underestimate" to its military interests. The US has made liberal use of those Thai facilities to land and refuel aircraft traveling across the Pacific on their way to military campaigns in Iraq and Afghanistan. Thus some believe the patron-client dependency dynamic that characterized US-Thai ties during the Cold War, when Washington showered Bangkok with desperately needed military and economic assistance, has shifted in the new bipolar regional order. Says one former Thai ambassador: "The US is simply less important to us than it was in the past."

RELEASE IN PART B3

FW: Update

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: FW: Update

HRC:

See below.

Remind me to discuss with you, particularly should they pull this off.

In meeting with the NSA person today ([redacted] - NSA's rep to DOS) - she indicated they could address our BB so that BB could work in the sciff and be secure based upon some modifications that could be done to each BB (more below).

B3

cdm

From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, February 13, 2009 11:08 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Cc: McLean, Lori A; [redacted]
Subject: Update

Cheryl,

--Debbie Plunkett, D/Chief of our Information Assurance Directorate, is personally assembling a knowledgeable team to work with you and other members of your staff to move forward on your Blackberry requirement. She will engage State's CIO and DS/comms security folks to ensure everyone is aware of the art of the possible. She asked me to provide dates/times when you may be available to meet - I will ask my Executive Assistant, [redacted] to work with Lori to make this happen. I am confident we can get to YES on this!

--The reports we discussed are at a higher level than can be forwarded on the high side, so we will deliver them to your office today (our reporting staff is retrieving them now).

Thank you so much for making the time for Dick and me today. All the best, [redacted]

RELEASE IN PART B6

From Vartan Gregorian

From: Maggie Williams

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com**Subject:** From Vartan Gregorian

FYI

Vartan wanted you to see -- this is like to be published somewhere -- thought it was pretty interesting.

An Opportunity Missed, But Not Lost: What President Bush Should Have Written to Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad

This February marks the 30th anniversary of the Iranian revolution. In what may yet prove to be an historical milestone of another kind, on February 10, President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad of Iran appeared to reach out to the Obama administration, saying that Tehran was ready for "talks based on mutual respect and in a fair atmosphere." This possible overture in what has otherwise seemed to be an unbreachable divide between the United States and Iran during the administration of President George W. Bush reminded me that the possibility of rapprochement between our two nations arose just a few years ago, but was ignored. I am referring to the fact that in May 2006, President Ahmadinejad wrote a far-ranging, 18-page letter to President George Bush discussing religious values, history and international relations. The letter went unanswered. During Ahmadinejad's visit to the UN later that year, he expressed his deep disappointment that President Bush had not responded to his missive.

As a student of the region and of United States-Iran relations, I believed then, and I believe now, that this was a missed opportunity—one of many. Ahmadinejad's letter provided President Bush with an opportunity to address the Iranian people directly to highlight America's longstanding relationship with Iran and clarify the Bush administration's views on the U.S.-Iran relationship.

At the time, thinking about these issues, I drafted a letter that might have served as a response by President Bush to the Iranian people. I offer it here.

=0 A

Vartan Gregorian

Dear President Ahmadinejad,

Your letter and recent public utterances have prompted me to review Iran's many contributions to the world, its relationship with the United States and the outstanding issues that now confront our two countries.

Mr. President, let me begin by stating that Americans are deeply mindful and respectful of the renowned achievements and rich cultural legacy of Iranian civilization, which reflect a virtually unparalleled degree of historical continuity. We are especially cognizant of the role that religion has played in your country's development. Some 2,500 years ago, Iranians gave the world Zoroastrianism, which among its core tenets, affirmed man's absolute free will to choose between evil and a Divine Creator, and our common destiny to face a final Day of Judgment. Although manifested in many different forms, these ancient tenets have been shared by the world's great religions. They underscore the

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: Aspirational Diplomacy

From: Doug Hattaway [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hdr22@clintonemail.com

CC: doug [redacted]

Subject: Re: Aspirational Diplomacy

I hope we can get together as well. I'll be in touch when you're back. Have a great trip! Doug
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

From: "H"
Date: Sun, 15 Feb 2009 01:57:04 +0000
To: Doug Hattaway
Subject: Re: Aspirational Diplomacy
Doug, This is very helpful and along the lines of what I've been thinking. I hope we can get together when I'm back to talk about this whole area. All the best.

From: Doug Hattaway
Date: Thu, 12 Feb 2009 09:43:57 -0500
To:
Subject: RE: Aspirational Diplomacy

Hi! Hope you're doing well. Things look to be going very well at State.

I wanted to pass along another thought, this time about our message and approach to supporting democracy around the world. This is based on my work with NDI in the Middle East and North Africa.

As you may recall, I worked with the government of Lebanon, political parties and NGOs in a number of countries. We kept running into problems with the way democracy assistance was viewed in the U.S. and abroad.

Because of Bush's belligerence and the way the Republicans talk about advancing democracy as "promoting American values" and "winning the ideological war," the word "democracy" itself was tainted.

People who should support democracy and democratic governance were suspicious or hostile. Democratic reformers in many countries were put on the defensive, and even put in danger.

The Republican approach was more about selling democracy. Karen Hughes went around delivering lectures. They ran advertising campaigns, which were not well received overseas. (That's not surprising, since polls show commercial marketing and Hollywood exports contribute to negative perceptions of America.)

This is not to belittle good work done in public diplomacy, but the general orientation toward selling democracy as a concept (particularly in the context of invading Iraq) was ineffective at best and counter-productive at worst.

In response, we developed a new way to talk about this work that would distinguish our (Democratic) approach from the Republicans.

We talked about it as "helping people build democratic institutions." This frames the U.S. as helping people on the ground, rather than lecturing them about abstract principles. "Democratic institutions" are fair elections, representative political parties, independent citizens' groups and government that is open and accountable.

We focused on the benefits to foreign publics: "Democratic institutions and processes can help people in any society resolve conflicts peacefully and improve their lives."

And to the American public: "Helping people build more democratic societies will contribute to greater peace and stability throughout the world."

This isn't to retreat from proactively advancing democracy, which Democrats have long embraced. It's framing the work in more concrete terms and focusing on the benefits to people's lives.

I hope that's useful food for thought. There's more to this, and I'm happy to chat about it if you're interested. We can also talk about the aspirational messaging, if you'd like to follow up on our previous exchange on that.

Keep up the great work, Doug

From: H [mailto:hdr22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 9:46 PM
To: Doug Hattaway
Subject: Re: Aspirational Diplomacy

Doug,

So good to hear from you and this was very useful. I'd love to talk about it further. I'll contact you about setting up a time to get together. Thanks so much. All the best, H

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: Following up

From: Bill Owens [redacted]
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' hdr22@clintonemail.com
Subject: Re: Following up

B6

Dear Hillary, it was very nice talking to you. I'm dedicated to helping you in every way I can. I want nothing but to do the best I can to help our Country and you as an American living in China. I'm always an email away. Warm best wishes, Bill

----- Original Message -----

From: H
To: Owens, Bill
Cc: Huma Abedin
Sent: Sun Feb 15 12:21:01 2009
Subject: Following up

Dear Bill--

I enjoyed talking w you about my upcoming trip to China and appreciate, as always, your insights and advice.

