

ATF

ATF

ATF

Thank for app voluntarily.

Intros

ATF

Also SJC

- JF

- Susanne, Minority HGR

ATF

I will ask Qs for hr - trying to keep questioners to two/hr. At end of hr, will switch to minority
Breaks every hour.

Comp & truthful - und?

- Yes

If don't recall, tell us what you do. Feel free
to ask for clarification.

Req to answer long Qs truthfully - und?

- Yes

If class info, answer as much can w/o giving
We'll ask ev to leave docs here

ATF

To ext possible, alert us to when going into
sens LE info, spec. on m investigations

If we're speaking too quickly, etc., let us know

ATF

IE career?

- D US Marsh - 1996
- ATF: Feb 2001

GS-14? GS-15?

- Yes

Phoenix?

- Dec 6 2009

Rep to Gillett?

- Yes

When arrived, big case?

- Yes

ATF

- Shows CA agent

Who else?

- Grp 7 - started Oct 1, 2009, funded under GRanner

- Mac acting BS, CA

ATF

- I came in Dec to tell you dates, but

ATF

- 7 agents plus me

New grp?

- Yes

Initiative on SWB?

- Yes, docs from ATF, DOJ; IG critique, all '08, '09 - Time w/ all these docs - prov instr. to dism org, leaders, & not to go aft org straws

Did someone tell you that?

- prov copies, told to review attended conferences at any pt in Ph, talk to USAO, management abt hurdles w/ straws, cases - not pros, historically - Xcalibur, dir. verd in state ct
- traffickers stopped @ border → hung jury
- hist of abst in Phoenix to successful prosecutions

T Ex 1 T BP, Jan 8 - we und. used for
OLDETF funding process. Fam?

- I'm fam, yes.

Iterations find up chain?

- Yes, diff vers

Used for OLDETF pres?

- No, those have

Who prep?

- I did some, not all. Don't know who else-

- o I find was page shorter. Once I sent, can't say who received.

Who to?

- Gillett

New?

- rec copy. don't know w-if add^{we didn't do it}. Don't know who else received.

ATF

Did they help prepare it?

- I wld say they worked, I may have asked Qs, wld say they prepared it.

P 1 - (figures of guns/1)

- No reason to doubt that

At some pt - susp. @ 40?

- As org prog, they would switch straws

Big ones?

ATF

- Yes

Of 20, fair 3-5 purch bulk?

- possibly

1850 - 2k F/A purch by org?

- OK

Consistent w/ your und?

- to clarify - sometimes find out abt after

buy 50 - some historical

- ATF** - 720 of prop, Stew 300 - more buys than others.

- Yes

PP - USAO - Jan 5, 2010 meeting w/Hurley

- I rem meet w/Em on OCC

Was USA in meet?

- I was new in meet w/DBurke
USAO comp in loop? ^{w/case}

- Yes abs.

Did you draft PP 8?

- Don't think wld have - didn't meet w/DB.

Don't know who did.

PP 13 - "curr our strat..." Did you work on ^{ms. case} sent?

- I bel so.

Did you insert lang?

- I don't remember.

What does "allow..." mean?

- taken out of ATF order, maybe poor choice
of words. Transfer of F/A prot by 2nd Amend
To step in req leg std, USAO said hadn't
met. To imp we did disallow ~~cl d~~ imply
we did step in - most F/A laws on
prohib. persons, w/o that cat, diff std
needs to be met.

Until indict, can't do much, can't give
FPL list, not like no-fly

Maybe "allow" poor choice, but to
imply disallow imp we did intercede
(can't)

But if fishy can Q?

- we can investigate

ATF - **ATF**, 150K on F/A -

A pt. where reassusp?

- we did ask, int is LS tech. We did int
susp at diff times, none revealed consp.
None admitted their acts, none got us to
where wanted → org.

- taking off org always goal - talk w/
USAO, we could take off straw, replaced

w/o us knowing - keep playing catch-up

- low sentences, not obl. to answer questions.

- he doesn't have to exp. funds

- obst. of not having hol, not seizing F/A,

hard to prove w/cash from

- CIV, civil forfeiture - we knew these

people didn't supp. purch. → **ATF**

civil forfeiture. Diff. burdens of proof -
put on them to prove source of income.

Qld ask where got \$, for guns?

- Some people wld say they sold car rec,
~~excuse~~ endless

ATF buying lots - in Mex - 28 in Mex ~~but~~

wldnt shr

All rep to C-A?

- for most part, that's our theory

Also inv. in drugs?

- Yes

DEA at his network?

- Don't know if his net, DEA inv.
adj, pled guilty. Crossover betw. C-A
and others in El Paso. Some we find out
after fact. **ATF**

DEA on OCDETF team?

- Yes, Strike force

Part of pt to share info?

- Yes

Drugs ↔ F/A?

- Yes

Was DEA form?

- helpful 20/20 - we wld ask for spec info,
they give. If we don't know wht to ask,
can't fault them

Plan to take down US based?

- Def. US comp, higher goal MX as well

How?

- hop **ATF** - MX to C-A, poss someone from MX wld come up
- Also, provisional arrest warrant - DEA does

CA working w/
- Yes

How?

ATF

ATF

I'm trying to und. San. Cartel in US

Think **ATF** going to be ind?

Know who working w/in Mex?

Do you know if they were dept?

- At one time, Int. on DEA wire in El Por
LC, they wld contact, deported, FBI brought
back on imm parole

When?

- Sept. 2010

When DEA learned?

- don't know

ATF

FBI inv?

- for Imm parole - need sponsoring agency

- kind of like a confidential source

So prev. dep b/c o DEA?

- Yes

FBI brought back

- My und.

ATF funneling \$ to GA?

- As inv. continued, knowing what know now,
that is my understanding

When oth LT agencies find out?

- DEA had int on **ATF**, we asked for
ATF piece. Didn't know to ask for piece on
brothers.

It's poss DEA/FBI had info help to your inv?

- yes, if we knew then what know now, diff avenues of inv wld hv pursued

DEA or

Time when they knew?

- DEA, J/F 2010 - their calls wld have been before that
- Also DEA [ATF]

- I think if we had known sooner, wld have proc. in diff. direction... or, sooner

At what point was that info known?

- DEA [ATF]
- FBI component
- Don't think all known at same time,

FBI emp. @ SF?

- Yes

When?

- When I got there till Spring, Spring 2011, maybe Apr.

After this became contr?

- Don't kn if has anything to do w/ cont.

Circ of deposit?

- Been told they (DEA) attempted to conv. bros to coop, didn't think they were truthful, maybe polygraph failed, DEA dec. not to use

Poss to be PI by DEA/FBI?

- depends

Was [ATF] PI?

[ATF] colloquy]

OK, for sake of arg, if PI, imp for you to know?

- Yes

What wld be mech for you to know?

- Cert. being @ SF great ex of working tog jointly, can walk down hall
- Greatful there, don't know if wld hv known

You were working dangerous matter - more info,
heart of net earl?

