THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

MR. SCHANZER: And there was some sort of attempt to segregate out of all these files that had been requested, all the backgrounds that had been requested? Was there effort to segregate out from the NSC people, people who no longer were there?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. SCHANZER: To your knowledge, was that done where it was weeded out, who was no longer there, and you pulled those files and put them in a separate place?

THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, yes.

MR. SCHANZER: And was the intention that those files would be archived?

THE WITNESS: Yes. They would then be turned over to Records and Management Office.

MR. SCHANZER: Do you know if that occurred?

THE WITNESS: I don't have a specific memory of saying these people are going over to that office saying we have these people. I have a memory of having a file that had about 50 files in it of people who were no longer there.

BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

Q. When you say you had, what do you mean by that?

A I mean that I personally have a memory that there were about 50 files that we had slated to be archived, but when we archived, we archived by the box. We couldn't just

simply say here are three or four files, you know, archived, because the Records Management had such a huge--

Q Right.

A --load that they were archiving that my understanding was for us to archive, wait until we had a box so they could put all White House Personnel Security in one place, so that if we do have to go back to it, we could go back to it.

And we had a separate file drawer set up just to hold those people who were no longer at the White House who were slated to be archived.

MR. SCHANZER: Was that done for each set of employees, NSC, GSA, AT&T?

THE WITNESS: It was a general--general file drawer for everybody who was slated to be archived who was no longer at the White House.

BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

Q So, hypothetically, this batch of files to be archived would have contained people from different offices, who at one point had been in different offices, but were presently inactive?

A Yes. To the best of my recollection, that is the way it was done.

Q Do you recall Mr. Livingstone ever looking through these files?

A As far as going into the vault and looking through them?

Q At any point in the--

A Any time a background investigation came in to our office, Craig reviewed it.

Q Okay. How about once they were identified as--for simplicity sake, we'll say to be archived. I think we all understand what that means.

A He would have already reviewed it by that point.

Q Do you recall whether he ever went to the vault and looked at the files that had been identified to be archived?

A I don't have a specific recollection of it.

Q Okay. Do you recall whether anyone else ever did?

A Not to my knowledge.

Q Do you know why--I believe you stated that Mr. Marceca was told to go through the list and identify people who kind of jumped out as not current administration passholders.

A Uh-huh.

Q And you suggested that certain people jumped off the list to you, certainly.

A Uh-huh.

Q Do you know why individuals such as James Baker or Marlin Fitzwater's files would have been actually ordered

from the FBI?

MR. SRERE: First of all, do you have an understanding of whether--while you were there at the office, do you have an understanding whether James Baker's file was requested?

THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. I never saw it.

BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

Q Well, in fact, it was, and it seemed a little bit odd that Mr. Marceca would not have recognized those two individuals as examples.

A Two?

Q James Baker and Marlin Fitzwater.

A Oh. Was Marlin Fitzwater's requested?

Q Yes.

A Oh.

Q Do you have any explanation for why Mr. Marceca after having gone through a check of who appeared to be turned and--

A I cannot speak for Tony. I don't know why.

Q Okay. Do you recall Mr. Livingstone and Mr. Marceca ever discussing the contents of the files, of any of the files of inactive prior administration employees?

A I recall them discussing some of the background investigations that came back in, but at that time, I

don't--

MR. SCHANZER: Came back in? What do you mean?

THE WITNESS: When--after the request was made, when they came back into the White House Personnel Security Office. I don't recall that at that time that they knew they were no longer there.

I know that they discussed certain files.

MR. SCHANZER: Are you talking about, like, new employees or part of the update project or--

THE WITNESS: Part of the update project, but I don't recall that they knew they had already previously left the White House, and they, meaning Craig and Tony, I don't think that they knew. I don't remember that they knew.

BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

Q Okay, but your recollection is that they were discussing the contents of the files of prior administration officials who either were carryovers or were--

MR. SRERE: The people on the Project Update list, which may be people who were still there and may not be.

BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

Q But you--how did you know that the people they were talking about weren't new employees?

A Because Tony did not read the investigations of the new employees as part of his job. As part of his job, he reviewed the old--the background investigations that came

in, and if there was an issue or if he thought that there was an issue, he would then go to Craig and say, Craig, I think we may have an issue here, when the new investigation is initiated.

Q But he did do SF-86's for new employees; is that correct?

A Uh-huh.

MR. SRERE: When you say he, Tony Marceca?

THE WITNESS: Tony Marceca. When the SF-86 would come in filled out, he would review it and then send it off to the FBI.

MR. SCHANZER: That was separate or apart from his update project?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, which was completely separate and apart.

BY MR. HIRSHLAND:

Q But you're fairly certain that he had no involvement in any part of the process for new employees that would--after the employees' BI's would come back to the Office of Personnel Security?

A I'm not sure what you're asking me.

Q Okay. It wasn't a very clear question.

Tony Marceca was involved in the processing of SF-86's for new employees.

A Yes.