

To: [ATF]
From: [ATF]
Sent: Tue 3/29/2011 11:02:01 PM
Subject: Re: First Grassley Response

Did we see 3/4 before it went?

[ATF]
Acting Chief of Staff
ATF Office of the Director
O: [ATF]
C:

----- Original Message -----
From: [ATF]
To: [ATF]
Sent: Tue Mar 29 19:01:06 2011
Subject: Re: First Grassley Response

Yes. 3/3 was stopped. 3/4 went the next day.

NOTICE: This e-mail message and any attached files are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above in connection with official business. This communication may contain Sensitive But Unclassified information that may be statutorily or otherwise prohibited from being released without appropriate approval. Any review, use, or dissemination of this e-mail message and any attached file(s) in any form outside of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives or the Department of Justice without express authorization is strictly prohibited.

----- Original Message -----
From: [ATF]
To: [ATF]
Sent: Tue Mar 29 18:57:41 2011
Subject: First Grassley Response

[ATF]

Regarding the first letter back to Grassley - there are two versions signed by Ron, one dated 3/3 that we saw and one dated 3/4 that I do not believe we (anybody in ATF) saw it before it was sent. Can you confirm or deny whether we saw the 3/4 letter before it went?

[ATF]
Acting Chief of Staff
ATF Office of the Director
O: [ATF]
C:

NOTICE: This e-mail message and any attached files are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above in connection with official business. This communication may contain Sensitive But Unclassified information that may be statutorily or otherwise prohibited from being released without appropriate approval. Any review, use, or dissemination of this e-mail message and any attached file(s) in any form outside of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives or the Department of Justice without express authorization is strictly prohibited.