

From: [Carmen Ronan](#)
To: [Matthew Heil](#); [Patrick Ziegert](#); [Samantha Tavares](#); [Sina Matthes](#); [Stephanie N Lieb](#)
Subject: City Manager's Weekly Report - May 25
Date: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 12:40:52 PM
Attachments: [City Manager's Weekly 5-25-17 FINAL.pdf](#)

Good afternoon,

Please excuse the delay in sending out this electronic version of the City Manager's Weekly Report for May 25.

Best,

Carmen Ronan
Management Intern
City of Phoenix
602-262-4449

From: [Toni Maccarone](#)
To: [Carmen Ronan](#)
Subject: final for your files
Date: Thursday, May 25, 2017 5:21:44 PM
Attachments: [City Manager"s Weekly 5-25-17 DRAFT 2.doc](#)

Toni Maccarone
Special Assistant to the City Manager
City of Phoenix
(cell)
toni.maccarone@phoenix.gov

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: FW: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:37:00 AM

Good morning, Please see email below with details to schedule meeting with RaeAnn Marsh. Meeting should include Sandra, me and Tom. Thanks and have a good weekend!

From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T

Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
To: [Corey Williams](#)
Subject: FW: Interview Request
Date: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 8:37:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

I will let you make this call.

-Matt

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 5:41 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hello, Matt.

We have a meeting scheduled with Mr. Zuercher on June 1, at 4 p.m. Would it be possible or appropriate for Mr. Dohoney to attend also?

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:21 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Thanks RaeAnne. I am sharing this information with the City Manager's Office.

Thanks,
Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. | phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM

To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm

attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:59 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Interview Request

Hi RaeAnne,

Thank you for reaching out to the city of Phoenix about your presentation. Your request was brought to our office in Communications. I'd like to talk to you more about this, today if you have time. I will be at my desk until 2 p.m. and then on my cell phone, available until 4 p.m.

I hope all is well and look forward to our phone call.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Cc: [Corey Williams](#)
Subject: FW: Interview Request
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:22:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[ACLU_9ModelStatePolicies.pdf](#)

Marchelle,

Here's some additional detail about what Ms. Marsh and her group are looking to accomplish.

I hope this helps.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh

Richard Marsh

Orien Nelson

Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Cc: [Corey Williams](#)
Subject: FW: Interview Request
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:22:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[ACLU_9ModelStatePolicies.pdf](#)

Marchelle,

Here's some additional detail about what Ms. Marsh and her group are looking to accomplish.

I hope this helps.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. 602.376.5899 | phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
To: [Julie Watters](#)
Subject: FW: Interview Request
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 2:14:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[ACLU_9ModelStatePolicies.pdf](#)

Julie,

Would you like me to forward this to Marchelle?

-Matt

From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:59 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Interview Request

Hi RaeAnne,

Thank you for reaching out to the city of Phoenix about your presentation. Your request was brought to our office in Communications. I'd like to talk to you more about this, today if you have time. I will be at my desk until 2 p.m. and then on my cell phone, available until 4 p.m.

I hope all is well and look forward to our phone call.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: [Jennifer Flaherty](#)
To: [Ed Zuercher](#)
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:49:00 PM
Attachments: [letter to ed zuercher.docx](#)
[CPTI Recommendations ENG.pdf](#)

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [mailto: [REDACTED]@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

From: [Ed Zuercher](#)
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); [Milton Dohoney](#); [Jeri L. Williams](#)
Subject: Fwd: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Friday, May 12, 2017 5:37:40 PM
Attachments: [letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)
[CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf](#)
[ATT00002.htm](#)

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the

following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

From: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); [Milton Dohoney](#); [Jeri L. Williams](#)
Subject: Fwd: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Friday, May 12, 2017 5:37:37 PM
Attachments: [letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)
[CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf](#)
[ATT00002.htm](#)

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto: \[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto: [REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the

following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Fwd: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Saturday, May 13, 2017 7:19:29 AM

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a

meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Fwd: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Saturday, May 13, 2017 7:19:28 AM

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a

meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: [Seth I Scott](#)
To: [Ed Zuercher](#); [Bradley D Holm](#)
Subject: Immigration enforcement
Date: Friday, February 03, 2017 6:25:58 PM
Attachments: [2300IMMIGRATION 2016 update.pdf](#)
[DPM 2.4.35.pdf](#)
[PPD Operations Order 4.48.docx](#)

Ed and Brad,

Following up on our conversation earlier, I'm sending the Mesa and Tucson police department guidelines regarding S.B. 1070 compliance as well as a document that outlines the ACLU's suggestions related to our own operations order.

Thanks,

Seth

Seth Scott
Chief of Staff
Office of the Mayor
City of Phoenix, Arizona

From: [JOANNE Scott Woods](#)
To: [@gmail.com](#)
Cc: [@yahoo.com](#); Wade Padgett; JOANNE Scott Woods; Marchelle F Franklin; [michael.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov](#); [Matthew C Giordano](#); [Dennis E Orender](#); Council District 7 PCC; Council District 1 PCC; Council District 4; Council District 8 PCC; [legal@hbiin.com](#); [Jeremy M. Helfgot](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [melodi brown](#); [Sara Joehnk](#); [k](#); [Sharon Kopina](#); [Suzanne Distaso](#); [Margarito Blancas](#); [Johnny L. Lozoya](#); [Irene Chavez](#); [Ralph Martinez](#); [Traci Lemon](#); [Roberto Reveles](#); [henrygonz6@yahoo.com](#); [Mykel Chambers](#); [rbolding@azleg.gov](#); [@gmail.com](#); [@yahoo.com](#); [Elizabeth Singleton](#)
Subject: INFO on SOLUTIONS for Building Trust in Our City, More Attachments to Follow
Date: Monday, July 11, 2016 10:52:40 PM
Attachments: [CURRENT ISSUES and SOLUTIONS Work in Progress.docx](#)
[TEN SOLUTIONS - Short and Shorter Version and LULAC 10-Point Plan.docx](#)
[Mayor Team Meeting August 18, 2015 TALKING POINTS.rtf](#)
[Rejected Talking Offered by Joanne Scott Woods for Mayor's Team Meeting, August 18, 2015.rtf](#)

Per my text to you this Sunday regarding the solutions to be discussed at the follow-up meeting ("Unarmed: Valley Voices on Deadly Force") from last Thursday's Press Conference and Rally at the First Institutional Baptist Church, I am forwarding to you, to disperse as you see fit, these "asks" of the People Demanding Action Community Coalition that began essentially on April 20, 2015 as the ARIZONA JUSTICE PACKAGE (which I will forward to you in an additional email).

The first Attachment is "DIALOGUE FOR ACTION; Current Issues and 10 Solutions for Building Trust in Our City," a work in progress. In the boxes of this document following each solution is the progress to date.

Our monthly meetings (beginning on November 18, 2015) with the PHOENIX POLICE DEPARTMENT includes:

Marchelle Franklin, Director of Police Community Affairs
Assistant Chief Michael Kurtenbach
Commander Matt Giordano
Lieutenant Dennis Orender

The second Attachment is "TEN SOLUTIONS; A Short and Shorter Version and A 10-POINT PLAN from LULAC (League of United Latin American Citizens)."

The third is our Attachment "TRUST, NOT FEAR: Confronting and Healing Racism in Our City."

We failed to receive feedback from the Mayor following our "Talking Points" from our work presented August 18, 2015 to his CHIEFS OF STAFF as "they got us mixed up with another group." The Mayor's Team included:

Co-Chief of Staff Seth Scott
Co-Chief of Staff Ruben Alonzo

The fourth Attachment is "REJECTED, BUT VALUABLE, TALKING POINTS."

Our On-Going CITIZEN COMMENTS before the CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC SAFETY AND VETERANS SUBCOMMITTEE include presenting before the following Subcommittee Members:

Councilman Michael Nowakowski, Chair
Councilwoman Thelda Williams

Councilwoman Laura Pastor
Vice-Mayor Kate Gallego

"This subcommittee will provide policy guidance on issues related to: police; fire; veterans; courts; and homeland security."

Other On-Going CITIZEN COMMENTS include presentation at all the following meetings with the City of Phoenix:

FORMAL CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS to speak on both agenda and non-agenda items

HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION to speak on non-agenda items or as a speaker on the agenda

PHOENIX BUDGET FOR COMMUNITY REVIEW, 2015-16

PHOENIX BUDGET FOR COMMUNITY REVIEW, 2016-17

COMMUNITY AND POLICE TRUST INITIATIVE COMMUNITY LISTENING SESSIONS, SUMMER 2016

Thank you for your consideration of our "Ten Solutions to Building Trust in Our City" and other "asks" among these attachments. More will follow.

Joanne Scott Woods

Co-coordinator of People Demanding Action Community Coalition

Secretary of Somos America

Director of Arizona Communities for Change Public Forums

Co-coordinator of Arizona Communities for Change (A Joint Meeting of People Demanding Action Community Coalition and Somos America Coalition)

Street Team Member for Justice4Rumain

Family Representative for Phoenix Fallen Citizen Rumain Brisbon

From: [Matthew Heil](#)
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); [Rhonda S. Wilson](#); [Corey Williams](#); [Julie Watters](#)
Subject: Latest Police Chief Forum questions with attachment - 34 questions as of 4:48 p.m. today
Date: Thursday, June 02, 2016 5:24:46 PM
Attachments: [Police Chief Recruit Questions date order 6-2-16.docx](#)
[image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Hello everyone,

I am sending along an update with new questions for the police chief forum. Over the last two days we got several submissions via e-mail, and some of them were quite lengthy, so I have had staff put together a word document with all of the questions we've received in date order submitted. If you'd prefer they be finalized in a different format, please let me know.

We can paste directly from this document to make question cards for the moderator.

Let me know if you have any questions!

Best,

MATTHEW HEIL | MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT II
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.534.0108 | phoenix.gov



From: [ben clark](#)
To: [Jennifer Flaherty](#)
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29:22 PM
Attachments: [letter to ed zuercher.docx](#)
[CPTI Recommendations ENG.pdf](#)

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:37:13 PM

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and

federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57

To: Maria L Chavez

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams

Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;

Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly.
And thank you for your assistance in arranging
the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr.
Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with
ACLU is causing some confusion. While we
support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a
part of that organization but are a group of, in the
common parlance, “concerned citizens” who
have a view we’d like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-
0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>;
Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>;
Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G
Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement.

I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:46:38 AM

Ok. Should I set aside 30 minutes or an hour?

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Marchelle F Franklin
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 09:42
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Connection

Given it's coming via City Manager, probably sooner rather than later. Thanks much.

From: Maria L Chavez
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:42 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Thank you, I will take care of this. How soon does the meeting need to be scheduled for?

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Marchelle F Franklin
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 09:37
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: FW: Connection

Good morning, Please see email below with details to schedule meeting with RaeAnn Marsh.
Meeting should include Sandra, me and Tom. Thanks and have a good weekend!

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with

ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, “concerned citizens” who have a view we’d like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams’ assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don’t mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief’s assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09:04 PM

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57
To: Maria L Chavez
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams
Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn

Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez

[<maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>](mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov)

Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams [<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>](mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov) wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh [<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>](mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com) wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with

Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Kristine R Leon](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:16:55 PM

Hello RaeAnne,

I apologize for delay in responding. Thank you for the information. Yes, we do have a screen available for your use. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Kristine,

Can you make sure that the conference room is set up for this meeting's PowerPoint? Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37
To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57
To: Maria L Chavez
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams
Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with

ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: -

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and

police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on

revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 11:57:22 AM

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on

this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:42:04 AM

Thank you, I will take care of this. How soon does the meeting need to be scheduled for?

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Marchelle F Franklin
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 09:37
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: FW: Connection

Good morning, Please see email below with details to schedule meeting with RaeAnn Marsh.
Meeting should include Sandra, me and Tom. Thanks and have a good weekend!

From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 11:26:57 AM

LOL – Hey you’re the Chief, you can do what you want! ☺

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 11:25 AM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I need to get back in my lane huh?

Smooches

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 11:00 AM, Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria;
Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with
RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so
quickly. And thank you for your
assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our
meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr.
Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is
causing some confusion. While we
support certain efforts of the ACLU, we
are not a part of that organization but
are a group of, in the common
parlance, "concerned citizens" who
have a view we'd like to share in this
issue.

Please use this phone number for me:
602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L
Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>;
Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;
Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 8:20:42 AM

You're welcome!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 16:58
To: Maria L Chavez
Cc: Kristine R Leon
Subject: RE: Connection

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 4:17 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Kristine R Leon <Kristine.Leon@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

I apologize for delay in responding. Thank you for the information. Yes, we do have a screen available for your use. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Kristine,

Can you make sure that the conference room is set up for this meeting's PowerPoint? Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57

To: Maria L Chavez

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams

Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;
Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh

[<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>](mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com)

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin

<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Monday, June 05, 2017 8:19:51 AM

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57
To: Maria L Chavez
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams
Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;

Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly.
And thank you for your assistance in arranging
the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr.
Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with
ACLU is causing some confusion. While we
support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a
part of that organization but are a group of, in the
common parlance, "concerned citizens" who
have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-
0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>;
Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>;
Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G
Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement.

I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 11:09:22 AM

Will do. Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn

Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez
<maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;
Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez
<maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing

some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmash@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmash@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:21:58 AM

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your

concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 1:58:05 PM

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57
To: Maria L Chavez
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams

Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Milton Dohoney](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:21:34 AM

That's good to know as I don't think I've met RaeAnn before. Thanks. M

From: Milton Dohoney
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:20 AM
To: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

One of the most reasonable groups I've talked with since coming here. It was very pleasant. A former high school teacher, a magazine editor, 2 attys, a massage therapist, and two Hispanic advocates who I've never seen before. Clearly wanting to work **with** us in a non adversarial way.

MD

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:14 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Let's get a meeting with her for next week.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmars@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 11:24:56 AM

I need to get back in my lane huh?

Smooches

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 11:00 AM, Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

From: Jeri L Williams

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn

Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne

next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly.
And thank you for your assistance in arranging
the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with
Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our
relationship with ACLU is causing some
confusion. While we support certain efforts of
the ACLU, we are not a part of that
organization but are a group of, in the common
parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view
we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: -

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>;
Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>;
Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G
Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on

this topic along with 3-4 community members
he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a
contact number so I can provide to the Chief's
assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful
weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin

<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmash@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Kristine R Leon](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#); [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 8:04:00 AM

Good Morning,

Of course.

Thank you,
Kristine Leon
Phoenix Police Department
kristine.leon@phoenix.gov
P: 602.534.0127 F: 602.495.0356

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter – www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube – www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Maria L Chavez
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:17 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Kristine R Leon
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

I apologize for delay in responding. Thank you for the information. Yes, we do have a screen available for your use. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Kristine,

Can you make sure that the conference room is set up for this meeting's PowerPoint? Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57
To: Maria L Chavez
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams
Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the

most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: 602-679-0552.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri

L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra
T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;
Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin

<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 11:24:55 AM

I need to get back in my lane huh?

Smooches

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 11:00 AM, Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

From: Jeri L Williams

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn

Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne

next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly.
And thank you for your assistance in arranging
the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with
Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our
relationship with ACLU is causing some
confusion. While we support certain efforts of
the ACLU, we are not a part of that
organization but are a group of, in the common
parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view
we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>;
Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>;
Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G
Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on

this topic along with 3-4 community members
he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a
contact number so I can provide to the Chief's
assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful
weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin

<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmash@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:55:00 AM

I'd say same time you set aside for meeting with Will. tks

From: Maria L Chavez
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:47 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ok. Should I set aside 30 minutes or an hour?

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Marchelle F Franklin
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 09:42
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Connection

Given it's coming via City Manager, probably sooner rather than later. Thanks much.

From: Maria L Chavez
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:42 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Thank you, I will take care of this. How soon does the meeting need to be scheduled for?

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Marchelle F Franklin
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 09:37
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: FW: Connection

Good morning, Please see email below with details to schedule meeting with RaeAnn Marsh.
Meeting should include Sandra, me and Tom. Thanks and have a good weekend!

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: .

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 11:00:08 AM

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[\[mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov\]](mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov)
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and

police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:52:04 AM

Thank you!

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:37 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

RaeAnne,

Thanks for the additional information. Maria Chavez is Chief Williams's assistant. She will be in touch with you soon.

Take care,
Marchelle

From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Maria L Chavez](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:22:37 AM

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin

[mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss

their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:42:00 AM

Given it's coming via City Manager, probably sooner rather than later. Thanks much.

From: Maria L Chavez
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:42 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Thank you, I will take care of this. How soon does the meeting need to be scheduled for?

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Marchelle F Franklin
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 09:37
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: FW: Connection

Good morning, Please see email below with details to schedule meeting with RaeAnn Marsh. Meeting should include Sandra, me and Tom. Thanks and have a good weekend!

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time

for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:21:28 AM

That's refreshing to hear. I asked if she was available to meet Thursday or Friday afternoon of next week.

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:19 AM, Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov> wrote:

One of the most reasonable groups I've talked with since coming here. It was very pleasant. A former high school teacher, a magazine editor, 2 attys, a massage therapist, and two Hispanic advocates who I've never seen before. Clearly wanting to work **with** us in a non adversarial way.

MD

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:14 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Let's get a meeting with her for next week.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Ed Zuercher](#); [Jeri L. Williams](#); [Sandra T. Renteria](#); [Thomas G. Vandorn](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:36:45 AM

RaeAnne,

Thanks for the additional information. Maria Chavez is Chief Williams's assistant. She will be in touch with you soon.

Take care,
Marchelle

From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:30 AM
To: Marchelle F. Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L. Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T. Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G. Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate

directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [Kristine R Leon](#); [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 8:19:57 AM

Thank you, Kristine!

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Kristine R Leon
Sent: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 08:04
To: Maria L Chavez; RaeAnne Marsh
Subject: RE: Connection

Good Morning,

Of course.

Thank you,
Kristine Leon
Phoenix Police Department

kristine.leon@phoenix.gov

P: 602.534.0127 F: 602.495.0356

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter – www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube – www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Maria L Chavez

Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:17 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Kristine R Leon

Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

I apologize for delay in responding. Thank you for the information. Yes, we do have a screen available for your use. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Kristine,

Can you make sure that the conference room is set up for this meeting's PowerPoint? Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57

To: Maria L Chavez

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams

Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn

Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, “concerned citizens” who have a view we’d like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmash@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Cc: [Ed Zuercher](#); [Jeri L Williams](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:29:37 AM

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: Milton Dohoney
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:21:26 AM

That's refreshing to hear. I asked if she was available to meet Thursday or Friday afternoon of next week.

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:19 AM, Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov> wrote:

One of the most reasonable groups I've talked with since coming here. It was very pleasant. A former high school teacher, a magazine editor, 2 attys, a massage therapist, and two Hispanic advocates who I've never seen before. Clearly wanting to work **with** us in a non adversarial way.

MD

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:14 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Let's get a meeting with her for next week.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Cc: [Ed Zuercher](#); [Jeri L. Williams](#); [Sandra T. Renteria](#); [Thomas G. Vandorn](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:19:43 AM

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F. Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:16:46 AM

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams

<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria

<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn

<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

To: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Cc: [Ed Zuercher](#); [Jeri L Williams](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 9:16:57 AM

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director and 3-4 community members he is bringing with on this topic.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:16:44 AM

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: .

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams

<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria

<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn

<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Kristine R Leon](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 8:11:48 AM

Thank you!

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Kristine R Leon [mailto:Kristine.Leon@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 8:04 AM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>; RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good Morning,

Of course.

Thank you,
Kristine Leon
Phoenix Police Department
kristine.leon@phoenix.gov
P: 602.534.0127 F: 602.495.0356

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter – www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube – www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Maria L Chavez
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:17 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Kristine R Leon
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

I apologize for delay in responding. Thank you for the information. Yes, we do have a screen available for your use. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Kristine,

Can you make sure that the conference room is set up for this meeting's PowerPoint? Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]

Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM

To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher

<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G

Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57

To: Maria L Chavez

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams

Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn

Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher

<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez
<maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, “concerned citizens” who have a view we’d like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri
L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra
T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;
Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin

<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:15:02 AM

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams

<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;
Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmash@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Cc: [Kristine R Leon](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:58:42 PM

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 4:17 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Kristine R Leon <Kristine.Leon@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

I apologize for delay in responding. Thank you for the information. Yes, we do have a screen available for your use. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Kristine,

Can you make sure that the conference room is set up for this meeting's PowerPoint? Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief

Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57

To: Maria L Chavez

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams

Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22

To: RaeAnne Marsh

Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn

Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria

<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez
<maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly.
And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the

common parlance, “concerned citizens” who have a view we’d like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>;
Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>;
Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G
Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director

Phoenix Police Department

Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:14:59 AM

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin [<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams

<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>;
Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmash@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Jeri L. Williams](#)
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
Cc: [Maria L. Chavez](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:13:33 AM

Let's get a meeting with her for next week.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmash@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader,

RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](mailto:Marchelle.F.Franklin)
Cc: [Maria L Chavez](mailto:Maria.L.Chavez); [Ed Zuercher](mailto:Ed.Zuercher); [Milton Dohoney](mailto:Milton.Dohoney)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:13:31 AM

Let's get a meeting with her for next week.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmash@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader,

RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Kristine R Leon](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 8:19:32 AM

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 16:58
To: Maria L Chavez
Cc: Kristine R Leon
Subject: RE: Connection

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 4:17 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Kristine R Leon <Kristine.Leon@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

I apologize for delay in responding. Thank you for the information. Yes, we do have a screen available for your use. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Kristine,

Can you make sure that the conference room is set up for this meeting's PowerPoint? Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 15:37
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Connection

Oh! OK, that's easy. There will be 8-10 of us.

Will there be a wall or a screen in the room for us to project a Power Point presentation on?

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 3:31 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: RE: Connection

I just need to know how many will be attending. I want to ensure there is an adequate size meeting room.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]

Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 3:09 PM

To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

I'll confirm who can attend at that time, and send you the names.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 1:58 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Hello RaeAnne,

Great! I have scheduled the meeting for one hour on Friday, June 9, 2:30 to 3:30 p.m.; I will be sending you a calendar invite with the meeting location.

Please provide me with the number of members who will also be attending the meeting.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:57

To: Maria L Chavez

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn; Jeri L Williams

Subject: RE: Connection

Hi, Maria.

We'll go with Friday at 2:30.

Can we schedule a full hour?

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 11:00 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: Connection

Ms. Marsh,

Please respond directly to me and I will finalize the meeting for Chief Williams.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:22
To: RaeAnne Marsh
Cc: Maria L Chavez; Marchelle F Franklin; Ed Zuercher; Sandra T Renteria; Thomas G Vandorn
Subject: Re: Connection

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly.
And thank you for your assistance in arranging
the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr.
Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with
ACLU is causing some confusion. While we
support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a
part of that organization but are a group of, in the
common parlance, “concerned citizens” who
have a view we’d like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me: -

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin
[<mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh
<rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>;
Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>;
Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G
Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division

602-262-6080

marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement.

I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,

Ed

Ed Zuercher

Phoenix City Manager

Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov

Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Cc: [Maria L Chavez](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Ed Zuercher](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:22:35 AM

Perfect!

Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:21 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Thank you, Chief Williams. We know you have a lot of demands on your time, and really appreciate your meeting with us.

I will do a quick poll among the group to see which time works for the most people, and get back to you before noon.

Thanks.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 10:17 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>; Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

I have time Thursday afternoon at 4:00 or Friday afternoon at 2:30.

Let me know what time works for you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 10:14 AM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

RaeAnne: Jeri Williams here. I've asked my assistant Maria to find time to meet next week.

Maria: Please find time for me to meet with RaeAnne next week.

Thanks Jeri

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:29 AM, RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com> wrote:

Good morning, Marchelle.

Thank you for being on top of this so quickly. And thank you for your assistance in arranging the meeting.

As we realized yesterday in our meeting with Mr. Zuercher and Mr. Dohoney, our relationship with ACLU is causing some confusion. While we support certain efforts of the ACLU, we are not a part of that organization but are a group of, in the common parlance, "concerned citizens" who have a view we'd like to share in this issue.

Please use this phone number for me:

Have a wonderful weekend.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Marchelle F Franklin

[mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov]

Sent: Friday, June 2, 2017 9:20 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Cc: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Connection

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss

their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Milton Dohoney](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: RE: Connection
Date: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:19:55 AM

One of the most reasonable groups I've talked with since coming here. It was very pleasant. A former high school teacher, a magazine editor, 2 attys, a massage therapist, and two Hispanic advocates who I've never seen before. Clearly wanting to work **with** us in a non adversarial way.

MD

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 10:14 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>; Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Connection

Let's get a meeting with her for next week.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 2, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Ms. Marsh,

I hope your Friday is off to a great start. I will work with Chief Williams' assistant on scheduling time for the Chief to meet with you regarding your concerns with Ops Order 4.48 and police/community relationships. On a related note, I am not sure if you are aware that Chief Williams is meeting Tuesday with Will Gaona, ACLU Arizona Policy Director on this topic along with 3-4 community members he is bringing with him.

If you don't mind, would you please provide a contact number so I can provide to the Chief's assistant.

Thanks and I hope you have a wonderful weekend.

Marchelle

Marchelle Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
602-262-6080
marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov

From: Ed Zuercher

Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 5:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>

Cc: rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

Subject: Connection

Dear Marchelle - this afternoon Milton and I met with a group called People Power. The group came to discuss their concerns about immigration enforcement and police community engagement. I committed that I would get their leader, RaeAnne Marsh, connected with you so that they could come talk with you and police leadership about their concerns and input on revisions to Ops Order 4.48, but more broadly to police community relationships.

I have copied RaeAnne on this email so that she has your email and you may communicate directly about a time to meet.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: [Sandra T Renteria](#)
To: [Michael J Kurtenbach](#); [Joseph G Yahner](#)
Subject: Re: Follow up mgt
Date: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 10:00:57 AM

Jen LaRoque is currently working on revising our Ops Order to simplify it. She is taking into consideration Mesa and Tucson's policies during these rewrites. However, I don't see it being completed by the end of January.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Facebook- www.facebook.com/phoenixazpolice
Follow us on Twitter- www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Michael J Kurtenbach
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 9:50 AM
To: Joseph G Yahner
Cc: Sandra T Renteria
Subject: Fw: Follow up mgt

Chief,

Please let us know how you would like us to proceed. For your info, I've attached Mesa PD's newly revised immigration policy. Assistant Chief Mike Soelberg with Mesa sent it to me last Friday. According to Mike, they had their Hispanic Community Forum and the ACLU review it, so I would expect that our meeting attendees already have a copy of it.

Mike

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Community Services Division
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE:
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Francisca porchas <@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2016 1:27 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach

Subject: Follow up mgt

Good Day Chief Kurtenbach, I am emailing to schedule a follow up meeting on Operating Order 448. We discussed meeting again in January for the Department's final decision on the reforms to the order. Please let us know asap the best time to regroup.

Best.

Francisca Porchas
Organizing Director
Puente Human Rights Movement

From: [Michael J Kurtenbach](#)
To: [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Joseph G Yahner](#)
Subject: Re: Follow up mgt
Date: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 11:21:57 AM

Thanks for the info, Sandra.

Mike

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Community Services Division
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE:
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Sandra T Renteria
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 10:00 AM
To: Michael J Kurtenbach; Joseph G Yahner
Subject: Re: Follow up mgt

Jen LaRoque is currently working on revising our Ops Order to simplify it. She is taking into consideration Mesa and Tucson's policies during these rewrites. However, I don't see it being completed by the end of January.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Facebook- www.facebook.com/phoenixazpolice
Follow us on Twitter- www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Michael J Kurtenbach
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 9:50 AM
To: Joseph G Yahner
Cc: Sandra T Renteria

Subject: Fw: Follow up mgt

Chief,

Please let us know how you would like us to proceed. For your info, I've attached Mesa PD's newly revised immigration policy. Assistant Chief Mike Soelberg with Mesa sent it to me last Friday. According to Mike, they had their Hispanic Community Forum and the ACLU review it, so I would expect that our meeting attendees already have a copy of it.

Mike

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Community Services Division
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE:
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Francisca porchas <osamejichild@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2016 1:27 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach

Subject: Follow up mgt

Good Day Chief Kurtenbach, I am emailing to schedule a follow up meeting on Operating Order 448. We discussed meeting again in January for the Department's final decision on the reforms to the order. Please let us know asap the best time to regroup.

Best.

Francisca Porchas
Organizing Director
Puente Human Rights Movement
602-616-4311

From: [Tom K. Wong](#)
To: [Karen L. Kontak](#)
Subject: Re: Fox News
Date: Monday, July 03, 2017 2:22:12 PM
Attachments: [SanctuaryJurisdictionsReport.pdf](#)

Hi Karen,

Thanks for the email. I just read through the article and I think the study you're looking for was done by Loren Collingwood and colleagues at UCR. Here's a Washington Post story on it [here](#).

My sanctuary piece (which shows less crime in counties that do not entangle themselves with federal immigration law enforcement), which the Fox News article did not mention, is attached. A couple of Washington Post stories on my study are [here](#) and [here](#).

Let me know if you have any questions.

Best,
T

Karen L. Kontak

July 3, 2017 at 2:10 PM

Hi Professor Wong –

I am hoping this is the correct email for you. The information I originally received is that you published a research study about sanctuary cities and crime rate but I thought it said you were at UCR, not UCSD. (I attended UCSD a long time ago... at Revelle college, so it made me smile to see you with a UCSD email address...). Anyway, I work for Phoenix Police Department now and we are trying to respond to a recent news article that was published by Fox news, and I was hoping to get a copy of your study so that instead of getting the results via a news source, I could get the actual study and results from you.

Thanks so much –

Karen

Karen Kontak, Police Research Supervisor
Phoenix Police Department
Crime Analysis and Research Unit - CARU
620 W Washington St, Phoenix, AZ 85003
Desk: (602) 534-8466 Fax: (602) 534-1760

Visit us online at www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter at www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube at www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE

From: Jeri L Williams
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2017 7:01 PM
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>; Karen L Kontak <Karen.Kontak@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Fox News

Sandra: For Karen's follow up next week. Just the crime stat one.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 30, 2017 at 3:59:58 PM MST
To: Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>, "marchelle@blackchamberaz.com" <marchelle@blackchamberaz.com>, Julie Watters <julie.watters@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fox News

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2017/06/30/crime-drops-in-phoenix-after-city-drops-sanctuary-city-status-former-cops-say.html>

It's hard to know even where to begin with this "story." Can the data bureau do a little review on the years in question to validate crime reductions. We've never been a "Sanctuary City" so there's no point in time to measure there, but we did experience a decline in parallel with the Great Recession.
Thanks, Ed

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

From: julie.watters@phoenix.gov
To: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
Subject: Re: Interview Request
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:07:46 PM

Yes and include Milton
CC Corey

Sent from my iPhone

On May 16, 2017, at 2:14 PM, Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Julie,

Would you like me to forward this to Marchelle?

-Matt

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:
RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:59 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Interview Request

Hi RaeAnne,

Thank you for reaching out to the city of Phoenix about your presentation. Your request was brought to our office in Communications. I'd like to talk to you more about this, today if you have time. I will be at my desk until 2 p.m. and then on my cell phone, available until 4 p.m.

I hope all is well and look forward to our phone call.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer

City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov

[<image001.png>](#) [<image002.png>](#) [<image003.png>](#) [<image004.png>](#) [<image005.png>](#)

<ACLU_9ModelStatePolicies.pdf>

To: [Corey Williams](#); [Matthew B Hamada](#)
Subject: RE: Interview Request
Date: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 9:29:15 AM
Attachments: [image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)
[image013.png](#)
[image014.png](#)
[image015.png](#)
[image016.png](#)
[image017.png](#)
[image018.png](#)

Hi Corey,

I

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Corey Williams
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:36 PM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Are you reaching out to RaeAnne to let her know she will not be meeting with Milton?

Corey Williams
Management Assistant to
Milton Dohoney Jr, Assistant City Manager
Office 602-261-8875

Fax 602-261-8327

Email: corey.williams@phoenix.gov

From: Matthew B Hamada

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Corey Williams <corey.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: FW: Interview Request

Marchelle,

Here's some additional detail about what Ms. Marsh and her group are looking to accomplish.

I hope this helps.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. 602.376.5899 | phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM

To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial

gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

To: [Corey Williams](#); [Matthew B Hamada](#)
Subject: RE: Interview Request
Date: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 9:29:15 AM
Attachments: [image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)
[image013.png](#)
[image014.png](#)
[image015.png](#)
[image016.png](#)
[image017.png](#)
[image018.png](#)

Hi Corey,

I

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Corey Williams
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:36 PM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Are you reaching out to RaeAnne to let her know she will not be meeting with Milton?

