From: Paz Holly O

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 2:53 PM

To: Lerner Lois G
Cc: Fish David L
Subject: updated timeline

Attachments: CLASSIFICATION FACTORS AND DEFINITIONS rev 11-25-02.doc

Lois,

EO Determinations noticed an uptick in applications from advocacy organizations early in 2010.

The first case wa	as referred to	EO Technical in	b)(3)/61 <mark>2010</mark> .	That case was	an appli	cation for	(b)(3)/6103 statu	s. It closed
(b)(3)/6103 2010 when the (b)(3))/6103		
At that time, EO	Technical re	quested another	(b)(3)/6103	from an	n ((b)(3)/6103	be transfe	erred to
EO Technical.	Such a case	was transferred in	(b)(3)/ 2010.	That case also	closed	. , , ,	(b)(3)/6103	2012
after the (b)(3)/6103								
EO Technical al	so requested	l an application from	m a l	(b)(3)/6103		be transf	ferred from	
Determinations.	A case was	transferred in (b)(3)	2010. It is	still being deve	loped by	EO Tech	nical.	

To give you a sense of the growth in the number of these cases, in October 2010, we had identified approximate ly 40 advocacy cases.

As of about 10:00 a.m. on 2/28/2012, we had 229 of these cases .

Of the 229 cases, 141 have been assigned. Development letters have been sent to the majority of the 141 assigned cases. The oldest control dates of those cases that are still unassigned are 2/8/2011, 3/18/2011, 4/28/2011, and 5/28/2011. These will be assigned next. Otherwise, we're at approximately 6/6/2011 control date for these cases.

EO Technical provided guidance regarding the development of applications by advocacy organizations to EO Determinations in November 2011.

The case assignment matrix is attached.

Holly

From: Lerner Lois G

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2012 3:46 PM **To:** Spellmann Don R; Cook Janine; Paz Holly O

Cc: Kindell Judith E; Lowe Justin; Flax Nikole C; Urban Joseph J

Subject: Congressional Follow-Up

Importance: High

Just came back from the meeting and they have asked for several things.

1. Don/Janine-- The guidance provided to Cincy that Don reviewed-- I"m hoping you can let us know your concerns as soon as possible so we can finalize the draft. We will be sending it over to them and putting it out on the web with other check sheets/guide sheets.

- 2. Holly--a timeline relating to the upti ck--that is, about when did we notice there were enough of these that we needed guidance from R & A and then when did we get cases up here to look at. If there is info regarding development and FTEs and replacement cases, give me that too.
- 3. Case Grading Guide--I think (b)(5) DP let me know the basis.

Thanks to all who got me ready for today -- I think it went as well as it could.

Leis G. Lerner

Director of Exempt Organizations