May 25, 2017

Via E-mail at bmarshall@judicialwatch.org

William F. Marshall
425 Third St., SW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024

RE: Public Records Act Request Dated April 28, 2017

Dear Mr. Marshall:

This letter is in response to your California Public Records Act request dated April 28, 2017 which was received by the City on May 4, 2017 requesting:

1. Any and all records of communications sent to or from officials in the Mayor’s Office, including but not limited to Mayor Arreguin, containing any of the following terms: Antifa, fascist, Milo, Yiannopoulos, Coulter, Trump, “By Any Means Necessary”, BAMN.

2. Any and all records of communications between Mayor’s Office officials and officials of the University of California, Berkeley regarding protests at the university.

3. Any and all records of communications between Mayor’s Office officials and officials of the Berkeley Police Department regarding the handling of protests.

The time frame of the requested records is December 1, 2016 to the present.”

The City has completed its search for responsive documents and as a courtesy has attached them to the email accompanying this letter. Please be advised that some documents have been withheld pursuant to the drafts exemptions (Gov’t Code § 6254(a)). The City has withheld preliminary drafts not retained by the City in the ordinary course of business because the public interest in withholding clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure (Gov’t Code § 6254(a)). The City and public have a significant interest in ensuring the quality of the City’s decisions. The disclosure of these drafts, would expose the City’s decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion of policy matters within the City and undermine the City’s ability to perform its functions.
If you have any questions concerning your request, please feel free to contact Paralegal Lester Valderas at (510) 981-6984, or by e-mail at lvalderas@cityofberkeley.info.

Sincerely,

Zach Cowan
City Attorney
From: Mark Duff <mduff1@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 4:08 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: #BasedStikMan

your department?? is a disgrace to law enforcement you let commies trash our universities and do nothing... a peaceful man decides to protect himself and others from violent antifa attack and you arrest Him???
you suck...
your city is a laughing stock nationwide communists run the city from top to bottom... Trump Revolution will arrest all you trash and throw away key American Patriot....
On International Conscientious Objectors’ Day, Celebrate the 11th Annual

Berkeley C.O.

and

War Resisters’ Day

11:30 A.M. Monday, May 15, 2017

Peace Flag raising ceremony, first at Civic Center flagpole at 2180 Milvia Street, corner of Allston Way and then at the flagpole at MLK, Jr. Civic Center Park, 2151 MLK, Jr. Way (between Center Street and Allston Way, across from Old City Hall), Berkeley

With Conscientious Objectors and War Resisters from World War II, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, the Gulf War, the Iraq and Afghanistan Wars

Bob Meola, Berkeley Peace and Justice Commission, War Resisters League, Courage to Resist

Jeff Paterson, Courage to Resist, Chelsea Manning Support Network

Sing along “Ain’t Fest” [“I Ain’t Marching Anymore,” “Ain’t Gonna Let Nobody Turn Me Around,” “Down By the Riverside (Ain’t Gonna Study War No More)”] with
Max Ventura, Hali Hammer, and Nancy Schimmel


Sponsored by **City of Berkeley Peace and Justice Commission**

Endorsed by **War Resisters League-West** and **Courage to Resist**

Contact: Bob Meola (510) 644-1102

"Government is violence."--Leo Tolstoy "A nonviolent revolution is not a programme of 'seizure of power.' It is a programme of transformation of relationships ending in a peaceful transfer of power."--Mahatma Gandhi "The greatest purveyor of violence in the world today is my own government."-- Martin Luther King, Jr. "Sooner or later the American people are going to wake up."--Emma Goldman "Anarchism: The philosophy of a new social order based on liberty unrestricted by man-made law; the theory that all forms of government rest on violence, and are therefore wrong and harmful as well as unnecessary."--Emma Goldman "I am, and will be until the last instant (unless I should discover that I am in error) an anarchist-communist, because I believe that communism is the most humane form of social contract, because I know that only with liberty can man [people] rise, become noble, and complete."--Bartolomeo Vanzetti "We anarchists do not want to emancipate the people; we want the people to emancipate themselves."--Errico Malatesta "All you need is love."--John Lennon "Love Conquers all" [but it doesn't melt a corporate-capitalist-fascist state without help from everyone]. Om Love, Peace, Joy, Anarchy, Om
On International Conscientious Objectors’ Day, Celebrate the 11th Annual

Berkeley C.O.

and

War Resisters’ Day

11:30 A.M. Monday, May 15, 2017

Peace Flag raising ceremony, first at Civic Center flagpole at 2180 Milvia Street, corner of Allston Way and then at the flagpole at MLK, Jr. Civic Center Park, 2151 MLK, Jr. Way (between Center Street and Allston Way, across from Old City Hall), Berkeley

With Conscientious Objectors and War Resisters from World War II, the Korean War, the Vietnam War, the Gulf War, the Iraq and Afghanistan Wars

Bob Meola, Berkeley Peace and Justice Commission, War Resisters League, Courage to Resist

Jeff Paterson, Courage to Resist, Chelsea Manning Support Network

Sing along “Ain’t Fest” [“I Ain’t Marching Anymore,” “Ain’t Gonna Let Nobody Turn Me Around,” “Down By the Riverside (Ain’t Gonna Study War No More)“] with

Max Ventura, Hali Hammer, and Nancy Schimmel

Song sheets provided; Ain’t Fest Lyrics links: www.songlyrics.com/phil-ochs/i-ain-t-marching-anymore-lyrics/
www.lyricsmode.com/lyrics/j/joan_baez/aint_gonna_let_nobody_turn_me_around.html#

Sponsored by City of Berkeley Peace and Justice Commission
Endorsed by War Resisters League-West and Courage to Resist

Contact: Bob Meola (510) 644-1102
From: bob tom <cranberrysauce23@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 4:25 PM

Dear UASI staff,

A few thoughts, on the regional BAUASI public meeting, from early February, and of this Thursday April 13, 2017.

The BAUASI management staff, the official agency of DHS, is led by Craig Dziedicz.

He originally, was a tax attorney, who began his career, as a legal intern, 20 years ago, for then Sen. Joseph Biden.

He offered a brief summary, at the beginning of the February, regional BAUASI approval authority meeting.

Perhaps regretfully he offered, that in the next six months, BAUSI will be have to be looking into, incoming Trump administration ideas.

Alameda Co. supervisor Keith Carson, with perhaps a bit of advice, from local and state officials, has recently developed, an 18 member, six month panel.

Its intention, is to better understand, the ideas of more direct dialogue and sensitivity, with local communities,

It is a part of some important steps, in how UASI is learning, how to better address, more natural disaster, emergency preparedness ideas, for local communities, while learning to distance itself, from older, nexus of terrorism strategies.

In this process, it allows a lot of space, to talk about cultural, and local neighborhood issues, of the immediate future.

This includes, to begin to able to address, the future plans and ideas, of the new Trump/republican administration, including national security data collecting and technology ideas.

And, in how Trump /DOJ ideas, will affect local neighborhoods, for the future of Alameda Co., and the Bay Area.

After more than a few years of study, it is also the time, to start to seriously reassess, the intentions, and the future of such programs, as NCRIC and UASI itself.
So I feel, there is a wide range of things, that can be talked about, among this panel, in the next six months.

Another topic, of the February, regional BAUASI approval authority meeting,

A few of the approval board members, tried to seriously question, how NCRIC was using some funds, from UASI at this time.

A NCRIC representative, offered a some answers, that were slightly questionable, and a fairly good example, of the shell game, that can go on, in government funding.

A few, BAUASI approval authority board members, seemed a bit perturbed.

A few people, of the approval board asked, what exactly is the public oversight oversight process, for NCRIC.

In some basic research, on my part, it is the BAUASI, regional authority approval meeting, itself, that has a major part, to offer and create, a public oversight process, for NCRIC.

The NCRIC items, on the regional BAUASI public agenda, for April 13, 21017, is an example, of federal government, trying to fulfill its purpose, of public oversight for NCRIC.

It is to question, if there are other ways, or other avenues, for a NCRIC public process, to take place.

An open, review process, every six months, with the 12 elected board members, of NCRIC, and structured, local follow up, or lead-into, public meetings, could be one way, there can be better public oversight.

And, a way to better understand, not only the purposes and uses, of NCRIC technology, but to understand the financing of NCRIC, and its technologies, year after year, as well.

As a final thought,

The April 13, 2017, or the 'april', BAUASI meeting, should be the annual time, that local city and county projects, have some final public scrutiny, before approval, by the BAUASI regional authority board.

I hope BAUASI, can be very open to the public, with local city projects and funding, at this time.

Sincerely,
Mayor and City Manager,

I don't mean to be presumptuous, but I do have a suggestion about the Trump rally.

At the Police Review Commission meeting last night, the stand in for the new Chief, while not being specific, indicated that the police were planning to use similar tactics for this Saturday's Trump rally. While I thought the police actions at the previous Trump rally were appropriate, and I commend the police and the strategy that was used, it might be worth considering alternate strategies. At that rally, less than 10 knuckleheads on each side managed to create small skirmishes that quickly dissipated - generally lasting for less than 10 seconds. Fortunately, before the rally, a bag of bats and axe handles were removed by police from the Trump supporters - which prevented serious injuries. If the crowd this Saturday is of similar or smaller size, and the knuckleheads small in number, then those tactics may be adequate again.

However, if the aggressive Trump supporters show up in larger numbers (or with hidden weapons), and are matched by a large number of anti-Trump protesters, then it will be more difficult to separate out the groups using only BPD. In such a case, BPD would likely call in re-reinforcements from neighboring communities. This backup strategy may not necessarily be the best strategy since non-Berkeley police are not as restrained in their actions.

I imagine the police have considered this, but if not, they might want the following backup plan. Create a corral where the farmer's market is normally located. If the Trump crowd becomes large, the Police could ask the Trump supporters to confine their protest to the corral. There is a high probability that most of the Trump supporters would comply with such a request which would reduce the number of confrontations and allow BPD to handle the situation without outside help. The reason this strategy would likely work, with high compliance by Trump supporters, has to do with the personality types that support Trump.

The book "The Authoritarians," written by Prof. Bob Altemeyer, predicted such a movement of Right Wing Authoritarians (RWA) followers back in 2006 (free on-line in PDF form). As a professor of psychology, he studied the traits of RWAs for decades, developing tests and personality profiles. The book was written for the lay person, without all the jargon, and explains to a “T” the thinking patterns of the dozen or so Trump supporters that I’ve interviewed. It was written well before the rise of the tea party and alt-right. Quotes below are from the book.

As Prof. Altemeyer explains: “High RWAs tend to feel more endangered in a potentially threatening situation than most people do, and often respond aggressively.” RWAs are able to hold contradictory beliefs because they almost completely lack any introspection. And they almost never question authority. It is this near inability to question authority that should make the majority of them compliant with police requests to get in the corral.

It is important to recognize that the Trump followers are not here to defend free speech. They are here because “fear and self-righteousness combine to create aggression.” Their fear is rooted in their perceived loss of economic and social status associated with their white privilege, and in the case of men their male privilege. They are coming here because “their hostility is … endorsed by established authority” – namely Trump. They
are coming here because they “feel morally superior to the people they are assaulting” – namely we progressives. When you ask them they “admit it feels personally good … to be able to punish … they relish being ‘The arm of the Lord.’” One of them said to me that when you liberals come for my guns, ‘you will first have to take my bullets.’ He said this several times out of the blue without guns ever being mentioned by me. They are delusional and paranoid about the world around them. “They have a little volcano of hostility bubbling away inside them looking for a (safe, approved) way to erupt … equal opportunity bigots … bullies … self-righteous.” They may mouth platitudes about freedom of speech, but real freedom terrifies them. They want to be told what to do – they want authoritarian order. And they are willing to use violence to impose that order on us, especially when they have the blessing of a narcissistic authoritarian president.

But that desire for authoritarian order is their weakness - they will obey the police if asked. So use it against them - tell them to get in the corral. The corral need not be used if the crowd is small. If the crowd is large, and compliance to get in the corral is low, then reinforcements can always be called in. What the corral would do is provide a low cost alternative to police escalation of force.

I hope all goes well and that there is no violence. But considering the on-line instigation, that seem unlikely. If the Trump and anti-Trump sides are kept separated by a corral, most of the violence can be avoided and therefore unavailable for propaganda by the alt-right. Continued violence will lead to continued Trump rallies since it furthers their cause of demonizing progressives. And if any violence occurs, I hope the police can identify and arrest the knuckleheads once again.

Regards, Dr. James McFadden
Mayor and City Manager,

I don't mean to be presumptuous, but I do have a suggestion about the Trump rally.

At the Police Review Commission meeting last night, the stand in for the new Chief, while not being specific, indicated that the police were planning to use similar tactics for this Saturday's Trump rally. While I thought the police actions at the previous Trump rally were appropriate, and I commend the police and the strategy that was used, it might be worth considering alternate strategies. At that rally, less than 10 knuckleheads on each side managed to create small skirmishes that quickly dissipated - generally lasting for less than 10 seconds. Fortunately, before the rally, a bag of bats and axe handles were removed by police from the Trump supporters - which prevented serious injuries. If the crowd this Saturday is of similar or smaller size, and the knuckleheads small in number, then those tactics may be adequate again.

However, if the aggressive Trump supporters show up in larger numbers (or with hidden weapons), and are matched by a large number of anti-Trump protesters, then it will be more difficult to separate out the groups using only BPD. In such a case, BPD would likely call in re-reinforcements from neighboring communities. This backup strategy may not necessarily be the best strategy since non-Berkeley police are not as restrained in their actions.
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The book "The Authoritarians," written by Prof. Bob Altemeyer, predicted such a movement of Right Wing Authoritarians (RWA) followers back in 2006 (free on-line in PDF form). As a professor of psychology, he studied the traits of RWAs for decades, developing tests and personality profiles. The book was written for the lay person, without all the jargon, and explains to a "T" the thinking patterns of the dozen or so Trump supporters that I've interviewed. It was written well before the rise of the tea party and alt-right. Quotes below are from the book.

As Prof. Altemeyer explains: “High RWAs tend to feel more endangered in a potentially threatening situation than most people do, and often respond aggressively.” RWAs are able to hold contradictory beliefs because they almost completely lack any introspection. And they almost never question authority. It is this near inability to question authority that should make the majority of them compliant with police requests to get in the corral.

It is important to recognize that the Trump followers are not here to defend free speech. They are here because “fear and self-righteousness combine to create aggression.” Their fear is rooted in their perceived loss of economic and social status associated with their white privilege, and in the case of men their male privilege. They are coming here because “their hostility is … endorsed by established authority” – namely Trump. They
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But that desire for authoritarian order is their weakness - they will obey the police if asked. So use it against them - tell them to get in the corral. The corral need not be used if the crowd is small. If the crowd is large, and compliance to get in the corral is low, then reinforcements can always be called in. What the corral would do is provide a low cost alternative to police escalation of force.

I hope all goes well and that there is no violence. But considering the on-line instigation, that seem unlikely. If the Trump and anti-Trump sides are kept separated by a corral, most of the violence can be avoided and therefore unavailable for propaganda by the alt-right. Continued violence will lead to continued Trump rallies since it furthers their cause of demonizing progressives. And if any violence occurs, I hope the police can identify and arrest the knuckleheads once again.

Regards, Dr. James McFadden
I am a Berkeley resident and am one of the co-hosts for the ACLU community meetings tomorrow in Berkeley—and in more than 2,000 other venues around the country.

We would love to know in advance of the meeting, which of the following model local law enforcement policies and rules are either already policy here in Berkeley or are under consideration (see below).

We would love to share that with our group.

We will be hosting the ACLU People Power event in West Berkeley with about 50 Berkeley residents.

Thanks for all you are doing to promote Berkeley as a sanctuary city.

Best,
Anna Lappé

ACLU’s 9 “model” state and local law enforcement policies and rules.

Defend our friends, families and neighbors from Trump’s mass deportation agenda:

#1) The Judicial Warrant Rule: [County/City/State] officials shall require a judicial warrant prior to detaining an individual or in any manner prolonging the detention of an individual at the request of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or Customs and Border Protection (CBP).

#2) No Facilitation Rule: [County/City/State] officials shall not arrest, detain, or transport an individual solely on the basis of an immigration detainer or other administrative document issued by ICE or CBP, without a judicial warrant.

#3) Defined Access/Interview Rule: Unless acting pursuant to a court order or a legitimate law enforcement purpose that is unrelated to the enforcement of a civil immigration law, no [County/City/State] official shall
permit ICE or CBP agents access to [County/City/State] facilities or any person in [County/City/State] custody for investigative interviews or other investigative purposes.

#4) Clear Identification Rule: To the extent ICE or CBP has been granted access to [County/City/State] facilities, individuals with whom ICE or CBP engages will be notified that they are speaking with ICE or CBP, and ICE or CBP agents shall be required to wear duty jackets and make their badges visible at all times while in [County/City/State] facilities.

Protect our friends, families and neighbors’ privacy from the Trump administration:

#5) Don’t Ask Rule: [County/City/State] officials shall not inquire into the immigration or citizenship status of an individual, except where the inquiry relates to a legitimate law enforcement purpose that is unrelated to the enforcement of a civil immigration law, or where required by state or federal law to verify eligibility for a benefit, service, or license conditioned on verification of status.

#6) Privacy Protection Rule: No [County/City/State] official shall voluntarily release personally identifiable data or information to ICE or CBP regarding an inmate’s custody status, release date or home address, or information that may be used to ascertain an individual’s religion, ethnicity or race, unless for a law enforcement purpose unrelated to the enforcement of a civil immigration law.

#7) Discriminatory Surveillance Prohibition Rule: No [County/City/State] agency or official shall authorize or engage in the human or technological surveillance of a person or group based solely or primarily upon a person or group’s actual or perceived religion, ethnicity, race, or immigration status.

Help our friends, families and neighbors get redress when abuses and mistakes occur:

#8) Redress Rule: Any person who alleges a violation of this policy may file a written complaint for investigation with [oversight entity].

Help ensure our friends, families, and neighbors are protected from discrimination:

#9) Fair and Impartial Policing Rule: No [County/City/State] official shall interrogate, arrest, detain or take other law enforcement action against an individual based upon that individual’s perceived race, national origin, religion, language, or immigration status, unless such personal characteristics have been included in timely, relevant, credible information from a reliable source, linking a specific individual to a particular criminal event/activity.

Final Note: The Trump Administration has asserted, falsely, that if localities do not help advance Trump's mass deportation agenda, they are violating federal law. The following rule, which is the only applicable federal law in this area, would help ensure your city, county or town establishes its clear intent not to violate federal law. While not a necessary addition, this rule may be a useful complement to the above policies.

Dear Hon. Jesse Arreguin,

Now more than ever before, mayors and local leaders must be at the forefront of moving America towards a more just and equitable country for all people.

As the Trump Administration slashes vital climate, air, water and human rights protections, mayors and local leaders once again must lead our nation towards a healthier, stronger future by committing to 100% clean, renewable energy for all.

That's why mayors -- regardless of political party, from big cities and small towns - are supporting a vision of 100% clean and renewable energy in their cities, towns, and communities, and across the country.

Transitioning to 100% clean and renewable energy -- like energy efficiency, wind, solar and electrified transportation -- will protect our kids and families from pollution, create new jobs and local economic opportunities, and ensure that all people have the access to affordable energy solutions.

Will you join your fellow mayors and support a vision of 100% clean and renewable energy here in our community?

Add your name to the Mayors For 100% Clean Energy Endorsement Letter here! http://sc.org/2p74MOu

Need more info? Contact us at mayor4cleanenergy@sierraclub.org.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maddie Julian
2344 Parker St
Berkeley, CA 94704
m.julian@berkeley.edu
(408) 621-3496

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.
From: Joyce Feyock <dewrosejl@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 12:33 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Cc: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Addressing the April 15th situation

To the mayor and police department: Dear Mayor, for your actions to protecting Antifa and Bann, shame on you.

Dear police, Officers. I know you were following orders given to you on April 15. However, you knew people were getting hurt by the bombs Antifa was throwing at the Free Speech crowd and didn't stop them. That in good conscience is not right. Please do not allow people to get hurt like this.

I just wanted you to know that I sent this to President Trump and Jeff Sessions:

Dear Attorney Jeff Sessions:
I just watched the videos on the actions of Antifa during the April 15th Free Speech rally in Berkley, California. Sir, I implore you to view the videos because during that rally, the police were told to stand down while law abiding Americans were injured. Although, the police did search the Free speech and Pro Trump supporters for any weapons, tear gas, etc.; they ALLOWED the Antifa group to impede on the peaceful assembly carrying M-80 bombs, knives, and bear tear gas. The police walked away and allowed Antifa to throw bombs and spray tear gas at the Free speech rally members. You might find this video interesting due to the person talks to the Berkley mayor’s office. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WJD3sSjM00k

Why did the mayor and chief of police order the police to stand down? Why did the police allow the Antifa members to continue to throw these bombs? Why was one of the only people arrested was Mr. Chapman due to he had a filter mask with him? Why were Antifa throwing bombs at old people hurting them and beating up anyone who was in the free speech crowd. One Antifa man was injuring people with a bike lock. People asked the police for help and did nothing except say ask the chief of police. When Antifa is allowed to beat up people who are gay, transgender, or anyone who supports President Trump and the police are told to not stop Antifa from throwing bombs, then Mr. Sessions it is time for an investigation. Please sir, I implore you to investigate this situation and to order help to stop Antifa for doing this violence.

The only protection the Free speech had was to defend themselves. Also, when Antifa tried to stop traffic, it was the Free Speech and Trump supporters (all patriots) who stopped Antifa from blocking traffic. NO POLICE came to help the innocent citizens being harassed/ blocked in their cars or on streets.

Please sir do something. This has to stop with Antifa. Thank you.
J. Feyock
Mayor,

Everyone in the world is watching. The displays of outright civil disobedience occurring in your City will forever tarnish your personal reputation as a civic leader. I can't imagine that these instances of violence and division within the City of Berkeley will ever be held up as shining example of your leadership skills. Your ability to make a living, after your term as Mayor, are now in question. I don't know you personally, and I'm sure you a nice person, but you must distance yourself from this violence and mayhem in your City. In the media, it would seem that you have far left leaning sympathy, and you condone the acts of ANTIFA and BLM. It would also seem that you let the right leaning members of your constituency bear significant violence for their beliefs. The job of Mayor is simply put as the defacto Father of Berkeley, and no father would let his children beat each other, or destroy property. Please consider this for your own sake, and the cohesion of the city.

Sean Hovington
Toronto, Canada

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy Tab® S
From: Peter Kleinman <grubbybest@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 10:27 AM
To: charles wollenberg
Subject: An eyewitness at the March 4 Trump's downtown mayhem is upset.

I saw violence and blood in Provo (MLK Jr. Civic Center) Park. It was horrifying. People told me they saw knives and wood boards with nails sticking out being carried by "black bloc" people. I've never seen such danger in our community, except from the police, who today stood by passively and watched all this going down.

THE BPD DID RIGHT! (if all they did was stand around and take pictures)
However, the city parents did wrong by not taking pre-emptive action. Posters should have been in and near the park announcing that violence against government and private property would not be countenanced, that if participants wished to beat up on each other - fine. And announcements as well that the County Hospital, and tax-funded Berkeley city hospitals would not take in any injured participants for care. And if any participants wished to move out of their playpen they would be busted.
This was exactly a pseudo-event, full of reality teevee frenzy. And, to note the obvious, a stage set for POTUS, leader of The Free World.
From: T. L. <timothy.lybbert@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 10:12 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Ann Coulter

I want to know if it's true what Ann Coulter said on fox news about the Mayor ordering his police department to stand down during Antifa protests... and further adding that the Mayor has contacts in very high places to handle any whistle blower cops? Is it true? Did the mayor say these things?

Tim
From: patrick mcminn <mcminn_piw@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 6:30 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Ann Coulter

Why don’t you step up and vocally support a vibrant and diverse policy of speech? Encourage both sides of the argument to air their point of views. Or do you have your own personal agenda that you’re promoting? Please enlighten me.

Patrick McMinn
805-300-8955 (cell)
From: Michelle O’Driscoll <modriscoll5@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 10:37 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; Chief Bennett
Cc: UCB Chancellor; Michelle O’Driscoll
Subject: Ann Coulter at UC Berkeley - protect the students and campus

Dear Mayor Arreguin and Chief Bennett,

I implore you to authorize the police from the city of Berkeley and UCPD to use any means necessary to stop the violence from the the antifa and the white supremacists at the upcoming Ann Coulter appearance at UC Berkeley on April 27.

My son is a second year student at Berkeley and not involved but I fear for his safety, even though I am born and raised in San Francisco and used to crime. These riots are making a laughingstock of the #1 public university and they will lose applicants and prestige in the near future from this.

All it takes is a solid line of cops not to allow them to come through Sproul Plaza and use pepper spray or whatever means necessary to stop the violence of a few idiots. I’ve already seen on Reddit online posts for the white supremacist to gather (https://forum.bodybuilding.com/showthread.php?t=173905391) so this will be a bad confrontation.

Please do everything in your power to stop this continued fighting. On the anniversary of the summer of love, it’s time to lay down the law and keep our students safe. Thank you.

Michelle O’Driscoll
881 Faxon Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94112
415-672-1716
From: Connie Nesley <canesle@pacbell.net>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 9:46 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Ann Coulter

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I am a 69 year old retired RN and have lived in the bay area for over 40 years. I am disgusted with the way the far left is denying the freedom of speech in our great country.

My father is a 93 year old WW2 veteran who was on Omaha beach fighting for our freedoms. I feel these freedoms are being endangered with your denying Coulter the right to speak at Cal Berkeley. It is the same thing the brown shirt Nazi's did in Germany when they burned books and used fear and intimidation to prevent anyone to speak that had different ideas than they had...and we all know how that ended up.

As far as the argument that you are afraid of the riots that may result then the fascist left has won and my wonderful 93 year old father and my uncle that died in WW2 fought for nothing.

Please invite her back and stand up for the right for ALL Americans to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,
Connie Nesley
Concord CA
From: Darlene Savord <dsavord@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2017 8:57 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: ANTIFA

Maybe you should do what they do in TEXAS
https://www.facebook.com/sarahpalin/posts/10155266846468588

Darlene Savord
714-277-0567
Sir,

I am not a Berkeley resident but a citizen of this great country. I wonder how it is that you can feel ok with yourself when you take away peoples civil rights.

You should immediately step down. I am also starting a campaign to have the justice department investigate for civil rights abuses and hope to see you behind bars.

Respectfully

Christopher

Sent from my iPhone
From: THOMAS JOHNSON <thom45mtj@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 1:27 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: ANTIFA ANARCHY

Mr. Mayor,
Shame on you for allowing animalistic groups to run and reign in your city. No police presence? Police were told to get out? Apparently you support violence and do not support opposing views. Antifa are dressed liked Nazi jackbooted thugs. My family has extensive roots in California and I am ashamed of your city. Protests are one thing, but what happened is NOT a protest. I would hope that you and the council didn't instruct the chief of police to stand down. Understand that boycotts and protests can work to minimize your city. You have a lot of attention on you right now. Be a true servant and support peaceful and correct protests, not anarchy. Policeman were quoted as stating the chief told them to run when antifa attacked a few opposing their view.
You may find this video enlightening

https://youtu.be/Cnq3RrCYG54
From: M Larrea <frspecialist@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Antifa protests

LOL, no you’re not getting hate because of your race you sorry fat fucking clown, you’re getting hate because you're a castrated fascist pussy who supports castrated fascist pussies who attack people in mobs with their faces covered like the cowardly liberal pussies they are. Only sorry little bitches hide behind their skin color you race-baiting bloated fat fuck. My name is Michael Larrea and my # is 559-978-0042! Instead of hiding behind your race, a mob of antifa pussies or a mask, why not man up and give me a call and set up a date that we can get together and discuss why you're such a fat fucking pussy and try to figure out how we can return your manhood to you? The red carpet is rolled out for you Pajama Boy, are you man enough to take the offer, I love just down the coastline?

Sent from my iPhone
From: M Larrea <frspecialist@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Antifa protests

LOL, no you’re not getting hate because of your race you sorry fat fucking clown, you’re getting hate because you’re a castrated fascist pussy who supports castrated fascist pussies who attack people in mobs with their faces covered like the cowardly liberal pussies they are. Only sorry little bitches hide behind their skin color you race-baiting bloated fat fuck. My name is Michael Larrea and my # is 559-978-0042! Instead of hiding behind your race, a mob of antifa pussies or a mask, why not man up and give me a cal and set up a date that we can get together and discuss why you’re such a fat fucking pussy and try to figure out how we can return your manhood to you? The red carpet is rolled out for you Pajama Boy, are you man enough to take the offer, I love just down the coastline? 🗝

Sent from my iPhone
Come on dude!!! I know Berzerkly is known for leaning to the left but come on man. People are not going to take this for to much longer and then your really going to have a problem with the city. Stand up and be a man!!!! start arresting these Domestic terrorists and lets show the country that we will not tolerate this kind of behavior in our country!!!!!!
Mr Mayor,

You're a real piece of crap. You should be charged with sedition.
From: Gene Chaput <genechaput@me.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 4:39 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Arreguin/An Absolute Fool

Arreguin you've allowed it to happen AGAIN. People were hurt when the Leftie Fascists crashed the Trump celebrants. Total lack of police presence AT YOUR DIRECTION! Inexcusable. You should resign immediately to prevent future destruction and harm. Inadequate would not even begin to describe your incompetence. Your tenure and inaction is SHAMEFUL. RESIGN.

g/

Sent from 'outer space by (illegal) aliens'!
From: Tom Edwards <tomarch4@frontier.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 2:25 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: barnn

Mayor

I graduated from Cal in 1970.

I went around the national guard "with bayonets affixed" to go to my classes. I spent too much time on my dirt bike in the hills to the east to waste much more time tilting at windmills.

"Draft beer not boys" was the slogan of the day. And "make love not war", and "flower power".

While I didn't realize that, say, the inception of the war was perhaps a straw man, I didn't like the idea of state socialism (Soviet colonialism) growing. Of people with legitimate grievances in this country figuring that giving up their liberty was their only salvation. (Duh! ).

It seemed to me that a nation who's purpose is liberty has to make some statement, somewhere. (As a dictatorship doesn't have to worry about other opinions so can make things happen more easily). What chance does a federation of free people have?

Come to think of it, an institute of higher opinion-molding must also violently bar any free exchange of ideas.

It seems that now that the slogans are "hate whitey, hate Christians, hate anyone who wants to enforce any inner-city laws", or, as your hero Hillary said, those "deplorables" who don't buy the pseudo-intellectual east and west coast institutions of higher graft via the professions of law and finance.

In short, I am ashamed of the current administration of the city and of the campus. My generation had a real issue. Yours just has Obama butt-kissing and hatred of free thought, head firmly in sand as your Jihaddi cohorts take over your place bit by bit.

Got the burka ready for your wife? ... If you are married to a man, I presume, "she" won't have to wear one. You tricky dog.

- An honest man.
So, is it true? If so, resign

Sent from my iPad
From: Brian Damianakes <bridambpc1@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 7:29 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: BAMN

You have to be kidding me?! No wonder your cops stand down when there’s been violence in your community. You’re connected to this group! (BAMN). Hope you and your city burn down this Thursday being that patriots will be there beating up all your friends. It was all fun when it was a one way fight. Not so much fun anymore is it?

Get ready!!

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Mayor,

The fact that you publicly belong to the radical leftist group By Any Means Necessary is disgusting beyond all measure. The fact that you wield your office like a sword through which you can engage in a social justice crusade, even at the expense of the physical safety of residents and visitors to your city so long as you think they're too conservative to have rights, is vile to the core. Your relationship with BAMN and their antifa scummag sublets will soon be made very, very public and so enjoy threatening your police to stand down in the face of radical leftist violence very soon 😊 draining your swamp soon scumbag
Hey asshole,
I'm going to make it my personal duty to punch you in the face, break a bottle over your head, and stick an M80 up your ass. Seriously, I have a history.
Cregg Lund
Gold Bar, WA
Dear Berkeley City Council,

I urge you to vote YES to ban the sale of fur in Berkeley.

The fur industry is brutal to animals and the environment. Fur farms kill over 30 million animals a year. These poor animals are bred to live life locked in a small cage, deprived of all natural instincts, never to feel a blade of grass beneath their feet or to even feel the sun on their backs. Their existence is inhumane and then they are killed via anal electrocution (or some other equally barbaric action) so as not to damage their fur....Think about that! And for what? Some frivolous article of clothing, a piece of trim, all for which there are cruelty-free alternatives. Plus the fur industry pollutes water systems with phosphorus and often use 15 times the amount of energy of alternative materials. It's time for the City of Berkeley to stand up to the fur industry and tell them that they can't sell tortured animals in Berkeley! Show the world how compassion trumps profiteering, how good triumphs over evil! Make history today and ban the sale of fur in Berkeley!

Sincerely,

Mirella Seaman

Midhurst, Ontario, Canada
From: Mary Trew <mtrew@mac.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 12, 2017 11:07 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: “Because constitutionally, cities have sovereignty rights unto their own.”

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

This statement comes from the ACLU. I wonder, if it is true, it might apply to Berkeley’s challenge to the SFBARF law suit regarding 1310 Haskell Street and matters of immigrant sanctuary. Here's the full article:


Perhaps we need a constitutional expert and/or an ACLU advocate.

Please disregard this message if you think it is irrelevant.

Best,
Mary
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I am a student from University of Sussex in England, and I did my study abroad at University of California, Berkeley last year. You spoke in one of my classes in Spring Semester 2016, and I found your words inspiring. So much so that when you were elected, I commissioned a piece on you in my position as Features Editor at my student newspaper. (It's here if you'd like to have a look)

For my final year dissertation at Sussex, I have the option to write a reportage piece. I have chosen to report on the reorganisation of the Left in the United States under Trump - I want to focus on Berkeley and the wider Bay Area specifically, and will aim to make wider comments on division in the United States after speaking about this area in detail.

I would like to discuss: the symbolic status of Berkeley and the Bay Area as a pocket of liberal activism and inclusivity; the role of the University as a public institution; the role of students in activism; whether student organisations are embracing intersectional activism, and divides within the student community. These are all provisional ideas - the piece may of course head in different directions depending on what I find.