- in perf world

Cld DEA have helped more?

- easy to 2nd guess now, hindsight's 20/20,
don't know right q's to ask, dropped
phones,

ATF

Plaza boss | Mafioso?

ATF

I'm trying to ask about plaza boss

G: we're not going to talk abt

ATF says trying to help [show ATF not only involved]

[I ask to go off rec, ask not hide Species] They claim under [Main was just to flag]
species, we say [that all and]

Ex. 2 Emails from **ATF** to PFL

You recognize ind. on email chain?

- Yes

How freq. exch emails w/FFLs

- SGC only one, & to my rec

- I think few inst I did is inc here - think
this is gen

You sup HM, JM if they were

- Bel. was **ATF**

Aug 25, 2010

P. 3 - pretty deep in it - call from **ATF**,
wants 20, only have 4. Wnt guidance
to see if shld. You wrote back saying
shld go for. Were you auth by your
sup to instr. PFL to go through. Did
Newell know?

- Not sure. Newell knew were comp. w/FFLs.

ATF w/ **ATF**, phone calls?

- Don't know content, knew they talked

Knew they'd call befor **ATF** came in?

- Don't know spec

Dialogue w/ her abt FFL?

- Might be head's up.

I und **ATF** balked, meeting w/ Em?

- don't kn charac as bafe

They do

- OK. Went, met w/ **ATF**, & clear
not going to tell him how to run bus.

If lawful, can't tell him not. Told him
what wld help us (call us, 4473s, receipts)

You don't recall telling them **ATF** to g find
w/ trans uncomf?

- No

Rec lot attn from Sr Mgt 2

- Yes

You freq up sup?

- Yes

New up McM?

- Yes

Chart, Mc, Hoos, fam w/detain?

- Yes

ATF

- Rare

Si ind sig matter for a gg?

- Believe so.

They had you brief reg?

- Yes, verb or written

Your imp ev^{one} aware of strat used?

- Yes

ATF

lot of det?

- Yes

Spec people, trans, networks, recoveries?

- Yes

WT - AUSA helps ATF agents?

- Yes

ATF

- Yes

ATF

- Yes

Who carries ball to OEO?

- I know from exp, internal person before OEO. Reas that Hurley or someone else in USAO rec → OEO

Ex. 3: Wiretap Req. for Auth w/ cover letter

Goes from y → New → McM

- Belso

Your und needed Mc auth to move fund

- Policy is need DAD app - since has changed
- Agency will send to OEO the approval

This is Feb, before **ATF** → any kn
of whether Mc OK?

- assume someone did. Someone @ DAD level has to auth to OEO

At this pt been there 2 mos

- Yes

Lots of detail, fair this is ev everyone on
same page?

- Yes, I'd say ev. aware

So to ext ev now 2nd guess, fair ev in you
C-of-C in know?

- Yes

I someone thought too much, old shut down

- If ATF might wanted to shut old have, come to me, did not. Said keep going, try to get bigger array of charges
- If we old go after bigger array - \$1 and aiding & abet

In your exp. briefing execs - SK ft level?

- (doesn't)

WT - gave you op to give great detail, true?

- was lots comm in this case, more than I have
before

W/o wt, not more det?

- well, BP have techniques listed

But these in lots detail?

- yes

Does each go through same process?

- yes, & renewal doesn't need DADauth
b/c not new line

So mgmt chain had lots opp to see wht going
on?

- flew to HQ in March. More in this case
than most

Disapp to you mgmt. not own.

- don't know if agree - being told good
case, righteous

Mistakes made?

- promise just intent pure, righteous goal
- wanted to best w/what tasked to do

Mistaked you would do over?

- don't think any w/o hindsight

- maybe b/c ^{mr} goals quicker

SF names?

- FBI folks: SAC in Albuquerque, don't know name

SF in this case?

- FBI: **ATF** ? Agent

- DEA: **ATF**

ATF

You rep to ASA/C?

- Yes

And line agents in Grp 7 rep to you?

- Yes

Who app opening? ^{an inv}

- Any agent can open case at any time

How comm to you?

- They talk to you, make first report

So agent can open on own?

- Yes

Wnt abt surv?

- They conduct. Need app for enforcement action.
- Spending, electronics, enforcement (arr, sw) need app
- Gen, lat to let them do inv.

Is interdict EA?

- depends on how happens. If you knew in advance certain event on certain day, that's EA. Interdict trickier, hard to know/predict actions -

- eg. **ATF**
me, Gillett in loop, didn't know w/ move, had to react

- Feb 2010

What do?

- took action, got Tucson inv. They went to house. **ATF**, wldn't know if left house

ATF

correct.

ATF

ATF

Goes to Thono O'dum.

- both sides of border. Members of nation can travel
- called BP - stop. Woman on sup rel, confessed, 40-41 F/As
- Think Feb. 20

Ex. 4 - Newell/Gillett string - "SAC I concur"
earlier says take off b/f border

"Take guns off as soon as" - how aware of situation?

- one strategy - **ATF** - worked w/EPIC

ATF

ATF

- bought. Monitored for 8 days. Went to stash house for weeks, → Izuzu
- always our strat not to let cross. Q was is nec be heading sou (export charge)

- wanted to make sure interdicted, but less than 100 miles from border

Judge to sign off?

- yes

USAO?

- yes, ct order, affidavit, reas exp of privacy
- worked w/ USAO

As part of P&F -

ATF

ATF

- Consulted w/ USAO, They said old retrieve, we looked & didn't find

Those are reasons not used?

- Yes

When last used?

- can't remember, maybe 60-90 days aft. Feb Apr/May?

- fair estimate,

After weapons interdicted, you knew who purch?

- Yes, **ATF**

Why not arrest?

- Talked to USAO, decision was not enough ev - person saying made no statements, said she got dir from guy. Think says in here a guy named **ATF**

So after the init purch, could you fig out

ATF role?

- No, that was goal, to figure out - clearly there were conversations. Don't think I can convey how much going on w/o us knowing

- Clearly something transpired, trying to fig

who calling shots - not this female driver,
maybe not **ATF**, you might take him
out of sequence goes on w/ someone else

Who app. int? Email sugg. Gillett inv?

- Cert - ASAC or SAZ, USAO on whether
have PC. To stop & take guns we view
as reg ind. PC outside from what other
LE might think P/C ex. If drugs
P/C b/c exists. Guns tricky.

Not being contraband, US seizing is
concern. Want buy-in from USA.

- If we were to seize guns ... Richmond
gun show, ATF accused of overstepping
auth, taking guns w/o lawful auth.
- great concern that ... not fair comp.
betw. guns & drug

Is that why USAO inv?

- yes, incident in Jan, we wanted
to indict, USAO said no. If we
went ahead anyway, real problem

Email: customary for DAD McMahon notified?

- Yes, if significant interdiction

So DAD McMahon only not. of signif?