I hope I will be able to see you in Beijing, and, by copy of this email, I am asking my Deputy COS, Huma Abedin, to advise you of my schedule so we can try to connect in person while I'm there.

In any event, I will follow up on the points you made in my meetings. All the best, Hillary

RELEASE IN PART B5

Re: Priorities review

To: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

Subject: Re: Priorities review



B5

RELEASE IN PART B6

Thank You and an Update

From: dstone [redacted]

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

CC: dstone [redacted]

Subject: Thank You and an Update

B6

Hi Hillary , I just received some great news . I was elected as a life member in the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) !

Many thanks for your supportive CFR letter. Your endorsement meant a lot to me on both the personal and professional level.

I remain very grateful and look forward to the opportunity to thank you in person.

Fyi, late last month, I visited India for 10 days and am working several issues related to the Mumbai terror attacks. I am in Manama, Bahrain next week chairing a panel on Border Security and Control issues and will be meeting with the former head of Indian intelligence (Kumar Davol) to further discuss the implications of the Mumbai attacks and the current Pakistan / India border security concerns.

I am presently in Vienna , Austria enroute DC having just completed an intensive eight day visit to Kurdistan , Iraq along with half a dozen other retired senior Officers and former US Government officials. Gen Jay Garner (US Army retired) and former Army Undersecretary Joe Reeder also participated.

The schedule included visits with senior Iraqi and Kurdish leadership figures (Dinner with Mrs Talabani , Breakfast with Prime Minister Barzani , Meetings with the Governors of Erbil , Sulaimaniya and Dahuk etc).

Tours of the Koya University facilities ,as well as various Regional Police and Military training schools were conducted .

The discussions held with students , clergy , and an inspiring group of "women against violence" were informative and educational. .

Our visit up to the Turkey-Kurdistan border area enabled us to observe first hand the growing oil export trade being conducted by Kurdistan via trucks. Seeing the various Turkish Army encampments actually inside Kurdistan was also revealing and reflective of the tensions caused by PKK attacks.

Of note, we shopped openly in the souks in Kurdistan and were received warmly. I felt very comfortable and secure out in the towns and villages of Kurdistan . Life is never risk free but the feel was very good.

My overall impression is that Kurdistan is prosperous with lots of development projects ongoing and the Kurdish people are tremendously pro US. They have newly formed democratic institutions and a true eagerness to support US policies for a unified Iraq. They know their future security depends on it.

That said, the Kurds also have a growing concern that without significantly increased US attention to the resolution of the City of Kirkuk and the other disputed areas , that their prosperity could unravel very quickly as a result of a civil war over these disputed areas - particularly Kirkuk.

Assignment of a special US envoy to help resolve the Kurdistan issues was encouraged by many Kurds as well as the idea of opening a US consulate office in the Kurdistan Regional Government (KRG) capital of Erbil to facilitate better communications.

Hillary, our outbrief regarding the Kurdistan trip would admittedly be very " pro Kurd " but that voice probably needs to be heard so it can be fully factored into our overall US foreign policy for Iraq and the region.

As you well know, a clear "US policy regarding the Kurds" has been sorely needed for quite some time. The Kurds have found themselves sandwiched between Syria, Turkey, Iran and Iraq - leading to horrific incidents of abuse.

On the US front , they have also been unfortunately caught between the segmented desks at DOD (Eucom vs Centcom) as well as various desks at the State Department which divide coverage in such a way that it has hindered efforts to formulate a more coherent Kurd policy. .

If your schedule permits , I would like to suggest our group provide you a 30 minute update/pulse point regarding Iraq and the Kurdistan Regional Government (KRG) relationship. It may be useful for you to receive it , along with other key members of your team, as you deal with Kirkuk and article 140 of the Iraqi Constitution (dealing with the resolution of the remaining disputed territories).

Our brief may also allow for the opening of another channel of information for the State Department about the situation on the ground in Kurdistan-particularly as US forces prepare to leave Iraq . Jay Garner from our group is wired into the highest levels of the Kurdistan Government (KRG) and is treated as a local hero by all the Kurds that we visited with because of his role in saving Kurdish lives during Operation Provide Comfort in the early 90's .

On a personal level , I am happy to help you and your State Department team in any way I can. I know Jay Garner already has a personal relationship with Jim Jones based on their previous time together in this region and that Jay does not hesitate to give Jim a call in his new job at NSC.

It would probably be very positive for State to have a pipeline for this same information so it can be considered in your overall planning and execution.

All the best and again my Congrats on your new role as our Secretary of State and thanks for your support of my CFR endeavor.

Our country is very fortunate to have you in such a key leadership position .

Happy to have our team that visited Kurdistan , Iraq brief you and/or your representatives as you deem appropriate.

All the best and God Bless.

Sincerely, Dave

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART B6

Hearing and Gaza

From: Mark Hyman, MD

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; Hillary Clinton hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Hearing and Gaza

Dear Hillary,

Thinking of you a lot these days in gratitude for opening the door to the Senate and allowing the perspective of Functional medicine to be heard - the hearing is set for February 26th. If you want to connect while I am in DC from the 24th to the 28th for the IOM meeting and the hearing- let me know.

When I finish writing my testimony I will send it to you - if you have a few minutes (which I realize is unlikely) I would welcome any input or advice.

The main reason I wanted to touch base was to forward this email from James Gordon about his work in Gaza.. Considering what is ahead of you I thought his perspective from the ground might be helpful.

His contact info is below if you want to contact him.

Fondly,

Mark

----- Forwarded Message

From: James Gordon >

Date: Mon, 16 Feb 2009 10:21:47 -0500

To: Mark Hyman >

Subject: Re: Updated Plan

I'd like to talk with Hillary about the situation in Gaza and the very real prospects for peace that I believe are there, possibilities that we have for a variety of reasons ignored or dismissed or allowed to be "taken off the table." It's time for a fresh perspective and a deep, informed commitment to peace and I believe she can bring these, and I can be of help to her.

I've made over a dozen visits to Gaza in the last 7 years, know quite a bit about the populations's state of mind: We've worked intensively with 20,000 traumatized children and adults and have interacted with some of the major families (tribes) as well as the government, UN and NGO bureaucracies. I've also met with political leadership from Hamas and Fatah (in both Gaza and the West Bank) as well as independent intellectuals. I have too a pretty good understanding of the various Israeli perspectives and state of mind and have worked with every segment of Israeli society from UltraOrthodox to militantly secular, from the settlers removed from Gaza to the soldiers and government officials who removed them. Finally, our major funder for this work (Tom Harkin had helped us obtain some seed money from the CDC) is Chuck Feeney who helped with the Irish peace process and is potentially interested in economic development in Gaza as well as in our humanitarian and mental health work.

I feel I can help Hillary seize this moment of crisis and turn it into an extraordinary opportunity. There is, underneath the understandable fear and anger and the posturing so much yearning for peace, and perhaps I can help her find ways to use it creatively and effectively.

