From: To: Sent:	Weich, Ron (SMO); Reich, Steven (ODAG); Gaston, Molly (SMO)		
Subject:	7/15/2011 9:47:07 AM RE: Redaction questions		
	DP		
	15, 2011 9:40 AM (ODAG); Gaston, Molly (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO)		
9:45 but will join t	DP when I can.	Steve and Faith, I'll be a little late to the	
	⁷ 15, 2011 8:17 AM (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO) SMO)		
	DP		
	^r 15, 2011 8:15 AM (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO) SMO)		
	DP		
	DP		
	⁷ 15, 2011 08:03 AM (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO) SMO)		
for redactions (e	Castor and told him that, starting absolutely as quickl g: 1 = Personal privacy) and that we would handwrite made and also give them a copy of the key so he und	e on the redacted documents the code for why	
	DP		

From: Gaston, Molly (SMO)
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 2:18 PM
To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Reich, Steven (ODAG)
Subject: Fw: Redaction questions

See the results of my test case below: they are going to want more detail.

From: Foster, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) [mailto:Jason_Foster@judiciary-rep.senate.gov]
 Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 02:14 PM
 To: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Leavitt, Tristan (Judiciary-Rep) <Tristan_Leavitt@judiciary-rep.senate.gov>
 Subject: RE: Redaction questions

Perhaps we can have a conversation where that explanation can be fleshed out a bit? I'm not sure what that means as described below.

From: Gaston, Molly (SMO) [mailto:Molly.Gaston@usdoj.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 2:12 PM
To: Leavitt, Tristan (Judiciary-Rep)
Cc: Foster, Jason (Judiciary-Rep)
Subject: Re: Redaction questions

Thanks, Tristan.

With respect to your questions about HOGR-ATF 1179-1181, the Exit Strategy:

With the exception of the redaction of text in the penultimate line on the first page, every single redaction in this document is a reference to a sensitive surveillance technique.

The redaction of text in the penultimate line on the first page is of sensitive information about an investigative target.

Thanks, Molly

From: Leavitt, Tristan (Judiciary-Rep) [mailto:Tristan_Leavitt@judiciary-rep.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 01:45 PM
To: Gaston, Molly (SMO)
Cc: Foster, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) <Jason_Foster@judiciary-rep.senate.gov>
Subject: Redaction questions

Molly,

As you suggested, we have identified the instances where we would like to know the substance behind redactions. They follow individually, as per your request. As mentioned on the phone the other day, this doesn't need to include redacted phone numbers.

Thanks, Tristan

Batch 1 1144 1145 1146 1179 1180 1181 1183 1184 1185 1190 Batch 2

Batch 3

<u>Batch 4</u>

Batch 5

<u>Batch 6</u>

<u>Batch 7</u>

Batch 8

<u>Batch 9</u>

- <u>Batch 10</u>

<u>Batch 11</u>

<u>Batch 13</u>

Batch 14

<u>Batch 15</u>

<u>Batch 16</u>

<u>Batch 17</u>

Batch 18

Batch 19

<u>Batch 20</u>	
002044	
002045	
002055	
<u>Batch 21</u>	
002069	
002076	
002081	
002082	
002083	
- <u>Batch 22</u>	
002107	
002107	
Batch 23	
002126	
002136	
002142	
002143	
002157	
002158	
002167	
Batch 24	
002200	
002205	
002206	
002217	
Batch 25	
002241	
002247	
002248	
002265	
- Batch 26	
002282	
002283	
002298	
002303	
002310	
002311	
002317	