From: Burton, Faith (SMO)

To: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Reich, Steven (ODAG); Weich, Ron (SMO)

Sent: 7/15/2011 12:25:53 PM Subject: RE: Redaction questions

# DP

From: Gaston, Molly (SMO)

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2011 11:27 AM

**To:** Reich, Steven (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)

**Subject:** Re: Redaction questions

# DP

On that subject, I have looked back through what we have produced and what from those documents we have redacted and suggest the following codes, followed by examples of what redactions those codes would represent.



FYI—I took stock of the particular documents/Bates Nos. that Grassley staff followed up with us on for explanation of redactions. The purpose of many of the redactions we made in these documents is abundantly clear from context, or large that the Committee has unredacted copies from other sources, so their request seems designed pp

DP

From: Reich, Steven (ODAG)

**Sent**: Friday, July 15, 2011 10:39 AM

To: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO)

Subject: RE: Redaction questions

Do you actually owe him a call?

From: Gaston, Molly (SMO)

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2011 9:53 AM

**To:** Burton, Faith (SMO); Weich, Ron (SMO); Reich, Steven (ODAG)

Subject: Re: Redaction questions

Ok. I will call Jason back and see if he just didn't understand the wording of my email, and then say that it is my understanding that this is the level of detail agreed upon with Steve.

Also, I will send you a proposed coding scheme shortly so that we can start using today.	
From: Burton, Faith (SMO) Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 09:47 AM To: Weich, Ron (SMO); Reich, Steven (ODAG); Gaston, Molly (SMO) Subject: RE: Redaction questions	
DP	
From: Weich, Ron (SMO) Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 9:40 AM To: Reich, Steven (ODAG); Gaston, Molly (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO) Subject: RE: Redaction questions	
<b>DP</b> 9:45 but will join when I can.	Steve and Faith, I'll be a little late to the
9.45 but Will John When I Can.	
From: Reich, Steven (ODAG) Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 8:17 AM To: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO) Cc: Weich, Ron (SMO) Subject: RE: Redaction questions	
DP	
From: Gaston, Molly (SMO)  Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 8:15 AM  To: Reich, Steven (ODAG); Burton, Faith (SMO)  Cc: Weich, Ron (SMO)  Subject: Re: Redaction questions	
UP	
DP	
From: Reich, Steven (ODAG) Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 08:03 AM To: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Burton, Faith (SMO) Cc: Weich, Ron (SMO) Subject: RE: Redaction questions I spoke to Steve Castor and told him that, starting absolutely as quickly	v as we can, we would create a key of reasons
for redactions (eg: 1 = Personal privacy) and that we would handwrite redactions were made and also give them a copy of the key so he under	on the redacted documents the code for why
DP	
From: Gaston, Molly (SMO) Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2011 2:18 PM To: Burton, Faith (SMO); Reich, Steven (ODAG) Subject: Fw: Redaction questions	

See the results of my test case below: they are going to want more detail.

**From**: Foster, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) [mailto:Jason\_Foster@judiciary-rep.senate.gov]

**Sent**: Thursday, July 14, 2011 02:14 PM

**To**: Gaston, Molly (SMO); Leavitt, Tristan (Judiciary-Rep) <Tristan\_Leavitt@judiciary-rep.senate.gov>

Subject: RE: Redaction questions

Perhaps we can have a conversation where that explanation can be fleshed out a bit? I'm not sure what that means as described below.

From: Gaston, Molly (SMO) [mailto:Molly.Gaston@usdoj.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2011 2:12 PM **To:** Leavitt, Tristan (Judiciary-Rep) **Cc:** Foster, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) **Subject:** Re: Redaction questions

Thanks, Tristan.

With respect to your questions about HOGR-ATF 1179-1181, the Exit Strategy:

With the exception of the redaction of text in the penultimate line on the first page, every single redaction in this document is a reference to a sensitive surveillance technique.

The redaction of text in the penultimate line on the first page is of sensitive information about an investigative target.

Thanks, Molly

From: Leavitt, Tristan (Judiciary-Rep) [mailto:Tristan Leavitt@judiciary-rep.senate.gov]

**Sent**: Thursday, July 14, 2011 01:45 PM

To: Gaston, Molly (SMO)

Cc: Foster, Jason (Judiciary-Rep) < Jason\_Foster@judiciary-rep.senate.gov>

Subject: Redaction questions

Molly,

As you suggested, we have identified the instances where we would like to know the substance behind redactions. They follow individually, as per your request. As mentioned on the phone the other day, this doesn't need to include redacted phone numbers.

Thanks,

Tristan

#### Batch 1

1144

1145

1146

1179

11801181

1100

1183

1184

1185

1190

#### Batch 2

# Batch 3

# Batch 4

# Batch 5

# Batch 6

# Batch 7

# Batch 8

# Batch 9

### Batch 10

# Batch 11

#### Batch 13

#### Batch 14

### Batch 15

### Batch 16

### Batch 17

# Batch 18

### Batch 19

### Batch 20

### Batch 21

### Batch 22

### Batch 23

### Batch 24

### Batch 25

# Batch 26

DOJ-FF-49903