Thanks so much for being willing to contact her

Jim

James S. Gordon, M.D.
www.jamesgordonmd.com >

Founder and Director
The Center for Mind-Body Medicine
5225 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 414
Washington, DC 20015

www.cmbm.org >

----- End of Forwarded Message

B6

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Updates for HRC

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Abedin, Huma AbedinH@state.gov


Subject: Updates for HRC

Updates on your/my list of to dos and other matters:

Personnel:



B5
B6



B5
B6

DSSS/FBI Clearance Dates:

February 20



February 23



February 26



B6

March 4

B6

March 6

March 7

March 9

March 12

March 13

More later.

cdm

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

FW: Egypt

From: Cheryl Mills

B6


To: hdr22@clintonemail.com; Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net; 'Abedin, Huma' AbedinH@state.gov

Subject: FW: Egypt


For HRC from Frank Wisner:

Dear Madame Secretary,

At the Asia Society on February 13th, you asked for my thoughts on your forthcoming trip to Egypt as well as ideas that could be included in your statements while you are there.



B5



B5

RELEASE IN PART
B5,B6

Re: Updates for HRC

To: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

Subject: Re: Updates for HRC

I have coverage so quickly let me respond.

Can we talk asap about [redacted]

B5
B6

I'd rather have [redacted] than [redacted] who already has a position.

Can we get hearings and votes scheduled for as many of our cleared people as possible?

RELEASE IN PART B6

FW: I spoke with Meryl Frank about an hour ago, and she has a couple of questions.

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov**To:** Abedin, Huma AbedinH@state.gov**Subject:** FW: I spoke with Meryl Frank about an hour ago, and she has a couple of questions.

Huma -

For HRC - I will call Meryl but want to make sure there were no different thoughts that have been shared with her on this position by HRC.

cdm

From: Samuelson, Heather F**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2009 6:33 PM**To:** Mills, Cheryl D; 'Cheryl Mills'**Cc:** 'pvervee' [redacted] Mook, Robert E; Toiv, Nora F; 'Marlon D Marshall'**Subject:** FW: I spoke with Meryl Frank about an hour ago, and she has a couple of questions.

Linda Lum from IO contacted Meryl Frank about the logistics for CSW and what the process would be regarding getting briefings and up to speed on issues prior to CSW once her security and legal clearances are completed and she is appointed. During the conversation, Linda mentioned to Meryl that US Representative on the Commission on the Status of Women was not a full-time position in previous administrations (see email below) and usually only involved attending CSW for two weeks and other smaller conferences throughout the year.

Meryl was shocked and had been under the impression that this was a full-time position. [redacted]

[redacted] and needs to know if it will be a full-time position in this administration.

I know she had met with HRC prior to asking be considered for the position. I do not know who else she spoke with during the "interview" process or what (if anything) Meryl was initially told.

Let me know your thoughts.

From: Lum, Linda L**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2009 6:12 PM**To:** Hardy, Sharon L; Bisdee, Sharon C; Samuelson, Heather F**Subject:** I spoke with Meryl Frank about an hour ago, and she has a couple of questions.

We talked about what would be happening during the two weeks of CSW: member state speeches, side events hosted by NGOs/member states/the UN, resolutions and draft Agreed Conclusions to be negotiated, the two briefings the USDEL gives to interested NGOs, and the social events which the head of del and public delegates would go to.

Towards the end of the conversation, she asked me whether this was a full-time position. She said she had spoken with Amb. Linda Tarr-Whelan (who I'm told was the U.S. Rep during the Clinton years; that was before I started working the women's issues), and that Linda said it had been a full-time job. Linda added that when she took the job, she asked that it be converted into a part-time position because Linda wanted to keep the job she already had.

I said I can't speak on what this new Adm. wants to do, but I can just report that since 2003 when I started working these issues, the position was not full-time. And the last U.S. Rep., Amb. Pat Brister, thought that was fine -- because she already had a full-time job and other civic activities back in Louisiana.

Meryl Frank said that concerned her because she was under the impression that the CSW position was a full-time job, and

She said she would speak to others about this, she did not say who though.

Also, Meryl Frank wonders if anyone has a Job Description for the U.S. Rep to the CSW.

Thx, LL,
x7-1655 phone
x7-4628 fax
LumLL@state.gov

B6

RELEASE IN PART B6

Bravo on the trip

From: Jan Piercy [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Bravo on the trip

Hillary, I will only email sparingly, but do want to give an outsider's affirmation that the trip is playing really, really well back here. Great first set of countries, and great sequencing.


I sent the email below to Cheryl.



All the best, Jan

From: Jan Piercy
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2009 4:23 PM
To: 'millsd@state.gov'
Cc: 'nbekava [redacted]' 'williamsbarrett [redacted]' 'Melanne Verveer'
Subject: Holbrooke Sp Envoy staffing

Cheryl:



B6

Jan

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fwd: Memo for the Secretary of State

From: Maggie Williams

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: Fwd: Memo for the Secretary of State

This is the memo we discussed

Looking for work? Get job alerts, employment information, career advice and job-seeking tools at AOL Find a Job .

RELEASE IN FULL

FW: U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom - 22 USC 6431

From: Mills, Cheryl D MillsCD@state.gov

To: Abedin, Huma AbedinH@state.gov

Subject: FW: U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom - 22 USC 6431

FYI - can't recall if she got this but shared the substance with her.

From: Kennedy, Patrick F
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Tue Feb 17 19:08:41 2009
Subject: U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom - 22 USC 6431
Cheryl

Per discussion today

9 member body - three appointed by POTUS, three by House, and three by Senate

Amb. at Large is ex-officio, non-voting member

Regards

pat

§ 6431. Establishment and composition

How

Current is This?

(a) In general

There is established the United States Commission on International Religious Freedom.

(b) Membership

(1) Appointment

The Commission shall be composed of—

(A) the Ambassador at Large, who shall serve ex officio as a nonvoting member of the Commission; and

(B) Nine [1] other members, who shall be United States citizens who are not being paid as officers or employees of the United States, and who shall be appointed as follows:

(i) Three members of the Commission shall be appointed by the President.

(ii) Three members of the Commission shall be appointed by the President pro tempore of the Senate, of which two of the members shall be appointed upon the recommendation of the leader in the Senate of the political party that is not the political party of the President, and of which one of the members shall be appointed upon the recommendation of the leader in the Senate of the other political party.

(iii) Three members of the Commission shall be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, of which two of the members shall be appointed upon the recommendation of the leader in the House of the political party that is not the political party of the President, and of which one of the members shall be appointed upon the recommendation of the leader in the House of the other political party.

(2) Selection

(A) In general

Members of the Commission shall be selected among distinguished individuals noted for their knowledge and experience in fields relevant to the issue of international religious freedom, including foreign affairs, direct experience abroad, human rights, and international law.

(B) Security clearances

Each member of the Commission shall be required to obtain a security clearance.

(3) Time of appointment

The appointments required by paragraph (1) shall be made not later than 120 days after October 27, 1998.

(c) Terms

(1) In general

The term of office of each member of the Commission shall be 2 years. The term of each member of the Commission appointed to the first two-year term of the Commission shall be considered to have begun on May 15, 1999, and shall end on May 14, 2001, regardless of the date of appointment to the Commission. The term of each member of the Commission appointed to the second two-year term of the Commission shall begin on May 15, 2001, and shall end on May 14, 2003, regardless of the date of appointment to the Commission. In the case in which a vacancy in the membership of the Commission is filled during a two-year term of the Commission, such membership on the Commission shall terminate at the end of that two-year term of the Commission. Members of the Commission shall be eligible for reappointment to a second term.