I know this is a long shot, but I'm writing to request an interview with you, as I would love to get your valuable perspective on all of these issues. I am visiting Berkeley in March, and I was wondering if you'd be available to meet me any time between the 10th-17th March 2017? Any time you may be able to spare would be amazing.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best Wishes,
Charlotte Tuxworth-Holden
Mr. Mayor Arreguín,

I voted for President Trump because he has an agenda to make America great again. Your liberal loser party lost when the dishonest candidate you endorsed attempted to fool Americans. We were not fooled by her socialist policies and plans to continue enslaving Americans. Hillary Clinton would have been a disaster for our country. You, Mr. Mayor are a disaster for Berkeley and your welfare haven city of the unemployed. I've been to Berkeley and have seen the management first hand. Get this straight, we real Americans want the border wall built as high as possible and as high as we can afford. We NEED it to keep OUT the terrorists, criminals and illegal drugs that come in through the southern border (like water) from Mexico. If people want to come here to America then I'm all for allowing them in as long as they do it LEGALLY. We don't want the Mexican and other country's criminals or their criminal ilk in our country and we are taking America back. Shame on you for harboring criminals in your sanctuary city. Learn to obey the LAW Mr. Mayor. So far you just show how ignorant of the LAW you and your council members are. You live in America which is a nation of LAWS. Who gives you the right to oppose the will of the people?

Build the wall....yes the wall is going up soon!

I'm so sickened to hear that you and your stupid city council liberal idiots have made a decision to hurt businesses in California and to hurt people in general who need the jobs. Shame on you and your governance. If you don't like a to live in a FREE country like America, then go live in a socialist country like Mexico Mr. Mayor. You have no right at all to impose your liberal will against the will of the voters in America who voted for Trump and the border wall. We will get the wall built and Berkeley and it's stupid city council should be ashamed to even call themselves Americans. You are a divider and not a uniter!

So get used to the idea...the wall will be built Mr. Mayor. Understand that you have no right at all to hurt business who want to participate in building this wall. You are acting like a Nazi socialist with really no power at all. The people will prevail. Your statement below on your website is a crock full of bull crap. You are not committed to ensuring a sustainable, equitable and vibrant future for Berkeley. Berkeley needs an American as a mayor. I'm totally ashamed of Berkeley and the opposition to our elected president. The KARMA that you and your city attracts will eventually destroy your chances of succeeding as a successful and "vibrant city"...never happening with you at the helm!

Do the right thing and MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN!. We will make America great again, with or without your liberal socialist city. Build the border wall now!!!!

Sincerely,
A Patriotic American

Welcome to the website of Mayor Jesse Arreguín at the City of Berkeley, CA.

The Mayor's office is committed to ensuring a sustainable, equitable and vibrant future for Berkeley. Mayor Arreguin is committed to creating a Berkeley that works for everyone, by promoting innovation in our city government and local economy,
improving city services, investing in our infrastructure to create a world class city, and addressing the issues of affordability and equity in our community. Please contact our office with any questions, concerns or suggestions. We are here to serve you.
From: Cheryl Mulligan <paintinc17@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 10:36 PM
To: 秒newsdesk@fox5vegas.com; Abbe.Raven@aetn.com; acaulkins@charlotteobserver.com; acaulkins@charlotteobserver.com; alli.sherman@capitalone.com; americanvoices@mail.house.gov; americansnewroom@foxnews.com; Amy.Baker@aenetworks.com; amy_banse@comcast.com; anne.keating@bloomingdales.com; Arne.Sorenson@marriott.com; Ben.Kieckhefer@sen.state.nv.us; Brian.Joyce@aenetworks.com; brian.t.moynihan@bankofamerica.com; business.ethics@ safeway.com; Congresswoman Cathy; cavuto@foxnews.com; ccdistb@co.clark.nv.us; ccdistg@co.clark.nv.us; chairman@gop.com; chancellor@berkeley.edu; christopher.mccloskey@nbuni.com; colleen.conway@aetn.com; comments-northern-flathead-tally-lake@fs.fed.us; community.relations@kohls.com; corporate.citizenship@RadioShack.com; corporate_responsibility@merck.com; CostcoB2C_E11979362A39F765F8E78675329ABB4B0D797B5E9BCD8@online.costo.com; countdown@msnbc.com; coxdonnac@msn.com; csimon@whitworth.edu; dan.b.frahm@bankofamerica.com; darcy_rudnay@comcast.com; david.desocio@aenetworks.com; David.Parks@sen.state.nv.us; david_cohen@comcast.com; Destiny Egle; disneydestinations@emails.disneydestinations.com; djdease@stthomas.edu; EPensa@cvs.com; Erica.Driscoll@aenetworks.com; espnfrontrow@espn.com; espnpr@espn.com; evening@cbsnews.com; FLNAFrito- LayMediaServices@pepsico.com; foxnewssunday2@foxnews.com; friends@foxnews.com; frperkins@comcast.net; generalcomments@feedback.msnbc.com; GeneralSuperintendent@ag.org; Governor@gov.idaho.gov; governor@governor.ri.gov; governor@ncmail.net; GQ@newsletter.gq.com; greg.aiello@nfl.com; Greg.Foran@wal-mart.com; Guinness@consumer-care.net; happeningnow@foxnews.com; HBEcards@hallmark.com; heather_collins2@merck.com; help@mstewart.customersvc.com; info@catholiccharitiesusa.com; info@nrcc.org; IR@nutrisystem.com; james.anderson@turner.com; James.Settelmeyer@sen.state.nv.us; jennifer_heller@comcast.com; jennifer_khoury@comcast.com; jim.crow@tbsb.org; Joe.Hardy@sen.state.nv.us; joe.schmidt@dcsg.com; joe_waz@comcast.com; JPMCMinvestorrelations@jpmchase.com; jprather@ktnv.com; jwcanney@stthomas.edu; kanesma@frontier.com; kathleen.dunleavy@sprint.com; kathy.campbell@officedepot.com; Kelvin.Atkinson@sen.state.nv.us; kevin.lembo@ct.gov; ktabb@bidmc.harvard.edu; larry.jones@tvland.com; laurenthompson@coca-cola.com; letters@msnbc.com; lew.moore@comcast.net; lisadollinger@clearchannel.com; macysexecs@macy.com; Marcela.Tabares@aenetworks.com; Mark.Manendo@sen.state.nv.us; Berkeley Mayor’s Office; mayor@houstowntx.gov; Mel.Berning@aenetworks.com; member@aarp.org; Mestre@evercore.com; Michael.Roberson@sen.state.nv.us; michelle.dubois@mahouse.gov; mkreed@atlantaga.gov; Denis, Moises Senator; Mona.Tropeano@aenetworks.com

Subject: Berkeley mayor affiliated with violent leftist group

Berkeley mayor affiliated with violent leftist group
The mayor of Berkeley, California, Jesse Arreguin is an apparent supporter of an anarchist group known as “By Any Means Necessary” (BAMN). Evidence was found on the mayor’s Facebook page that he has listed himself as belonging or having befriended the anarchist group. Among the other groups with whom he is affiliated are: “PushForProgress,” “Berkeley Progressive Alliance,” and “El Cerrito Democratic Club.”

On its website, BAMN defines itself as a "Coalition to defend affirmative action, integration and immigrant rights and fight for equality by any means necessary." It has called on its adherents to rally against speakers such as journalist Milo Yiannopoulos, while it has also protested against President Trump and his policies. BAMN’s leader is middle-school teacher Yvette Felarca. She has been accused of multiple assaults during the protests in which she has been involved. Amateur video shows her assaulting her opponents at a rally in Berkeley. See video below.

Mayor Arreguin has come in for criticism for what some regard as an inadequate response to violent protests on April 15. Arreguin said he supports the new tactics used by police and claimed that they were challenged when hundreds of protesters turned out for a pro-Trump Patriots Day rally and an anti-Trump protest. "The police took a very careful, very thoughtful approach," Arreguin said. "They could've moved very aggressively, and that definitely would've escalated things."

Arreguin said the police chief used new strategies, which included erecting fencing at Martin Luther King park, where much of the action took place, that restricted access. Police also enforced a policy that weapons would not be allowed in the park. Police confiscated numerous weapons, including knives, sticks, pepper spray, and clubs. Pro- Trump and anti- Trump protesters engaged in fisticuffs and other violence. Arrests were made for felonious assault. Twenty persons were arrested as a result of the affray.

However, not all of the violence that day was between "Antifa" anti-Trump leftists and pro-Trump protesters. According to commenters on the Reddit website, some Antifa members assaulted innocent observers. Commenters called on Mayor Arreguin, arguing that his response to rioters was inadequate. They anarchists’ actions led to violence spinning out of control.

A commenter on Reddit’s r/Berkeley page described an assault and robbery of a bystander by masked Antifa members.

_Around 3:00 pm, when the riots had moved up to shattuck, a bystander with a camera was assaulted by a group of Antifa. One of the women started screaming at him for recording the riot ‘without her consent.’ He was called a Nazi, a rapist and a Trump supporter. He told the woman he hated Trump, had voted for both Bernie and Jill Stein, but she wouldn’t stop calling him a Nazi._

_Meanwhile, a masked guy snuck up behind him, grabbed everything in his pocket and ran off. The guy was like ‘oh gee, thanks you guys. I lost my fucking house key and now I won’t be able to go home’" The girl was like ‘well some people don’t even have fucking homes!!!’ (this made me cringe so hard). Others in the thread weighed in with experiences of their own._

Commenter "continentalzs" wrote on Reddit, “These people literally think everyone disagreeing is a fucking nazi and a rapist, and that it justifies physically assaulting them." Suggesting that the behavior came as a
result of a mob mentality, "continentalzs" wrote, “I witnessed several people being accused of being rapists for not asking for the ‘consent’ of the people they were filming.”

Author and syndicated columnist Ann Coulter was to speak on the campus of the University of California-Berkeley until her event was cancelled by university authorities. When the university offered to have her appear on another date, Coulter refused and vowed to appear on the originally scheduled date. The alternative date offered by the institution was to come at a time when few students are on campus. Coulter denounced leftist protesters who, clad in black and wearing masks, attacked pro-Trump rallygoers on April 15. She referred to them as "little beta males" who could, nevertheless, managed to injured a "90-pound girl."

“A fight within a volatile crowd is not a simple matter in which to intervene,” said Berkeley's new police chief, Andrew Greenwood, in a memo to Mayor Arreguin. “Intervening on intermixed groups of armed participants fighting or eager to fight presents challenges. Intervention requires a major commitment of resources, a significant use of force, and carries with it the strong likelihood of harming those who are not committing a crime.” Nevertheless, the city and its police have been criticized by law enforcement experts for apparently being unprepared in the face of mayhem.

In February, Berkeley witnessed violence and vandalism when Breitbart News editor Milo Yiannopoulos visited, while in March there was a rally in Civic Center Park. Critics note that while police made two dozen arrests in the latter case, they should have acted sooner in order to dampen the violent tenor of the event. So said Associate Professor Alex Vitale, who teaches sociology at Brooklyn College. He noted that many police departments are unprepared to respond to political groups at war with each other.

Virus-free. www.avast.com
From: Steve Howe <stevehowe10@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 4:10 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Berkeley Question

Why did you allow innocent civilians to be attacked/beaten by political terrorists, known as antifas, only to arrest individuals like Kyle Chapman for defending themselves and those around them? I am not asking for a comment regarding that individual case, but any sort of explanation for this lack of communication and failure to coordinate your officers would be appreciated. Thanks!

Best regards

Stephen Howe
March 28, 2017

Tonight the Berkeley City Council voted on a Resolution to call for Donald Trump's impeachment. The Resolution was sponsored by Mayor Jesse Arreguin and Council Member Sophie Hahn. I've attached a copy of the Resolution.

Is anyone keeping track of the cities passing Resolutions? I know about Richmond and Alameda and now Berkeley locally.
John Bonifaz was in Berkeley Sunday night at a "Impeach Trump" panel discussion and he mentioned a city in Massachusetts.

I'm thinking of re-activating the National Impeachment Network. It could be helpful to have those hundreds of experienced and knowledgeable impeachment activists participating in this national uprising to demand our right to impeachment. The Network had a million people on its email list at one time.

Let me know if you or your group wants to be in the network for the purposes of joining our voices together, strategizing, and taking action to make Congress do its duty and investigate Donald Trump as part of formal impeachment hearings. The Network could also post bulletins with information about Resolutions, actions in Congress, lobbying efforts, news from you and your group, etc.

With hope for upholding the law,
Yours in action,
Cynthia Papermaster

RISE, LOVE, RESIST!
cynthia_papermaster@yahoo.com
Codepínk Women for Peace, Golden Gate Chapter
Office of the Mayor
Martin Luther King
Jr. Civic Center Building
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704
Tel: (510) 981-7100
Fax: (510) 981-7199
TDD: (510) 981-6903
E-Mail: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Web: www.jessearreguin.com

CONSENT CALENDAR
March 28, 2017
To: Honorable Members of the City Council
From: Mayor Jesse Arreguín and Councilmember
Sophie Hahn
Subject: Support for the Investigation to Impeach President Donald Trump

RECOMMENDATION
Adopt a Resolution supporting an investigation into the impeachment of President Donald Trump and sending a copy of the Resolution to Congresswoman Barbara Lee.

BACKGROUND
The past couple of months of the Trump Administration have been mired in scandal, lawsuits, and controversy. In the first two weeks alone, 55 lawsuits were filed against
the President (compared to 14 lawsuits for the last three Presidents combined during the same period). Polling suggests that the nation is evenly split on whether or not the President should be impeached. Locally, the City of Richmond passed a Resolution in support of impeachment, and the City of Alameda is discussing this proposal. Below is a sample of the many Constitutional violations and abuses committed by the Trump Administration.

The Emoluments Clause
Article 1, Section 9, Clause 8 of the US Constitution states that “no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States] shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State." Known as the Emoluments Clause, this was written to prevent elected officials from being bribed by foreign governments. An emolument is an overarching term, covering financial benefits such as monetary payments, purchase of goods and services, subsidies, and tax breaks.

As a business owner of an international corporation,
Donald Trump had been alerted to potential violations to the Constitution by legal scholars long before he assumed the presidency. His efforts to stay out of day-to-day operations of his company do not go far enough in preventing emoluments from foreign governments. Just days after being sworn in, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington filed a lawsuit against President Trump for violations of the Emoluments Clause.

Threats to Cut Federal Funding
A major campaign promise, which is rife with legal questions is his promise to eliminate federal funding to Sanctuary Cities. The President has no legal authority to unilaterally cut funding to cities or states, as this would need approval from Congress. Additionally, the federal government can only strip funding that is related to the policy involved. Additionally, the federal government cannot use threats of cuts as a form of coercion for making entities comply with the demands of the federal government. Despite multiple case law explicitly expressing the limitations on funding cuts that the President or federal government can make, this has
not stopped President Trump from making such threats. An executive order was signed that paves the way to cutting funds from Sanctuary Cities, which Berkeley identifies as. Separately, President Trump made a threat on Twitter to cut all federal funding to UC Berkeley.

Russian Communications
During the 2016 campaign, Donald Trump held a press conference where he explicitly called upon Russian hackers to target Hillary Clinton’s emails. Documents from the Democratic Party were leaked in what US intelligence agencies report to have come from Russia. At the same time, Trump’s then campaign manager, Paul Manafort, was accused of accepting millions of dollars for representing Russian interests in the Ukraine and United States. During the Trump Presidency, it was revealed that at least two top officials that were nominated/appointed by Trump had communications with Russians during the election. National Security Advisor General Michael Flynn was forced to resign after it emerged he had lied about the content of his conversations with Russian Ambassador to the US
Sergei Kislyak. More recently, Attorney General Jeff Sessions lied in a testimony under oath as to whether he had communications with Russian authorities during the 2016 election.

Undermining Freedom of the Press
A prominent feature of the First Amendment is the Freedom of Press. But the media has been consistently oppressed and undermined by Donald Trump in both the campaign and his Presidency. From insulting and mocking journalists with disabilities to describing any media outlet that does their journalist duties of asking the tough questions as “fake news”, Trump has continuously made attempts to silence and discredit the media. What is more alarming is President Trump’s repeated accusations of the media being the enemy of the American people. On February 24, major media outlets including the New York Times, CNN, and BBC, were barred from attending a press briefing.

National Security Risks
While there may be nothing legally wrong with President Trump’s lack of attendance at security briefings, it does raise concerns about the
President’s ability to handle international crises. The use of Twitter as a medium for communication of off-the-cuff comments have raised eyebrows in multiple countries, including Mexico, Australia, Sweden, and China. Such diplomatic hiccups weaken the US’s standing on the international community.

A potential breach in national security took place during an open meeting between President Trump and Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe at Trump’s Mar-A-Lago Resort. Both leaders were notified of a missile launch by North Korea in front of guests instead of a private, confidential setting, potentially compromising details regarding a national security incident.

**Abuse of Executive Powers**

On multiple occasions, President Trump has used his powers as a bully pulpit. His comments that millions of Americans voted illegally in the 2016 election without being able to provide a single shred of evidence to back up such ludicrous claims serves only to undermine the democratic process. When the check and balances of American government went into play when the courts
overturned an executive order banning travel from seven Muslim-majority countries, President Trump lashed out against the judicial branch and attempted to discredit the judges and ruling. When he did not get his way, he instead circumnavigated the system by signing a very similar executive order.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
None.
ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
No adverse effects to the environment.
CONTACT PERSON
Jesse Arreguin, Mayor
510-981-7100
Attachments:
1: Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. ##,###N.S.
IN SUPPORT OF A CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION TO IMPEACH PRESIDENT DONALD J TRUMP
WHEREAS, the following clauses provides a written testimony to some of the various abuses, violations, and scandals committed by United States President Donald J. Trump; and
WHEREAS, Article 1, Section
9. Clause 8 of the US Constitution – the Emoluments Clause – states that "no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States] shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State"; and WHEREAS, this clause is interpreted as an anti-bribery provision, which would prevent business deals being made between a company owned by an elected official and a foreign government; and WHEREAS, an emolument covers a broad range of financial benefits, including but not limited to monetary payments, purchase of goods and services, subsidies, and tax breaks; and WHEREAS, after the November 2016 election, leading constitutional scholars warned the then President-elect that unless he fully divests from his business and sets up a blind trust, he would be in violation of the Constitution; and WHEREAS, on January 11, 2017, President-elect Trump announced he would stay out of day-to-day operations, but not stop emoluments from foreign governments; and
WHEREAS, on January 20, 2017, Donald Trump was sworn in as President of the United States; and

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2017, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington filed a lawsuit against President Trump alleging violations of the Emoluments Clause due to his company’s international business dealings and failing to fully divest from his company and business interests; and

WHEREAS, President Trump’s continual use of blatantly unfounded comments that millions of Americans committed voter fraud threatens to undermine the sanctity of the American democratic process; and

WHEREAS, President Trump has violated the freedom of press guaranteed under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution by attacking the media, discrediting news groups who have journalistically criticized him as “fake news” and forcefully blocking the press at press conferences; and

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order that would cut funding to Sanctuary Cities, including the City of Berkeley, despite the court’s ruling in the past that the federal government can
only remove funding related to a specific policies, and that the federal government cannot threaten to cut funding in order to coerce action; and WHEREAS, on January 28, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order establishing a travel ban from seven Muslim-majority nations (he did not ban Muslim-majority nations he has business dealings with, such as Saudi Arabia); and WHEREAS, after his executive order was overturned, he attempted to undermine the powers of the Judicial Branch of government by discrediting the verdict and the judges who made the ruling, and ultimately circumnavigated the ruling by declaring a new executive order on March 6, 2017 that contained many concerning elements of the original travel ban; and WHEREAS, on February 2, 2017, President Trump abused his power by threatening to unilaterally cut funding to UC Berkeley; and WHEREAS, federal ethics rules were violated on February 9, 2017, when Kellyanne Conway, Counselor to the President, promoted First Daughter Ivanka Trump’s clothing line in an interview from the White House; and WHEREAS, on February 11, 2017, President Trump hosted Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe at the Mar-A-Lago resort,
owned by his company; and
WHEREAS, during this visit, classified information about a recent missile test by North Korea was discussed in an open, non-confidential setting, which jeopardized national security; and
WHEREAS, President Trump has nominated/appointed at least two major officials who have had prior communications with Sergei Kislyak, the Russian Ambassador to the US - National Security Advisor Michael Flynn and Attorney General Jeff Sessions; and
WHEREAS, General Flynn was forced to resign from his position after being found to have lied about the content of conversations he had with Russian authorities; and
WHEREAS, then Senator Sessions lied in a testimony under oath as to whether he had communications with Russian authorities during the 2016 election; and
WHEREAS, the above violations and abuses undermine the integrity of the Presidency.
NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that it hereby calls upon the United States House of Representatives to support a resolution authorizing and directing the House Committee on the Judiciary to investigate whether sufficient grounds exist for the impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the
United States, including but not limited to the violations listed herein.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution be sent to Congresswoman Barbara Lee.
Are you going to let the looney-left burn Berkeley to the ground because of Coulter?

You need to get your fat ass in gear and protect her.
Dear Berkeley City Council, Mayor of Berkeley,

I understand you have signed a petition to Impeach President Trump for the following reasons -

(1) When he outed CNN as a fake news network that fabricates and misaligns facts and instead injects its own made up stories.

We did not hear a peep out of you when Obama banned Fox News from the White House Press pool.

Perhaps you were still playing strip Twister in your spandex butt thongs and Nancy Pelosi face masks -

(2) For his connections to the Russian Federation an ally of the United States. Please list all his connections in your impeachment document and remove the rhetoric.

(3) For pressing an agenda to lower taxes on the middle class thus taking money put of the hands of the federal government and putting it back into the economy and the wallets of the American people.

    Communists seem to think they can spend our money better than us.

(4) For enforcing federal immigration laws and deporting illegal immigrants. Starting with criminals housed at tax payer expanse in our jails.

(5) For billing the Mexican government to build a wall to secure our country from illegal invaders like the wall Mexico built with its border with Guatemala.
Will you also file to impeach the President of Mexico for the wall they built across their border with Guatemala?

(6) For trying to lower the capital gains tax on companies from 35% to 15% to bring jobs and trillions of dollars in US currency back to the United States thus increasing our GDP.

(7) For trying to eliminate the unconstitutional federal control over 1/5th of the US economy and the illegal individual mandate forcing Americans to engage in commerce against their will by trying to repeal the unconstitutional Obama - Romney care.

The Communist forces in Cuba which also enforce CastroCare salute you for your impeachment attempt -

- but we freedom loving Americans in this Republic think you should all deport yourselves to North Korea on the earliest possible flight out of LAX. Don't forget your safety pins and Starbucks receipts for next years taxes.

Cheers -

Senior Chief Geoff Ross
United States Navy Retired
Surface Warfare - Air Warfare
Hello Mr. Mayor,

I just read the excerpt you wrote expressing your ideals for Berkeley. I cannot, for the life of me, understand how what you wrote on your very own self-aggrandizing webpage squares with what is actually happening in your town.

You are a self-admitted BAM and Antifa supporter and you make the false claim of striving to create a socially just environment for everyone...apparently for all except those who don't share your beliefs.

You have openly supported the suppression of 1st Amendment rights for speakers at UC Berkeley who run counter to your progressive social justice warrior mentality. I believe that makes you a fascist. Ironic isn't it?

You are symptomatic of what is very wrong with the country. Someday you'll realize that your hatred of the very country that allowed you the opportunity to be a relatively young, Latino, mayor was nothing but a giant mistake. You carry a chip on your shoulder I have seen countless times by people who think the world has done them wrong yet you refuse to excercise introspection and ask yourself why you feel like a victim.

Perhaps you should support positive change, instead of your support of the suppression of the constitutional rights of specific groups or individuals by violent means. Your silence on the matter is tacit approval.

I don't live in California, but your city is front and center at the moment. It serves as an example of how we should strive not to be in this county. Strange how a city that once stood for free speech now is the hub of facism and the suppression of free speech and its all happening right under your nose.
From: Ehavelin <e_havelin@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 12:12 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Bless you!

Hooray again for the beautiful, wonderful state of Californial Bless you, governor Brown, all representatives and council members that have their eyes open to the atrocity known as this administration. Oddly enough people are watching out for and speaking up for neighbors and differing groups far more than ever before so I see us coming out of this mess a far better country and group of citizens!
Don't get me wrong, this man and the paunchy old-thinker greedy bastards all must go due to their own illegal behavior; but fear not for if we don't sanitize the White House ASAP think of where we'll be in 1 or more years....'Slump' will absolutely take us to war if we let him and his cronies stay in power. They'd have to, because nothing justifies oil profits (on the scale they intend) more than war.
If Pence is implicated (which I think is impossible not to be true) who wants that fascist (yes, I said it cause it's true) Bannon at the helm! They all must go and we need to be persistent, quick, and strong about it too! Then you know what'll happen after that? Putin's subjects will wake/raise up and overthrow their dictator finally!

Thank you again for leading on this issue, keep up the good work 💣💥

Concerned Citizen

Sent from my iPad
Dear Mayor--
Thank you for your visionary action of divesting our city from Trump's wall.

Keep up the amazing job!!

With gratitude--
Elana Auerbach
Berkeley Resident
Dear Mr. Mayor,

Democracy Now reporter was at the site of the recent "Free Speech" trump demonstration in the park. Very concerned that police were sitting in their patrol cars while a major brawl was going on. Many of these "right wing" people came from out of the state. Why didn't the police take any action?? Why did they refuse to answer the reporters questions. The city of Berkeley has become an unsafe place for those who live there. What actions, if any, are you taking to remedy this ongoing problem.

Sincerely,

Dorothea Petrosky
Salinas, CA  93906
From: Phoebe Anne Sorgen <phoebe@earthlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2017 3:03 AM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L; Alejandro Soto-Vigil; Moni Law; cdavilla@cityofberkeley.info
Subject: Calm people may be needed downtown Berkeley Sat.2-6 for peace-keeping re Trump march

dear Jesse, Moni, Alejandro, Cheryl,
Is it truly best to just ignore the Trump march in Berkeley and stay away? If you believe that, let me know and read no further. Or shall I send this to the Buddhist Peace Fellowship, Occupella, and others?

Hello,

It might be good for people who stay calm under pressure to come to downtown Berkeley Sat. 2 to 6pm and meditate, make bubbles and music, sing and dance, and/or display our values in non-confrontational, non-provocative ways, eg. "We're all in this together." "We all deserve dignity, jobs, healthcare." "All humans are legal." "Black Lives Matter! and Indian lives too." "Stop the military industrial complex from killing Mother Earth." "Love trumps hate." "Kindness is the answer." "Respect Berkeley by Resisting the 1% Takeover." "Democracy, not Corporatocracy." "Women's Rights are Human Rights."

I heard that one of our folks got a permit to use the park. White supremacists didn't, though they publicized a "Free Speech" march on Berkeley starting at MLK/Center 2pm-6pm Sat. The good news is that the Proud Boys (supremacists) backed out.

But 120 Trump supporters rsvp'd on facebook. Typically for other events, twice the number have shown up than rsvp'd. They don't appear to be an organized group, but individuals wanting to "defend" their Pres.

Mayor Jesse Arreguin said that if fascist supporters march through Berkeley, "I pray for peace. I pray the white nationalists, fascist people don't harass or threaten anyone. I pray that BAMN* doesn't feed into their message that their 'rights' are denied if they aren't allowed to speak. A more powerful visual is create a human wall of silence with signs and just stare them down:

#ResistTrump #ProtectBerkeley #DontHateGraduate etc. If they do racist and violent things, I hope our police dept arrests them..."

(*BAMN=By Any Means Necessary.)

I hope the march will only be about supporting 45, rather than about white supremacy, and that counterprotestors keep our cool and don't argue w/ them but maintain our dignified composure calmly. I fear a brawl, whether started by Trumpsters or lefty Berkeley hotheads. I'd be afraid to offer free hugs to them, but maybe some of the women would like a hug from a white lefty elder, and I could offer free hugs to peacekeepers and compassionate listening to everyone. If it's possible to change anyone's mind, it would be by listening, not by arguing.

For the people of color who are bcc'd, of course you'd be most welcome, as far as I'm concerned, but I would not want in any way to pressure you to come as you'd be more likely to be targeted than people who want to use our privilege as allies. Please advise, and do let me know if I've written anything off base. Sign suggestions welcome.

Is there a facebook events page for peaceful counter-protestors to rsvp? Could there be a peace-keeping pledge or suggestions? Eg. Breathe deep and walk away if provoked rather than engaging or responding in a manner that might escalate. As hard as it is, let them have their say but it can't get physical. If they take it physical, move away unless
someone needs help in which case a human wall of peacekeepers can de-escalate and protect if police don’t. If not with an affinity group, have a buddy at least.

Peace,

Phoebe

From: Chris Stehlík <chrisfs59@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 12:44 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Cancelled farmers market is an outrage

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I just read that this week's Saturday Farmer's Market has been cancelled due to an unpermitted demonstration in the adjacent park.
While I am normally a supporter of free speech rights even for groups I vehemently disagree with, this situation is very unfair.
I look forward to walking through the Farmer's market. More than simply a place to buy good food, it's a chance to stroll outside and see other people and listen to the musicians. For it to be cancelled by an unpermitted group is very frustrating. A random group should not be able to just stroll into town whenever they want and shut things down. Otherwise the permit system is a farce. I was at the farmer's market during the last 'protest'. It was a mess of Trump supporters and and anti fascists punching and spraying tear gas at each other. The Berkeley PD did a great job of containing it and it never came close to spilling over to the market itself.
While I understand the concern for safety, I think that the need for that concern is very sad commentary. Have them be somewhere else other than right next to the farmer's market and if they are unpermitted, refuse them to be there at all. That's the point of a permit system.
We shouldn't have pitched battles in middle of our town.

Sincerely,
Chris Stehlík
Berkeley resident living in your former district.

Berkeley Farmers Market Canceled Due To Safety Fears Over Pro-Trump, Alt-Right Rally
| East Bay Express

www.eastbayexpress.com

Speakers too extreme for other alt-right gatherings to appear this weekend.
Dear Mayor:

Today the Center for American Progress (CAP) and the University of Southern California’s Center for the Study of Immigrant Integration published a new issue brief estimating the number of family members, citizens or otherwise, who will be harmed by the Trump administration’s policies and actions targeting unauthorized immigrants.

The state of California has nearly 4.7 million people – including 2.4 million U.S. citizens among whom 1.7 million are children – who have at least one unauthorized family member living with them. Nationally, there are 16.7 million people, citizens or otherwise, who live in mixed status families, with least one unauthorized family member.

Deporting a family member can have devastating impacts on families, especially on children. Along with suffering from economic instability, children may end up in foster care, suffer from psychological trauma, and experience housing insecurity. Even a threat of deportation can put enormous stress on communities on families and communities with people fearful of interaction with law enforcement, and unwilling to access much-needed services.

You can read the full issue brief here and view an interactive map which shows the estimate of family members of unauthorized immigrants in each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Please don’t hesitate to contact CAP with any questions you may have. Our immigration policy experts are eager to work with state lawmakers on these important issues.

Best,
Patrick

---

Patrick J. Dolan
Manager of State and Local Government Affairs
Center for American Progress & Center for American Progress Action Fund
(202) 481-8145
pdolan@americanprogress.org
Family members of unauthorized immigrants in the United States

Total, U.S.-born, and naturalized citizen population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household, by state

**CALIFORNIA**

- **38,366,950** Total state population
- **1,967,756** Total children with at least one unauthorized family member
- **4,659,676** Total population with at least one unauthorized family member

Note: “Children” refers to people under 18 years of age.

Family members of unauthorized immigrants in the United States

Total, U.S.-born, and naturalized citizen population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household, by state

**CALIFORNIA**

- **27,758,102** U.S.-born population
- **1,658,456** U.S.-born children with at least one unauthorized family member
- **2,041,011** U.S.-born population with at least one unauthorized family member

Note: “Children” refers to people under 18 years of age.
Family members of unauthorized immigrants in the United States
Total, U.S.-born, and naturalized citizen population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household, by state

**CALIFORNIA**

**4,901,607**
Naturalized immigrant population

**338,190**
Naturalized immigrant population with at least one unauthorized family member

**13,936**
Naturalized immigrant children with at least one unauthorized family member

Note: “Children” refers to people under 18 years of age.
### TABLE 2
Top 10 states where people live with at least one unauthorized family member in the same household

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household</th>
<th>Percent of total population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>38,366,950</td>
<td>1,967,756 2,691,920 4,659,676</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>26,196,298</td>
<td>1,232,061 1,437,072 2,669,133</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>2,776,601</td>
<td>112,025 142,375 254,400</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>6,579,027</td>
<td>226,793 239,254 466,047</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>8,933,251</td>
<td>231,295 373,320 604,615</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>12,943,450</td>
<td>342,809 474,257 817,066</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>19,653,424</td>
<td>440,179 774,775 1,214,955</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2,088,118</td>
<td>55,340 59,991 115,331</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>5,210,274</td>
<td>130,958 145,631 276,589</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>6,017,417</td>
<td>159,875 191,141 351,016</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: "Child" refers to people under 18 years of age.

### TABLE 3
Top 10 states with U.S.-born population living with at least one unauthorized family member in the same household

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>U.S.-born population</th>
<th>U.S.-born population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household</th>
<th>Per 1,000 U.S.-born citizens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>27,758,102</td>
<td>1,658,456 382,555 2,041,011</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>21,795,060</td>
<td>1,030,906 211,464 1,242,370</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>2,237,454</td>
<td>95,015 22,194 117,210</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>5,677,352</td>
<td>191,125 41,116 232,241</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>11,089,138</td>
<td>289,291 54,241 343,532</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>6,973,751</td>
<td>176,955 27,991 204,946</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1,871,448</td>
<td>44,374 9,694 54,068</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>4,689,633</td>
<td>109,427 18,155 127,582</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>15,180,903</td>
<td>344,807 65,717 410,525</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>5,982,267</td>
<td>129,014 22,195 151,209</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: "Child" refers to people under 18 years of age.
Hope the fuck that all of you cocksuckers in that liberal shit hole known as berkely, ca get the hell beat out of you as did the Trump supporters that you failed to protect! And then get fired and jailed for your disgusting lack of action!! And tell that smug asshole pig “mr and” to go fuck himself!!! The upcoming civil war can’t happen soon enough so you and your ilk can be removed from the face of this earth!!!!
From: Larry Dean <larrytdean@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 6:48 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; Maio, Linda; Davila, Cheryl; Bartlett, Ben; Harrison, Kate; Hahn, Sophie; Wengraf, Susan; Worthington, Kriss; Droste, Lori; All Council
Subject: City council members voted unanimously to blacklist companies involved in Trump’s border wall

To whom it may concern,

I was born in Los Angeles, I am so glad I and my family moved long ago.