Corey Williams
Management Assistant to
Milton Dohoney Jr, Assistant City Manager
Office 602-261-8875

Fax 602-261-8327

Email: corey.williams@phoenix.gov

From: Matthew B Hamada

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Corey Williams <corey.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: FW: Interview Request

Marchelle,

Here's some additional detail about what Ms. Marsh and her group are looking to accomplish.

I hope this helps.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM

To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial

gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: [Corey Williams](#)
To: [Matthew B Hamada](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: RE: Interview Request
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:35:59 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Are you reaching out to RaeAnne to let her know she will not be meeting with Milton?

Corey Williams
Management Assistant to
Milton Dohoney Jr, Assistant City Manager
Office 602-261-8875
Fax 602-261-8327
Email: corey.williams@phoenix.gov

From: Matthew B Hamada
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Corey Williams <corey.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Interview Request

Marchelle,

Here's some additional detail about what Ms. Marsh and her group are looking to accomplish.

I hope this helps.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
Subject: RE: Interview Request
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:14:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[ACLU_9ModelStatePolicies.pdf](#)

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov]

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:59 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Interview Request

Hi RaeAnne,

Thank you for reaching out to the city of Phoenix about your presentation. Your request was brought to our office in Communications. I'd like to talk to you more about this, today if you have time. I will be at my desk until 2 p.m. and then on my cell phone, available until 4 p.m.

I hope all is well and look forward to our phone call.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer

City of Phoenix Communications Office

W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
Subject: RE: Interview Request
Date: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 10:41:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 8:38 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Good morning,

I've forwarded your request to his office.

-Matt

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 5:41 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hello, Matt.

We have a meeting scheduled with Mr. Zuercher on June 1, at 4 p.m. Would it be possible or appropriate for Mr. Dohoney to attend also?

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:21 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Thanks RaeAnne. I am sharing this information with the City Manager's Office.

Thanks,
Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we

think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:59 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Interview Request

Hi RaeAnne,

Thank you for reaching out to the city of Phoenix about your presentation. Your request was brought to our office in Communications. I'd like to talk to you more about this, today if you have time. I will be at my desk until 2 p.m. and then on my cell phone, available until 4 p.m.

I hope all is well and look forward to our phone call.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
Subject: RE: Interview Request
Date: Wednesday, May 24, 2017 5:40:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Hello, Matt.

We have a meeting scheduled with Mr. Zuercher on June 1, at 4 p.m. Would it be possible or appropriate for Mr. Dohoney to attend also?

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:21 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Thanks RaeAnne. I am sharing this information with the City Manager's Office.

Thanks,
Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:59 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Interview Request

Hi RaeAnne,

Thank you for reaching out to the city of Phoenix about your presentation. Your request was brought to our office in Communications. I'd like to talk to you more about this, today if you have time. I will be at my desk until 2 p.m. and then on my cell phone, available until 4 p.m.

I hope all is well and look forward to our phone call.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. | phoenix.gov



To: [Matthew B Hamada](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Cc: [Corey Williams](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: RE: Interview Request
Date: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 9:39:09 AM
Attachments: [image006.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image012.png](#)
[image014.png](#)
[image015.png](#)
[image016.png](#)
[image017.png](#)
[image018.png](#)
[image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Hi Matt,

We are very familiar with this group. Chief Williams was scheduled to meet with Will Ganoa, ACLU Arizona Police Director, a week ago last Monday but had to cancel that meeting as we announced that afternoon we had arrested the suspect in the Serial Street Shooter series. That meeting with Will has been rescheduled for some time in the next week or so if memory serves me correctly. Will plans to bring 3-4 individuals who are more than likely affiliated with People Power.

Specific to who Ms. Marsh has two direct asks of the City Manager which I will defer to the City Manager's Office on their response. I did share with Corey that Chief will be meeting with ACLU so he is going to include that information in his response to Ms. Marsh.

Thanks,
Marchelle

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of

its attachments.

From: Matthew B Hamada

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:23 PM

To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>

Cc: Corey Williams <corey.williams@phoenix.gov>

Subject: FW: Interview Request

Marchelle,

Here's some additional detail about what Ms. Marsh and her group are looking to accomplish.

I hope this helps.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov



From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM

To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

- Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
- Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
- A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:

RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: [Julie Watters](#)
To: [Matthew B Hamada](#)
Subject: Re: Interview Request
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 3:07:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)

Yes and include Milton
CC Corey

Sent from my iPhone

On May 16, 2017, at 2:14 PM, Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Julie,

Would you like me to forward this to Marchelle?

-Matt

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 11:15 AM
To: Matthew B Hamada <matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Interview Request

Hi, Matt.

I really appreciate your getting back to me. Here is the information you asked me to provide:

RE: meeting with Milton Dohoney or Ed Zuercher

I'm a volunteer with a grassroots community group called People Power, which is affiliated with ACLU of Arizona, who are concerned about the current policy regarding undocumented immigrants and its impact on the community. We would like the City Manager office to work with the Police Department to implement the ACLU's Nine Model Policies.

We are requesting this meeting because we want to share with Mr. Dohoney some of the reasons we think it is critical that the Nine Model Policies are incorporated locally. And specifically, to discuss financial gains and implications for our city.

Our financial presentation is the heart of the meeting we're requesting. After that, other questions are:

<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Is the city manager willing to allow the ACLU's legal team to review and give feedback on revisions to Order 4.48 before they go into effect? More broadly: what forms of oversight will exist to

ensure that the problematic sections of it are removed and/or changed?
<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->Is the city manager willing to work with us, the police department, and other stakeholders to implement the Model 9? How can we begin this process?
<!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->A year ago, the community police trust initiative (CPTI) recommended the creation of a civilian oversight board to hear and investigate complaints against the police department. What steps have and/or will be taken to create this body?

There are about 10 other community members who've worked with me on the financial research. We'd like to meet as soon as possible per Mr. Dohoney's schedule. Depending on the time of the meeting some may not be able to attend; once we have a meeting date and time, I'll be able to confirm the names of the others who will attend.

However, the following people have committed to whatever time you can make this happen:
RaeAnne Marsh
Richard Marsh
Orien Nelson
Ben Clark

An attorney with ACLU Arizona may also join us, to be able to explain the Nine Model Policies, which I'm attaching for your convenience.

Thank you.
RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Matthew B Hamada [<mailto:matthew.hamada@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 12:59 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Interview Request

Hi RaeAnne,

Thank you for reaching out to the city of Phoenix about your presentation. Your request was brought to our office in Communications. I'd like to talk to you more about this, today if you have time. I will be at my desk until 2 p.m. and then on my cell phone, available until 4 p.m.

I hope all is well and look forward to our phone call.

-Matt

Matt Hamada | Public Information Officer
City of Phoenix Communications Office
W. 602.262.6213 | C. phoenix.gov

[<image001.png>](#) [<image002.png>](#) [<image003.png>](#) [<image004.png>](#) [<image005.png>](#)

<ACLU_9ModelStatePolicies.pdf>

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Monday, May 15, 2017 6:56:22 AM

Good morning! I'm fine either way. Go with works best for you my friend. Enjoy your day off.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 15, 2017, at 6:08 AM, Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Marchelle,

I'm off today. I can take care of this tomorrow unless you'd like Kathy to set it up today. Chief has availability after 1pm on Thursday.

Thank you,
Maria

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2017, at 07:19, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton Dohoney
<milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops
Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>

Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46
PM MST

To: Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>

**Subject: FW: meeting with
Mr. Zuercher**

I left these letters in your office
the other day. Did you want to
meet with them or have someone
else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed
Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark
[mailto: [REDACTED]@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the

Phoenix Police
Department, pursuant to
recommendation #14
from the Community
Police Trust Initiative
(CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next
steps for arranging a meeting
with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Monday, May 15, 2017 6:08:07 AM

Good morning Marchelle,

I'm off today. I can take care of this tomorrow unless you'd like Kathy to set it up today. Chief has availability after 1pm on Thursday.

Thank you,
Maria

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2017, at 07:19, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: **Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher**

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher

<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day.
Did you want to meet with them or have
someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark
[mailto:[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting

with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Monday, May 15, 2017 6:08:06 AM

Good morning Marchelle,

I'm off today. I can take care of this tomorrow unless you'd like Kathy to set it up today. Chief has availability after 1pm on Thursday.

Thank you,
Maria

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2017, at 07:19, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: **Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher**

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher

<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day.
Did you want to meet with them or have
someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark
[mailto:[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting

with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Monday, May 15, 2017 7:00:13 AM

Thank you! I'll take care of it tomorrow.

Have a good day!

Sent from my iPhone

On May 15, 2017, at 06:56, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning! I'm fine either way. Go with works best for you my friend.
Enjoy your day off.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 15, 2017, at 6:08 AM, Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Marchelle,

I'm off today. I can take care of this tomorrow unless you'd like Kathy to set it up today. Chief has availability after 1pm on Thursday.

Thank you,
Maria

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2017, at 07:19, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton
Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email:
ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer
Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>

Date: May 12,
2017 at 1:49:46 PM
MST
To: Ed Zuercher

<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>

**Subject: FW:
meeting with Mr.
Zuercher**

I left these letters in
your office the other
day. Did you want to
meet with them or
have someone else
meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City
Manager Ed
Zuercher
602-262-7958
(office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark
[[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]

Sent: Friday, May 12,
2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>

Subject: meeting
with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben
Clark. I am a
Phoenix resident,
and I volunteer my
time for a
grassroots
community
organization called
People Power. On

Tuesday (5/9),
myself and a fellow
volunteer visited
city hall and
delivered letters to
the receptionist in
the city manager's
office requesting a
meeting with Mr.
Zuercher (see
attached).

Our group would
like to arrange a
meeting with Mr.
Zuercher to discuss
the following
topics:

- Revisions to
Phoenix
Police
Operations
Order 4.48
concerning
immigration
enforcement.
Specifically,
we would
like attorneys
for the
ACLU to be
able to
review the
revised order
before it goes
into effect to
ensure that it
eliminates all
traces of
racial
profiling and
adequately
protects the
civil rights of
all members
of the
community.
- The creation
of a civilian

oversight
board for the
Phoenix
Police
Department,
pursuant to
recommendation
#14 from the
Community
Police Trust
Initiative
(CPTI) task
force.

Please let me know
the next steps for
arranging a meeting
with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [Jeri L. Williams](#)
Cc: [Ed Zuercher](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Saturday, May 13, 2017 7:16:24 AM

Will do. Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 6:05 PM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)

jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Cc: [Ed Zuercher](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Saturday, May 13, 2017 7:16:24 AM

Will do. Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 6:05 PM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)

jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: [Jeri L. Williams](#)
To: [Ed Zuercher](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Friday, May 12, 2017 6:05:29 PM

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto: \[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto: [REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Ed Zuercher](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Friday, May 12, 2017 6:05:28 PM

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

I left these letters in your office the other day. Did you want to meet with them or have someone else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty <jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the Phoenix Police Department, pursuant to recommendation #14 from the Community Police Trust Initiative (CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next steps for arranging a meeting with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Monday, May 15, 2017 7:00:13 AM

Thank you! I'll take care of it tomorrow.

Have a good day!

Sent from my iPhone

On May 15, 2017, at 06:56, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning! I'm fine either way. Go with works best for you my friend.
Enjoy your day off.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 15, 2017, at 6:08 AM, Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Marchelle,

I'm off today. I can take care of this tomorrow unless you'd like Kathy to set it up today. Chief has availability after 1pm on Thursday.

Thank you,
Maria

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2017, at 07:19, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams
<jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton
Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email:
ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer
Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>

Date: May 12,
2017 at 1:49:46 PM
MST
To: Ed Zuercher

<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>

**Subject: FW:
meeting with Mr.
Zuercher**

I left these letters in
your office the other
day. Did you want to
meet with them or
have someone else
meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City
Manager Ed
Zuercher
602-262-7958
(office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark
[[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]

Sent: Friday, May 12,
2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>

Subject: meeting
with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben
Clark. I am a
Phoenix resident,
and I volunteer my
time for a
grassroots
community
organization called
People Power. On

Tuesday (5/9),
myself and a fellow
volunteer visited
city hall and
delivered letters to
the receptionist in
the city manager's
office requesting a
meeting with Mr.
Zuercher (see
attached).

Our group would
like to arrange a
meeting with Mr.
Zuercher to discuss
the following
topics:

- Revisions to
Phoenix
Police
Operations
Order 4.48
concerning
immigration
enforcement.
Specifically,
we would
like attorneys
for the
ACLU to be
able to
review the
revised order
before it goes
into effect to
ensure that it
eliminates all
traces of
racial
profiling and
adequately
protects the
civil rights of
all members
of the
community.
- The creation
of a civilian

oversight
board for the
Phoenix
Police
Department,
pursuant to
recommendation
#14 from the
Community
Police Trust
Initiative
(CPTI) task
force.

Please let me know
the next steps for
arranging a meeting
with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher
Date: Monday, May 15, 2017 6:56:25 AM

Good morning! I'm fine either way. Go with works best for you my friend. Enjoy your day off.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 15, 2017, at 6:08 AM, Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Marchelle,

I'm off today. I can take care of this tomorrow unless you'd like Kathy to set it up today. Chief has availability after 1pm on Thursday.

Thank you,
Maria

Sent from my iPhone

On May 13, 2017, at 07:19, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good morning Maria,

Please see Chief's msg below regarding mtg with Will Gaona w/ACLU. In speaking with Chief last week, she decided that with individuals from People Power (a grassroots group which supports ACLU's immigration position) individually contacting her, me and Commander Van Dorn, she would allow Will to bring up to 3 additional people to the meeting with her.

Thanks much.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: May 12, 2017 at 6:05:28 PM MST
To: Ed Zuercher <ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>, Milton Dohoney
<milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

No meeting had to be rescheduled because it was for Monday. Will need to meet with them when I return from Police Week next week.

Marchelle: Please set a meeting for Thursday afternoon.

Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On May 12, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Did Police meet with ACLU on their Ops
Order input?

Ed Zuercher
Phoenix City Manager
Email: ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov
Twitter: @PHXcitymanager

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>

Date: May 12, 2017 at 1:49:46
PM MST

To: Ed Zuercher
<ed.zuercher@phoenix.gov>

**Subject: FW: meeting with
Mr. Zuercher**

I left these letters in your office
the other day. Did you want to
meet with them or have someone
else meet?

Thank you,
Jennifer Flaherty
Secretary to City Manager Ed
Zuercher
602-262-7958 (office)
602-261-8327 (fax)
jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov

From: ben clark
[mailto: [REDACTED]@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, May 12, 2017 1:29 PM
To: Jennifer Flaherty
<jennifer.flaherty@phoenix.gov>
Subject: meeting with Mr. Zuercher

Dear Ms. Flaherty,

My name is Ben Clark. I am a Phoenix resident, and I volunteer my time for a grassroots community organization called People Power. On Tuesday (5/9), myself and a fellow volunteer visited city hall and delivered letters to the receptionist in the city manager's office requesting a meeting with Mr. Zuercher (see attached).

Our group would like to arrange a meeting with Mr. Zuercher to discuss the following topics:

- Revisions to Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48 concerning immigration enforcement. Specifically, we would like attorneys for the ACLU to be able to review the revised order before it goes into effect to ensure that it eliminates all traces of racial profiling and adequately protects the civil rights of all members of the community.
- The creation of a civilian oversight board for the

Phoenix Police
Department, pursuant to
recommendation #14
from the Community
Police Trust Initiative
(CPTI) task force.

Please let me know the next
steps for arranging a meeting
with Mr. Zuercher.

Warm regards,
Ben Clark

<letter_to_ed_zuercher.docx>

<CPTI_Recommendations_ENG.pdf>

From: [Council District 3 PCC](#)
To: [James L Burgett](#)
Subject: FW: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 2:53:39 PM

Third one today, what's going on?

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Jill 850 [mailto:jill850@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 7:40 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Dear Councilwoman Stark:

Want to say again that I'm glad you're our council representative. Your knowledge of the workings of city government and your experience in working with the community is, I think, very value to your district and to the city as a whole.

I attended a recent meeting of an ACLU-affiliated group that presented the details of the Phoenix Police Department's operations order 4.48. I had not realized that the current practices under that order were in spirit and letter supportive of racial profiling. I understood the Police Department to be an entity that did not directly serve ICE, but I can't believe that any longer. It is not because of what was said in the meeting. It is because of what is written in plain language into the operations order.

I understand that 4.48 is to be discussed in an upcoming council meeting. I'm writing to ask that the order be closely reviewed with the goal of rewriting it to end practices that lead to such profiling, and in the process violate federal law. I'm also hoping that the revisions be offered for public comment, so that the Phoenix community and its legal experts in the area of immigration can comment and ask questions.

I feel that 4.48 as it stands has frightening potential to permit violations of immigrants' civil rights. It is especially important to act by the law under the current presidential administration, in which the enforcement of law sometimes seems arbitrary.

Thank you for considering this request.

Jill Ginsburg
[REDACTED]
Phoenix, AZ 85020

From: [James L Burgett](#)
To: [Rose Ferguson](#)
Subject: Fwd: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 4:26:49 PM

Rose,

This was a topic during today's city council and I believe she voted yes on the matter.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Date: April 19, 2017 at 2:53:38 PM MST
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Third one today, what's going on?

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Jill 850 [[@yahoo.com](mailto:)]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 7:40 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Dear Councilwoman Stark:

Want to say again that I'm glad you're our council representative. Your knowledge of the workings of city government and your experience in working with the community is, I think, very value to your district and to the city as a whole.

I attended a recent meeting of an ACLU-affiliated group that presented the details of the Phoenix Police Department's operations order 4.48. I had not realized that the current practices under that order were in spirit and letter supportive of racial profiling. I understood the Police Department to be an entity that did not directly serve ICE, but I can't believe that any longer. It is not because of what was said in the meeting. It is because of what is written in plain language into the operations order.

I understand that 4.48 is to be discussed in an upcoming council meeting. I'm writing to ask that the order be closely reviewed with the goal of rewriting it to end practices that lead to such profiling, and in the process violate federal law. I'm also hoping that the revisions be offered for public comment, so that the Phoenix community and its legal experts in the area of immigration can comment and ask

questions.

I feel that 4.48 as it stands has frightening potential to permit violations of immigrants' civil rights. It is especially important to act by the law under the current presidential administration, in which the enforcement of law sometimes seems arbitrary.

Thank you for considering this request.

Jill Ginsburg

[REDACTED]

Phoenix, AZ 85020

From: James.Burgett@phoenix.gov
To: [Rose Ferguson](#)
Subject: Fwd: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 4:26:46 PM

Rose,

This was a topic during today's city council and I believe she voted yes on the matter.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Date: April 19, 2017 at 2:53:38 PM MST
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Third one today, what's going on?

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Jill 850 [<mailto:> [@yahoo.com](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 7:40 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Dear Councilwoman Stark:

Want to say again that I'm glad you're our council representative. Your knowledge of the workings of city government and your experience in working with the community is, I think, very value to your district and to the city as a whole.

I attended a recent meeting of an ACLU-affiliated group that presented the details of the Phoenix Police Department's operations order 4.48. I had not realized that the current practices under that order were in spirit and letter supportive of racial profiling. I understood the Police Department to be an entity that did not directly serve ICE, but I can't believe that any longer. It is not because of what was said in the meeting. It is because of what is written in plain language into the operations order.

I understand that 4.48 is to be discussed in an upcoming council meeting. I'm writing to ask that the order be closely reviewed with the goal of rewriting it to end practices that lead to such profiling, and in the process violate federal law. I'm also hoping that the revisions be offered for public comment, so that the Phoenix community and its legal experts in the area of immigration can comment and ask

questions.

I feel that 4.48 as it stands has frightening potential to permit violations of immigrants' civil rights. It is especially important to act by the law under the current presidential administration, in which the enforcement of law sometimes seems arbitrary.

Thank you for considering this request.

Jill Ginsburg

[REDACTED]

Phoenix, AZ 85020

From: [Jill 850](#)
To: [Council District 3 PCC](#)
Subject: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 7:39:49 PM

Dear Councilwoman Stark:

Want to say again that I'm glad you're our council representative. Your knowledge of the workings of city government and your experience in working with the community is, I think, very value to your district and to the city as a whole.

I attended a recent meeting of an ACLU-affiliated group that presented the details of the Phoenix Police Department's operations order 4.48. I had not realized that the current practices under that order were in spirit and letter supportive of racial profiling. I understood the Police Department to be an entity that did not directly serve ICE, but I can't believe that any longer. It is not because of what was said in the meeting. It is because of what is written in plain language into the operations order.

I understand that 4.48 is to be discussed in an upcoming council meeting. I'm writing to ask that the order be closely reviewed with the goal of rewriting it to end practices that lead to such profiling, and in the process violate federal law. I'm also hoping that the revisions be offered for public comment, so that the Phoenix community and its legal experts in the area of immigration can comment and ask questions.

I feel that 4.48 as it stands has frightening potential to permit violations of immigrants' civil rights. It is especially important to act by the law under the current presidential administration, in which the enforcement of law sometimes seems arbitrary.

Thank you for considering this request.

Jill Ginsburg
[REDACTED]
Phoenix, AZ 85020

From: [Rose Ferguson](#)
To: [James L Burgett](#)
Subject: RE: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48
Date: Thursday, April 20, 2017 8:10:47 AM

I couldn't watch Formal as I was busy with constituents, thank for the info.

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: James L Burgett
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 4:27 PM
To: Rose Ferguson <rose.ferguson@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Rose,

This was a topic during today's city council and I believe she voted yes on the matter.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Date: April 19, 2017 at 2:53:38 PM MST
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Third one today, what's going on?

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Jill 850 [[@yahoo.com](mailto:)]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 7:40 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Phoenix Police Operations Order 4.48

Dear Councilwoman Stark:

Want to say again that I'm glad you're our council representative. Your knowledge of the workings of city government and your experience in working with the community is, I think, very value to your district and to the city as a whole.

I attended a recent meeting of an ACLU-affiliated group that presented the details of the Phoenix Police Department's operations order 4.48. I had not realized that the current practices under that order were in spirit and letter supportive of racial profiling. I understood the Police Department to be an entity that did not directly serve ICE, but I can't believe that any longer. It is not because of what was said in the meeting. It is because of what is written in plain language into the operations order.

I understand that 4.48 is to be discussed in an upcoming council meeting. I'm writing to ask that the order be closely reviewed with the goal of rewriting it to end practices that lead to such profiling, and in the process violate federal law. I'm also hoping that the revisions be offered for public comment, so that the Phoenix community and its legal experts in the area of immigration can comment and ask questions.

I feel that 4.48 as it stands has frightening potential to permit violations of immigrants' civil rights. It is especially important to act by the law under the current presidential administration, in which the enforcement of law sometimes seems arbitrary.

Thank you for considering this request.

Jill Ginsburg

[REDACTED]

Phoenix, AZ 85020

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: You are humbly invited
Date: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 5:57:01 PM

First I've heard of it. Does the Councilwoman know that Chief Williams has already met with both ACLU and various individuals representing People Power and she's due to meet with them again next week on the finalized draft?

tvD

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 20, 2017, at 5:04 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI. The folks we talked with from People Power have not mentioned anything to me about this meeting.

From: Council District 3 PCC
Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 7:58 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: You are humbly invited

Marchelle: good morning, hope you are well. The Councilwoman wanted you to see this. By the by, my youngest son is on his birthright trip in Israel, can't wait to hear his stories.

All the best

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Debra W Stark
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 4:19 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Stephanie N Lieb <stephanie.lieb@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: You are humbly invited

Nothing like short notice. Cannot attend. Can you make sure Marchelle sees this.
Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 19, 2017, at 3:27 PM, Council District 3 PCC
<council.district.3@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Please advise

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Zack Williams [<mailto:> [@gmail.com](#)]
Sent: Friday, June 16, 2017 8:48 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Subject: You are humbly invited

Councilwoman Debra Stark,

Hello. I am a member of People Power, a group of volunteer community organizers composed of your constituents. Please accept this invitation to a night of discussion regarding Phoenix Police Department Operations Order 4.48.

We will be meeting at The Coronado (2201 N 7th St Phoenix, AZ 85014) on June 22nd from 6:00-9:00 PM. At this meeting, we will all be writing letters to you expressing our concern about the current status of the order, as well as our gratitude that as a governing body, city council has voted to make revisions to its most problematic features.

This presents an excellent opportunity for you to speak about your position regarding the matter and to show your constituents what you believe in.

This event is open to all community members, so please feel free to bring anyone that you think might be interested. We look forward to seeing you and eagerly await your response.

Sincerely,

People Power Phoenix

From: Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: You are humbly invited
Date: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 5:56:59 PM

First I've heard of it. Does the Councilwoman know that Chief Williams has already met with both ACLU and various individuals representing People Power and she's due to meet with them again next week on the finalized draft?

tvd

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 20, 2017, at 5:04 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI. The folks we talked with from People Power have not mentioned anything to me about this meeting.

From: Council District 3 PCC
Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 7:58 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: You are humbly invited

Marchelle: good morning, hope you are well. The Councilwoman wanted you to see this. By the by, my youngest son is on his birthright trip in Israel, can't wait to hear his stories.

All the best

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Debra W Stark
Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 4:19 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Stephanie N Lieb <stephanie.lieb@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: You are humbly invited

Nothing like short notice. Cannot attend. Can you make sure Marchelle sees this.
Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 19, 2017, at 3:27 PM, Council District 3 PCC
<council.district.3@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Please advise

Rose Ferguson
Adm. Assist 1
Councilwoman Debra Stark
Council District 3
602-262-6275

From: Zack Williams [<mailto:> [@gmail.com](#)]
Sent: Friday, June 16, 2017 8:48 PM
To: Council District 3 PCC <council.district.3@phoenix.gov>
Subject: You are humbly invited

Councilwoman Debra Stark,

Hello. I am a member of People Power, a group of volunteer community organizers composed of your constituents. Please accept this invitation to a night of discussion regarding Phoenix Police Department Operations Order 4.48.

We will be meeting at The Coronado (2201 N 7th St Phoenix, AZ 85014) on June 22nd from 6:00-9:00 PM. At this meeting, we will all be writing letters to you expressing our concern about the current status of the order, as well as our gratitude that as a governing body, city council has voted to make revisions to its most problematic features.

This presents an excellent opportunity for you to speak about your position regarding the matter and to show your constituents what you believe in.

This event is open to all community members, so please feel free to bring anyone that you think might be interested. We look forward to seeing you and eagerly await your response.

Sincerely,

People Power Phoenix

From: [Council District 4](#)
To: [David Longoria](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 9:25:35 AM

FYI-

From: Heather Hamel [mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Council District 5 PCC](#)
To: [Vania J. Guevara](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 9:58:00 AM

FYI

From: Heather Hamel [mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Vania J. Guevara](#)
To: [Tony J Motola](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 5:15:00 PM

FYI I asked Nikki Hicks to keep us in the loop.

From: Council District 5 PCC
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 9:59 AM
To: Vania J. Guevara <vania.guevara@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Council District 5 PCC](#)
To: [Vania J. Guevara](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 9:59:21 AM

FYI

From: Heather Hamel [mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Council District 7 PCC](#)
To: [Felicita M. Mendoza](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 8:52:00 AM

From: Heather Hamel [mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Council District 7 PCC](#)
To: [Felicita M. Mendoza](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 8:40:34 AM

From: Heather Hamel [mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Joaquin AJ. Rios](#)
To: [Joaquin AJ. Rios](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:30:58 PM

From: Joaquin AJ. Rios
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 9:12 AM
To: Kate Gallego <kate.gallego@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Joaquin AJ. Rios](#)
To: [Kate Gallego](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 9:33:00 AM

FYI

From: Heather Hamel [mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Kate Gallego](#)
To: [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 11:30:24 AM

Can I catch you on this after e session?

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "Joaquin AJ. Rios" <joaquin.rios@phoenix.gov>
Date: 6/26/17 9:11 AM (GMT-07:00)
To: Kate Gallego <kate.gallego@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:heather.hamel@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

To: [Joaquin AJ. Rios](#)
Subject: RE: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:54:49 PM

From: Joaquin AJ. Rios
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:31 PM
To: Joaquin AJ. Rios <joaquin.rios@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:heather.hamel@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

To: [Kate Gallego](#)
Subject: RE: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:52:30 PM

From: Heather Hamel [[mailto:_____@gmail.com](#)]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Milton Dohoney](#)
To: [Kate Gallego](#)
Subject: RE: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 11:34:00 AM

yes

From: Kate Gallego
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 11:30 AM
To: Milton Dohoney <milton.dohoney@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions

Can I catch you on this after e session?

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "Joaquin AJ. Rios" <joaquin.rios@phoenix.gov>
Date: 6/26/17 9:11 AM (GMT-07:00)
To: Kate Gallego <kate.gallego@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:> [@gmail.com](#)]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel

Executive Director, Justice That Works

hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Mike Mooney](#)
To: [Lorne Edwards](#); [Donn Oswald](#); [Ronnie Collins](#); [Jerry Harper](#); [Jeanne Delaney](#)
Cc: [Eric Kerr](#); [Mike Mooney](#); [Megan Doyle](#); [Douglas MacKenzie](#)
Subject: City Report - May 2017
Date: Thursday, June 01, 2017 5:02:17 PM
Attachments: [CityReport_May2017.pdf](#)

Attached is the city report for May 2017.

Michael Mooney
Executive VP/COO

Visit Phoenix

mmooney@visitphoenix.com | P: 602-452-6208 | F: 602-253-4415

WEBSITE: visitphoenix.com // FACEBOOK: [Visit Phoenix](#) // TWITTER: [@visitphoenix](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This electronic mail message contains information that(a)is or may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and(b)is intended only for the use of the Addressee(s) named herein. If you are not the intended recipient, an addressee, or the person responsible for delivering this to an addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, using, copying, or distributing any part of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system.

Thank You.

From: [Karen L Kontak](#)
To: [Sean P Connolly](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Jennifer L Laroque](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Chad Ryan](#)
Subject: Email 1: Tucson TWX Info
Date: Friday, June 09, 2017 3:50:00 PM
Attachments: [IMAGE.png](#)
[JT.Turner.vcf](#)
[May SB1070.xlsx](#)
[TPD Immigration Policy.pdf](#)
[TWX Flow Chart.pdf](#)

From: JT Turner [mailto:JT.Turner@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2017 3:29 PM
To: Karen L Kontak <Karen.Kontak@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Laura Vittorio <Laura.Vittorio@tucsonaz.gov>; Matt Ronstadt <Matt.Ronstadt@tucsonaz.gov>; Scott Ellis <Scott.Ellis@tucsonaz.gov>
Subject: Re: Phoenix

Karen,

Pleasure talking with you today. Attached are the documents and policy we talked about. I will also be sending you an e-mail, which contains our local Border Patrol's requested changes to our notification protocols. As mentioned we will be discussing that with senior staff on Monday, so for now the hand written flow chart is what our Records (TWX) folks follow for calling BP.

Hope this helps...

Captain JT Turner

Commander, Administrative Services Division
Tucson Police Department

270 S. Stone Avenue
Tucson, Arizona 85701
(520) 837-7748
JT.Turner@tucsonaz.gov

Recruiting: recruit1@tucsonaz.gov



Note: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they

are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

>>> Laura Vittorio 6/9/2017 3:20 PM >>>

Hi, the Phoenix PD R&A Manager, Karen, called me today for Sue's number, as they were having a meeting this morning and she hadn't heard back from her. I gave her Sue's number and Capt. Turner's number. She called me back later this afternoon to verify Capt. Turner's number....as it had Decio's name on the voice mail....I discovered the number in GroupWise is incorrect, and I gave her the correct number. In speaking with her, she said that they will be meeting again on Tuesday - with the purpose being that they are in need of creating an SB1070-type database and the ACLU people they met with suggested they emulate ours - as what we provide them is perfect, etc. She said that she was looking for the fields that are in our database, etc., as well other questions. I advised her that I could provide her with the field names, a sample report, and some additional information, and for anything further she will need to call Capt. Turner. Attached is a copy of the email I sent her.