(2) Establishment of staggered terms

(A) In general

Notwithstanding paragraph (1), members of the Commission appointed to serve on the Commission during the period May 15, 2003, through May 14, 2005, shall be appointed to terms in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph.

(B) Presidential appointments

Of the three members of the Commission appointed by the President under subsection (b)(1)(B)(i) of this section, two shall be appointed to a 1-year term and one shall be appointed to a 2-year term.

(C) Appointments by the President pro tempore of the Senate

Of the

RELEASE IN FULL

Fw:

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: Fw:

RELEASE IN PART B6

Re: The sobering and not so sobering...

From: Burns Strider [redacted]

B6

To: Senator Clinton Hillary hdr22@clintonemail.com;Miny on Moore [redacted]

CC: bstrider [redacted]

Subject: Re: The sobering and not so sobering...



I'm glad you're home. You were awesome out there. It was like watching America be America again... Showcasing our greatness thru friendship, Embodying our ideals by example, sharing our values in dialogue and discussion... It was watching the best of the American dream in action.

I often attended one of the legal churches when I was in Beijing... If one knew where to look, washed over or chiseled away "Methodist mission" signs could be seen... The location I attended had actually been the Methodist Mission prior to Mao... It was the primary legal church so it may have been the one you attended! Sadly, I didn't encounter any purpose-driven preaching!!?? [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: Senator Clinton Hillary

To: Burns Strider

To: Moore Minyon

ReplyTo: Senator Clinton Hillary

Subject: Re: The sobering and not so sobering...

Sent: Feb 22, 2009 6:46 PM

I just landed from Riceland and am not thinking about all the challenges in the world but wondering why you are
 Have you had your rice today?

B6

I went to church in Beijing this am. It was an official one but the young pastor gave a dynamic sermon about our duty to be good housekeepers of the earth. He also know Rick Warren which I deduced when he ended by encouraging his flock to lead "purpose-driven lives."

-----Original Message-----

From: Burns Strider

To: Senator Clinton Hillary

To: Minyon Moore

ReplyTo: bstrider


Sent: Feb 22, 2009 12:37 PM

Subject: The sobering and not so sobering...

In honor of the boss lady's historic week...

"Sikh jo fahn mai-a"

-- Cantonese for "have you had your rice yet?" This is the standard mid-day greeting for Cantonese speakers... It can simply be a warm hello or an invitation to lunch. It showcases the incredible impact of rice on the culture, even in standard greetings...



Okay, the not so sobering joke of the day:

"There were two cannibals who unsuspectingly captured a comedian and made him into a stew. While the cannibals were eating the stew, one asked the other, "Does this taste funny to you?" ---- hahahaha. Have a good day!

Burns Strider

Burns Strider

RELEASE IN PART B6

One of your people at State. Fw: Global Partnership Center Website

From: Burns Strider [redacted]

B6

To: hdr22@clintonemail.com

Subject: One of your people at State. Fw: Global Partnership Center Website

See comments below from Robert... He's been an active volunteer, doing online faith blogging for me for a couple of years. [redacted]
[redacted] and is in your Global Partnership office. Incredible young man...

-----Original Message-----

From: Lalka, Robert T

To: bstrider [redacted]

Sent: Mon Feb 23 16:42:26 2009

Subject: FW: Global Partnership Center Website

Burns,

I just realized that tonight's when the Delta State reception is happening and I'm hoping to make it. Just in case, I wanted to write to let you know that I had the chance to meet the Secretary for the first time today. She was visiting the East Asia and Pacific Affairs Office to thank them for their work on her first trip abroad; and, man, the folks here just seem to love her...

I also haven't sent you a link to the Global Partnership Center's website as promised, so I have enclosed the link with the quotes we are using in the "read more" boxes you'll see on the right side of the page.

Hope to see you again tonight,

Best,
Rob

<http://www.state.gov/s/d/rm/partnerships/>

"Diplomacy is primarily a government mission. But there are lots of ways that nongovernment actors, like corporations, like religious organizations, like charities and foundations, are actually building relationships with foreign governments and foreign people all the time, which, if done in the right way, are really value added to who we are as a nation and what we can achieve." -Secretary of State Hillary Clinton

"I think it's a real opportunity for us if we can figure how best to better coordinate and facilitate the private sector and the not-for-profit and religious community of the United States on behalf of humanitarian and commercial efforts."

- Secretary of State Hillary Clinton

"The State Department will be firing on all cylinders to provide forward-thinking, sustained diplomacy in every part of the world, applying pressure wherever it may be needed, but also looking for opportunities, exerting leverage, cooperating with our military and other agencies of government, partnering with nongovernmental organizations, the private sector, and international organizations, using modern technologies for public outreach, empowering negotiators who can protect our interests while understanding those of our negotiating partners. Diplomacy is hard work. But when we work hard, diplomacy can work, not just to defuse tensions, but to achieve results that advance our security interests and values."

- Secretary of State Hillary Clinton

"I want more partnerships....I don't think there's any substitute for having seasoned, experienced professionals and experts leading our efforts on diplomacy and development and working, where possible, in partnership and coordination with the private sector and the not-for profit sector."

-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton

"You know, right now in Rwanda, a number of foundations, a number of churches, a number of private sector actors are all working to try to build that country back up. So I would hope that when we look at the State Department, we think of the role of foreign policy, diplomacy, and

development as involving not just those who are the Foreign Service officers and the Civil Service professionals and the development experts, but really it's all hands on deck. We have a lot of work to, in my view, kind of repair damage and get out there and present America as we know we are."

-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton

Also, I remember mentioning this study to you:

http://www.brookings.edu/reports/2008/~media/Files/rc/reports/2008/07_national_security_brainard/07_national_security_brainard.pdf Check out the sections on development and human rights (pages 17 and 18), and if it's something you'd like to read more on, there are a number of good reads, including one I just finished called Security By Other Means, which I would be happy to lend to you.

Robert Tice Lalka

Work: 202.647.3408 | Fax: 202.647.3311

Public-Private Partnerships Liaison
at the Global Partnership Center

<http://www.state.gov/s/d/rm/partnerships>

US Department of State
2201 C Street NW Suite 3800
Washington, DC 20520
United States of America

RELEASE IN PART B6

What the Bible Says about Federal Budgets...

From: Burns Strider

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net**Subject:** What the Bible Says about Federal Budgets...

Three of eight posts are up at www.faithfuldemocrats.com from the updated Primer on Scripture and the Budget for 2009.

The second posting is also attached: The Responsibility of the Nation and Its Government to "the Least of These"

Over the next week at Faithfuldemocrats.com, we are going to publish each section of the updated Primer on Scripture and the Budget for 2009, which is organized by theme. Our hope is that you will use this resource, share it with friends, and discuss it in the comment section...and that together we can make a positive contribution to the upcoming budget debates that will shape our country's priorities and demonstrate where its heart truly lies.

