

**From:** Raman, Mythili  
**To:** Breuer, Lanny A.  
**CC:** Wroblewski, Jonathan  
**Sent:** 5/17/2011 12:14:04 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Corruption hearing is now before HJC SUBcommittee.

And, sorry, one more thing -- I meant to say that there is not a consensus view per se --

**DP**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Raman, Mythili  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2011 8:12 AM  
**To:** Breuer, Lanny A.  
**Cc:** Wroblewski, Jonathan  
**Subject:** RE: Corruption hearing is now before HJC SUBcommittee.

**DP**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Breuer, Lanny A.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2011 7:58 AM  
**To:** Raman, Mythili  
**Subject:** Re: Corruption hearing is now before HJC SUBcommittee.

Usually I do the full committee but I'm okay doing this. Is there a consensus view?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Raman, Mythili  
**To:** Breuer, Lanny A.  
**Sent:** Tue May 17 06:20:36 2011  
**Subject:** Corruption hearing is now before HJC SUBcommittee.

Do you still want to do it? OLA says that they normally don't want an aag to do subcommittees, but on the other hand, you've done them before. I'm of two minds about it