From: To: Sent: Subject:	Burton, Faith (SMO) Weich, Ron (SMO) 5/30/2011 11:03:41 AM Re: Call with Steve Castor	
	DP	
To : Burton, Faith	ay 29, 2011 04:47 PM	
	DP	
To: Colborn, Paul (OAG); Weich, Ro	ay 29, 2011 3:30 PM P (SMO); Axelrod, Matthew (ODAG); Schmaler, Tracy (SMO); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinsc	on, Monty
	DP	
To : Axelrod, Matt (SMO)	Paul P (SMO) ay 29, 2011 09:36 AM thew (ODAG); Schmaler, Tracy (SMO); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Burton I with Steve Castor	ı, Faith
	DP	
	y 27, 2011 07:32 PM acy (SMO); Richardson, Margaret (SMO); Wilkinson, Monty (OAG); Burton, Faith (SMO); Colborn, Pau	ıl P
Faith and I spo	oke with Steve Castor earlier today. It was a fairly long conversation. A few items of	f note:
global protocol lawyer. That w transcript issue would have the	ussed the possibility of a compromise on the witness interview issue. Rather than day, we would start by making an ATF official available who doesn't have/want a personal, staff would have no problem with us having a DOJ attorney present. As for the e, we would agree that once the transcript was prepared, the witness and the DOJ are ability to review (although not retain) it. We also discussed the fact that we would apply at some point down the road because of potential discovery obligations.	onal e attorney need
	DP	

With regard to the documents, Steve said that they were considering having a contempt hearing

2.

DOJ-FF-34118

due to our failure to physically produce any documents that weren't already publicly available. He said
that they wanted a DOJ official to come before the committee to explain publicly why the docs weren't
being physically produced. On the issue of requiring a Chairman's Letter in order to get the OCDETF
reports and Melson briefing books, Steve said they were prepared to send one - but said that the letter
would ask for a bunch of other things as well. He said that sending an extensive letter would obviate the
need for them to send a new letter every few days. He also said that he was surprised we were forcing
them to send a letter, since his past experience was that once an investigation got going, there was
generally a good level of informal give and take with the Executive Branch agency.



I need to get back to Steve on Tuesday. If you have objections to either of these proposals, please let me know. Thanks. Have a great Memorial Day.

Matt

Matthew S. Axelrod

Associate Deputy Attorney General

Office of the Deputy Attorney General

U.S. Department of Justice

Desk (202) 305-0273

Cell (202) 532-3087