- I guess whichever Newell dec - I can
only speak to when SIR created b/c
I created.

But App from Newell/Gillett, not McMahon?

- I can't speak to that... don't reme
he was notified or connected, just
I talked to Gillett.

You have no kn that McM app?

- no knowledge

New/Gill app?

- The primary comm is at USAO - do we
have enough?

Then you wld req @ ASAC level?

- moving so fast ... if don't know till
happ, talk to AUSA - call to ASAC may
just be, this is what go on, we're
going to try
- w/o prior len, lots of comm going on;
SAC did b in meeting

For Arrests → USAO?

- abs. There may be imp we just arrest.
w/o USAO, we're going to unarrest in
morning. USAO final say. We abs
have to have buy-in. Whole series
of bad events if started [not]

Who in C-of-c app arrests?

- if planned, we prepare a blue cover
sheet, rec'd for prosecution. Spot for
being approved. Point is someone app.
- If more live time, response - charge
by comp, call boss and tell what's
going on, talking w/USAO
- By pt of USAO buy-in, kind of going
to go fwd

So seq from ATF C-of-c, proc. beg w/USAO?

- yes

Agents have independent auth to cond. surv?

- yes

To end surv?

- Yes

Don't need to go up chain to end?

- No

Our und that FZF focused on straw your
agent bel would fac. guns → Mex. Did
tactics include sitting on stash houses?

- Yes. w/prox to Mexico ... pt is there's
cloud above straws. Sit @ stash house,
see act to furn. inv. ATF All but

imp to know what can guns in.

Who makes dec to stop sitting on stash house?

- no hard & fast answer. Maybe if act, diff from if no act for 8 hrs. If in couple w/ WT, **ATF** totality of circ, if purch'd PPL, we only have cert res. Have to decide where expend.

Wld field agent cons?

- might. Might ask to transfer stash house to PPL

One acc is that SA watched stash houses and stopped. At what pt aware happening?

- don't know. Never phrased how is now. Maybe if see transfer, talked to USA, if guy trying to lose us, if risking vio for something not violation.
- if this one not panning out to clear vio, maybe next one will

And dec not panning out - w/USA?

- yes, even if didn't consult ev occ, if consistent w/ sim trans, it's same premise

wld you consult w/ ASAC or SAC re: dec to reallocate?

- depended on what SAC doing, what going on in field, conv w/ USA
- no hard & fast rule bth than we always comm. w/ somebody.
- greater good of what hoped to acc so sounds like realloc/redir of agents happened over & over. Frustration?
- at some pt requested add'l agents, had S, we can only do what we can do. Phoenix is crazy, res. not consistent w/ amnt activity

- range of leave happens
- do best we can w/ what we've got

Ex. comm concerns?

- one of these BPs, says add'l res., ask for analyst for WT
- analyst we had didn't have software training

When?

- one of BPs... very vividly line of how many agents avail
Did you Get add'l?
 - got some when we're active - ^{maybe} Apr/May
 - GRIT in May

Did arrival of agents change calculus w/r/t recall?

- Yes & no. Still w/ trying to schedule. Tried 7 days a week. Better, prob not perfect.
w/ so many straws, PPLs, stashes - diff straws could be buying @ diff PPLs same time.

How many people under your supervision?

- At some pt, 17 agents, May-Aug (GRIT)
- Couple stayed for indictments

Been told that during agents felt enough ev but told to stand down. Aware?

- heard as part of hearing

Not brought to attn during F&F?

- no.

No conv. w/ agents and sup wanting to arrest/stop?

- Don't recall those conv, people coming to me
- After fact, heard that wanted to stop, but safety... unmarked car, didn't want ATF to stop, wanted local to do. Her concern was no lights, vest too much risk → officer safety

Why not use locals?

- we often did.

This incident?

- don't know why. Maybe not avail, maybe didn't resp. fast enough.

Oth incidents?

- only one I can remember
Conv
Concern w/ agents?

- no, **ATF** told me after fact. I thought wise dec. No one ev brought to my attn again

Did tactics include foregoing arr/interdicts where agents bel. legal aut?

- no...
- we seized over 200 guns in case
- when we comm w/ USAO... whether agent not in consult w/ USAO thought, don't know, didn't bring to me
- approx 278
- group as whole, 373 F/A in Grp 7
- know or believe this is more than oth SWB. From queries - more than other

Who is "we" w/ USAO

- **ATF** talked to Em daily, nights, weekends
me talk to Em many
- Newell met w/ USA Burke

- mult levels of communication w/ USAO

Do you know if other agents conc. w/ Em?

- on this case... TB talking to Em, Cn agents, maybe pen registers. Don't think oth agents had case dir. disc. w/ Em.

Your und agents weren't foregoing in order to facilitate larger

- no I didn't think as in F/A foregoing take act when leg auth to do so. We cons

w/ USAO. Don't know if Plc grey area, but if USAO says don't have, hard to override as agent or supervisors

- twice turned down by mag. for search warrant
- if I hear enough we don't have Plc have to acc... my role is my role, they have their role as atys/judges

Brief up chain?

- at some point trust w/ lack abt to seize F/As
- alluded to - met w/ civil side abt. civil forfeiture. Shifted burden.
- to best of my kn. no one else @ ATF doing this. Was a resp.

Who raise w/

- met w/ Newell, Gillett, Need
- when met w/ **ATF** civil side. I talked to Needles, he thought great idea. was done w/out

Know if took up chain?

- Don't know. Know historical difficulty, have gone state route. Can sense of frustration, Newell aware, been there longest.

When raised, what came down?

- Corpus delecti issue. **ATF** memo / disc. w/ USAO. Pos is it gun rec in Mex, can't use in ct. Believed req. element in straw purch, so even w/ confession, looking for something else. In this case, looking for bigger cash-laundering has biggest sentences.
- Memo / position paper

— mentions

Was real concern com?

- don't know if that ex concer - conc. abt. re s - was comm.

ATF

On-the record -

ATF

ATF

Came to Phoenix in Dec 09?

- yes

Where before?

- Minn.

Talk to **ATF** before?

- Think so

When How talk to **ATF**?

- guess knew who coming

Telephone convos?

- Yes, to say when coming, have a house? etc.

Talk abt case?

- Don't remember... don't know what I wld hv known

Didnt say going to be on **ATF**.

- Don't remember those convs.

Prior to Dec 09

- Don't remember those convs

- May have agn talked abt. OCDETF, etc.

Going there to follow new strat?

- going there for Grp 7

He got there before you?

- he might have

Initial contacts w/ **ATF**

- only cont Dec. 17

- think that's first time met him

Convos w/ **ATF** abt prior not

- agn knew he had coop... don't know if there was spec conv

And **ATF**

- At time arrived, she was pt cont~~ee~~ for Grp 7

She was contact on prev cases?

- ^{IK} He had cooper

- I knew from Nevel he had coop. w/ Agent ⁶⁸ Southbound. So seemed cons in he was coop PPL

ATF

And worked well?