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
Subject: FW: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:12:12 AM

Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

Mr. Harbst:

Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello there,

I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear to be based on the removal of hardened criminals, (which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

Mark Harbst

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: FW: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 6:37:42 AM

Director & Chief:

I responded to the letter you received as outlined in my email below. Mr. Clark is a volunteer for the ACLU and has now posed two additional questions. Do you want me to prepare a response to his questions for your review prior to sending? Thanks.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: ben clark <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 at 2:32 PM
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Dear Commander Van Dorn,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I was at the meeting of the ad hoc subcommittee last Friday, and actually spoke at it. I applaud the subcommittee's decision to recommend that operations order 4.48 be revised and brought into compliance with the rulings of federal courts. My remaining questions are as follows:

1. As the Phoenix Police Department's immigration enforcement guidelines are revised and updated, will this process be open and transparent? That is, will members of the community (and independent legal experts) have an opportunity to review the changes before they are finalized?
2. Would members of the Phoenix Police Department be willing to hold public meetings with community members in order to discuss concerns about immigration enforcement? There continues to be concern that the department's participation in immigration enforcement (and

cooperation with ICE) may jeopardize civil rights and create a climate of fear in immigrant communities. I know that law enforcement leaders in other municipalities (e.g. Scottsdale, Glendale) have held public meetings, and am curious if the Phoenix Police Department has plans to do so.

Thank you for your time,

Ben Clark

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:29 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Mr. Clark:

Thank you for your recent letter to Director Marchelle Franklin of the Phoenix Police Department's Community Affairs Division. You have inquired about a future meeting between Police Chief Jeri Williams and Will Gaona from the ACLU to discuss model policies and rules and the potential impact to immigrant communities. The meeting between the Chief and Mr. Gaona is set for May 8th, 2017. Unfortunately, this is not a meeting that will be available to the public.

Concerns from community members and various organizations regarding the Department's current Operations Orders on immigration enforcement have been raised to the Phoenix City Council, City Management and Chief Williams. As a result of those concerns Phoenix Mayor Greg Stanton put together an Ad Hoc subcommittee that heard public testimony. The committee held two separate meetings and will be making recommendations to the full Phoenix City Council on April 19th, 2017, who will then make a recommendation to the Phoenix City Manager on how to proceed. I hope this helps answer some of your questions and if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. [602-262-7647](tel:602-262-7647)
C.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Sandra T Renteria](#)
To: [Jennifer L Laroque](#)
Subject: Fw: Email 1: Tucson TWX Info
Date: Monday, July 10, 2017 3:13:19 PM
Attachments: [IMAGE.png](#)
[JT Turner.vcf](#)
[May SB1070.xlsx](#)
[TPD Immigration Policy.pdf](#)
[TWX Flow Chart.pdf](#)

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
() cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Facebook- www.facebook.com/phoenixazpolice
Follow us on Twitter- www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Karen L Kontak
Sent: Friday, June 9, 2017 3:50 PM
To: Sean P Connolly; Sandra T Renteria; Jennifer L Laroque; Marchelle F Franklin; Chad Ryan
Subject: Email 1: Tucson TWX Info

From: JT Turner [mailto:JT.Turner@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2017 3:29 PM
To: Karen L Kontak <Karen.Kontak@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Laura Vittorio <Laura.Vittorio@tucsonaz.gov>; Matt Ronstadt <Matt.Ronstadt@tucsonaz.gov>; Scott Ellis <Scott.Ellis@tucsonaz.gov>
Subject: Re: Phoenix

Karen,

Pleasure talking with you today. Attached are the documents and policy we talked about. I will also be sending you an e-mail, which contains our local Border Patrol's requested changes to our notification protocols. As mentioned we will be discussing that with senior staff on Monday, so for now the hand written flow chart is what our Records (TWX) folks follow for calling BP.

Hope this helps...

Captain JT Turner

Commander, Administrative Services Division
Tucson Police Department
270 S. Stone Avenue
Tucson, Arizona 85701
(520) 837-7748
JT.Turner@tucsonaz.gov

Recruiting: recruit1@tucsonaz.gov



Note: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

>>> Laura Vittorio 6/9/2017 3:20 PM >>>

Hi, the Phoenix PD R&A Manager, Karen, called me today for Sue's number, as they were having a meeting this morning and she hadn't heard back from her. I gave her Sue's number and Capt. Turner's number. She called me back later this afternoon to verify Capt. Turner's number....as it had Decio's name on the voice mail....I discovered the number in GroupWise is incorrect, and I gave her the correct number. In speaking with her, she said that they will be meeting again on Tuesday - with the purpose being that they are in need of creating an SB1070-type database and the ACLU people they met with suggested they emulate ours - as what we provide them is perfect, etc. She said that she was looking for the fields that are in our database, etc., as well other questions. I advised her that I could provide her with the field names, a sample report, and some additional information, and for anything further she will need to call Capt. Turner. Attached is a copy of the email I sent her.

From: [Michael J Kurtenbach](#)
To: [Joseph G Yahner](#)
Cc: [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Fw: Follow up mgt
Date: Tuesday, January 26, 2016 9:51:03 AM
Attachments: [Mesa PD DPM 2.4.35 Immigration and Customs Enforcement Protocols.pdf](#)

Chief,

Please let us know how you would like us to proceed. For your info, I've attached Mesa PD's newly revised immigration policy. Assistant Chief Mike Soelberg with Mesa sent it to me last Friday. According to Mike, they had their Hispanic Community Forum and the ACLU review it, so I would expect that our meeting attendees already have a copy of it.

Mike

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Community Services Division
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE:
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Francisca porchas <osamejichild@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2016 1:27 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Follow up mgt

Good Day Chief Kurtenbach, I am emailing to schedule a follow up meeting on Operating Order 448. We discussed meeting again in January for the Department's final decision on the reforms to the order. Please let us know asap the best time to regroup.

Best.

Francisca Porchas
Organizing Director
Puente Human Rights Movement
602-616-4311

From: Roy Minter
To: Jeri L. Williams
Subject: FW: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 7:50:12 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image001.png](#)
[LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx](#)

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015
Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov



CALEA accredited since 1997.



This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.

Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive – Innovative – Accountable

From: Morgan Ryan [mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update



LAW ENFORCEMENT IMMIGRATION TASK FORCE

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- o Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- o Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- o Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

Rrstar.com (Illinois): [Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)
THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moïse, but noted that TPS is not intended to be "open-ended."

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country's nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant's social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States. In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S. "unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place." As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Tripled

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president's power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.

Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered "aggravated felonies" triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old. Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that "sexual abuse of a minor" encompasses "only egregious felonies" under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana's offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law.

In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to "unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger." California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-'Sanctuary' Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a "sanctuary city" and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

H.R. 2751

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

H.R. 2752

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

H.R. 2759

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

H.R. 2760

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to "fast track" veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

H.R. 2761

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center (HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there.

The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

"It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled," said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines. What hasn't changed much is Mr.

Smith's hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith's working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches.

While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you want: a dying community or one that has growth?"

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina's Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town's inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

"A lot of different communities are living together," said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina's until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn't finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live "a good life."

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn't begrudge them the work.

"I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who's trying to make a better life for themselves," he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. "They're just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am."

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn't the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers' stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

"They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn't complain if they had a sore arm," Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. "There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates," said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Lowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, "New starting pay" — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state's \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by. Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, "I don't think you could get white guys."

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: "This guy is not going to last as long. He's young and he's not going to work hard."

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake, the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to "pay workers enough to retain them or attract them."

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a "first rung on the American ladder to success."

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers.

With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake's upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

"I was so blessed to get into Tyson," he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. "I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, 'There is free money going around.'"

The work, though, can feel drudgery as well as dreams.

Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

"It's very hard," Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. "I'm still not used to the cold." At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

"That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders," said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was "an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we've chosen."

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town's location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

"There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change," said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. "At first, people born and raised here felt, 'We want it to go back to the way it was.'"

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don't bother to lock their doors.

"We've had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years," Mr. Prosser said. "But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they've embraced it."

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn't want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake's lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary's Church in Storm Lake in 2013. "I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly," he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

"Though some people still feel, 'This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,'" he said, for most of the population, "there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now."

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump's statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). "I love my town," Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend's have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers' daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. "Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families," he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. "Those kids are our future."

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating.

Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

Rrstar.com (Illinois): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center
<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago-county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center>
 By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey
 May 25, 2017
 Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals.

Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word. Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-upon parameters.
- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.
- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that "the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,"

"Whether you agree or disagree, I'm going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn't do this in secret," Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration.

Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally.

Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump’s first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would “reconstruct” his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas’s New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a “show me your papers” law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas’s law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state’s law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve. Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike. “What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!” Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-per-cent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said. “Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren’t serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn’t always bite.” That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn’t do ice’s bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. “We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows,” she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total “crackdown” on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor’s call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities “sanctuaries.” More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn’t been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General’s answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they’d left feeling reassured. “I walked out of that meeting saying, ‘O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,’” Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn’t; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President’s executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecilia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona’s. She told me that she sees Texas’s law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ice, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. “The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs’ and sheriffs’ throats,” Wang said. “It’s stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety.”

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. “Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things,” he told me. “Now they’re turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state.”

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can’t Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most ‘Sanctuary’ Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853c4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don’t fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can’t do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)’s executive order meant to crack down on so-called “[sanctuary cities](#),” defining them more narrowly than before.

“Sanctuary city” is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don’t comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement’s “detainer” requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn’t comply with ICE’s requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don’t comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It’s an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that’s the case, Trump’s anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless.

For all of the president’s rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks.

The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump’s executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term “sanctuary jurisdictions” used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that “willfully refuse” to comply with [8 U.S.C. 1323](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions “may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual.”

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE’s behalf.

The memo isn’t a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it’s not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it’s significant: The attorney general [has repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn’t like, they’ll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

“While the Executive Order’s definition of ‘sanctuary jurisdiction’ is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department’s ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions,” Sessions wrote.

Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

“Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department’s law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence,” Sessions wrote. “Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration.”

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump’s sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions’ new memorandum doesn’t fix the deeper problems with his department’s directives.

“This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order,” said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. “To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented.”

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump’s Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed an [ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed an [executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order.

In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine "sanctuary" jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let's scrutinize the statute itself for a second.

Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes "commandeering,"—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn't say is also important here—it doesn't say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn't say that what sanctuary cities are [currently](#) doing is illegal.

"1373 itself prevents 'don't tell' policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can't stop them from doing it," Su says. "But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented 'don't ask,' and 'don't use municipal resources' policies."

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can't just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. "What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373," [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. "Basically what they're saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law."

Here's the TL;DR version:

1. The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
2. In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can't stop law enforcement from collecting it.
3. It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
4. Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn't restrict any "government entity" from sharing information.)
5. Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It "exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things," Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop.

"This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers," Su says. "It's a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy."

And here's another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, "Hey, you can't stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us," that prohibition doesn't mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. "A ban on a ban doesn't necessarily mean authority to do something," Su says, especially since cities are "creatures of the state"—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can't force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president's desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren't just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump's biggest domestic priorities: immigration.

During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

"One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn't going to get to her matter for another week," Chandler recalls. "She paused and said 'Are you going to report me to ICE?' In the past 19 years, I haven't had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared."

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America's undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that's by design. One of President Trump's first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Fw: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 4:11:12 PM

Just an FYI

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 15:49
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Got it.

From our point of view, as far as representing our group, we didn't feel it was stretching it to include those who helped with all the research and work that went into presenting our case, even if their schedule that day precluded attending that meeting. But as far as the number of attendees goes, that makes perfect sense.

Thanks, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:38 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Unfortunately, we have to limit the number to 10 attendees as others have also been invited. In addition, it is preferred that those who attended the first meeting attend tomorrow's meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:22 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Maria, is there any chance we could add one chair? We've actually got 12, but we can draw straws for one to sit out. Can we compromise on 11?

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:27 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

You're welcome!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 13:07

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

OK. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:44 PM

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

10 attendees will be fine, however, we cannot accommodate additional attendees due to limited space/seating.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
Subject: FW: MEETING WITH PEOPLE POWER
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 8:41:19 AM

FYI...

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Date: Saturday, May 6, 2017 at 8:40 AM
To: Mary Minctons <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: MEETING WITH PEOPLE POWER

Ms. Minctons:

Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need.

Further, the mission of our School Resource Officer Program is to foster trust and cooperation when interacting with students, administrators and parents, and to provide for the overall safety and security of our school campuses. To achieve that mission the Phoenix Police Department has adopted the triad concept of community policing with respect to officers' duties. School Resource Officers shall first place emphasis on being educators and mentors and only act as enforcement officers when needed. I'd also like to personally thank you for your service as one of Arizona's educators. On behalf of Chief Williams, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647

C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Mary Minctons <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Date: Saturday, May 6, 2017 at 8:18 AM

To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: MEETING WITH PEOPLE POWER

Dear Tom,

I am a People Power volunteer for ACLU of AZ, a classroom teacher in a public Title I school and a Phoenix resident. For the past two months, PP volunteers have been requesting a meeting with a representative of the Phoenix Police department to discuss the ACLU's Model 9 policies for immigration enforcement.

The Phoenix PD is the only police department in the Valley that still refuses to meet with People Power volunteers. The police departments of Scottsdale, Mesa and Tucson all have met and discusses these issues with us!

PLEASE help us set up a meeting because I am very concerned about the civil rights of many of the parents of my students and the students themselves.

I have read the Phoenix PD's website and know that you have a commitment to community engagement and I am confident that you can help!

Sincerely,
Mary D. Minctons

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [James L. Burgett](#)
Cc: [Jeri L. Williams](#); [Michael J. Kurtenbach](#); [Sandra T. Renteria](#); [Thomas G. Vandorn](#); [Nikki A. Hicks](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:58:28 PM
Attachments: [image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)

Good afternoon Commander Burgett,

Email sent to Ms. Hamel by Commander Van Dorn.

Thanks.

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence



NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Saturday, June 24, 2017 12:52 PM
To: hamel.heathera@gmail.com
Cc: [Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>](mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov); [Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>](mailto:marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov); [Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>](mailto:Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov)
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Ms. Hamel:

Thank you for your email regarding revisions to Operations Order 4.48. On behalf of Police Chief Jeri Williams and Director Marchelle Franklin, we'd like to invite you to a follow up meeting with ACLU and People Power this Thursday, June 29th, 2017 at 1:00p.m. The meeting will be held at Phoenix Police

Headquarters in the Police Chief's conference room.

A few weeks back, myself and Director Franklin meet with a representative of Puente to discuss how our organizations can further partner in a multitude of areas. I will also extend the same invitation to her when I return to the office on Monday.

We understand the concerns you, and many community groups and individuals, have with respect to Operations Order 4.48. Chief Williams has gone to great lengths to address those concerns with the revisions, while also maintaining the legal obligation Phoenix Police officers have with respect to laws created by SB1070. I hope you can join us this coming week and have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

O. 602-262-7647

C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed.

This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [James L. Burgett](#)
Cc: [Jeri L. Williams](#); [Michael J. Kurtenbach](#); [Thomas G. Vandorn](#); [Sandra T. Renteria](#); [Nikki A. Hicks](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:50:45 PM
Attachments: [image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)

Good afternoon Commander Burgett,

Response to Ms. Hamel's email to Chief Williams and me.

Thanks.

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence



NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Marchelle F. Franklin
Sent: Saturday, June 24, 2017 11:07 AM
To: Heather Hamel <hamel.heathera@gmail.com>
Cc: jeri.williams@phoenix.gov; Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. Because she has very limited access to email, she asked that I send her reply to your email which is below.

Dear Ms. Hamel,

The Phoenix Police Department has been working on this revision for quite some time.

In this process, we have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. Those groups include the Phoenix Union High School District, many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email, just to name a few.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel <hamel.heathera@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Vania J. Guevara](#)
To: [Nikki A Hicks](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:36:00 PM

Good afternoon Nikki,

I hope this message finds you well ☺. Can you please loop us in regarding next steps and meetings with community groups? We would like to be a part of the conversation or informed in any way possible.

Thank you!

Vania

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Nikki A Hicks](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Michael J Kurtenbach](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:31:54 PM

FYI...request from Councilman Valenzuela's office.

Nikki Hicks
Phoenix Police Department
602-262-6937 office
602-677-4624 business cell
phoenix.gov/police
[Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)
PRIDE: Protection, Respect, Integrity, Dedication, Excellence

From: Vania J. Guevara
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:23 PM
To: Nikki A Hicks <Nikki.Hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Nikki,

I hope this message finds you well ☺. Can you please loop us in regarding next steps and meetings with community groups? We would like to be a part of the conversation or informed in any way possible.

Thank you!

Vania

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:hamel.heathera@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
480.232.5464

From: [Brad Burt](#)
To: [Edward DeCastro](#); [James M Gallagher](#); [Gabriel L Lopez](#); [Anthony B Vasquez](#); [Charles J Consolian](#); [Charles P Morin](#)
Subject: FW: Request for meeting ACLU
Date: Thursday, March 16, 2017 5:07:01 PM

Further information.

From: Ean White
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:13 PM
To: Harry S Markley; Brad Burt; Jennifer L Laroque
Cc: Sandra Hunter
Subject: RE: Request for meeting

Jen has been our primary point of contact at PPD Legal Unit for SB 1070 matters. However, I will defer to Sandra as to who should take the lead in facilitating any meeting or discussion with the requestor. This matter has a lot of oversight from the City Attorney's Office, which is monitoring this issue for the CMO so we can ensure a consistent message.

Ean P. White
Assistant City Attorney
City of Phoenix Law Department
Legal Advisor, PPD Legal Unit
Office: (602) 534-0126
Mobile: (
ean.white@phoenix.gov

From: Brad Burt
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:56 PM
To: Ean White; Jennifer L Laroque
Cc: Harry S Markley
Subject: FW: Request for meeting

Ean and Jen, I received this request out of the blue. Ms. Nelson is not one of our block watch folks and wants to chat with me. I am not sure why. In any case, Ms. Nelson appears to be an attorney out of California based on a quick look at Google. Attached is the focus of the discussion provided by her. I am comfortable with discussing our Immigration Policy however this might be best vetted through your shop.

I received the request through my admin staff and have not talked to her directly. Please take a look at the email chain for her contact information.

Thanks,

Brad

From: Lena Padilla
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:21 PM
To: Brad Burt
Subject: Fw: Request for meeting

Commander,

Please see the attached email.

Thank you,

Lena Padilla, A5853
Phoenix Police Department
Central City Precinct/DOU/Transit
0730-1600, M-F
602-534-0767 (Desk)
(Cell)

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Orien Nelson <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 2:45 PM
To: Lena Padilla
Subject: Request for meeting

Hello Lena,

Attached is a copy of the "9 Model Rules and Policies" that we would like to discuss with Commander Burt. Ideally, we would like to meet with him prior to March 31, 2017. Between 5-10 people would like to attend.

Thank you for your help.

Warm regards,

Orien Nelson

 [gmail.com](#)



From: [James L Burgett](#)
To: [Jennifer L Laroque](#)
Cc: [Joseph G Yahner](#); [Milton Dohoney](#)
Subject: FW: SB1070/prolonged stop
Date: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 1:52:06 PM
Attachments: [Settlement Agreement.pdf](#)
[Informal AG Opinion.pdf](#)

Hi Jen,

Can you review and answer the below question coming from CW Williams regarding the length of stop/detention of SB1070 contacts, thanks.

James Burgett, Commander
Phoenix Police Department
City Manager Liaison
Office: 602-262-6053
james.burgett@phoenix.gov

From: Sina Matthes
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 1:41 PM
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Subject: SB1070/prolonged stop

Commander Burgett,

Can you help me track down the right person to answer a question for Councilwoman Williams?

Attached are two documents in regards to the settlement between the State and the ACLU on SB1070 and its implementation as agreed on by the State Attorney General. In the informal opinion of the AG (Exhibit A) on page 4 the second paragraph reads:

*"If in the course of duty an officer has reasonable suspicion that an individual is unlawfully present in the United States, based on all available facts, except race or ethnicity, the officer shall attempt to verify the individual's immigration status by contacting ICE/CBP unless doing so **would prolong the stop or detention**, or the circumstances below apply. The officer shall, consistent with department policies, document the verification attempt, including the basis for the officer's reasonable suspicion as to unlawful presence and any response from ICE/CBP, in the stop data collection system."*

In the highlighted section, "...would prolong the stop or detention..." Has the Police Department determined what length of time would be considered as prolonging the stop or detention? It appears as if the concept of "reasonable" was not included in describing the definition of "prolong." Thus, is it 2 seconds, 2 minutes, 2 hours, or even two days? The vague wording could place individuals officers at risk as well as

the city of Phoenix as a whole if the statute (ARS 11-1051) is incorrectly implemented or contrary to the attached agreement. It would not be unreasonable to see litigative issues possibly arising out of this general statement.

Thank you,
Sina

Sina Matthes
Chief of Staff
Office of Councilwoman Thelda Williams
City of Phoenix
200 W. Washington St., 11th Floor
Phoenix, AZ 85003
602-261-8822
sina.matthes@phoenix.gov
phoenix.gov/district1

From: [Jeffrey R Dick](#)
To: [Jana C Pawlick](#); [Jerry W Hester II](#)
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 2:18:40 PM

From: Michael J Kurtenbach
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:40 PM
To: William F Wickers
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Executive Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<trans.queer.pueblo@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the

victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

- 1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.**

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the

Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [William F Wickers](#)
To: [Nicholas M Diponzio](#); [Jeffrey R Dick](#); [Anthony D Jones](#); [Brenda L Dowhan](#); [Blake E Carlson](#)
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:21:30 PM

William Wickers
Lieutenant
Phoenix Police Department
Homeland Defense Bureau
(602) 644-5831
william.wickers@phoenix.gov

P.R.I.D.E.
Protection/Respect/Integrity/Dedication/Excellence

From: Michael J Kurtenbach
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:40 PM
To: William F Wickers
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Executive Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<trans.queer.pueblo@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that targets trans and queer people of

color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Michael J Kurtenbach](#)
To: [William F Wickers](#)
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:40:22 PM

FYI

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Executive Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
[@gmail.com](mailto:justin@phoenixpride.org)>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.

- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Darin S Lund](#)
To: [Samuel G Palmer](#)
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 8:22:12 PM

Sergeant Darin Lund
Phoenix Police Department
Homeland Defense Bureau
Phoenix Intelligence Center
Intelligence Officer Program

602.644.5852 (Office)
602.319.8561 (City Cell)

PIC Hotline: (602) 644-5911
ACTIC Tips/Leads: (602) 644-5805

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Anthony D Jones
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:21 PM
To: Jeffrey R Dick; Jana C Pawlick; Darin S Lund
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brenda L Dowhan <Brenda.Dowhan@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 2:49:09 PM MST
To: William F Wickers <William.Wickers@phoenix.gov>, Nicholas M Diponzio <Nicholas.Diponzio@phoenix.gov>, Jeffrey R Dick <Jeffrey.Dick@phoenix.gov>, Anthony D Jones <Anthony.Jones@phoenix.gov>, Blake E Carlson <blake.carlson@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

I've been looking into Trans Queer Pueblo. They appear to be an advocate group for the LGBTQ and immigrant community. They have a group of approximately 90 people who plan to participate in Pride. I came across the Phoenix New Times article regarding their desire to see Pride return to its "radical roots."

I've seen posts from anarchists who share the frustration referenced in the article that Pride is too "corporate" and "too white" and does not accurately represent the LGBTQ

community. Some do not want to participate for this reason. However, there is chatter regarding the corporate organizers and their displeasure with them taking over the event. I have no located any specific information regarding plans to protest the event. I will keep my eyes on this.

Brenda Dowhan

TLO All-Hazards Analyst

Phoenix Police Department, Homeland Defense Bureau

Arizona Counter Terrorism Information Center

Desk: 602-644-5938

Cell:

Brenda.dowhan@phoenix.gov

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: William F Wickers

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:21 PM

To: Nicholas M Diponzio; Jeffrey R Dick; Anthony D Jones; Brenda L Dowhan; Blake E Carlson

Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

William Wickers

Lieutenant

Phoenix Police Department

Homeland Defense Bureau

(602) 644-5831

william.wickers@phoenix.gov

P.R.I.D.E.

Protection/Respect/Integrity/Dedication/Excellence

From: Michael J Kurtenbach
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:40 PM
To: William F Wickers
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Executive Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov"
<dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<trans.queer.pueblo@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it

comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our

whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

**Publicly demand an end to
manifestation law and city policy that
targets trans and queer people of color.**

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Darin S Lund](#)
To: [Jose C Garcia](#); [Ryan T Whittington](#)
Subject: Fwd: Intelligence Briefing from the MAYDAY Protest at 4th Ave Jail
Date: Thursday, June 22, 2017 11:34:36 PM
Attachments: [Intelligence Brief - MAYDAY Protest at 4th Ave Jail - 5-1-2017.pdf](#)

Sergeant Darin Lund
Homeland Defense Bureau
Phoenix Intelligence Center
Intelligence Officer Program
[602.644.5852](#) (Office)
[602.319.8561](#) (City Cell)

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

----- Original message -----

From: Mark DiBone - SHERIFFX <M_DiBone@MCSO.maricopa.gov>
Date: 6/21/17 3:23 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: Robert B Bolvin <Robert.Bolvin@phoenix.gov>, Mark Falsetto
<Mark.Falsetto@phoenix.gov>, Darin S Lund <Darin.Lund@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Intelligence Briefing from the MAYDAY Protest at 4th Ave Jail

Gentlemen,

I was advised to send this to your command staff; however, I forgot to send it to all of you. Sorry about that. I didn't want to leave you out.

The attached Intelligence Briefing was created by the MCSO Criminal Intelligence Division in reference to the different groups and people who were involved in the MayDay protest at 4th Avenue Jail on May 1, 2017. Groups were identified through different means, including: shirts bearing insignias and logos, as well as signs and banners. This information was collected with the assistance of the Phoenix PD Community Relations Bureau, TLOs from the Phoenix PD Homeland Defense Bureau, in addition to other online sources. It should be noted no law enforcement databases were used to gather this information. All intelligence contained in this briefing was obtained through the use of open-source databases.

The MCSO Criminal Intelligence Division will continue to foster the relationship between MCSO and the Phoenix Police Department, with specific emphasis on further collaboration with their Homeland Defense Bureau/TLOs and the Community Relations Bureau; as well as other ACTIC law enforcement agencies in reference to gathering and disseminating intelligence. Numerous people pictured in this Intelligence Briefing were identified through the ongoing partnership between the MCSO Criminal Intelligence Division and the Phoenix

PD Homeland Defense Bureau.

There is no probable cause for any law enforcement action based on the contents of this Intelligence Briefing. This Intelligence Briefing is for informational purposes only to be shared with fellow law enforcement agencies.

If anyone has any additional information reference any of the groups or subjects pictured or identified in this Intelligence Briefing, please contact Det. Mark DiBone at M_DiBone@MCSO.Maricopa.gov, or via cell phone at (602) 527-4667.

Detective Mark DiBone #1782
Maricopa County Sheriff's Office
Criminal Intelligence Division
Office: (602) 876-8551 / (602) 644-5886
Cell:

[How are we doing?](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

The information contained in this e-mail (including any attachments) is confidential and/or privileged information that is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521, and is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) listed above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

From: [Darin S Lund](#)
To: mrawleigh@azdps.gov
Subject: Fwd: Intelligence Briefing from the MAYDAY Protest at 4th Ave Jail
Date: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 5:11:58 PM
Attachments: [Intelligence Brief - MAYDAY Protest at 4th Ave Jail - 5-1-2017.pdf](#)

Sergeant Darin Lund
Homeland Defense Bureau
Phoenix Intelligence Center
Intelligence Officer Program
[602.644.5852](#) (Office)
[602.319.8561](#) (City Cell)

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

----- Original message -----

From: Mark DiBone - SHERIFFX <M_DiBone@MCSO.maricopa.gov>
Date: 6/21/17 3:23 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: Robert B Bolvin <Robert.Bolvin@phoenix.gov>, Mark Falsetto
<Mark.Falsetto@phoenix.gov>, Darin S Lund <Darin.Lund@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Intelligence Briefing from the MAYDAY Protest at 4th Ave Jail

Gentlemen,

I was advised to send this to your command staff; however, I forgot to send it to all of you. Sorry about that. I didn't want to leave you out.

The attached Intelligence Briefing was created by the MCSO Criminal Intelligence Division in reference to the different groups and people who were involved in the MayDay protest at 4th Avenue Jail on May 1, 2017. Groups were identified through different means, including: shirts bearing insignias and logos, as well as signs and banners. This information was collected with the assistance of the Phoenix PD Community Relations Bureau, TLOs from the Phoenix PD Homeland Defense Bureau, in addition to other online sources. It should be noted no law enforcement databases were used to gather this information. All intelligence contained in this briefing was obtained through the use of open-source databases.

The MCSO Criminal Intelligence Division will continue to foster the relationship between MCSO and the Phoenix Police Department, with specific emphasis on further collaboration with their Homeland Defense Bureau/TLOs and the Community Relations Bureau; as well as other ACTIC law enforcement agencies in reference to gathering and disseminating intelligence. Numerous people pictured in this Intelligence Briefing were identified through the ongoing partnership between the MCSO Criminal Intelligence Division and the Phoenix

PD Homeland Defense Bureau.

There is no probable cause for any law enforcement action based on the contents of this Intelligence Briefing. This Intelligence Briefing is for informational purposes only to be shared with fellow law enforcement agencies.

If anyone has any additional information reference any of the groups or subjects pictured or identified in this Intelligence Briefing, please contact Det. Mark DiBone at M_DiBone@MCSO.Maricopa.gov, or via cell phone at (602) 527-4667.

Detective Mark DiBone #1782
Maricopa County Sheriff's Office
Criminal Intelligence Division
Office: (602) 876-8551 / (602) 644-5886
Cell:

[How are we doing?](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

The information contained in this e-mail (including any attachments) is confidential and/or privileged information that is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC Sections 2510-2521, and is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) listed above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Nikki A Hicks](#)
Subject: Fwd: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17
Date: Thursday, July 06, 2017 9:05:24 AM
Attachments: [Ops4-48.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)

FYi

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 9:00:46 AM MST
To: Chiefs Group <ChiefsGroup@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Jennifer L Laroque
<Jennifer.Laroque@phoenix.gov>, Tracey A Femenia
<Tracey.Femenia@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This is the final version that will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours [on Monday, 07-10-17](#) if there are no further changes. It includes the change that ACLU requested reference SROs or any officer not able to ask immigration questions on school grounds.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
Sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn Leone <Dawn.Leone@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 8:49:37 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours on Monday, 07-10-17.

*Officer Dawn Leone #7359
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Policy Unit
Desk: 602-534-8582*

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [Nikki A. Hicks](#)
Subject: Fwd: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17
Date: Thursday, July 06, 2017 9:05:27 AM
Attachments: [Ops4-48.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)

FYi

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 9:00:46 AM MST
To: Chiefs Group <ChiefsGroup@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Jennifer L Laroque
<Jennifer.Laroque@phoenix.gov>, Tracey A Femenia
<Tracey.Femenia@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This is the final version that will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours [on Monday, 07-10-17](#) if there are no further changes. It includes the change that ACLU requested reference SROs or any officer not able to ask immigration questions on school grounds.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
Sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn Leone <Dawn.Leone@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 8:49:37 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours on Monday, 07-10-17.

*Officer Dawn Leone #7359
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Policy Unit
Desk: 602-534-8582*

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 12:56:25 PM

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 24, 2017 at 11:07:21 AM MST
To: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](mailto:)>
Cc: "jeri.williams@phoenix.gov" <jeri.williams@phoenix.gov>, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. Because she has very limited access to email, she asked that I send her reply to your email which is below.

Dear Ms. Hamel,

The Phoenix Police Department has been working on this revision for quite some time.

In this process, we have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. Those groups include the Phoenix Union High School District, many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email, just to name a few.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel
wrote:

[@gmail.com](#)>

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 12:56:23 PM

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 24, 2017 at 11:07:21 AM MST
To: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](#)>
Cc: "jeri.williams@phoenix.gov" <jeri.williams@phoenix.gov>, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. Because she has very limited access to email, she asked that I send her reply to your email which is below.

Dear Ms. Hamel,

The Phoenix Police Department has been working on this revision for quite some time.

In this process, we have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. Those groups include the Phoenix Union High School District, many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email, just to name a few.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel
wrote:

[@gmail.com](#)>

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 6:23:56 PM
Attachments: [image009.png](#)
[image011.png](#)

Chief,

Per your request.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 26, 2017 at 1:58:27 PM MST
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>, Michael J Kurtenbach <mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>, Sandra T Renteria <sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Nikki A Hicks <nikki.hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Commander Burgett,

Email sent to Ms. Hamel by Commander Van Dorn.

Thanks.