I had plan on visiting California and vacation there. I would never live there under Liberal rule and all the dang taxes to the state.

It is now obvious that you ARE NOT AMERICAN, socialist maybe, you make me sick.

You need to become your own country and LEAVE THE USA! The majority no longer wants California part of America.

So sad you want to allow law breaking people that hate America into America. Many of the illegals are rapist, murderers, gangs and drugs...how stupid can a person be...?

You have just PISSED OFF MOST OF THE UNITED STATES AND WE WILL BOYCOTT CALIFORNIA ESPECIALLY LIBERAL BERKELEY.

Larry Thomason
727-946-2600
7535 Cypress Knoll Drive
New Port Richey, FL 34653
Greetings: I received this yesterday and asked the author for permission to forward to you all. I hope Berkeley can do something similar. Thanks for all that you have already done concerning the horrible fix we are in nationally.

Margy Wilkinson

-------- Forwarded message --------

From: David Swanson <davidcnswanson@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 11:14 AM
Subject: [ufp-activist] City to Vote on Resolution Opposing Trump's Budget
To: David Swanson <david@davidswanson.org>

City to Vote on Resolution Opposing Trump's Budget

Charlottesville, Va., City Council has on its agenda for Monday, March 20th, a vote on a resolution opposing President Donald Trump’s proposal to shift $54 billion from human and environmental needs to military spending. The resolution calls on Congress to shift funds in the opposite direction.

The resolution is endorsed by Charlottesville Veterans For Peace, Charlottesville Amnesty International, World Beyond War, Just World Books, Charlottesville Center for Peace and Justice, the Piedmont Group of the Sierra Club, Candidate for Commonwealth's Attorney Jeff Fogel, Charlottesville Democratic Socialists of America, Indivisible Charlottesville, heARTful Action, Together Cville, Clergy and Laity United for Peace and Justice.

Trump's budget proposal would cut the Environmental Protection Agency by 31%, the Department of Housing and Urban Development by 13%, the State Department by 28%, the Department of Agriculture by 21%, the Corporation for Public Broadcasting by 100%, the Institute of Museum and Library Services by 100%, and the National Endowment for the Arts by 100%.

Military spending would rise by $54 billion to something over 60% of discretionary spending, a percentage not seen since the Cold War. Then, according to reports, Trump will ask for $33 billion more off-the-books as a supplemental budget for the current (not the next) fiscal year for the military to spend on programs that candidate Trump denounced such as the F-35, and including $3 billion for the Department of Homeland Security to spend building a wall and detaining and deporting immigrants. Assuming a similar future supplement to the fiscal year 2018 budget, actual discretionary spending could see over 65% go to militarism.

Trump's budget proposal does not fund any of the infrastructure he promised during his election campaign.

"The Sierra Club supports full funding of the Environmental Protection Agency so that it can adequately protect communities through enforcement of the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Toxic Substances Control Act and other important laws," said John Cruickshank, Chair of the Piedmont Group of the Sierra Club.

"We cannot look away any longer. Last week ground troops entered Syria and the press barely mentioned it. The week before, Pathfinders returned from combat in Africa. Who knew we are fighting
in Africa? We have military deployed to over 150 countries. How many countries are there?" asked Daniel Saint of the Charlottesville chapter of Veterans For Peace. "President Obama, in his last State of the Union Address, proudly claimed that the United States spends more than the next eight countries combined—China, Russia, Saudi Arabia, France, United Kingdom, India, Germany, and Japan. Combined! Now Trump wants to dramatically expand adding another $54 billion. It costs $12 thousand to drill a well bringing fresh water to a village with no clean source of drinking water. For just the budget increase proposed by Trump, we could provide 4.5 million new wells across Africa, India and Latin America. Imagine if children from around the world grew up with a vision of the United States as bringing clean drinking water rather than bomb fragments stamped 'made in the USA.' Would our children and grandchildren be safer with new fresh wells or more nuclear weapons?"

"Indivisible Charlottesville, along with thousands of Indivisible organizations across America, is committed to resisting the Trump administration's efforts to reverse the progress of the last century, and to building a diverse country that can face the challenges of the next one," said David Singerman. "Trump plans to destroy the programs that let Virginians drink clean water, breathe clean air, live in affordable housing, attend some of the world's best universities, and sleep without fear of chemical and industrial accidents. He would do this in order to pile money into what's already the strongest military in history, and in order to cruelly build walls across our borders and end aid programs that give succor to the most vulnerable people in the world."

"Not only is the military the wrong place to put more money," said David Swanson, director of World Beyond War, "but nobody can even say where all that money goes. The Department of so-called Defense, which President Trump says has created a hornet's nest of the Middle East, is the one department never audited."

"We have known for many years that the Department's business practices are archaic and wasteful, and its inability to pass a clean audit is a longstanding travesty," Chairs John McCain (R-AZ) and Mac Thornberry (R-TX) of the Senate and House Armed Services Committees said recently in a joint statement. "The reason these problems persist is simple: a failure of leadership and a lack of accountability."

"If we can stop a Muslim ban," added Swanson, "we can stop an immoral budget too!"

A CNN poll on March 1-4 asked for opinions on this proposal: "Increase military spending by cutting funding for the State Department, Environmental Protection Agency and other non-defense agencies." Nationally, 58% disapproved, and 41% approved.

Charlottesville provides an example of how federal budget priorities are out of line with popular opinion. Using the calculations of the National Priorities Project at CostofWar.com, "Every hour, taxpayers in Charlottesville, Virginia are paying $12,258 for Department of Defense in 2016." That's $107.4 million in a year. Much of military spending is in other departments. The National Priorities Project provides the numbers for a few of them: $4.1 million from Charlottesville for nuclear weapons, $2.6 million for weapons for foreign governments, $12.6 million for "homeland security," and $6.9 million for the 2016 off-the-books extra slush fund. That's $133.6 million, not counting various other expenses, and not counting the extra $54 billion or an additional $30 billion, which would bring the cost to Charlottesville up by another $16 million to $149.6 million.

According to National Priorities Project, that is enough money to provide 1,850 Elementary School Teachers for 1 Year, or 2,019 Clean Energy Jobs Created for 1 Year, or 2,692 Infrastructure Jobs Created for 1 Year, or 1,496 Jobs with Supports Created in High Poverty Communities for 1 Year, or 16,788 Head Start Slots for Children for 1 Year, or 14,479 Military Veterans Receiving VA Medical Care for 1 Year, or 4,504 Scholarships for University Students for 4 Years, or 6,431 Students Receiving Pell Grants of $5,815 for 4 Years, or 63,103 Children Receiving Low-Income Healthcare for 1 Year, or 168,519 Households with Wind Power for 1 Year, or 42,024 Adults Receiving Low-Income Healthcare for 1 Year, or 104,093 Households with Solar Electricity for 1 Year. Each of these items is more than Charlottesville, which does not have 104,093 households, could possibly use.

The resolution drafted for Charlottesville's City Council follows:
PROPOSED RESOLUTION

Whereas Mayor Mike Signer has declared Charlottesville a capital of resistance to the administration of President Donald Trump.[i]

Whereas President Trump has proposed to move $54 billion from human and environmental spending at home and abroad to military spending[ii], bringing military spending to well over 60% of federal discretionary spending[iii].

Whereas part of helping alleviate the refugee crisis should be ending, not escalating, wars that create refugees[iv].

Whereas President Trump himself admits that the enormous military spending of the past 16 years has been disastrous and made us less safe, not safer[v].

Whereas fractions of the proposed military budget could provide free, top-quality education from pre-school through college[vi], end hunger and starvation on earth[vii], convert the U.S. to clean energy[viii], provide clean drinking water everywhere it's needed on the planet[ix], build fast trains between all major U.S. cities[x], and double non-military U.S. foreign aid rather than cutting it[xi].

Whereas even 121 retired U.S. generals have written a letter opposing cutting foreign aid[xii].

Whereas a December 2014 Gallup poll of 65 nations found that the United States was far and away the country considered the largest threat to peace in the world[xiii].

Whereas a United States responsible for providing clean drinking water, schools, medicine, and solar panels to others would be more secure and face far less hostility around the world,

Whereas our environmental and human needs are desperate and urgent,

Whereas the military is itself the greatest consumer of petroleum we have[xiv].

Whereas economists at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst have documented that military spending is an economic drain rather than a jobs program[xv].

Be it therefore resolved that the City Council of Charlottesville, Virginia, urges the United States Congress to move our tax dollars in exactly the opposite direction proposed by the President, from militarism to human and environmental needs.

David Swanson is an author, activist, journalist, and radio host. He is director of WorldBeyondWar.org and campaign coordinator for RootsAction.org. Swanson's books include War Is A Lie. He blogs at DavidSwanson.org and WarIsACrime.org. He hosts Talk Nation Radio. He is a 2015, 2016, 2017 Nobel Peace Prize Nominee.

Follow him on Twitter: @davidcnswanson and FaceBook.
Mayor Arreguin:

Martin Luther King, Jr. Is one of my heros. I'm glad we have a day to honor him. True, he was radical for his time, and yet, he managed to not only speak about protest through peaceful means but also lived it. That's a person with integrity and honor. My grandfather was born in 1910 and grew up in the Ozarks. If you read about the Klan, you know they had a resurgence in the 1920's. My grandpa was around those areas and can remember when they'd ride through in their evil attire, terrorizing the community. My grandpa was Irish and Osage. He said they bothered and harassed everyone, including him. Hearing these stories only furthered my disdain for the Klan. However, I will never condone violence and don't really see a difference between hate groups. What does a group intend to do when they use a mantra like "by any means necessary?" You cannot drive out hate with hate. Rev. King knew this better than most and yet I am perplexed by protesters who don't get this and think violence is the answer and are perfectly fine causing harm to police officers and those who disagree with them. Isn't that fascism? I don't really see a difference between the violent far-left and far-right. Supporting those far-left groups using "any means necessary" and not supporting your local law enforcement and encouraging peaceful protest, I fear, may cause great harm to your community. I pray for those police officers caught in this political bs. I just recently read about a man of the Jewish faith make a connection with one of Frederick Phlep's granddaughters. She left the cult because he chose engaging her in peaceful dialogue rather than using violence. It never works and only drives the hatred on both sides, deeper. It's my hope that you will support your local law enforcement in trying to keep the peace.

Christy Honas

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I am a constituent (and supporter!) of yours writing to encourage you to introduce or support CCOPS legislation in Berkeley. (Here is an ACLU article for reference) I feel strongly that the Berkeley Police Department should not share surveillance data with the federal government and thus serve as collaborators in advancing Trump's agenda against Muslims, immigrants, and communities of color.

Oakland already has this legislation in place. I hope you will show support for Berkeley's immigrant and Muslim populations by following suit.

Sincerely,

Abigail Peterson
1184 Keeler Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94708
(510) 393-7571
Dear Mayor Arreguin:

I recently learned about the Compact of Mayors, signed by former Mayor Bates, intended to provide a pathway to a more sustainable future. Berkeley is a signatory to the Compact. I took a look at the website to see what Berkeley has been doing to build a more sustainable future, and I was impressed! In this era of Trump cuts to the EPA, I am glad and proud that Berkeley is facing the reality of climate change and seizing the opportunities of sustainable technology.

Louise Specht
1638 Belvedere  94702
Mayor Arreguin,

During his campaign, President Trump voiced support for concealed carry reciprocity (1). This has now been introduced in the US House of Representatives as HR38 (2) and the US Senate as S446 (3). The bill would allow people licensed to carry a concealed firearm in their own state to do so legally in all states, no matter the difference in strength of those state laws. I am writing to you from New York, a state that like yours has strong, sensible gun laws. I hope you agree that more people walking around with guns is only going to make things worse. Though I recognize that you do not have direct power over this Federal legislation, I am writing in the hope that you will join me and others speaking out against HR38/S446.

While it is a shame that we cannot as a nation make progress on evidence-based measures to curb gun violence, we must at the very least protect against going backwards in states that have taken a stand on this public health issue. If concealed carry reciprocity continues to move forward, we will all need to band together to resist it.

I urge you to speak out against HR38/S446 and any other attempts to overrule state law through concealed carry reciprocity.

Thank you,
P. Matthieu Cornillon

From: Sam Duncan <sam.kimpese@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 5:26 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Concern about a First Amendment statement that your recently sent to your constituents

Dear Mayor Arreguín:

First, I would like to congratulate you on your election as mayor. I believe that you are doing an excellent job for us.

I received "News from the Mayor" recently, and I certainly agree with all of your statements concerning the Trump administration and its racist, xenophobic, and reality-denying actions and propaganda. I fully agree with your view of the sanctuary city issue, and hope that you continue to preserve the open and welcoming city for which Berkeley is known.

I do have an issue concerning free speech. Your message contained this paragraph:

"Another aspect of holding safe community spaces is protecting free speech. Berkeley is the home of the Free Speech Movement; our university campus and city streets were the site of groundbreaking activism for civil rights and political engagement. Nevertheless, our city recently experienced divisive riots over a misunderstanding of this core principle of freedom of expression. Rhetoric aimed to marginalize certain communities and undermine equity and diversity is not justified by the First Amendment. Violent protest does not reflect our values either..."

As a member of the ACLU since 1965, I am alarmed at the statement that "Rhetoric aimed to marginalize certain communities and undermine equity and diversity is not justified by the First Amendment." The ACLU says that this statement is wrong, and I agree.

The ACLU says this: "The First Amendment to the United States Constitution protects speech no matter how offensive its content." Yes, there are exceptions. But there has been exactly one case in which the Supreme Court has ruled on hate speech:

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled in 1942, in a case called Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire, that intimidating speech directed at a specific individual in a face-to-face confrontation amounts to "fighting words," and that the person engaging in such speech can be punished if "by their very utterance [the words] inflict injury or tend to incite an immediate breach of the peace." Say, a white student stops a black student on campus and utters a racial slur. In that one-on-one confrontation, which could easily come to blows, the offending student could be disciplined under the "fighting words" doctrine for racial harassment.

Over the past 50 years, however, the Court hasn't found the "fighting words" doctrine applicable in any of the hate speech cases that have come before it, since the incidents involved didn't meet the narrow criteria stated above. Ignoring that history, the folks who advocate campus speech codes try to stretch the doctrine's application to fit words or symbols that cause discomfort, offense or emotional pain.
For further reference, please see this:

https://www.aclu.org/other/hate-speech-campus

Thank you.

--

- Sam Duncan
1801 Shattuck Ave Unit 203
Berkeley, CA 94709
484 620-0956
I only care for the safety and well being of the people of Berkeley. By you supporting a dangerous terrorist group like BAMN. You have put everyone in danger and turned Berkeley into a war zone. Please resign so someone more competent can protect the city and it’s people.

Sent using Guerrillamail.com
Block or report abuse: https://www.guerrillamail.com//abuse/?a=TEBtCh8gQL0BkQGy8nsNeRHSNePe29lWyA%3D%3D
From: James Raymond <dadx32011@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2017 6:55 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Concerning the riots

How long will you stand by while antifa destroy the city of Berkeley? How long Will you remain derelict in your duties to serve the people of Berkeley? Do you need the federal government to step in and do your job for you? Are you competent enough to make heads or tails of anything? Have you aligned yourself with the radical leftist movement? I would say you have in shame on you for not being able to protect the people of your city. I live all the way on the East Coast and I see these disgusting videos on YouTube every other day with people getting hit with sticks getting fireworks shot of them getting pepper sprayed and it's usually the antifa crowd that's perpetrating these things. I really hope that you decide to get your chief of police to actually do something and I hope the people are smart enough to vote you out office when the time comes.
What's it like being the head turd of the largest cesspool city in California? Good news is you and that lazy douchebag dick head chief of police can relax and take Thursday night off as you did during the last riot at UCB. Embarrassing.....

Anyway.....

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Jesse --

Hate speech is all in the ear of the beholder. That said, a look in the mirror on your part should require no more words on my part.

It's a shame we can't move the Berkeley antifa crowd to [Fill in the Blank].........

Aunt Ronnie
I am writing in regards to the chief of police ordering his men to stand down when Trump supports were accosted by militant mobs. You are aware that this rebellion and violence has crossed the line of tolerance. I don’t know any of you people out there personally. I’m glad to have seen your little snowflake picture on your website. It gives focus to what I am about to tell you. If your police officers continue to fail in their obligation to enforce the peace then it is my considered opinion that these Trump supporter will have no option but to defend themselves and that is going to weigh heavily on the leftists who seem to think they have a green light from you to continue to harass and hurt people. When this happens I suggest that your police, the little darlings that they are, continue to stand the fuck down! Good day!
From: M. Elaine Yourick <meyourick@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 9:07 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Crime Ridden Berkeley

Mayor Arreguin,

I will go out of my way to avoid spending any time in the city of Berkeley, a place I lived in for more than 10 years. It has become no more than a haven for criminals of every ilk. The elected officials do nothing to curb the level of petty up to felonious activity endangering and impacting many people quality of life. The dirty streets, the aggressive homeless and now the roving gangs of teens preying on BART riders just to name a few of the problems tolerated by city government is why this city will be avoided by my family and many other people.

When we lived in Berkeley, the Chief of Police was Chief Butler, a truly fine man and one who believed in following and enforcing the law. Now the police have become an extension of political policies. The attacks on Trump supporters and the general abuse of people’s right to free speech i.e. Ann Coulter, Bebe Netanyahu, David Horowitz are just some of the reasons civil society is in decline.

Moral standards are relative as are most of the standards held by the left and therefore the breakdown of society begins and it began a long time ago.
As I write this Denis Prager, national conservative radio host is reading the story of the BART crime over national airwaves.

Never to return to your decaying city,
M. E. Yourick
Dear Berkeley Mayor and City Council Members,

This my second letter to you, continuing a discussion of the recent violent protest in downtown Berkeley.

Our town has become the most coveted location in America for holding right-wing rallies. Celebrities like Ann Coulter and Milo Yiannopoulos want to give speeches in Berkeley because they know that the violent response by the extreme left will vividly illustrate the right’s view of the left as intolerant, violent, and vile.

When the right-wing demonstrators in Berkeley shout: “You are the ones who are fascists, not us!” there is a grain of truth in what they say: In Germany and Austria during the 1920s and 30s, Nazi groups beat up protesters while the police -- somewhat like our police in Berkeley these days -- stood by and made only token arrests.

Demonstrators in Berkeley acting out violently not only communicate through the media an extremely negative image of the left to the entire country, but also powerfully confirm and deepen anti-left convictions within the ranks of the right-wing ralliers themselves. The violent opposition that the pro-Trump demonstrators encounter, which is abetted by the inaction of the Berkeley police, reinforces their conception of the left as violently antagonistic to speech that it does not like. They leave our town profoundly and perhaps irreversibly convinced that the left is malevolent and hostile to free speech.

This is a tragedy, because in fact many of the pro-Trump demonstrators who attend rallies of this kind are working people who could be reached by open-minded conversation. Many of them are open to hearing and considering progressive ideas, and in conversation we discover that we agree on some fundamental values. But dialogue of this kind is preempted by violent assault that discredits the left.

How might police and city officials NOT enact the right-wing script about Berkeley? First, the city government could officially and unequivocally acknowledge the right of the pro-Trump forces to rally here. Second, the police could place themselves in between the two sides and arrest violent individuals, thereby making it clear that our community protects the right to free speech as guaranteed by the Constitution.

Time, place, and manner regulation of public speech is reasonable. But the response to hateful speech, Congressman Keith Ellison says, in agreement with the ACLU, should be more speech not less. Hopefully Berkeley city officials and police will follow that basic principle.

Raymond Barglow, Ph.D
1138 Keith Ave.
Berkeley
From: Larry Frerkes <larryfrerkes@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 5:32 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Demonstration

Mayor Arreguin:

This is an email I sent to your police department.

As a 30 year retired police officer here in Colorado, please announce that you are investigating this recent demonstration where the White Supremacist punched out the anti-Trump demonstrator. If he was acting in self-defense fine. If not, for God's sake arrest that son-of-a-bitch.

LARRY R. FRERKES
Hi Linda,

I'm quite dismayed that Berkeley has become a magnet for demonstrations that seem designed to provoke violence and property destruction. It's crummy that the Saturday farmer's market was forced to abandon Civic Center Park last weekend, and it's crummy that Berkeley got stuck with the bill for extra policing.

I'm annoyed that the pro-Trump demonstrators want to march around with baseball bats, sticks, and knives in our community front yard, instead of their own. I'm really annoyed that the antifa demonstrators play right into their narrative by reacting. The more reaction (and therefore attention) that is garnered, the more attractive it is for the pro-Trump out-of-towners to repeat this stunt.

I don't have a recommendation for what to do; it's definitely a knotty problem, especially considering our community values of protecting free speech and minimizing police intervention. I do know I'd like to send a clear signal to both sides that their acting out is really unwelcome, and they should take it elsewhere.

Becca Freed
Hearst Ave.
Dear Mayor Arreguin:

My name is Tom Luce and I am a Berkeley resident.

I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

I specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thank you for your attention!

Tom Luce

--
--
Tom Luce
1515 Fairview St. Apt. C Berkeley, Ca 94703
510-575-6326
From: Michael Ammirato <ammiratomichael@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 4:36 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Disgusting

Why do you condone illegal assaults in your city? Why don’t your law enforcement deal with your disgusting rioting citizens who are assaulting President Trump Supporters? You are a disgrace to the American system of democracy. GET OVER IT. TRUMP IS OUR PRESIDENT. LEAVE THE COUNTRY IF YOU DON’T LIKE IT BUT FOR GOD’S SAKE UPHOLD THE PLEDGE YOU TOOK WHEN YOU WERE ELECTED.
Hello.

I am concerned about Trump's policy of obligating local law enforcement to do immigration enforcement. Our local law enforcement already has an important job to do, and if they are doing a different job they can't be there to serve and protect. I also don't feel safe if people are afraid to call 911 or other emergency help, which will happen if authorities are deputized to serve as ICE agents. In addition, I fear the increase in immigration enforcement will create arbitrary searches and mistaken detentions—as it already has.

ICE should do their jobs properly, with the required warrants and processes, and our local law enforcement should be allowed to do their jobs properly, without interference from ICE. The ACLU has outlined a program for Freedom Cities. I urge Berkeley to comply with the program and be declared a Freedom City. Please do what you can to make sure the people of Berkeley are able to stay safe and be treated fairly.

Thank you very much,

Iris Greenberg-Smith.
From: Christopher Adams <cristoforoadami2@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 08, 2017 2:16 PM
To: Wengraf, Susan; Sophie Hahn; Arreguin, Jesse L; Harrison, Kate
Subject: Downtown Farmers Market

Just home from my usual visit to the Saturday market where I learned that next week’s market is cancelled because of an un-permitted Trump rally.

Two dozen vendors mostly selling perishable goods are going to lose a day’s income. They can’t save their goods or suddenly get a space at another market. Hundreds of your constituents will lose the opportunity to get good quality food. Dozens of your youngest and neediest constituents who pick up sales jobs will lose a day’s wages.

There has got to be a solution. My first idea is to allow the protest to take place at Cesar Chavez Park. It’s near the freeway where most of the protesters will arrive. It would inconvenience far less people and would not take away income from anyone.

I am a fervent believer in free speech; I have been sending money to the ACLU since before some of you were born. I marched as a grad student for Peoples Park and Vietnam. But I’m also the father of someone who helped put herself through school by a job at the NYC farmers market, and I know that for the vendors each market is vitally important.

This is outrageous; this is unfair; this has nothing to do with free speech; this is violating MY right to assembly.

DO SOMETHING!

Chris
From the Nixie I just received, The Berkeley Police Department Traffic Bureau will conduct a DUI/Driver’s License Checkpoint on Thursday, February 16, 2017, on San Pablo Avenue at Cedar Street, southbound traffic, between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 3:00 a.m.

Dear Mayor Arreguin & Vice Mayor Maio,

Is this already a trickle down from President Trump?

Does this Federal Grant money come with strings?

Arbitrary surveillance does not lead to the goodwill & trust this community has been working towards.

This is not occurring during a drinking holiday?

Ironically, this crackdown on minorities is being initiated during Black History Month?

I thought that Berkeley was a “Sanctuary City”?

BPD is going after Undocumented and assisting ICE?

These traps were tried & discarded years ago in this City.

There must be some misunderstanding?

Sincerely yours,

Abbot Foote

Resident, District #1
Mayor Jesse Arreguin, Kate Harrison, Kris Worthington, John Caner,
The owners of Eastwind Books of Berkeley, Harvey and Bea Dong are appalled at the City of Berkeley's inaction in allowing hate groups to invade Berkeley with the intent to commit violence and hate crimes within the city and park named after Martin Luther King Jr.

News reports have surface on the identity of the Venn Diagram of white supremacist, alt-right, anti-Semitic and neo-Nazi groups behind the violence. In light of the hate group organizers identities, the city's negligence in allowing the same violators from these hate groups to come back a second time, and commit the hate crimes and physical assaults again is outrageous.

The premeditated second incident of escalating violence Saturday April 15, 2017 was not a matter of protecting free speech. Please see the Esquire article titled The Violent Clashes In Berkeley Weren't 'Pro-Trump' Versus 'Anti-Trump'


City action must be taken immediately preventing these particular hate groups from using Berkeley as the site of another third assault rumored to take place again.

The threat of racial and religious hatred, violence, the closure of BART Downtown Berkeley Station and the Farmers Market have greatly hurt the Berkeley downtown businesses.

Please inform us of how the City of Berkeley plans to prevent the repeat of these hate groups using the MLK Park a third time.

Sincerely,
Harvey Dong, Bea Dong
Eastwind Books of Berkeley
2066 University Avenue; Berkeley, CA 94704
phone: 510.548-2350 fax: 510.548-3697
www.asiabookcenter.com email: eastwindbooks@gmail.com

If you received this email in error and do not wish to receive emails, please reply with request to be removed from our list.
From: Peter Esmonde <peter.esmonde@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 8:42 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: end collaborations with Homeland Security & Urban Shield

Dear Mayor Arreguin:
I'm a Berkeley homeowner who is writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Given the recent racist and divisive actions of the Trump administration, protecting the rights of all Berkeley residents at this time becomes particularly critical.

More specifically, I ask that Berkeley *not* continue its participation in NCRIC, and does *not* enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives. Acting in good conscience, Berkeley should not participate in -- much less host -- Urban Shield or any of its activities.

respectfully yours
Peter Esmonde

peter.esmonde
180 avenida drive
berkeley, ca 94708
peter.esmonde@gmail.com
415.250.0533
From: Kathryn Townsend <kathryn@westsoundworkforce.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 5:29 PM
To: BPD Webmail; Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Feedback re: April 15, 2017

Dear Sirs,

I am writing this letter respectfully to share some insights that you may not read about in the news nor hear from others in your community.

I am a Trump supporter and I have attended two rallies in order to support him and simply celebrate winning the election. I am a grandmother, ex-nurse, independent voter, law abiding citizen and tax payer.

Many of my friends and fellow Patriots attended that rally. Some of whom are just flat out fed up with our events being targeted by Antifa. Of course, we attracted some fringe people, but that was not the bulk of the attendees. The only reason I didn't go was because I didn't want to be a distraction with someone feeling like they would need to protect me. I would have been right up front had I attended. Much to the chagrin of my daughter and grandkids. I could probably send you twenty names of other grandmothers who feel the same.

The two rallies I did attend were in Olympia and Vancouver, WA. During both of them, we simply wanted to celebrate being American's. We had Veterans and Gold Star families participating. During both of those, Antifa targeted us and tried to drown out our speakers and intimidate us into shutting our events down. The first event was permitted. The second was not because the Governor cancelled it for fear of violence, but people went anyway, because they simply didn't want to allow violence and intimidation to win.

During the event in Olympia, I spent most of my time right up front just listening to the speakers, singing Patriotic songs and just enjoying myself, feeling bad I couldn't have brought my grandkids, because they would have loved the program.

Antifa got as close as they possibly could and blew horns and screamed and shouted to try and drown out the speakers. We continued on. A line of police were between us and them and once we had completed our program, we packed up and left. A few protesters were arrested because they didn't obey the police.

Gentlemen, please know that at the heart of these events, the attendees are not wackos - at the heart are American loving Patriots who simply want to be able to celebrate what our fathers (mine was a WWII POW) bled and died for. I am also the grandmother of a US Marine. I feel like he is risking his life for the rights that are being stripped from us, should Antifa be allowed to continue what they are doing.

If you could not keep the order in your city, is that not what the National Guard is for?

These Antifa are just kids. I have faced them down. They are between the ages of 16-21. Simply set up a parameter, set some rules and arrest any who break them even a little. Do not let them wear masks. Arrest any that are wearing them as you and I know they are wearing them to try to get away with a crime. They are also trying to keep their parents from finding out what they are doing. Right now, they are smarting from their "loss" and are planning bigger and stronger weapons.
Please, show some strength and wisdom. Get Rudy Giuliani in there - he will help you. He knows all about keeping order. He would NEVER let people take over his streets.

I can tell you that our side will not back down. The "movement" within military families and Patriots is growing. It seems to many that you are putting the preferences of Antifa over the rights of tax-paying, law abiding citizens. That's probably not what it feels like to you, but that is what "our" side if feeling and preparing for.

I am begging you to listen to reason. I am very concerned about this escalating to the point of serious bloodshed.

You have the power to stop it. You have the power to do something about it and restore some order to our country.

Please.

Sincerely and with the very best intentions,

Kathryn Townsend
Mayor of Berkeley, California, you, Jesse Arreguin, are a terrorist. You allowed a literal battle to happen in your city and ordered your police to stand down. It is in the best interest of the city for you to resign, and maybe the new mayor will not support violence, and not side with Antifa. Antifa is a terrorist organization and you, the mayor, sided with the terrorist organization. If you care for your city, you will resign.
Thank you.
Mr. Mayor,

Saw on Fox News that your behind not letting Ann Coulter talk at Berkeley. Please make sure she is able to talk. I’m watching and so is America. This Liberal BS will stop!!

Thank you,
Concerned citizen........“WE WILL MAKE AMERICA GREAT AGAIN”

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
From: Robert Minor <rhminor@comcast.net>

Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 9:57 AM

To: All Council

Cc: robert minor

Subject: free speech - "alt right"

Dear Mr Mayor and Council Members,

The right to Free Speech is fundamental to our nation and must be supported even for those whose speech we find offensive and hateful.

But we do not need to play into the hands of BAMN and the ‘black bloc’ and the so called “alt right” to give support to Trump and his cronies and stooges.

The best way to deal with trolls like Yiannopoulos is to let them talk all they want and ignore them. It is the reaction that empowers them and their message.

The best way to deal with an “alt right” march in Berkeley is to allow it and ask the people of Berkeley to turn their backs to it as it marches by.

But please, don’t permit it after dark and enable the ‘black bloc’ to take advantage, and please allow it only at a location to help the police control it.

Free Speech must be defended but time and place controls must be applied to preserve public safety.

Bob and Jeanie Minor
longtime Berkeley residents
Hello,

I see there is going to be a free speech rally later on in April and ANTIFA has expressed their desire to show up and try to shut it down through violent means. Those in attendance in support of free speech also appear to be preparing for violence based on what I am seeing on YouTube.

This situation is setting up to be far more volatile and organized than the previous Trump rally a month ago. The world will be watching these events unfold and I am hoping the City of Berkeley can pull its collective head out of its' ass to uphold law and order.

It will be shameful if you do not.

Sincerely,
Coleman McMurphy

--

The Morningside Clinic
6023 Morningside Ave.
Dallas, TX 75206
214-828-4558
www.morningsideclinic.com
Dear Jesse,

You are mayor at a difficult time in history. The violence in our streets is playing out on the national stage. The Alt-Right is using Berkeley as the leading evidence the liberal agenda is violent and against free-speech.

You and I know this is not the case. 99% of our community is against violence and support the first amendment of United States.

- Don't let Berkeley be used as a pawn by the Alt-Right
- Don't let Berkeley's reputation for peace and thought leadership be destroyed under your leadership

PLEASE TAKE ACTION

My suggestion: spearhead community leaders to organize a rally in Berkeley in support of 1) non-violent protest and 2) free speech OF ANY KIND PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT.

Purpose of the march will be inspirational and educational. Speakers will:

- Talk about peaceful political action and its effectiveness
- Explain BAMN and ANTIFA caused all of violence and they are not welcome by the community
- Explain the details of the first amendment

In a perfect world the Event would include people from the left AND THE RIGHT, both speaking and at the rally. BECAUSE 99% OF BOTH SIDES WANT NON-VIOLENCE AND SUPPORT FREE SPEECH.

Berkeley is the perfect community to stand up in 2017.. and LOUDLY say so. Correctly done, this event will be covered by national and international press. An important event for Berkeley and the entire country.

It could happen under your leadership. The time is now.

Please let me know if you are going to take action on this.

Kind regards,
David Levy

Berkeley, CA
From: Jeremy Lenentine <jeremylenentine@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 9:41 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Free speech?

I am a proponent of free speech so I am baffled why you as a duly elected official are standing by and not stopping those who riot at republican events. Why in the world in 2017 should Ann Coulter be afraid to hold an event on the college campus. Why is she being blocked? Why are you not in favor of free speech? I hope that you lose your job if you choose not to do your job.

Jeremy Lenentine
Draft for your consideration
Totally up to you when or if to do a press advisory? Or press conference?

Kriss Worthington
Councilmember
City of Berkeley, District 7
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7170 phone
(510) 981-7177 fax
kworthington@cityofberkeley.info
http://www.cityofberkeley.info/council7/

From: Worthington, Kriss
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 1:33 PM
To: 'Raja S' <suther189@gmail.com>
Cc: Worthington, Kriss <KWorthington@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Border Wall Resolution Press Release Update

How does this look?

-T
BERKELEY STANDS AGAINST THE BORDER WALL

For Immediate Release:

Contacts:

Jesse Arreguin                  510-981-7100
Ben Bartlett                   510-981-7130
Raja Sutherland                510-457-5180

(Berkeley) – Berkeley City Council is set to pass a motion on March 14th denouncing the Trump Administration’s plans to construct a wall along the U.S – Mexico Border. The motion recommends all city departments to evaluate potential contracts with companies and screen them for involvement in the border wall’s construction. The proposal is modelled on legislation introduced by Oakland City Councilmember Abel Guillen. In adopting the motion the City of Berkeley will become the second city in the nation to boycott companies involved in the construction of the border wall. The motion was proposed and written by Raja Sutherland, an intern for the City of Berkeley and is sponsored by Councilmember Ben Bartlett and co-sponsored by Mayor Jesse Arreguin. A press conference will be held on the steps of the City Hall on March 14th at 6:30pm.

