OK. Thanks

Jim

From: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 07:12 PM
To: Cole, James (SMO); Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)
Subject: RE: Issa?Grassley Letters

No, since the Grassley call with the AG was previously scheduled in relation to the SJC hearing. We can explain that if there is any static. Also Issa gets a meeting and Grassley does not.

From: Cole, James (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 7:10 PM
To: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Weich, Ron (SMO); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)
Subject: Re: Issa?Grassley Letters

This looks fine. Is there a chance that Issa will feel slighted with the AG discussing it with Grassley, but he only gets the DAG?

Jim

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 07:04 PM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Cole, James (SMO)
Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)
Subject: RE: Issa?Grassley Letters

	Here is the revised final cleared version of the letter to Senator Grassley to go out tonight D	P		
	DP			
	DP As I mentioned in my last email, the Grassley RMM letter will no	t go out until		
tomorrow, as folks here are still considering it. Thanks.				

Matt

From: Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 6:07 PM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Cole, James (SMO)
Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)
Subject: RE: Issa?Grassley Letters

Here are the final cleared	ersions of the two paralle	el letters to Chairman Issa and Se	enator Grassley. DP
DP	Thanks. The Grassley	RMM letter is still percolating ar	nd will not go out until tomorrow.

Matt

From: Weich, Ron (SMO)

Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 4:37 PM
To: Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Cole, James (SMO)
Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO); Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG)
Subject: RE: Issa?Grassley Letters

e sent today. DP

By the way, as I told Lisa, Grassley's chief counsel told me in a phone call a short time ago that at the hearing Grassley intends to focus on Gitmo, DOMA and Sentinel rather than ATF. Maybe he's just throwing me off the trail, but maybe this whole thing is less of a member concern and more of a staff (Jason Foster) concern.

From: Monaco, Lisa (ODAG)
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 2:46 PM
To: Weich, Ron (SMO); Cole, James (SMO)
Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)
Subject: Re: Issa?Grassley Letters

We reviewed with the DAG, we have the following edits:

DP

From: Weich, Ron (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 01:50 PM
To: Cole, James (SMO)
Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)
Subject: RE: Issa?Grassley Letters

Here is our proposed draft	DP			
DP				
< <issa meeting.docx="">></issa>				
We would propose	DP			
DP				
From: Weich, Ron (SMO) Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 1:27 PM To: Cole, James (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO) Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG) Subject: RE: Issa?Grassley Letters				
DP				
DP We will have that draft ready for your review very shortly.				
From: Cole, James (SMO) Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 12:53 PM To: Weich, Ron (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO) Cc: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); Monaco, Lisa (ODAG) Subject: Issa?Grassley Letters				
The AG has decided to go with offering a meeting with me instead of him in the first instance.				
When do you think you'll have the drafts ready DP	/? DP			

Jim