- That was sense

Ever talk to **ATF**.

- Strategic initiative - for OCDETF case, submission form, asked her if she had filled out form. They were OCDETF not **ATF** as

It may have done SSO case w/ him, 20 yrs?

- I'd have no knowledge to that.

You didn't talk to her abt rel w/ **ATF**

- No, trying to figure out how to do strategic initiative. Gm. facts,

You knew at time **ATF** coop w/FBI?

- Yes

Aware of Oct or Nov 09 meeting, Agents \Rightarrow **ATF**

- No, not in Phoenix at time

Secondhand info?

- No

Aware of any meetings Em-

ATF

- just Dec. 17

- Me, Em, another AUSA, **ATF** - told can't tell him who to sell to, when not, but that giving us info on callers helpful
- license plates

- just general stuff

Why was Em there?

- he was AUSA, we wanted to make sure doing all by law

How many oth times AusAs w/ PPLs?

- just w/ **ATF**

- I don't think of any other cases

Why not?

- didn't really have same prop in oth cases

in St. Paul - large numbers of guns being purchase

SH got inv for what purp?

- to make sure no denying 2nd lib or en

who sugg?

- no idea.

Wasn't you?

- no

Huskey's idea?

- don't know

ATF idea?

- don't know

Who told you?

- I think **ATF**. Didn't think twice

ATF exp concerns?

- No

- asked log ap abt phones, lic plates, camera

Didn't raise Qs abt # of guns selling to ATF susp

- not that I remember

Did you take notes? Anyone

- No. Not to best of my knowledge.

Did ATF record?

- I didn't. No kn of anyone else

Recording system

- LE sens technique - **ATF**

ATF

ATF

Where collected?

22 - server, I guess

Does **ATF** have access?

- don't think so

Did **ATF** use ^{system} to call ATF?

- cert. don't think so

But if so wld be recorded?

- yes

At meeting w/ **ATF**, did you assure him weapons intend. before border?

- not to my rec.

Next interaction?

- don't know if I've had one. Can't remember one. Guess can't rule it out. Maybe if a fax went through

Never been back to store?

- No - he had an employee w/ associate, maybe money owed, gun taken

- wld have been on video, went to store for help to find - nothing to do w/ F2F

- had burglary, I think I went w/ **ATF**, prob w/ alarm - he showed us.

When?

- spring 2010. Don't think GRIT detail there.

- But not F2F

What abt. after ~~Terry~~ Terry death?

- I didn't talk to him after that.

You didn't go to store to talk abt how to deal?

- No

ATF?

- I know she talked to him often. I have no reason to think did or did not come up.

Did you talk to **ATF** abt how to deal?

- think we sent out press rel.

How abt after CBS?

- don't know abt CBS... he had perc. threat,

31

ATF

- Feb, March, Apr. -

discussed cameras, alarm at his house

Threat ass?

- far to say that

His Stock?

- at his house

Discuss Terry death?

- not that I rem - standard phys. sec stuff.

Did anyone discuss case?

- not that I remember

- I know there's been disc, but my rec
of his hse was phys security

Did you say "We're all on same sheet of
music & stay & we'll be all right?"

- don't have spec. rec of that

What abt aft TA?

- I didn't. I contacted alarm co to contact
him. Or bn thru atty. USAO. More formal
TA by USMS. Any contacts thru attys.

Ever @ meet @ USAO? Em did

ATF

- 24

When?

- approx. March/Ap 2011

Cld it hv bn Feb 11?

* Could hv bn.

What rec?

- **ATF** didn't attend
- talked abt per threats
- agreed to do TA, wed acc sec needs
- witness relocation wld have to go thru
Marshal's - not ATF de

No desc of case/contro?

- can't rem spec conn abt. that

- **ATF** wanted to be known he was coop,
how to make that known

It was decided he'd?

- Don't know. He put one out, don't know if ren to meet

Exp. concern he was only FFL named in indict?

- Don't know but do know change his has made b/c of this, FFLs

But you don't know if in resp to his conc?

- fair to say was in

Why named

- I think you need review

Did you review draft?

- think so

Did you raise concern

- don't rem if did or not

- hard to disassociate before and after, and subsequent indictments

As ATF sup, isn't it concern naming might disc?

- in hindsight make sense wasn't first thing came to my mind. don't have rec of that conc.

Generally don't you take ~~pre~~ precautions to protect CIs?

- he's not a CI

Not my Q

- generally yes, do take pre

Want to go back to PC - That you're not an atty?

- we rely on them. It's a partnership.

You don't have to check w/USA to make P.

- if you want to be succ, best practice

Even in emergent cir?

- working it in, talk w/them mult times dan

Training course on PC?

- yes

Sonke?

- yes

Do you think qual to dec?

- I think if you talk to USA, to override dec wld not be best prac
- So if the say non-pro to non-pro not P/C

You do realize diff std in courtroom than in field?

- I don't know if true... we try to op lawfully

Dif betw. RD & PC?

- Don't know if agree. PC is PC, lawful or not. Don't think diff on street.

Pros has goal of guilt R/P

- Ps Think we share

In field, we don't need R/P, corr?

- don't know

Were you aware disag. betw. on interdict?

ATF

- no

Not aware of **ATF** crit of **ATF** = too quick to int?

- not aware of that

Rec LE techniques ones. Reas susp to dev PC, or to learn what prohib poss in car, or otherwise convince person to.

- that Q had couple

Techniques to interdict short of arrest & conviction?

- Maybe. Just b/c stop doesn't mean you can seize. If lawful, can tell us to go away

Unless purch illegal

- and that is ind burd we have to show

What level of susp - food stamps - RS

- you can quest various ppl various pt

- if we don't think will meet goal, & other techniques might be better
But is it not case if person fs w/ 30k is at least RS
- that could be one tactic employed
Agents have told us that technique (dev. thru interview, etc) to get guns was a freq thing in other offices and in Ph before. **ATF** says only one time that unsucc. Wrong?
- No, I think over time straws gotten smarter.
- Straws tell us going to desert to shoot. Another unemployed guy, no ammo, where'd you get the money - host of unsuccessful interviews
ATF says successful, that straws will give up

- I think used to be more acc. They're gotten more ^{smart} since, soph.
So if we're told never had problem before - acc?

- No idea if that's acc.
How did ATF learn **ATF** in contact w/ DTOs?

- we susp he was highest in Ph,
How early on ev **ATF** in comm w/ DTO?

- hindsight 20/20 - DEA **ATF**
at time...

How first on radar?

- I think before I got there, someone took guns to his house

Tip from gun dealer?

- don't think so
- don't think **ATF** bought guns so don't think was PFL

• DeA hit

I isn't that basis? OCDETF

- yes

So as early as Dec 09

- but that's hindsight - we susp. but
don't think was provable at that pt

What abt **ATF** - as early as 09, guns
in MX w/ the 14 days

- sounds abt right

And continual rec in MX?