“As Mayor, it is my duty to ensure that Berkeley remains a safe place for all its residents. Our city is one that is known for breaking down walls, not building them. We will continue in that tradition regardless of what happens at the federal level.”

--- Jesse Arreguin
Mayor of the City of Berkeley

“Berkeley’s diversity is its strength. We will not be intimidated by fear or prejudice against immigrants, which has time and time again broken apart communities and caused unnecessary pain.”

--- Ben Bartlett
Berkeley City Councilmember, District 3

“Now more than ever, Berkeley needs to be a beacon of light to show the rest of the nation that bigotry and hatred on our most vulnerable people will not be tolerated.”

---Raja Sutherland
Intern, Author of the Council Item
Tom —

I wanted to follow up with my email about the President's Management Agenda, and how it's going to affect managers at every level of government. Did you have any questions?

We're covering this and a ton more at GPS2017 — can I get you an agenda, or get you registered?

Thanks,

—Carl

---

From: Carl DeMaio  
Subject: FW: Breaking: Trump Management Agenda in Today’s Budget Plan  
Date: Thursday, Feb 16, 2017

Tom —

The White House has unveiled the priorities for the Trump version of the President’s Management Agenda (PMA) and we just released a quick analysis on the 5 Things Government Managers Should Know About Trump’s PMA & Budget. The link to the full analysis is below.

On April 18-19, all of the requirements of the new President’s Management Agenda will be discussed at the Government Performance Summit — from Administration guidance to helpful best practices. This event should help federal, state and local government managers get a jumpstart on these initiatives.

Can you attend or can you send someone? I can send the full agenda if you need it.

Also, let me know if you have any questions on the analysis piece on the budget/PMA.

Carl DeMaio  
President  
The Performance Institute

READ: 5 Things Managers Should Know About Trump’s PMA & Budget
You're receiving this email because you're a past participant in Performance Institute events or a public official. This email was sent to mayor@cityofberkeley.info.

**Our mailing address is:**
Performance Institute, LLC
1440 G St NW
Washington, DC - DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20005

Add us to your address book

unsubscribe from this list | update subscription preferences
FYI

From: Laura Kroeger [mailto:lkroeger@townsendpa.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 12:55 PM
To: Grogan, Jovan <jgrogan@cityofberkeley.info>; Williams-Ridley, Dee <DWilliams-Ridley@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Federal Update - FY17 Appropriations and Border Security-Sanctuary Cities Issues

Dee and Jovan,

While Congress remains on recess through April 24, work continues on finalizing the FY 17 appropriations bill for the remainder of the fiscal year. The bill must be passed by both houses and be signed by President Trump by midnight April 28th, which coincides with the new administration's 100th day in office. Failure to enact the spending bill would result in a government shutdown, though both Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell and House Speaker Paul Ryan have promised that will not happen. To avoid this, Congress could pass a short-term continuing resolution, but would be viewed and another failure of House and Senate leadership.

Border security funding, specifically funding for the border wall, has complicated matters. President Trump is seeking $1.4 billion to launch efforts on the wall this year, fulfilling a campaign promise at least in part. Democrats and some border state Republicans have concerns about how the wall would be paid for and constructed, much of which centers around property rights and eminent domain.

The latest skirmish on FY17 appropriations that threatens to derail efforts to complete the bill in time is a new push by Mick Mulvaney, Director of the White House Office of Management and Budget, to urge leadership and appropriators to include language to restrict funding for sanctuary cities. The effort is seen as a play to court the House Freedom Caucus' support and to show progress on President Trump's agenda. Republican leadership and appropriators are resisting including language out of concern that Senate Democrats will not vote for cloture (8 Democrats are needed), making it impossible to pass the bill. With elections to replace five vacant House seats, House and Senate leaders are highly motivated to get the bill done by the deadline and delay the more complicated matters to the FY18 bill.

Laura Kroeger
Associate, Washington, DC Office
Townsend Public Affairs, Inc.
600 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 207
Washington, DC 20003
c: 949-208-3043 m: 916-316-8001
lkroeger@townsendpa.com
www.TownsendPA.com
Dear Mr. Gadsden,

Thanks for your email. We are closely evaluating information regarding this advertised event, and planning for a number of contingencies.

I do have a question of you. Wondering if you’d please send me your phone number regarding this matter, and I’ll have someone give you a call?

Thanks for your support of the Berkeley Police Department,

Best regards,

Andrew Greenwood
Int. Chief of Police
Berkeley Police Department
(510) 981-5700

From: BPD Webmail
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2017 8:04 AM
To: Greenwood, Andrew <AGreenwood@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Schofield, Kevin M. <KSchofield@cityofberkeley.info>; Fomby Ii, Spencer <SFomby@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: FW: Keeping the peace on March 4??

From Webmail.

Smith #3

From: Gadsden Flag <mailto:gadsdenflag2001@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 5:10 PM
To: BPD Webmail <bpdwebmail@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Berkeley Mayor’s Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>; Maio, Linda <LMaio@cityofberkeley.info>; Davila, Cheryl <Cdavila@cityofberkeley.info>; d3info <d3info@cityofberkeley.info>; Hahn, Sophie <SHahn@cityofberkeley.info>
Wengraf, Susan <SWengraf@cityofberkeley.info>; Worthington, Kriss <KWorthington@cityofberkeley.info>; Droste, Lori <ldroste@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Keeping the peace on March 4??

Dear Police Chief Andrew Greenwood:
As you may know, on Saturday, March 4, the writer Gavin McInnis is scheduled to speak publicly at 2151 MLK Jr. Way at 2:00 PM. The theme of the event is freedom of speech.

It is very likely that this event will devolve into a repeat of what happened when writer Milo Yiannopoulos tried to speak at UC Berkeley recently. Mr. Yiannopoulos’s speech was shut down before it could happen, but rioters did $100,000 worth of damage to the campus anyway, because campus police intentionally did little to stop them. Even when the rioters moved off the UC campus and rampaged through other parts of town, destroying property, they seem to have met with little resistance, judging by the amount of damage to buildings on Shattuck. (My own bank, Wells Fargo, had windows and ATMs broken.) The "antifa" and "black block" participants from that action counted their riot a huge success, so it therefore seems probable that the same troublemakers, and possibly others hostile to Mr. McInnis, will use the March 4 free-speech event as an excuse to once again assault strangers and vandalize or destroy property: smashing windows and ATMs, keying cars, setting fires, throwing stones, blocking thoroughfares, sucker-punching random individuals, and generally creating mayhem. All of this is easily foreseen, given that local forces hostile to Mr. McInnis are already using social media to plan disruptive actions.

What, if anything, does the Berkeley Police Department intend to do to prevent or contain injuries to people and damage to property on March 4? Will you make arrests and use appropriate techniques for riot-control? I certainly hope so. As a law-abiding Berkeley resident, I support the work of the Berkeley Police Department, and expect that work to include prompt, forceful action against hooligans who threaten public order.

Could you possibly write me back and let me know what steps you will take to keep Berkeley safe during Mr. McInnis's speech? I live in Berkeley, and will need to plan my movements on March 4 accordingly. Thanks for all you do.

Yours truly,

G. Flag
From: Numainville, Mark L.
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 8:43 AM
To: All Council
Subject: FW: Resolution No. 12-17 - In support of a Congressional Investigation Regarding the Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump
Attachments: 12-17 resolution in support of a Congressional investigation regarding the impeachment of President Donald J. Trump - Adopted 2-21-17 (2).pdf

From the City of Richmond.

Mark Numainville
City Clerk
City of Berkeley
(510) 981-6909

From: Pamela Christian [mailto:pamela_christian@ci.richmond.ca.us]
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 5:00 PM
To: Numainville, Mark L. <MNumainville@cityofberkeley.info>; cityclerk@oaklandnet.com; Cheryl Morse (cmorse@ci.el-cerrito.ca.us) <cmorse@ci.el-cerrito.ca.us>; racosta@ci.pinole.ca.us; skelly@ci.hercules.ca.us; shartz@emeryville.org; LehnyC@SanPabloCA.gov; Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org; krista.martinelli@ssf.net
Cc: Sabrina Lundy <Sabrina_Lundy@ci.richmond.ca.us>; Ursula Deloa <udehoa@ci.richmond.ca.us>; Trina Jackson <trina_jackson@ci.richmond.ca.us>
Subject: Resolution No. 12-17 - In support of a Congressional Investigation Regarding the Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump

Attached please find a certified copy of Resolution No. 12-17, in support of a Congressional investigation regarding the impeachment of President Donald J. Trump, unanimously adopted by the Richmond City Council at its February 21, 2017 meeting.

At the request of the Richmond City Council, a copy of Resolution No. 12-17 is being sent to you to circulate to your respective City Councils recommending that they pass a similar resolution.

Sincerely,

Pamela Christian
City Clerk
City of Richmond, City Hall, Suite 300
450 Civic Center Plaza | P.O. Box 4048 | Richmond, CA 94804
Main Phone: (510) 620-6513
Fax: (510) 620-8642 | Website: www.ci.richmond.ca.us/clerk

Please Note: This message is being sent on a public e-mail system and may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act. The City Clerk’s Department is prohibited from giving legal advice, per California Business and Professions Code 6125).
RESOLUTION NO. 12-17

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA
IN SUPPORT OF A CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE
IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT DONALD J. TRUMP

WHEREAS, the Foreign Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that “no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States], shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State;” and,

WHEREAS, the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that, besides the fixed salary for his four-year term, the President “shall not receive within that Period any other Emolument from the United States, or any of them;” and,

WHEREAS, the term “emoluments” includes a broad range of financial benefits, including but not limited to monetary payments, purchase of goods and services even for fair market value, subsidies, tax breaks, extensions of credit, and favorable regulatory treatment; and,

WHEREAS, Donald J. Trump, the President of the United States, owns various business interests and receives various streams of income from all over the world; and,

WHEREAS, many of these businesses receive, and streams of income include, emoluments from foreign governments, states of the United States, or the United States itself; and,

WHEREAS, leading constitutional scholars and government ethics experts warned Donald J. Trump shortly after the November 2016 election that, unless he fully divested his businesses and invested the money in conflict-free assets or a blind trust, he would violate the Constitution from the moment he took office; and,

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2017, nine days before his inauguration, Donald J. Trump announced a plan that would, if carried out, remove him from day-to-day operations of his businesses, but not eliminate any of the ongoing flow of emoluments from foreign governments, state governments, or the United States government; and,

WHEREAS, on January 20, 2017, Donald J. Trump took the oath of office and became President of the United States,

WHEREAS, from the moment he took office, President Trump was in violation of the Foreign Emoluments Clause and the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution; and,

WHEREAS, these violations undermine the integrity of the Presidency, corruptly advance the personal wealth of the President, and violate the public trust; and,

WHEREAS, our democracy is premised on the bedrock principle that no one is above the law, not even the President of the United States; and,

WHEREAS, there are considerable, questionable ethical concerns in terms of the election and President Trump’s selected staff.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Richmond City Council, hereby calls upon the United States House of Representatives to support a resolution authorizing and directing the House Committee on the Judiciary to investigate whether sufficient grounds exist for the impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, including but not limited to the violations listed herein; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted officially to the Member of the United States House of Representatives that represents the city, namely, the Honorable Congressman Mark DeSaulnier; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted to all the city clerks of West County cities (El Cerrito, San Pablo, Hercules, Pinole), as well as Berkeley, Albany, Oakland, Emeryville, and San Francisco.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting thereof held on February 21, 2017, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Choi, Martinez, McLaughlin, Myrick, Willis, Vice Mayor Beckles, and Mayor Butt.

NOES: None.

ABSTENTIONS: None.

ABSENT: None.

PAMELA CHRISTIAN
CLERK OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
(SEAL)

Approved:

TOM BUTT
Mayor

Approved as to form:

BRUCE GOODMILLER
City Attorney

State of California  
County of Contra Costa  
City of Richmond  

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of Resolution No. 12-17, finally passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting held on February 21, 2017.

Pamela Christian, Clerk of the City of Richmond
Hello,

Attached is the resolution that we have submitted for the 3/14 agenda. We can strengthen it and submit a revised version if we want to prior to the City Council meeting.

I am not sure if we should include a "be it resolved" for an ordinance to be created so that there is the strength of a city law behind it. What do you all think?

I am now reaching out to the Police Review Commission, Peace & Justice Commission and HWCA Commission to ask that they pass resolutions in support of this prior to March 14th.

I’ve also shared it with Community Partners to encourage turn out for the item.

Finally, seems like we should reach out to two other City Council members to add their support to this resolution. Hahn and Bartlett?

Thanks all,
Sara

**Sara Kershnan, Legislative Assistant**
Councilmember Cheryl Davila
District 2, Berkeley

(510) 981-7126
skershnan@cityofberkeley.info
To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Councilmember Cheryl Davila, District 2 and Mayor Jesse Arreguín

Subject: Adopt a resolution opposing religious and ethnic registries, participation in the federal executive order of an immigration ban and restricting the use of city resources to support such registries and bans.

RECOMMENDATION
Adopt a resolution disallowing the use of City of Berkeley resources to create or maintain registries or databases or in support of an immigration ban of individuals on the basis of religious affiliation, kinship, belief, or practice; national origin; or ethnicity.

FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION
No general fund impact.

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
No ecological impact. Supports an environment in which all people’s dignity, rights and civil liberties are protected and defended regardless of race, ethnicity, national origin, religious affiliation, kinship, belief, or practice, gender, sexuality or ability.

BACKGROUND
President Trump has repeatedly signaled that he intends to require Muslims to register in a database, reenacting and expanding NSEERS, the Obama era database of visitors from Muslim-majority countries. Trump advisors have invoked Japanese-American internment as a precedent for the proposed expansion of the registry. Cities and state legislatures around the country are reacting to these moves by enacting bills and ordinances to disallow their officials from complying with a registry that targets a particular religion, national origin, or ethnicity.

Furthermore, President Trump has enacted an executive order that attempts to ban immigrants, including refugees, from seven countries identified as having a “Muslim-
majority”. Cities and state legislatures and state and federal judges from around the country are reacting to this order with appeals, overturning the order and disallowing their local officials from complying with the order. The same countries identified for Trump’s ban are those listed to be included in the threatened registry.

Berkeley must act immediately to set guidelines for local agencies that decisively reject any such registry.

CONTACT PERSON
Cheryl Davila, Councilmember, District 2  510-981-7120

Attachments:
1: Resolution – “City of Berkeley non-participation with so-called “Muslim-ban” and threatened registry and support for Berkeley communities, residents, families, students and workers being targeted by both.”
RESOLUTION

CITY OF BERKELEY NON-PARTICIPATION WITH SO-CALLED “MUSLIM-BAN” AND THREATENED REGISTRY AND SUPPORT FOR BERKELEY COMMUNITIES, RESIDENTS, FAMILIES, STUDENTS AND WORKERS BEING TARGETED BY BOTH.

WHEREAS, President Trump has repeatedly signaled that he intends to require Muslims to register in a database⁴, reenacting and expanding NSEERS, the Obama era database of visitors from Muslim-majority countries; and

WHEREAS, Trump advisors have invoked Japanese-American internment as a precedent for the proposed expansion of the registry⁵; and

WHEREAS, President Trump has ordered a sweeping expansion of deportations and assigned unprecedented powers to Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) officers targeting and terrorizing immigrant communities;⁶ and

WHEREAS, President Trump, in his seventh day as president, signed an executive order which banned entry from seven majority-Muslim countries, including Iran, Iraq, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria and Yemen⁷, targeting Muslim refugees and immigrants and sparking widespread protest, appeals, overturning and condemnation, and

WHEREAS, a study by Stanford Law School in 2014 found that “two-thirds of immigrants facing deportation had no legal representation to help them navigate immigration laws and that those who did have an attorney were three times more likely to win their cases.”⁸

WHEREAS, because these policies significantly increase the burden of immigration enforcement on Berkeley residents, students and workers there is an immediate need for funding for legal aid and deportation defense for members of our community, and

WHEREAS, local municipalities have committed significant funds for deportation legal defense for their residents including initial commitments of $750,000 in San Francisco and $300,000 in Oakland, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Trump’s initial policies have demonstrated a careless disregard for human welfare, banning refugees and immigrants while instituting religious tests for foreign travellers and embracing bigotry and xenophobia, and
WHEREAS, immigrants are valuable and essential members of the California community; almost one in three Californians is foreign born and one in two children in California has at least one immigrant parent; and

WHEREAS, the City Council declared Berkeley to be a City of Refuge in 1971, reaffirmed that stance in 1986 and again in 2007, and stated: “No department, agency, commission, officer or employee of the City of Berkeley shall use any City funds or resources to assist in the enforcement of Federal immigration law or to gather or disseminate information status of individuals in the city of Berkeley unless such assistance is required by federal or state statute, regulation or court decision;” and

WHEREAS, the Berkeley City Council has endorsed the proposed state Senate Bill 54, the California Values Act, stating that it will “protect the safety and well-being of all Californians by ensuring that state and local resources are not used to fuel mass deportations, separate families, and ultimately hurt California’s economy,” and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Berkeley condemns the expansion of deportations planned by the current Presidential Administration, condemns its executive order of a ban on immigration and entrance to refugees based on national origin and targeting of Muslims, and condemns the threatened registry based on religious affiliation, kinship, belief, or practice; national origin; or ethnicity; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Berkeley calls all city staff, departments, boards, elected officials, and individuals, organizations and communities living, working or operating in Berkeley to not comply with any executive order that infringes on civil liberties and human rights and act to assert a defense of the fundamental values of social justice, equity and mutual respect; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that no officer, employee, department, board, commission, or other entity of the City shall use City moneys, facilities, property, equipment, or personnel to implement, provide investigation for, enforce, or assist in the creation, implementation, or enforcement of, or provide support in any manner for, any government program creating or compiling a list, registry, or database of individuals on the basis of religious affiliation, kinship, belief, or practice; national origin; or ethnicity; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be sent to any City employee or official who might reasonably be called upon to provide information to such a registry; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Berkeley commits to identifying resources to fund community-based organizations with a track record of providing quality legal assistance and representation to immigrants in our community within and outside of Berkeley, so that they may expand their valuable work, provide free consultations, explore the creation of an emergency hotline for residents, and/or hold educational workshops; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Berkeley commits to explore avenues for funding of mental health services for those residents most impacted by the executive orders and threats against them by the federal government.

---

10 [http://www.ci.berkeley.ca.us/City_Manager/Press_Releases/2008/2008-05-20_Berkeley_is_a_City_of_Refuge.aspx](http://www.ci.berkeley.ca.us/City_Manager/Press_Releases/2008/2008-05-20_Berkeley_is_a_City_of_Refuge.aspx)
11 Item 26, [http://www.ci.berkeley.ca.us/Clerk/City_Council/2017/01_Jan/City_Council__01-24-2017_-_Regular_Meeting_Agenda.aspx](http://www.ci.berkeley.ca.us/Clerk/City_Council/2017/01_Jan/City_Council__01-24-2017_-_Regular_Meeting_Agenda.aspx)
12 Definitions. For the purpose of this resolution:

(1) The term "list, database, or registry" includes public, private, or joint public-private collections of information.

(2) The term "personal information" means any information that can, either on its own or in combination with other information, be used to identify, contact, track, otherwise locate, or reasonably infer the identity of a specific individual; and

(3) The term "persons and individual" refers to natural and legal persons.

13 Organizations selected should represent those most impacted including the Arab Resource & Organizing Center, East Bay Sanctuary Covenant, East Bay Community Law Center, Centro Legal de la Raza, and Asian-Americans Advancing Justice - Asian Law Caucus.
FYI...

Begin forwarded message:

From: Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com>
Date: April 22, 2017 at 12:00:59 PM PDT
To: david@theuctheatre.org
Subject: Google Alert - Jesse Arreguin

Jesse Arreguin
Daily update - April 22, 2017

NEWS

Berkeley Mayor Is Member of Antifa Facebook Group that Organized Riots
Breitbart News
Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin is a member of the anti-fascist Facebook group, By Any Means Necessary, which orchestrated the riots that occurred ...

Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin Revealed As BAMN Antifa Thug Behind UC Riots: Is Ann Coulter's ... - The Inquisitr
Berkeley mayor publicly supports violent leftist group - WND.com
Full Coverage
Flag as irrelevant

See more results | Edit this alert

You have received this email because you have subscribed to Google Alerts.
Unsubscribe | View all your alerts

Receive this alert as RSS feed

Send Feedback
From: T. Taplin <terryataplin@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 3:50 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Fwd: Notice of an Alt-Right Rally Called for Tomorrow 2pm in Civic Park

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

Kyle Chapman, AKA Based Stickman has called for an Alt-Right Rally to be held tomorrow in Civic Center Park at 2pm. Below is an email I sent to council members Bartlett, Worthington, and D'Avila.

A number of weaponized Far-Right Militias were planning to converge on Berkeley for Coulter's event. Since Coulter has supposedly pulled out of the event the Alt-Right Extremist groups are opting to host this rally instead as a pretext to engage in violence.

This will be during civic center and Berkeley high school hours. Please be safe.

Warm Regards,
Terry

Nutrisco et Extinguo

Begin forwarded message:

From: "T. Taplin" <terryataplin@gmail.com>
Date: April 26, 2017 at 3:38:11 PM PDT
To: bennybartlett@gmail.com
Subject: Notice of an Alt-Right Called for Tomorrow 2pm in Civic Park

Dear Councilmember,

I am writing to alert you that Kyle Chapman, Aka Based Stickman, the Far-Right militant has called for a Alt-Right hate rally to be held in civic center park tomorrow at 2pm.

Armed Right-Wing Militias will be converging in the park in lieu of Coulter's cancelled speech. Please pass this on to the mayor and safety officials as this will take place during civic center business hours as well as Berkeley High's school day.

As both Kyle Chapman and Gavin McLannes will be in attendance it is likely that the militant wing of the Proud Boys will be present as well. Other likely figures include Nathan Damigo(sp) who assaulted the young woman at the last rally, as well as the 3%er and Oath Keeper militias.

Sincerely,
Terry Taplin
Update:

It looks like Ann might be backing away from this a horrible decision. We are imploring Ann Couture to stand against leftist oppression and reach out to Ann and other to speak. Regardless of Ann...
Our FUCK ANTIFA Rally is ON! Let's show these Commies the Right will not be silenced. We were warriors and WARRIORS AGAIN WE WILL BE!!

Speakers: Gavin McInnes, Based Stickman, Britta Pettibone, Lauren Southern, Faith Goldy and more.

Civic Center Park
2151 M.L.K. Jr Way, Berkeley, CA 94704 2pm

33 Shares

Like
Share

👍❤️😮 494

VIEW PREVIOUS COMMENTS...

Aaron Dale

I'll confront ANTIFA if you guys chip in for
Nutrisco et Extinguo
From: Sharon Smith <ssmithcsa@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 10:10 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Fwd: Riots

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: "Sharon Smith" <ssmithcsa@gmail.com>
Date: Mar 6, 2017 12:05 PM
Subject: Riots
To: <clerk@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc:

How pathetic that the City of Berkley allows peaceful demonstrators to be beaten because they want to support our current government and its policies!! We would avoid ANYTHING to do with your city and will encourage President Trump to withdraw funding to Berkley until you get this rebel rousing and these beatings under control!!

Sharon K Smith
Ssmithcsa@gmail.com
Will SF Bay wetlands restoration be a casualty of EPA cuts?

By Carolyn Lochhead, Friday, March 3, 2017

WASHINGTON — The Trump administration could eliminate all federal funding for wetlands restoration in San Francisco Bay, according to a budget plan that has shocked local and state officials, but is just one piece of broad changes to federal environmental programs.

The directive from the Office of Management and Budget, leaked late Thursday evening, proposes slashing the Environmental Protection Agency budget by nearly a quarter, eliminating 20 percent of its staff to a personnel level last seen four decades ago and eliminating 38 programs entirely.
Air pollution grants to big cities, programs to clean trash and pollutants from waters at the Mexican border and efforts to cut diesel emissions would all be discontinued. In addition, climate protection programs would be cut by 70 percent, and dozens of programs to reduce lead in drinking water, clean up marine pollution and fix leaking underground storage tanks, would be slashed.

The 23-page directive to the EPA is part of President Trump’s formulation of his first budget, expected the week of March 13. Other domestic agencies are bracing for deep cuts as well.

“The administration’s 2018 budget blueprint will prioritize rebuilding the military and making critical investments in the nation’s security,” the budget agency document says. “It will also identify the savings and efficiencies needed to keep the nation on a responsible fiscal path.”

The document said the cuts would help pay for Trump’s proposed $54 billion increase in military and homeland security spending. Defense spending is currently $598.5 billion, and the EPA’s budget is $8.2 billion, with the proposed cuts totaling roughly $2 billion.

During the campaign, Trump had promised cuts that would leave only “little tidbits” of the EPA. Congress has final authority over the budget, however, and the administration’s plans are likely to meet bipartisan resistance.

Neither the White House nor the EPA responded to a request for comment. EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt, a former Oklahoma attorney general who has questioned climate science and sued the agency he now manages, told the nation’s mayors Thursday that the budget process “is just starting” and that he wanted to defend several agency programs, including water infrastructure and local grant programs.

“I don’t know whether to laugh or cry,” responded Warner Chabot, executive director of the San Francisco Estuary Institute, a science think tank. “To suggest that Pruitt is going to be an advocate for local government and environmental protection is beyond belief.”

In addition to San Francisco Bay, the budget all but eliminates big watershed restoration programs for the Great Lakes, Chesapeake Bay, Puget Sound and South Florida. Sen. Dianne Feinstein, D-Calif., was instrumental in creating the $4.8 million program for San Francisco, by far the smallest of these — the Great Lakes program gets $300 million a year. Sen. Debbie Stabenow, D-Mich., called the Great Lakes cuts “outrageous.”

The San Francisco program, called the S.F. Bay Water Quality Improvement Fund, helped restore the old Cargill salt ponds in the South Bay, creating tidal marshes and new wetlands. The total cost of the 50-year project is expected to be $1 billion, paid with local and state funds that rely on a federal match. Bay Area voters last year easily approved Measure AA, a $12-a-year parcel tax covering all nine counties to raise $500 million in restoration funds.

Officials said restoring bay wetlands is vital to protecting South Bay cities such as San Jose, part of which was inundated two weeks ago, from future flooding. As much as 90 percent of the bay’s wetlands have been lost to development, exposing the shoreline to storm surges, destroying wildlife habitat and degrading water quality.

The salt ponds restoration is “an essential part of our adaptation to climate change” and rising sea levels, said Brenda Buxton, deputy regional manager for the California Coastal Conservancy, a sister state agency to the Coastal Commission that oversees projects to protect the coast and its watersheds.
“We need to restore the wetlands and restore them as fast as we possibly can, so they are there for us when we need them,” Buxton said. “As the weather gets weirder and we get bigger storms, we need to have time for the sediment to come in and the plants to grow to have them function. We feel a real sense of urgency.”

The San Francisco clapper rail and the salt marsh harvest mouse, both of which live only on the bay, will probably become extinct without further restoration, she said.

Feinstein has taken a keen personal interest in the salt ponds, leveraging her senior position on the Senate Appropriations Committee to establish and fund the restoration program.

“What’s frustrating is the restoration dollars go further in the Bay Area than anywhere else in the nation,” because of strong local funding, said Adrian Covert, a vice president at the Bay Area Council business group. A 2015 council study showed that the bay, especially Santa Clara County, home to Silicon Valley, is vulnerable to as much as $10 billion damage from a big storm.

“That’s about the same as the Loma Prieta earthquake,” Covert said. Federal taxpayers pick up much of the cost of such disasters.

While cuts to the wetlands programs could harm waterways, there are fears that reductions in pollution programs will harm public health.

“I can guarantee with certainty that at least in the air pollution area, there will be many more people who will die prematurely and tens of thousands, perhaps millions more, who will get sick unnecessarily,” if the cuts prevail, said W. William Becker, executive director of the National Association of Clean Air Agencies, a nonpartisan association of local air pollution agencies. Becker said the cuts will have “a direct and serious adverse health impact on almost every major metropolitan area in the country.”

Neither Feinstein’s office, which is waiting for the formal budget proposal, nor the governor’s office offered comment.

Rep. Barbara Lee, D-Oakland, had something to say on Twitter:

“Cutting @EPA protections & budget will result in more dirty water, more polluted air, more asthmatic kids & more water crises like #Flint”


Carolyn Lochhead is The San Francisco Chronicle’s Washington correspondent.
Email: clochhead@sfcchronicle.com

Warner Chabot
Executive Director - SFEI
San Francisco Estuary Institute
W: 510 746-7396
Cell: 510 375-2141
E-mail: warnerc@sfei.org
--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Bay Area Elected Officials and Policy Staff" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to Bay-Area-Elected-Officials-and-Policy-Staff+unsubscribe@sfei.org.
Begin forwarded message:

From: Judith Coburn <maryjudithcoburn@gmail.com>
Subject: Takeover of MLK Park on Saturday
Date: April 12, 2017 at 3:09:13 PM PDT
To: cdaVilla@cityofberkeley.info, major@cityofberkeley.info, Frances Dinkelspiel <fdinkelspiel@gmail.com>

Hello. I’m one of the folks who voted for you. I’m pretty outraged that the City of Berkeley has allowed pro and anti Trump people who support violence to take over MLK park and force the cancellation of the Saturday Farmer’s Market. The farmers who come from all over the Bay area from Santa Cruz to the San Joaquin Valley depend on the Saturday market to make major income. The Pasta Phoenix company on Strawberry Park makes $2000. at the Saturday market. This doesn’t sound like much but these small businesses/farmers have a narrow margin. Why is the City allowing violent groups—with no permits—to take over the park on Saturday? If they succeed, they will be back. The City blocked off the areas around the police department to evict the homeless, why not block off access to the Park to stop the fight club?

Is this fear of the ACLU? But violent protest isn’t the same as non-violent protest. I’ve talked to many people, members of the ACLU like myself, who would not support civil libertarians who defend these people.

How about the citizens of Berkeley who would like to support local farmers and cook something nice for their families?

Judith Coburn
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

In case you find it useful, I'm sharing the latest draft of the statement the ACUDIR coalition plans to release next week.

Best,

Jon

Draft

ACUDIR Coalition slams Sheriff Ahern for endorsing Sessions' highly controversial nomination as AG; Demands apology

Oakland, CA - The Alameda County United in Defense of Immigrant Rights (ACUDIR) coalition has learned that Sheriff Gregory Ahern, in his role as Chair of the CA State Sheriffs Association Political Action Committee, signed a letter late last year endorsing the profoundly controversial nomination of Jeff Sessions for US Attorney General.

Sessions was rejected for a federal judgeship in the 1980s due to serious allegations of racist, anti-black remarks and has deep ties to white nationalist groups who wish to end all immigration. In a 1986 letter opposing Sessions' judgeship, Coretta Scott King wrote: "Mr. Sessions has used the awesome powers of his office in a shabby attempt to intimidate and frighten elderly black voters."

The following is a statement from the coalition, including [insert groups specifically endorsing]

Sheriff Ahern's endorsement of this extreme white nationalist is a slap in the face to all of the beautifully diverse communities who call our county home. The Sheriff's entanglement and collusion with Trump and Sessions' xenophobic agenda is a disgrace. In light of this information, Ahern's previous claims regarding county immigration policies must be rigorously investigated and scrutinized.

Sheriff Ahern and the Sheriffs Association are, simply put, on the wrong side of history. They must stop the attacks upon the vital California Values Act (SB 54) and completely separate themselves from Trump's cruel deportation machine - and his hateful ideology.

We call upon Sheriff Ahern to apologize for this shameful endorsement. Furthermore, the Sheriff should update his policies to ensure that his department plays absolutely no part in Trump's police state.

A detailed memo from the coalition, analyzing and calling into question the Sheriff's recent statements re his deportation policies, can be found here.

###

--

Jon Rodney
Communications Director
California Immigrant Policy Center
jrodney@caimmigrant.org
Connect with CIPC on Facebook and Twitter
From: Jane Pimentel <pimenteljane@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 10:43 AM
To: chancellor@berkeley.edu; Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Get the national guard!

Dear Chancellor and Mayor,
The protests at Berkeley are becoming a civil war.
Please get the national guard for Ann Coulter visit.
It is horrifying, scary, disturbing - watching armed and masked people (not students) invade the campus and near by parks and cause so much violence and destruction. And it is unnerving to see such limited action from police.
Please get the national guard as it is very apparent that your current resources are unable to contain the civil war that it being taken to the streets.
It makes me want to pull my son from UC Berkeley. Do not let masked, helmeted, gloved, baton carrying thugs onto or near campus. Do not just watch as they march like an army onto the streets of Berkeley. Please - take an active role - do not have police watch as destruction and violence occur!
Please be prepared.
We are all very concerned.
Sincerely,
Jane Pimentel
From: Jon Michiels <jmihiels89@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 3:34 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Get your fucking shit together

Your city is the absolute butt of jokes right now, get your shit together, calm down your fascist, antifa, and the rest of your rioting morons. Your making your city, and your state look bad. Losers
From: Mark Cottrell <mctrell99@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 6:26 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: good job

You anti-free speech fat fuck...funny, you are mayor of a city that encourages burning flags but is afraid of big old Coulter, et al...typical pussy ass motherfucking cocksucking liberal...you are a fat piece of shit...spend some time on the treadmill dude. Fuck you and Fuck CA...can we trade CA to Canada for Alberta? Better yet, where the fuck is the big earthquake??? Love, Mark
Hi Mayor Arreguin

As you may know, NARAL Pro-Choice California has introduced a bill that would make California one of the only states in the country with a pro-choice license plate. The plate would raise money for a program that provides family planning services to low-income Californians. This program is a major source of funding for Planned Parenthood and other abortion providers, and it could be in jeopardy if the federal government follows through on threats to defund abortion providers. You can read a nice LA Times piece about the license plate here. This is an opportunity for us to stand up for California values and fight Trump's anti-choice agenda.

I'm asking for your help to join us by tweeting about the license plate and encouraging people to sign up on our day of action on Wednesday, 4/12.

In order to get a license plate made, we need to sell 7,500 up front within a year after the bill is signed into law. We're getting a head start by asking people to sign on and say they want one and show the legislature that there is strong public demand for the pro-choice license plate.

I'll send you a reminder on the morning of with sample tweets and graphics, but I wanted to give you a heads up so you'd know it's coming. In the meantime, feel free to contact me with any questions.

