

From: Grindler, Gary (OAG)
To: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG)
Sent: 5/20/2011 12:35:53 PM
Subject: Fw: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

I assume he has not been asked about this but I thought I would check.

----- Original Message -----
From: Grindler, Gary (OAG)
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 08:34 AM
To: **ATTORNEY GENERAL**
Subject: Re: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

He has not started work yet. I don't think anyone has asked him but I will check.

----- Original Message -----
From: **ATTORNEY GENERAL**
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 12:55 AM
To: Grindler, Gary (OAG)
Subject: Re: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

What does our new Congressional liaison guy say as to tactics?

----- Original Message -----
From: Grindler, Gary (OAG)
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 10:59 PM
To: Cole, James (SMO); **ATTORNEY GENERAL**
Subject: Re: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

Unfortunately.

----- Original Message -----
From: Cole, James (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 10:58 PM
To: Grindler, Gary (OAG); **ATTORNEY GENERAL**
Subject: Re: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

This seems to be a serious moving of the goal posts.

Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: Grindler, Gary (OAG)
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 10:57 PM
To: Cole, James (SMO); **ATTORNEY GENERAL**
Subject: Re: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

DP

----- Original Message -----
From: Cole, James (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 10:56 PM
To: Grindler, Gary (OAG); **ATTORNEY GENERAL**
Subject: Re: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

This really sucks, but I was afraid that's what was coming.

DP

Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: Grindler, Gary (OAG)
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 10:36 PM
To: **ATTORNEY GENERAL**; Cole, James (SMO)

Subject: Fw: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

Fyi-not good news.

----- Original Message -----

From: Weich, Ron (SMO)

Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 10:32 PM

To: Goldberg, Stuart (ODAG); O'Neil, David (ODAG); Grindler, Gary (OAG); Delery, Stuart F. (OAG); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Schmalzer, Tracy (SMO)

Cc: Monaco, Lisa (ODAG); Agrast, Mark D. (SMO)

Subject: current Chambliss-Grassley demands --

Below is the email I received from Martha Scott earlier this evening.

DP

DP

DP

Let's talk tomorrow.

-----Original Message-----

From: Poindexter, Martha Scott (Intelligence)

Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2011 6:42 PM

To: Weich, Ron (SMO)

Subject: <confirm>Grassley

Sorry to email you but I wanted to get back to you as promised. I happen to be on Amtrak in the quiet car so I can't make a call.

We met with Grassley's folks and we are in lockstep. We both agree that the Senators will not lift their holds until we receive the documents per each of our request.

Again I would like to reiterate our requests:

- 1) a meeting with Matt Olsen to discuss with staff the content of the AG memo. This meeting would take place to see if the discussion can provide enough detail that we can forgo the request to see the actual document.
- 2) the Task Force worksheet recommendation sections in full and unredacted. Each worksheet contains between one and three recommendation sections: the first in a summary section in the beginning of the document; the second (only in some worksheets) a description of a previous Task Force recommendation; and the recommendation. We want all three of these sections, unredacted, but we agreed that if the recommendation section summary in the front contains a summary of the legal or prosecutorial analysis sections, those items could be redacted. We will not argue for the legal and prosecutorial analysis sections.
- 3) List of 92 detainee names which has been promised in the past.

Ron this is considerable movement from the request made in the past. My boss considers this as a way to reach agreement so that he can lift his hold on the Cole nomination. He hopes that you and the AG would recognize this and honor this request.

Regarding Lisa Monaco - We would like to meet with her at staff level to discuss her answers to the QFRs vice the answer she gave in the hearing regarding providing documents to the Committee. It will be a discussion of the process in providing documents not the actual documents. It is our hope you will honor this request as well. Our boss is willing to delay her vote out of committee until this meeting occurs.

It is probably best to have this written out so that we both understand the status of the negotiations.