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence



NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you

are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Saturday, June 24, 2017 12:52 PM
To: [@gmail.com](#)
Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Ms. Hamel:

Thank you for your email regarding revisions to Operations Order 4.48. On behalf of Police Chief Jeri Williams and Director Marchelle Franklin, we'd like to invite you to a follow up meeting with ACLU and People Power this Thursday, June 29th, 2017 at 1:00p.m. The meeting will be held at Phoenix Police Headquarters in the Police Chief's conference room.

A few weeks back, myself and Director Franklin meet with a representative of Puente to discuss how our organizations can further partner in a multitude of areas. I will also extend the same invitation to her when I return to the office on Monday.

We understand the concerns you, and many community groups and individuals, have with respect to Operations Order 4.48. Chief Williams has gone to great lengths to address those concerns with the revisions, while also maintaining the legal obligation Phoenix Police officers have with respect to laws created by SB1070. I hope you can join us this coming week and have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 7:03:32 PM

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](#)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov, jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 7:03:31 PM

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel [@gmail.com](#)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov, jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Tuesday, June 27, 2017 6:23:54 PM
Attachments: [image009.png](#)
[image011.png](#)

Chief,

Per your request.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 26, 2017 at 1:58:27 PM MST
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>, Michael J Kurtenbach <mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>, Sandra T Renteria <sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Nikki A Hicks <nikki.hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Commander Burgett,

Email sent to Ms. Hamel by Commander Van Dorn.

Thanks.

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence



NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you

are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Thomas G Vandorn

Sent: Saturday, June 24, 2017 12:52 PM

To: [@gmail.com](#)

Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Ms. Hamel:

Thank you for your email regarding revisions to Operations Order 4.48. On behalf of Police Chief Jeri Williams and Director Marchelle Franklin, we'd like to invite you to a follow up meeting with ACLU and People Power this Thursday, June 29th, 2017 at 1:00p.m. The meeting will be held at Phoenix Police Headquarters in the Police Chief's conference room.

A few weeks back, myself and Director Franklin meet with a representative of Puente to discuss how our organizations can further partner in a multitude of areas. I will also extend the same invitation to her when I return to the office on Monday.

We understand the concerns you, and many community groups and individuals, have with respect to Operations Order 4.48. Chief Williams has gone to great lengths to address those concerns with the revisions, while also maintaining the legal obligation Phoenix Police officers have with respect to laws created by SB1070. I hope you can join us this coming week and have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [James L Burgett](#); [Nikki A Hicks](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 2:13:03 PM
Attachments: [image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)

Here's the I sent in Saturday. I also left her a voicemail this morning trying to touch base. I have not received a response to either.

tvD

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 26, 2017 at 1:58:27 PM MST
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>, Michael J Kurtenbach <Mike.Kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>, Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Nikki A Hicks <Nikki.Hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Commander Burgett,

Email sent to Ms. Hamel by Commander Van Dorn.

Thanks.

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence



NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Thomas G Vandorn

Sent: Saturday, June 24, 2017 12:52 PM

To: [@gmail.com](#)

Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Ms. Hamel:

Thank you for your email regarding revisions to Operations Order 4.48. On behalf of Police Chief Jeri Williams and Director Marchelle Franklin, we'd like to invite you to a follow up meeting with ACLU and People Power this Thursday, June 29th, 2017 at 1:00p.m. The meeting will be held at Phoenix Police Headquarters in the Police Chief's conference room.

A few weeks back, myself and Director Franklin meet with a representative of Puente to discuss how our organizations can further partner in a multitude of areas. I will also extend the same invitation to her when I return to the office on Monday.

We understand the concerns you, and many community groups and individuals, have with respect to Operations Order 4.48. Chief Williams has gone to great lengths to address those concerns with the revisions, while also maintaining the legal obligation Phoenix Police officers have with respect to laws created by SB1070. I hope you can join us this coming week and have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov
To: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [James L Burgett](#); [Nikki A Hicks](#)
Subject: Fwd: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 2:13:00 PM
Attachments: [image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)

Here's the I sent in Saturday. I also left her a voicemail this morning trying to touch base. I have not received a response to either.

tvd

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 26, 2017 at 1:58:27 PM MST
To: James L Burgett <James.Burgett@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>, Michael J Kurtenbach <Mike.Kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>, Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Nikki A Hicks <Nikki.Hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Commander Burgett,

Email sent to Ms. Hamel by Commander Van Dorn.

Thanks.

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence



NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Thomas G Vandorn

Sent: Saturday, June 24, 2017 12:52 PM

To: [@gmail.com](#)

Cc: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Ms. Hamel:

Thank you for your email regarding revisions to Operations Order 4.48. On behalf of Police Chief Jeri Williams and Director Marchelle Franklin, we'd like to invite you to a follow up meeting with ACLU and People Power this Thursday, June 29th, 2017 at 1:00p.m. The meeting will be held at Phoenix Police Headquarters in the Police Chief's conference room.

A few weeks back, myself and Director Franklin meet with a representative of Puente to discuss how our organizations can further partner in a multitude of areas. I will also extend the same invitation to her when I return to the office on Monday.

We understand the concerns you, and many community groups and individuals, have with respect to Operations Order 4.48. Chief Williams has gone to great lengths to address those concerns with the revisions, while also maintaining the legal obligation Phoenix Police officers have with respect to laws created by SB1070. I hope you can join us this coming week and have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Anthony D Jones](#)
To: [Jeffrey R Dick](#); [Jana C Pawlick](#); [Darin S Lund](#)
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 3:21:52 PM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brenda L Dowhan <Brenda.Dowhan@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 2:49:09 PM MST
To: William F Wickers <William.Wickers@phoenix.gov>, Nicholas M Diponzio <Nicholas.Diponzio@phoenix.gov>, Jeffrey R Dick <Jeffrey.Dick@phoenix.gov>, Anthony D Jones <Anthony.Jones@phoenix.gov>, Blake E Carlson <blake.carlson@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

I've been looking into Trans Queer Pueblo. They appear to be an advocate group for the LGBTQ and immigrant community. They have a group of approximately 90 people who plan to participate in Pride. I came across the Phoenix New Times article regarding their desire to see Pride return to its "radical roots."

I've seen posts from anarchists who share the frustration referenced in the article that Pride is too "corporate" and "too white" and does not accurately represent the LGBTQ community. Some do not want to participate for this reason. However, there is chatter regarding the corporate organizers and their displeasure with them taking over the event. I have not located any specific information regarding plans to protest the event. I will keep my eyes on this.

Brenda Dowhan

TLO All-Hazards Analyst

Phoenix Police Department, Homeland Defense Bureau

Arizona Counter Terrorism Information Center

Desk: 602-644-5938

Cell:

Brenda.dowhan@phoenix.gov

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: William F Wickers

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:21 PM

To: Nicholas M Diponzio; Jeffrey R Dick; Anthony D Jones; Brenda L Dowhan; Blake E Carlson

Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

William Wickers

Lieutenant

Phoenix Police Department

Homeland Defense Bureau

william.wickers@phoenix.gov

P.R.I.D.E.

Protection/Respect/Integrity/Dedication/Excellence

From: Michael J Kurtenbach

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:40 PM

To: William F Wickers

Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Executive Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST

To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov"

[<dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>](mailto:dennis.orender@phoenix.gov)

Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo

[@gmail.com](mailto:transqueerpueblo@gmail.com)>

Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST

To: justin@phoenixpride.org

Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that

targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Michael J Kurtenbach](#)
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:36 PM

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<[@gmail.com](#)>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his

cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

**Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk;
urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end
operation order 4.48.**

**Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and
city policy that targets trans and queer people of
color.**

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017
Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to
lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and
defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); [Michael J Kurtenbach](#)
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his

cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

**Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk;
urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end
operation order 4.48.**

**Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and
city policy that targets trans and queer people of
color.**

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017
Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to
lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and
defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Dennis E Orender](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:33:17 PM

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo <transqueerpueblo@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color:

Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Justin Owen](#)
To: [Dennis E. Orender](#)
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:21:28 PM

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo [@gmail.com](#)>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass

deportation force.

- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Anthony D Jones](#)
To: [Samuel G Palmer](#); [Jamie B Lowe](#)
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 4:21:10 PM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Brenda L Dowhan <Brenda.Dowhan@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 2:49:09 PM MST
To: William F Wickers <William.Wickers@phoenix.gov>, Nicholas M Diponzio <Nicholas.Diponzio@phoenix.gov>, Jeffrey R Dick <Jeffrey.Dick@phoenix.gov>, Anthony D Jones <Anthony.Jones@phoenix.gov>, Blake E Carlson <blake.carlson@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

I've been looking into Trans Queer Pueblo. They appear to be an advocate group for the LGBTQ and immigrant community. They have a group of approximately 90 people who plan to participate in Pride. I came across the Phoenix New Times article regarding their desire to see Pride return to its "radical roots."

I've seen posts from anarchists who share the frustration referenced in the article that Pride is too "corporate" and "too white" and does not accurately represent the LGBTQ community. Some do not want to participate for this reason. However, there is chatter regarding the corporate organizers and their displeasure with them taking over the event. I have not located any specific information regarding plans to protest the event. I will keep my eyes on this.

Brenda Dowhan

TLO All-Hazards Analyst

Phoenix Police Department, Homeland Defense Bureau

Arizona Counter Terrorism Information Center

Desk: 602-644-5938

Cell:

Brenda.dowhan@phoenix.gov

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: William F Wickers

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:21 PM

To: Nicholas M Diponzio; Jeffrey R Dick; Anthony D Jones; Brenda L Dowhan; Blake E Carlson

Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

William Wickers

Lieutenant

Phoenix Police Department

Homeland Defense Bureau

william.wickers@phoenix.gov

P.R.I.D.E.

Protection/Respect/Integrity/Dedication/Excellence

From: Michael J Kurtenbach

Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:40 PM

To: William F Wickers

Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Executive Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST

To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov"

<dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo

[@gmail.com](#)>

Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST

To: justin@phoenixpride.org

Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that

targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:49:39 PM

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov
To: [Chiefs Group](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jennifer L Laroque](#); [Tracey A Femenia](#)
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17
Date: Thursday, July 06, 2017 9:00:46 AM
Attachments: [Ops4-48.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)

This is the final version that will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours [on Monday, 07-10-17](#) if there are no further changes. It includes the change that ACLU requested reference SROs or any officer not able to ask immigration questions on school grounds.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
Sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn Leone <Dawn.Leone@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 8:49:37 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours on Monday, 07-10-17.

*Officer Dawn Leone #7359
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Policy Unit
Desk: 602-534-8582*

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Sandra T Renteria](#)
To: [Chiefs Group](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Jennifer L Laroque](#); [Tracey A Femenia](#)
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17
Date: Thursday, July 06, 2017 9:00:50 AM
Attachments: [Ops4-48.pdf](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)

This is the final version that will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours [on Monday, 07-10-17](#) if there are no further changes. It includes the change that ACLU requested reference SROs or any officer not able to ask immigration questions on school grounds.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
Sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn Leone <Dawn.Leone@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 8:49:37 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours on Monday, 07-10-17.

*Officer Dawn Leone #7359
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Policy Unit
Desk: 602-534-8582*

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Heather Hamel](#)
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:35:51 PM

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Heather Hamel](#)
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#); jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:35:51 PM

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
Cc: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:46:17 AM

Please push it out. Thanks Mercedes.

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 6, 2017, at 7:24 AM, Mercedes A Fortune <Mercedes.Fortune@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>

> Looks good.

>

> Mercedes Fortune, Sergeant

> Phoenix Police Department

> Public Affairs Bureau

> 602-534-1437 (desk)

> Mercedes.fortune@phoenix.gov

>

>> On May 6, 2017, at 7:12 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>>

>> Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

>>

>> Mr. Harbst:

>>

>> Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

>>

>> Tom Van Dorn, Commander

>> Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

>> tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

>> O. 602-262-7647

>> C.

>>

>> PRIDE

>> Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

>> NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

>>

>>

>> On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> Hello there,

>>

>> I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in

the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

>>

>> Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear to be based on the removal of hardened criminals,(which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

>>

>> While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

>>

>> The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

>>

>> Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

>>

>> Mark Harbst

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
Cc: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:46:16 AM

Please push it out. Thanks Mercedes.

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 6, 2017, at 7:24 AM, Mercedes A Fortune <Mercedes.Fortune@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>

> Looks good.

>

> Mercedes Fortune, Sergeant

> Phoenix Police Department

> Public Affairs Bureau

> 602-534-1437 (desk)

> Mercedes.fortune@phoenix.gov

>

>> On May 6, 2017, at 7:12 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>>

>> Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

>>

>> Mr. Harbst:

>>

>> Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

>>

>> Tom Van Dorn, Commander

>> Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

>> tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

>> O. 602-262-7647

>> C.

>>

>> PRIDE

>> Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

>> NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

>>

>>

>> On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> Hello there,

>>

>> I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in

the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

>>

>> Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear to be based on the removal of hardened criminals,(which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

>>

>> While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

>>

>> The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

>>

>> Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

>>

>> Mark Harbst

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:45:31 AM

Like the consistency

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 6, 2017, at 7:12 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>

> Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

>

> Mr. Harbst:

>

> Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

>

> Tom Van Dorn, Commander

> Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

> tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

> O. 602-262-7647

> C.

>

> PRIDE

> Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

> NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

>

>

> On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Hello there,

>

> I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

>

> Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear be based on the removal of hardened criminals,(which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

>

> While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

>

> The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

>

> Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

>

> Mark Harbst

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:45:29 AM

Like the consistency

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 6, 2017, at 7:12 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>

> Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

>

> Mr. Harbst:

>

> Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

>

> Tom Van Dorn, Commander

> Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

> tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

> O. 602-262-7647

>

>

> PRIDE

> Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

> NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

>

>

> On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Hello there,

>

> I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

>

> Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear be based on the removal of hardened criminals,(which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

>

> While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

>

> The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

>

> Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

>

> Mark Harbst

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

From: [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:24:14 AM

Looks good.

Mercedes Fortune, Sergeant
Phoenix Police Department
Public Affairs Bureau
602-534-1437 (desk)
Mercedes.fortune@phoenix.gov

> On May 6, 2017, at 7:12 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>

> Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

>

> Mr. Harbst:

>

> Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

>

> Tom Van Dorn, Commander

> Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

> tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

> O. 602-262-7647

> C.

>

> PRIDE

> Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

> NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

>

>

> On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)> wrote:

>

> Hello there,

>

> I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

>

> Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear be based on the removal of hardened criminals,(which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

>

> While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

>

> The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

>

> Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

>

> Mark Harbst

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

From: Mercedes.Fortune@phoenix.gov
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:24:13 AM

Looks good.

Mercedes Fortune, Sergeant
Phoenix Police Department
Public Affairs Bureau
602-534-1437 (desk)
Mercedes.fortune@phoenix.gov

> On May 6, 2017, at 7:12 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>

> Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

>

> Mr. Harbst:

>

> Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

>

> Tom Van Dorn, Commander

> Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

> tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

> O. 602-262-7647

> C.

>

> PRIDE

> Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

> NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

>

>

> On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](#)> wrote:

>

> Hello there,

>

> I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

>

> Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear be based on the removal of hardened criminals,(which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

>

> While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

>

> The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

>

> Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

>

> Mark Harbst

>

> Sent from my iPhone

>

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Mark Harbst](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:47:41 AM

Mr. Harbst:

Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello there,

I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear to be based on the removal of hardened criminals, (which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

Mark Harbst

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Will Gaona](#)
To: [David Longoria](#)
Cc: [Tony Cani](#)
Subject: RE: 4.48
Date: Friday, July 07, 2017 12:21:29 PM
Attachments: [ACLU 4.48 Concerns.pdf](#)

David,

I was able to put together something brief that hopefully outlines a few of our major areas of concern. Given that things are moving quickly, I wanted to get you something right away so please forgive any typos I may have missed. Let me know if you'd like further clarification on any of these issues.

Best,

Will Gaona

Policy Director

American Civil Liberties Union of Arizona

P.O. Box 17148, Phoenix, AZ 85011-0148

| 602.773.6009 (office) | (cell) | wgaona@acluaz.org

www.acluaz.org



Because Freedom Can't Protect Itself

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Mercedes A Fortune](#)
Cc: [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Re: 4.48
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 7:47:00 AM

Will do! Thanks!

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

On 5/6/17, 7:46 AM, "Jeri L Williams" <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Please push it out. Thanks Mercedes.

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 6, 2017, at 7:24 AM, Mercedes A Fortune <Mercedes.Fortune@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>

> Looks good.

>

> Mercedes Fortune, Sergeant

> Phoenix Police Department

> Public Affairs Bureau

> 602-534-1437 (desk)

> Mercedes.fortune@phoenix.gov

>

>> On May 6, 2017, at 7:12 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

>>

>> Does this response look okay? Pretty much what we've released to various media outlets.

>>

>> Mr. Harbst:

>>

>> Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need. On behalf of the Police Chief, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

>>

>> Tom Van Dorn, Commander

>> Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

>> tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

>> O. 602-262-7647

>> C.

>>

>> PRIDE

>> Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

>> NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

>>

>>

>> On 5/5/17, 7:06 PM, "Mark Harbst" <[REDACTED]@gmail.com> wrote:

>>

>> Hello there,

>>

>> I'm writing today to express my concern for the negative socio-economic impacts that are inherently manifest in the newly dictated enforcement measures of US AG Jeff Sessions.

>>

>> Having seen their willingness to directly threaten or alternately reward PD's across the entire US to achieve goals which do not appear be based on the removal of hardened criminals,(which was supposed to be the intent) it seems at this point that their real agenda is to disrupt communities in ways that create division and sow the seeds of fear and resentment.

>>

>> While this might be good politics for a third world country running an authoritarian dictatorship, the harm to our economy (eroding tax base) and to our democracy may be profound and hard to undo.

>>

>> The request by the ACLU for a meeting with the Phoenix PD to review some of the more problematic procedural mechanisms embodied within 4.48 is not an attack or an attempt to interfere with law local law enforcement; rather it is a good faith effort to work with law enforcement in order to create stronger and more interdependent communities based on trust.

>>

>> Thanks for taking the time to understand our intentions.

>>

>> Mark Harbst

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

From: [Sandra T. Renteria](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 9:09:13 AM

Yes please. We need to see the responses to make sure it is in line with the Chief's message.

Thanks,
Sandra

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Facebook- www.facebook.com/phoenixazpolice
Follow us on Twitter- www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 6:37:41 AM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Sandra T Renteria
Subject: FW: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Director & Chief:

I responded to the letter you received as outlined in my email below. Mr. Clark is a volunteer for the ACLU and has now posed two additional questions. Do you want me to prepare a response to his questions for your review prior to sending? Thanks.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received

this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: ben clark <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 at 2:32 PM
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Dear Commander Van Dorn,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I was at the meeting of the ad hoc subcommittee last Friday, and actually spoke at it. I applaud the subcommittee's decision to recommend that operations order 4.48 be revised and brought into compliance with the rulings of federal courts. My remaining questions are as follows:

1. As the Phoenix Police Department's immigration enforcement guidelines are revised and updated, will this process be open and transparent? That is, will members of the community (and independent legal experts) have an opportunity to review the changes before they are finalized?
2. Would members of the Phoenix Police Department be willing to hold public meetings with community members in order to discuss concerns about immigration enforcement? There continues to be concern that the department's participation in immigration enforcement (and cooperation with ICE) may jeopardize civil rights and create a climate of fear in immigrant communities. I know that law enforcement leaders in other municipalities (e.g. Scottsdale, Glendale) have held public meetings, and am curious if the Phoenix Police Department has plans to do so.

Thank you for your time,

Ben Clark

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:29 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Mr. Clark:

Thank you for your recent letter to Director Marchelle Franklin of the Phoenix Police Department's Community Affairs Division. You have inquired about a future meeting between Police Chief Jeri Williams and Will Gaona from the ACLU to discuss model policies and rules and the potential impact to immigrant communities. The meeting between the Chief and Mr. Gaona is set for May 8th, 2017. Unfortunately, this is not a meeting that will be available to the public.

Concerns from community members and various organizations regarding the Department's current Operations Orders on immigration enforcement have been raised to the Phoenix City Council, City Management and Chief Williams. As a result of those concerns Phoenix Mayor Greg Stanton put together an Ad Hoc subcommittee that heard public testimony. The committee held two separate

meetings and will be making recommendations to the full Phoenix City Council on April 19th, 2017, who will then make a recommendation to the Phoenix City Manager on how to proceed. I hope this helps answer some of your questions and if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. [602-262-7647](tel:602-262-7647)
C.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [ben clark](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 2:32:39 PM

Dear Commander Van Dorn,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I was at the meeting of the ad hoc subcommittee last Friday, and actually spoke at it. I applaud the subcommittee's decision to recommend that operations order 4.48 be revised and brought into compliance with the rulings of federal courts. My remaining questions are as follows:

1. As the Phoenix Police Department's immigration enforcement guidelines are revised and updated, will this process be open and transparent? That is, will members of the community (and independent legal experts) have an opportunity to review the changes before they are finalized?
2. Would members of the Phoenix Police Department be willing to hold public meetings with community members in order to discuss concerns about immigration enforcement? There continues to be concern that the department's participation in immigration enforcement (and cooperation with ICE) may jeopardize civil rights and create a climate of fear in immigrant communities. I know that law enforcement leaders in other municipalities (e.g. Scottsdale, Glendale) have held public meetings, and am curious if the Phoenix Police Department has plans to do so.

Thank you for your time,

Ben Clark

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:29 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Mr. Clark:

Thank you for your recent letter to Director Marchelle Franklin of the Phoenix Police Department's Community Affairs Division. You have inquired about a future meeting between Police Chief Jeri Williams and Will Gaona from the ACLU to discuss model policies and rules and the potential impact to immigrant communities. The meeting between the Chief and Mr. Gaona is set for May 8th, 2017. Unfortunately, this is not a meeting that will be available to the public.

Concerns from community members and various organizations regarding the Department's current Operations Orders on immigration enforcement have been raised to the Phoenix City Council, City Management and Chief Williams. As a result of those concerns Phoenix Mayor Greg Stanton put together an Ad Hoc subcommittee that heard public testimony. The committee held two separate meetings and will be making recommendations to the full Phoenix City Council on April 19th, 2017, who will then make a recommendation to the Phoenix City Manager on how to proceed. I hope this helps

answer some of your questions and if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander

Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

O. [602-262-7647](tel:602-262-7647)

C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Cc: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 9:19:36 AM

Yes pls. Tks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 19, 2017, at 9:09 AM, Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Yes please. We need to see the responses to make sure it is in line with the Chief's message.

Thanks,

Sandra

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief

Support Services Division

Phoenix Police Department

sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov

(602) 262-7392 desk

cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Facebook- www.facebook.com/phoenixazpolice

Follow us on Twitter- www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Thomas G Vandorn

Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 6:37:41 AM

To: Marchelle F Franklin; Sandra T Renteria

Subject: FW: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Director & Chief:

I responded to the letter you received as outlined in my email below. Mr. Clark is a volunteer for the ACLU and has now posed two additional questions. Do you want me to prepare a response to his questions for your review prior to sending? Thanks.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: ben clark <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 at 2:32 PM

To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Dear Commander Van Dorn,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I was at the meeting of the ad hoc subcommittee last Friday, and actually spoke at it. I applaud the subcommittee's decision to recommend that operations order 4.48 be revised and brought into compliance with the rulings of federal courts. My remaining questions are as follows:

1. As the Phoenix Police Department's immigration enforcement guidelines are revised and updated, will this process be open and transparent? That is, will members of the community (and independent legal experts) have an opportunity to review the changes before they are finalized?
2. Would members of the Phoenix Police Department be willing to hold public meetings with community members in order to discuss concerns about immigration enforcement? There continues to be concern that the department's participation in immigration enforcement (and cooperation with ICE) may

jeopardize civil rights and create a climate of fear in immigrant communities. I know that law enforcement leaders in other municipalities (e.g. Scottsdale, Glendale) have held public meetings, and am curious if the Phoenix Police Department has plans to do so.

Thank you for your time,

Ben Clark

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:29 AM, Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Mr. Clark:

Thank you for your recent letter to Director Marchelle Franklin of the Phoenix Police Department's Community Affairs Division. You have inquired about a future meeting between Police Chief Jeri Williams and Will Gaona from the ACLU to discuss model policies and rules and the potential impact to immigrant communities. The meeting between the Chief and Mr. Gaona is set for May 8th, 2017. Unfortunately, this is not a meeting that will be available to the public.

Concerns from community members and various organizations regarding the Department's current Operations Orders on immigration enforcement have been raised to the Phoenix City Council, City Management and Chief Williams. As a result of those concerns Phoenix Mayor Greg Stanton put together an Ad Hoc subcommittee that heard public testimony. The committee held two separate meetings and will be making recommendations to the full Phoenix City Council on April 19th, 2017, who will then make a recommendation to the Phoenix City Manager on how to proceed. I hope this helps answer some of your questions and if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. [602-262-7647](tel:602-262-7647)
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: [ben clark](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: RE: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 2:46:58 PM

Thank you for your response. I will get in touch with Mr. Gaona, and also Mr. Zeurcher, the city manager.

On Apr 19, 2017 4:50 PM, "Thomas G Vandorn" <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Mr. Clark:

Thank you again for your follow up questions. Chief Williams firmly believes in transparency with our community. It is anticipated the City Council will adopt and make recommendations to the City Manager, and the City Manager and the Chief will then have discussions on how to proceed. Until that transpires I am unable to answer your questions. If you have additional concerns you'd like to bring forward to Chief Williams, I encourage you to get with Mr. Gaona of the ACLU as they are meeting in the near future. Thank you.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander

Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

O. [602-262-7647](tel:602-262-7647)

C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: ben clark [mailto:[\[REDACTED\]@gmail.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com)]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 2:33 PM
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Dear Commander Van Dorn,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I was at the meeting of the ad hoc subcommittee last Friday, and actually spoke at it. I applaud the subcommittee's decision to recommend that operations order 4.48 be revised and brought into compliance with the rulings of federal courts. My remaining questions are as follows:

1. As the Phoenix Police Department's immigration enforcement guidelines are revised and updated, will this process be open and transparent? That is, will members of the community (and independent legal experts) have an opportunity to review the changes before they are finalized?

2. Would members of the Phoenix Police Department be willing to hold public meetings with community members in order to discuss concerns about immigration enforcement? There continues to be concern that the department's participation in immigration enforcement (and cooperation with ICE) may jeopardize civil rights and create a climate of fear in immigrant communities. I know that law enforcement leaders in other municipalities (e.g. Scottsdale, Glendale) have held public meetings, and am curious if the Phoenix Police Department has plans to do so.

Thank you for your time,

Ben Clark

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:29 AM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Mr. Clark:

Thank you for your recent letter to Director Marchelle Franklin of the Phoenix Police Department's Community Affairs Division. You have inquired about a future meeting between Police Chief Jeri

Williams and Will Gaona from the ACLU to discuss model policies and rules and the potential impact to immigrant communities. The meeting between the Chief and Mr. Gaona is set for May 8th, 2017. Unfortunately, this is not a meeting that will be available to the public.

Concerns from community members and various organizations regarding the Department's current Operations Orders on immigration enforcement have been raised to the Phoenix City Council, City Management and Chief Williams. As a result of those concerns Phoenix Mayor Greg Stanton put together an Ad Hoc subcommittee that heard public testimony. The committee held two separate meetings and will be making recommendations to the full Phoenix City Council on April 19th, 2017, who will then make a recommendation to the Phoenix City Manager on how to proceed. I hope this helps answer some of your questions and if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander

Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus

tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov

O. [602-262-7647](tel:602-262-7647)

C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Cc: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules
Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 9:19:35 AM

Yes pls. Tks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 19, 2017, at 9:09 AM, Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Yes please. We need to see the responses to make sure it is in line with the Chief's message.

Thanks,

Sandra

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief

Support Services Division

Phoenix Police Department

sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov

(602) 262-7392 desk

cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Facebook- www.facebook.com/phoenixazpolice

Follow us on Twitter- www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Thomas G Vandorn

Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 6:37:41 AM

To: Marchelle F Franklin; Sandra T Renteria

Subject: FW: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Director & Chief:

I responded to the letter you received as outlined in my email below. Mr. Clark is a volunteer for the ACLU and has now posed two additional questions. Do you want me to prepare a response to his questions for your review prior to sending? Thanks.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureau
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: ben clark <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 at 2:32 PM

To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>

Subject: Re: ACLU Nine Model State & Local Law Enforcement Policies and Rules

Dear Commander Van Dorn,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I was at the meeting of the ad hoc subcommittee last Friday, and actually spoke at it. I applaud the subcommittee's decision to recommend that operations order 4.48 be revised and brought into compliance with the rulings of federal courts. My remaining questions are as follows:

1. As the Phoenix Police Department's immigration enforcement guidelines are revised and updated, will this process be open and transparent? That is, will members of the community (and independent legal experts) have an opportunity to review the changes before they are finalized?
2. Would members of the Phoenix Police Department be willing to hold public meetings with community members in order to discuss concerns about immigration enforcement? There continues to be concern that the department's participation in immigration enforcement (and cooperation with ICE) may

jeopardize civil rights and create a climate of fear in immigrant communities. I know that law enforcement leaders in other municipalities (e.g. Scottsdale, Glendale) have held public meetings, and am curious if the Phoenix Police Department has plans to do so.

Thank you for your time,

Ben Clark

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:29 AM, Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Mr. Clark:

Thank you for your recent letter to Director Marchelle Franklin of the Phoenix Police Department's Community Affairs Division. You have inquired about a future meeting between Police Chief Jeri Williams and Will Gaona from the ACLU to discuss model policies and rules and the potential impact to immigrant communities. The meeting between the Chief and Mr. Gaona is set for May 8th, 2017. Unfortunately, this is not a meeting that will be available to the public.

Concerns from community members and various organizations regarding the Department's current Operations Orders on immigration enforcement have been raised to the Phoenix City Council, City Management and Chief Williams. As a result of those concerns Phoenix Mayor Greg Stanton put together an Ad Hoc subcommittee that heard public testimony. The committee held two separate meetings and will be making recommendations to the full Phoenix City Council on April 19th, 2017, who will then make a recommendation to the Phoenix City Manager on how to proceed. I hope this helps answer some of your questions and if I can be of further assistance, please feel free to contact me.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. [602-262-7647](tel:602-262-7647)
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

to catch violent criminals,” Stanton added. “It’s important for Phoenix schools to focus on education, not immigration, and that we protect the civil rights of all Phoenix residents.”

The first recommendation regarding police operations orders passed by a vote of 6-3. The remaining recommendations passed 7-2.

From: [Adam Estle](#)
To: [Roy Minter](#)
Cc: [Jeri L. Williams](#)
Subject: RE: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 2:45:20 PM

Sounds great. Thanks for the flexibility!

Adam Estle

Director of Field and Constituencies

National Immigration Forum

office: 202-383-1312

cell:

From: Roy Minter [mailto:Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:43 PM
To: Adam Estle <aestle@immigrationforum.org>
Cc: Jeri Williams <Jeri.L.Williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Adam,

I think we would both prefer an in-person meeting. I'll check to see what other dates work for Chief Williams.

Roy

From: Adam Estle [mailto:aestle@immigrationforum.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 1:39 PM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: RE: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Hey Chief,

Thanks so much for this! Got your VM as well, and have been in meetings.

I'll actually be in DC then, headed to BWI to come back home. So I could do a phone conversation then, but would be wide open to meet in person the next two weeks, if that might work for Jeri.

Let me know!

Adam Estle

Director of Field and Constituencies

National Immigration Forum

office: 202-383-1312

cell:

From: Roy Minter [mailto:Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:33 PM

To: Adam Estle <aestle@immigrationforum.org>
Subject: FW: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Adam,

Chief Williams would like to meet on June 16th to discuss the task force. Are you available on this date?

Roy

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 11:49 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Or Friday the 16th at 2:00

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 10:08 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Ok

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:58 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015

Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png>[CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. *Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.*

**Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive
– Innovative – Accountable**

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ. **THANK YOU** to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

[Rrstar.com](#) (Illinois): [Winnebago County](#)

[sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

**THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK
Federal**

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.”

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out.

TPS [allows](#) another country's nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire

requests the applicant's social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States. In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S. "unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place." As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president's power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.

Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old. Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana’s offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law. In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-‘Sanctuary’ Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full

compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House

Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center (HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines. What hasn’t changed much is Mr. Smith’s hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith’s working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

“Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts,” said Mark Prosser, the police chief. “We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you want: a dying community or one that has growth?”

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina's Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town's inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure. "A lot of different communities are living together," said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina's until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn't finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live "a good life."

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn't begrudge them the work.

"I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who's trying to make a better life for themselves," he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. "They're just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am."

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn't the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers' stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn’t complain if they had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,”

said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by.

Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying:

“This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake, the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is

expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers.