Thanks!

- Rebecca Griffin
Assistant Director, California Programs
NARAL Pro-Choice California
415.500.8123
Facebook :: Twitter :: Instagram
From: Steve <besttxteach@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 5:19 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Hmmm

A fan of BAMN?
You are ridiculous.

Steve

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Council Member,

I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

Berkeley must NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Bob Martin
6660 Dana St
Oakland, 94609

"My religion is kindness"--the Dalai Lama
From: Todd Patrick <birddog@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 10:28 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: How can I help?

Mayor Arreguin,

I am a homeowner and resident in Berkeley of six years, moving here from Lake Merritt in Oakland to be closer to my wife's family in the Hills and to send our two children to Emerson Elementary School. We love the diversity and culture of South Berkeley, and I have even come to appreciate the parts of Berkeley that I had before seen as entitled or self-important. :)

Of course we are all concerned by the escalating violence in recent protests, and understand well that the vast majority is perpetrated by alt-right and antifa outsiders who see our city as an opportunity to strike a symbolic victory for their cause. I applaud the restraint the police department has shown in response while protecting our citizens, and agree that it is far better to see windows broken than lives lost. I know that this turmoil must weigh heavily on your staff, and that the scrutiny under which your choices are viewed only distracts from the work you were elected to do.

So I'm writing to encourage you to continue exercising sound judgment in balancing civic freedom with public safety. I have every confidence that we will continue to meet these challenges as a community united against those who would seek to set an example by undermining the strength of our commitment to free speech and assembly, or shake the faith in our public institutions to keep the peace. I want to help, and I think I have a suggestion how we can start tamping this fire down.

Please consider making a public statement asking us, the citizens of Berkeley, to not avoid protest events out of fear, but to attend as a show of force for civility. Visit the Cal Campus to help students get to and from class safely, and alert the authorities if sparks start flying. Sit out on our front porches and wave to our neighbors as a sign of looking out for each other. Come to the farmer's market downtown or the swap meet at Ashby BART this weekend and celebrate our solidarity and perseverance.

Thanks for your consideration, and please give my best to whomever brought this letter to your attention. Let me know if I can be of assistance in any way.

Sincerely,
Todd Patrick
1739 Derby Street
Berkeley, Calif 94703
(415) 596-8633
Dear Mayor Arreguin:

My name is Sherry Roberts and I live in Torrance, CA. I am interested in learning the process by which your City of Berkeley was successful in producing a Resolution to be sent to the House of Representatives calling for the investigation and impeachment of one Mr. Donald J. Trump. I am hopeful to present such a resolution to my Torrance City Council at the earliest possible time.

Therefore, can you tell me, please:

1. Who generates this Resolution? Is it your council or the city's constituents or where does it come from?
2. Does the council do the work to get it to the House of Representatives or would it be a citizen (such as myself), or a completely different entity?
3. How does it travel through the system?
4. What are the next steps once it’s received by the House?

I have attached a draft Resolution, and I am hoping that it is exactly like, or at least similar to, the Resolution your City has confirmed. Am I on the right track with it?

The time you have taken to read and respond to my request means a great deal to me. I am proud to know that your City has taken the stance against injustice to our Democracy. I applaud you.

Ms. Sherry L. Roberts
sherryroberts1@netzero.net
310-938-1429
Resolution in Support of Congressional Investigation regarding
Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump

WHEREAS, the Foreign Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that “no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States], shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State,” thereby prohibiting conflicts of interest that could influence the conduct of the foreign affairs of the United States,

WHEREAS, the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that, besides the fixed salary for his four-year term, the President “shall not receive within that Period any other Emolument from the United States, or any of them,” thereby prohibiting conflicts of interest that could influence the conduct of the domestic affairs of the United States,

WHEREAS, the term “emoluments” includes a broad range of financial benefits, including but not limited to monetary payments, purchase of goods and services even for fair market value, subsidies, tax breaks, extensions of credit, and favorable regulatory treatment,

WHEREAS, Donald J. Trump, the President of the United States, owns various business interests and receives various streams of income from all over the world,

WHEREAS, many of these businesses receive, and streams of income include, emoluments from foreign governments, states of the United States, or the United States itself,

WHEREAS, leading constitutional scholars and government ethics experts warned Donald J. Trump shortly after the November 2016 election that, unless he fully divested his businesses and invested the money in conflict-free assets or a blind trust, he would violate the Constitution from the moment he took office,
WHEREAS, on January 11, 2017, nine days before his inauguration, Donald J. Trump announced a plan that would, if carried out, remove him from day-to-day operations of his businesses, but not eliminate any of the ongoing flow of emoluments from foreign governments, state governments, or the United States government,

WHEREAS, on January 20, 2017, Donald J. Trump took the oath of office and became President of the United States,

WHEREAS, from the moment he took office, President Trump was in violation of the Foreign Emoluments Clause and the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution,

WHEREAS, these violations undermine the integrity of the Presidency, corruptly advance the personal wealth of the President, and violate the public trust,

WHEREAS, our democracy is premised on the bedrock principle that no one is above the law, not even the President of the United States,

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA RESOLVES to call upon the United States House of Representatives to support a resolution authorizing and directing the House Committee on the Judiciary to investigate whether sufficient grounds exist for the impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, including but not limited to the violations listed herein; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted officially to the Member of the United States House of Representatives that represents the city, namely, the Honorable Maxine Waters; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted officially to certain other cities and communities in this state.

Approved and enacted this day: __________
Dear Council Member,

My name is Starr Flores and I am a concerned resident. I’m writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.
From: Warren Serkin <wizardbard@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 11:36 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Hypocrite

How does it feel to know that you’ve outed yourself as a flaming hypocrite on live tv. The only reason that Antifa and BAMN didn't show up yesterday is because you didn’t want you friends in Antifa (facists and anarchists all) and your girlfriend in BAMN (Yvette Falarca) to get thrown in jail, where they all belong so you warned them to stay away. You don't give a shit about protecting the rights of anyone on the right. You’d just as soon see Antia and BAMN run riot in streets and destroy those on the right and destroy property than protect anyone on the right. Ann Coulter had a constitutional RIGHT to speak at Cal Berkeley and the reasons given for denying her that RIGHT were complete BULLSHIT. You, sir, should be ashamed of yourself and you absolutely deserve to be recalled.

---

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
https://www.avast.com/antivirus
Dear Mayor and Councilwoman,

I realize that you must not desire the alt-right spotlight that has fallen on Berkeley lately, and I'm sure I'm not the only person writing to you about it. But it's astonishing to me that you're allowing another rally by out-of-town fascist thugs. It is not "free speech" to come to someone else's town and start fights, and it's not legitimate to hold a "protest" armed with weapons. The online discussion of this event is all about fighting. Police at the last event made no effort to separate the groups, or even detain people carrying weapons.

So I wonder, why don't you simply ban the event, or at least deter people from carrying weapons, threatening residents, and instigating fights, and how long will this slide before Berkeley becomes a weekend theme park for fascist violence?

Your concerned constituent,
Andrew Cockrell
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I am very concerned about Trump’s recent move to deputize local law officials to enforce immigration laws. He is also considering hiring 10,000 new ICE agents, which triples the current number.

Our law enforcement already has an important job to do and if they are doing something else, how can they be there to serve and protect our community? I am also fearful that this increase will create arbitrary searches and mistaken detainments-as it already has.

What are you as the mayor of Berkeley doing to make sure that the people in our community are able to stay safe and are being treated fairly?

I look forward to your response.

Mallorie Baron
2218 Browning Street
Berkeley, ca 94702
Hi Jesse,

I'm emailing as a resident of Berkeley, from District #4.

As you probably know, neo-Nazis and other Trump supporters from around California are planning another event in Berkeley. These events preach hatred and bring violence to our community.

Inspired by this event in Germany, [http://www.newsweek.com/video-activists-turn-neo-nazi-march-anti-nazi-fundraiser-285366](http://www.newsweek.com/video-activists-turn-neo-nazi-march-anti-nazi-fundraiser-285366), I am setting up a local coalition to ask businesses and residents to make a donation to the Qal'bu Maryam Mosque in Berkeley for each person who attends the next fascist event.

I've talked with Rabi'a Keeble, the leader of the mosque, and she is open to the idea.

Are you open to talking about this?

Thank you,

Brian Sparks
From: Richard Zuckerman <richardzuckerman2002@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 9:49 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; City Clerk; Maio, Linda; Davila, Cheryl; d3subscribe; Harrison, Kate; Hahn, Sophie; Wengraf, Susan; Worthington, Kriss; Droste, Lori; studentconduct@berkeley.edu; deanofstudents@berkeley.edu
Subject: IF THESE RIOTERS ARE YOUR STUDENTS, THEN WHY AREN’T YOU DISCIPLINING THEM? VIDEOTAPE EACH RIOT, PICK THEM OUT, AND PROSECUTE THEM!!

FOUCH: Female Antifa Hippie Charges Trump Supporters At Berkeley, INSTANTLY Regrets It – The Political Cult

OUCH: Female Antifa Hippie Charges
Trump Supporters At Berkeley,
INSTANTLY ...

Richard Zuckerman
You'd be a pasty hued socialist revolutionary huge piece of shit who is destroying the community he claims to represent. Someone with no respect for differing opinions and who belongs to groups that proclaim they will stamp out dissenting thought BY ANY MEANS NECESSARY.

But you're not. You're all of those things but pasty hued. But you are a huge piece of shit!
From: John Weinstein <charisstewardship@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 8:49 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Imbedded? About time!

It is good to see that you are a member of the groups shutting down Milo, Ann C and other fascists. You must spread the news that the BAMN people and you are aligned. This will explain your actions in directing the police. Speech of that sort is dangerous and must be stopped BAMN. Any reasonable person will agree. Stand up in the open and tell the truth about your affiliations to a news conference. Come out of the Shadows into the Light. Vive Che! Vive Fidel! Viva La Raza!

John Weinstein
Dear Berkeley City Council members and Mayor Arreguin

Please do step up and join Richmond and other cities that are calling for the IMPEACHMENT of Donald Trump (-- and consider Mike Pence also, just to clear up that problem).

there are over 915,000 signatures on the petition at https://impeachdonaldtrumpnow.org/

and you can read the details of the case for impeachment there also.

Here is a snip:

>>
Nixon White House Counsel John Dean has told reporters: “I don’t think Richard Nixon even comes close to the level of corruption we already know about Trump.”

>>

We cannot let this situation continue, it only gets worse and worse as he does more to destroy our democracy and the environment.

He is a wiley character. Before he cooks up some way to stir up "his base" in a really dangerous way, his violations of the law must be spoken out against loudly and used to stop him. We must not continue to allow violations of the Constitution to be ignored!

Thank you,
--Soula Culver
Berkeley 94703
"On Tuesday night, the Berkeley City Council passed a five-page resolution calling for the president to be impeached."

LMAO-you guys make most of the country laugh. If you really want to motivate most of the country to support President Trump then continue doing what you are doing.

Who cares what little "snowflakes" like you care or say? I don't.

We can only hope President Trump gets a second term.
Dear Mayor,

What is happening in Berkeley is shameful. You have a duty to use your police force to stop the violence.

Your city been on notice for weeks that the "Antifa" rioters will appear and do harm to free speech, property, and participants.

Stop Antifa before they hurt any more of our students!

Tom and Mary Russell
Newport Beach, CA
From: Patrick Dolan <pdolan@americanprogress.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 11:08 AM
Cc: Patrick Dolan
Subject: INVITATION: CAP, NILC and United We Dream Immigration call for local elected officials

Importance: High

Dear Mayor:

In light of the Trump administration’s recent executive actions on immigration, the Center for American Progress (CAP), National Immigration Law Center (NILC) and United We Dream (UWD) cordially invite you and your staff to participate in an off-the-record conference call on Thursday, March 9th from 3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. EST to discuss the political, policy, and budget implications for local governments regarding new federal immigration enforcement policies. Specifically, the conference call will cover:

- **Immigration Enforcement**: The implications for children and families in your community, and how you can prepare.

- **Public Benefits**: Understanding the potential threats facing immigrants (green card holders and undocumented) who use public benefits such as free and reduced school lunch, SNAP, or Medicaid.

- **Federal enforcement policies and local implementation**: The anticipated budget implications for local governments, and how these policies make communities less safe.

- **Available Resources**: Materials that are available for use by municipalities, families, and community-based organizations such as know your rights cards and the ICE activity hotline.

To participate in the conference call, please RSVP to Patrick Dolan (pdolan@americanprogress.org) by COB on Tuesday, March 7th.

Please note that this call is closed to press and intended exclusively for local elected officials and staff. Do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions, or if you need more information.

Sincerely,

Patrick Dolan

Patrick J. Dolan
Manager of Intergovernmental Affairs
Center for American Progress & Center for American Progress Action Fund
(202) 481-8145
pdolan@americanprogress.org
Dear Mayor Arreguin,
We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a 'walk in'. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,
Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
From: Kevin C <kevin-c-is@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2017 3:06 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: is the City going to take a stand on free speech?

Please see e-mail, below, that I just sent to my Council member ... I think your leadership for such a meeting would be especially important given that Alt-right media is now claiming that you're a member of By Any Means Necessary and condone violent action to suppress speech we vehemently disagree with ...

----- Forwarded Message -----  
From: Kevin C <kevin-c-is@sbcglobal.net>
To: "kharrison@CityofBerkeley.info" <kharrison@CityofBerkeley.info>
Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2017 2:56 PM
Subject: is the City going to take a stand on free speech?

I'm alarmed by recent events in Berkeley, as well as the tarnishing of our City's reputation as a haven for free speech. Is the City Council going to do anything to address this? I've scanned agendas for the Council's last meeting and its upcoming meeting, and I've googled "Berkeley City Council" with "free speech." I've found nothing indicating an official City stance on what's going on.

I really think the City Council needs to take immediate action to issue a policy statement supporting free speech for all and condemning all efforts to stifle it, including violence, trying to cancel conservative speech/events, and heckling to drown out speech. I think the City Council should immediately schedule a special meeting on this topic alone.

What is the City Council doing to address this? What are you doing?

Kevin Casey, a Berkeley leftie who supports free speech for all ..
1740 Walnut St #20
510 289-2621
From: Dalton Lewis <itsthe dalton7@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 4:48 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Islamophobia in Berkley

Hello, my name is Dalton. I would like to express my concern to the mayor of Berkeley and his affinity towards Antifa. Antifa is a terrorist organization and for a politician to have any sympathy towards terrorist is abhorrent and that politician should resign and let someone with integrity govern.

Regards,
Dalton
Dear Council Member,

My name is Neda and I am a concerned East Bay resident. I’m writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thanks,

Neda
From: Gadsden Flag <gadsdenflag2001@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 5:10 PM
To: BPD Webmail
Cc: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; Maio, Linda; Davila, Cheryl; d3info; Hahn, Sophie; Wengraf, Susan; Worthington, Kriss; Droste, Lori
Subject: Keeping the peace on March 4??

Dear Police Chief Andrew Greenwood:

As you may know, on Saturday, March 4, the writer Gavin McInnis is scheduled to speak publicly at 2151 MLK Jr. Way at 2:00 PM. The theme of the event is freedom of speech.

It is very likely that this event will devolve into a repeat of what happened when writer Milo Yiannopoulos tried to speak at UC Berkeley recently. Mr. Yiannopoulos’s speech was shut down before it could happen, but rioters did $100,000 worth of damage to the campus anyway, because campus police intentionally did little to stop them. Even when the rioters moved off the UC campus and rampaged through other parts of town, destroying property, they seem to have met with little resistance, judging by the amount of damage to buildings on Shattuck. (My own bank, Wells Fargo, had windows and ATMs broken.) The "antifa" and "black block" participants from that action counted their riot a huge success, so it therefore seems probable that the same troublemakers, and possibly others hostile to Mr. McInnis, will use the March 4 free-speech event as an excuse to once again assault strangers and vandalize or destroy property: smashing windows and ATMs, keying cars, setting fires, throwing stones, blocking thoroughfares, sucker-punching random individuals, and generally creating mayhem. All of this is easily foreseen, given that local forces hostile to Mr. McInnis are already using social media to plan disruptive actions.

What, if anything, does the Berkeley Police Department intend to do to prevent or contain injuries to people and damage to property on March 4? Will you make arrests and use appropriate techniques for riot-control? I certainly hope so. As a law-abiding Berkeley resident, I support the work of the Berkeley Police Department, and expect that work to include prompt, forceful action against hooligans who threaten public order.

Could you possibly write me back and let me know what steps you will take to keep Berkeley safe during Mr. McInnis’s speech? I live in Berkeley, and will need to plan my movements on March 4 accordingly. Thanks for all you do.

Yours truly,

G. Flag
Fearless.

**Berkeley Becomes First City to ‘Divest’ From Trump’s Border Wall**  
KQED

Berkeley won’t have anything to do with the construction of a U.S.-Mexico border wall, for which $4.1 billion was allocated in the budget plan released by President Trump Thursday. This week the City Council voted to be the first city in the nation to “divest” from businesses involved in the construction of a border wall. On Tuesday, the council denounced Trump’s January executive order directing the construction of the wall, and directed the Peace and Justice Commission to identify companies Read the full story

Shared from Apple News
Good morning!

KRON4 is requesting a brief interview today with the Mayor regarding the city's plan/preparation to keep the city safe during tomorrow's Trump March.

Thanks
Haaziq
415-308-2472

Sent using OWA for iPhone
Jesse,
I need to get comment from you today regarding efforts the city has made with the university on handling “high profile” speakers. There is mention in the federal Ann Coulter litigation of a March 1 meeting between the mayor’s office and campus and community police about confining such events to daytime. Can you affirm and elaborate?
Thanks as always,
Paige St. John,
Los Angeles Times
Cell 213.327.5779
Mr Mayor,
The inaction of your police was disgraceful and disturbing to all who witnessed the violence at the pro and anti Trump protest recently.
There is no excuse for them watching fist fighting and injury, standing by like it was a "fight club" for them.
Hope they got their fill of entertainment.
Was this some sort of political statement, or were they lazy or unwilling to do their job? It is their job to protect and serve.
Very disturbing,
A tax paying citizen
From: Barbara Halleybone <b.halleybone@hughes.net>
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 8:57 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: last nights news

Mr Mayor,

Why does the City of Berkeley have its police stand back, while masks men, with sticks, beat Trump supports, or anyone else who does not agree with their political view? When did the City of Berkeley become lawless? The rest of the world is watching.

Barbara Halleybone
Dear Mayor:

You took an oath to uphold the law. In that you have failed miserably. Given your left wing agenda your emasculation of the police force is not surprising. Your affiliation with the group of leftists, anarchists and other masked marauders who unleashed violence on Berkeley, a tax supported public institution, reveals a conflict of interest and the extent to which the Fifth Column has infiltrated positions of power.

Despite repeated instances of the violation of freedom of speech by thugs, you issued a stand down order, forbidding your officers to exercise legitimate and appropriate police powers to enforce the law and safeguard the mission of the university, property and individual persons.

You share in the legacy of Goebbels, Nazi brown shirts, fascist black shirts and the hooligans unleashed on this nation by Soros funded Occupy Wall Street, Black Lives Matter, Muslim Brotherhood front groups of students, Occupy Democrats and other assorted malcontents, perverts and criminals.
Your refusal to enforce the law on behalf of conservatives is indefensible. The people of Berkeley and Oakland who continue to elect pandering, anti-American community organizers have incurred the wrath of Americans. Do anticipate the removal of additional federal funds for your municipality, your police force and your university. Consider registering as the agent of a foreign government, say, Mexico or IS, or Venezuela. We are sick and tired of paying for your flagrant illegality.

Furthermore, the blood of those injured by the thugs you protect is on your hands.

Dana Willens
Your honor,

I am emailing you to confront you on your un American actions as of late. Free Speach is a sacred part of American society and the fact that an elected official like yourself has been trying his best to quell this constitutional value is absolutely appalling. You either have no understanding of the constitution or you are a fascist trying to quiet those who think differently than you do, your connection to a domestic terrorist organization like BAMN or ANTIFA convinces me that its the later. Someone like this should not hold the office of Mayor anywhere in the United States. So I urge you to leave office and let somebody who actually loves America fill the position.

Sincerely yours,
Proud American

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device
Tell us why we should try to work with you. You admit you are a domestic terrorist.

So have you been behind the attacks on the Trump Supporters and the Conservatives to happen to be in Berkeley.

Well justice is coming to you liberals, America voted against you, just remember that
Screamers, thugs and thought police control speech at UC Berkeley!

Defund UC Berkeley for not letting Ann Coulter speak against White Genocide!

Asia for the Asians, Africa for the Africans, White countries for EVERYBODY?

Massive immigration and forced assimilation is called genocide when it’s done in Tibet.

When it’s done in White countries it’s called “diversity.”

Diversity is a code word for White Genocide.

UC Berkeley teaches its White students that they are immoral and contemptible if they don’t support White Genocide.

Your professors will never tell you, “White self-hatred is SICK!!!”

Your professors claim to be anti-racist. What they are is anti-White.

Anti-racist is a code word for anti-White.

No school, no town, no country is allowed to be White.

“We have found some White people, chase ‘em down, bring in minorities...”

“Diversity” means chasing down the last White person.
Always vote for the **Stop White Genocide** candidate!

Always support **Free Speech**!

Thank you!

Educators and Students Against White Genocide

Committee to Defund Colleges that Hate Free Speech
[This email has been sent to numerous faculty and students at UC Berkeley, to some churches in Berkeley, and to Berkeley City Council members]
Impeach Trump??

Nah, I'd rather see Berkeley BURN TO THE GROUND.

You wacky progs, LMAO!
Dear Mayor Arrequin & Chief Greenwood:

I hope that this email finds you well.

There's probably no part of our country which isn't aware of the various marches and protests in your city. I live in Kinnelon, NJ.

Since the violent attacks by thugs/rioters in San Jose last year, to this past weekends violence in your city, and all similar events in between, there seems to be a very hands-off approach to policing/protecting citizens who have the right to march and/or support their cause.

Would this same approach exist if the political causes were reversed? It's very difficult to believe that if Trump supporters disrupted rallies and marches of an Obama/Hillary, that they would be given a pass and allowed to be terrorists. Seriously, masks, hoods, sticks, pepper spray, beatings, property damage, why is this acceptable? Why do you allow this? Do you condone it? Is it your wish that Trump supporters are attacked?

My late father was a U.S. Marine who saw intense fighting in Korea. He always felt fortunate that he came back alive. He had a huge heart and cared about all people, especially those who really needed help and support. He became a Police Officer in NYC, then eventually became a Deputy with the Ulster County Sheriffs Department. There are many wonderful people in law enforcement. And, as is the case in all aspects of life, some not so wonderful. I have been an ardent supporter of Police Officers, especially during eight years of a POTUS who stereotyped them, passed judgement on them, and eventually provoked their murders.

Yet, now, as the many, many incidents occur such as this past weekend in Berkeley, I (and many others as well, I'm sure) am left wondering what has happened. Has the last eight years convinced Police Officers that their profession is so thankless that they have become hands-off at the expense and safety of the public? Or, is it certain "leaders" who condone, and even relish attacks on those they are intolerant of?

Wearing masks in order to prevent identification with the intent to violently attack others is legal? If so, how can this be? Allowing this is condoning attacks and violence, and you know it.

Your leadership, and that "university" is complicit in this violence.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Dersch
37 Wilshire Terrace
Kinnelon, NJ 07405
Mayor Arreguin,

During today’s protest to support free speech in our city you MUST direct the Berkeley PD to protect the protestors from the same violent rioters who harmed our city’s citizens not just on the UC campus, but also in the streets of Berkeley on February 1.

Your response to KTVU after those riots was shocking. (And I am someone who, I now regret, actually voted for you.) You tried to push off your mayoral responsibility to protect our citizens onto the Chief of Police. But we know that the Chief of Police answers to you, Mayor Arreguin. The only plausible explanation I can come up with that explains your morally weak, illogical response is that you, deep inside, condone the violence of the rioters. If you do feel this way, you just don’t have the nerve to say it. I’m trying to be as fair to you as I can when I say that.

Your obvious contempt for the safety of people who happen to disagree with you politically motivates me to go and stand with the protestors, whether or not I agree with them politically. There’s some safety in numbers, and I’m hoping we’ll be large enough in number to make Antifa strongly think twice about harming innocent people. But the larger point is that Berkeley’s citizens should feel protected enough by their own police force to not worry about their safety at a protest within city borders.

Please LIVE UP to your moral and legal responsibility as our mayor and protect us later today.

Respectfully,

Shaun Barraca
Berkeley 94702
From: patches@as.net  
Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2017 9:18 PM  
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office  
Cc: fincennib@gmail.com  
Subject: Mayor as member of BAMN document

https://pastebin.com/u5GFZ2mf  Mayors name on line 158 of pastebin document, member of BAMN

Here is where it gets interesting, Felarca’s mission statement below. The document no longer exist on line, but it was copied before with all of her other postings.

No secret who funds BAMN  http://pastebin.com/VWWl1MgB

One of the BAMN members put out a target list for CPS workers, their names and states:  http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html

The list above is a crime and BAMN might get a interview with a GRAND JURY. NSA is sifting through your communications and BAMN is at terrorist status. To many Facebook BAMN members, to many dangerous postings. I missed the Mayor as a member when I turned over files to DHS after Sacramento riots. Guess I need to look at more members. To think I sent one of my daughters to UC Berkeley! NO MORE SECRETS!!

BAMN: Yvette Felarca, BAMN Presidential Candidate for AFT

The AFT Must Defend Public Education!

Act Like a Union! Take Strike Action and Actions in the Streets!

Build the New Civil Rights Movement! No New Jim Crow!

Arne Duncan Out Now!

Elect a Leadership that Fights to Win!

We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school
system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. **Our union leadership believes that our union’s only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be.** They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. **They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American middle class.** Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

**BAMN believes the exact opposite.** We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who can not stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.

**BAMN pledges to tell the truth.** Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do every thing in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. **We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence.** We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.
There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.

The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. We need a national leadership and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory. If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.

If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective. BAMN slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.

From: Anne Fredrickson <annefr@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 5:20 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; BerkeleyCRs@icloud.com
Subject: Mayor is an BAMN Antifa thug

You should step down since you are a BAMN ANTIGA thug supporting violence against white, gay conservatives and not allowing the Berkeley police to do their job and control riots, violence and property damage if conservatives speak on campus. Berkeley is the home of free speech-how ironic that they Do NOT allow free speech if it differs from radical elements like you and your groups. Protect Ann Coulter!!

Anne Fredrickson
Mayor Arreguin,

This is an enormously difficult time. I am deeply concerned about the aggressive tactics of the white nationalists, Ann Coulter and cronies on the right and Alt-right, across the country and in Berkeley.

It is a tactic of these groups to vilify anyone who does side with them, and you are a target of that viciousness.

It will take solid partnerships with the University and public safety officials in Berkeley and surrounding communities to prepare, defuse, and manage unrest when it occurs and open, ongoing communications.

You have been thoughtful and steady in your communications and leadership. Keep that up as you join with others for the sake of our community and University to uphold our safety and free civil speech.

Joan
Joan Guilford
2617 Le Conte Avenue
Berkeley, CA 94709
Dear Liberal Mayor,

Can you tell me why you agree w/the actions that the police took on the 15th of April? Can you tell me why you agree w/the police's decision to let a group of Anarcho-Communist thugs ruin the scheduled Free Speech rally & assault rally attendees? Can you tell me why you agree w/letting police stand down while Bolshevik provocateurs throw M-80s, beat ppl w/skateboards, throw bricks, & drag people from the Free Speech rally & beat them up in groups? Because from your tweets of congratulating ANTIFA for de-platforming Milo though violence & your statement of support of what the police did in response to the past 3 riots in your city started by these anarchist thugs, it's seems like you endorse & approve, or at least indifferent to the actions of the criminals for assaulting ppl for different opinions & de-platforming people of different politics through violence. If this is the case, then your a sad excuse for a mayor & you deserve to be impeached.

Sincerely,

An angry observer
From: Rob Shimshock <rob@dailycallernewsfoundation.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 8:51 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: MEDIA REQUEST

Hi Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Rob Shimshock and I'm a reporter with The Daily Caller News Foundation. I was wondering if you could provide comment regarding your former Facebook membership of the group By Any Means Necessary: https://archive.fo/dWKfR#selection-1653.0-1661.92

Do you support the group and its goals? Is there anything that's been reported which you'd like to clarify?

Thanks,
Rob Shimshock
Education Reporter
To whom it may concern,

Having someone like you do as your mayor is not only reprehensible but disgusting. Pretending to be a voice for free speech but at the same time keeping the police from doing their jobs and stopping criminals in the street who proclaim they are some sort of crusaders but are actually criminals. Being a part of, or associating with ANTIFA or BAMN is counter-American. Your mayor disgusts me. Sincerely,

An actual advocate of free speech
From: Sophia Becker <scbecker4@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 11:10 AM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: message from a concerned citizen

Dear Council Member,

My name is Sophie Becker and I am a concerned citizen residing in Oakland. Though I live in Oakland, I am actively engaged in the communities of Oakland and Berkeley. I’m writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

Sincerely,

Sophie Becker
From: joe@bighousing.com  
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 10:23 AM  
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office  
Subject: Message to Mr. Arreguin

Dear Sir;

For your information a wall in London today saved people and kept people safe.

If you are really humbled by the people who put you in your present job you will stop making a ridiculous comment to penalize a company for being involved in building a border wall to keep people safe.

You obviously don't have a wife, a mother, daughter or a son that lives within 1 mile of the border in Mexico. Your family members have never been raped, murdered or killed from someone who walked over a border because there was no wall there.

I am glad you do not represent me and I am glad you are not in control of keeping my family members safe.

You really don't get it.

Get off the Trump kick and learn how to conform to an election.

You are a politician who wears it on his sleeve.

This country is a mess because of liberals like you.

California is a disaster and so many people can't afford to be there.

People like you screw up towns and states.

Shameful.

Joseph R. Albert

On 2017-03-22 13:09, Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin wrote:
> Your account is almost ready, just click below to activate it.
> 
> activate your account [1]
> 
> -=-=
> This email was sent to joe@bighousing.com.
> Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin · 2180 Milvia St, Berkeley, CA 94704,
> United States
> >
> Created with NationBuilder [2], software for leaders.
> >
> Links:
> [1] https://jessearreguin.nationbuilder.com/users/a/8af2c79901641c3a2ee198
> bb038588d9
From: Marcia Poole <mpoole2@mindspring.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 12:44 AM
To: Worthington, Kriss; Arreguin, Jesse L; alejandro.sotovigil@gmail.com;
sophie@sophiehahn.com; Igor; C schwartz; genevieve.t.wilson@gmail.com; Maio, Linda
Subject: Nasty news sent out about Jesse from Breitbart

Greetings,

I received this email from a long-time friend of mine from Sacramento. He is conservative, to say the least, but a good man. Anyway, I thought I should forward this on to all of you because this is bad press from Breitbart about our own Jesse. I think this should also go to the police, etc. Let us be aware and guard Jesse.

Also - good news, Mike Zint is now housed. He can hook up his oxygen. This is thanks to Kriss.

m

-----Forwarded Message-----

Subject: Berkeley Mayor

http://www.breitbart.com/tech/2017/04/21/berkeley-mayor-is-member-of-antifa-facebook-group-that-organized-riots/

Social justice is love at the collective level.
From: Kelly Child <kellybchild@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 6:11 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Need Help, Need Peace

Dear Mayor Jesse Arreguin,

I'm not a citizen of Berkeley, but as a bay area resident I used to play in a band there in the 1980's, I've enjoyed many drum circles at the Ashby flea market and Berkeley has been a place I can come and enjoy such a rich culture. I enjoy bringing my children there to continue the tradition.

While I tend to espouse many liberal cultural and environmental values, I avoid engaging with the political drama of the day. I want to ask for your help. I am extremely disappointed and frankly frightened that on two occasions now a violent group of what look like terrorists have shown up in Berkeley to execute fear, violence and now personal injury. In both occasions, your police officers have stood back to allow these very dangerous and frightening people commit violent crimes and destroy the safety and haven of peace that your city once was.

While I do not espouse the views of Milo nor did I vote for Trump, I also don't see the violence as "a result of" their side of this polarized drama; they only bring their intent to express their views. They are exercising their freedom of speech. The acts of violence come from this masked group of, again, what appear to be terrorists wearing black masks and using deadly weapons. When the news reporters asked why the violence is being permitted, why the violent threat is being permitted, there was no answer. No answer need be given for the following reason, the laws are clear and they are being broken and your police force is not protecting people; no answer could possibly justify this. No amount of political opinion justifies this.

Again, I live in the bay area and care about our culture as a whole. I desire to see a peaceful and tolerant culture ensured. Please protect the citizens that live and visit your community regardless of their views, color, race, religion, age or sexual orientation....or political bias.

I'd like to continue to visit Berkeley, I'd like to continue to take my children to visit the drum circle at Ashby flea market....I'd like to continue to be proud of Berkeley and California!

I admit I do not understand all details of things and leadership decisions are often made with more background knowledge than the public typically has. Thusly, I expressly request an answer to the following question: Why are you permitting dangerous acts of violence against citizens that collect to express their opinions?

Lastly, what will you do to protect citizens of un-popular views in the future from these masked terrorists?

Peace, love and understanding,

Kelly Child

Individual Citizen and Berkeley Enthusiast
Greeting Mayor Arreguin,
Thank you for sending out your updates about the work that you and the City Council are doing. In the age of Trump, it's nice to know that we have officials working against his ilk. In this spirit, however, I would like to take issue with one comment that you make. You state that “Rhetoric aimed to marginalize certain communities and undermine equity and diversity is not justified by the First Amendment.” On the contrary, all rhetoric, no matter how hateful, is protected by the First Amendment (with the obvious exception of direct threats, shouting “fire” in a crowded theater, etc.). If we begin to deem whose opinions fall under “free speech,” then we start down a slippery slope. The next official who tries to limit which speech is protected might not be as well-meaning as yourself.
Thank you, and keep up the good work.
Eric Ipsen, lifelong citizen of Berkeley
I just read this from the campus public affairs office . . .

The violence was instigated by a group of about 150 masked agitators who came onto campus and interrupted an otherwise non-violent protest.

The decision to cancel the event was made at about 6 p.m., and officers read several dispersal announcements to a crowd of more than 1,500 protesters who had gathered outside the student union, where Yiannopoulos was to speak. He immediately was escorted from the building and left campus.

