From: To: Sent: Subject: Attorney General Schmaler, Tracy (OPA) 10/3/2011 6:39:54 PM Re: issa

I generally don't read those.

From: Schmaler, Tracy (OPA) Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 06:37 PM To: Attorney General Subject: RE: issa

NDIC and CRM

From: Attorney General Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 6:37 PM To: Schmaler, Tracy (OPA) Subject: Re: issa

Weekly reports from whom?

From: Schmaler, Tracy (OPA) Sent: Monday, October 03, 2011 06:30 PM To: Attorney General Subject: issa

Committee released some docs, including weekly reports from 2010 that show op was mentioned. Issa trying to make claim that b/c they were mentioned in 2010, it somehow contradicts testimony in may about becoming aware earlier this year. I've told reporters you've consistently said you became aware of the tactics earlier in 2011 and asked IG to investigate when you did -- and that's what you were referring to you in response to Issa.

It's silly semantics – he's trying to suggest b/c he asked about the operation only, it shows contradiction. I've also reminded them he was briefed in 2010 but didn't seem to have recall when the allegations about said operation came to light a year later.