From: ATF Burton, Faith (SMO) To: CC: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) Sent: 5/3/2011 3:36:57 PM Draft response to Grassley's letters of 3/4 and 3/28 re Zapata shooting, etc. Subject: Attachments: 3 4 2011 Grassley questions - proposed response.docx LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (1) Although the gun used in the assault on Agent Zapata that has been traced back to the U.S. was purchased on October 10, 2010, how can we know that it did not make its way down to Mexico after the November investigation, when the arrest of these three criminals might have prevented the gun from being trafficked and later used to murder Agent Zapata? (2) When did law enforcement first become aware that urchased the gun? (3) Given that the likely recipients of any trafficked guns were so close to the border, did any ATF personnel raise concerns about the possibility of those guns being used against U.S. law enforcement? If so, how did the ATF address those concerns? **ATF** 

Did any ATF personne	el raise concerns about the wisdom of	allowing individuals like the ATF	 -]
ATF the ATF address those	to continue their activities after the N	November weapons transfer? If so, h	iow
	DP		
	ATF ATF		
	7.1.		
lition to answering thos	se questions, please provide all record	<u></u>	
When law enforcemen	nt officials first became aware of the ti	rafficking activities o ATF	
All	ATE		
	ATF	ATF	
ATE		ATF	/
ATF		<u> </u>	
l			
	ΛΤ		
	AT		

## ATF, DP

(7) The November transf	er; and	
	DP ATF	
(8) Any surveillance that after the November tran	law enforcement continued to conduct on the ATF sfer.	
	,ATF	
	ATF ATF	
	ATF	
	ATF	