Of paramount importance was the campus’s commitment to ensure the safety and security of those attending the event, the speaker, those who came to engage in lawful protest and members of the public and the Berkeley campus community.

Fires that were deliberately set, one outside the campus Amazon outlet; Molotov cocktails that caused generator-powered spotlights to catch fire; commercial-grade fireworks thrown at police officers; barricades pushed into windows and skirmishes within the crowd were among the evening’s violent acts.

The masked agitators came to campus eastbound on Bancroft Way, and fire damage and other destruction to the Stiles Hall construction site, where a new residence hall is planned, was reported. The group entered campus and immediately began throwing rocks at officers. In an effort to avoid injuries to innocent members of the surrounding crowd who might have been caught in the middle, police officers exercised restraint and did not respond with force.

Agitators also attacked some members of the crowd who were rescued by police. UCPD reported no major injuries and about a half dozen minor injuries. Mutual aid officers from the city of Oakland and from Alameda County arrived at Berkeley around 7:45 p.m. to assist UCPD and Berkeley city police.

No arrests had been made by UCPD as of 9:30 p.m.

???? You had 150 masked agitators enter the campus, attack your students, throw rocks at you, and vandalize the property and you made NO ARRESTS ??? WHY ????

Sincerely,

R. Cook
From: Sasha Futran <sashafutran@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 7:10 PM
To: Maio, Linda
Cc: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: NO To Urban Shield

Dear Council Maio,

I’m writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Sincerely,

Sasha Futran
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I am writing, as a concerned Bay Area resident, to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

I specifically ask that Berkeley withdraw from participating in the Northern California Regional Intelligence Center (NCRIC), and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Sincerely,

Karen Kirschling
From: olivia@rumrillproperties.com
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 8:40 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Cc: All Council
Subject: Our complaint - on 3/4/17 March 4 Trump rally

To Mayor and Council Members,

Our family of 4 came to the rally on 3/4/17 at Martin Luther King Jr. Civic Center Park.

While we were peacefully standing in the rally, we were pushed by the mob, being thrown with objects, and were hit. A few people came out from the mob, sprayed pepper spray into my husband’s eyes, and forcibly took the flag out from his hands. They ran back to the mob and burned the American flag.

My son saw a man that was pushed out by the mob, pushed to the ground and was hit continuously. My High School-aged son tried to help the victim getting up from the ground and was beaten by the mob.
Many of the victims were elderly and women. One woman was pushed to the ground, crying. In the midst of these violent acts conducted onto the rally participants, the police stood afar and did not help.

Is it true that one’s rights is stopped when his fist is raised to harm others? If so, why the police did not intervene? The police saw how participants were attacked by the mob.

Our complaint to the City Council Members is that the City did not act in the protection of its people and free speech. Berkeley has been looked upon as the forth front of free speech. How to uphold it? When there is a peaceful rally, no matter what side they are on, the police force needs to be allowed to protect the participants from violent acts.

Please kindly reply to our complaint on or before your upcoming meeting on March 14, 2017.
Olivia Liou

Sincerely,

Olivia Liou

Rumrill Properties LLC
2031 Rumrill Boulevard
San Pablo, CA  94806
Tel  510-234-1987
Dear Berkeley City Council and City Manager,

I have circulated the below letter among my limited contacts of Berkeley residents and friends who take an interest in the workings of Berkeley politics. The letter was penned by Andrea Prichett, a member of your Police Review Commission. I first learned of the lack of process in selecting a Police Chief only last Monday and obtained a copy of the letter on Tuesday. In that limited time I was able to have the letter endorsed by the 43 people listed, including several organizations. Given a bit more time, I am sure I could have doubled that number. Please consider this a plea for a more open government process that involves public input in selecting one of the most powerful positions in city government - that of the Police Chief.

On a personal note, I do not necessarily have a strong issue with acting Chief Greenwood - he is mostly an unknown and seemed pleasant enough the few times I heard him speak. He may turn out to be a suitable candidate. But I do have some concerns. First, what was Mr. Greenwood's role role in the homeless raids that took place after the November election -- raids which initially appeared to be against Council wishes. What was the City Manager's role in these raids? I heard some backtracking by our new mayor on this issue -- it has been a rather confusing mixed message to the public regarding our homeless -- something that has still not been fully addressed. Second, during the most recent Police Review Commission, I heard Mr. Greenwood state that he had limited experience with the Berkeley Police budget. It seems that a primary function of the Chief, in such a management position, would be to have a good grasp of the budget. The PRC chairperson, Alison Bernstein, blocked any efforts to obtain even a few answers. Third, the push for an armored vehicle seems to be part of the overall push for police militarization across the country. This has raised enough concern in my neighborhood. To address this, we formed the "McGee Spaulding Neighbors Taking Action", a neighborhood assembly to resist Trump, neoliberalism, and the slow erosion of democratic rule. In particular we formed a committee to investigate this militarization. All 19 members of our neighborhood assembly who found time to respond to my email voted to endorse the below letter. Given more time, I'm sure I would have obtained additional endorsements.

Perhaps my concerns could be answered in time with an open selection process involving a selection committee and public input. Instead we will get a Police Chief selected by the City Manager who will have loyalty to the City Manager - not to the Council. This creates the appearance of a lack of public oversight where a strong City Manager is controlling a weak Council -- where the Council has been captured by staff. This is not the first instance since November where the appearance of capture has manifested. I suggest that you slow down this process and reject the agenda item to appoint Mr. Greenwood until an open selection process involving many candidates and public input can be put in place.

Regards, Dr. James McFadden
Dear Members of the Berkeley City Council,

It is our understanding that the City Manager is preparing to appoint Andrew Greenwood to be the new permanent Chief of Police for the city of Berkeley. While we appreciate that he is well liked by the City Manager and many officers, we believe that the appointment of a chief requires a process that includes soliciting input from the people of Berkeley.

The proposed hire has been done without the benefit of a hiring committee, feedback of any kind from the public or any input from the Police Review Commission. For a position that commands such power and influence over the quality of life in Berkeley and that is accountable only to the City Manager, it is especially crucial that there be a transparent process into which the people of Berkeley can include their comments. If the City Council wants the people of Berkeley to trust and support a new chief, then it is wise to withhold your approval of this hire until a process for making this hire can be created and communicated throughout the city.

This is more than simply choosing a chief. It is about choosing a direction for our city’s plan for safety and approach to emergency services. Will the new chief continue down the path of militarization of the force or will we choose a chief who has demonstrated a concern for community relations and local input and control? Surely at this time in our nation’s history, it is important to take a serious look at where we want to go and hire people and direct resources that can support the chosen course.

We also believe that there should be a plan for evaluating the chief. This process of evaluation should be clearly stated and approved by the City Council. It should account for input from stakeholders including the public and the PRC. Clear objectives and measures should be in place as part of the evaluation.

Thanks for considering our concerns,

McGee Spaulding Neighbors Taking Action
BCA Steering Committee
Cop Watch
ACLU Berkeley/NorthEastBay Chapter

Andrea Prichett, Police Review Commission
George Lippman, Police Review Commission
Margy Wilkinson, Friends of Adeline
Gianna Ranuzzi, LeConte Neighborhood
Fred Dodsworth, BCA, former candidate for City Council, district 6
Mike Donaldson, BCA
Mary Elieisar, BCA
Linda Franklin, BCA
Judyann Alberti, BCA
Phoebe Sorgen, BCA
Kathryn Horsley, West Berkeley Neighbors
JJ Noire, Mighty Small Films
Moni Law,
Steve Martinot, Friends of Adeline
Tree Fitzpatrick, 
Diana Bohn, IGC.org 
Vicki Alexander, Healthy Black Families 
Satinder Cheema 
Carol Denney 
Hali Hammer 
Catherine Huchting, Friends of Adeline 
Willie Phillips, Friends of Adeline 
Ying Lee, former Berkeley City Councilperson 
Elliot R. Halpern, ACLU Berkeley/NorthEastBay Chapter

Members of McGee Spaulding Neighbors Taking Action 
James McFadden, 
Phyllis Shafer, 
Cindy Shamban, 
Carol Sanders, 
Janice Ruchlis, 
Ayse Agis, 
Grace Connell, 
Sally Nelson, 
Jean Tepperman, 
Jack Kurzweil, 
Alix Schwartz, 
Judy Grether, 
Beverley Kay Crawford, 
Daphne White, 
Steve Lustig, 
Micky Duxbury, 
Steve Thomasberger, 
Lewis Ames, 
Kelly Hammargren
From: SDRocklin <sdrocklin@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 8:02 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: Please defend civil and human rights!

Dear Berkeley Mayor,

My name is Shana Rocklin and I am a concerned Berkeley resident. I’m writing to respectfully request that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

Specifically, I would like to request that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thank you for respecting these concerns.

With respect,

Shana Rocklin, Lincoln Street
510-549-7377
Dear Major Arreguín,

I am writing to ask for your assistance in rejecting the Zoning Adjustments Board decision on Use Permit ZP2015-0177 for 2902 Adeline Street. The ZAB’s ruling conceded far too much and silenced the voices of more than 400 Berkeley residents who signed petitions, wrote letters and spoke out against this project. A vocal, well-organized minority of outsiders presented the ZAB a compelling market-based argument for development by any means necessary. But this vocal minority does not represent the racially and socio-economically diverse majority that makes South Berkeley the treasure it is. We want development, but development for the residents of South Berkeley who are already being priced out of their homes. The developer recently conceded their plan would displace South Berkeley residents when they offered to pay $100,000 into a displacement legal defense fund. Exacerbating a displacement problem and then offering a pittance to assist is not acceptable.

For the March 7th appeal, please encourage City Council to vote in favor of affordable housing for South Berkeley and send this developer back to the negotiation table in good faith.

Sincerely,

Ryan Max Steinberg
1938 Oregon Street
Dear Council Member,

My name is Chelsea Skorka and I am a member of SURJ Bay Area (Showing Up For Racial Justice). I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Contact: stopurbanshieldnow@gmail.com or 510-444-0484 for more information.

www.stopurbanshield.org

Thank you for your time and your attention in this matter.

Regards,
Chelsea Skorka
Dear Mayor Arreguin –

Won’t you please lend your voice to help support and defend CalFresh (aka SNAP/food stamps) from threatened cuts in Washington?

I am reaching out to you to be a lead signer on this new letter from elected representatives across Alameda County to members from California on the House Agriculture Committee in defense of CalFresh. There are drastic changes and cuts to the SNAP program under consideration by House Speaker Ryan, President Trump, Ag Committee Chair Conaway, and HHS Secretary Price, through either the 2018 Farm Bill re-authorization or the 2017 Budget Reconciliation process. It is urgent that we show strong, broad-based support for this vital part of our nation’s safety net, and we know it would be powerful to bring together elected representatives from a diverse range of cities and communities across Alameda County.

We are hoping – like Supervisor Chan, Hayward Mayor Halliday and Newark Mayor Nagy – you will reply “yes” and that we can use your name to launch the broad circulation of this important letter in support of the most vulnerable in our community.

The letter, attached to my previous email along with a fact sheet, reads as follows:

_Dear Reps. Costa, Denham, Lamalfa, and Panetta:_

_The U.S. House of Representatives and Senate are currently considering making drastic cuts to, and changes to the structure of the benefit entitlements provided by the SNAP program, through either the 2018 Farm Bill re-authorization or the 2017 Budget Reconciliation process. We are writing to you, as Representatives from California on the House Agriculture Committee, to express our strong support for SNAP/CalFresh, and opposition to any cuts to benefits, limits on eligibility, and efforts to block grant the SNAP program._

_SNAP is our nation’s first line of defense against hunger, which is a condition of poverty that affects Alameda County and all regions throughout the state, with 12.6% of Californians facing food insecurity, which is defined as the “inability to procure a sufficient amount of healthy food on a regular basis.”_

_SNAP, known as CalFresh in California, is targeted to the most vulnerable households in our county and state, on average keeping 806,000 Californians out of poverty, including 417,000 children, annually; 74% of SNAP participants are in families with children, 6% are in families with members who are elderly or have disabilities, and fully 50% are in working families._

_SNAP not only benefits low-income families, it stimulates local economies and businesses in California that serve our low and moderate-income rural and urban communities. Moody’s Analytics estimates that every $1 in federal SNAP benefits generates $1.70 in local economies; $7.6 billion are issued in federal SNAP benefits annually to California (2014-15), generating about $12.92 billion per year for California’s economy._
California’s anti-hunger network assists Californians in need through voluntary participation of members of the food industry, faith-based, tribal, public and non-profit organizations, and private citizens often partnered with state and federal governments. This informal network collects donations, distributes food, and provides relief to hungry Californians every day – but it is not enough to close the hunger gap in California without the SNAP Program.

Using block-grants (or “State Opportunity Grants”) to restructure the SNAP program would change the program from an entitlement structure to fixed annual funding, which would render the program unable to automatically respond to increased need; states would then have to cut eligibility or establish waiting lists to stay within capped funding.

As elected representatives from a diverse range of cities and communities across Alameda County, we write to urge you to support CalFresh/SNAP, and opposing any cuts to benefits, limits on eligibility, and efforts to block grant the SNAP program.

Sincerely,

Wilma Chan, Alameda County Supervisor
Barbara Halliday, Mayor of Hayward
Alan Nagy, Mayor of Newark

Thank you for your time and your support.

Stephen Knight
Director, Policy & Partnerships
Alameda County Community Food Bank
7900 Edgewater Drive, Oakland, CA 94621
Phone: (510) 635-3663 x352
sknight@accfb.org
www.accfb.org

Find us on Twitter and Facebook
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Lisa Miller and I am a Berkeley resident. I'm writing to request that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with the Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the Trump administration.

I would further request that Berkeley does not continue its participation in NCRIC, and does not enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

In community,

Lisa Miller

Lisa Miller
Director

2436 Sacramento Street
Berkeley, CA 94702
510.540-8646

www.classroommatters.com
From: patconnaughty@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 5:11 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Please respond

I am truly a concerned citizen trying to figure out the thought process of people like yourself? Why would you not protect a free speech event? Do you really think actually violence is better then hate speech? Doesn't the argument make sense as soon as you limit free speech people can not have actual discussions so instead of talking out there differences they turn to violence? *Like we are actually seeing in your city?

I doubt you will respond because like other Antifa members you don't believe in intellectual conversations and believe your opinion is the only one that matters. Not to mention unless you have the numbers or authority over someone you run away from conflict.

Sent from my iPhones
Dear Mayor Arreguin and Council Member Hahn,

My name is Encian Pastel and I am a teacher in Berkeley in district 5. I’m writing to ask that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically ask that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Sincerely,

Encian Pastel
Children's Community Center
1140 Walnut St, Berkeley CA

 sư sư
From: Marion Pott <mschererpott@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2017 9:31 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Police Chief Andrew Greenwood and riots

I just saw the video footage of the Trump supporters getting beat up by Antifa agitators, and your police department did nothing? What is wrong with department? Very disappointing.
From: Kelly Lowry <calypso328@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 4:17 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Police Dept & City of Berkeley LIABLE for ALL injuries due to police disarmament of ONLY Free Speech Participants

As I’m SURE the Liberal Chief of Police is FULLY AWARE of the fact (backed with multiple videotape EVIDENCE and IRREFUTABLE PROOF) That Personal Protection was removed from ONLY Trump supporters! This action was PREMEDITATED! The Domestic Terrorist Organization Anti-fa A Anti American Fascist communist organization, who arrived HOURS before The FREE SPEECH RALLY participants (Who again were Extensively Searched & had ALL Personal Protection items TAKEN FROM THEM) were not searched they were allowed to KEEP AND ATTACK Innocent American's PURPOSELY DISARMED By the Police who STOOD DOWN even as they WITNESSED unarmed People being VICIOUSLY ATTACKED. This AntiFa terrorist organization used BEAR MACE, Pepper spray, Metal Rods, KNIVES, Rocks, Bricks, Brass knuckles and much much more WEAPONS they were ALLOWED BY THE POLICE TO KEEP With the irrefutable understanding they WOULD BE USED AGAINST Police Disarmed AMERICAN CITIZENS!! People attended this event with the understanding that the Police would make 100% sure this would be a NON VIOLENT Rally for FREE SPEECH Something Berkeley College became famous for and the icon of FREEDOM OF SPEECH

Because the Police REMOVED The Personal Protection items from ONLY those attending The Freedom of Speech Rally, and OPENLY TOLD BY THE POLICE, THAT THEY THE POLICE WOULD PROTECT THEM AND ENSURE THEIR SAFETY Which was captured by multiple video & Audio recordings

I'm writing this to inform the Chief of Police AND The Mayor along with EVERY Social Media Organization, EVERY Mainstream News outlet and EVERY Alternative Media Organization That the City of Berkley based upon the inactions and bias liberal actions INCLUDING THE STAND DOWN ORDER GIVEN BY THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND admission of Safety & Protection by the Police after ONLY disarming the attendants of this iconic Free Speech Rally ARE NOW LIABLE for ALL INJURIES OCCURRED Because of the inaction and liberal bias of the Mayor and Chief of Police in Berkeley California We WILL begin Legal Liable actions against the City of Berkley and the State of California!!
Mayor Arreguin:

Sir:
I found it disturbing to watch democracy now today https://www.democracynow.org, and see police inaction, in action. Im referring to the fascist ‘free speech’ meeting of last weekend. In the piece on democracy now, it looked as if they police were quite happy just to let mayhem happen, they seemed quite smug and self satisfied (telling the reporter, shane bauer, to contact their commander- that is just like saying, go chase your tail. ). Pathetic. Is this your idea of protect and serve? Were the police just hanging back so their fascist friends can injure anti fascist protest?

Let me know what spin can you put on this.

Joe wiese
From: jmccamey <jmccamey@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 2:33 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Police not protecting citizens

Telling your Chief of Police to stand down when Antifa are attacking Trump rallies and Trump Protestors is NOT protecting our citizens. Furthermore, Penal Code section 185 states it us illegal for people to wear masks or even false whiskers while commuting a public offense, and your officers should be arresting those who wear masks of any kind.

There is a Federal lawsuit against the City of San Jose for the police NOT protecting citizens and I hope these injured citizens sue your City, along with you and the Chief of Police personally for failing to protect citizens.
Please do your job that our tax dollars are paying for.

Sincerely,

Janice McCamey
Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
From: Roy Erwin <buderwin1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 4:32 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Police order to stand down

Mr. Mayor

If what I am reading is true you should be ashamed of yourself for ordering the police to stand down while Trump supporters were being tear gassed, beaten and M80's thrown at them. Free speech goes both ways. Just because you do not agree with what somebody says it doesn't make it hate speech. I hope in the future you protect the rights of all Americans just not the ones you agree with. I am not a liberal or a conservative. I see value in both point of views. I think it is about time you open up you mind and view the world for what is it and not what you think it should be. You live and work in a very liberal area and maybe you should take time and view all of America and see why some have different values than yours.

Roy Erwin
You're a piece of shit! Member of Antifa! I knew you couldn't be trusted the first time I heard your fat ass speak! Impeach!
From: M.I.L.S <csmicat9@lmi.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2017 11:49 AM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L; BPD Webmail; BPD PIO
Subject: Possible white-supremacist rally in Berkeley this Saturday?

Jesse, I have read rumors--actually, more than rumors--that a group of young white supremacists calling themselves Proud Boys have posted flyers about holding a rally at the downtown farmers' market from 2 to 4 on March 4. Several friends and I intend to show up and be peaceful witnesses/counter-demonstrators, but I hope that the BPD are also on the alert for this, because the black-bloc crowd are almost certainly aware of it as well.

https://occupyoakland.org/event/fascists-not-welcome/?Instance_id=304537

http://sfist.com/2017/02/12/alt-right_march_planned_in_berkeley.php

Thanks and best wishes,

Melanie Lawrence
Allston Way
Berkeley, California
We understand you will be talking about the Postal Service lawsuit against the City of Berkeley on Monday, 3/27, in closed session. On 1/17/17 some of us came before you and testified about the Downtown Post Office before your previous closed session on the matter.

We - members of Save the Berkeley Post Office and Berkeley Post Office Defenders, who have been fighting to preserve the building since late 2012 and against the privatization of the Postal Service - distributed the following statement to you then, still operative in light of no new information having been provided to us. Attached as well.

For City Council Consideration 1/17/17
Principles and Positions on the Downtown Berkeley Post Office and the Postal Service's Lawsuit contesting the Zoning Overlay Ordinance.

Principles:

- The people of Berkeley care as much now about the downtown Post Office building as they did at the time the sale was proposed and Zoning Overlay Ordinance conceived. We never gave in, we won't now.

- Neither the building nor the Postal Service should be privatized. The building belongs to the people, who long ago paid for it.

- The existing location is ideal for postal services and such services must stay there; if anything, services that have been removed should be brought back as part of any deal.

- Before making any decisions, the state of the building in terms of seismic issues and cost of retrofit, and its general condition must be ascertained, and an independent assessment of its value determined, and the public so informed.

Positions: The above understood, we hold with the following:

- Given the dangers that a Trump Administration poses, and the lack of any will on the part of the non-existent Postal Service Board of Directors to improve and expand services, the building may well be better off owned by the City of Berkeley than left to a (possibly privatized) Postal Service.
- The City of Berkeley has many potential uses for the excess space in the building, including City Council meetings, homeless services and space the City could lease to non-profits at reduced rates - non-profits that work with Berkeley or otherwise do great work. We do not oppose renting some of the space as office space for-profit (e.g. professional offices).

- The City should be open to a (significantly) discounted sale as suggested by Judge Alsup. Ideally, the Postal Service would sell the building to the City for $1 in return for a 100 year lease for the space they are currently using.

Whatever funding might be necessary for a purchase (and again, we believe it must be significantly discounted from the 'market value' of the property) could conceivably come from the infrastructure bond that passed, or (better) from a small bond to be approved in Nov. 2018.

- If the Postal Service is not open to being reasonable, the City must fight in Federal Court.
  a) The Postal Service has far more to lose through the discovery process than does the City of Berkeley. They risk revealing emails and documents about CBRE and other topics. Judge Alsup made this clear. CBRE could sue to prevent these documents from being revealed, making the lawsuit take even longer than proposed by Judge Alsup currently.
  b) The Postal Service knows that even if they win they could still face the NEPA court battle before they could sell. Additionally, a reasonable interpretation of the court's order in the previous lawsuit suggests that if the Postal Service wishes to sell they'd have to go through the entire public process again - public hearings and appeals. A non-controversial sale to the City would expedite that process.
  c) The Postal Service case is not strong. Judge Alsup made clear that a motion for summary judgement would not succeed. Our case that the Overlay Ordinance was not solely about the Post Office Building is solid; we believe such is documented in the public record. No one knows how a judge will rule, of course, but we stand a good chance of winning the case outright or having the case settled favorably once it is clear to the Postal Service that Berkeley won't give in.

Submitted: Members of Save the Berkeley Post Office and Berkeley Post Office Defenders.
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- Neither the building nor the Postal Service should be privatized. The building belongs to the people, who long ago paid for it.

- The existing location is ideal for postal services and such services must stay there; if anything, services that have been removed should be brought back as part of any deal.
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- Given the dangers that a Trump Administration poses, and the lack of any will on the part of the non-existent Postal Service Board of Directors to improve and expand services, the building may well be better off owned by the City of Berkeley than left to a (possibly privatized) Postal Service.

- The City of Berkeley has many potential uses for the excess space in the building, including City Council meetings, homeless services and space the City could lease to non-profits at reduced rates - non-profits that work with Berkeley or otherwise do great work. We do not oppose renting some of the space as office space for-profit (e.g. professional offices).
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Whatever funding might be necessary for a purchase (and again, we believe it must be significantly discounted from the 'market value' of the property) could conceivably come from the infrastructure bond that passed, or (better) from a small bond to be approved in Nov. 2018.

- If the Postal Service is not open to being reasonable, the City must fight in Federal Court.
  a) The Postal Service has far more to lose through the discovery process than does the City of Berkeley. They risk revealing revealing emails and documents about CBRE and other topics. Judge Alsup made this clear. CBRE could sue to prevent these documents from being revealed, making the lawsuit take even longer than proposed by Judge Alsup currently.
  b) The Postal Service knows that even if they win they could still face the NEPA court battle before they could sell. Additionally, a reasonable interpretation of the court's order in the previous lawsuit suggests that if the Postal Service wishes to sell they'd have to go through the entire public process again - public hearings and appeals. A non-controversial sale to the City would expedite that process.
  c) The Postal Service case is not strong. Judge Alsup made clear that a motion for summary judgement would not succeed. Our case that the Overlay Ordinance was not solely about the Post Office Building is solid; we believe such is documented in the public record. No one knows how a judge will rule, of course, but we stand a good chance of winning the case outright or having the case settled favorably once it is clear to the Postal Service that Berkeley won't give in.

Submitted: Members of Save the Berkeley Post Office and Berkeley Post Office Defenders.
> Dear Mayor Arreguin,
> 
> I strongly urge you to pass the resolution coming before the City Council this evening that would ask Congress, in particular the House Committee on the Judiciary, to begin an investigation on whether sufficient grounds exist for the impeachment of President Donald Trump.
> 
> I am sure that you are aware of the many charges of corruption and dishonesty that have been brought up questioning the legitimacy of the sitting president. Please help enable the City of Berkeley to join in with other U.S. cities who have already passed a similar resolution.
> 
> Sincerely,
> Meaveen O’Connor
> 1717 Berkeley Way
> Berkeley, CA. 94703
good morning - phil matier here

Congratulations on winning the mayoral race - condolences because now everything stops with you - at least in Berkeley.

We will be in Berkeley today doing a story to day for radio ad TV (a possibly for chronicle column item) on Berkeley and U.C Berkeley being ground zero for this latest round of Trump demonstrations -

Would like to get your thoughts and perspective -

We can come to you - we've worked together before on similar stories and I have found you to be a fresh and interesting voice.

It should not take more than 10 minutes.

Thanks -

Phil Matier

415-760-1395
Dear Mayor and Council Members,

My name is Elizabeth Ferguson and I am a Berkeley resident, member of ACLU, Showing up for Racial Justice, 350.org, and many more organizations working for mutual flourishing and justice. I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Berkeley has so much to be proud of, especially with the Sanctuary Cities resolution and other progressive measures. Let's keep it going!

Thank you,

Elizabeth
Jesse,

Enough is enough. How long is this going to continue? Didn't we just go though this two months ago? Please simply close off the park early Saturday morning and have anyone who defies the closure arrested and fined. Is Berkeley just going to let this problem get worse and worse? Free speech is necessary but public safety overrides that. Tell the protesters to find a different location/time to have their brawl. Please protect the farmer's market, the vendors and the shoppers who come to get food. This should be a no-brainer for crying out loud.

Biff Stockton
2902 Ellsworth St.

From: Richard Herbert <rick50@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 8:29 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Protecting our immigrant community

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I’ve just read U.S. Dept. of Homeland Security Secretary Kelly’s internal guidelines to DHS staff regarding enforcement of the Immigration and Nationality Act in the Washington Post.

I urge you as a constituent and supporter to introduce a resolution at the next city council meeting to direct our police chief to publicly proclaim that under no circumstances will our local police department be ‘deputized’ under the INA as immigration enforcers.

I realize that Berkeley is a sanctuary city but doubt seriously that all those without papers in our community know that or know what that means. It would be significant if the Chief of Police very publicly reassured the community that no one under his command would be enforcing federal immigration laws. It would of course be even more meaningful if such an announcement were to be available in more than one language.

Please advise me as to how you intend to proceed or what efforts in this regard are already under way that I am not aware of. Having just read the memorandums themselves I wish to reassure our neighbors without lawful status that our local law enforcement has not become an arm of President Trump's shock troops.

I’m a retired B.U.S.D. secondary social science teacher and before that I practiced law as an immigrant rights and military law defense lawyer. I want our elected officials to represent to our community that we are one.

Thank you for being willing to subject yourself to the glare of public scrutiny. I have been a supporter since you first ran for the school board with Berkeley Federation of Teachers support. Please demonstrate to our most vulnerable neighbors and friends that local resources will not be expended to break up their families and destroy community.

Sincerely,

Rick Herbert
From: mieke.sijen@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 8:27 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: protest

SIR YOU ARE A DISGRACE TO THE CITY OF BERKELEY !!YOU ARE THE PROBLEM WITH WHAT IS HAPPENING IN YOUR CITY !! YOU ARE A MEMBER OF THESE GROUPS THAT COVER THERE FACE AND PROTEST AND RIOT !! SHAME ON YOU !! IF YOU THINK THIS WILL GO ON AND NOT NOTICED YOU ARE STUPID !! I HOPE TRUMP WILL TAKE AWAY EVERY PENNIE HE CAN TAKE AWAY FROM YOU !! ANY OTHER SATE THRY HAD THROWN YOU ASS OUT OF OFFICE !! YOU ARE ENCITING VIOLENCE !! YOU ARE AN ASS !! SHAME ON YOU !!

MARIE SIJEN

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Hello all....I have reached out many times recently, and a deadline is coming, so I hope to get a reply from one of you soon w/o having to visit city hall. I live at Wheeler/Prince in District 3. I have used Twitter to try and connect with you all, to no avail. I am beginning to get frustrated with the people I voted for. I feel, if you are going to promote these great ways to work with/communicate with you, then you should engage with me. Can you PLEASE address the couple questions below.....

MY CONCERNS:
1. There will be another protest on Mar 4th, one that is lending itself to have serious conflict on the streets. What is the plan for the “March 4 Trump” and “March for free speech” as far as containing, protecting this group? Will the police lay and watch people get hurt as they did Feb 1st?

2. What is the current status of Yvette Falarca and her terrorist organization BAMN? This group has caused us to lock up in our home and not even go out. Not going out every couple weeks because a protest is happening is getting old.

3. Also, the drug dealers have People Park and Telegraph dominated. Can we have Willard Park back please?

Mr Mayor....look....your from Daly City, and while we are happy to have you here in Berkeley, I would like to respectfully ask you to COMMUNICATE with the people that voted you in, or at least us as we can really help you! I’m only asking for 140 characters at a time. While I occasionally get to visit Daly City and walk my dog near the San Francisco Golf Club and Westlake Park in SF, (where things are peaceful on the way to Funston), those are dream trips-not our day to day life. Berkeley is home and we should not be LOCKED IN OUR HOUSE WHILE RADICALS ARE BURNING UP BERKELEY!

Please give me some feedback, or advise me of the proper protocol to get some type of correspondence and assurance from you elected officials. I would love to have a professional, engaging, and productive set of sharing and progress with my community and leaders.

Thank you very much for your attention and I sincerely look forward to your reply.

Respectfully,
Matthew Heffron
Heffron@live.com
415-424-7853
Twitter @onehipdog
Dear Downtown Stakeholders:

As you probably have heard, Ann Coulter has decided not to speak at UC Berkeley tomorrow. Nevertheless we may see protesters in Berkeley. You can read the latest news on Berkeleyside at: [www.berkeleyside.com/2017/04/26/ann-coulter-says-uc-berkeley-radical-thuggish-institution-blame-cancellation-talk/](http://www.berkeleyside.com/2017/04/26/ann-coulter-says-uc-berkeley-radical-thuggish-institution-blame-cancellation-talk/)

The City has provided us with the below Update on April 27 UC Berkeley Events. While we expect there will be no violence in the Downtown, please take special note of recommendations at the end of the Update, and in particular, "Don't leave things outside that could be used as projectiles (signs, chairs, tables, trash receptacles). Bring them inside or secure them."

As per our prior emails If you have not done so already please subscribe to Nixle to get near real-time alerts from BPD. [https://local.nixle.com/berkeley-police-department/](https://local.nixle.com/berkeley-police-department/)

Also, for your reference here are helpful phone numbers:

Ambassador Hotline 510.550.7550  
BPD Non-Emergency Number 510.981.5900  
Emergencies 911

-------------------------------

**Update on April 27 UC Berkeley Events**

This message is for businesses to help you plan. If you have additional questions, please ask Jordan Klein, the Acting Economic Development Manager at [jklein@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:jklein@cityofberkeley.info).
We are communicating to you in this way - as directly as possible and not through news media - to not feed into the online and other information streams that build momentum for events we do not want.

Here's what we know:
Berkeley Police are moving forward with considerable plans to manage possible events that may happen on April 27. We are doing this regardless of whether particular speakers say they are coming or not.

No group has filed for a permit, a standard practice used by groups who successfully organize people in a law-abiding manner. The event has attracted the interest of other groups on various social media outlets as well as the attention of our local media outlets. In addition to the dynamics created by any particular speaker's presence, groups with varied interests and motives may be drawn to campus. How groups may disperse does not follow a script.

Every protest or demonstration is different, with dynamics changing depending on the people who come, their motives, the place, time of day and other factors.

Events on March 4 and April 15 had almost no spillover outside of the park - only minimal property damage and no significant impact to events throughout the Downtown and City as a whole. Berkeley Police have arrested 30 people during the past two events and they have worked to identify several more involved afterward, yielding even more arrest warrants.

Our goal for April 27 will continue to be to keep people safe, facilitate constitutional expressions of free speech while pursuing, arresting and seeking the prosecution of criminals throughout the day and afterward.

At this point, it remains unclear as to how many people will come into Berkeley on Thursday. Demonstrations can be dynamic events, with the potential to transform from peaceful assembly and expressions of First Amendment speech, to an event where some endeavor to commit acts of violence. People within a crowd may have different motives and interests throughout the course of a given demonstration. Accordingly, the Berkeley Police Department is continually evaluating developments and planning for a number of contingencies. Department personnel will be on site monitoring the event.
Recommendations:
There are a number of possibilities for what may occur. Actively manage your risk.

· Don't leave things outside that could be used as projectiles (signs, chairs, tables, trash receptacles). Bring them inside or secure them.
· Stay aware:
  o Subscribe to Nixle sign up for the service at www.cityofberkeley.info/police/nixle. Create a login and tailor it to your needs. Those updates will also be posted on our Twitter account, @berkeleypolice, where additional messages may be posted.
  o Keep tabs on local media to understand how your business location may be affected. Evaluate news sources, especially social media, for credibility.
  o Keep an eye out for objects being stored that could be used as weapons by others. Remove them or call BPD.
· Based on your observations and information you gather, prepare to make an independent decision on whether to close your business.
· If there's a large demonstration, bring everything inside.
· For businesses, make sure that your security cameras are in working order.
· If you see a criminal act occur, move to somewhere safe, and report it to BPD.
· Consider photographing and or filming the criminal activity and those involved only if it is safe to do so. Use a web browser on any handheld or desktop device to upload photos to bit.ly/berkvideo.