With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

“I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams.

Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design. Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the

first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake’s lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary’s Church in Storm Lake in 2013. “I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly,” he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

“Though some people still feel, ‘This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,’” he said, for most of the population, “there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now.”

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump’s statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). “I love my town,” Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend’s have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers’ daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times.

“Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families,” he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. “Those kids are our future.”

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating. Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com](#) (Illinois): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center

<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago-county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center>

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted

efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals.

Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word.

Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.

- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."

- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-upon parameters.

- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.

- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center

opponents that “the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,”

“Whether you agree or disagree, I’m going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn’t do this in secret,” Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration.

Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally.

Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented

immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump's first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period.

Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would "reconstruct" his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement. Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a "show me your papers" law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some

ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve. Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike. “What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!” Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-per-cent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area,

even though the crime rate itself hasn't declined. "That does not bode well," he said. "Community policing is something that's been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It's resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I'm not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers."

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, "There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren't serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn't always bite." That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn't do ICE's bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. "We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows," she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total "crackdown" on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor's call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities "sanctuaries." More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—

when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn't been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General's answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they'd left feeling reassured. "I walked out of that meeting saying, 'O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,' " Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn't; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President's executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona's. She told me that she sees Texas's law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ice, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. "The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs' and sheriffs' throats," Wang said. "It's stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety." Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. "Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things," he told me. "Now they're turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state."

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S.

Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out

President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes. It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless.

For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks.

The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration

will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

“While the Executive Order’s definition of ‘sanctuary jurisdiction’ is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department’s ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions,” Sessions wrote. Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

“Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department’s law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence,” Sessions wrote. “Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration.” For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump’s sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions’ new memorandum doesn’t fix the deeper problems with his department’s directives.

“This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order,” said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. “To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented.”

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump’s Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make “sanctuary cities” explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. “This not even a political issue,” says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. “This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term “sanctuary cities,” as I’ve [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement’s (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who’s booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from “sanctuary cities.” It defined these as jurisdictions that “willfully violate” a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person’s immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order.

In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine “sanctuary” jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let’s scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current

form, constitutes “commandeering,”—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn’t say is also important here—it doesn’t say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn’t say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

“1373 itself prevents ‘don’t tell’ policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can’t stop them from doing it,” Su says. “But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented ‘don’t ask,’ and ‘don’t use municipal resources’ policies.”

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can’t just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation. In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. “What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373,” [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. “Basically what they’re saying is, under current law, we can’t actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we’re just going to change the law.” Here’s the TL;DR version:

1. The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
2. In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments

- can't stop law enforcement from collecting it.
3. It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
 4. Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn't restrict any "government entity" from sharing information.)
 5. Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It "exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things," Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop.

"This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers," Su says. "It's a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy."

And here's another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, "Hey, you can't stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us," that prohibition doesn't mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. "A ban on a ban doesn't necessarily mean authority to do something," Su says, especially since cities are "creatures of the state"—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can't force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president's desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal

backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren't just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump's biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

“One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn't going to get to her matter for another week,” Chandler recalls. “She paused and said ‘Are you going to report me to ICE?’ In the past 19 years, I haven't had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared.”

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America's undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that's by design. One of President Trump's first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: [Jeri L. Williams](#)
To: [Roy Minter](#)
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:57:56 AM
Attachments: [image.png](#)
[image001.png](#)

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.

Chief of Police

Peoria Police Department

8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.

Peoria, Arizona 85345

Office: 623-773-7059

Fax: 623-773-7015

Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png> [CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. *Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.*

**Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive – Innovative –
Accountable**

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas. If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

Rrstar.com (Illinois): [Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK
Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS
During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security

Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.” In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country’s nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant’s social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States. In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S. “unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.” As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from

March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president's power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.

Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered "aggravated felonies" triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old.

Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that "sexual abuse of a minor" encompasses "only egregious felonies" under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana's offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law.

In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to "unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger." California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-'Sanctuary' Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).

Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a "sanctuary city" and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and

the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans

Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) (9 cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center (HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the

plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines. What hasn't changed much is Mr. Smith's hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith's working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred. [Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at

the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you want: a dying community or one that has growth?”

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina's Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town's inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

“A lot of different communities are living together,” said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina's until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn't finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live “a good life.”

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn't begrudge them the work.

“I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who's trying to make a better life for themselves,” he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. “They're just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am.” Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn't the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers' stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn't complain if they

had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,” said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line. Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by. Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake, the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers.

With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

“I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams.

Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer. The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons.

Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake's lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary's Church in Storm Lake in 2013. "I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly," he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

"Though some people still feel, 'This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,'" he said, for most of the population, "there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now."

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump's statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). "I love my town," Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend's have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers' daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. "Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families," he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. "Those kids are our future."

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating.

Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com](#) (Illinois): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center

<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago-county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center>

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward. The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people

who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals.

Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word. Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-upon parameters.
- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.
- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that "the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,"

"Whether you agree or disagree, I'm going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn't do this in secret," Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration.

Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally.

Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision. Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump’s first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would “reconstruct” his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas’s New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a “show me your papers” law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas’s law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state’s law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve. Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike. “What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call

in what they see!” Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-per-cent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said. “Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren’t serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn’t always bite.” That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn’t do ice’s bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. “We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows,” she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total “crackdown” on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor’s call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities “sanctuaries.” More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn’t been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General’s answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they’d left feeling reassured. “I walked out of that meeting saying, ‘O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,’ ” Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn’t; neither did the [ruling](#) of a

federal judge, who blocked the President's executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona's. She told me that she sees Texas's law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ICE, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. "The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs' and sheriffs' throats," Wang said. "It's stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety." Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. "Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things," he told me. "Now they're turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state."

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless. For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks.

The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term “sanctuary jurisdictions” used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that “willfully refuse” to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) – which simply says that jurisdictions “may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual.”

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE’s behalf.

The memo isn’t a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it’s not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it’s significant: The attorney general [has repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn’t like, they’ll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

“While the Executive Order’s definition of ‘sanctuary jurisdiction’ is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department’s ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions,”

Sessions wrote.

Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

“Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department’s law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence,” Sessions wrote. “Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration.”

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump’s sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions’ new memorandum doesn’t fix the deeper problems with his department’s directives.

“This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order,” said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. “To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented.”

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump’s Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget->

[immigration-enforcement/527952/](#)

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order.

In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine "sanctuary" jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let's scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes "commandeering,"—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn't say is also important here—it doesn't say that law

enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn't say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

"1373 itself prevents 'don't tell' policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can't stop them from doing it," Su says. "But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented 'don't ask,' and 'don't use municipal resources' policies."

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can't just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation. In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. "What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373," [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. "Basically what they're saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law."

Here's the TL;DR version:

1. The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
2. In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can't stop law enforcement from collecting it.
3. It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
4. Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn't restrict any "government entity" from sharing information.)
5. Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It "exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things," Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be,

consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop.

“This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.”

And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn’t necessarily mean authority to do something,” Su says, especially since cities are “creatures of the state”—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can’t force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president’s desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren’t just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump’s biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

“One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn’t going to get to her matter for another week,” Chandler recalls. “She paused and said ‘Are you going to report me to ICE?’ In the past 19 years, I haven’t had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared.”

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America’s undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that’s by design. One of President Trump’s first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: [Roy Minter](#)
To: ["Adam Estle"](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: RE: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 1:56:14 PM

Adam,

I think we would both prefer an in-person meeting. I'll check to see what other dates work for Chief Williams.

Roy

From: Adam Estle [mailto:aestle@immigrationforum.org]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 1:39 PM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: RE: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Hey Chief,

Thanks so much for this! Got your VM as well, and have been in meetings.

I'll actually be in DC then, headed to BWI to come back home. So I could do a phone conversation then, but would be wide open to meet in person the next two weeks, if that might work for Jeri.

Let me know!

Adam Estle
Director of Field and Constituencies
National Immigration Forum
office: 202-383-1312
cell:

From: Roy Minter [mailto:Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:33 PM
To: Adam Estle <aestle@immigrationforum.org>
Subject: FW: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Adam,

Chief Williams would like to meet on June 16th to discuss the task force. Are you available on this date?

Roy

From: Jeri L Williams [mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 11:49 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Or Friday the 16th at 2:00

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 10:08 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Ok

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:58 AM

To: Roy Minter

Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.

Chief of Police

Peoria Police Department

8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.

Peoria, Arizona 85345

Office: 623-773-7059

Fax: 623-773-7015

Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png> [CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. *Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.*

**Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive
– Innovative – Accountable**

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)
THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK
Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.”

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out.

TPS [allows](#) another country’s nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant’s social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States. In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S. “unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.” As a result of this policy, advocates believe the

number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president's power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.

Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes

are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old. Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana’s offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law. In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-‘Sanctuary’ Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and

Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the

Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center (HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner,

Customs and Border Protection
Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border
Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

**NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa
Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing**

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines. What hasn’t changed much is Mr. Smith’s hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour. The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith’s working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town’s grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from

Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you want: a dying community or one that has growth?"

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina's Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town's inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

“A lot of different communities are living together,” said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina’s until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn’t finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live “a good life.”

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn’t begrudge them the work.

“I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who’s trying to make a better life for themselves,” he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. “They’re just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am.”

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn’t the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers’ stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn’t complain if they had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was

lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,” said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#). [Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line. Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by. Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.” Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign. In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake, the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in

1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers.

With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake's upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

"I was so blessed to get into Tyson," he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. "I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, 'There is free money going around.'"

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams.

Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

"It's very hard," Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. "I'm still not used to the cold." At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms.

Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design. Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

"That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders," said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was "an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we've chosen."

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town's location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and

tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake’s lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary’s Church in Storm Lake in 2013. “I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly,” he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

“Though some people still feel, ‘This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,’” he said, for most of the population, “there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now.”

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump’s statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they

were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). “I love my town,” Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend’s have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers’ daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times.

“Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families,” he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. “Those kids are our future.”

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating.

Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com](http://www.rrstar.com) (Illinois): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center

<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago-county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center>

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region’s immigrant population.

“Thank God it didn’t pass,” said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, “We are not the answer for the county budget.”

“Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It’s bad,” she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged

him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals.

Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word. Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-upon parameters.
- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.
- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that "the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,"

"Whether you agree or disagree, I'm going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn't do this in secret," Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration.

Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living

in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally.

Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump’s first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period.

Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would “reconstruct” his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement. Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a “show me your papers” law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to

reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve. Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike. “What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!” Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-per-cent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said. “Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this

bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren't serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn't always bite." That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn't do ice's bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. "We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows," she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total "crackdown" on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor's call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities "sanctuaries." More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn't been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General's answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they'd left feeling reassured. "I walked out of that meeting saying, 'O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,'" Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn't; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President's executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the

A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona's. She told me that she sees Texas's law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ICE, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. "The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs' and sheriffs' throats," Wang said. "It's stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety." Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. "Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things," he told me. "Now they're turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state."

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S.

Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case,

Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless.

For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks.

The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) – which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

"While the Executive Order's definition of 'sanctuary jurisdiction' is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department's ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions," Sessions wrote. Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

“Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department’s law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence,” Sessions wrote. “Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration.” For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump’s sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions’ new memorandum doesn’t fix the deeper problems with his department’s directives. “This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order,” said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. “To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented.”

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump’s Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make “sanctuary cities” explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. “This not even a political issue,” says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. “This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term “sanctuary cities,” as I’ve [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement’s (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who’s booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from “sanctuary cities.” It defined these as jurisdictions that “willfully violate” a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person’s immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order.

In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine “sanctuary” jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let’s scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes “commandeering,”—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn’t say is also important here—it doesn’t say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn’t say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

“1373 itself prevents ‘don’t tell’ policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can’t stop them from doing it,” Su says. “But what many

localities did to write around it is that they implemented 'don't ask,' and 'don't use municipal resources' policies.”

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can't just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation. In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. “What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373,” [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. “Basically what they're saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law.” Here's the TL;DR version:

1. The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
2. In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can't stop law enforcement from collecting it.
3. It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
4. Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn't restrict any “government entity” from sharing information.)
5. Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It “exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that

it could potentially cover a lot more things,” Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop.

“This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.”

And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn’t necessarily mean authority to do something,” Su says, especially since cities are “creatures of the state”—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can’t force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president’s desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren’t just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump’s

biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

“One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn’t going to get to her matter for another week,” Chandler recalls. “She paused and said ‘Are you going to report me to ICE?’ In the past 19 years, I haven’t had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared.”

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America’s undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that’s by design. One of President Trump’s first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Roy Minter](#)
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:57:54 AM

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.

Chief of Police

Peoria Police Department

8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.

Peoria, Arizona 85345

Office: 623-773-7059

Fax: 623-773-7015

Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png>[CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. *Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.*

**Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive – Innovative –
Accountable**

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage

Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas. If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

Rrstar.com (Illinois): [Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of

the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.” In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country’s nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant’s social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States. In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S. “unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.” As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals

for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president's power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.

Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered "aggravated felonies" triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old.

Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that "sexual abuse of a minor" encompasses "only egregious felonies" under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana's offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law.

In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to "unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger." California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-'Sanctuary' Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a "sanctuary city" and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders.

House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)
5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas
5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.
The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center (HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines. What hasn't changed much is Mr. Smith's hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith's working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred. [Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you want: a

dying community or one that has growth?”

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina’s Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town’s inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

“A lot of different communities are living together,” said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina’s until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn’t finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live “a good life.”

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn’t begrudge them the work.

“I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who’s trying to make a better life for themselves,” he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. “They’re just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am.”

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn’t the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers’ stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn’t complain if they had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,” said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line. Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by. Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake, the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers.

With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

“I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand

Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams.

Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer. The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons.

Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake. Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake’s lead, though

the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary's Church in Storm Lake in 2013. "I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly," he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

"Though some people still feel, 'This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,'" he said, for most of the population, "there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now."

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump's statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). "I love my town," Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend's have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers' daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. "Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families," he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. "Those kids are our future."

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating.

Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com](#) (Illinois): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center

<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago-county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center>

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward. The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford

region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals.

Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word. Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-upon parameters.
- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.
- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that "the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,"

"Whether you agree or disagree, I'm going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn't do this in secret," Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration.

Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

"There's definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant," she said.

“Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally.

Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision. Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump’s first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would “reconstruct” his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas’s New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a “show me your papers” law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas’s law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state’s law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve. Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike. “What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!” Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a

federal immigration raids, there's been a forty-two-per-cent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn't declined. "That does not bode well," he said. "Community policing is something that's been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It's resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I'm not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers."

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, "There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren't serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn't always bite." That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn't do ice's bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. "We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows," she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total "crackdown" on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor's call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities "sanctuaries." More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn't been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General's answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they'd left feeling reassured. "I walked out of that meeting saying, 'O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,'" Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn't; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President's executive order for unfairly

punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona's. She told me that she sees Texas's law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ICE, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. "The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs' and sheriffs' throats," Wang said. "It's stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety." Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. "Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things," he told me. "Now they're turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state."

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless.

For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks.

The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary

jurisdictions” used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that “willfully refuse” to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) – which simply says that jurisdictions “may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual.”

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE’s behalf.

The memo isn’t a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it’s not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it’s significant: The attorney general [has repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn’t like, they’ll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

“While the Executive Order’s definition of ‘sanctuary jurisdiction’ is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department’s ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions,” Sessions wrote.

Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

“Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department’s law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence,” Sessions wrote. “Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration.”

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump’s sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions’ new memorandum doesn’t fix the deeper problems with his department’s directives.

“This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order,” said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. “To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented.”

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump’s Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order.

In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine "sanctuary" jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let's scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes "commandeering,"—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn't say is also important here—it doesn't say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept

detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn't say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

"1373 itself prevents 'don't tell' policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can't stop them from doing it," Su says. "But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented 'don't ask,' and 'don't use municipal resources' policies."

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can't just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. "What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373," [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. "Basically what they're saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law."

Here's the TL;DR version:

1. The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
2. In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can't stop law enforcement from collecting it.
3. It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
4. Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn't restrict any "government entity" from sharing information.)
5. Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It "exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things," Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street.

But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop.

“This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.”

And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn’t necessarily mean authority to do something,” Su says, especially since cities are “creatures of the state”—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can’t force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president’s desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren’t just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump’s biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

“One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn’t going to get to her matter for another week,” Chandler recalls. “She paused and said ‘Are you going to report me to ICE?’ In the past 19 years, I haven’t had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared.”

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America’s undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that’s by design. One of President Trump’s first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan

Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: Roy Minter
To: Jeri L. Williams
Subject: RE: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 11:12:31 AM
Attachments: image001.png
image001.png

You can reach me on my cell at 11:30 at

From: Roy Minter
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 7:44 AM
To: Jeri Williams
Subject: FW: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015
Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov



CALA accredited since 1997.



This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.

Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive – Innovative – Accountable

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update



LAW ENFORCEMENT IMMIGRATION TASK FORCE

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- o Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- o Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- o Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

Rrstar.com (Illinois): [Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status \(TPS\)](#) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be "open-ended."

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country's nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant's social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States. In accordance with that guidance,

refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S. “unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.” As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

According to reports, recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and **restore** the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. **Supporters** of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president's power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while **other organizations** say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its **full nine justices**, and the Trump administration will need at least five justices to **permit** the ban to take effect.

Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old. Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana's offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law.

In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-Sanctuary Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

House Bill 676, a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was **discriminatory** and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

H.R. 2751

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) (**2** cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

H.R. 2752

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) (**2** cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

H.R. 2759

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) (**9** cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

H.R. 2760

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) (**8** cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

H.R. 2761

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) (**9** cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center (HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines. What hasn't changed much is Mr.

Smith's hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith's working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many **rural towns struggling for survival**. In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than **88 percent of the state's population** is non-Hispanic white, less than half of **Storm Lake's is**. Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

Steve King, the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you want: a dying community or one that has growth?"

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina's Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town's inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

"A lot of different communities are living together," said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina's until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn't finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live "a good life." Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn't begrudge them the work.

"I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who's trying to make a better life for themselves," he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. "They're just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am."

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn't the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers' stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union. At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

"They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn't complain if they had a sore arm," Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. "There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates," said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, "New starting pay" — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state's \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by. Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, "I don't think you could get white guys."

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: "This guy is not going to last as long. He's young and he's not going to work hard."

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake, the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to "pay workers enough to retain them or attract them."

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a "first rung on the American ladder to success."

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers.

With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake's upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

"I was so blessed to get into Tyson," he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. "I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, 'There is free money going around.'"

The work, though, can feed drugery as well as dreams.

Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

"It's very hard," Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. "I'm still not used to the cold." At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

"That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders," said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was "an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we've chosen."

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town's location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

"There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change," said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. "At first, people born and raised here felt, 'We want it to go back to the way it was.'"

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don't bother to lock their doors.

"We've had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years," Mr. Prosser said. "But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they've embraced it."

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn't want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake's lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary's Church in Storm Lake in 2013. "I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly," he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

"Though some people still feel, 'This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,'" he said, for most of the population, "there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now."

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump's statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciela Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). "I love my town," Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend's have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers' daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. "Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families," he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. "Those kids are our future."

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating.

Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

Rrstar.com (Illinois): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center

<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago-county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center>

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals.

Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word. Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-upon parameters.
- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.
- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that "the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,"

"Whether you agree or disagree, I'm going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn't do this in secret," Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration.

Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

"There's definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant," she said. "Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement." Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Domínguez-Martínez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally. Domínguez-Martínez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana's decision.

"People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good," Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump's first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would "reconstruct" his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a "show me your papers" law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. "No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers," they began, but this bill was "political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous." In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they've done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. "It's kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement," the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. "My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency's work," he said. "If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can't stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It's crazy."

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. "We want people to report crime, whether they're a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status," he said. If individuals are scared they'll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve. Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike. "What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?" he said. "And now they don't call in what they see!" Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there's been a forty-two-per-cent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn't declined. "That does not bode well," he said. "Community policing is something that's been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It's resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I'm not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers."

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, "There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren't serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn't always bite." That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn't do ice's bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. "We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows," she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total "crackdown" on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor's call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities "sanctuaries." More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn't been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General's answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they'd left feeling reassured. "I walked out of that meeting saying, 'O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,'" Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn't; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President's executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecilia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona's. She told me that she sees Texas's law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ice, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. "The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs' and sheriffs' throats," Wang said. "It's stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety."

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. "Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things," he told me. "Now they're turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state."

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump's](#) executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless.

For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks.

The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

"While the Executive Order's definition of 'sanctuary jurisdiction' is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department's ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions," Sessions wrote.

Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

"Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department's law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence," Sessions wrote. "Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration."

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump's sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions' new memorandum doesn't fix the deeper problems with his department's directives.

"This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order," said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. "To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented."

CITY LAB (From The Atlantic): Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration

enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order. In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine "sanctuary" jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let's scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes "commandeering,"—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn't say is also important here—it doesn't say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn't say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

"1373 itself prevents 'don't tell' policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can't stop them from doing it," Su says. "But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented 'don't ask,' and 'don't use municipal resources' policies."

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can't just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. "What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373," [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. "Basically what they're saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law."

Here's the TL;DR version:

1. The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
2. In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can't stop law enforcement from collecting it.
3. It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
4. Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn't restrict any "government entity" from sharing information.)
5. Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It "exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things," Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop.

"This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers," Su says. "It's a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy."

And here's another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, "Hey, you can't stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us," that prohibition doesn't mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. "A ban on a ban doesn't necessarily mean authority to do something," Su says, especially since cities are "creatures of the state"—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can't force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president's desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren't just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump's biggest domestic priorities: immigration.

During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

"One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn't going to get to her matter for another week," Chandler recalls. "She paused and said 'Are you going to report me to ICE?' In the past 19 years, I haven't had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared."

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America's undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that's by design. One of President Trump's first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Roy Minter](#)
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 11:48:34 AM

Or Friday the 16th at 2:00

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 10:08 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Ok

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:58 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015
Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png>[CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.

Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive –
Innovative – Accountable

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't](#)

Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

Kiro 7 (Washington): Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.”

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country's nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant's social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States.

In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S.

“unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.”

As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records.

In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president’s power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will

need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.
Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old.

Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana’s offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law. In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-‘Sanctuary’ Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when

those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President’s FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center

(HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines.

What hasn’t changed much is Mr. Smith’s hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith’s working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you

want: a dying community or one that has growth?”

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina’s Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town’s inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

“A lot of different communities are living together,” said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina’s until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn’t finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live “a good life.”

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn’t begrudge them the work.

“I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who’s trying to make a better life for themselves,” he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. “They’re just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am.”

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn’t the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers’ stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its

workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn’t complain if they had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,” said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by.

Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake,

the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers. With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

“I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams. Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake’s lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary’s Church in

Storm Lake in 2013. “I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly,” he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

“Though some people still feel, ‘This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,’” he said, for most of the population, “there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now.”

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump’s statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). “I love my town,” Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend’s have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers’ daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. “Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families,” he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. “Those kids are our future.”

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating. Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)
<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago->

[county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center](#)

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals. Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word.

Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-

upon parameters.

- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.

- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that “the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,”

“Whether you agree or disagree, I’m going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn’t do this in secret,” Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration. Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally. Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump's first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would "reconstruct" his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a "show me your papers" law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one

overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve.

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike.

“What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented

nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!”

Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-percent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said.

“Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren’t serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn’t always bite.” That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn’t do ice’s bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. “We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows,” she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total “crackdown” on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor’s call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney

General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities “sanctuaries.” More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn’t been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General’s answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they’d left feeling reassured. “I walked out of that meeting saying, ‘O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,’ ” Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn’t; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President’s executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona’s. She told me that she sees Texas’s law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ice, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. “The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs’ and sheriffs’ throats,” Wang said. “It’s stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety.” Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. “Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things,” he told me. “Now they’re turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state.”

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can’t Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most ‘Sanctuary’ Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law

enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless. For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks. The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has](#)

[repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

"While the Executive Order's definition of 'sanctuary jurisdiction' is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department's ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions," Sessions wrote. Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

"Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department's law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence," Sessions wrote. "Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration."

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump's sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions' new memorandum doesn't fix the deeper problems with his department's directives.

"This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order," said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. "To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented."

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal

pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order. In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine “sanctuary” jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let’s scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes “commandeering,”—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn’t say is also important here—it doesn’t say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn’t say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

“1373 itself prevents ‘don’t tell’ policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can’t stop them from doing it,” Su says. “But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented ‘don’t ask,’ and ‘don’t use municipal resources’ policies.”

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can’t just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. “What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373,” [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. “Basically what they’re

saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law.” Here’s the TL;DR version:

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can’t stop law enforcement from collecting it.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn’t restrict any “government entity” from sharing information.)
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It “exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things,” Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop. “This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.” And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn't necessarily mean

authority to do something," Su says, especially since cities are "creatures of the state"—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can't force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president's desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren't just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump's biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

"One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn't going to get to her matter for another week," Chandler recalls. "She paused and said 'Are you going to report me to ICE?' In the past 19 years, I haven't had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared."

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America's undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that's by design. One of President Trump's first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Roy Minter](#)
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 11:48:32 AM

Or Friday the 16th at 2:00

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 10:08 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Ok

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:58 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015
Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png>[CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.

Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive –
Innovative – Accountable

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't](#)

[Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.”

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country's nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant's social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States.

In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S.

“unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.”

As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president’s power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will

need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.
Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old.

Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana’s offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law. In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-‘Sanctuary’ Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when

those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center

(HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines.

What hasn’t changed much is Mr. Smith’s hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith’s working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you

want: a dying community or one that has growth?”

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina’s Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town’s inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

“A lot of different communities are living together,” said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina’s until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn’t finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live “a good life.”

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn’t begrudge them the work.

“I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who’s trying to make a better life for themselves,” he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. “They’re just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am.”

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn’t the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers’ stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its

workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn’t complain if they had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,” said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by.

Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake,

the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers. With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

“I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams. Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake’s lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary’s Church in

Storm Lake in 2013. “I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly,” he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

“Though some people still feel, ‘This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,’” he said, for most of the population, “there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now.”

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump’s statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). “I love my town,” Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend’s have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers’ daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. “Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families,” he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. “Those kids are our future.”

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating. Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)
<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago->

[county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center](#)

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals. Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word.

Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-

upon parameters.

- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.

- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that “the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,”

“Whether you agree or disagree, I’m going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn’t do this in secret,” Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration. Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally. Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump's first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would "reconstruct" his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a "show me your papers" law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one

overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve.

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike.

“What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented

nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!”

Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-percent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said.

“Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren’t serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn’t always bite.” That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn’t do ice’s bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. “We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows,” she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total “crackdown” on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor’s call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney

General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities “sanctuaries.” More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn’t been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General’s answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they’d left feeling reassured. “I walked out of that meeting saying, ‘O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,’ ” Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn’t; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President’s executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona’s. She told me that she sees Texas’s law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ice, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. “The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs’ and sheriffs’ throats,” Wang said. “It’s stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety.” Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. “Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things,” he told me. “Now they’re turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state.”

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can’t Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most ‘Sanctuary’ Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law

enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless. For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks. The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has](#)

[repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

"While the Executive Order's definition of 'sanctuary jurisdiction' is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department's ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions," Sessions wrote. Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

"Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department's law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence," Sessions wrote. "Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration."

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump's sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions' new memorandum doesn't fix the deeper problems with his department's directives.

"This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order," said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. "To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented."

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal

pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order. In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine “sanctuary” jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let’s scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes “commandeering,”—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn’t say is also important here—it doesn’t say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn’t say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

“1373 itself prevents ‘don’t tell’ policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can’t stop them from doing it,” Su says. “But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented ‘don’t ask,’ and ‘don’t use municipal resources’ policies.”

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can’t just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. “What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373,” [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. “Basically what they’re

saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law.” Here’s the TL;DR version:

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can’t stop law enforcement from collecting it.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn’t restrict any “government entity” from sharing information.)
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It “exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things,” Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop. “This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.” And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn't necessarily mean

authority to do something," Su says, especially since cities are "creatures of the state"—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can't force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president's desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren't just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump's biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

"One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn't going to get to her matter for another week," Chandler recalls. "She paused and said 'Are you going to report me to ICE?' In the past 19 years, I haven't had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared."

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America's undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that's by design. One of President Trump's first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Roy Minter](#)
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 11:47:46 AM

What about Tuesday at 3:00?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 10:08 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Ok

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:58 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015
Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png>[CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.

Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive –
Innovative – Accountable

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't](#)

[Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.”

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country's nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant's social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States.

In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S.

“unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.”

As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records.

In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president’s power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will

need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.
Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old.

Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana’s offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law. In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-‘Sanctuary’ Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when

those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President’s FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center

(HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines.

What hasn’t changed much is Mr. Smith’s hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith’s working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you

want: a dying community or one that has growth?”

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina’s Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town’s inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

“A lot of different communities are living together,” said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina’s until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn’t finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live “a good life.”

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn’t begrudge them the work.

“I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who’s trying to make a better life for themselves,” he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. “They’re just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am.”

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn’t the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers’ stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its

workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn’t complain if they had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,” said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by.

Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake,

the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers. With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

“I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams. Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake’s lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary’s Church in

Storm Lake in 2013. “I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly,” he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

“Though some people still feel, ‘This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,’” he said, for most of the population, “there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now.”

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump’s statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). “I love my town,” Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend’s have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers’ daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. “Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families,” he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. “Those kids are our future.”

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating. Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com](http://www.rrstar.com) (Illinois): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center
<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago->

[county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center](#)

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals. Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word.

Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-

upon parameters.

- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.

- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that “the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,”

“Whether you agree or disagree, I’m going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn’t do this in secret,” Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration. Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally.

Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump's first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would "reconstruct" his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a "show me your papers" law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one

overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve.

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike.

“What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented

nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!”

Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-percent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said.

“Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren’t serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn’t always bite.” That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn’t do ice’s bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. “We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows,” she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total “crackdown” on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor’s call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney

General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities “sanctuaries.” More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn’t been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General’s answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they’d left feeling reassured. “I walked out of that meeting saying, ‘O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,’ ” Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn’t; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President’s executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona’s. She told me that she sees Texas’s law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ice, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. “The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs’ and sheriffs’ throats,” Wang said. “It’s stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety.” Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. “Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things,” he told me. “Now they’re turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state.”

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can’t Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most ‘Sanctuary’ Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law

enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless. For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks. The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has](#)

[repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

"While the Executive Order's definition of 'sanctuary jurisdiction' is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department's ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions," Sessions wrote. Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

"Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department's law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence," Sessions wrote. "Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration."

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump's sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions' new memorandum doesn't fix the deeper problems with his department's directives.

"This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order," said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. "To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented."

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal

pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order. In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine “sanctuary” jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let’s scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes “commandeering,”—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn’t say is also important here—it doesn’t say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn’t say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

“1373 itself prevents ‘don’t tell’ policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can’t stop them from doing it,” Su says. “But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented ‘don’t ask,’ and ‘don’t use municipal resources’ policies.”

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can’t just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. “What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373,” [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. “Basically what they’re

saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law.” Here’s the TL;DR version:

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can’t stop law enforcement from collecting it.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn’t restrict any “government entity” from sharing information.)
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It “exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things,” Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop. “This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.” And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn't necessarily mean

authority to do something," Su says, especially since cities are "creatures of the state"—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can't force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president's desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren't just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump's biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

"One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn't going to get to her matter for another week," Chandler recalls. "She paused and said 'Are you going to report me to ICE?' In the past 19 years, I haven't had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared."

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America's undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that's by design. One of President Trump's first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: [Roy Minter](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: RE: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 10:08:56 AM

Ok

From: Jeri L Williams [mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:58 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015
Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov
<image001.png>[CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. *Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.*

**Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive – Innovative
– Accountable**

From: Morgan Ryan [mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM

To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas. If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.”

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country’s nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant’s social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States. In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S. “unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.” As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump

administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president's power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.

Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old.

Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana’s offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law.

In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-‘Sanctuary’ Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana)
([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana)
([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California)
([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas
5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services
[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California)
(8 cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas
5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary
[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California)
(9 cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas
5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President's FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center (HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines. What hasn’t changed much is Mr. Smith’s hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith’s working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned

storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa. They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you want: a dying community or one that has growth?"

