
From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Gaston, Molly (SMO); Colborn, Paul P (SMO)
Sent: 4/5/2011 6:37:59 PM
Subject: FW: **ATF** Emails and Reports
Attachments: **ATF** Email May 27 2010.pdf **ATF** Email May 24 1010.pdf

Please include these along with the other ATF documents that we need to review for potential production. Thanks.

Matt

From: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:26 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)
Subject: **ATF** Emails and Reports

Matt and Colleagues: enclosed are the two **ATF** mails I spoke of last week on our call regarding the Issa letter, now subpoena.

In the **ATF** Email of May 27 at the bottom of page 3 **ATF** seeks authority to “walk” guns and specifically states, “The logic employed is that by authorizing the “walking” of a small amount of firearms that, ultimately we can cease the trafficking of a significant number. (i.e. walking 4 to 6 – prevent hundreds from being trafficked.)” The target in this

ATF

As we discussed last week, this kind of cooperation was never planned or executed in Fast and Furious, yet somehow an ROI from the **ATF** case made it into a Senator Grassley letter.

DP

What do you think? PJC