From:		nam, Patrick (USAAZ)
To: CC:		Matthew (ODAG) (SMO) ennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason
CC.		enins (OSAAZ), Scrieer, Ann (OSAAZ), Hernandez, Racher (OSAAZ), Weinstein, Jason Morrissey, Mike (USAAZ)
Sent:		12:06:02 PM
Subjec	t: RE: Que	stions re ATF Emails and Reports
		<u> </u>
Yes, the	e investigation is closed.	ATE
	ΔTF	ATF  We never opened in LIONs because ATF never tendered the case to us for
	<b>A</b> 1 1	We never opened in LIONs because ATF never tendered the case to us for
review.	PJC	
Eromı	Avalrad Matthaw (ODAC)	CMO)
	Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG) ( Vednesday, April 06, 2011 :	
	nningham, Patrick (USAAZ)	
		I, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike
(USAAZ	.) :t: RE: Questions re ATF	Emails and Reports
Jubjec	AIF	i
Pat,		
	•	One follow-up question on your #4 below. I understand that the case may not yet be ng property distribution, am I correct in assuming that it's no longer an ongoing
		ctive, the case's technical status in LIONS (i.e., "open" or "closed") isn't important.
What n	natters is whether there is	or is not an ongoing investigation related to ATF Thanks much.
Matt		
	Cunningham, Patrick (USAA	
	Tuesday, April 05, 2011 7:2 Elrod, Matthew (ODAG)	3 PM
		I, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike
(USAAZ	() ,	
Subjec	t: Questions r ATF Em	ails and Reports
Matt: A	Answers in Bold. Enjoy. F	PJC
	, r	
1.	What happened to the	ATF Were they ever recovered?
Γ"		
		ATF
i_		
2.		udes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous
		firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's
	as opposed to individual	s." Do you know what "previous authorization ATF s referring to?
	Ma haya na idaa whati	ATF is referring to in this sentence. There was no previous authorization in
		o general authorization for ATF to conduct these kinds of undercover operations
		onally or in the Phoenix Division. These operations are assessed and authorized
	on a case by case basis	·
	-	
		ATF

	ATF	
3.	Also, do you know whethe <b>ATF</b> (or anyone else who saw this proposal) ever asked <b>ATF</b> what he meany that sentence?	ant
	lo, no one questioned ATF over what he meant by the statement.	
4.	Is the F ATF nvestigation still pending?	
	he case is not yet closed ATF	
	AIF	
Sent: To: Cur Cc: Bu (USAAZ	kelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO) esday, April 05, 2011 2:04 PM ingham, Patrick (USAAZ) e, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike RE ATF Emails and Reports	
Great.	hanks a ton. One additional question – is the <b>ATF</b> investigation still pending?	
Sent: To: Axe Cc: Bu (USAAZ Subject	esday, April 05, 2011 4:14 PM od, Matthew (ODAG) e, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike RE: CATF Emails and Reports om working with ASAC Jim Needles to get the answers. Thanks PJC	
Sent: To: Cui Cc: Bu	kelrod, Matthew (ODAG) (SMO) esday, April 05, 2011 12:47 PM ingham, Patrick (USAAZ) e, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike RE: ATF Emails and Reports	
Pat,		
imagin	again for finding and sending these. I have a few follow-up questions, which I'll ask of ATF as well, as I they are probably the ones with the greatest knowledge in this area.	
One, v	at happened to the ATF Were they ever recovered?	
And twa	ATF proposal includes a sentence that states "[t]his request is predicated on ATF's previous ation of allowing firearms to be trafficked ('walked') in furtherance of investigations which target FTO's as to individuals." Do you know what "previous authorization	
Matt		
From:	unningham, Patrick (USAAZ)	

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:26 PM

To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)

Cc: Burke, Dennis (USAAZ); Scheel, Ann (USAAZ); Hernandez, Rachel (USAAZ); Weinstein, Jason (CRM); Morrissey, Mike

(USAAZ)

Subject: ATF Emails and Reports
Matt and Colleagues: enclosed are the two ATF emails I spoke of last week on our call regarding the Issa letter, now subpoena.
In the ATF on Email of May 27 at the bottom of page 3. ATF seeks authority to "walk" guns and specifically states, "The logic employed is that by authorizing the "walking" of a small amount of firearms that, ultimately we can cease the trafficking of a significant number. (i.e. walking 4 to 6 – prevent hundreds from being trafficked.)" The target in this
ATF
As we discussed last week, this kind of cooperation was never planned or executed in Fast and Furious, yet somehow an ROI from the ATF case made it into a Senator Grassley letter.
DP

What do you think? PJC