The sections and future posts are titled:

The Responsibility of the Nation and Its Government to "the Least of These"
 The Policy Implications of Praying, "Thy Kingdom Come..."
 On Corruption and the Exploitation of Workers
 The Sin of Helping the Rich at the Expense of the Poor
 The Blessedness of the Poor and Our Christian Responsibility to Them
 Wealth, Materialism, and the Bible's View of an "Ownership Society"
 Countering the Right's Pharisaical Approach to Moral Legalism
 Concluding Thoughts: Applying Scripture in a Pluralistic Society

Back in 2006, the budget debate followed immediately on the heels of Congressional consideration of the Marriage Amendment. As a result, there was a desire by a number of Democratic leaders for a reference guide that would more easily allow Democrats to authentically speak out against the extreme and selective use of scripture by the Republicans and their allies on the Right. The first "Guide to Scripture and the Budget" that included scriptural references and simple talking points to help equip Christian Democrats in their response to Republican budget arguments was distributed shortly before the 2006 budget debate.

My underlying assumption in writing and continuing to update this document is that Democrats should not cede the prophetic language of scripture and its ability to inspire and frame issues in a moral context to the other side. Many traditional Democratic positions are rooted in the teachings of scripture, and it is time Democrats stopped losing on the Bible.

The language of scripture is a language that calls people to turn their attention away from selfish desire and personal gain and to focus instead on serving God and their neighbors. Furthermore, it is a language that speaks with moral clarity and purpose. Therefore it speaks with authority to the policy debates currently underway in our country. In short, it is a language Democrats cannot afford to ignore.

One aspect of the Democratic response to the upcoming Republican budget attacks must be a willingness by "faithful Democrats" to discuss budget and tax policies from a moral perspective and to challenge Republicans to apply the same moral codes to kitchen table issues that they so eagerly embrace on bedroom issues...because we all know that as soon as we start talking about budget and taxes, the Republicans will put away their Bibles and turn to Darwinian social and economic theories to support their policy positions.

RELEASE IN PART B6

My news

From: Steve Rattner [redacted]

B6

To: Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net

Subject: My news

Hillary:

Just to let you know that it's now official. For whatever it's worth, here is the official description of my responsibilities: Steven Rattner is joining the Treasury Department as Counselor to the Secretary. In that capacity, he will advise Secretary Timothy Geithner regarding a variety of economic and financial matters and will lead the team advising Secretary Geithner and National Economic Council Director Lawrence Summers on the automobile sector. Thanks for all your support and offers of help while I was working through this.

Steve

Steven Rattner
Managing Principal

Quadrangle Group LLC
375 Park Avenue
New York NY 10152

Telephone [redacted]
Facsimile [redacted]

[redacted]

www.quadranglegroup.com

RELEASE IN PART B6

Welcome Back

From: Jack Keane **To:** Hillary Clinton hr15@att.blackberry.net**CC:** Jack Keane **Subject:** Welcome Back

Hillary

Am certain you had a productive trip. Your public comments were right on the mark!

I was initially disappointed you could not get to Iraq, Af/Pak, the ME., on your first trip, given their priority and POTUS near term decisions on Iraq/Afg. But I do understand, the special envoys were in the region and I assume you did not want to undermine the significance of their visit by following in on their heels.

I respect your choice, the far east, our second most challenging neighborhood and avoiding, at least initially, the normal tendency to visit our friends in Europe. Am convinced in the 21st C the center of gravity for international security and strife has moved east, out of Europe, where it was centered for most of the 20thC.

I visited Sheikh Muhammad(Def MIn) for a few hours on last Saturday, in a private discussion, at his residence in Abu Dhabi. I found him to be quite impressive, a young dynamic leader who is surprisingly modest, yet a visionary leader who is well grounded in reality. The most powerful influence in UAE, despite his older brother, the President; he is destined to succeed him. Believe when you and the POTUS are formulating plans for the region, you should rely on the wisdom of certain key regional leaders whose judgment you trust(know you are doing this but POTUS, has few, if any, relationships in the region). SM is one of the new forward looking leaders, in addition to the Emir and CP of Bahrain and the Sultan of Oman. I was struck by how similar SM is in temperament and personality to the POTUS. We discussed many of his concerns, and of course, he wanted advice on all of it(would prefer to discuss this on the phone).

One issues is that he desires an early and influential relationship with the Obama Team and is planning to officially seek a visit during last 2 weeks of March. Anything you can do to assist is appreciated. BTW, I have no financial relationship with SM although he did host my stay at the Emirates Palace Hotel. He desires me to return to discuss the same issues with his brothers and some other UAE influential leaders in the next few months.

All the best, Jack

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

RELEASE IN PART
B6

Followup

To: Philippe Reines Ben Kobren ben_kobren@clinton.senate.gov

B6

Subject: Followup

I saw in NYPost the item that we weren't well received at the Abyssinian event. That is not true. Can we get someone else to say that?

RELEASE IN PART B6

Fw: TD memo on INR enclosed and attached. Sid

To: Oscar Flores

B6

Subject: Fw: TD memo on INR enclosed and attached. Sid

Pls print.

Re: Tonight

From: Justin Cooper [redacted]

RELEASE IN PART B6

To: Hillary Clinton HDR22@clintonemail.com; Hannah Richert [redacted]

B6

Subject: Re: Tonight

We did and they are standing by I just emailed in fact to see if u were on your way

----- Original Message -----

From: H

To: Justin Cooper; Hannah Richert

Sent: Tue Aug 24 20:06:23 2010

Subject: Tonight

The Service here is saying they didn't know we were going anywhere. I thought they did. Have you given them address?

From: Margaret Williams [redacted]

B6

Sent: 5/27/2012 1:06:11 PM +00:00

RELEASE IN PART B6

To: Capricia Marshall [redacted]

B6

CC: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>; Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>; Cheryl Mills [redacted];
mmoore [redacted]

Subject: Re:


A birthday? am surprised you have them. Thought you never aged!

. . .and good for BO for recognizing what a gift he has in you.


On May 26, 2012, at 7:51 PM, Capricia Marshall wrote:

- > Hey hope you all are having a great weekend!
- > You cannot believe the great gift POTUS sent me for my birthday!
- > A 10x14 black and white photo of him with Cameron and me signed and framed!
- >
- > Sent from my iPad

Message Headers:




B6




From: Margaret Williams [redacted]
[redacted]

Date: Sun, 27 May 2012 09:06:11 -0400

CC: "hdr22@clintonemail.com" <hdr22@clintonemail.com>, Huma Abedin
<Huma@clintonemail.com>, Cheryl Mills [redacted]
<mmoore [redacted]>



To: Capricia Marshall [redacted]





B6

PR_RIM_PAGER_TX_FLAG: true
PR_RIM_MSG_REF_ID: -878288023
PR_RIM_MSG_FOLDER_ID: -3
PR_RIM_MSG_ON_DEVICE_3_6: true
PR_RIM_MSG_STATUS: 1
PR_RIM_INTERNET_MESSAGE_ID: [Redacted]

From: H

RELEASE IN PART
B5

Sent: 9/29/2011 11:33:42 AM +00:00

To: 'millscd@state.gov'

B5

Subject: Followup

[redacted] told me that [redacted] was supposed to have told you to send over Nancy Powell's name for India. Has she?

PR_RIM_PAGER_TX_FLAG: false

PR_RIM_MSG_REF_ID: 2101103635

PR_RIM_MSG_FOLDER_ID: -4

PR_RIM_DELETED_BY_DEVICE: true

PR_RIM_MSG_ON_DEVICE_3_6: true

PR_RIM_MSG_STATUS:

1

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: CALCOL [REDACTED]

Sent: 1/29/2009 8:56:09 PM +00:00

To: clintonhr@state.gov

Subject: Personal & Confidential: US Secy of State Hillary R. Clinton from Norman Kaplan

B6

Dear Madame Secretary Clinton,

I STRONGLY **object** to any suggestion of sending Ahmadinijead a love letter for Valentine's Day this year.