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina's Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town's inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

"A lot of different communities are living together," said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina's until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn't finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live "a good life." Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn't begrudge them the work.

"I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who's trying to make a better life for themselves," he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. "They're just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am."

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn't the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers' stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

"They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn't complain if they had a sore arm," Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. "There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates," said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#). [Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, "New starting pay" — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state's \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by. Standing in the same spot

for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake, the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr.

Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers.

With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home. “I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams.

Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter,

now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake's lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains. The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary's Church in Storm Lake in 2013. "I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly," he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

"Though some people still feel, 'This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,'" he said, for most of the population, "there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now."

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump's statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). "I love my town," Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend's have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers' daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. "Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families," he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. "Those kids are our future." Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating.

Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago-county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center>

By Adam Poullisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying

Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals. Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word. Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists. The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-upon parameters.
- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.
- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that "the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,"

"Whether you agree or disagree, I'm going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn't do this in secret," Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all

ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration.

Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally.

Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump’s first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would “reconstruct” his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political

leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a “show me your papers” law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they've done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It's kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is

overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult.

“We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve.

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike. “What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!” Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-per-cent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said. “Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren’t serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn’t always bite.” That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn’t do ice’s bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. “We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows,” she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total “crackdown” on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly

rallied to the Governor's call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities “sanctuaries.” More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ICE asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn't been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General's answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they'd left feeling reassured. “I walked out of that meeting saying, ‘O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,’ ” Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn't; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President's executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona's. She told me that she sees Texas's law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ICE, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. “The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs' and sheriffs' throats,” Wang said. “It's stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety.”

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. “Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things,” he told me. “Now they're turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state.”

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can't Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday

what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless.

For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks.

The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

"While the Executive Order's definition of 'sanctuary jurisdiction' is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department's

ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions,” Sessions wrote. Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

“Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department’s law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence,” Sessions wrote. “Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration.”

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump’s sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions’ new memorandum doesn’t fix the deeper problems with his department’s directives.

“This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order,” said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. “To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented.”

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump’s Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If “sanctuary cities” thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president’s [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement. Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make “sanctuary cities” explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. “This not even a political issue,” says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the

University of Buffalo. “This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term “sanctuary cities,” as I’ve [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement’s (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who’s booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from “sanctuary cities.” It defined these as jurisdictions that “willfully violate” a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person’s immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order.

In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine “sanctuary” jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let’s scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes “commandeering,”—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn’t say is also important here—it doesn’t say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn’t say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

“1373 itself prevents ‘don’t tell’ policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can’t stop them from doing it,” Su says. “But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented ‘don’t ask,’ and ‘don’t use municipal resources’ policies.”

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have

the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can't just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. "What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373," [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. "Basically what they're saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law."

Here's the TL;DR version:

1. The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
2. In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can't stop law enforcement from collecting it.
3. It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
4. Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn't restrict any "government entity" from sharing information.)
5. Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It "exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things," Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop.

"This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their

commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.”

And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn’t necessarily mean authority to do something,” Su says, especially since cities are “creatures of the state”—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can’t force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president’s desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren’t just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump’s biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

“One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn’t going to get to her matter for another week,” Chandler recalls. “She paused and said ‘Are you going to report me to ICE?’ In the past 19 years, I haven’t had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared.”

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America’s undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that’s by design. One of President Trump’s first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan

Cambridge Global Advisors

(847)828-9148

mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Roy Minter](#)
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update
Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 11:47:44 AM

What about Tuesday at 3:00?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 10:08 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Ok

From: Jeri L Williams [<mailto:jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 8:58 AM
To: Roy Minter
Subject: Re: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

I'm in back to back meetings so I will try to can on my drive to give a speech. Should be close to 11:30ish

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 6, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Roy Minter <Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov> wrote:

Jeri,

Please give me a call about this task force when you get a chance.

Roy W. Minter, Jr.
Chief of Police
Peoria Police Department
8351 W. Cinnabar Ave.
Peoria, Arizona 85345
Office: 623-773-7059
Fax: 623-773-7015
Roy.Minter@peoriaaz.gov

<image001.png>[CALEA](#) accredited since 1997.

<image002.jpg>

This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. It may contain privileged or confidential information that is exempt from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message by anyone other than the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying: "Received in error" and delete the message. Thank you.

Professional – Ethical – Open – Responsive –
Innovative – Accountable

From: Morgan Ryan [<mailto:mryan@cambridgeglobal.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 6:18 AM
To: LEITF Members
Subject: LEITF: Weekly Policy & Media Update

<image.png>

Immigration Policy and Media Coverage Updates

June 6, 2017

Welcoming the following Chiefs to the LEITF:

- Chief Steve Stahl of Maricopa, AZ
- Chief Nick Metz of Aurora, CO
- Chief Wayne Jerman of Cedar Rapids, IA

Congratulations to LEITF member Chief Michael Soelberg, newly appointed police chief of Gilbert, AZ.

THANK YOU to those task force members who participated in our internal meeting and joint panel with PERF last week in Las Vegas.

If there were others at the panel discussion who you think might be interested in being a part of the LEITF, please let us know and feel free to connect us directly.

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS (Full articles follow the policy section):

NEW YORK TIMES: [Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing](#)

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)

NEW YORKER: [Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law](#)

HUFFINGTON POST: [DOJ Admits It Can't](#)

[Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most 'Sanctuary' Cities](#)

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): [Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities](#)

TIME: [Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims](#)

Kiro 7 (Washington): [Bellevue police release video reassuring residents about immigration](#)

THEMES IN WASHINGTON THIS WEEK

Federal

DHS Secretary Kelly Open to Further Extension of Haitian TPS

During his short visit to Haiti on May 31, U.S. Homeland Security Secretary John Kelly [expressed willingness](#) to discuss further extension of the [Temporary Protected Status](#) (TPS) for nearly 60,000 Haitians who were allowed to stay in the U.S. after a devastating 2010 earthquake. Kelly made the statement during a meeting with Haitian President Jovenel Moise, but noted that TPS is not intended to be “open-ended.”

In late May, DHS decided to [extend TPS](#) for Haitians for six additional months. A number of [legislators](#), business leaders, and [Haitian officials](#) have argued that the country is not prepared for the return of thousands of people and that the program should have been extended for 18 months, the usual length of an extension. DHS will [re-evaluate](#) the designation for Haiti at least 60 days before January 22, 2018, when the most recent extension runs out. TPS [allows](#) another country's nationals to stay in the U.S. when certain conditions, such as environmental disaster or armed conflict, make their country unable to support their return or if returning would be too dangerous.

The Trump Administration Approves a Stricter Visa-Vetting Process

On May 23, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) [approved](#) a new visa application questionnaire that requires the applicant to provide significant additional information. The new questionnaire requests the applicant's social media handles from the past five years as well as biographical information from the past fifteen years, including all email addresses, phone numbers, and addresses. [Critics claim](#) that the new questions are overly burdensome and will give too much discretion to consular officials.

State Department Lifts Quotas on Weekly Refugee Admissions

In an email sent to private immigrant resettlement agencies, the State Department [lifted a restriction](#) on the number of refugees allowed to enter the United States.

In accordance with that guidance, refugee resettlement groups may now bring individuals to the U.S.

“unconstrained by the weekly quotas that were in place.”

As a result of this policy, advocates believe the number of refugees entering the country could nearly double from approximately 830 people per week in the first three weeks of May to more than 1,500 people per week by June. There are currently tens of thousands of refugees waiting to be admitted to the U.S.

ICE Arrests of Unauthorized Non-Criminal Immigrants in New England More Than Triple

[According to reports](#), recent immigration enforcement actions in New England by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) have increasingly focused on unauthorized immigrants without criminal records. In the first three months of the Trump administration, ICE data indicates that the number of non-criminal immigrant arrests in the New England region has more than tripled, while nationwide the number has doubled.

Legal

Trump Administration Appeals Travel Ban Decision to the Supreme Court

On June 1, the Trump administration asked the Supreme Court to review and [restore](#) the travel ban instituted by a revised executive order from March. This request follows a May 25 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for Fourth Circuit which held that the executive order was likely unconstitutional under the First Amendment. The administration has also sought a stay of a separate decision from a federal court in Hawaii blocking the ban. [Supporters](#) of the executive order, which restricts immigration from six majority Muslim countries, argue that the travel ban is within the president’s power to protect the country against terrorism and promote national security while [other organizations](#) say that the ban is explicitly based on religious intolerance.

The court may potentially leave the Fourth Circuit decision blocking the ban in place prior to hearing the case in fall 2017, or may issue a stay of the decision in the interim, allowing the ban to move forward. Since the appointment of Justice Neil Gorsuch, the Court is at its [full nine justices](#), and the Trump administration will

need at least five justices to [permit](#) the ban to take effect.
Supreme Court Clarifies Standards for Crime-Based Deportations

On Tuesday, May 30, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court helped clarify the standards governing crime-based deportations in *Esquivel-Quintana v. Sessions*. In a unanimous decision authored by Justice Clarence Thomas, the Court analyzed which crimes are considered “aggravated felonies” triggering mandatory deportation under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). The Court determined that legal permanent resident (LPR) Juan Esquivel-Quintana did not commit an aggravated felony under the statute for having consensual sex with his 16 year old girlfriend when he was 20 and 21 years old.

Rejecting a categorical approach to determining aggravated felonies under the INA, the Court surveyed state laws governing statutory rape to determine on statutory grounds that “sexual abuse of a minor” encompasses “only egregious felonies” under the INA. Because the majority of states set the age of consent at 16 years of age, Esquivel-Quintana’s offense did not constitute an aggravated felony under federal law. In 2009, Esquivel-Quintana pleaded no contest in California to “unlawful sexual intercourse with a minor who is more than three years younger.” California is one of only 10 states that defines the age of consent at 18 years of age.

State & Local

Louisiana Anti-‘Sanctuary’ Legislation Defeated in Senate Committee

[House Bill 676](#), a proposal to ban so-called sanctuary cities in Louisiana, was blocked by the state Senate Judiciary Committee on May 31. The bill, which faced pushback from a cross-section of center-right and progressive advocates, would have allowed the state attorney general to withhold funding to municipalities and law enforcement agencies with policies that limit cooperation with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). Critics noted that the bill failed to precisely define what would constitute a “sanctuary city” and that New Orleans, a target of the legislation, does not consider itself a sanctuary city and is in full compliance with federal law. Senators who opposed the bill contended that it was [discriminatory](#) and the bill faced opposition from some faith and law enforcement leaders. House Bill 676 passed the Louisiana House passed the on May 17.

GOVERNMENT REPORTS

There were no government reports on immigration or workforce development introduced in the week of May 29, 2017.

BILLS INTRODUCED AND CONSIDERED

[H.R. 2751](#)

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security, Judiciary, and Ways and Means

[H.R. 2752](#)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services Authorization Act

This bill would amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to formally authorize United States Citizenship and Immigration Services.

Sponsored by Representative Clay Higgins (R – Louisiana) ([2](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Higgins

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committees on Homeland Security and the Judiciary

[H.R. 2759](#)

The Naturalization at Training Sites (NATS) Act of 2017

This bill would establish naturalization offices at initial military training sites to ensure that non-citizen servicemembers are well informed of the naturalization options available to them.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on Armed Services

[H.R. 2760](#)

The Immigrant Veterans Eligibility Tracking System (I-VETS) Act of 2017

This bill would require the Secretary of Homeland Security to identify non-citizens who have served, or are serving, in the Armed Forces of the United States when

those non-citizens apply for an immigration benefit or are placed in an immigration enforcement proceeding. This information will enable DHS to “fast track” veterans and servicemembers who are applying for naturalization, while also allowing officials to practice prosecutorial discretion, if appropriate, when adjudicating their cases.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([8](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

[H.R. 2761](#)

The Healthcare Opportunities for Patriots in Exile (HOPE) Act of 2017

This bill would amend section 212(d)(5) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to allow certain deported veterans to be paroled into the United States to receive health care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

Sponsored by Representative Juan Vargas (D – California) ([9](#) cosponsors)

5/26/2017 Introduced in the House by Representative Vargas

5/26/2017 Referred to the House Committee on the Judiciary

LEGISLATIVE FLOOR CALENDAR

The U.S. Senate will be in session the week of Monday, June 5, 2017.

The U.S. House of Representatives will be in session from Tuesday, June 6, 2017, through Friday, June 9, 2017.

UPCOMING HEARINGS AND MARKUPS

[The Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (Senate Homeland Security)

Location: SD-342, Dirksen Senate Office Building

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[The Department of Homeland Security Reauthorization and the President’s FY 2018 Budget Request](#)

Date: Wednesday, June 7, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. (House Homeland Security)

Location: Room 210, House Capitol Visitor Center

(HVC)

Witnesses:

The Honorable John F. Kelly, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security

[Immigration and Customs Enforcement & Customs and Border Protection FY18 Budget Request](#)

Date: Friday, June 9, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. (House Appropriations)

Location: 2008 Rayburn House Office Building

Witnesses:

Thomas D. Homan, Acting Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Todd C. Owen, Executive Assistant Commissioner, Customs and Border Protection

Carla L. Provost, Acting Chief, United States Border Patrol

TOP IMMIGRATION CLIPS:

NEW YORK TIMES: Immigrants Keep an Iowa Meatpacking Town Alive and Growing

https://www.nytimes.com/2017/05/29/business/economy/storm-lake-iowa-immigrant-workers.html?_r=0

By Patricia Cohen

May 29, 2017

When Dan Smith first went to work at the pork processing plant in Storm Lake in 1980, pretty much the only way to nab that kind of union job was to have a father, an uncle or a brother already there. The pay, he recalled, was \$16 an hour, with benefits — enough to own a home, a couple of cars, a camper and a boat, while your wife stayed home with the children.

“It was the best-paying job you could get, 100 percent, if you were unskilled,” said Mr. Smith, now 66, who followed his father through the plant gates.

After nearly four decades at the plant, most of them as a forklift driver, Mr. Smith is retiring this month.

The union is long gone, and so are most of the white faces of men who once labored in the broiling heat of the killing floor and the icy chill of the production lines.

What hasn’t changed much is Mr. Smith’s hourly wage, which is still about \$16 an hour, the same as when he started 37 years ago. Had his wages kept up with inflation, he would be earning about \$47 an hour.

The forces that have helped transform this snug lakeside town in northwestern Iowa and others like it during Mr. Smith’s working life have created a complex swirl of economic successes and hardships, optimism and unease.

Fierce global competition, agricultural automation and plant closures have left many [rural towns struggling for survival](#). In areas stripped of the farm and union jobs that paid middle-class wages and tempted the next generation to stay put and raise a family, young people are more likely to move on to college or urban centers like Des Moines. Left behind are an aging population, abandoned storefronts and shrinking economic prospects.

Yet Storm Lake, hustled along by the relentless drive of manufacturers to cut labor costs and by the town's grit to survive, is still growing. However clumsily at times, this four-square-mile patch has absorbed successive waves of immigrants and refugees — from Asia, from Mexico and Central America, and from Africa.

They fill most of the grueling, low-paid jobs at the pork, egg and turkey plants; they spend money at local shops, and open restaurants and grocery stores; they fill church pews and home-team benches. While more than [88 percent of the state's population](#) is non-Hispanic white, less than half of [Storm Lake's is](#). Walk through the halls of the public schools and you can hear as many as 18 languages.

But if the newcomers have brought some of the economic dynamism that President Trump promised to restore to the struggling Midwest and South, they have also fed some of the anxieties and resentments that he stirred.

[Steve King](#), the Republican congressman for this predominantly white, conservative district, blames immigrants and refugees for pushing down wages, bringing unwelcome cultural diversity and burdening public services.

While Mr. King's [nativist comments](#) have been labeled racist by critics, his fervent [animosity to immigration](#) has done little over the years to dent his electoral popularity in most of the 39 counties he represents.

Storm Lake, with a population of roughly 11,000, is in no way immune to the strains and tensions that an influx of poor, low-skilled and non-English-speaking immigrants and refugees can bring. But after decades of living and working together, the residents recognize that their future is a shared one.

"Other communities our size are shrinking and consolidating school districts," said Mark Prosser, the police chief. "We have schools bulging at the seams. There are expensive challenges, but which one do you

want: a dying community or one that has growth?”

Less than a half-mile from the police station, the shelves at Valentina’s Meat Market offer a still-life version of the town’s inhabitants. A kaleidoscope of packages, jars and produce from nearly every continent is jammed in — side by side are purple yams from Laos, green plantains from Ecuador, mahogany-brown cassava from Nigeria, tan egg roll wrappers from Vietnam.

Silvino Morelos, the Mexican-born owner, has lived in Storm Lake for more than 20 years. He came after his grocery business in Los Angeles was looted and burned during the racially charged [1992 riots](#) that followed the acquittal of four white police officers accused of beating a black motorist, Rodney King. Here, he said, he has always felt welcome and secure.

“A lot of different communities are living together,” said Mr. Morelos, who aims to feed them all.

His wife, Mayela, works from 5 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the pork plant, earning \$18 an hour, before handling the register at Valentina’s until the early evening. Mr. Morelos often doesn’t finish up before midnight, he said, and rarely takes a day off. He recently bought a 22-acre farm about five minutes outside of town where he is raising lambs and goats. Dedicated workers, he said, can live “a good life.”

Shared ambitions and a willingness to work hard command respect across cultures here.

Mr. Smith, looking back on his decades at the plant, acknowledges that a supply of immigrants makes it easier for employers to pay less, but he doesn’t begrudge them the work.

“I harbor no ill feelings for anybody who’s trying to make a better life for themselves,” he said, settling on the living room couch in his home, a corner house next to the railroad tracks, which he shares with his girlfriend. “They’re just trying to make a buck for their family, like I am.”

Mr. Smith remembers that it wasn’t the arrival of foreign workers that initially drove down wages, but the plant owners.

First was Hygrade Food Products Corporation, an old-style meatpacking house that introduced Ball Park Franks to the Detroit Tigers’ stadium in 1957 and operated the Storm Lake plant when Mr. Smith went to work there. Faced with competition from new companies that had developed a faster, more efficient method of boxing beef and selling it to supermarket chains and fast-food outlets, Hygrade in 1981 asked its

workers to take a pay cut of \$3 an hour. When they refused, the plant closed.

With vigorous support from town leaders, the upstart Iowa Beef Processors (later known as IBP) bought and reopened it a few months later — slashing wages by more than half and shunning the union.

At that point, Mr. Smith returned to do night cleanup, earning \$5.50 an hour with no benefits, but a vast majority of his former co-workers were turned away, he said, because the new owner did not want to hire union supporters. Instead, the company began actively recruiting in Mexico and in immigrant communities in Texas and California.

“They learned real fast to keep a sharp knife and didn’t complain if they had a sore arm,” Mr. Smith said.

The new form of meatpacking that sprang up in Iowa and the Midwest transformed the industry. “There was lower pay, faster lines and higher injury rates,” said [David Swenson](#), a regional scientist in the economics department at [Iowa State University](#).

[Tyson Foods bought IBP in 2001](#), and its red oval logo greets visitors as they drive into town. Tacked onto the entry gate, a large banner announces, “New starting pay” — \$15 an hour on the production line.

Even at that level, more than twice the state’s \$7.25 minimum wage, workers can be hard to come by.

Standing in the same spot for eight hours or more at a time, in near-freezing temperatures, slashing at carcasses that swing by at a fast pace, can numb body and soul. The poultry industry also ranks among the most dangerous in the United States, according to a [new report](#) by the National Employment Law Project.

Even if pay were raised to \$20 or \$25 an hour, Mr. Smith said, “I don’t think you could get white guys.”

Those who are hired can be dogged by a common negative stereotype. As one supervisor at the plant recalled, some of the Mexican workers on the line complained after he hired a white worker, saying: “This guy is not going to last as long. He’s young and he’s not going to work hard.”

Most of the 2,200 workers now at the pork plant are primarily of Hispanic descent, said Caroline Ahn, a spokeswoman for [Tyson](#). Asians make up the second-largest group, followed by Caucasians. Men slightly outnumber women. She would not specify the turnover rate, but several employees said the company was engaged in a never-ending hiring campaign.

In Buena Vista County, which includes Storm Lake,

the [jobless rate](#) has dropped to 2.7 percent, and both small and large businesses complain that they cannot find enough workers. The competitive squeeze is expected to only worsen when [two new pork plants open](#) in nearby Sioux City and Eagle Grove.

But Mr. Swenson at Iowa State is skeptical of employer complaints about labor shortages. For an industry that needs to be where the animals are, he said, the only answer is to “pay workers enough to retain them or attract them.”

[Art Cullen](#), the Pulitzer Prize-winning editor of his family-run newspaper, The Storm Lake Times, acknowledges that processing-plant work is tough. Yet for a refugee or an immigrant without English or skills, butchering livestock at that wage, he said, is a “first rung on the American ladder to success.”

That was the way it worked for Abel Saengchanpheng, who came to Storm Lake from Northern California in 1997, when he was 16, after relatives talked up the job opportunities there. Born in a Thai refugee camp after his family escaped from Laos, Mr. Saengchanpheng, now 36 and an American citizen, joined his parents at the plant after he finished high school. He has been there ever since, working his way up to general foreman in 2013, and he now oversees 300 production workers. With earnings that place him comfortably in Storm Lake’s upper middle class, he owns two cars, a Subaru and a Honda, and a home.

“I was so blessed to get into Tyson,” he said, sipping coffee at Grand Central Coffee Station. “I remember looking at the first paycheck and thinking, ‘There is free money going around.’”

The work, though, can feed drudgery as well as dreams. Blanca Martinez, who came from El Salvador with her husband (a naturalized citizen who lived in Storm Lake) and their daughter, now 5, has been at the turkey plant for two years. She earns \$15.70 an hour cutting bone six days a week on the 6 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. shift.

“It’s very hard,” Ms. Martinez, 37, a permanent resident, said in Spanish through an interpreter. “I’m still not used to the cold.” At work, she wears three gloves on her left hand and two on her right — giving her cutting hand a little more flexibility.

Speaking English would widen her options, Ms. Martinez said, but she has had no time for lessons since her husband received a diagnosis of cancer.

The contrast between Storm Lake and many neighboring towns is both by accident and by design.

Refugees from Southeast Asia made their way to the area more than 40 years ago, when Iowa became the first state to [offer resettlement assistance](#) at the end of the Vietnam War.

“That was a proactive choice on the part of community leaders,” said the Rev. Charles Valenti-Hein, the pastor at Lakeside Presbyterian Church, which sponsored the first group. Making room for other immigrants, he said, was “an extension of that initial act of hospitality, something we’ve chosen.”

Other residents suggested that the proximity of a university, Buena Vista, with some minority faculty members and students, as well as the town’s location as a central stopping point on sales routes, may have contributed to the openness.

Yet the flood of Mexican recruits who were funneled to the IBP plant in the 1990s engendered suspicion and tensions. School overcrowding, a surge in uncompensated care at the hospitals and a new need for translators drained city coffers. Complaints about a rise in crime and drugs followed.

“There was a collective holding of breath as the community started to change,” said Mr. Prosser, the police chief since 1989 and a Catholic deacon. “At first, people born and raised here felt, ‘We want it to go back to the way it was.’”

But over time, the community evolved, he said. The immigrants and refugees bought homes, opened businesses and saw their children graduate from school. Nonprofit and advocacy groups sprouted to ease the transition. The [police department](#) hired bilingual community liaisons. Most residents still don’t bother to lock their doors.

“We’ve had all sorts of problems over a period of 30 to 35 years,” Mr. Prosser said. “But the pros so outweigh the cons, and the community as a whole understands that, and for the most part they’ve embraced it.”

Some surrounding towns have embraced the model, while others have rejected it. Decades ago, the picturesque city of Spencer, about 40 miles north, spurned the overtures of a packing plant, with some residents saying at the time that they didn’t want their town to turn into Storm Lake.

Other Iowa towns, like Denison, have followed Storm Lake’s lead, though the rapid transition has brought growing pains.

The Rev. Timothy Friedrichsen was the Roman Catholic pastor in Denison before coming to St. Mary’s Church in

Storm Lake in 2013. “I was aghast at the open hostility towards immigrants that cropped up pretty regularly,” he said of Denison, where the Latino population doubled in less than a decade.

“Though some people still feel, ‘This is not the Storm Lake I grew up in,’” he said, for most of the population, “there is a kind of comfortableness. This is who we are now.”

The most palpable anxiety these days has been fueled by [Mr. Trump’s statements](#) on immigrants and border control.

Graciele Vrieze, a Spanish-speaking civilian community-service officer with the local police department, said her 13-year-old son, an Iowa-born citizen, had come home from school asking if they were all going to be deported to Mexico. There are few reliable statistics on the number of foreign-born residents with fake or no documents; estimates run to the thousands. Several with documents also said they were postponing trips abroad, however, because of the fear that they may not be allowed to return.

The drive among refugees and immigrants to remain in or return to Storm Lake stands out in a state where [more than two-thirds of the counties are shrinking in population](#). “I love my town,” Mr. Smith said, but all three of his children and both of his girlfriend’s have moved elsewhere.

Most of the farm boys and farmers’ daughters have left, said Mr. Cullen of The Storm Lake Times. “Second-generation immigrants want to stay with their families,” he said from the cozy one-room newsroom. He jumped up from his chair to hunt among the stacks of newspapers for a recent edition that featured a scholarship student from El Salvador returning from college to Storm Lake to start a house-painting business. “Those kids are our future.”

Immigrant parents who labor on the production lines say they want their children to go to college instead of taking their places at the plant. But the expectation is that those children will return home after graduating. Ms. Martinez, the worker at the turkey plant, does not have time to take English classes herself, but the future she envisions for her 5-year-old includes going to medical school, becoming a doctor and then coming home to work here in Storm Lake.

[Rrstar.com \(Illinois\): Winnebago County sheriff halts plans for immigrant detention center](#)
<http://www.rrstar.com/news/20170525/winnebago->

[county-sheriff-halts-plans-for-immigrant-detention-center](#)

By Adam Poulisee and Jeff Kolkey

May 25, 2017

Winnebago County Sheriff Gary Caruana today halted efforts to house an immigrant detention center inside the county jail, saying Immigration and Customs Enforcement could not meet his conditions for moving forward.

The decision spurred a celebration along Elm Street by hundreds of people who say a detention center would harm the livelihoods of the Rockford region's immigrant population.

"Thank God it didn't pass," said Emilia Martinez of Rockford, who during the rally held a sign that read, "We are not the answer for the county budget."

"Many people would have been afraid to go outside, go shopping, go to the movies. It's bad," she said.

Caruana had considered the decision for weeks as some community, political and religious leaders urged him not to pursue an agreement with ICE. In the end, Caruana said that ICE could not meet his demands that he retain control over who is placed in the jail and that any detainees he housed would be convicted criminals. Caruana had hoped that an ICE detention facility would become a new source of revenue for Winnebago County's cash-strapped criminal justice system. He said that he was confident that the team Chairman Frank Haney is putting in place would be able to straighten out county finances and provide needed funding for additional corrections officers.

Haney praised Caruana for staying true to his word.

Haney said he, Caruana and Winnebago County State's Attorney Joe Bruscato had agreed that the proposal to house immigrant detainees should only be brought to the County Board for approval if five criteria were met. The five conditions were developed in response to concerns raised by residents, political leaders and immigrant rights activists.

The conditions:

- No change in local law enforcement policy or procedures.
- Caruana retains authority over who is housed in the jail. The jail would accept only undocumented immigrants who had committed serious crimes and would not allow "civil detainees."
- Transparent reports to the community and County Board to verify the program is operating within agreed-

upon parameters.

- Financial net benefit after expenses that could fund public safety initiatives in Winnebago County.

- Thirty-day-out clause allowing Winnebago County to terminate the program if circumstances change.

Haney spoke during the rally, telling detention center opponents that “the community went through a very tough, emotional conversation,”

“Whether you agree or disagree, I’m going to give (the sheriff) credit (that) he didn’t do this in secret,” Haney told the crowd, which cheered in response.

What was originally planned as a rally in opposition became a cause for celebration after Caruana announced his decision. Colorful signs, music — live drums and a mariachi band, and a DJ playing popular dance tunes — and dancing by hundreds of revelers of all ages filled the grounds along Elm and Church streets. Many were from Rockford, but others came from Boone County, DeKalb and Chicago to take part in the rally-turned-celebration. Lisa Lombardo, a former Rockford resident now living in Chicago, attended. She was once an undocumented immigrant, she said.

“There’s definitely a sense of relief, but we want to stay vigilant,” she said. “Within the Trump era, this new detention center would place more fear and distrust with law enforcement.”

Opening an immigrant detention center at the Winnebago County Criminal Justice Center would have been morally wrong and economically misguided and would have served only to make the region less safe, said Rodrigo Dominguez-Martinez, a sociologist and Northern Illinois University research assistant who was raised in Rockford and helped organize the rally. Dominguez-Martinez said he would have preferred a debate on the morality of the detention center, rather than the economics. He was grateful that Caruana had made what he considered the correct decision.

Rudy Valdez, an engineer and former Rockford mayoral candidate said he was pleased with Caruana’s decision.

“People are still going to be wary, but the fact the sheriff did do what he said he would do and review all the information, talk to people in the forums, get feedback and do his own research on the cost and impact ... is good,” Valdez said.

Valdez said he was interviewed Wednesday by a Telemundo news reporter and camera crew from Chicago. The crew was back in Rockford today to cover the rally.

ICE has significantly increased the volume of non-criminal arrests under President Donald Trump. Trump signed an executive order Jan. 25 eliminating the emphasis former President Barack Obama had placed on the deportation of undocumented immigrants with violent or serious criminal convictions.

ICE agents made more than 10,800 noncriminal arrests in Trump's first 100 days in office. That total is more than double the 4,200 noncriminal immigrant arrests made last year in the same time period. Looking for places to house more immigrant detainees, ICE sent a letter Feb. 10 to Caruana informing him that the agency would "reconstruct" his previous agreement with the U.S. Marshals Service to hold federal prisoners to also include immigrants suspected of being in the country illegally.

ICE routinely holds detainees for civil hearings who are undocumented, have defied a deportation order or overstayed a visa.

Caruana had said his goals were to ensure that detainees were housed humanely and to develop a significant revenue source for local law enforcement.

Opponents who included Mayor Tom McNamara, a host of Democratic candidates for governor and some Republican political leaders argued that immigrant detention centers would damage the relationship local law enforcement has worked to build with immigrant communities and would make Rockford and Winnebago County less safe.

NEW YORKER: Why Police Chiefs Oppose Texas's New Anti-Immigrant Law

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/why-police-chiefs-oppose-texas-new-anti-immigrant-law>

By Jonathan Blitzer

June 2, 2017

Last month, Greg Abbott, the Republican Governor of Texas, signed into law an anti-immigrant measure allowing local police officers to ask for the citizenship status of anyone they detain. This sort of provision—often called a "show me your papers" law—has been attempted at the state level before, most notoriously in Arizona, which passed a measure in 2010 that was subsequently blocked in federal court. In response to the new law, civil-rights groups and several Texas city governments have filed lawsuits against the measure. Earlier this week, thousands of demonstrators descended on the state capitol, in Austin, to protest on the last day of the legislative session, prompting one

overwhelmed Republican representative to [call](#) Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ice), presumably so that agents could arrest and deport members of the opposition while they stood in a gallery of the statehouse.

Texas's law, known as Senate Bill 4 (S.B. 4), is in some ways even harsher than the one Arizona passed seven years ago: the new measure allows state authorities to punish any police chief or sheriff who tells his or her subordinates not to act as de-facto immigration agents. Violators face steep fines (a thousand dollars for the first offense and up to twenty-five thousand dollars thereafter) as well as potential removal from office. For this, and other reasons, some of the most vocal critics of S.B. 4 are leaders of the state's law-enforcement community.

On April 28th, just after the bill passed the Texas House of Representatives, a group of police chiefs led by David Pughes and Art Acevedo—the heads of the Dallas and Houston Police Departments, respectively—[wrote a public statement](#) urging lawmakers to reconsider. “No one believes in the rule of law more than police officers,” they began, but this bill was “political pandering that will make our communities more dangerous.” In their eyes, the bill would undermine the work they’ve done to build trust in the communities they police.

Police chiefs have been speaking out against the bill since it was introduced in the State Senate, last fall. “It’s kind of amazing that, during the initial hearing, the senators had all these chiefs and sheriffs from across Texas speaking against the bill—and they totally ignored the people in law enforcement,” the El Paso County sheriff, Richard Wiles, told me this week. He said that his staff is overworked as it is. “My officers are too busy to waste their time doing another agency’s work,” he said. “If there is an officer who wants to do this, we can’t stop him under the new law. The only area where one of my officers could now be allowed to go out there and ignore his own bosses is on immigration. It’s crazy.”