- yes so

Does that meet PC?

- talked to USAO - need int P/C for each
transaction. Can't transfer to next
SP.

Doesn't matter that end up @ crime scene,
talking to USAO, if he sells to non-
pro pers, lawful. Non-pro can sell/
transfer, not usd. If sec pers →
Mex, can't transfer

But continual patt/intent? USAO said no?

- fact that someone buys & sells guns is
PC?

But being prob. not only illegal thing -

also intent?

don't know if agree

- there are cases in 9th circ - conviction
overturned, person pur. bought gun
for non-prob, not a conv. overruled

ATF

Convo w/ **ATF** abt being prob from blame?

- what mean?

Heard **ATF** has her own "oh shit" pile?

- this case was briefed... I don't know what
that will be

No convo file?

→ not spec

Ev heard her say PI - gradstep?

- no
heard his say
FBI prob of own, take heat off?

- no, I mean some agen dissat. Don't know if attrib that statement, w/r/t
ATF.

BLIC turns out oth guys FBI knew?

- yes.

w/knowledge, may have taken down who allowing **ATF** to buy

- may have acc goal sooner
People you mentioned before -

- pretty sure now ^{wes} would be FBI in Las Cruces.
FBI personnel?

- Las Cruces or

No name?

- **ATF**, don't know last name
DEA?

- in Las Cruces or El Paso.

Remember when first learned of **ATF**?

- after arrest of **ATF**

2011?

- yes

Before or after news?

- before - when arrested, giving statement

Doing doc review?

- No

Not involved in resp?

- No

We've heard access on comp on network drive?

- not my und of how works

No one's given you special access

- no,

ATF T-A?

- gen had imp of perc

Did you think genuine?

- I think genuine he believes, and that people may have called store. Out of our exp - USMS. Alarm system.

Recall Needles saying we did buy your house?

- one thing **ATF** wanted to look into, don't know if thru relocation.

ATF

How long Gsup?

- Dec. '09

- prior, was agent ^{prim} sounds like Hm/TE contact w/ USAO?

- yes

But Dec you?

- on occasion, yes

w/ line

- H/I, w/ line

- mine, 50% line, 50%, meeting, my sups

ATF

Who is **ATF**?

- Emory's direct supervisor - Section chief or something

How frequently?

- 3 times ^{week} sometimes, once others

Other agents?

- mult times a day, early, late, etc

Other agents?

- again, maybe re: documents, **ATF**
but not near int. of **ATF**

Wld cons abt P/C be at agent level?

- typically yes

How abt other agents in Grp 7?

- on P $\frac{1}{2}$ F? don't believe so

Wld surprise you?

- yes

your int. w/ memory?

- SD/SD in person/phone

How about Case Agents?

- Phone, emailing drafts/docs

Fair to say USAO ext. involv w/ CAs?

- yes

Typical?

- in larger investigation, yes

When I was agent

? in UCDETF inv, working w/ constantly. when

I was agent, on phone w/ USA all time.

If in F, F acted inc. w/ USA advice, what happen?

- case wouldn't get prosecuted - legal reason/problem

Wld jeopardize?

- absolutely

For example, if you had asked, USA said no

PC = wld jeopardize

- wld certainly jeopardize case, and I wld have trouble thinking we acted in good faith.

I wld have trouble thinking I violate Const.
when you received advice/dir. from Hurley,

who in Grp. 7 was briefed abt. disc

- **ATF**, myself, SA, ASA

wld ever brief down chain?

- Well, ex of tracker -

What if USA said didn't do something?

- **ATF**, me - maybe tell SA or agents in group

Well, I cert think things were attempt
to be communicated.

- lots of inv. in Grp 7, ev. busy work
wldn't say comm perfect - F/A traff
in Ph crazy, so much at all times.

USAO had info abt tactics?

- yes

So did CA take dir from USA on surv dec?

ATF

ATF

Many surv

comm to USA. I said, daily/milt daily
conv

Consults abt. Suspects?

- I remember talking to Em abt failed
int in past prosecutions - in his
op pros those cases diff/hard b/c
int yielded so little

Fair to say

- yes

His exp?

- I think always F/A

How long?

- don't know

Exp pros?

- yes

Do you know if CA disc seizures/stops w/
Em?

- of course. we did lots seizures - to my kn,
consulted.

If didn't concur you -

- well may have disagreed, but if render
legal opinion ^{to supersede} goes against what I
had to be fol

Rely on them

- we don't charge, schedule, etc. They
do indictment

What happens if decline to indict?

- I und. historically had to go to State ct
for fraud count, like insuff check

Who made aware of history?

32

- Newell, Gillett, Mac, ATF

Coordinate w/other LE agencies?

- ICE, DEA, CBP, Phoenix police, IRS

Hurley consulted?

- I know he worked w/IRS on subpoenas for bank acccts, Ag for **ATF** info

He was aware of FPL cooperation?

- present at both meetings we had

What other challenges?

- hurdle - roadside conv. w/USA, saying he doesn't have job, told not illegal
- That's when went to **ATF**, lower std

Even w/that USAO :m?

- yes - got forfeitures

Can you think of dec USAO not involved?

- not in this case. Not going to take ind. action when worked so long & hard together.
- I can't think of anything we did we didn't consult abt

Are there other legal constraints wrt op?

- one is notion we did provide to FPL, no-sell list - that's not an option
- being a suspect doesn't take away your civil lib
- this notion we could have given FPL list - we don't have that authority

Were there discussions w/USAO at other points in m - traffic stops?

- I know we talked abt using Ph/Glendale to protect investigation
 - less susp than Impala / ATF vest
- Was concerted effort to have local LE do in-person type stops
- for sure, yeah - that's what we did

- when I say seized
- Did Grp 7 make stops?
- seizures yes, don't know abt stops. We have unmarked cars - better practice to use marked cars.

Protect ATF inv?

- part of consideration

Hypo - non-pro person buying gun - legal gun, right?

- yes

So as long as not pro-person, have right?

- yes - can't treat Barrett diff from others
- So acc to non-pro person, didn't matter who/ID of that person, circumstances - didn't m.
- scenario w/unemployed - don't need to just funds
- non-pro pers \rightarrow non-pro pers, not illegal
- being on **ATF** by itself not ill

How get info for PL?

ATF

Could talk to person?

- Yeah, think that's been covered...

But not favored?

- Not fav by USAO b/c unsucc att

What other ways for PL?

ATF

- be hardpressed to think of technique others we didn't try

ATF

Disc w/ USAO 2

- yes, discussed all these.

You mentioned each ind. transaction - elab?

- we have to have ind. P/C for each purch

- cited sev examples

So * guns at time - factor?

- can't be only factor

You talked to USA abt?

- he gave ECOS - say thought ass ^{aut.} weap
ban coming back, it's not, can liquidate ^{chan}?
doesn't make orig purch a straw

Do you recall instance contra. USAO advice?