Any kind of letter to him (open, closed or otherwise) will be interpreted in my opinion as a sign of US weakness and crumbling under pressure. We may as well bring back Pres. Jimmy Carter as Special Envoy to Iran--who allowed the US superpower to be held hostage to Ahmadinijead and his group of thugs 30 years ago--the whole US govt ground to a halt in the hostage crisis when we should have considered it a "causus belli" and declared war on Iran if they refused to let our US Embassy personnel go and restore normal relations. They are tough bastards and unless we stand up to them face-to-face and mano-a-mano they will destroy us with nuclear weapons, which I believe they already have. I think they should instead be demanded at the UN to surrender their nuclear weapons or we will consider it an act of war on USA--invoking also the hostage taking of 1979 as an active "causus belli" and assist Israel in dropping bunker busting bombs and even tactical nuclear weapons on their hardened bunkers, atomic piles, centrifuges, and research labs in their universities in Tehran and other cities, and their testing centers in the deserts. If I can be of help to you please do not hesitate to call upon me. With kind personal regards, and best to your husband President Bill Clinton, your friend, Norman C. Kaplan CALCOL INC. Cleveland, Ohio and Beijing, China

From Wall Street to Main Street and everywhere in between, stay up-to-date with the [latest news](#).

**Message
Headers:**

e=3DArial color=3D#000000 size=3D2>
<DIV>Dear Madame Secretary Clinton,</DIV>
<DIV>I STRONGLY<U> object</U> to any suggestion of =
sending=20
Ahmadinijead a love letter for Valentine's Day this year.</DIV>
<DIV>Any kind of letter to him (open, closed or otherwise) will be =
interpreted=20
in my opinion as a sign of US weakness and crumbling under pressure. We =

may as=20

well bring back Pres. Jimmy Carter as Special Envoy to Iran--who allowed =
the US=20

superpower to be held hostage to Ahmadijead and his group of thugs 30 =
years=20

a

RELEASE IN FULL

The Democrats Prosperity Plan

These are our commitments to the American people for the next two years, compared with the Republican positions embodied in their agenda or the statements of their Congressional leaders. From the lowest point of the recession, the US has recovered 70% of its lost GDP, compared with 60% for Germany, 50% for Japan, and 30% for the United Kingdom. Now we have to create millions of new jobs by 1) doing more in areas where jobs can grow fastest; 2) making the financing businesses need to grow more available; 3) and making sure Americans have the education and training necessary to fill new jobs quickly.

1. To create new jobs in areas of greatest potential -- small business, clean energy, manufacturing and infrastructure -- we support:
 - a. New tax incentives for small businesses to expand and create jobs
 - b. An expanded clean energy strategy with tax credits to produce more electricity from the wind and sun, manufacture advanced batteries for electric and hybrid cars, and put our construction workers back on the job retrofitting houses and public and commercial buildings.
 - c. An increase in incentives just implemented to create manufacturing jobs in America, and elimination of those that encourage sending jobs overseas, replacing them with incentives to bring U.S. companies' overseas profits home and invest them here.
 - d. A new, fully paid for \$50 billion investment in new or improved roads, railways, and runways.

The GOP Position

- a. They oppose all these measures and filibuster efforts to end tax breaks for moving jobs offshore.
 - b. They propose to cancel remaining job creating elements of the stimulus bill, in spite of the fact that according to the most recent independent study, stimulus investments already made have reduced unemployment 1.5%.
2. U.S. banks have \$1.8 trillion in cash uncommitted to loans and US companies have more than \$1 trillion in uncommitted cash. To encourage banks to lend again and companies to invest again, we support:
 - a. New incentives for small business lending on top of 90% loan guarantees already passed.
 - b. Loan guarantees for clean energy technology companies and for energy efficiency projects.
 - c. Enforcement of our financial oversight bill to discourage risky, non-job creating financial transactions and spur traditional lending to create and expand businesses and increase employment.

The GOP Position

They intend to repeal the financial oversight bill, enabling big banks to return to the casino gambling practices that caused the crisis in the first place. The GOP also says

it will ban future TARP bailouts but that has already been done in our financial oversight bill. Their position makes future bailouts more likely.

3. We must educate and train Americans for available jobs, now and in the future, by increasing enrolment in higher education and good training programs. In the last decade, our economic future has been threatened by falling from first to 12th in the percentage of young adults with college degrees. The US is still leads the world in college going rates but more and more young people are dropping out because of rising college costs and debt burdens.

We Support

1. Full implementation of the sweeping student loan reform law recently passed by Congress and signed by the President, which lowers the cost of loans; allows students to repay the loans as a small fixed percentage of their income, with loan forgiveness after twenty years; and saves \$60 billion by eliminating unnecessary, middle man bank fees.
2. An increase of \$1000 in Pell grants and a tax credit for family college costs of up to \$2,500 per year, fully covering the cost of almost all community colleges to allow 5 million more graduates by 2020.

The GOP Position

They advocate repealing the student loan reform which will raise the cost of college loans, make them much harder to repay, and increase the debt by \$60 billion by restoring the bank subsidies.

Training

In July alone, there were over 3 million unfilled job openings.

We propose

Investment in training for the specific skills required by the open jobs, to be provided by employers, community colleges, or qualified training centers.

The GOP Position

They advocate a huge cutback in job training, while supporting the far more expensive permanent extension of temporary tax cuts to the wealthiest 2% of taxpayers.

4. Get back on the path of fiscal responsibility

We supported temporary spending increases to stop the economic decline caused by the financial crisis, but the long term impact on the debt of these expenditures will be much less because more than 90% of the TARP funding will be repaid and much of the stimulus bill was one time spending to create or save jobs.

We also reinstated the PAYGO rule which requires new expenditures and tax cuts to be covered by spending cuts or revenue increases. In 2001, the GOP repealed the PAYGO

rule that led to 4 surpluses and paying down 600 billion on the national debt in the Clinton years. As a result, once in control of both Congress and the White House, the GOP doubled the debt of the country between 2001 and September 2008, before the financial crisis.

Besides PAYGO, which will limit future spending, we will implement further steps to get the budget back in balance as the economy recovers and we receive the recommendations of the bipartisan Fiscal Commission to balance the primary budget (everything but interest costs on the debt) by 2015. We also support improvements in health reform with measures that have been proven to lower health costs and improve the quality of care, including incentives to pay fixed fees for annual enrollment in good plans like the Mayo Clinic's instead of paying for procedures and the implementation of "best practices" proven to save lives and money, like common anti-infection procedures in our hospitals, which would save thousands of lives and billions of dollars each year.

The GOP Position

A. First the record:

- a. From 2001 to 2005, they repealed PAYGO, dramatically increased spending, cut taxes, mostly for the wealthiest Americans, and nearly doubled the national debt.
- b. After first supporting it, they opposed the bipartisan Fiscal Commission, apparently because it would expose the flawed accounting in their ideological budgets and it provides Democrats and Republicans an opportunity to work together, not just say no to each other.

