From:	Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
То:	Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
CC:	Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason;
	Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)
Sent:	4/5/2011 11:39:19 PM
Subject:	Re: Questions re ATF Emails and Reports

Thanks, Pat.

From: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 07:23 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)
Subject: Questions re ATF Emails and Reports

Matt: Answers in Bold. Enjoy. PJC

1. What happened to the six firearms tha **ATF** Were they ever recovered?

ATF

2. **ATF** proposal includes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous authorization of allowing firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's as opposed to individuals." Do you know what "previous authorization **ATF** referring to?

We have no idea what <u>ATF</u> is referring to in this sentence. There was no previous authorization in this case and there is no general authorization for ATF to conduct these kinds of undercover operations in existence either nationally or in the Phoenix Division. These operations are assessed and authorized on a case by case basis.



3. Also, do you know whethe **ATF** anyone else who saw this proposal) ever asked **ATF** what he meant by that sentence?

No, no one questioned ATF over what he meant by the statement.

4. Is the ATF investigation still pending?

The case is not yet closed	ATF	
	ATF	/

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:04 PM
To: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike

(USAAZ) Subject: RE ATF Emails and Reports

Great. Thanks a ton. One additional question – is the **ATF** investigation still pending?

From: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ) Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 4:14 PM To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ) Subject: RE ATF Emails and Reports

Matt: I am working with ASAC Jim Needles to get the answers. Thanks PJC

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 12:47 PM
To: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)
Subject: RE ATF Emails and Reports

Pat,

Thanks again for finding and sending these. I have a few follow-up questions, which I'll ask of ATF as well, as I imagine they are probably the ones with the greatest knowledge in this area.

One, what happened to the six firearms tha **ATF** Were they ever recovered?

And two, **ATF** proposal includes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous authorization of allowing firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's as opposed to individuals." Do you know what "previous authorization" **ATF** referring to? Also, do you know whether **ATF** or anyone else who saw this proposal) ever asked **ATF** what he meant by that sentence?

Matt

From: Cunningham, Patrick (USAAZ)
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:26 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike
(USAAZ)
Subject ATF Emails and Reports

Matt and Colleagues: enclosed are the two **ATF** mails I spoke of last week on our call regarding the Issa letter, now subpoena.

In the **ATF** Email of May 27 at the bottom of page **ATF** seeks authority to "walk" guns and specifically states, "The logic employed is that by authorizing the "walking" of a small amount of firearms that, ultimately we can cease the trafficking of a significant number. (i.e. walking 4 to 6 – prevent hundreds from being trafficked.)" The target in this

ATF

As we discussed last week, this kind of cooperation was never planned or executed in Fast and Furious, yet somehow an ROI from the **ATF** case made it into a Senator Grassley letter.

What do you think? PJC