- I can't think of one. Can think of debates..

Debates?

- huge gun, has to be mounted, - Ind. had no
emp, paid \$3K in cash, said for shooting in
desert
- we stopped, interviewed him, said saved
his money - pt is we disagreed w/USA,
but ult. sided with them that this
not P/C

This is during FZF?

- yes
- this was one thing prompted my going
civil route - found alt. solution, diff
std.

Became OLDETF case → change USAO role?

- Yes & no. More oversight - approval process,
inform / status reports.

Who prepares?

- We prepare part, submit to USAO
Each rep inv both ATF / USAO?

- Yes, and then it goes back to OLDETF
Ever feel like FZF too much dir. from TEF?
- don't know if fair to say too much. Maybe
their dir weighed heavier than our dir.
They have historical baseline ... they
had dir verdicts - Xcat, confession -
whether be 9^m LIZ, pro-gno juries, for
what reason, USAE not as aggressive as pos.
- Note to say wrong, old be right,
but at end of day, can't get 20 indict
w/ them. If wanted sooner, nothing to do abt.

- I know mult meetings abt charging, got
to pt of SAC/Dennis saying we need these.
Case w/conf → hung jury

ATF - I think?

ATF told me abt

Briefing in DC?

- March 15, 2010

- Hoover, Chait, McMahon,

ATF

ATF from DOJ.

Melson?

- No. Fair to say Hoover, exec level, there.

How long?

- Briefing abt other stuff too - briefing
I part was 30-45 mins

You were providing?

- I think **ATF** started, w/ PPT,
then got more spec. into our recoveries,
guns, money, funding; going up on wire
soon

So did you say cutting surv @ stash house for
FFLs?

- don't recall that being disc

Did you say it purch, rec, both?

- Both

Did you desc ind. purch?

- Did.

ID spec individuals int?

- Don't know if did @ that pt or not.

So Ex. R - Apr. 2010?

- Yes

You didn't describe emails w/ FFLs?

- No.

What Qs asked?

- financial component, trying to get @ bank accts.
- seizures in MX & US

- Seizures in MX, US, [redacted] **ATF**
- **ATF** what we hoped to learn, how hoped to dismantle org.

Explain conversations w/ USAO wrt PC?

- don't know if had conv. abt P/C
- said were working w/ USAO, no part rec of talk abt that

Asked why net not taken down?

- don't think our strat was thought to be good one, well rec

Sounds like you sd needed **ATF**?

- **ATF** wld help us get @ people controlling net who might never touch gun

Concerns abt. # of guns?

- don't remember spec. concern / Q re l to that

[Ex. 5] **ATF** (Melson email)

Dir is Melson?

- yes

Qs arose pursuant to your brief?

- my imp, though not involved in conveyance verbally, or over email?

- I think verbally

IP address for

ATF

App Melson

wanted access?

- Yes

ATF

?.

- at pts. we had

ATF

ATF

Qs about Melson accessed?

- no knowledge.

Where there follow-ups?

- No. There were other qs from Dir. during

- My imp that when I sent things up, subs. briefing papers, 2010 Dir. brief,

when you att. brief w/mr. Hoover, desc

ATF

ATF

Get into that detail? level of

ATF

During briefing, say you didn't seize where
had lawful auth?

- I didn't say that at all. I think

I mentioned ATF

- ATF in this email - 30k receipts
not supp to have
of ammo, but no ind illegal at time

b/c no 4473

- hurdle we have

Q

ATF

= Yes

ATF

ATF

Ex. 6 - HGR ATF 000884 - ATF re-cert

Recollection of this?

- think I was driving from Minnesota
foreshadow
surge of purchasing interesting to us - how
knew?

- I don't know there is surge coming. I
don't know what sig. info. act is.

Lots of suspects - ATF knew in advance of purch
we knew in some circ

w/some freq?

- I knew more **ATF** than week, month before
Know if grp would call FFI after team of purch?
 - I don't have any spec knowledge of that.
Think wld be reasonable to call to arrange
to segregate cash. Some comm. going on.
Think FFS shall sense ATF interdict weapons

- don't think they antic. we doin pl ^{their} or anything.
- don't know what exp was.

+ had those conv. w/ USA

Do you think FFIs thought hab

- don't know what FFIs thought. In some
cases wedid hab, others USA+

At some pt realized dealing w/lots guns?

- kept ev. apprised of # of guns

At various pts - **ATF** Chait - when going
to shut down? When did that brew?

- No, I went up there in March - Chait didn't
ask. Chait didn't email me, ask that is
spec
- I think later, Aug., we said we got what
we got, there was disc. when we will indict
- I remember askin, Need → Mar, New → Bulk
timing of ind

Safe to say ATF phrasing we have to stop

ATF, he's ^{dangerous}.

+ I think P/A laws bein

You had frust w/ Em - emails abt violence
in Mex. I read totat as we need to ^{perf world - fawter}

- No, I think in my head, we wld have
had addl ASAS,

But did you have frust w/ USA?

- Yes

But if you step outside restrictions you had,

doesn't seem reas to do something by **ATF** ?

- in a perf world, faster service, more USA service

^{in MP}
- we wld have team of AUSA's, didn't have here,
that was new to me.

- wasn't that first b/c more FIA opp in Ph?

- yes, Ph feels crazy & limited resou - frust
Ind - 3-4 buying 100% share?

Ind, yes

So if pluck off, might take down?

- Don't know, might have to start over.

Did you & Needles talk abt. [redacted] ATF [redacted] ?

- Don't have spec. recollection

B/C [redacted] ATF - MX w/ t-t-c

- Don't rem. spec. conversation. I rem.
talking to Needles abt. [redacted] ATF

[redacted]
ATF

try - that was convo Needles prop of,
USAID not → frust

Guns push @ Lw much higher?

- I think so.

Like 1100?

- Not sure of #

And [redacted] ATF [redacted]

[redacted] ATF up there

Not as high as [redacted] ATF

- ok,

Was [redacted] a concern to you?

- if he's legally selling, not anything we
can do

[redacted] ATF Can you tell him to stock certain kind?

- don't know if follow

Is that approp?

- not following

Is it approp to tell to stock a part. kind of gun?

- I don't know if und & fully.

Some FPLs say usually sell 5 in month, These
guys wanted more, FPLs got imp wo

- don't think that's fair statement

I don't know what imp they have. [redacted] ATF
Wld order spec gun. We weren't telling Lone
Wolf what to sell, [redacted] ATF was.

OK - [redacted] ATF says [redacted] ATF told him to exp. increase
in cent. kind, was told that she should exp.
Increased purch, names to exp, and it was
out of ord.

from?

from T.I. of [redacted] ATF

- Don't know if predicting future, & if that
was consistent

He later said voiced concerns, that never
seen 0-50 wldays of being notified by
ATF. He's talking abt. meeting w/ [redacted] em.