Where to obtain further information:
Should there be any developments, we will let you know. The City and the Berkeley Police Department use Nixle, a text and email messaging service, to share breaking information with those who have subscribed. We encourage our community to

These events are being created, cultivated and fomented well outside of Berkeley. That makes these events frustrating for all of us.

John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
jcaner@downtownberkeley.com

Downtown Berkeley Association, 2230 Shattuck Ave, Suite C, Berkeley, CA 94704

SafeUnsubscribe™ mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Forward this email | Update Profile | About our service provider
Sent by info@downtownberkeley.com in collaboration with

Try it free today
From: mieke.sijen@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2017 12:57 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: protest against Our President Trump !!

SIR

AS A LEADER FROM A CITY IT IS YOUR JOB TO PROTECT ALL PEOPLE NOT ONLY THE THUGS THAT ATTACK CITIZENS YOU ARE A DISGRACE AND THE LAUGHING STOCK OF THE UNITED STATES !!! SHAME ON YOU !! WHY DO YOU LET THESE THUGS THAT HIDE BEHIND MASKS RULE YOUR CITY !! SHAME ON YOU YOU SPORE ON THE BIBLE TO UPHELD THE CONSTITUTION AND PROTECT ALL!! CITIZENS YOU DEMOCRATS ARE THE DOWN FALL OF DEMOCRATIE AND DESERVE TO LOOSE EVEN MORE IN THE NEXT ELECTION !! I HOP YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT THE HELL YOU ARE DOING TO YOUR CITY I SURE WOULDN'T DENS MY KIDS TO THE UNIVERSITY BECAUSE ALL THEY TEACH IS Indoctrination INTO LEFTWING IDEAS !! YOUR WHOLE CITY IS A DISGRACE

MARIE SIJEN
LONG BEACH CA
From: mike_stalone <da14ma12@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 1:50 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: protesters

Many like myself could never vote for Trump but these instigator protesters should be protected because it is the very 1st amendment. They should be able to say what they want on any of your streets and if you cannot protect them, then you must bring in outside agencies to stop it before it starts. Your shameful display on your streets will only achieve turning liberals into conservatives. Mike Staone, Petaluma, CA
Dear Mayor,

Please try to keep the trump haters under control. Getting tired of hearing about how violent they are and burning flags. Your people are giving all of us Democrats a bad rap and it needs to be stopped. I can’t stand trump, but would never turn violent because of it. That is what he wants anyway and I wouldn’t do anything he approves of.

If it’s the campus, then please talk to their administration and not allow them to demonstrate anymore. If they are violent and burn flags, then they shouldn’t have a right to demonstrate. They are supposed to be peaceful rallies, but will ruin it for all of us.

Thanks for your time,

Patricia Rambo
Good morning all,

Having watched the news events from this weekend I feel compelled to write and voice my concerns regarding a teacher that is currently employed in Berkeley Unified School District, specifically Martin Luther King Jr. Middle School. I first saw Ms. Felarca at a rally that turned violent here in Sacramento. Having school aged children, I wondered why a middle school teacher would be the vocal front for a group that appears to support using violence to suppress the free speech of others. I'm sure that many of you are familiar with organization she is associated with, By Any Means Necessary (BAMN). There are many videos that can be found online of Ms. Felarca and her associates harassing, verbally abusing, and sometimes even violently assaulting others in public altercations. After watching more of the videos, it seems that this group seeks out and often instigates confrontation rather than demonstrate peacefully to spread their message. Not all in this world is fair and just, and we as adults are all too familiar with such, but does a teacher that is involved in political activism to this extent have a place teaching our children? I'm of the opinion that the answer to that question is "NO". For you that aren't yet familiar with Ms. Felarca, I submit this:

"No Regrets" Organizer calls for more militant protests

Yvette Felarca with the group "By Any Means Necessary" defends violence at UC Berkeley protest - says ...
Regards,
Dante Vassey

BAMN
Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, Integration and Immigrant Rights and Fight for Equality By Any Means Nee...
From: D Rae <4u101589@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 5:43 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Question From A Concerned Resident Of Berkely

Mr. Arreguin, why are you a member of BAMN, a known affiliate of the radical leftist organization called Antifa? Is that why you directed your police department to not get involved in stopping the riots being caused by Antifa? You have been exposed. Everyone knows who you are affiliated with. If your smart, you'll step down. If not, things will get much worse for you. I will not stand for a mayor who supports and is a member of a radical leftist group that has a history of assaulting innocent people and causing damage to public property.
From: Jim <jms12548@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 12:03 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Question

BAMN

Why is the Mayor a member of a known Criminal element that is ruining our city?
Is he affiliated with the Mafia as well?

-Citizen
From: alexander.almqvist@nyadagbladet.se
Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2017 7:43 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: Questions regarding BAMN and Facebook

Hello

I work as a journalist for the Swedish newspaper Nya Dagbladet ("New Daily" in English) and I'm currently writing an article about the latest Berkeley riots. I would like to know why Mayor Arreguin supports the violent far-left organisation BAMN on Facebook?

Regards
Alexander Almqvist-Paajanen, news editor Nya Dagbladet http://nyadagbladet.se
The farmers market will be at the site on April 15.

On Tue, Apr 4, 2017 at 8:50 PM Margaret Hall <sismhall1@aol.com> wrote:
Yes, Pheobe, I agree this is a disturbing development. However, keep in mind that neither side has stated they want a peaceful protest. These antifa (yes it means anti-fascist) organizers operate under “diversity of tactics”. That means showing up to attempt a “de-escalation” is likely to be poorly received by the leaders. My take is that this upcoming rumble-rematch is largely driven by angry men (mostly) on both sides looking for some political excuses (i.e. "free speech", "anti-fascist” resistance) to brawl. Uninformed protesters, gawkers and naive witnesses, who think they’re going to a pot luck or to an ordinary counter-protest, provide cover for the provocateurs. This kind of street fighting will undermine popular resistance and lead to calls for repressive measures. It plays right into a fascist agenda. Not good.

Perhaps a very large mass of super organized, creative and skilled people could turn this around, but I suspect that’s unlikely and unwise. The tactic of forming a dividing line won’t stop projectiles and can be easily circumvented. How about we publicly urge fellow progressives to stay away so that the brawl doesn’t escalate? Police restraint, combined with activists working to marginalize the fighters, is probably the best strategy. There are plenty of resistance alternatives to this ridiculous display of "testosterone-brain". The Berkeley police are to be commended for their strategy last time. If folks don’t want to get hurt they should move far away from the fighting. Police in riot gear wading into a melee invites escalation.

If anyone has a better idea, please share.

Marg

On Apr 4, 2017, at 3:47 PM, Phoebe Anne Sorgen <phoebeso@earthlink.net> wrote:

Hello,

By "antifa" do they mean antiFascist?
I doubt the Bikers for Trump will be granted a permit. They say they're nonviolent yet they publish veiled threats. "High noon" Sat. 4/15 Provo/MLK Park.

Whol’ll organize and participate in a NV peace force of experienced activists who have the self-discipline to remain peaceful and de-escalate rather than escalate tensions? If a line of us had divided the 2 fighting groups last time, from the beginning before they merged, I like to think it would’ve gone a long way towards peace (even tho some bullies would go around us to pick
fights on the other side. We couldn't prevent it but our presence would've encouraged peace.) There are dozens of Bay Area activists who've had training and experience. Can someone pls organize a training asap? I'm sure the Quakers or BFUU would donate a space for it, if not the City. Which experienced facilitators (bcc'd) will step up to the plate?

Envision Wavy Gravy, bubbles, singing, laughter yoga! Occupella. Buddhist Peace Meditators. Fencing with sponge noodles. Will Edwin set up the Empathy tent? How about a volleyball game in the middle of the park and/or a square dance? Will Code Pink pls bring peace symbol cookies to pass out, and daisies?

The BPD should remove weapons as soon as they become visible, and arrest anyone who brandishes a weapon. Is it illegal to threaten violence? They removed some weapons when the crowd thinned last time, finally, after having stood on the sidelines for the 1st hours too far away to observe weapons or aggressions. I asked why they stood so far away and was told that it'd be too dangerous for them to go closer unless they had many more forces. Ha! They were numerous and were wearing helmets and equipped w/ clubs, tear gas, etc. Brave reporters/journalists were in the thick of it without police protection or bodyguards and without riot gear, as were some activists. (I stayed on the outskirts, but much closer than the cops, and I did prevent a couple of fights using CLARA technique which training I got at the launch of Occupy DC.) I hope they arrested fewer local activists than out of town tRumpers last time? tRumpers were by far the most violent. The only arrest I witnessed was a Black BHS junior who had no weapon but was an emotional powder keg, upset re racism and misogyny. I hope they just took him home.

Jesse said to stay away last time. If it were just tRump supporters ranting, I'd agree that we should stay away this time and ignore them. But if By Any Means Necessary and some of the black bloc folks (whether genuine or posers) provoke them again, it makes for very bad press and may inflame the racist/homophobic fascists to return in larger numbers next time. The best opposition may be to let them have their say, as long as they're non-violent, and/or to stand in dignified witness and also make a fun-loving show of what Berkeley stands for - peace, free speech, diversity, creativity, justice not prejudice.

The main thing is to prevent injuries. We're lucky that injuries weren't more serious last time. And don't malign the black bloc. Tho they have their bad apples, and provocateurs take advantage of the (tear gas protection) bandana look regrettably, most were ok and, as usual, they also provided the medics last time. How about those pastel bloc genderettes? https://www.facebook.com/PastelBloc/ I don't like masks, but the way things are going, I can't blame some protesters for avoiding face recognition technology.

This was the 1st time I had to avoid tear gas and pepper spray not only from the cops but from two of the protesting factions. Don't let me scare you off. It wasn't hard to avoid it.

Could it partly be a ruse to justify UASI, Urban Shield etc. collaboration? As Rosie O'Donnell* said, "Just because I'm paranoid doesn't mean they aren't out to get me." (*I'm giving her or Lilly Tomlin the NY comic pervert's quotes. He who married his stepdaughter after molesting his 7 yr old daughter Dylan shall remain unnamed.) Wishing you a delightful April Fools' week.

Paz,

Phoebe
On Apr 4, 2017, at 11:32 AM, cynthia papermaster wrote:

Fellow citizens,
This upcoming free-for-all riot should not receive a permit.
This isn't a question of free speech, despite their name for this rally.
They will, as they put it, "stand our ground" against the "anti-fa or any
other communist liberal criminals" ...
Clearly this group is getting ready and looking for a fight and the anti-fa
will give it to them.
I feel that neither the bikers nor the anti-fa is of the Berkeley community,
but even if that's not relevant to you, I do not want this happening in my
community.
Why should we witness this, spend $$ we can't afford on a large group of
police who don't intervene (HOW MUCH DOES IT COST??) and get the
lurid media attention in Berkeley that's going to follow this bloody
debacle.

This is from their Facebook for the rally (Free Speech Rally - Berkeley Ca.):
"Show your support for our country and represent our U.S. Constitution,
come stand your ground with other PRO-American supporters, Free
Speech Rally - Kyle Chapman- STICKMAN IS GOING "BACK TO
BERKELEY 4/15 High Noon. This is a nonviolent exercise of our U.S.
Constitutional rights. However, pussys and posers may want to stay
home. We will not let ANTIFA or any other communist liberal criminals
intimidate or shut down our rights to free speech, so we will stand our
ground. If you are a real Californian Biker and are willing to stand with
some conservative brothers, this is where you need to be. Its time to
RISE! Post to this link and let Bunker know your going.. we need a head
count!
https://www.facebook.com/2MillionBikers/videos/424073614603356/

RISE, LOVE, RESIST!
Cynthia Papermaster
Codepink Women for Peace, Golden Gate Chapter
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Occupy Bay Area Trainers" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to occupy-bay-area-trainers+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.
For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.
From: Noah Finneburgh <NoahF@wearerally.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 4:25 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L; Leo Wallach
Subject: Re: Accusation that you are part of BAMN

So, like:

On social media, following or liking pages does not mean you support what that group is doing, and the folks at Breitbart know that, but they are in the business of misinformation.

I follow Donald Trump on Twitter to stay up to date on what he’s saying. Would Breitbart suggest that makes me a Trump supporter? I was following this particular group so I could stay up to date on what they are up to. I condemn in the harshest terms the violent actions they have employed.

While we cherish freedom of speech in Berkeley, there is no freedom to commit violence. Those who do commit violent acts must be arrested. I am working with the police department ahead of Ann Coulter’s upcoming visit to make sure we keep our city safe. And our police are doing a great job in the face of a tough situation.

--

NOAH FINNEBURGH //
RALLY CAMPAIGNS

o 415-363-0415
c 510-289-0908

25 Taylor Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

www.RALLY.vote

From: Noah Finneburgh <NoahF@wearerally.com>
Date: Saturday, April 22, 2017 at 4:00 PM
To: "Arreguin, Jesse L." <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>, Leo Wallach <LWallach@wearerally.com>
Subject: Re: Accusation that you are part of BAMN

Thanks! I would just use the talking points I just sent to your gmail a few minutes ago.

--

NOAH FINNEBURGH //
RALLY CAMPAIGNS

o 415-363-0415
c 510-289-0908
From: "Arreguin, Jesse L." <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Date: Saturday, April 22, 2017 at 3:59 PM
To: Noah Finneburgh <NoahF@wearerally.com>
Subject: Fwd: Accusation that you are part of BAMN

Get Outlook for iOS

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: "Frances Dinkelspiel" <fdinkelspiel@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Apr 22, 2017 at 3:35 PM -0700
Subject: Accusation that you are part of BAMN
To: "Arreguin, Jesse L." <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>, "Jesse Arreguin" <jarreguin@gmail.com>, "Elgstrand, Stefan" <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>

I was going to ignore this when it was just going around on Twitter but now that Breitbart News has written an article accusing you of being a BAMN member I need to ask.

Are you a member of BAMN? Why do you follow them or why did you join them on Facebook? What do you think of BAMN's tactics?

What has been happening to you in recent weeks? You got death threats after the Milo demos. Are you still being threatened? What do you think of this Breitbart article?

http://www.breitbart.com/tech/2017/04/21/berkeley-mayor-is-member-of-antifa-facebook-group-that-organized-riots/
Frances Dinkelspiel

Author, *Tangled Vines: Greed, Murder, Obsession and an Arsonist in the Vineyards of California*  
A New York Times bestseller


Co-founder Berkeleyside, winner of the SPJ "Best Community News Site," two years running  
510-984-2366  
FrancesDinkelspiel.com  
Twitter: @Frannydink
Dee and Andy:

We need to let the property owners know about March 4.

We are already received inquiries about March 4 from the Brower Center management.

And we received the below the email and photo of yesterday's Daily Cal headline "Yiannopoulos talks return" from the Chase Building manager, and "what will be done to protect our buildings?"

I have an obligation to keep property owners and merchants informed. I will be sending out an email by end of day or early tomorrow about the March 4 event, and possible return of Yiannopoulos.

It would be VERY helpful if we could also notify them about meeting with BPD/City. Otherwise there are going to be a lot of concerns and few answers. Can we PLEASE get a date for a briefing?

Thank you, John

John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
jcaner@downtownberkeley.com

From: Heather Scott <propertymanager@beacongroupventures.com>
Date: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:13 PM
To: John Caner <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>, Lance Gorée <lgoee@downtownberkeley.com>
Subject: Return of Yiannopoulos?

Good Day John and Lance,

One of my tenants gave me a copy of todays ^The Daily Californian^ ( see attached image) which headline is that Yiannopoulos is considering returning to UCB in March? Do you know anything about this and what will be done to protect our buildings?
Thank you,

HEATHER SCOTT
PROPERTY MANAGER

2150 SHATTUCK AVE
SUITE B100
BERKELEY, CA 94704

TEL 510.644.1752
FAX 510.644.2881
CELL 510.704.3847

BEACONGROUPVENTURES.COM

On 2/13/17 11:05 AM, "John Caner" <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com> wrote:

>Andy:
>
>Can we schedule a meeting in the next week or so with key property
>owners to brief them on Feb 1 and discuss plans underway for March 4
>and other future events?
>
>Thanks, John
>
>
>On 2/13/17 10:13 AM, "Greenwood, Andrew"
>>AGreenwood@cityofberkeley.info
> wrote:
>
>>Hi John,
>>
>>>I'm back and in the office today; and we're getting onto assessment
>>>and planning with regards to this event.
>>>
>>>Andrew Greenwood
>>>Int. Chief of Police
>>>Berkeley Police Department
>>>(510) 981-5700
>>>
>>>------Original Message------
From: John Caner [mailto:johncaner@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 9:56 AM
To: Greenwood, Andrew <AGreenwood@cityofberkeley.info>; Grogan, Jovan
Cc: Williams-Ridley, Dee <DWilliams-Ridley@cityofberkeley.info>; Klein, Jordan <JKlein@cityofberkeley.info>; Ruben Lizardo
<<Rlizardo@berkeley.edu>; Stuart Baker <stuart@telegraphberkeley.org>
Subject: Alt-Right March Planned In Berkeley March 4

Andy/Govan:

I assume you are aware of this march planned for March 4? (that I found out about from Susan Wengraf)
http://sfist.com/2017/02/12/alt-right_march_planned_in_berkeley.php

Can we talk about City/BPD and UCB/UCBPD plans to respond and how we want to communicate to Downtown and Telegraph stakeholders?

Also, Andy are you back? We never got briefing scheduled re hardening of their buildings. I told Brandon Smith that I did not think we should meet with property owners unless you or someone else from BPD could talk about the bigger issues also. Otherwise I think the meeting will just frustrate them.

Thanks, John

On 2/12/17 6:37 PM, "Susan Wengraf" <swengraf@comcast.net> wrote:
http://sfist.com/2017/02/12/alt-right_march_planned_in_berkeley.php

Susan
CAMPUS EVENTS

Yiannopoulos talks return

Berkeley College Republicans in contact with speaker's team regarding future campus event

BY CASSANDRA VOGEL | SENIOR STAFF
CVOGEL@DAILYCAL.ORG

After Milo Yiannopoulos' scheduled appearance Feb. 1 incited violent demonstrations that led UCPD to cancel the event, Berkeley College Republicans is communicating with Yiannopoulos to potentially make plans for the controversial Breitbart speaker's return to campus.

Yiannopoulos announced on his Facebook page Feb. 4 he wants to speak again, a success."

Although the Office of Student Affairs has been communicating with BCR, the campus is not aware of any invitations that have been extended to Yiannopoulos up until this point, according to campus spokesperson Dan Mogulof.

"If and when they decide to extend an invitation, at that point the university will examine a wide range of options that will allow us to continue to provide a safe environment and to move forward on campus," Mogulof said.

"For the time being, we are prepared to support the students who have organized to protect their campus from hate speech and to bring together a community of support for the students who may feel threatened," he added.

"It is important that we continue to engage in open and respectful dialogue, and that all voices are heard in these discussions," Mogulof said.

"We are committed to creating a welcoming and inclusive environment for all students, and we will continue to work with the administration to ensure that our campus remains a place where all students feel safe and supported," Mogulof concluded.

"We encourage all students to participate in these conversations and to bring their ideas and perspectives to the table," he added.

"We believe that our college is stronger when we come together as a community to address challenges and to work towards solutions," Mogulof concluded.
Dear Det. Kelly,

Is it REASONABLE for me to be concerned that Municipal resources are not publicly asserted to increase lawful and peaceful assembly?

I see no Public Relations facing Municipal efforts to quell the riots advertised online by Antifa or similar elements I forwarded.

Would you agree this lack of public notice advances the intimidating environment that appears to be INTENTIONALLY engineered to diminish the exercise of peaceful and patriotic display of affection for the United States of America?

Isn't that alone a civil rights violation given a different perspective?

I got no response from the Mayor himself but their office was pleasantly accommodating to my phone call just as you were and was the Berkeley Chamber of Commerce.

I don't want to waste resources nor 'cry wolf' as a call to dispatch appears to create.

Help me understand your perspective how a call to dispatch would be in my best interests?

Wouldn't that allow a potential false accusation of paranoia, for example?

Can you assure US that no violence or conflagration will be allowed on April 15th in Berkeley's beautiful city?

Thank you for your clarification in advance.

Sincerely,
Tai
510-938-8594

On Apr 13, 2017 10:07 AM, "Kelly, Melissa A." <MKelly@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:
I suggest contacting our dispatch to get in touch with fire personnel. The 24-hour number is (510) 981-5900.

Sent from my iPhone

> On Apr 13, 2017, at 8:49 AM, Tai Decker <taidecker@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> Dear Det. Kelly,
>
> Do you have contact information to the Berkeley Fire department so we can better understand how to defend against the suggested Molotov cocktail attack on our United States of America Patriotic rally?
>
> Thank you in advance.
>
> Sincerely,
> Tai
> 510-938-8594
>
>
> ---------- Forwarded message ----------
> From: "Tai Decker" <taidecker@gmail.com>
> Date: Apr 13, 2017 7:41 AM
> Subject: Re: Public relations fail? RE: Public Safety by touching base for April 15th + disturbing web site info
> To: "Kelly, Melissa A." <MKelly@cityofberkeley.info>, <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>
> Cc: "Tai Decker" <taidecker@gmail.com>, <info@berkeleychamber.com>, <newstips@foxtv.com>
>
> Dear Det. Kelly and Mayor Jesse Arreguin and Berkeley Chamber of Commerce:
>
> What if we acted like adults and invited the Patriotic guests to visit and spend money in Berkeley, instead of neighboring cities?
>
> These visitors have been viciously maligned by local news and the public safety failure of February and March.
>
> I have forwarded enough material to show a riot with Molotov cocktails have been suggested by domestic terrorists who paint themselves as 'anti-protesters'.
>
> It is noteworthy that on Nov. 10, 2016 Media reported in Oakland Molotov cocktails were also used.
>
> Is this not intimidation to deprive civil rights of peaceful assembly?
>
> In an era of peaceful assembly and free speech why are those who intend to INTENTIONALLY deprive citizens of those civil rights deme 'anti-protesters' when all experience and information highlights a criminal conspiracy to violate CA penal code 185 (masks used in commission of a crime), not to mention the felonies of depriving civil rights?
>
> I am imploring a PUBLIC RELATIONS blitz to avoid a potential conflagration and turn the Berkeley Municipal engineered HUMAN pit bull dog fighting match that the Antifa & BAMN use for additional domestic terrorism recruiting.
>
> We are concerned citizens trying to help Berkeley promote civil rights.
>
> Sincerely,
> Tai
> 510-938-8594

> Cc: via web portal NBC investigates & ABC7

> On Apr 12, 2017 7:30 AM, "Tai Decker" <taidecker@gmail.com> wrote:
> Dear Det. Kelly,
>
> Why hasn't the Mayor attempted to reduce the tension and welcome visitors to Berkeley?
>
> We are lawful consumers as well as well intended Americans, residents & visitors?
>
> I am trying to engage friends to visit but recent events caused great harm to the Bay Area.
>
> In his absence is their a Berkeley police public relations?
>
> How am I to invite friends and family in the future if this is not a stellar example of Patriotic civility?
>
> Are there controls for probable Press imposters?
>
> These are real issues and a willing volunteer to counter the violence that defines Berkeley today.
>
> How can I help your department?
>
> Sincerely,
> Tai
> 510-938-8594

> On Apr 12, 2017 7:19 AM, "Kelly, Melissa A." <MKelly@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:
> Good morning… Thank you for your emails and all the information. I appreciate it, and I am making sure that information gets to the people who need it.
> Officer Melissa Kelly

> From: Tai Decker [mailto:taidecker@gmail.com]
> Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 5:51 AM
> To: Kelly, Melissa A.
> Cc: Tai Decker
> Subject: Re: Public Safety by touching base for April 15th + disturbing web site info
>
> Dear Det. Kelly,
>
> Good morning!
>
> Thank you so much!
>
> A peaceful Saturday would make the best news possible as we are concerned American citizens and/or CA & Bay Area residents being falsely ostracized as "White Supremacists" for advancing the US Constitution,
which puts their crimes and conspiracies as race-based animus and thus qualifies as hate crimes.

> We are all, including your police department, Patriots attempting to restore Berkeley as the cradle of Free Speech that had been well deserved and admired locale a few decades ago.

> I would like to alert you that these groups apparently use fake press credentials to gain access and collect information interviewing attendees on false pretenses.

> Are we using Official Press credentials?

> If so can you forward a contact so I can be included for identification purposes?

> Any additional advice would be greatly appreciated as a lack of official communication appears to be encouraging lawlessness, in my opinion.

> I recently heard the Farmer's Market was forced to close.

> Was their municipally required Street use permit revoked?

> Please contact me if you need additional resources.

> Sincerely,

> Tai

> 510-938-8594

> On Apr 12, 2017 4:51 AM, "Kelly, Melissa A." MKelly@cityofberkeley.info wrote:

> Good morning and thank you for the info. We will look into these websites.

> Officer Melissa Kelly

> Sent from my iPhone

> On Apr 11, 2017, at 10:38 PM, Tai Decker wrote:

> Hi Detective Kelly,

> Thank you for taking so much time to address my many concerns regarding the public safety breakdown last March 4th and receiving my suggestions such as using Penal Code 185 (masks in commission of a crime) to consider an identification protocol given the constraints of a 'Terry Stop'.

> I'd like to give your department evidence of the conspiracy to deprive us of our civil rights of peaceful assembly and free speech using terrorism strategies and weapons.

> (For example, if needed, I have video evidence of D-Cell batteries that landed near me on March 4th, but I suppose that is more of a historical note this week, although I am documenting it because of the disturbing web site I found listed on their thinly veiled threats to deprive us of our civil rights as they did on March 4th)

> This evidence should be enough to overcome reasonable suspicion that a crime is in progress.

> See tinyurl.com/lqulu3ll (see footer in photo attached)
I recommend using an 'incognito' browser window to help with our efforts to document these criminal conspiracies.

Also noteworthy is their additional threat to use the terror weapon of a Molotov Cocktails as I included a screenshot along with instructions for the masks.

That should provide the judicial requirements we discussed.

Sincerely,
Tai

510-938-8594

510-938-8594
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To help protect yourself from the alt-right and the state, bring a mask or other way to conceal your identity and bring your friends to have each other's backs.

For more helpful information on staying safe and secure check out the following tips:

1. Preparation: Get Ready!
   - Choose your personal level of participation.
   - Form an Affinity Group of 2-5 people, stay together.
   - Choose your collective level of participation.

DO NOT TALK TO THE POLICE: It cannot help you or others in any way, ever. Try to practice not being swayed by pressure tactics and the immediacy of answering to something new.

DO NOT BECOME ANONYMOUS: We cover our faces to uncover ourselves.

TAKE STOCK AND PACK:
- Bring a rain jacket, sunglasses, balaklava, gloves, tools, food and water, bandages, bug spray, Maxalt (to counteract pepper spray) charge of clothes.
- Your face and fingerprints identify you and put you and those around you at risk. Your Employers, The Police, Fascists can & will target you — even if you aren’t rioting.
- Leave behind cameras and phones; they are stitch boxes and make evidence for our enemies.
- TAKE A MOMENT TO PAUSE: Exhale. Collect yourself. You are brave.

2. Participation: It's Going Down!
   - Write the legal number on your arm in Sharpie. It's usually announced at the beginning of demos.
   - We may not always agree with the tactics others choose, or how they choose to get free. It's better to hold movement discussions on tactics / strategy than to engage in public call outs that endanger individuals in a moment. Don't police others actions.
   - There are many paths of struggle towards liberation. Learning when to escalate and deescalate situations is vital to your safety and others. Be bold but not cocky.
   - Keep your goal(s) in mind.
   - Collective struggle has proven itself effective when many different elements come together.
   - Movements are strong when we act in concert, in solidarity and towards our goals through many different avenues.
   - Stay Together - Don't Leave Alone!

Good Job! No Burn! 

3. Aftercare: To sustain, we must tend.
   - They don't call it struggle for no reason, the long journey toward liberation requires us to be as militant about our care as we are in the streets.
   - Collective care starts with the individual. Demonstrations can be rough and our bodies and mind need to come back to centers.
   - Here are a few suggestions for caring for yourself post protest. Remembering that care can look different for everyone, we respect the variety of ways one may show themselves love.
   - Breathe, our breath is our birthright.
   - Take some time to slow down:
     - Decide whether you need solitude or time with others.
     - Take a bath, a warm bath with Epsom salt relaxes muscles.
     - Listen to music, write.
     - Have a beer or make some tea.
   - There are a variety of herbal teas to help calm the nervous system and aid with sleep.
   - Sleep! Eat a comforting meal, you have fed your spirit, now don’t forget to feed your body.
   - Take a minute before jumping into a media binge of what just happened. Remember we are revolutionaries, not reactionaries.
   - If comrades have been arrested, jail support!

Message in a bottle. A quick tip pamphlet for street based resistance.
Mayor Calls For Peace After Three Oakland Officers Injured, 30 Arrested ...
NBC Bay Area › news › local › Three-Oa...

AMP - The mayor of Oakland called protesters to end the mayhem after ... (Published Thursday, Nov. 10, 2016) ... Fireworks, M-80s and Molotov cocktails were thrown ...

30 Arrested, 3 Officers Injured In Oakland Anti-Trump Protest « CBS San Francisco
CBS Local › sanfrancisco › 2016/11/10

AMP - November 10, 2016 7:32 AM ... of the crowd from throwing rocks, bottles, fireworks, M
‘Good On Ya, to Berkeley’ ~ thank you Cynthia,

What I find more than just amusing is: The dumbocrats are trying to outdo each other tearing down Russia/Putin/Moscow...while Rome burns; Evidencing, incredible mass Dem stoopidity and wanton aggression. I’m so glad the Frump, HRC is not in the White House, still filthy dirty...from non-prosecution of War Crimes.

I’m inclined to Keep Trump for he is, unlike HRC, seemingly pro-Russia. However, the mercenaries and US Armadas are all over Russia.

I like the demonization that the Dems put on the Rethugs/Pres over this... ‘this’ is about mass propaganda and inflating the hate by ‘murikkkans, and media spewing from the US State Dept talking points. Which appears to me, to be, just another ‘we are more military than you’ Demostoopid. I’m enjoying the One Party - two wings in constant battle with each other over false pretenses and pure BS.

So, yeah, like I find Trump to be a heinous monster the Dems are even worse... they’re just so much more insidious and vile than your avg Repug Politician.

This ‘grow the boogeyman’ is part ‘n parcel of the Dem strategy, to appear saintly ‘n virginous, and more ‘protection-like’ in order to get some traction for losing and cuz there’s this boogeyman trying to enslave our children yada yada, and implement Sharia law.

... I’m only for it, in that it keeps crucifying their brothers/Party’s in arms; Dems vs Repugs, and deflating this other Monster, the Capitalist coin, desecrating all of Russia. But I do get the meaning of Berkeley’s action.

Will
"Left" and "liberal" are mutually exclusive terms. If you are a "liberal" you can't be Left. "Liberal" means you want a kinder gentler capitalism. "Left" means you are anti-capitalist.

From: cynthia papermaster [mailto:cynthia_papermaster@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 2:41 AM
To: Indivisible East Bay; Indivisible Berkeley; David Swanson; solomonprogressive@gmail.com; jbonifaz@freespeechforpeople.org; Marjorie Cohn; National Impeachment Network; Susan Serpa; Golden Gate CODEPINK; Code Pink; Vigil Sf Codepink; Rocky Anderson; Susan Harman; Linda Boyd; Sharon Abreu; Sharon Tipton; Medea Benjamin; mayor@cityofberkeley.info; Sophie Hahn - Berkeley City Council; Brad Newsham; Kris Welch; jovankabeckles@yahoo.com; Janet Johnson; JOHN HEUER; William Crain; Ralph Lopez; Nader Alerts
Subject: Berkeley Votes To Call for Trump Impeachment

March 28, 2017

Tonight the Berkeley City Council voted on a Resolution to call for Donald Trump's impeachment. The Resolution was sponsored by Mayor Jesse Arreguin and Council Member Sophie Hahn. I've attached a copy of the Resolution.

Is anyone keeping track of the cities passing Resolutions? I know about Richmond and Alameda and now Berkeley locally.
John Bonifaz was in Berkeley Sunday night at a "Impeach Trump" panel discussion and he mentioned a city in Massachusetts.

I'm thinking of re-activating the National Impeachment Network. It could be helpful to have those hundreds of experienced and knowledgeable impeachment activists participating in this national
uprising to demand our right to impeachment. The Network had a million people on its email list at one time.

Let me know if you or your group wants to be in the network for the purposes of joining our voices together, strategizing, and taking action to make Congress do its duty and investigate Donald Trump as part of formal impeachment hearings. The Network could also post bulletins with information about Resolutions, actions in Congress, lobbying efforts, news from you and your group, etc.

With hope for upholding the law,
Yours in action,
Cynthia Papermaster

RISE, LOVE, RESIST!
cynthia_papermaster@yahoo.com
Codepink Women for Peace, Golden Gate Chapter
From: Richard Roos-Collins <rrcollins@waterpowerlaw.com>
To: Manager, C
Cc: Hahn, Sophie; BPD Webmail; John Caner (jcaner@downtownberkeley.com);
kirsten@berkeleychamber.com; info@alcoda.org; Wengraf, Susan; Maio, Linda;
attorney; Angie Varqas; Arrequin, Jesse L.

Subject: RE: City Policies Regarding Violent Protests

Dear Ms. Williams-Ridley:

I write to ask whether the City, including our Police Department, has notified the organizers of the protest planned for April 27 that they must obtain a street event permit under our Municipal Code 13.44.

I am grateful that the Police Department adopted an administrative rule that prohibited weapons in Civic Center Park at the protest on April 15. That said, I understand that the City did not require the groups who openly organized the protest to apply for a park or street event permit. What followed was a riot by any ordinary definition ("A riot is a form of civil disorder commonly characterized by a group lashing out in a violent public disturbance against authority, property or people"), directly interfering with public use of streets, sidewalks, and the City Park. The City spent a large amount of money to contain the riot. Several police officers were injured.

Why does the City permit political protests to proceed in direct violation of our Municipal Code 13.44? In addition to the costs incurred by the City, residents (both individuals and businesses) pay for and suffer the consequences of these unpermitted protests. The City is fast becoming a symbol for the breakdown of civil discussion in the U.S. Here is only one of many articles on that topic: http://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2017/04/16/berkeley-trump-protesters-clash-ganim-dnt-nr.cnn. As a progressive city, we can and must do better.

