From:Weich, Ron (SMO)To:Agrast, Mark D. (SMO); Appelbaum, Judy (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO)Sent:4/14/2011 11:27:42 AMSubject:Final Agenda for 3:15 meeting with DAG --

From: Burrows, Charlotte (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2011 10:45 AM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Cc: Columbus, Eric (ODAG)
Subject: RE: OLA Meeting w/ the DAG

Ron— On second thought, I think the bill is worth mentioning. Any chance of passage? Here's the revamped agenda.



From: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2011 9:59 AM
To: Burrows, Charlotte (SMO)
Cc: Columbus, Eric (ODAG)
Subject: RE: OLA Meeting w/ the DAG

Sorry - seeing this after replying to the other email.

	Unrelated		
n Nothing ne	ew on IG subpoenas	3	
		Unrelated	
		Unrelated	


From: Burrows, Charlotte (SMO)
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2011 6:20 PM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Cc: Columbus, Eric (ODAG)
Subject: RE: OLA Meeting w/ the DAG

Looks right to me. Only other issue we might add —although this is also Lee's lane—would be **Unrelated** and anything you expect in that area in the near term. I think no need to mention IG subpoenas at the moment, but Mark may know more than I do on that.

Also, Stuart asked last meeting about	Unrelated	·····
strategies for moving that. I discussed	his briefly w/ Chris Shroeder and he agreed that made sens	e, so we should
figure out a time to coordinate - althoug	h I realize it may make sense to wait til after the AG hearing	js, so don't know
that we need to discuss this now.	Unrelated	
Unrel	ated If so, would love to s	see that.

From: Weich, Ron (SMO) Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2011 6:12 PM To: Burrows, Charlotte (SMO) Cc: Columbus, Eric (ODAG) Subject: RE: OLA Meeting w/ the DAG

Got it. How's this for an agenda:



From: Burrows, Charlotte (SMO) Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2011 5:44 PM Ron—Wanted to be sure you'd seen that tomorrow's OLA meeting is still on w/ the DAG. I think he may ask about the Unrelated as well as the ATF issues and noms.