- I don't rem. him saying that
Recall a spike?
- No, wld need a baseline, don't know
what hap in Oct

Know that 2M^{wld} during those days?

- Believe that

Wld corroborate this test.

- OK

He said on rec.

- diff peop can have diff rec of same ev
You're not saying he def didn't say

- I have no rec

He also says instructed by [redacted] ATF to stock & ^{inter} [redacted]
ATF

- I don't recall

Record?

- I prepared report, he prepared memo
Is not in doc review?

- I'm not doing that. They took my email.

when Terry killed in Dec, weap recovered - 2 or 3.

- to best of my kn, it's 2

what do you remember abt. learning abt that?

- when det. 2 guns purchased by Av - call from Gillett
wanted Av arr 13 mins ago, sense of urgency

- I contacted Emory - There was reluctance,
concerned abt. compr. entire case, I says
we cld find standalone reason to charge

- I said we cld do residency check, find
violation, so sent agents to address on 4473
W. Monte Vista, occupants said bought
Apr. 2010, didn't know **ATF**

Showed hadn't lived since Apr., found

latest - June - prep comp, arrested

ATF

HPP quickly?

that night

Reluctance before BIC Hurley didn't think
enough?

- on entire case or **ATF**?

On whole case, I

So diff betw. arres

- hadn't conducted residency check. Thousands
of people buy guns, conducting isn't feasible.
A BP agent killed, had to arrest someone, so
pt things in motion to get him - I'm pointing
out

- residency checks are resource intense,
we don't have resources

- history \Rightarrow scrutinizing brought, not std practice

I'm saying when needed to arrest **ATF**,
you did

- w/res check?

You did find reason for others.

- at some pt if USAO wanted to find reason
for any one or two people, prob could have
didn't want to

wanted organiza.

At PC
Newell said whole org - but straws

- couple mid-level players

So you agree top-to-bot?

- as we knew as existed at time

New know more C-A assoc

- now know 2-3 people do c-a
who talked to AH @ **ATF**?

- don't know. Heard name but not sure.

B Did **ATF** go out?

- as I und, **ATF** - at this pt he's in intel

That's only person?

- I don't even know if he did - that's
just what I've heard

Feb 11 meeting w/ **ATF**? where?

- USAO

Who there? PC, You?

ATF

- Jim Needles

ATF

Diff betw: **ATF** & PC?

- PC sup **ATF**

Who else?

- PC, **ATF**, EH, Need, **ATF**, me, **ATF**

Other agencies?

- not that know of

Purp?

- my imp AH initiated, which why struck me
he didn't come

How long?

- 30-40 mins

Remember what **ATF** told abt P/A?

- don't recall that being disc.

Remember?

- Safety concerns, witness relocation (explain - complicated)

Second meeting in March w/ new ATF atty?

ATF [redacted]
ATF [redacted]

- I don't re

ATF was there?

- I couldn't swear.

She didn't update?

- No spec. recollection. We had gone to USIS for threat assess. At some pt I was not into.

I+ was reported SH said to **ATF** that we're in same boat & have to stick tog.

He said sim?

- I can't recall spec rec.

First you've heard SH saying that?

- Yeah, no spec. rec of him saying before B/C implies cover-up, and that's dramatic - heard anything of that sort?

- No

Surprised by that?

- I don't know. I think I might be sur if covers up - this case so well document. You do und. that if FAI exch. fac, spread sheet surv to watch more, if found @ crime scenes - unpleasant?

- I don't know

Unp

- It's unpleasant when guns end in crime. Nobody wants that. But in our country P/A legal

You were det to USAO?

- don't think fair

But

ATF is

- don't think fair

But
Needles is...

- don't think fair

I've temp left Phoenix. Threats against me.

Safety. I'm working out of **ATF**

temp on indictment, my contact w/ USAO
has sig dec

Inu into Terry death - F/A placed into back pack?

[consult w/ **ATF**]

- proffer w/ one def charged, **ATF** there. Didn't

ID F/F ppl, phone numbers didn't match. He

~~ID~~ made robbery scenario in desert -

retrieve & use, place back, return to MX,
Weap store.

Fair to say trying to figure out from who put guns?

- FBI inv, can't speak to

You don't think rel by USAO to explore if wild
conn to F/F

- I don't und. We showed photos -

You don't think rel. to show F/F → placing

- I ~~am~~ have not heard of any rel.

This goes back to 2H "same boat" statement

In my eyes sounds like rel to tie F/F guns.

I und your test no.

- I don't know of any rel

ATF

Spec roadside case - consult w/ 2H

- guy named **ATF**. At home dealer

getting records, noticed large gun. Agents

asked, guy had ordered. **ATF** came,

traffic stop, roadside - source of income,

going to shoot in desert.

- realtime consultation, it's clear not enough
doing so in his opinion ^{is} lawful
- recovered in NY w/ abt 20 other guns

Did you watch hearing?

- yes

Did you read rep?

- read some, ^{choose} not to read all

Generally, pretty clear sev. agents say their exp concerns to you. True, right?

- I don't believe so. I can't remember a spec. inst.

The Generally - the stories they tell abt.

butting heads w/you. How are we to rec

- I don't know - disagree w/ "const"

"butting heads"

- Meetings - Gillett was at meeting - Venlee was there and can't think of instance where did, don't know if talked amongst self, or in debriefs...

Ex. 7 = **ATF** email

What is your rxn to this email? Corrob test?

- Don't think corrob at all. March 10, **ATF** abt **ATF** Lots people concerned abt assigns, weekends, Seniority

- toolbox = **ATF**

- and wasn't best email

- was strictly abt **ATF**

So prior, no disc of def. of walk guns?

- no. Only close disc. was **ATF** case

Why mem case #?

- disc lately

By whom?

- lots of peop

who's been disc?

ATF

Needles, PC

why?

- walking of guns issue.

You are saying only in conn. w/that case,
not F&F, abt. sun

- what?

Disc. abt F&F techniques, allowing purch
to cont was tant. to "walk"

- no spec. rec.

Regardless of term walk, not disc?

- at any given time, talking w USAO

So agents were conc. abt being prev. from
ex their lawful auth

- I think that's overstate

Did they express to you?

- no, don't have recollection

So after email, meeting w/Gillett?

- talking abt putting together need to walk
together

Gillett didn't say you don't know what walk

- I don't have spec. rec of that

Gen?

- diff people

How expl?

- diff people have diff. recollection

- I think there are some alleg. not nec.
Accurate

Personnel in MX - concerns abt. #s2

- Yeah, concern, when take case down? Consensus
needed USAO on bd, outside our control w/lt
timing

- email w/ RAC in McAllen - Tx, status. I
explained working w/USAO, not aggr.

ATF

gunwalking
you mentioned instance discussed w/ agent.

Ex 8] HOGR - ATF - 001656

ATF email abt. **ATF** case

- I saw version, not this particular email
- At bottom, appears to be email text from EH.

