From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)

To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty

(OAG); Schmaler, Tracy (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)

CC: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)

Sent: 4/4/2011 9:17:26 PM

Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this

am with CIGIE and Reno enclosures

Attachments: issa fast and furious response 4-4-11.docx

Attached is a revised draft. Let me know what you think. All comments/edits welcome. Unless folks tell me they think it's a bad idea, this is the version I would plan to circulate to the USAO and ATF. Thanks.

Matt

From: Burton, Faith (SMO)

Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 3:41 PM

To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Schmaler,

Tracy (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)

Cc: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)

Subject: RE: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE

and Reno enclosures

Yes, that's fine. Thanks.

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 3:32 PM

To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Schmaler, Tracy

(SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)

Cc: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)

Subject: Re: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE

and Reno enclosures

Thanks, Faith. Are you okay with my circulating to the USAO and ATF? I think we should loop them in sooner rather than later.

From: Burton, Faith (SMO)

Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 02:57 PM

To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Weiner, Robert (ODAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Schmaler,

Tracy (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)

Cc: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)

Subject: Response to Smith, et al, sent today, plus revised draft response to Issa, per our meeting this am with CIGIE and

Reno enclosures

We've explained to Smith and Conyers staff that the penultimate para means only that we'll share with them whatever docs we provide to Issa, which they request, but that we do not expect to disclose any non-public pending investigation materials. Also, please see the enclosed revised draft to Issa, per our conversation this morning with enclosures. Some of the enclosures that we've received to date look to be law enforcement sensitive;

law enforcement sensitive; DP Thanks. FB <<issa gunrunner

44.docx>> << Grassley CIGIE letter.pdf>> << reno letter.pdf>>

<<Chairman Smith Response - 4 4 11.pdf>>