Wiles believes that the law will make daily policing more difficult. “We want people to report crime, whether they’re a victim or a witness, regardless of their immigration status,” he said. If individuals are scared they’ll be deported when they come forward, key leads will dry up and crimes will become harder to solve.

Acevedo, the Houston police chief, pointed out that such an outcome would affect citizens and noncitizens alike.

“What if the only witness to a crime is an undocumented

nanny, or the gardener, or a construction worker?” he said. “And now they don’t call in what they see!”

Acevedo told me that this year, amid rising fears of a federal immigration raids, there’s been a forty-two-percent reduction in reports of rapes in Latino communities in the Houston area, even though the crime rate itself hasn’t declined. “That does not bode well,” he said.

“Community policing is something that’s been at the core of American law enforcement for the last twenty or thirty years. It’s resulted in historically low crime rates despite population growth and despite the fact that most departments are understaffed and under-resourced. I’m not going to sit idly by while calls for service are piling up and an officer decides to go, instead, to a Home Depot to harass day laborers.”

Bills like S.B. 4 had circulated in the Texas legislature in years past, pushed by conservative state lawmakers looking to make a statement. Gregorio Casar, a member of the Austin City Council, told me, “There have been forces here in Texas that have wanted this bill and bills like it for a long time. But they weren’t serious threats. Texas on immigration policy was like the dog that barked but didn’t always bite.” That changed in January, after Donald Trump issued an executive order on so-called sanctuary cities, threatening to withhold federal money from local jurisdictions that didn’t do ice’s bidding. There is no set definition of what makes a sanctuary city—different cities have instituted their own immigrant-friendly laws and guidelines—but the Administration was [trying](#) to compel local officials to do more to help federal immigration agents.

After Trump issued the order, Sally Hernandez, the sheriff of Travis County, Texas, publicly criticized it. “We cannot afford to make our community less safe by driving people into the shadows,” she said at the time. Abbott, the Governor, responded by promising a total “crackdown” on sanctuary cities in the state. On January 31st, in his [State of the State](#) address, he identified the war on sanctuary cities as a top policy priority. He also punished Travis County, specifically, by [withholding](#) \$1.5 million in state funding. S.B. 4 had been introduced a few months earlier, and Republicans quickly rallied to the Governor’s call, passing the measure in the State Senate on February 8th.

As the House debated the bill, Steve Adler, the mayor of Austin—which is the Travis County seat—travelled to Washington with a delegation of mayors from across the country to meet with Jeff Sessions, the Attorney

General. Adler and the other mayors wanted to know if the federal government considered their cities “sanctuaries.” More specifically, they wanted clarification on whether cities were legally required to comply with so-called detainer requests—when ice asks local law-enforcement officials to hold, for an extended period of time, an undocumented person who was arrested, even if he or she hadn’t been charged with a crime. Several of the mayors present [interpreted](#) the Attorney General’s answer as a no, and they gave press conferences after the meeting to announce that they’d left feeling reassured. “I walked out of that meeting saying, ‘O.K., this is what the Attorney General is telling me,’ ” Adler recalled. He thought that having clarification from the Justice Department would slow down the legislative push in his home state. But it didn’t; neither did the [ruling](#) of a federal judge, who blocked the President’s executive order for unfairly punishing sanctuary cities.

I asked Cecillia Wang, the deputy legal director of the A.C.L.U., which has also filed suit against the law, how S.B. 4 ranks among other infamously harsh anti-immigrant laws that have been passed in recent years, like Arizona’s. She told me that she sees Texas’s law as especially harmful because it puts local officials in a significant legal bind. Over the years, people have sued local police departments for holding them without a valid warrant at the behest of ice, and the courts have ruled that municipalities bear legal liability in such cases. “The various detainer lawsuits over the past several years make it clear that this law is a bad idea, and yet the Texas legislature and the Governor shoved it down their own police chiefs’ and sheriffs’ throats,” Wang said. “It’s stripping away their ability to do their job as they see fit, which is to protect public safety.” Acevedo, the Houston police chief, saw an irony in this. “Texas politicians always complain that Washington is trying to dictate to them how to do things,” he told me. “Now they’re turning around and doing the same thing to the cities in their own state.”

HUFFINGTON POST: DOJ Admits It Can’t Actually Take Away Federal Grants From Most ‘Sanctuary’ Cities

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-cities-jeff-sessions_us_59234853e4b03b485cb4131a

By Elise Foley and Ryan J. Reilly

May 22, 2017

After months of bluster about taking away federal law

enforcement grants from jurisdictions that don't fully cooperate with deportation efforts, the U.S. Department of Justice acknowledged on Monday what legal experts have said for months: In most cases, the department can't do that.

Attorney General [Jeff Sessions](#) released a memo explaining how the department will carry out President [Donald Trump](#)'s executive order meant to crack down on so-called "[sanctuary cities](#)," defining them more narrowly than before.

"Sanctuary city" is a broad term, but is most often applied to jurisdictions that don't comply with all of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's "detainer" requests to hold individuals who would otherwise be released. Yet instead of going after any city or county that doesn't comply with ICE's requests, the executive order will target jurisdictions that specifically don't comply with a law to share information with the federal government for immigration purposes.

It's an important distinction — nearly all jurisdictions, even those labeled sanctuary cities, say they do comply with the law to provide information. If that's the case, Trump's anti-sanctuary cities order is largely toothless. For all of the president's rhetoric, the Trump administration is now admitting it can only take away funding under narrow circumstances, and not just because jurisdictions are declining to do what ICE asks. The administration has a good reason to do so: A federal judge [temporarily blocked Trump's executive order](#) in April, ruling that it could violate the Constitution if applied broadly, based on its vague wording.

In a memo released on Monday, Sessions wrote that the term "sanctuary jurisdictions" used in the executive order refers only to jurisdictions that "willfully refuse" to comply with 8 [U.S.C. 1373](#) — which simply says that jurisdictions "may not prohibit, or in any way restrict, any government entity or official from sending to, or receiving from, the Immigration and Naturalization Service information regarding the citizenship or immigration status, lawful or unlawful, of any individual."

The law does not require jurisdictions to proactively disclose immigration information or to hold immigrants on ICE's behalf.

The memo isn't a shift, exactly. In court and statements to press, the Justice Department has said that it's not illegal for jurisdictions to decline detainers. But coming from Sessions, it's significant: The attorney general [has](#)

[repeatedly suggested](#) it is illegal and promised a crackdown.

Although jurisdictions might not lose federal grants for immigration policies the Trump administration doesn't like, they'll still face pressure to change course. Sessions wrote in his memo that the administration will continue to pressure those jurisdictions into compliance in other ways.

"While the Executive Order's definition of 'sanctuary jurisdiction' is narrow, nothing in the Executive Order limits the Department's ability to point out ways that state and local jurisdictions are undermining our lawful system of immigration or to take enforcement action where state or local practices violate federal laws, regulations, or grant conditions," Sessions wrote. Sessions also seemingly suggested that the Justice Department could create future grants designed specifically to encourage jurisdictions to work proactively with federal authorities, or perhaps prioritize grants for jurisdictions that go above and beyond what the law requires.

"Separate and apart from the Executive Order, statutes may authorize the Department to tailor grants or to impose additional conditions on grantees to advance the Department's law enforcement priorities. Consistent with this authority, over the years, the Department has tailored grants to focus on, among other things, homeland security, violent crime (including drug and gang activity), and domestic violence," Sessions wrote. "Going forward, the Department, where authorized, may seek to tailor grants to promote a lawful system of immigration."

For James Williams, county counsel for Santa Clara County, California, one of several localities fighting Trump's sanctuary cities executive order in federal court, Sessions' new memorandum doesn't fix the deeper problems with his department's directives.

"This memo from Attorney General Jeff Sessions does nothing to address the constitutional infirmities at the heart of this Executive Order," said Williams, whose legal team won [a national injunction against the executive order](#) last month. "To the contrary, it shows that the Department of Justice continues to scramble to find a way to save an Executive Order that is unconstitutional on its face and cannot be lawfully implemented."

CITY LAB (from The Atlantic): Trump's Budget Takes Aim at Sanctuary Cities

<https://www.citylab.com/politics/2017/05/donald-trump-budget-immigration-enforcement/527952/>

By Tanvi Misra

May 25, 2017

If "sanctuary cities" thought they had legal protection from the Trump administration, the president's [budget proposal](#) could totally change the game.

Tucked inside the 1,200-page document is an attempt to change the law that many cities cite as their legal justification for not cooperating with federal officials on immigration enforcement.

Specifically, the White House has included a few paragraphs that would amend a 1996 immigration law in a way that would make "sanctuary cities" explicitly illegal. Should Congress adopt this language from the White House, it would drastically undermine the authority of local governments to exercise discretion in certain areas of local law enforcement. "This not even a political issue," says Rick Su, a professor of immigration and local government law at the University of Buffalo. "This is [a question of], can a city—as an employer—define the respo

Sanctuary cities v. Trump: the backstory

The term "sanctuary cities," as I've [previously written](#), is pretty nebulous. It refers to jurisdictions that have put in place an array of policies that keep local policing separate from federal immigration enforcement. For example: Los Angeles police officers [stopped checking](#) immigration status in the field in 1979. The city also declines some Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) requests to detain people for extra time. San Francisco, on the other hand, ignores all detainer requests. It also passed [an ordinance](#) barring any municipal funds from being spent on federal immigration enforcement. Other jurisdictions have their own variations of these rules. (Important note: anyone who's booked into a jail—anywhere—has their fingerprints and other information shared with federal authorities.)

In January, President Donald Trump signed [an executive order](#) directing members of his cabinet to find a way to withhold federal grants from "sanctuary cities." It defined these as jurisdictions that "willfully violate" a federal statute ([U.S. Code Section 1373](#)), which says that local governments cannot prohibit any other government entity from maintaining or sharing information about a person's immigration status with federal immigration authorities. This move faced legal

pushback: [San Francisco](#) and [other cities](#) sued. In April, a federal judge [ruled in their favor](#), blocking the order. In April, Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to nine “sanctuary” jurisdictions, [asking for proof](#) that they were, in fact, complying with Section 1373. So, let’s scrutinize the statute itself for a second. Legal scholars like Ilya Somin at George Mason University [have argued](#) that this statute, in its current form, constitutes “commandeering,”—that is, it forces a gun to the head of local governments and violates the federalism clause of the Constitution. (Because of its roundabout phrasing, though, others feel it [sidesteps that concern](#).)

What the statute doesn’t say is also important here—it doesn’t say that law enforcement must actively collect immigration-related information, accept detainer requests, or do other types of active immigration enforcement. In other words, it doesn’t say that what sanctuary cities are *currently* doing is illegal.

“1373 itself prevents ‘don’t tell’ policies—that if someone wants to exchange information with the federal government, a state or local government can’t stop them from doing it,” Su says. “But what many localities did to write around it is that they implemented ‘don’t ask,’ and ‘don’t use municipal resources’ policies.”

The second issue in the legal battle concerns the punishment for sanctuary cities: namely, how big is the stick and who wields it? According to [the Supreme Court](#), the White House does not have the authority to add conditions to federal grants; Congress does that. And it can’t just put any grant on the chopping block either. The conditions have to be related to the type of grant, and explicitly laid out, so that local governments know what they are before they accept. The federal judge in California who recently blocked the executive order [referenced](#) this interpretation.

In this case, that means that administration can threaten to block very few grants related to law enforcement and immigration, and only if those grants have not already been appropriated.

The budget proposal intends to sidestep legal hurdles

By expanding the scope of 1373, the government wants to make sanctuary cities, quite plainly, illegal. “What the Trump administration tried slipping in here is a complete and radical rewriting of that section of the law of 1373,” [Phil Wogin](#), director of immigration at the Center for American Progress, a left-leaning policy organization, tells CityLab. “Basically what they’re

saying is, under current law, we can't actually penalize sanctuary cities, so we're just going to change the law.” Here’s the TL;DR version:

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->The provision seeks to expand the type of information that can be shared with the federal government.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->In addition to maintaining and sharing information, it says that local governments can’t stop law enforcement from collecting it.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->It requires local governments to comply with all detainer requests.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->Perhaps surprisingly, it limits 1373 to law enforcement officials. (Previously, cities couldn’t restrict any “government entity” from sharing information.)
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->Finally, it delegates the authority to condition grants related to immigration, law enforcement, and national security to the executive branch.

For cities, this is bad news

The proposed amendment runs into constitutional issues. It “exacerbates the commandeering problems with the original Section 1373 because of the fact that it could potentially cover a lot more things,” Somin, at George Mason, says. It also opens doors for lawsuits by requiring that cities honor detainer requests and hold individuals without probable cause.

To really understand what the ground-level impact on a city might be, consider this scenario: A parking official is walking up and down a street. But instead of looking for parking violations, and giving out tickets, he decides to question the immigration status of pedestrians he comes across. Then, he compiles this information, verifies it, and sends it to the feds. Under the proposed 1373 expansion, the city may not be able to tell him to stop. “This is what I like to call a rogue official bill, because it empowers rogue officials to blow off command by their city and by their commanding officers,” Su says. “It’s a huge federalism intrusion on the ability of a state and local government to manage their own bureaucracy.” And here’s another angle to the federalism glitch, he says. Even if the federal government says, “Hey, you can’t stop your officers from collecting this information and passing it on to us,” that prohibition doesn’t mean that officers are automatically required to carry out those tasks. “A ban on a ban doesn't necessarily mean

authority to do something," Su says, especially since cities are "creatures of the state"—they draw their powers from the state and not the federal government, so the federal government can't force their hand. (Of course, some states might be ready to delegate this authority.)

This provision may not end up being in the final budget, but immigrant advocates fear that it might be tucked into other bills that reach the president's desk. Needless to say, that could usher in a significant legal backlash from local governments. Meanwhile, cities stand to lose: both money and the power to govern.

TIME: Deportation Fears Silence Some Domestic Violence Victims

<http://time.com/4798422/domestic-violence-deportation-immigration/>

By Maya Rhodan

May 30, 2017

Since President Trump took office, the hotlines of the Tahirih Justice Center in Houston, which advocates for victims of sexual and domestic violence, have been inundated with calls.

But the women and men reaching out aren't just reporting instances of abuse. Executive Director Anne Chandler says callers queries center on one of Trump's biggest domestic priorities: immigration. During routine screening calls, those seeking help—from sex trafficking victims to those escaping abuse—have asked lawyers if they will report them to Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

"One caller that I was handling—I was simply just trying to coordinate follow up with that client and explaining that I wasn't going to get to her matter for another week," Chandler recalls. "She paused and said 'Are you going to report me to ICE?' In the past 19 years, I haven't had a caller ask me at the end of a conversation. The community is scared."

The Trump Administration has not made life easy for America's undocumented immigrants. To a large extent, that's by design. One of President Trump's first acts in office was signing a pair of executive orders that beefed up enforcement and [expanded the list of deportable offenses](#).

--

Morgan Ryan
Cambridge Global Advisors
(847)828-9148
mryan@cambridgeglobal.com

<LEITF Weekly Report June 6 2017.docx>

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 4:04:08 PM

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:07:13 PM

OK. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:44 PM
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

10 attendees will be fine, however, we cannot accommodate additional attendees due to limited space/seating.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:44:07 PM

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

10 attendees will be fine, however, we cannot accommodate additional attendees due to limited space/seating.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the

people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:49:55 PM

Got it.

From our point of view, as far as representing our group, we didn't feel it was stretching it to include those who helped with all the research and work that went into presenting our case, even if their schedule that day precluded attending that meeting. But as far as the number of attendees goes, that makes perfect sense.

Thanks, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:38 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Unfortunately, we have to limit the number to 10 attendees as others have also been invited. In addition, it is preferred that those who attended the first meeting attend tomorrow's meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:22 PM

To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Maria, is there any chance we could add one chair? We've actually got 12, but we can draw straws for one to sit out. Can we compromise on 11?

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:27 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

You're welcome!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 13:07
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

OK. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:44 PM
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

10 attendees will be fine, however, we cannot accommodate additional attendees due to limited space/seating.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it

tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:22:27 PM

Maria, is there any chance we could add one chair? We've actually got 12, but we can draw straws for one to sit out. Can we compromise on 11?

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:27 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

You're welcome!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please

immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 13:07

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

OK. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:44 PM

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

10 attendees will be fine, however, we cannot accommodate additional attendees due to limited space/seating.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:27:28 PM

You're welcome!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 13:07
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

OK. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:44 PM

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

10 attendees will be fine, however, we cannot accommodate additional attendees due to limited space/seating.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
To: [Maria L Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13:23 PM

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh

Editor, In Business Magazine

(480) 588-9505 x220

rmarsh@inmediacompany.com

inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company

515 E. Grant St.

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [Maria L. Chavez](#)
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 5:09:00 PM
Attachments: [image009.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image011.png](#)
[image012.png](#)

Thank you!

Marchelle F. Franklin, Director
Phoenix Police Department
Community Affairs Division
p: 602.262.6080

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence



NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Maria L Chavez
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 4:11 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fw: Meeting with Chief Williams

Just an FYI

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 15:49
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Got it.

From our point of view, as far as representing our group, we didn't feel it was stretching it to include those who helped with all the research and work that went into presenting our case, even if their schedule that day precluded attending that meeting. But as far as the number of attendees goes, that makes perfect sense.

Thanks, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:38 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Unfortunately, we have to limit the number to 10 attendees as others have also been invited. In addition, it is preferred that those who attended the first meeting attend tomorrow's meeting.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez, Administrative Assistant I
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh [<mailto:rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:22 PM
To: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Maria, is there any chance we could add one chair? We've actually got 12, but we can draw straws for one to sit out. Can we compromise on 11?

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:27 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

You're welcome!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 13:07
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

OK. Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Maria L Chavez <maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:44 PM

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

10 attendees will be fine, however, we cannot accommodate additional attendees due to limited space/seating.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez

Assistant to the Police Chief

Phoenix Police Department

Office of Administration

Office: 602.534.0123

FAX: 602.495.0356

maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 12:13

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

Hi, Maria.

I said I'd let you know how many people to expect. It looks like there will be about 10 of us – the people you invited from the ACLU, and members of our People Power

group.

A few of our group who met with Chief Williams last time are not able to make it tomorrow, and a few of our group who had been unable to make the previous meeting *are* able to join us this time.

Thank you.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28 AM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ [www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice](https://twitter.com/phoenixpolice)
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments.

If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04

To: Maria L Chavez

Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [<mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM

To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>

Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with

you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [Maria L Chavez](#)
To: [RaeAnne Marsh](#)
Subject: Re: Meeting with Chief Williams
Date: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 8:28:09 AM

Great! I will send out the invite.

Thank you!

Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 16:04
To: Maria L Chavez
Subject: RE: Meeting with Chief Williams

I am so sorry – I did not get the message.

That sounds terrific. I'll check everyone's availability and let you know how many to expect.

Thank you, Maria.

RaeAnne

RaeAnne Marsh
Editor, In Business Magazine
(480) 588-9505 x220
rmarsh@inmediacompany.com
inbusinessmag.com

InMedia Company
515 E. Grant St.
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
inmediacompany.com

From: Maria L Chavez [mailto:maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:50 PM
To: RaeAnne Marsh <rmarsh@inmediacompany.com>
Subject: Meeting with Chief Williams

Good afternoon Ms. Marsh,

I am following up on a voicemail I left last week. Chief Williams would like meet with you, your organization's members who attended the June 9th meeting, and ACLU members on June 29 at 1 p.m. to review Operations Order 4.48.

Please let me know if you are able to attend so that I can finalize the meeting date.

Thank you,
Maria

Maria L. Chavez
Assistant to the Police Chief
Phoenix Police Department
Office of Administration
Office: 602.534.0123
FAX: 602.495.0356
maria.l.chavez@phoenix.gov

Visit us online ~ www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter ~ www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube ~ www.youtube.com/phxpd

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain **PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL** information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use and further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Mary Minctons](#)
Subject: Re: MEETING WITH PEOPLE POWER
Date: Saturday, May 06, 2017 8:40:46 AM

Ms. Minctons:

Thank you for your email. Chief Williams is meeting with the ACLU in the near future to hear concerns about Operations Order 4.48 and continues to work with City management on the recommendations made by the Phoenix City Council. The Phoenix Police Department respects the dignity of all persons and recognizes the sanctity of human life, rights and liberty. We are committed to protecting and serving every member of our diverse community and ensuring that crime victims and witnesses feel comfortable and confident when reporting crimes to our officers. The immigration status of victims and witnesses is not asked and our officers are there to help those in need.

Further, the mission of our School Resource Officer Program is to foster trust and cooperation when interacting with students, administrators and parents, and to provide for the overall safety and security of our school campuses. To achieve that mission the Phoenix Police Department has adopted the triad concept of community policing with respect to officers' duties. School Resource Officers shall first place emphasis on being educators and mentors and only act as enforcement officers when needed. I'd also like to personally thank you for your service as one of Arizona's educators. On behalf of Chief Williams, thank you for taking the time to express your concerns. Have a great weekend.

Tom Van Dorn, Commander
Community Relations & Public Affairs Bureaus
tom.vandorn@phoenix.gov
O. 602-262-7647
C.

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed.

This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: Mary Minctons <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Date: Saturday, May 6, 2017 at 8:18 AM
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: MEETING WITH PEOPLE POWER

Dear Tom,

I am a People Power volunteer for ACLU of AZ, a classroom teacher in a public Title I school and a Phoenix resident. For the past two months, PP volunteers have been requesting a meeting with a representative of the Phoenix Police department to discuss the ACLU's Model 9 policies for immigration enforcement.

The Phoenix PD is the only police department in the Valley that still refuses to meet with People Power volunteers. The police departments of Scottsdale, Mesa and Tucson all have met and discusses these issues with us!

PLEASE help us set up a meeting because I am very concerned about the civil rights of many of the parents of my students and the students themselves.

I have read the Phoenix PD's website and know that you have a commitment to community engagement and I am confident that you can help!

Sincerely,
Mary D. Minctons

From: [Jennifer L Laroque](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Mercedes A Fortune](#); [Jonathan W Howard](#); [Alan R Pfohl](#); [Vincent C Lewis](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Sean P Connolly](#)
Subject: Re: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17
Date: Thursday, July 06, 2017 10:26:48 AM
Attachments: [ENS new immigration policy.docx](#)

All, here is a draft ENS. You can change it however you wish. This will not hurt my feelings one bit.

Jennifer LaRoque, Lieutenant/Asst. City Attorney

Phoenix Police Department

jennifer.laroque@phoenix.gov

p: 602.495.0439 f: 602.534.0842

PRIDE

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police

Follow us on Twitter www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice

Subscribe to us on YouTube www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Thomas G Vandorn

Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2017 9:26:03 AM

To: Mercedes A Fortune; Jonathan W Howard; Alan R Pfohl; Vincent C Lewis

Cc: Jeri L Williams; Marchelle F Franklin; Sandra T Renteria; Jennifer L Laroque; Sean P Connolly

Subject: Fwd: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

Please have a draft ENS completed for review by this afternoon on 4.48 for the Chief, Director, Chief Renteria and myself. Tentative effective start date 0001 on 7/10. We just need to include the following highlights from policy:

1. Sanctity of Life
2. The Law
3. Victims/Witnesses
4. Drivers/Passengers
5. SRO's
6. VCB Desk as contact

Thanks!

tvD

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>

Date: July 6, 2017 at 9:17:53 AM MST

To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Chiefs Group <ChiefsGroup@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn
<Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Jennifer L Laroque
<Jennifer.Laroque@phoenix.gov>, Tracey A Femenia
<Tracey.Femenia@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

Thanks for everyone's work in this one.

Good job Sandra, Tom and Jen!

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2017, at 9:00 AM, Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov> wrote:

This is the final version that will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours [on Monday, 07-10-17](#) if there are no further changes. It includes the change that ACLU requested reference SROs or any officer not able to ask immigration questions on school grounds.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
Sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
(cell

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn Leone <Dawn.Leone@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 8:49:37 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours on Monday, 07-10-17.

*Officer Dawn Leone #7359
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Policy Unit
Desk: 602-534-8582*

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - [www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice](https://twitter.com/phoenixpolice)
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication |
Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

<Ops4-48.pdf>

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Jennifer L Laroque](#)
Cc: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Mercedes A Fortune](#); [Jonathan W Howard](#); [Alan R Pfohl](#); [Vincent C Lewis](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Sean P Connolly](#)
Subject: Re: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17
Date: Thursday, July 06, 2017 10:38:15 AM

I'm good with what I read.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2017, at 10:26 AM, Jennifer L Laroque <Jennifer.Laroque@phoenix.gov> wrote:

All, here is a draft ENS. You can change it however you wish. This will not hurt my feelings one bit.

Jennifer LaRoque, Lieutenant/Asst. City Attorney
Phoenix Police Department
jennifer.laroque@phoenix.gov
p: 602.495.0439 f: 602.534.0842
PRIDE

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2017 9:26:03 AM
To: Mercedes A Fortune; Jonathan W Howard; Alan R Pfohl; Vincent C Lewis
Cc: Jeri L Williams; Marchelle F Franklin; Sandra T Renteria; Jennifer L Laroque; Sean P Connolly
Subject: Fwd: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

Please have a draft ENS completed for review by this afternoon on 4.48 for the Chief, Director, Chief Renteria and myself. Tentative effective start date 0001 on 7/10. We just need to include the following highlights from policy:

1. Sanctity of Life
2. The Law
3. Victims/Witnesses
4. Drivers/Passengers
5. SRO's
6. VCB Desk as contact

Thanks!

tvb

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 9:17:53 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Chiefs Group <ChiefsGroup@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Jennifer L Laroque <Jennifer.Laroque@phoenix.gov>, Tracey A Femenia <Tracey.Femenia@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

Thanks for everyone's work in this one.

Good job Sandra, Tom and Jen!

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2017, at 9:00 AM, Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov> wrote:

This is the final version that will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours [on Monday, 07-10-17](#) if there are no further changes. It includes the change that ACLU requested reference SROs or any officer not able to ask immigration questions on school grounds.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
Sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication |
Excellence

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn Leone
<Dawn.Leone@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 8:49:37 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours
on Monday, 07-10-17.

*Officer Dawn Leone #7359
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Policy Unit
Desk: 602-534-8582*

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter -
www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube -
www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity |
Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

<Ops4-48.pdf>

<ENS new immigration policy.docx>

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Jennifer L Laroque](mailto:Jennifer.L.Laroque)
Cc: [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Mercedes A Fortune](#); [Jonathan W Howard](#); [Alan R Pfohl](#); [Vincent C Lewis](#); [Marchelle F Franklin](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#); [Sean P Connolly](#)
Subject: Re: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17
Date: Thursday, July 06, 2017 10:38:13 AM

I'm good with what I read.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2017, at 10:26 AM, Jennifer L Laroque <Jennifer.Laroque@phoenix.gov> wrote:

All, here is a draft ENS. You can change it however you wish. This will not hurt my feelings one bit.

Jennifer LaRoque, Lieutenant/Asst. City Attorney
Phoenix Police Department
jennifer.laroque@phoenix.gov
p: 602.495.0439 f: 602.534.0842
PRIDE

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube www.youtube.com/phxpd

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2017 9:26:03 AM
To: Mercedes A Fortune; Jonathan W Howard; Alan R Pfohl; Vincent C Lewis
Cc: Jeri L Williams; Marchelle F Franklin; Sandra T Renteria; Jennifer L Laroque; Sean P Connolly
Subject: Fwd: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

Please have a draft ENS completed for review by this afternoon on 4.48 for the Chief, Director, Chief Renteria and myself. Tentative effective start date 0001 on 7/10. We just need to include the following highlights from policy:

1. Sanctity of Life
2. The Law
3. Victims/Witnesses
4. Drivers/Passengers
5. SRO's
6. VCB Desk as contact

Thanks!

tvdl

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 9:17:53 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Chiefs Group <ChiefsGroup@phoenix.gov>, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>, Jennifer L Laroque <Jennifer.Laroque@phoenix.gov>, Tracey A Femenia <Tracey.Femenia@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

Thanks for everyone's work in this one.

Good job Sandra, Tom and Jen!

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 6, 2017, at 9:00 AM, Sandra T Renteria <Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov> wrote:

This is the final version that will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours [on Monday, 07-10-17](#) if there are no further changes. It includes the change that ACLU requested reference SROs or any officer not able to ask immigration questions on school grounds.

Sandra T. Renteria, Assistant Chief
Support Services Division
Phoenix Police Department
Sandra.renteria@phoenix.gov
(602) 262-7392 desk
cell

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication |
Excellence

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn Leone
<Dawn.Leone@phoenix.gov>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 8:49:37 AM MST
To: Sandra T Renteria
<Sandra.Renteria@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Ops4.48-Eff. 07-10-17

This will be uploaded to PolicePoint at 0001 hours
on Monday, 07-10-17.

*Officer Dawn Leone #7359
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau
Policy Unit
Desk: 602-534-8582*

Visit us online! www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter -
www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube -
www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity |
Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication contains information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

<Ops4-48.pdf>

<ENS new immigration policy.docx>

To: [Nikki A Hicks](#); [Jeri L Williams](#); [Michael J Kurtenbach](#)
Cc: [James L Burgett](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: RE: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:37:14 PM

Good afternoon Nikki,

Thanks for forwarding. So you are aware, I responded to Ms. Hamel's email on Saturday on behalf of Chief Williams. -Marchelle

From: Nikki A Hicks
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Michael J Kurtenbach <Mike.Kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI...request from Councilman Valenzuela's office.

Nikki Hicks
Phoenix Police Department
602-262-6937 office
602-677-4624 business cell
phoenix.gov/police
[Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)
PRIDE: Protection, Respect, Integrity, Dedication, Excellence

From: Vania J. Guevara
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:23 PM
To: Nikki A Hicks <Nikki.Hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Nikki,

I hope this message finds you well ☺. Can you please loop us in regarding next steps and meetings with community groups? We would like to be a part of the conversation or informed in any way possible.

Thank you!

Vania

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:> [@gmail.com](#)]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Cc: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 7:49:59 PM

Do we want to extend the invitation to Thursday's meeting with People Power & ACLU? I have no idea who this person is but we have a direct contact at Puente. Director, you and I met with her in your office.

I'd recommend rather than an "affiliate" we consider Puente directly should we want to invite them.

tvD

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[gmail.com](#)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov, jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel

Executive Director, Justice That Works

hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Joaquin AJ. Rios](#)
To: hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org
Cc: [@gmail.com](#)
Subject: RE: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 1:57:00 PM

Heather—

I hope you're doing well. We have been told by the Police Department that subsequent to this email, they have extended an invitation to hold the meeting requested below. If that isn't the case, please let me know. You can also feel free to call me at (480) 229-1895.

-Joaquin

From: Heather Hamel [[mailto: @gmail.com](#)]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](mailto:Marchelle.F.Franklin)
Cc: [Jeri L. Williams](mailto:Jeri.L.Williams)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 7:49:54 PM

Do we want to extend the invitation to Thursday's meeting with People Power & ACLU? I have no idea who this person is but we have a direct contact at Puente. Director, you and I met with her in your office.

I'd recommend rather than an "affiliate" we consider Puente directly should we want to invite them.

tvD

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](mailto:heatherhamel@gmail.com)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov, jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel

Executive Director, Justice That Works

hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

480.232.5464

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 7:14:50 PM

We have not failed to reach out. She may not have been invited.

You can let her know that I am out of town and that's why you are responding for me.

Here's my suggested response.

Ms. Hamal: Thank you for your email.

We have been working on this revision on the revision for some time.

We have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. The Phoenix Union High School District many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](#)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov, jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](mailto:Marchelle.F.Franklin)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 7:14:47 PM

We have not failed to reach out. She may not have been invited.

You can let her know that I am out of town and that's why you are responding for me.

Here's my suggested response.

Ms. Hamal: Thank you for your email.

We have been working on this revision on the revision for some time.

We have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. The Phoenix Union High School District many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <@gmail.com>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov, jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 1:00:09 PM

Thank you boss. I just sent her an email and copied you and the Chief after the Chief replied "sure" to extend the invitation to this upcoming meeting Thursday.

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 24, 2017, at 12:56 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 24, 2017 at 11:07:21 AM MST
To: Heather Hamel <h@gmail.com>
Cc: "jeri.williams@phoenix.gov" <jeri.williams@phoenix.gov>, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. Because she has very limited access to email, she asked that I send her reply to your email which is below.

