

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.,)	
425 Third Street, S.W., Suite 800)	
Washington, DC 20024,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No.
)	
v.)	
)	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT)	
OF THE TREASURY,)	
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.)	
Washington, DC 20220,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. brings this action against Defendant United States Department of the Treasury to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (“FOIA”). As grounds therefor, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. is a not-for-profit, educational foundation organized under the laws of the District of Columbia and has its principal place of business at 425 Third Street, S.W., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024. Plaintiff seeks to promote transparency, accountability, and integrity in government, politics, and the law. As part of its educational

mission, Plaintiff regularly requests records under the FOIA to shed light on the operations of the federal government and to educate the public about these operations. Plaintiff then analyzes the agency records and disseminates the results of its analysis, as well as the records themselves, to the public.

4. Defendant United States Department of the Treasury is an agency of the United States Government and is headquartered at 1500 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W., Washington, DC 20220. Defendant has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

5. On October 28, 2013, Plaintiff sent a FOIA request to Defendant by certified mail, seeking the production of agency records related to the delay of the “employer mandate” of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act which by law was scheduled to take effect on January 1, 2014, but has now been postponed until “2016.”

6. By letter dated November 13, 2013, Defendant acknowledged receipt of Plaintiff’s FOIA request and assigned it Identification Number 2013-11-027.

7. Defendant was required to determine whether to comply with Plaintiff’s request within 20 days, excepting Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A). Pursuant to this same provision, Defendant also was required to notify Plaintiff immediately of the determination, the reasons therefor, and the right to appeal any adverse determination to the head of the agency. Excluding weekends and the intervening Thanksgiving Day (November 28, 2013) holiday, Defendant was required to make its determination and provide Plaintiff with the requisite notifications by December 12, 2013.

8. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to make a determination about whether it will comply with Plaintiff's request, notify Plaintiff of any determination, or notify Plaintiff of its right to appeal any adverse determination to the head of the agency. Nor has Defendant produced any records responsive to the request, indicated when any responsive records will be produced, or demonstrated that responsive records are exempt from production.

9. Because Defendant failed to comply with the time limit set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted any and all administrative remedies with respect to its FOIA request, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C).

COUNT 1
(Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

10. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 9 as if fully stated herein.

11. Defendant is unlawfully withholding records requested by Plaintiff pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552.

12. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendant's unlawful withholding of requested records, and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to conform its conduct to the requirements of the law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court: (1) order Defendant to conduct a search for any and all responsive records to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (2) order Defendant to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and a *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption; (3) enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (4) grant Plaintiff an award of

attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and (5) grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 24, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael Bekesha
Michael Bekesha
D.C. Bar No. 995749
JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.
425 Third Street S.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024
(202) 646-5172

Counsel for Plaintiff