- first time I've seen this set.

EH language - can't "walk" w/o AUSA app
what's ES?

- electronic surv

This is what you were referring earlier?

- This issue

- there was report detailing meeting w/ Needles -
"proposed undercover"

What was request to "walk"

ATF

So walk is ref. to undercover agent?

- yes, UC prior to SUs

This not F&F?

- no

Tactic used in F&F?

- no

accolades...

"Tremendous potential..." Recall accolades wrt
this investigation?

- no, his rep not up to date, I sent him
email saying good case, pls bring up to
date

ATF

Once you had enough evidence, make seizures?

- Yes. June, Jul, Aug - corresponds to more ev,
USA felt more comfortable. Crescendo efft.

- June / July Aug most active in seizing F/A
- corresponds to ev. we had obtained for lawful seizure of FAs

You do not recall complaints until media?

- correct

Other sorts of complaints, personnel, etc?

- yeah. Instance where FPL Guns-for-all had susp. att. purch - tried to contact Mac, who was in Mex. Then tried GRIT agent **ATF**

- Young female w/ man, speaking Spanish, guy delayed, got **ATF**, he said w/d monitor. When FPL called back, mother called back, she was displeased. In meantime, **ATF** calls back, says can't tell you to make sale. FPL decided not. **ATF** others had been ready to set up. Alt letter on door to office.

What say?

- new op. procedures - check w/ **ATF**

So resentment of **ATF**

- Yes

Aware?

- Don't know if aware of format of letter

ATF had come to me abt. **ATF** Connect
ATF ^{ATF} out of Yuma had **ATF** informant, walked w/ **ATF** ^{Lam} Thought informant could work w/ **ATF** Met, didn't get good reception. He saw **ATF** talk after, attrib. to himself. Said if prob w/ **ATF** please tell me, but I can't do anything if she talked to S

Did **ATF** come to you?

- No, just that one time

Any others

- No

Get-backs

- whatever form of authorization DAD level
to OEO

- I know [ATF] got out of academy, said [ATF] doing F&F, White Gun, spend time helping [ATF], I reassigned [ATF] so he could have more exp.

Did agents do comp?

- I alluded before abt. schedule.
- I think resistance was didn't want to be in [ATF] room (elsewhere, lots of typing)
- I tried to explain was in Spanish, transcription alleviated
- Gen. wanted surv.
- gen, when up & running, not bad

Other personnel matters?

- [ATF] wrote report, [ATF] asked if entered in SGD - disagreements like that

Other concern abt tactics?

- I don't have spec. concern ^{rec} w/spec. concern w/ spec. tactic
- Wld take to Case Ag?
- could have. I might have as field agent
- even myself, fact we went civil affidavit route - we all want best case, have to do in confined of jud dist. Even I had frustr. Sought other avenues.

Concerns abt your leadership?

- don't recall

Think happy w/it?

- Some were, some not

Unhappy?

- don't know if thought working too much F&F not enough own cases

- at some pt, [ATF] gravitated - [ATF]
After working on case, she seemed to enjoy [ATF]
- Sup. said if [ATF] helping you, can [ATF] work for me? I asked [ATF], he wanted to

At some pt.

ATF

transferred out Grp 7

- correct
Not req? No
Who trans

- Gillett:

ATF

- Needles **ATF** not consult?
How hap? no

- w/ no foreshadowing, Gillett told me **ATF** to come, said role in Jr Terror TF, int?

ATF Said yes

How abt **ATF**?

- There was door issue

- Attorney **ATF**, made him aware of situation. Asked me if contacted OPP.

~~He said~~ Needles out, told him when back, Needles had **ATF** come in, that wasn't approp/prof. In add, **ATF** N-force cert instructor of Ph FD review - Nforce deficiencies indicated. Needles moved him to look @ N-force discrepancies.

March 5, 2010 Brief. Our und. McMahon visited PPD on Apr. 2010. Recollect?

- can't swear he didn't, but don't remember specifically.

Do you have spec. knowledge of other briefings?

- BPs, exit strategy, SIRs, **ATF**,

- I know Needles/Newell talked to McMahon, Chair

Was you present for any others?

- video teleconference w/ Newell when he was in HQ. I think someone, an atty from Justice?

Maybe **ATF**? Kind of a three way teleconference.

Any knowledge ATF in DC told agents failing to make arrests

- no know

Or not interd?

- not aware of that

Or that ATF enc. FFLs?

- don't think anyone told b/c don't agree w/
premise

Made aware sending any emails to FFLs?

- not aware of that

Ex. 9 - Exit strategy

HQGR ATF 001178

Doc sent from

ATF

to SAC Newell Apr. 2020

- I believe I drafted the document.

Bullet on prosecutorial Strategy - basis for conclusions?

Info from convo w/ USAO?

- Those convos and convos w/ USAOs throughout time
as agent
- SP part wld be more disc. w/ AusAs in Ph
- trying to incorp vast array of charges - more
severe, narz, money laund - fact trying to
bring more severe set of charges. To incorp
those not buying guns, like MCA, those
in MX need diff evidence.
- More severe charges going up food chain of
Ca/Ad.
- There are narzo - terrorism charge

So straw purch charges - not up chain?

- right

Terry murder - **ATF** pwk violation?

- right

My und. that was info you could have gathered

- in theory, if taken upon ourselves to do res
check. Resource intensive\$, Richmond
gun show issue. Res checks not std. practice

Punishment for pwk vio?

- prob

That go into why not charged before?

- That, and hadn't done res check. Not best
way find

ATF

communications - Apr. 2010. Piece's missing -

What impact arresting **ATF** at this point affect?

- C-A not going to change his activity,
- So arresting **ATF** wld have hindered abt to take down net.
- Conn. w/us & ourselves - wouldn't have brought down.

B/c straw charges not enough to flip Ds?

- correct

We've spoken abt. large # purch - as result, spike in violence?

- I know from one email, deadliest month... don't know if attrib.

Concerned?

- Yes, concerned.

Steps to mitigate?

- When talked to atty, when lawful, served.
- If info abt Son, didn't, wld act
- Treasury comp. System - flag secondary inspection

So you did take steps to interdict/mitigate?

- Yes.

Case began to wind down Aug 2010?

- Made dec not to go after another **ATF** charge
- Still had loftier goal of DTO, hadn't got there, but made dec to stop

Why

- So much trouble w/phones, mules transp
- to get ev ~~from~~ on MX guy, hadn't gotten there, phone issues, delays w/DOJ, missing phones
- I'd written exit strat. Seemed gotten where action.
- Maybe still phones, I'm hoping for that

Aug 2010 - USAO ind?

- Yes

Delays w/ USAO?

- Yes

Reasons?

- Not really, perfecting, date moving,
 - ~~Remember~~ waiting on IRS
 - At some pt., Newell conv. w/ Burke
- So in response to delays, you thought had to do w/ IRS?
- waiting on figures