Dear Ms. Hamel,

The Phoenix Police Department has been working on this revision for quite some time.

In this process, we have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. Those groups include the Phoenix Union High School District, many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email, just to name a few.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel
<hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org> wrote:

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 1:00:06 PM

Thank you boss. I just sent her an email and copied you and the Chief after the Chief replied "sure" to extend the invitation to this upcoming meeting Thursday.

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 24, 2017, at 12:56 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Date: June 24, 2017 at 11:07:21 AM MST
To: Heather Hamel <[h](#) [@gmail.com](#)>
Cc: "jeri.williams@phoenix.gov" <jeri.williams@phoenix.gov>, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. Because she has very limited access to email, she asked that I send her reply to your email which is below.

Dear Ms. Hamel,

The Phoenix Police Department has been working on this revision for quite some time.

In this process, we have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. Those groups include the Phoenix Union High School District, many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email, just to name a few.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel
<hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org> wrote:

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 10:49:40 AM

Yes will respond.

And I'll reserve my comments until we see each other Monday on Heather.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:14 PM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

We have not failed to reach out. She may not have been invited.

You can let her know that I am out of town and that's why you are responding for me.

Here's my suggested response.

Ms. Hamal: Thank you for your email.

We have been working on this revision on the revision for some time.

We have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. The Phoenix Union High School District many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](mailto:heatherhamel@gmail.com)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov,
jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Heather Hamel](#)
Cc: jeri.williams@phoenix.gov; [Jeri L. Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 11:07:22 AM

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. Because she has very limited access to email, she asked that I send her reply to your email which is below.

Dear Ms. Hamel,

The Phoenix Police Department has been working on this revision for quite some time.

In this process, we have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. Those groups include the Phoenix Union High School District, many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email, just to name a few.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](mailto:heatherhamel@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 10:56:28 AM

And I'm sending this from you to her in my email. She needs to know your tone and tenor regarding her email.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:14 PM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

We have not failed to reach out. She may not have been invited.

You can let her know that I am out of town and that's why you are responding for me.

Here's my suggested response.

Ms. Hamal: Thank you for your email.

We have been working on this revision on the revision for some time.

We have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. The Phoenix Union High School District many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <hamel@azjusticethatworks.org>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov,
jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 10:56:27 AM

And I'm sending this from you to her in my email. She needs to know your tone and tenor regarding her email.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:14 PM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

We have not failed to reach out. She may not have been invited.

You can let her know that I am out of town and that's why you are responding for me.

Here's my suggested response.

Ms. Hamal: Thank you for your email.

We have been working on this revision on the revision for some time.

We have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. The Phoenix Union High School District many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <hamel@azjusticethatworks.org>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov,
jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov
To: [Heather Hamel](#)
Cc: jeri.williams@phoenix.gov; [Jeri L. Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 10:55:26 AM

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation with very intermittent access to email. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. she wanted to respond to your email so please see her response below.

We have been working on this revision on the revision for some time.

We have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. The Phoenix Union High School District many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](mailto:heatherhamel@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel

Executive Director, Justice That Works

hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 10:49:42 AM

Yes will respond.

And I'll reserve my comments until we see each other Monday on Heather.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:14 PM, Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov> wrote:

We have not failed to reach out. She may not have been invited.

You can let her know that I am out of town and that's why you are responding for me.

Here's my suggested response.

Ms. Hamal: Thank you for your email.

We have been working on this revision on the revision for some time.

We have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. The Phoenix Union High School District many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](mailto:heatherhamel@gmail.com)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov,
jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](mailto:Marchelle.F.Franklin)
Cc: [Nikki A Hicks](#); [Michael J Kurtenbach](#); [James L Burgett](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:46:42 PM

Please forward the emails we sent to Heather.

She was invited.

Jim: Please share the emails you receive with D5.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 26, 2017, at 1:42 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Nikki,

Thanks for forwarding. So you are aware, the Chief asked me to respond to Ms. Hamel on her behalf which I did on Saturday. And Commander Van Dorn (on Saturday as well) extended an invitation for Ms. Hamel to attend an upcoming meeting the Chief is having with ACLU and People Power on Ops Order 4.45. Ms. Hamel has not responded to either email as of this afternoon.

(Commander Burgett, please let me know if you need me to forward those emails to you. Thanks)

From: Nikki A Hicks
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Michael J Kurtenbach <Mike.Kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI...request from Councilman Valenzuela's office.

Nikki Hicks
Phoenix Police Department
602-262-6937 office
602-677-4624 business cell
phoenix.gov/police
[Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)
PRIDE: Protection, Respect, Integrity, Dedication, Excellence

From: Vania J. Guevara
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:23 PM
To: Nikki A Hicks <Nikki.Hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Nikki,

I hope this message finds you well ☺. Can you please loop us in regarding next steps and meetings with community groups? We would like to be a part of the conversation or informed in any way possible.

Thank you!

Vania

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:heather.hamel@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 8:01:40 PM

Sure

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:49 PM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Do we want to extend the invitation to Thursday's meeting with People Power & ACLU? I have no idea who this person is but we have a direct contact at Puente. Director, you and I met with her in your office.

I'd recommend rather than an "affiliate" we consider Puente directly should we want to invite them.

tvD

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](#)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov,
jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been

working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov
To: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Friday, June 23, 2017 8:01:38 PM

Sure

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 10:49 PM, Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Do we want to extend the invitation to Thursday's meeting with People Power & ACLU? I have no idea who this person is but we have a direct contact at Puente. Director, you and I met with her in your office.

I'd recommend rather than an "affiliate" we consider Puente directly should we want to invite them.

tvd

sent from my iPhone

On Jun 23, 2017, at 7:03 PM, Marchelle F Franklin
<marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

FYI.

Jeri she had your email incorrect.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](#)>
Date: June 23, 2017 at 5:35:48 PM MST
To: marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov,
jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been

working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Jeri L Williams](#)
To: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
Cc: [Nikki A Hicks](#); [Michael J Kurtenbach](#); [James L Burgett](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:46:44 PM

Please forward the emails we sent to Heather.

She was invited.

Jim: Please share the emails you receive with D5.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 26, 2017, at 1:42 PM, Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Nikki,

Thanks for forwarding. So you are aware, the Chief asked me to respond to Ms. Hamel on her behalf which I did on Saturday. And Commander Van Dorn (on Saturday as well) extended an invitation for Ms. Hamel to attend an upcoming meeting the Chief is having with ACLU and People Power on Ops Order 4.45. Ms. Hamel has not responded to either email as of this afternoon.

(Commander Burgett, please let me know if you need me to forward those emails to you. Thanks)

From: Nikki A Hicks
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Michael J Kurtenbach <Mike.Kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI...request from Councilman Valenzuela's office.

Nikki Hicks
Phoenix Police Department
602-262-6937 office
602-677-4624 business cell
phoenix.gov/police
[Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)
PRIDE: Protection, Respect, Integrity, Dedication, Excellence

From: Vania J. Guevara
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:23 PM
To: Nikki A Hicks <Nikki.Hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Nikki,

I hope this message finds you well ☺. Can you please loop us in regarding next steps and meetings with community groups? We would like to be a part of the conversation or informed in any way possible.

Thank you!

Vania

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:heather.hamel@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Marchelle F. Franklin](#)
To: [Nikki A Hicks](#); [Jeri L Williams](#); [Michael J Kurtenbach](#)
Cc: [James L Burgett](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#); [Sandra T Renteria](#)
Subject: RE: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:42:29 PM

Good afternoon Nikki,

Thanks for forwarding. So you are aware, the Chief asked me to respond to Ms. Hamel on her behalf which I did on Saturday. And Commander Van Dorn (on Saturday as well) extended an invitation for Ms. Hamel to attend an upcoming meeting the Chief is having with ACLU and People Power on Ops Order 4.45. Ms. Hamel has not responded to either email as of this afternoon.

(Commander Burgett, please let me know if you need me to forward those emails to you. Thanks)

From: Nikki A Hicks
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Jeri L Williams <jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov>; Michael J Kurtenbach <Mike.Kurtenbach@phoenix.gov>; Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

FYI...request from Councilman Valenzuela's office.

Nikki Hicks
Phoenix Police Department
602-262-6937 office
602-677-4624 business cell
phoenix.gov/police
[Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#)
PRIDE: Protection, Respect, Integrity, Dedication, Excellence

From: Vania J. Guevara
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2017 1:23 PM
To: Nikki A Hicks <Nikki.Hicks@phoenix.gov>
Subject: FW: Order 4.48 Revisions

Good afternoon Nikki,

I hope this message finds you well ☺. Can you please loop us in regarding next steps and meetings with community groups? We would like to be a part of the conversation or informed in any way possible.

Thank you!

Vania

From: Heather Hamel [<mailto:heather.hamel@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 23, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin <marchelle.franklin@phoenix.gov>; jeri.williams@phoenix.gov
Subject: Order 4.48 Revisions

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [Marchelle F Franklin](#)
To: [Heather Hamel](#)
Cc: jeri.williams@phoenix.gov; [Jeri L Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Order 4.48 Revisions
Date: Saturday, June 24, 2017 11:07:25 AM

Chief Williams is out of the office on vacation. However, I shared with her your email as you have her email address incorrect. It is jeri.l.williams@phoenix.gov. Because she has very limited access to email, she asked that I send her reply to your email which is below.

Dear Ms. Hamel,

The Phoenix Police Department has been working on this revision for quite some time.

In this process, we have met with a myriad of groups beyond the ACLU. Those groups include the Phoenix Union High School District, many Advisory Boards, and have taken into account personal testimony from those persons who have spoken at Council and via email, just to name a few.

I consider our outreach far more than a failed effort to connect with the community.

If you would like to offer suggestions, they would be welcomed.

Thank you again for your concern and email. I assure you I want the Phoenix Police Department to continue to be an accountable and transparent department.

Jeri Williams
Chief of Police

On Jun 23, 2017, at 5:35 PM, Heather Hamel <[@gmail.com](mailto:heatherhamel@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hello Chief Williams and Ms. Franklin--

I am reaching out on behalf of Puente, the Center for Neighborhood Leadership, and Justice That Works to request a meeting with Phoenix PD regarding the intended revisions to Order 4.48.

It is our understanding that Phoenix PD has been working on revising this order, but so far has failed to engage any community organizations in this process, with the exception of a single meeting held with the ACLU. To date, no community organizations have seen the revisions or been allowed to provide feedback or suggestions. This lack of transparency is deeply concerning.

We are requesting a meeting with Phoenix PD to discuss the intended revisions, and would like to review the changes proposed by Phoenix PD before the meeting (and before they are released).

Please let us know if you have some availability next week.

All the best,

--

Heather Hamel
Executive Director, Justice That Works
hhamel@azjusticethatworks.org

From: [JT Turner](#)
To: [Karen L. Kontak](#)
Cc: [Laura Vittorio](#); [Matt Ronstadt](#); [Scott Ellis](#)
Subject: Re: Phoenix
Date: Friday, June 09, 2017 3:29:37 PM
Attachments: [IMAGE.png](#)
[JT Turner.vcf](#)
[May SB1070.xlsx](#)
[TPD Immigration Policy.pdf](#)
[TWX Flow Chart.pdf](#)

Karen,

Pleasure talking with you today. Attached are the documents and policy we talked about. I will also be sending you an e-mail, which contains our local Border Patrol's requested changes to our notification protocols. As mentioned we will be discussing that with senior staff on Monday, so for now the hand written flow chart is what our Records (TWX) folks follow for calling BP.

Hope this helps...

Captain JT Turner

Commander, Administrative Services Division
Tucson Police Department
270 S. Stone Avenue
Tucson, Arizona 85701
(520) 837-7748
JT.Turner@tucsonaz.gov

Recruiting: recruit1@tucsonaz.gov



Note: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

>>> Laura Vittorio 6/9/2017 3:20 PM >>>

Hi, the Phoenix PD R&A Manager, Karen, called me today for Sue's number, as they were having a meeting this morning and she hadn't heard back from her. I gave her Sue's number and Capt. Turner's number. She called me back later this afternoon to verify Capt. Turner's number....as it had Decio's name on the voice mail....I discovered the number in GroupWise is incorrect, and I gave her the correct number. In speaking with her, she said that they will be meeting again on Tuesday - with the purpose being that they are in need of creating an SB1070-type database and the ACLU people they met with suggested they emulate ours - as what we provide them is perfect, etc. She said that she was looking for the fields that are in our database, etc., as well other questions. I advised her that I could provide her with the field names, a sample report, and some additional information, and for anything further she will need to call Capt. Turner. Attached is a copy of the email I sent her.

From: [JT Turner](#)
To: [Karen L Kontak](#)
Subject: RE: Phoenix
Date: Friday, June 09, 2017 3:57:56 PM
Attachments: [IMAGE.png](#)
[JT Turner.vcf](#)

Anytime. ...until June 30 when I retire after 31 years here!
>>> Karen L Kontak <Karen.Kontak@phoenix.gov> 6/9/2017 3:49 PM >>>
Thanks so much JT – you are wonderful!!

Karen

From: JT Turner [mailto:JT.Turner@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 09, 2017 3:29 PM
To: Karen L Kontak <Karen.Kontak@phoenix.gov>
Cc: Laura Vittorio <Laura.Vittorio@tucsonaz.gov>; Matt Ronstadt <Matt.Ronstadt@tucsonaz.gov>; Scott Ellis <Scott.Ellis@tucsonaz.gov>
Subject: Re: Phoenix

Karen,

Pleasure talking with you today. Attached are the documents and policy we talked about. I will also be sending you an e-mail, which contains our local Border Patrol's requested changes to our notification protocols. As mentioned we will be discussing that with senior staff on Monday, so for now the hand written flow chart is what our Records (TWX) folks follow for calling BP.

Hope this helps...

Captain JT Turner

Commander, Administrative Services Division
Tucson Police Department

270 S. Stone Avenue
Tucson, Arizona 85701
(520) 837-7748
JT.Turner@tucsonaz.gov

Recruiting: recruit1@tucsonaz.gov



Note: This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

>>> Laura Vittorio 6/9/2017 3:20 PM >>>

Hi, the Phoenix PD R&A Manager, Karen, called me today for Sue's number, as they were having a meeting this morning and she hadn't heard back from her. I gave her Sue's number and Capt. Turner's number. She called me back later this afternoon to verify Capt. Turner's number....as it had Decio's name on the voice mail....I discovered the number in GroupWise is incorrect, and I gave her the correct number. In speaking with her, she said that they will be meeting again on Tuesday - with the purpose being that they are in need of creating an SB1070-type database and the ACLU people they met with suggested they emulate ours - as what we provide them is perfect, etc. She said that she was looking for the fields that are in our database, etc., as well other questions. I advised her that I could provide her with the field names, a sample report, and some additional information, and for anything further she will need to call Capt. Turner. Attached is a copy of the email I sent her.

From: Gabriel.L.Lopez@phoenix.gov
To: [Brad Burt](#)
Cc: [Edward DeCastro](#); [James M Gallagher](#); [Anthony B Vasquez](#); [Charles J Consolian](#); [Charles P Morin](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: Request for meeting ACLU
Date: Thursday, March 16, 2017 5:59:47 PM

All, we got a similar request at DHP, and all information has been sent to Tom Vandorn in CRB.

Commander Gabe Lopez
Desert Horizon Precinct
gabriel.l.lopez@phoenix.gov
602.495.5006 desk

P.R.I.D.E.
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube www.youtube.com/phxpd

On Mar 16, 2017, at 5:07 PM, Brad Burt <Brad.Burt@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Further information.

From: Ean White
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:13 PM
To: Harry S Markley; Brad Burt; Jennifer L Laroque
Cc: Sandra Hunter
Subject: RE: Request for meeting

Jen has been our primary point of contact at PPD Legal Unit for SB 1070 matters. However, I will defer to Sandra as to who should take the lead in facilitating any meeting or discussion with the requestor. This matter has a lot of oversight from the City Attorney's Office, which is monitoring this issue for the CMO so we can ensure a consistent message.

Ean P. White
Assistant City Attorney
City of Phoenix Law Department
Legal Advisor, PPD Legal Unit
Office: (602) 534-0126
Mobile:
ean.white@phoenix.gov

From: Brad Burt

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:56 PM
To: Ean White; Jennifer L Laroque
Cc: Harry S Markley
Subject: FW: Request for meeting

Ean and Jen, I received this request out of the blue. Ms. Nelson is not one of our block watch folks and wants to chat with me. I am not sure why. In any case, Ms. Nelson appears to be an attorney out of California based on a quick look at Google. Attached is the focus of the discussion provided by her. I am comfortable with discussing our Immigration Policy however this might be best vetted through your shop.

I received the request through my admin staff and have not talked to her directly. Please take a look at the email chain for her contact information.

Thanks,

Brad

From: Lena Padilla
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:21 PM
To: Brad Burt
Subject: Fw: Request for meeting

Commander,

Please see the attached email.

Thank you,

Lena Padilla, A5853
Phoenix Police Department
Central City Precinct/DOU/Transit
0730-1600, M-F
602-534-0767 (Desk)
(Cell)

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Orien Nelson <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 2:45 PM

To: Lena Padilla

Subject: Request for meeting

Hello Lena,

Attached is a copy of the "9 Model Rules and Policies" that we would like to discuss with Commander Burt. Ideally, we would like to meet with him prior to March 31, 2017. Between 5-10 people would like to attend.

Thank you for your help.

Warm regards,

Orien Nelson

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

[REDACTED]

From: [Gabriel L Lopez](#)
To: [Brad Burt](#)
Cc: [Edward DeCastro](#); [James M Gallagher](#); [Anthony B Vasquez](#); [Charles J Consolian](#); [Charles P Morin](#); [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
Subject: Re: Request for meeting ACLU
Date: Thursday, March 16, 2017 5:59:47 PM

All, we got a similar request at DHP, and all information has been sent to Tom Vandorn in CRB.

Commander Gabe Lopez
Desert Horizon Precinct
gabriel.l.lopez@phoenix.gov
602.495.5006 desk

P.R.I.D.E.
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

Visit us online! - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube www.youtube.com/phxpd

On Mar 16, 2017, at 5:07 PM, Brad Burt <Brad.Burt@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Further information.

From: Ean White
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:13 PM
To: Harry S Markley; Brad Burt; Jennifer L Laroque
Cc: Sandra Hunter
Subject: RE: Request for meeting

Jen has been our primary point of contact at PPD Legal Unit for SB 1070 matters. However, I will defer to Sandra as to who should take the lead in facilitating any meeting or discussion with the requestor. This matter has a lot of oversight from the City Attorney's Office, which is monitoring this issue for the CMO so we can ensure a consistent message.

Ean P. White
Assistant City Attorney
City of Phoenix Law Department
Legal Advisor, PPD Legal Unit
Office: (602) 534-0126
Mobile:
ean.white@phoenix.gov

From: Brad Burt

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:56 PM
To: Ean White; Jennifer L Laroque
Cc: Harry S Markley
Subject: FW: Request for meeting

Ean and Jen, I received this request out of the blue. Ms. Nelson is not one of our block watch folks and wants to chat with me. I am not sure why. In any case, Ms. Nelson appears to be an attorney out of California based on a quick look at Google. Attached is the focus of the discussion provided by her. I am comfortable with discussing our Immigration Policy however this might be best vetted through your shop.

I received the request through my admin staff and have not talked to her directly. Please take a look at the email chain for her contact information.

Thanks,

Brad

From: Lena Padilla
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:21 PM
To: Brad Burt
Subject: Fw: Request for meeting

Commander,

Please see the attached email.

Thank you,

Lena Padilla, A5853
Phoenix Police Department
Central City Precinct/DOU/Transit
0730-1600, M-F
602-534-0767 (Desk)
(Cell)

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Orien Nelson <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 2:45 PM

To: Lena Padilla

Subject: Request for meeting

Hello Lena,

Attached is a copy of the "9 Model Rules and Policies" that we would like to discuss with Commander Burt. Ideally, we would like to meet with him prior to March 31, 2017. Between 5-10 people would like to attend.

Thank you for your help.

Warm regards,

Orien Nelson

[REDACTED]@gmail.com

[REDACTED]

From: [Thomas G Vandorn](#)
To: [Dennis E Orender](#)
Subject: Re: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:41:08 PM

Thanks!

sent from my iPhone

On Mar 31, 2017, at 12:33 PM, Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<trans.@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and

state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite

Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and

city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov
To: [Dennis E Orender](mailto:Dennis.E.Orender)
Subject: Re: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:41:06 PM

Thanks!

sent from my iPhone

On Mar 31, 2017, at 12:33 PM, Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov> wrote:

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<trans.@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and

state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite

Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and

city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

From: [Brenda L Dowhan](#)
To: [William F Wickers](#); [Nicholas M Diponzio](#); [Jeffrey R Dick](#); [Anthony D Jones](#); [Blake E Carlson](#)
Subject: Re: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots
Date: Friday, March 31, 2017 2:49:11 PM

I've been looking into Trans Queer Pueblo. They appear to be an advocate group for the LGBTQ and immigrant community. They have a group of approximately 90 people who plan to participate in Pride. I came across the Phoenix New Times article regarding their desire to see Pride return to its "radical roots."

I've seen posts from anarchists who share the frustration referenced in the article that Pride is too "corporate" and "too white" and does not accurately represent the LGBTQ community. Some do not want to participate for this reason. However, there is chatter regarding the corporate organizers and their displeasure with them taking over the event. I have not located any specific information regarding plans to protest the event. I will keep my eyes on this.

Brenda Dowhan
TLO All-Hazards Analyst
Phoenix Police Department, Homeland Defense Bureau
Arizona Counter Terrorism Information Center
Desk: 602-644-5938
Cell:
Brenda.dowhan@phoenix.gov

PRIDE

Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

NOTICE: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is law enforcement sensitive, for official use only, sensitive but unclassified, proprietary, privileged, and may be legally protected or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, dissemination, copying or distribution of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and immediately delete this message and all of its attachments.

From: William F Wickers
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 1:21 PM
To: Nicholas M Diponzio; Jeffrey R Dick; Anthony D Jones; Brenda L Dowhan; Blake E Carlson
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

William Wickers
Lieutenant
Phoenix Police Department
Homeland Defense Bureau

william.wickers@phoenix.gov

P.R.I.D.E.
Protection/Respect/Integrity/Dedication/Excellence

From: Michael J Kurtenbach
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:40 PM
To: William F Wickers
Subject: Fw: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI

Michael J. Kurtenbach, Executive Assistant Chief
Phoenix Police Department
mike.kurtenbach@phoenix.gov
p: 602.262.7700

Visit us online - www.phoenix.gov/police
Follow us on Twitter - www.twitter.com/phoenixpolice
Subscribe to us on YouTube - www.youtube.com/phxpd

PRIDE
Protection | Respect | Integrity | Dedication | Excellence

From: Thomas G Vandorn
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 12:38:34 PM
To: Marchelle F Franklin; Michael J Kurtenbach
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

FYI...email Justin received from group wanting to shut down parade. CRS/HBD looking into the group.

sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dennis E Orender <Dennis.Orender@phoenix.gov>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:33:15 PM MST
To: Thomas G Vandorn <Tom.VanDorn@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Per our conversation.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Justin Owen <justin@phoenixpride.org>
Date: March 31, 2017 at 12:21:21 PM MST
To: "dennis.orender@phoenix.gov" <dennis.orender@phoenix.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Justin Owen
Executive Director
Phoenix Pride

Sent from my iPhone
Please excuse brevity or typos

Begin forwarded message:

From: Trans Queer Pueblo
<@gmail.com>
Date: March 30, 2017 at 10:07:41 PM MST
To: justin@phoenixpride.org
Subject: Take PRIDE Back to Its Roots

Dear Pride,

Every Pride we march to keep alive the spirit of June 1969: trans women of color led patrons of the Stonewall Inn in New York City to resist the routine violence of LGBTQ+-focused police raids. For LGBTQ+ people of color our struggle against police brutality and state violence continues to today. We fight to protect our community from ICE raids, over policing and police brutality, and structural injustice. Last June Phoenix Pride and Mayor Stanton organized a vigil to mourn the

victims of the Pulse Massacre in Orlando. They were almost all LGBTQ+ people of color, including many migrants. When it comes to the lives of LGBTQ+ people of color and migrants here in Phoenix, Pride has been silent.

As LGBTQ+ people of color who are migrants, undocumented and poor, we face violence and injustice even while we are excluded from the priorities of LGBTQ+ institutions. Here in Phoenix:

- The Phoenix Department collaborates actively with ICE to persecute migrant communities transferring victims, perpetrators and witnesses of crime alike to ICE custody under the threat of deportation.
- Mayor Stanton talks tough but has refused to create substantive protections even in the face of Trump's racist and anti-migrant crusade. Under SB1070 and operation order 4.48, the PPD is already a mass deportation force.
- PPD ranks nationally in fatal shootings by police.
- [Manifestation law](#) profile and criminalize trans women of color.

These realities shape our lives: One of our members, Ricardo Vasquez, is a trans man who has lived in Phoenix for the last 16 years. When he defended his family against a transphobic attack in his home, police arrested him before asking any questions. They transferred him to ICE custody at Eloy Detention Center, where he was sexually assaulted by his cellmate and remained for over a year until he was released.

Our pride cannot be built on detention, deportation, and police violence. In Pride season 2017, we invite Phoenix Pride to lead the LGBTQ+ institutions to defend our whole family, including people of color and migrants, in two important ways:

- 1. Make Pride Safe for LGBTQ+ people of color.**

Police out of Pride: Deny Police Floats in the

Parade. Police in Phoenix persecute our communities and have no place in a march that began in order to repudiate police violence against LGBTQ+ communities.

End sponsorships that promote the mass incarceration of our communities: Pride's Parade Sponsor is the Bank of America, who finances the private, for-profit companies that run detention centers in Arizona and across the nation, like Eloy Detention Center, that are deadly for all migrants and specifically violate the rights of trans detainees.

Be accountable to queer and trans communities of color: Establish a people of color led external committee to review the safety and accessibility of Pride festivities, including the hiring of off-duty police as security.

2. Make Phoenix safe for LGBTQ+ people of color. We need a Phoenix Pride that uses its close ties to politicians and is publically vocal in support of our community.

Join our campaigns for liberation: Stand with LGBTQ+ migrants in detention in Arizona like Ricardo. Use your community weight to demand the freedom of our LGBTQ+ people.

Demand that Mayor Stanton do more than talk; urge him publicly to defy SB1070 and end operation order 4.48.

Publicly demand an end to manifestation law and city policy that targets trans and queer people of color.

Phoenix Pride is the first big-city Pride in the 2017 Pride Season. Together, we have the opportunity to lead the country with a Pride that reflects, honors and defends all of our communities' intersectional identities.

Sincerely,

Trans Queer Pueblo

To: [James L Burgett](#)
Subject: SB1070/prolonged stop
Date: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 1:42:12 PM
Attachments: [Settlement Agreement.pdf](#)
[Informal AG Opinion.pdf](#)

Commander Burgett,

Can you help me track down the right person to answer a question for Councilwoman Williams?

Attached are two documents in regards to the settlement between the State and the ACLU on SB1070 and its implementation as agreed on by the State Attorney General. In the informal opinion of the AG (Exhibit A) on page 4 the second paragraph reads:

*"If in the course of duty an officer has reasonable suspicion that an individual is unlawfully present in the United States, based on all available facts, except race or ethnicity, the officer shall attempt to verify the individual's immigration status by contacting ICE/CBP unless doing so **would prolong the stop or detention**, or the circumstances below apply. The officer shall, consistent with department policies, document the verification attempt, including the basis for the officer's reasonable suspicion as to unlawful presence and any response from ICE/CBP, in the stop data collection system."*

In the highlighted section, "...would prolong the stop or detention..." Has the Police Department determined what length of time would be considered as prolonging the stop or detention? It appears as if the concept of "reasonable" was not included in describing the definition of "prolong." Thus, is it 2 seconds, 2 minutes, 2 hours, or even two days? The vague wording could place individuals officers at risk as well as the city of Phoenix as a whole if the statute (ARS 11-1051) is incorrectly implemented or contrary to the attached agreement. It would not be unreasonable to see litigative issues possibly arising out of this general statement. What constitutes a prolonged stop?

Thank you,
Sina

Sina Matthes
Chief of Staff
Office of Councilwoman Thelda Williams
City of Phoenix
200 W. Washington St., 11th Floor
Phoenix, AZ 85003
602-261-8822
sina.matthes@phoenix.gov
phoenix.gov/district1

From: [Mike Mooney](#)
To: [Megan Doyle](#); [Eric Kerr](#); [Douglas MacKenzie](#); [Lorne Edwards](#); [Donn Oswald](#); [Jerry Harper](#); [Jeanne Delaney](#)
Cc: [Mike Mooney](#)
Subject: Visit Phoenix - City Report - April 2017
Date: Tuesday, May 09, 2017 3:24:40 PM
Attachments: [CityReport_April2017.pdf](#)

Attached is the city report for April 2017.

Michael Mooney
Executive VP/COO

Visit Phoenix

mmooney@visitphoenix.com | P: 602-452-6208 | F: 602-253-4415

WEBSITE: visitphoenix.com // FACEBOOK: [Visit Phoenix](#) // TWITTER: [@visitphoenix](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This electronic mail message contains information that(a)is or may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and(b)is intended only for the use of the Addressee(s) named herein. If you are not the intended recipient, an addressee, or the person responsible for delivering this to an addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, using, copying, or distributing any part of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system.

Thank You.

From: [Mike Mooney](#)
To: [Lorne Edwards](#); [Donn Oswald](#); [Mike Mooney](#); [Douglas MacKenzie](#); [Eric Kerr](#); [Jeanne Delaney](#); [Jerry Harper](#); [Megan Doyle](#)
Subject: Visit Phoenix - City Report - March 2017
Date: Friday, April 14, 2017 2:52:56 PM
Attachments: [CityReport_March2017.pdf](#)

Attached is the city report for March 2017.

Michael Mooney

Executive VP/COO

Visit Phoenix

mmooney@visitphoenix.com | P: 602-452-6208 | F: 602-253-4415

WEBSITE: visitphoenix.com // FACEBOOK: [Visit Phoenix](#) // TWITTER: [@visitphoenix](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This electronic mail message contains information that(a)is or may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and(b)is intended only for the use of the Addressee(s) named herein. If you are not the intended recipient, an addressee, or the person responsible for delivering this to an addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, using, copying, or distributing any part of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system.

Thank You.

From: [Mike Mooney](#)
To: [Lorne Edwards](#); [Donn Oswald](#); [Megan Doyle](#); [Douglas MacKenzie](#); [Eric Kerr](#); [Mike Mooney](#); [Jerry Harper](#); [Jeanne Delaney](#)
Subject: Visit Phoenix - Revised April City Report
Date: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 12:52:09 PM
Attachments: [CityReport_April2017rev1.pdf](#)

I discovered a year-dating error on the portfolio pages, which has been corrected. The error affected only the “definite” block of data.

Michael Mooney
Executive VP/COO

Visit Phoenix

mmooney@visitphoenix.com | P: 602-452-6208 | F: 602-253-4415

WEBSITE: visitphoenix.com // FACEBOOK: [Visit Phoenix](#) // TWITTER: [@visitphoenix](#)

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This electronic mail message contains information that(a)is or may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and(b)is intended only for the use of the Addressee(s) named herein. If you are not the intended recipient, an addressee, or the person responsible for delivering this to an addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, using, copying, or distributing any part of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system.

Thank You.

To: [Toni Maccarone \(toni.maccarone@phoenix.gov\)](mailto:toni.maccarone@phoenix.gov)
Subject: Weekly
Date: Thursday, May 25, 2017 3:03:01 PM
Attachments: [City Manager"s Weekly 5-25-17 DRAFT 2.doc](#)

Hi Toni,

Attached is the most recent version of the weekly. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP!!!! Tomorrow morning I will distribute hard copies to the DCMs/Exec Team and distribute to the email list.

Carmen Ronan

Management Intern

City of Phoenix

602-262-4449

From: [Carmen Ronan](#)
To: [Toni Maccarone \(toni.maccarone@phoenix.gov\)](mailto:toni.maccarone@phoenix.gov)
Subject: Weekly
Date: Thursday, May 25, 2017 3:04:00 PM
Attachments: [City Manager"s Weekly 5-25-17 DRAFT 2.doc](#)

Hi Toni,

Attached is the electronic version of the weekly I submitted to Ed. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP!!!!
Tomorrow morning I will distribute hard copies to the DCMs/Exec Team and distribute to the email list.

Carmen Ronan
Management Intern
City of Phoenix
602-262-4449