
From: Marks Nancy J
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 12:18 PM
To: Lerner Lois G; Flax Nikole C; Grant Joseph H; Vozne Jennifer L
Subject: RE: DOJ Call

Lois it is fine to hand it off to me but before you leave you and I should talk because so I have more of a clue what is going on here. Let's look for some time.

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 1:10 PM
To: Marks Nancy J; Flax Nikole C; Grant Joseph H; Vozne Jennifer L
Subject: RE: DOJ Call

I would like to get back to to Pilger to say it's handed off for setting up --who shall I tell him will be contacting him for scheduling?

Lois G. Lerner

Director of Exempt Organizations

From: Marks Nancy J
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 1:04 PM
To: Lerner Lois G; Flax Nikole C; Grant Joseph H; Vozne Jennifer L
Subject: Re: DOJ Call

Makes sense

Sent using BlackBerry

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 11:16 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: Marks Nancy J; Flax Nikole C; Grant Joseph H; Vozne Jennifer L
Subject: Re: DOJ Call

I still believe it is up to DOJ. They have their own relationship with FEC as they have concurrent jurisdiction over CampAign Finance law--so if they want them there fine, but we would need to ask them. Like I said --it is their meeting
Lois G. Lerner-----
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Marks Nancy J
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 11:02 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: Lerner Lois G; Flax Nikole C; Grant Joseph H; Vozne Jennifer L
Subject: Re: DOJ Call

I agree no real dog which took me the other way on separate. Woldn't it be helpful to get all the thinking shaken out at one time?

Sent using BlackBerry

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 09:40 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: Flax Nikole C; Marks Nancy J; Grant Joseph H; Vozne Jennifer L
Subject: Re: DOJ Call

I would say separate. . No real dog in this fight. Plus I think that would be DOJ's call as it is their meeting. I would want CI Counsel as well as CI

Lois G. Lerner-----

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Flax Nikole C
Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2013 09:03 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: Lerner Lois G
Cc: Grant Joseph H; Marks Nancy J; Vozne Jennifer L
Subject: RE: DOJ Call

I think we should do it – also need to include CI, which we can help coordinate. Also, we need to reach out to FEC. Does it make sense to consider including them in this or keep it separate?

From: Lerner Lois G
Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 5:30 PM
To: Flax Nikole C
Cc: Grant Joseph H; Marks Nancy J
Subject: DOJ Call
Importance: High

I got a call today from Richard Pilger Director Elections Crimes Branch at DOJ. I know him from contacts from my days there. He wanted to know who at IRS the DOJ folks could talk to about Sen. Whitehouse idea at the hearing that DOJ could piece together false statement cases about applicants who "lied" on their 1024s --saying they weren't planning on doing political activity, and then turning around and making large visible political expenditures. DOJ is feeling like it needs to respond, but want to talk to the right folks at IRS to see whether there are impediments from our side and what, if any damage this might do to IRS programs.

I told him that sounded like we might need several folks from IRS. I am out of town all next week, so wanted to reach out and see who you think would be right for such a meeting and also hand this off to Nan as contact person if things need to happen while I am gone --

Thanks

Lois G. Lerner

Director of Exempt Organizations