B. They propose a budget in which all temporary tax cuts are made permanent, including taxes on upper income Americans; increased spending on defense, veterans and Medicare (while turning more of it over to insurance companies); adding \$60 billion to the debt by repealing the student loan reforms; and cutting \$100 billion a year in all other government programs. That means steep cuts in education and training, food and safety and clean air enforcement, child nutrition, farm supports, environmental protection, workplace safety, medical and high technology research and homeland security. The non-partisan Americans For Tax Policy says the GOP budget actually requires even more cuts to balance the budget by 2020, to the point of virtually eliminating all other federal spending.

C. They also propose full repeal, not reform of health care, putting costs and coverage back in the hands of insurance companies. And they blame health reform for 2010 increases in health insurance premiums. But premiums doubled between 2001 and 2008 when there was no health reform and low inflation. In 2009, in the depth of the recession, before health care reform provided them a convenient but bogus excuse for raising rates (in fact the new law provides tax cuts to millions of individuals and small businesses who will be able to afford to buy health insurance for the first time), insurance companies raised rates sharply, saying rising costs for people already covered required it. Instead, insurance companies dropped 5 and half million people and increased the deficit because many of them had to turn to Medicaid. Meanwhile, as most Americans suffered, the companies used higher premiums to boost executive salaries and to increase their profits a staggering 26%! Don't be fooled: the GOP

wants full repeal of health reform because the law requires 85% of your premiums to be spent on health care, not profits and promotion. We can do more to improve quality and cut costs but the answer is to “mend it, don’t end it.”

The Choice in 2010

The Democrats want to restore the American dream, rebuild the middle class, and give the working poor a chance to work their way into it.

The Republicans want a return to the policies that produced only 2.5 million jobs before the financial crisis (vs. ten times as many, 22.7 million, in the ‘90s), triggered the worst recession since the Depression, threatening the living standards of middle-class Americans and dramatically increasing the number of adults and children living in poverty.

The Republicans say America gave Democrats 21 months to dig out of the hole they dug for eight years and we blew it with too much spending, too much government and too little progress. So America should put them back in the majority, so they can return to the very policies that caused the problem, this time in even more extreme form.

The Democrats say we’ve recovered a higher percentage of national income lost in the crisis than Germany, Japan and Great Britain and are poised to create millions of jobs, with practical policies to do it. We ask for two more years because the hole we were in was too deep to grow out of in 21 months. That would give us four years to prove our ideas before the next election – just half as long to build out of the hole as the GOP had to dig it. This is not the time to repeal financial oversight, student loan reform, or the march to world leadership in green jobs; not the time to put health insurance companies back in the drivers seat by repealing health care instead of improving it. Not the time to give Medicare to the insurance companies and Social Security to Wall Street. Not the time to restore trickle down economics and give big special interests a stranglehold on our future.

The GOP strategy of unfunded tax cuts for the wealthiest, more subsidies to special interests, and massive cuts in health and education, medical and technological research, clean air, safe food, and homeland security won’t work. It didn’t work before. It certainly won’t work on steroids.

The Democratic strategy of achieving job growth in the areas most ripe for it, securing the necessary private financing to create those jobs, training people to do them, and presenting a real plan to restore the budget to balance, will work. It worked in the 90s and it will work again. Give us two more years, just half the time you gave them to get us in this mess, and you’ll see!

From: Huma Abedin

Sent: 10/1/2010 11:17:01 PM +00:00

RELEASE IN PART B6

To: Oscar Flores <

CC: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

Subject: Fw:

Attachments: The Democrats Prosperity Plan.docx

Can u print for hrc?

Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

-----Original Message-----

From: Justin Cooper <

Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 18:34:35

To: Huma Abedin <Huma@clintonemail.com>

Subject:

This is the doc WJC has been working on with the Biden folks.
He wanted hrc to have / see

B6

Message Headers:

[Redacted]

B6

Subject: Fw:
To: oscar [Redacted]
CC: "H" <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Date: Fri, 1 Oct 2010 23:17:01 +0000

[Redacted]

PR_RIM_MSG_REF_ID:

-791308209

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: Huma Abedin
Sent: 11/12/2010 4:12:53 AM +00:00
To: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
Subject: Re: They won't be ready till 10:30. And jake just emailed group saying he couldn't get on.

Ok

----- Original Message -----

From: H
To: Huma Abedin
Sent: Thu Nov 11 22:23:47 2010
Subject: Re: They won't be ready till 10:30. And jake just emailed group saying he couldn't get on.

I need to see eithe Sunday or Monday night.

B6

----- Original Message -----

From: Huma Abedin
To: H
Sent: Thu Nov 11 22:21:01 2010
Subject: They won't be ready till 10:30. And jake just emailed group saying he couldn't get on.

Its:
Dan shapiro, ben rhodes, mitchell, mdconough, donilon, prem kumar.

PR_RIM_PAGER_TX_FLAG: false

PR_RIM_MSG_REF_ID: 1471602476

PR_RIM_MSG_FOLDER_ID: -5

PR_RIM_DELETED_BY_DEVICE: true

PR_RIM_MSG_ON_DEVICE_3_6: true

PR_RIM_MSG_STATUS: 1



▶ MEMO

RELEASE IN FULL

To: Secretary Clinton

From: Doug Hattaway

CC: Ambassador Vermeer

Date: November 23, 2012

Re: Update: Motivating and Mobilizing Millennials for Gender Equality in America

I hope you're doing well, and getting some well-deserved R&R. You asked to be kept up-to-date on this initiative, which has made great strides since Melanne and I discussed it in September. As you may recall, the goal of *Millennials Matter* is to inspire the largest generation in American history to make gender equality a priority—and to take action in support of leaders and organizations working on a wide range of issues important to women and girls in the U.S.

To date, we've engaged a great group of thought leaders and advocates to identify the need and flesh out the plan. They agreed that the movement needs a new narrative that speaks the language of a new generation, as well as guidance and resources for connecting with young people in meaningful ways.

My team conducted a landscape analysis of existing research on Millennials and gender issues, and were shocked to discover how little has been done to understand this generation's views on the topic. We've also reviewed the activities of a wide variety of groups working on these issues domestically, and analyzed the public dialogue on gender equality in U.S. media. As shown in the accompanying visual briefing, much of it misses the mark and fails to connect with the concerns of this generation.

Our next step is to conduct groundbreaking research in 2013 with Millennial women and men. We plan to explore Millennials' values, attitudes and beliefs toward gender equality; their motivations to engage with the cause; and the best tools and techniques for getting them involved.

This memo, and the visual briefing, shares our learning and thinking, thus far. We would love to hear your thoughts, and explore ways you might be interested in getting involved.

Vision: Empower Movement Champions to Inspire & Engage Millennials

With initial funding from the Woodcock Foundation, the initiative has been informed by dozens of thought leaders, activists and funders who identified an urgent need to engage young adults currently aged 18-32. This age group will dominate politics and the public dialogue over the next decade, and have the potential to infuse the movement with new energy, resources and people power. However, few organizations have attempted to engage them, and the field lacks insights on the best message to inspire them and the most effective techniques to mobilize them.

