MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 1 013141-

been in that office.

And the other thing that I think you all might be overlooking, that I think you all should note, is this log system was set up for new background investigations. These are all background investigations that had just recently come into the White House.

- Q That were being checked out?
- A That were being checked out.
- Q Could you explain further what you're suggesting?

A I'm not suggesting. I'm stating that these people--if you look at all the names that--if you look at all the names on the first page, second page, the majority of the third page, a few of the names, I don't remember--all on the fourth page--and then I don't know anyone on this fifth page--were new employees, were new administration employees. None of these were holdovers. There would have been no reason to hand a holdover file to George to do a follow-up.

Q What if a holdover was going to be given to different pass status?

A If he had current background investigation, there would have been on reason to have a new investigation done unless his background investigation was expiring because you have a 5-year-each background investigation has a 5-year lifetime, and then you're due for a new investigation.

Maller reporting CO., MC. 307 C Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002

1 013142

If in that new investigation a question had arose, then there would have been a follow-up.

Q Okay.

A But to my knowledge or to my memory, I should say, all of the people on the first pages that I mentioned were new employees or these were new investigations, and I think if he compared this list with the log that we kept of all background investigations that came in, they're going to be the same names of the new backgrounds.

- Q Backgrounds of new employees?
- A Uh-huh.
- Q Do you personally--do you recall personally logging out background investigations of anyone other than new employees?
  - A No.
- Q Do you have any knowledge that anyone else had done that?
- A No.
  - Q Do you recall anyone ever entering the Office of Personnel Security to review a file and reviewing the file in the office?
    - A Yes.
- Q In those instances, would any entry be made in the log?
  - A What--not in this log, no. What would be made

LER REPORTING CO., INC.

C Street, N.E. hington, D.C. 20082 I

2

3 4

.5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α No.

--other than this Katie individual?

No, not to my recollection.

would be -- and that was generally in the case of the CIA reviewing a file to grant an SEI clearance, which in that instance Katie -- and I have to say I don't remember her last name -- from the office out at Tysons would come in to the White House, and there would be a form that she would have to sign that Craig had already signed off on, saying that we are allowing this person from the CIA to review this file for clearance purposes, and then that would be placed into the file itself, the whole file, and she would review the background investigation only, and I cannot remember, but I believe she would also check the IRS check, and that would be the only thing.

Do you remember any other individuals, for example, individuals from the Counsel's Office, ever coming to the Office of Personnel Security and reviewing files?

- Which individuals do you mean?
- Anyone from the White House Counsel's office. For example, we'll start with Bill Kennedy.

He could check out the files. So I don't remember him coming into the office to review files, no.

Okay. Do you remember anyone else reviewing files in the office --

- 25

Q Okay. Do you recall any instance that you're aware of in which a BI was removed from the Office of Personnel Security without a notation being made in the log indicating that removal?

A The only instances I can recall where that would happen would be when Craig would take a file up to Mr.

Kennedy and keep that file with him and then come back with that file. So the investigation—and sometimes he did take the whole file. The background investigation would sometimes be what he took or sometimes the whole file, and he would take that up to Mr. Kennedy or over to Mr. Cutler or another member of the Counsel's office.

Q Do you have a specific recollection of that happening?

A It happened a few times, yes, but the files were always in Craig's custody.

Q Do you happen to know whose files they were that were being taken from the office?

A I have no earthly idea. I remember that they were new employees. I don't remember that there were any other instances.

Q Do you ever remember Mr. Billy Dale's file being taken out of the office? Do you know who Mr. Billy Dale is?

A Oh, yes. I know who Mr. Billy Dale is. I know who Mr. McSweeney is. I know the names.

	1	I know that the files were discussed, but I don't
-	2	know that they were physically removed.
	3	Q Do you know who discussed them?
	4	A On the phone? I know Craig discussed them, but
	5	with who, I don't remember.
	6	Q Do you remember when?
	7	A I remember it was after the Travel Office was
	8	removed or the day that they were removed.
	9	Q Do you remember any other instances when Mr.
	10	Dale's file was discussed or other fired, former employees
	11	of the Travel Office?
	12	A Only thethe only other time I can remember them
	13	being discussed was the day that Craig had me escort Mr.
	14	McSweeney through his check-out process, and that would be
	15	it.
	16	Q You said that these conversations were held by Mr.
	17	Livingstone on the telephone?
r	18	A There was one conversation where the names were
	19	mentioned, and I
	20	Q The names being the names of?
	21	A The Travel Office
	22	Q Employees.
	23	Aemployees.
	24	Q Who were dismissed?
-	25	A The current at that time, they were the current
:a., 1	NC.	1013146-
0002		

MELER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002