To meet this need, our vision to is to empower movement leaders and grassroots activists with:

- ▣ Groundbreaking research and a new narrative about gender equality that will inspire Millennials to take action
- ▣ Cutting-edge tools and techniques for effectively engaging this generation to get involved in the cause
- ▣ Content, training and support to help movement leaders and grassroots advocates be inspiring communicators
- ▣ Hands-on engagement to change the political debate, improve the cultural dialogue and raise the visibility of the cause among young people, particularly college students

Key Learning to Date: Millennials Value Equality, But Don't See a Problem

Our analysis confirmed a real need to change the public dialogue on these issues, in order to connect with Millennials. Messages and images reaching young adults through news, entertainment and social media send mixed messages about the problem. And organizations working in the space have not found the right ways to raise awareness, change attitudes and move young people to action.

Our initial research suggested several strategic considerations and opportunities:

- Many Millennials, both men and women, seem to be unaware of gender inequities in the United States today.
- They embrace diversity, and their social values indicate that many would be inclined to support efforts to advance gender equality—if they were aware of the need.
- Support for progressive causes and candidates can't be taken for granted among this generation. For example, President Obama, while maintaining majority support among Millennials in the election, actually *lost* the most ground with Millennials aged 18-22, compared to all other age groups.
- Currently, male politicians and pundits dominate the media conversation about women's issues, and young people are hearing about these issues mostly in the context of partisan politics.
- Judging from the coverage, commentary and conversation in news and social media, the movement seems to be largely on the defensive—reacting to attacks from the right, rather than driving a proactive, positive vision.

Progress to Date: Building Momentum with Movement Leaders

Thought Leaders. A diverse group of thought leaders has contributed ideas and insights. They include national leaders, grassroots advocates, funders, bloggers and others—ranging from the founder of Feministing.com and the CEO of Women Moving Millions to representatives from Google, Ford Foundation, Planned Parenthood, Third Wave, White House Project, and more. (A complete list of people who have contributed ideas is on the next page.)

Half the Sky. We are partnering with the Campus Ambassador Program of Half the Sky, the multi-media campaign launched by New York Times columnist Nicholas Kristof. We'll be conducting research with the Campus Ambassadors, who are organizing their college campuses for Half the Sky, to find out what they're learning about how to engage their peers on gender issues.

CGI. We are also in conversation with the Clinton Global Initiative's Penny Abeywardena, head of the Women and Girls Program, and Bill Wetzel, director of CGI University. We are exploring ways to partner with CGI and CGIU to raise the visibility of these issues and engage U.S. college students in the cause.

Potential Funders. We have initial funding from the Woodcock Foundation, which will cover the qualitative research planned for early 2013. We are cultivating interest among potential funders, including representatives from Women Moving Millions, the Ford Foundation, the Ms. Foundation and the Women Donors Network.

On December 3rd, we're holding a discussion with nearly 100 thought leaders, activists and Millennials at Google's New York headquarters for to generate ideas for our in-depth research with young people in 2013. We plan to keep this network engaged throughout the R&D phase, so that we have a cadre of committed champions ready to make use of the learning and resources that result from this work.

Thank you for your continued interest in this initiative—and for all you do to empower women around the world. If you're interested in learning more, we'd be glad to brief you and explore ways that you might get involved.

Thought Leaders

Jimmie Briggs – Man Up

Jennifer Buffett – NoVo Foundation

Tiffany Dufu – White House Project

Nina Gidwaney – Women Moving Millions

Julie Greene – AFL-CIO

Maya Harris –Ford Foundation

Jessica Houssian – Women Moving Millions

LaShawn Jefferson – Ford Foundation

Ileana Jimenez –Feminist Teacher

Margot Kane – Calvert Foundation

Anjali Kumar – Women’s Leadership Steering Committee, Google

Vivien Labaton – Atlantic Philanthropies

Courtney Martin – Valenti Martin Media

Heather McGhee – Demos

Ted Miller – NARRAL

Elizabeth Patella – Acumen Fund

Mahsa Pelosky – The White House Project

Amy Richards –Third Wave Foundation

Pamela Shifman – NoVo Foundation

Mini Timmaraju – Planned Parenthood

Jessica Valenti – Feministing.com

Vanessa Valenti – Valenti Martin Media

Melanne Verveer –State Department Office of Global Women’s Issues

Jamia Wilson – Women’s Media Center

Yasmina Zaidman – Acumen Fund

Jacki Zehner –Women Moving Millions

Millennials Matter!

Motivating and Mobilizing a New Generation for Gender Equality in America

RELEASE IN FULL


**Our goal is to
inspire and enable
young Americans to
take action.**

Millennials Matter Vision:


The largest generation in history actively supports causes that improve the lives of women and girls in America—and enable everyone to reach their full potential, regardless of their gender.

A diverse group of established leaders and emerging voices have contributed insights and ideas to shape this initiative.


FOUNDATIONS




ACTIVISTS



MEDIA




OTHER



Why do Millennials matter?

They have a major voice in politics and policy.

Percentage of Millennials in the national electorate:



** Benenson Strategy Group projection of likely voters*

Their social values and life experience suggest they support equality.

They're the most diverse generation ever.

They come from diverse family structures.


They are attaining high education levels.

They support social values and causes.

But many don't perceive a problem with gender equality in the U.S.


45%

see **NO** advantage to being
a man or woman in the U.S.



13%

see a **greater** advantage
to being a woman




** CBS News Poll*

It's no surprise: Gender equality gets a small share of attention in national social media conversation.

Our content analysis of social media conversation found that gender equality got less attention in 2012 than many other issues (even marijuana legalization).

Politicians and pundits are driving the debate about women.

Six of the top 10 most visible messengers on women's issues in 2012 were male politicians and pundits, as determined by our news media content analysis.





The political debate does not reflect the concerns of many women.

Political debate on gender issues, as reflected in news coverage and commentary, focused almost entirely on reproductive rights and pay equity (left). Grassroots conversation, as reflected in social media, covered a much wider range of issues (right).

KEY WORDS THAT DROVE
EARNED MEDIA DIALOGUE

KEY WORDS THAT DROVE
SOCIAL MEDIA CONVERSATION



Our next step is to conduct groundbreaking research with women and men, which will show how to inspire and engage Millennials.

Ethnographic Interviews

Exploratory Focus Groups

Winning Words Focus Groups

National Millennial Survey

Millennial Mobilization Study

The research will help us create an aspirational narrative that will motivate Millennials. We'll also offer content, tools and training that will empower champions to mobilize them.

National leaders and grassroots activists

Political leaders, entertainment industry, bloggers and journalists

Engaged Millennials

Insights, Content, Tools & Training


+

Influentials

=

Mobilized Millennials

**We'll create
a digital resource
center providing
research, tools,
content and
connections—
for movement
leaders,
grassroots
activists and
young people who
want to get
involved.**



We'll work to change the dialogue in Washington and Hollywood, mobilize young people on college campuses—and strengthen the message delivered by movement leaders and advocates.

**Changing the Political Dialogue:
Outreach to Politicians
and Pundits**

**Changing the Cultural Conversation:
Hollywood Writers'
Workshops**

**Mobilizing Millennials:
College Campus Tours**

**Strengthening the Movement's Message:
Training for National Leaders and
Grassroots Activists**

This presentation, the methodologies and samples contained herein are the intellectual property of Hattaway Communications, Inc. Copyright © 2012 All Rights Reserved.