I note that I have not received a substantive reply (form or otherwise) from any City official to my prior emails on this topic over the past year. I request a substantive reply to this email by May 1. Absent such a reply, I will file a Public Records Act request to obtain relevant records.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Richard Roos-Collins

WATER AND POWER LAW GROUP PC

Richard Roos-Collins, Principal
2140 Shattuck Avenue, Ste. 801
Berkeley, CA 94704-1229
(510) 296-5589
(866) 407-8073 (e-fax)
www.waterpowerlaw.com
vCard

This email may contain information that is privileged and confidential. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive email for the addressee), you may not use, copy, or disclose this email or any information herein. If you have received this email in error, please delete and notify me at the address above. Thank you.
From: Richard Roos-Collins
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 7:50 AM
To: Jesse Arreguin (JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info) <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: 'shahn@CityofBerkeley.info' <shahn@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'police@cityofberkeley.info'
'police@cityofberkeley.info'; John Caner (jcaner@downtownberkeley.com) <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>; 'kirsten@berkeleychamber.com' <kirsten@berkeleychamber.com>; 'info@alcoda.org' <info@alcoda.org>; 'swengraf@CityofBerkeley.info' <swengraf@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'lmaio@CityofBerkeley.info' <lmaio@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'manager@cityofberkeley.info' <manager@cityofberkeley.info>; 'attorney@cityofberkeley.info' <attorney@cityofberkeley.info>; Angie Vargas <angie@cmaaminc.com>
Subject: RE: City Policies Regarding Violent Protests

Dear Ms. Williams-Ridley:

I write to ask whether the City, including our Police Department, has notified the organizers of the protests planned for Civic Center Park on April 15 that they must obtain park or street event permits under our Municipal Code.

These protests will interfere with the rights of Berkeley citizens, having already shut down the Farmers’ Market for that day. If the protests evolve like prior unpermitted events, individuals will be injured, and properties will be damaged.

So, please advise: has the City notified these protest organizers that they must comply with the Municipal Code with respect to permits for use of City properties?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Richard Roos-Collins

From: Richard Roos-Collins
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 2:03 PM
To: Jesse Arreguin (JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info) <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: 'shahn@CityofBerkeley.info' <shahn@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'police@cityofberkeley.info'
'police@cityofberkeley.info'; John Caner (jcaner@downtownberkeley.com) <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>; 'kirsten@berkeleychamber.com' <kirsten@berkeleychamber.com>; 'info@alcoda.org' <info@alcoda.org>; 'swengraf@CityofBerkeley.info' <swengraf@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'lmaio@CityofBerkeley.info' <lmaio@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'manager@cityofberkeley.info' <manager@cityofberkeley.info>; 'attorney@cityofberkeley.info' <attorney@cityofberkeley.info>; Angie Vargas <angie@cmaaminc.com>
Subject: RE: City Policies Regarding Violent Protests

Mr. Mayor:

As occurred February 1, BAMN and other groups have publicly stated their intent to hold a counter-march tomorrow on City streets. http://www.bamn.com/. I ask again: have they applied for a street event permit? Has the City notified them of the non-discretionary obligation to apply?

My office building is preparing to board its windows against the possibility that the marches tomorrow again result in property damage in the downtown. This is a very sad state of affairs: businesses are bearing the expense of preparing for - or cleaning up from - property damage in association with political marches, and even worse, some protestors expressly target businesses for political reasons. It is time for the City to enforce basic lawfulness in such marches. RRC
From: Richard Roos-Collins  
Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2017 12:35 PM  
To: Jesse Arreguin (JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info) <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>  
Cc: 'shahn@CityofBerkeley.info' <shahn@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'police@cityofberkeley.info' <police@cityofberkeley.info>; John Caner (jcaner@downtownberkeley.com) <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>; 'kirsten@berkeleychamber.com' <kirsten@berkeleychamber.com>; 'info@alcoda.org' <info@alcoda.org>; 'swengraf@CityofBerkeley.info' <swengraf@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'lmaio@CityofBerkeley.info' <lmaio@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'manager@cityofberkeley.info' <manager@cityofberkeley.info>; 'attorney@cityofberkeley.info' <attorney@cityofberkeley.info>; Angie Vargas <angie@cmaaminc.com>  
Subject: RE: City Policies Regarding Violent Protests  

Mr. Mayor:

I write in response to the advisory that the City issued today regarding a rally and march scheduled for March 4.

The advisory states that a permit is “standard” for such a street event. As far as I can tell, it is not standard for political street events, if that term means that the organizer applies for it or the City requires it. Did the February 1 event, or any of the Occupy events over the past several years, have such a permit? Did the City notify BAMN and other organizers that they must apply for and obtain such a permit?

I agree that such a permit is required for a street event, political or otherwise, under Municipal Code section 13.44.

- Has the City notified the groups who are publicly organizing this March 4 event that they must apply for such a permit?
- Per my question in my 2/10 email below, does the City have a written policy that it will notify groups planning political street events that they must obtain such a permit?

Sincerely,

Richard Roos-Collins

Here's what we know:

Many of you may have heard that there is a rally and march scheduled to occur on Saturday March 4 at 2:00pm in Civic Center Park. We want to let you know what we know. No group has filed for a permit, a standard practice used by groups who successfully organize people in a law-abiding manner. The main promoter of the event has a Twitter account with a limited following which appears to be slowly growing as the date of the event approaches. The organizer and his followers have no discernable ties to any organization. Nonetheless, the event has attracted the interest of other groups on various social media outlets as well as the attention of our local media outlets.

At this point, it remains unclear as to how many people will come on Saturday afternoon. Demonstrations can be dynamic events, with the potential to transform from peaceful assembly and expressions of First Amendment speech, to an event where some endeavor to commit acts of violence. People within a crowd may have different motives and interests throughout the course of a given demonstration. Accordingly, the Berkeley Police Department is continually evaluating developments and planning for a number of contingencies. Department personnel will be on site monitoring the event.

From: Richard Roos-Collins  
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2017 11:58 AM  
To: Jesse Arreguin (JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info) <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>  
Cc: 'shahn@CityofBerkeley.info' <shahn@CityofBerkeley.info>; 'police@cityofberkeley.info' <police@cityofberkeley.info>; John Caner (jcaner@downtownberkeley.com) <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>  
Subject: RE: City Policies Regarding Violent Protests  

I write in response to the advisory that the City issued today regarding a rally and march scheduled for March 4.

The advisory states that a permit is “standard” for such a street event. As far as I can tell, it is not standard for political street events, if that term means that the organizer applies for it or the City requires it. Did the February 1 event, or any of the Occupy events over the past several years, have such a permit? Did the City notify BAMN and other organizers that they must apply for and obtain such a permit?

I agree that such a permit is required for a street event, political or otherwise, under Municipal Code section 13.44.

- Has the City notified the groups who are publicly organizing this March 4 event that they must apply for such a permit?
- Per my question in my 2/10 email below, does the City have a written policy that it will notify groups planning political street events that they must obtain such a permit?

Sincerely,

Richard Roos-Collins

Here's what we know:

Many of you may have heard that there is a rally and march scheduled to occur on Saturday March 4 at 2:00pm in Civic Center Park. We want to let you know what we know. No group has filed for a permit, a standard practice used by groups who successfully organize people in a law-abiding manner. The main promoter of the event has a Twitter account with a limited following which appears to be slowly growing as the date of the event approaches. The organizer and his followers have no discernable ties to any organization. Nonetheless, the event has attracted the interest of other groups on various social media outlets as well as the attention of our local media outlets.

At this point, it remains unclear as to how many people will come on Saturday afternoon. Demonstrations can be dynamic events, with the potential to transform from peaceful assembly and expressions of First Amendment speech, to an event where some endeavor to commit acts of violence. People within a crowd may have different motives and interests throughout the course of a given demonstration. Accordingly, the Berkeley Police Department is continually evaluating developments and planning for a number of contingencies. Department personnel will be on site monitoring the event.
I write regarding the protest on February 1. Thank you for your statement that “violence and destruction are contrary to progressive values and have no place in our community.” I wholeheartedly support that statement. I write to ask whether the City – including the Police Department – will assure that its policies are consistent with that statement.

I own a small business which leases office space at 2140 Shattuck. On February 1, violent protestors smashed all windows on the ground floor of this building. Below, I attach a photo of the building entrance, still boarded up as of today. Wells Fargo and other adjacent banks were targeted, their windows and ATMs smashed. Local businesses, including mine, and their customers will pay for this property destruction. I note these same buildings were targeted and damaged during various Occupy protests in the past five years.

There has been extensive speculation about the political motives of the violent protestors on February 1. I note that certain local groups, including BAMN, publicly stated their intention to organize the protest and did not subsequently disclaim the violence. See http://www.bamn.com/social-justice/shut-down-milo-yiannopoulos. In any event, all such speculation is a red herring. Whatever their motives or political affiliation, violent protestors committed crimes – assaulting certain individuals and destroying property. To the best of my knowledge, none of them was arrested for these crimes. If so, there will be no prosecution or other consequences for these crimes, which also threaten civic discourse in our community.

I write to ask about City policies to prevent a repetition of the violence and destruction that occurred on February 1.

1. Will the City adopt a policy that, going forward, it will notify groups planning political events on public streets that they must obtain a permit (including insurance) as required by Municipal Code section 13.44?

2. Will the City Police Department adopt a policy that, going forward, it will order a street event (including a protest) to disperse if it turns violent? I have heard from several non-violent protestors that the protest on February 1 degenerated into a mob mentality of “anything goes,” when the police did not intervene against the violent protestors who had begun to destroy property.

3. Will the City adopt a policy that, going forward, it will enforce California Penal Code section 185, which prohibits the use of a mask to obscure identity for the purpose of evading the police or committing a crime?

4. Will the City adopt a policy that, going forward, it will coordinate with other adjacent cities, UC Berkeley, and the District Attorney to arrest and then prosecute violent protestors on a zero-tolerance basis? By way of reference, how many protestors were convicted for property destruction in downtown Berkeley at the Occupy protests over the past five years? How much restitution was paid to the damaged businesses? I copy this query to the District Attorney.

Does the City currently have written policies on these topics? If yes, I request copies.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Richard Roos-Collins
From: Soto-Vigil, Alejandro  
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 3:31 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Subject: RE: College and Career Week Kick-off

We got to be there by 10am. Dee wants to walk with us over the Berkeley Rep. Speaking time 10:49 to 10:54am.

This Year’s theme is “Dream Forward: Discover Learn & Live”

I would speak about the need of civic engagement during the Trump era. Also, your activism as a High Schooler and how it led you to be a 32 year old Mayor. I would also discuss the victory on the voting age being reduced for School Board elections.

If you need more talking points let me know.

From: Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 3:25 PM  
To: Soto-Vigil, Alejandro <ASoto-Vigil@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: College and Career Week Kick-off

How long will I be speaking? What should I focus on in my remarks? Want to prepare tonight

Jesse Arreguín  
Mayor, City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
510.981.7100 phone  
www.jessearrequin.com
From: James Mcfadden <jpmcfadden925@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 4:31 PM
To: All Council; Berkeley Mayor’s Office; Droste, Lori; Bartlett, Ben; Maio, Linda; Hahn, Sophie; Davila, Cheryl; Wengraf, Susan; Worthington, Kriss; kharrison@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Berkeley Progressive Alliance; JJ Noire; Lord; Friends of Adeline; Adolfo; Margy Wilkinson; Phoebe Anne Sorgen; Donald Goldmacher; Kate Harrison; Shirley Dean; Phil Allen; Becky O’Malley; Margot Smith; Steve Martinot; sharon maldonado; Moni Law; Tree Fitzpatrick; Rob Wrenn; Charlene Woodcock; Gale Garcia; Dean Metzger; Paul Matzner; cynthia papermaster; Kathryn Horsley; Erin Diehm; Steve Finacom; Kelly Hammargren; Sustainable Berkeley Coalition
Subject: Re: Council agenda item 26 on March 14, 2017

Members of the City Council,

It appears that police militarization/nationalization is on tomorrow's Council agenda (Item 26. Agreements, with Other Law Enforcement Agencies, Police Departments or Private Security Organizations).

One of the primary problems with this agenda item is that it comes with a 914 page agreement which the public has not had time to read, analyze and digest. Even a brief overview can turn up potential conflicts. For example, the public desire for Berkeley to be a sanctuary city may be in conflict with Agreements 3.1 and 3.4 which specify cooperation with Immigration services, Homeland Security and ICE. In addition Agreement 3.6 may be used to develop methods impose crackdowns on protest and civil disobedience in defiance of the Trump administration, or against other protests and actions directed against government policies on war, bailouts or social justice. But most of all this agreement is a concern because it involves police militarization and nationalization and has not had proper public vetting.

If you are still unsure as to whether police militarization and nationalization is an urgent issue, then you should watch the documentary "Do Not Resist". Here is the trailer

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Zt7bl5Z_oA

Or better yet, you should read the books “The New Jim Crow” and “Rise of the Warrior Cop.” If you have not read these books, or at least watched the documentary, then you should postpone any actions that further the militarization and nationalization of our Berkeley police. It is bad enough that you agreed to purchase an armored vehicle, and tried to sneak it in on consent on December 13, but this new action of solidifying the ties to homeland security will undermine local control of our police.

The creation of a standing military out of the police, sheriffs and the National Guard is being used to circumvent the **Posse Comitatus Act**. The Berkeley City Council should not be complicit in this usurpation of local control. The Council should be pushing to diminish or eliminate all ties to federal monies with strings attached that involve coordination through homeland security or ICE. The Council should also vote to eliminate all military equipment made available our local police department. If that means that federal funds are lost, so be it.

The necessity for local control of a policing was recognized by the founding fathers, and made explicit 100 years later by Posse Comitatus. The current attempts to create a police-prison state are really attempts to
circumvent democracy and liberty and impose corporate rule to maximize profits to the 1%. Part of this strategy is to create and promote a culture of fear and crisis which is distributed to the general population by mainstream corporate-controlled media propaganda. It is effective when a significant fraction of the population consists of right-wing authoritarian followers who will always obey established authorities as they did in Germany in the 1930s and 40s. (You might want to read the book “The Authoritarians” by Prof. Bob Altemeyer who describes the group upon which authoritarian figures like Trump rely). The strategy also relies on more extreme forms of fear and obedience conditioning such as the nationalized versions of police training in terrorism and protest control as documented in “Do Not Resist.”

Make no mistake - this training and propaganda is not about public safety, it is about public control. The State knows that the public will not willingly accept a second bank bailout when our current economic debt bubble pops and austerity is imposed to pay for that bailout (as we currently see in Greece). To maintain the status quo of corporate capitalism and asset inflation in the face of mounting debt payments (see “Killing the Host” by Prof. Michael Hudson), austerity must be imposed to meet the debt payments. However, that austerity will send the country further down the path of debt deflation and depression causing further unrest. The State knows that public protest against this austerity must be crushed at early stages to prevent out and out rebellion. It will undertake this path much as it did in the past against abolition, suffrage, unions, the Black Panthers and the Civil Rights movement, or as it was recently seen against Occupy and DAPL, and as it is currently being implemented against the Black Lives Matter and the environmental movements. Police militarization and homeland security control over local policing are essential to their strategy of full-spectrum dominance over civil society.

Don’t let the City Manager and Police Chief choreograph a presentation to give the Council cover for approving these police agreements. I witnessed such presentations by the County Sheriff’s department to the County Board of Supervisors – parading a line of police and firefighters all hoping to get a piece of the homeland security pie – all supporting the expansion of Urban Shield, militarized equipment, and cooperative agreements. All this training and planning will be used for crack-downs on protest. Don’t let the City Manager drag the presentation out so that the public comment period is late in the evening. This was the Tom Bates strategy – hoping that the public would tire and go home. An issue of this magnitude, which will impact the very rights we so cherish, deserves a full Council meeting all by itself plus multiple public forums where the consequences of such agreements can be discussed. These decisions should not be left to the City Manager and high ranking Police officials, with uninformed Council members just going along.

I’m sure the presentation will be filled with language as to why it is essential to democracy and freedom to create a police state that undermines said democracy and freedom. I’m sure that we will hear lots of threats that without selling our souls to homeland security, there will be a loss federal $$$$. The Council must not be afraid to defy this police request and should be willing to say “no agreement until proper public vetting of the agreements.” The Council, as an institution, must maintain local civilian control over the police and not hand it over to homeland security through these agreements. Remember that freedom and democracy comes at a price – we don’t need those federal dollars if the cost includes loss of local control and civilian oversight.

To quote Rob Urie: “The role of the police as an ‘army of the rich’ is worth considering inasmuch as their militarization, immunity from prosecution and attendant impunity have grown in approximate proportion to the concentration of wealth that is itself tied to the impoverishment of growing portions of the population.”

Police militarization is directly linked to solidification of corporate rule and a merging of corporations with the State. This latter merging is exactly how Mussolini defined fascism. Police militarization is directly linked to the need to maintain control as the neoliberal State imposes austerity. Police militarization and nationalization is the antithesis of community policing. Please resist approving this agenda item and instead schedule multiple public forums to vet these agreements.
Sincerely, Dr. James McFadden
I met with Ben Bartlett this morning and he mentioned that he had word that there was going to be counter protesting from Trump supporters here. It might be worth following up on this...

On Mon, Mar 27, 2017 at 3:09 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

And here we go again.....

Should we schedule time to discuss?

Folks,

As you probably know, as per attached flier, another protest is scheduled for 11am Saturday April 15 in Civic Center Park.

Can we possibly meet next week to debrief March 4 events, and plan for April 15?  Fyi, I am on vacation March 31-April 9. In my absence Lance Gorée can readily fill for me.

Thanks, John
From: Travis LeMaster <tlemaster@downtownberkeley.com>
Date: Friday, March 24, 2017 12:44 PM
To: John Caner <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>
Subject: Protest Flier

Scanned flier

---------------------------

Travis LeMaster

Program Coordinator

Downtown Berkeley Association

2230 Shattuck Avenue, Suite C

Berkeley, CA 94704

O: 510-549-2230 x11

C: 408-624-7953

tlemaster@downtownberkeley.com

[Website] [Facebook] [Twitter] [Instagram]
Stuart Baker
Executive Director
Telegraph Business Improvement District
2437 Durant Ave. Ste. 206
Berkeley, CA 94704
(o) 510-486-2366
(m) 510-520-8207

Join Us on April 29th from 12-5 for the 50th Anniversary of the Summer of Love!

www.telegraphberkeley.org
Yes, we are on the line waiting for others to join.

Jovan Grogan
Deputy City Manager
City of Berkeley
jgrogan@cityofberkeley.info
(510) 981-7014

Sent via iPhone

On Apr 26, 2017, at 3:16 PM, Ruben Lizardo <rlizardo@berkeley.edu> wrote:

Hi Folks

I am sure you have heard that Ms. Coulter has cancelled her plans to speak at UC Berkeley. I am writing to see if your team still wants to use the 3:30 time we are holding for a coordination call?

If so we will use the same numbers. We may not have UCPD leader on, as they are dealing with the press event on Sproul that just happened.'

Ruben

On Tue, Apr 25, 2017 at 10:27 AM, Ruben Lizardo <rlizardo@berkeley.edu> wrote:

Hi Everyone,

We do not have an update yet on the Judge's decision on the BCR Lawsuit. Will get that to you when we hear.
Meanwhile, for today's follow up coordination call, we will use the conference numbers below:

Dial in: 877-369-0926
Access Code: 2304418102
Host code: 200180

Ruben

On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 2:43 PM, Ruben Lizardo <rlizardo@berkeley.edu> wrote:

Hi Folks

Please use the call in numbers below for our call at 3:00 PM.

Conference Line
1-866-740-1260

Also my cell is 510-417-9230 if you have trouble getting in.
I have also included a suggested agenda for our time.

Intros

- City
- Campus

Campus Update--Status of Speaker & Preparation (including public messages and public safety)

City Update--Preparation and Coordination Needs

Next Steps & Responsible Leaders
Hi Folks

Please use the following numbers for our 3PM Coordinating call:

Conference Line
1-866-740-1260

Also my cell is 510-417-9230 if you have trouble getting in.

Ruben
I have talked to the key players on our end. We can do a call today at 3 PM. I hope that works for your team, because there are not any other options today.

Ruben

On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 10:41 AM, Williams-Ridley, Dee <DWilliams-Ridley@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Ruben,

Growing concerned, can we orchestrate a meeting with everyone as we agreed to do after February 1st event. My office can help coordinate folks on the City side can you pull together a contact person that will coordinate UC Berkeley stakeholders. We need all hands on deck to make sure that we are all on the same page for this Thursday. We should be prepared to discuss policies that the campus plans on implementing, an update on law enforcement activities (as I know the Chiefs have been coordinating), outreach for the business, campus and broader communities. Please advise as soon as possible.

Thanks, Dee

--
Ruben Lizardo
Director Local Government and Community Relations
Office of the Chancellor
University of California, Berkeley
2130 Center Street, Suite 200
Berkeley, CA 94720-4208
510-643-5296
--

Ruben Lizardo
Director Local Government and Community Relations
Office of the Chancellor
University of California, Berkeley
2130 Center Street, Suite 200
Berkeley, CA 94720-4208
510-643-5296
From: Susan F Church <schurch545@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 1:51 PM
To: Hahn, Sophie
Cc: Berkeley Mayor's Office; Maddox Josh; Kathi Pugh; Deborah Green; Nancy Holland & Dorothea Gielow; O-McDonnell Robin
Subject: Re: Farmers' Market Cancellation

Alas, there are too many people itching for a fight on both sides and those on the “anti-Trump” side just make their side look bad by attacking a “free speech” demonstration when ignoring them would be much more effective.

Thank you for your quick response and clarification of the situation.

Susan

> On Apr 14, 2017, at 1:38 PM, Hahn, Sophie <SHahn@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:
> 
> Thank you for your note. Alas, we did not issue any permits! This "gathering" is entirely an internet driven event, and the City has not been asked for a permit. The park is public, and we cannot stop folks from coming to a public park. We would not have allowed for this conflict if we could have avoided it. Given that we see a huge amount of chatter about the event on the internet, and we expect much larger crowds than the last time they issued a call for an event (also without a permit, and with some violence), the decision was made (by the managers of the market in consult with the City) to cancel.
> 
> We are actively looking for an alternative location for the market, should there be further dates when a conflict arises. In the heightened political atmosphere since the presidential election, we are finding that Berkeley is a magnet for "tests" of or commitment to freedom of speech, and we expect this will not be the last time the market may need to be displaced. We hope it will never have to cancel again.
> 
> Thank you for your ardent support for our Farmer’s Markets, and for the peaceful enjoyment of our community. I certainly share your support, and deep regret for this weekend's cancellation.
> 
> Sophie Hahn
> Berkeley City Council District 5
> shahn@ci.berkeley.ca.us
> 2180 Milvia Street, Berkeley CA, 94704
> 510-981-7150
> 
> I look forward to being in touch! Please click on the link below to be added to the District 5 E-Newsletter list.
> https://visitor.r20.constantcontact.com/d.jsp?llr=olulkcab&p=oi&m=1102207134990&sit=o86oempdb&f=4a9e6a87-a2d6-4059-8702-8bc2d85ce94e
> 
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Susan F Church [mailto:schurch545@sbcglobal.net]
> Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 1:31 PM
> To: Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>; Maio, Linda <LMaio@cityofberkeley.info>; Davila, Cheryl <CDavila@cityofberkeley.info>; 7140KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info; Hahn, Sophie <SHahn@cityofberkeley.info>
I don’t know who is responsible for the decisions to grant permits for demonstrations in Civic Center Park on Saturday, April 15, and then cancel the Farmer’s Market because of it, but I am here registering my dismay!!

Why were these permits issued for that day and not some other day than a Saturday when the Farmers’ Market is happening? Why weren’t the vendors who are severely impacted by this decision and the citizens of Berkeley who patronize the Market, considered and given priority over outside political groups that the Police are sure will make trouble? Do they have to be given permits for Civic Center Park on a Saturday….why not the Waterfront Park, or People’s Park, or Ohlone Park?...or on another day?

Why don’t the officials who make these decisions and our elected representatives prioritize us, the peaceful citizens of Berkeley, who live and work here.

Susan Church
McKinley Avenue
From: John Caner <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 10:34 AM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: Re: May 2/ April 27

Jesse:

Do we have any idea of when and where she is going to show up on the 27th? As you can imagine our members—as well as I am sure the City and University—are nervous.

I asked Jordan and Matthai yesterday for a briefing when I thought it was May 2. There is even more a sense of urgency if it is the 27th. I know everyone is probably scrambling to sort out plans but can you request a briefing, maybe on Monday afternoon?

Thanks, John

John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
jcaner@downtownberkeley.com

---

From: "Arreguin, Jesse L." <Arreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Date: Friday, April 21, 2017 9:56 AM
To: John Caner <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>
Subject: RE: May 2

Given that Ann Coulter said last night she will be coming on April 27th anyways there is a sense of urgency with this. Will keep you posted.

From: John Caner [mailto:jcaner@downtownberkeley.com]
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 8:34 AM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L. <Arreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: May 2
Thanks Jesse. Hope it is productive.

John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
jcaner@downtownberkeley.com

---

From: "Arreguin, Jesse L." <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Date: Thursday, April 20, 2017 3:50 PM
To: John Caner <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>
Cc: Brandi Campbell <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: RE: May 2

John, I was just thinking of the same thing and was going to reach out to Yvette Felarca. It is worth a shot.

I am committed to finding a way to stopping these violent demonstrations from happening in the future.

Jesse

---

From: John Caner [mailto:jcaner@downtownberkeley.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 20, 2017 2:33 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: May 2

Jesse and Brandi:

Do you think there is a possibility of having quiet conversations with leftist activist groups to see is they would be willing to stand down and not show up for May 2 and/or other events? Otherwise I worry we are engaged in perpetual brawl in Berkeley fueled by media attention. I know it is probably a long shot, but there would seem to be little risk in quietly doing so.

These protests are drain on City resources, and I think wearing on our residents, merchants and nonprofits. Also, fyi, the Saturday protests particularly have take a heavy toll on the Berkeley Rep and Aurora with Saturday matinees, with a preponderance of seniors.

Thoughts?
John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
jcaner@downtownberkeley.com

MEET ME DOWN TOWN
Hi Linda and Gary, my apologies but I won't be able to attend the lunch at Chez Panise with the delegation. I have a time sensitive meeting on the upcoming Ann Coulter visit and our strategy around it.

I will definitely be at the Sake event this evening. Thanks for your understanding.

Jesse

Get [Outlook for iOS](https://www.outlook.com)

On Fri, Apr 7, 2017 at 1:04 AM -0700, "Gary Gibson" <gmg@berkeley-sakai.org> wrote:

Hi Stefan,

I spoke with Alejandro about this at the Tuesday city council meeting. I will be there as well.

Best,

gmg

—

Gary Gibson  
Chairman  
Berkeley-Sakai Sister City Association  
email: gmg@berkeley-sakai.org

On Apr 6, 2017, at 09:40 AM, Ekom@aol.com wrote:

Hi Stefan:

Yes - we can meet with Mayor Arreguin on Thursday, April 13 at 10:30. There will be 5 of us (Juri, me, Jay Sordean, Noriko Nishizawa and Atsuko Morse).

See you then,  
Linda
From: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Sent: Sunday, April 23, 2017 10:35 PM
To: Numainville, Mark L; Williams-Ridley, Dee
Subject: RE: NEW DATE: Poll: Closed Session Meeting - April 27

Can you please consult with my office on a future date for a closed session. I would suggest either Thursday, May 4th or Monday, May 8th.

I do not want to do a closed session the night Ann Coulter is coming to Berkeley, as I and I am assuming the City Manager need to be available to monitor the situation.

Jesse Arreguin
Mayor, City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
510.981.7100 phone
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Numainville, Mark L.
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 2:23 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.; Bartlett, Ben; Davila, Cheryl; Droste, Lori; Hahn, Sophie; Harrison, Kate; Maio, Linda; Wengraf, Susan; Worthington, Kriss
Cc: Williams-Ridley, Dee; Grogan, Jovan; Williams-Ridley, Dee; Cowan, Zach; Castillo, Kimberly
Subject: NEW DATE: Poll: Closed Session Meeting - April 27

Mayor and Councilmembers,

Please respond with your availability for a closed session meeting on Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 5:30 p.m.

The topic of the meeting is appointment of the City Attorney.

Mark Numainville
City Clerk
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-6909 desk
(510) 981-6901 fax
mnumainville@cityofberkeley.info

Apply for Passports at the Berkeley City Clerk Department
Hi Alejandro,

I’m so sorry for the late reply. We were hoping to be able to send you all a revised version of the attached BFT Quality Education Agenda, but the demands on BFT of some events at the REALM Charter Schools (whose teachers we represent) have postponed that project. So please know that the attached has not yet been updated to reflect the Trump Administration, the confirmation of Betsy DeVos, the status of the 2020 Vision (?), etc.

We will be doing a “refresh” and it would be great to meet with Danfeng as part of that process, if possible.

Thank you for the documents and the follow up to our meeting!

Cathy

---------

On Feb 8, 2017, at 4:00 PM, Soto-Vigil, Alejandro <ASoto-Vigil@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Cathy,

Thank you so much for meeting with us. You provided us a clearer picture of what’s going on. I have attached two documents. The word doc is the Feb 28th council item related to parking permits. The pdf is a document is what was handed out at the Jan 2017 2X2 meeting re: Teacher/Staff Housing.

Do mind sending us the Quality Education Agenda that you referred to in our meeting?

Again, thanks for meeting with us. We look forward to working together. 😊

Alejandro

Alejandro Soto-Vigil
Senior Aide to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7102 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Asoto-vigil@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
<2017-0214 Increasing the parking permit guidelines for two BUSD schools ....docx><DOC020817-004.pdf>
Berkeley Federation of Teachers

Principles of a Quality Public Education

Our public schools belong to all of us: teachers, classified staff, administrators, students, families, community members. They are the foundation on which democracy is built, where all young people may learn to contribute to our civic society. They are the focal points of our communities: they welcome and bring together people of all backgrounds, races, genders, and classes. It is the responsibility of all of us to build the best possible public education system we can, one that honors the diversity of our community, that fights against systemic oppression including racism, sexism and classism, and that strives to help all our students rise to their full potential.

As stakeholders in our public education system we have created a vision for schools that meet the needs of all students and the community. This vision can help us prioritize the values that we collectively find important, and lead toward better partnerships focused on creating the best possible public education for students. A quality education is one that we build together.

Our Principles for Public Education:

Schools should be funded fairly, with resources going to where they are needed most.

Closing the achievement gap requires a commitment to equity across and within school sites. Resource allocation should reflect this commitment by providing our most struggling students the chance to access Berkeley’s educational opportunities.

- Ensure access to current technology, teaching materials and books for all classrooms.
- Provide additional resources for all students who need them.
- Maintain high quality facilities and outdoor space at all sites accessible to students and the community.
- Create a better day for students, teachers and families by offering before and after school intervention, summer programs, and enrichment classes.

Updated 11.3.14
In order to achieve goals like the 2020 Vision, schools must partner with families and community members.

The 2020 Vision is a blueprint for addressing the well-being and academic success for all children growing up in Berkeley. Berkeley teachers have played an integral role in its creation and implementation. Given how vital families are to children’s education, schools should be welcoming places for our families and should partner with them in the education process.

- Fund and support quality public preschool and Transitional Kindergarten programs for all students.
- Provide adequate, culturally responsive counselors, behavior specialists, nurses, social workers, and psychologists to all schools.
- Create representative community partnerships with families and build multiple systems for communication and involvement for families from diverse backgrounds.
- Create opportunities for parent education through diverse Adult School programs as well as and school site literacy and math events.
- Support bilingual parent communication and programs.

Public schools should meet the academic needs of all our students.

We are committed to the belief that all students can and should learn at high levels. All of our students deserve great curriculum, small class sizes, and cohesive structures to support their learning. Assessments are critical tools in improving lesson plans and framing instruction to meet students’ needs—as such, they should be tied to instruction and used to measure growth, not to punish students and teachers.

- Continue to support small class sizes and ratios of certificated professionals to students.
- Ensure equitable access to math curriculum that is rigorous, focused, and cohesive across grade levels and that gives students a strong foundation higher-level coursework.
- Support the full implementation of the BUSD Master Plan for English Language Learners.
- Emphasize literacy across all content areas.
- Use of a variety of formative and summative assessments in order to communicate student growth.

*Updated 11.3.14*
• Replace standardized testing with ongoing, teacher created, curriculum- and skill-based assessments. Assessment should be used only to inform and improve instruction, not to evaluate or punish students and teachers.

• Maximize the instructional day by minimizing non-teaching duties for teachers.

• Intervene with and support students who are not yet proficient, providing site teams of teachers, counselors, and staff within the school day.

• Implement culturally responsive curriculum and teaching strategies.

All schools should educate the whole child.

In order for our students to develop creativity, leadership, and critical thinking skills our public schools must continue to provide more than just reading and math instruction. We must educate the whole child.

• Give all students a broad curriculum that includes history, science, garden and nutrition, cooking, PE and the arts K-12.

• Include curriculum that teaches life skills like emotional intelligence, perseverance and resilience, like the Toolbox curriculum in K-8 sites.

• Implement Positive Behavior Instruction and Support (PBIS) as a proactive system that builds a safe and welcoming school environment for all.

• Implement culturally responsive and restorative discipline practices as alternatives to suspension.

Educators must be both respected and given the chance to improve their craft.

We believe educators should be honored and compensated fairly. Teachers and classified staff are our schools' greatest assets and should have both time for planning and collaboration and opportunities to deepen their professional learning in service to our students.

• Fund paid time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and provide meaningful feedback to students.

• Implement and support authentic Professional Learning Communities at all sites, including paid time to teachers to participate in them.

• Invest in high quality, on-going, professional development and for all staff at sites, classified and certificated.

• Evaluate teachers using constructive, consistent processes, including district-supported alternative evaluations.

Updated 11.3.14
Recruit, support, and retain more teachers of color.

Public education must be fully funded.

Education funding should reflect the real costs and importance of supporting and nurturing our young people, rather than budgetary convenience or political expediency. We must fight for the political will to fully fund an equitable public education system dedicated to success for every student.

- Finance schools fairly, in such a way that increases state money going to public education. California is currently ranked 49th in the nation in per pupil funding.
- Fight for progressive taxation and reform of Proposition 13.
- Support the parcel tax and use BSEP money to support all students in BUSD.

Corporate Education Reform works against our shared vision.

Over the last decade there has been a movement to privatize education driven by corporate leaders and politicians who are not educators and who subscribe to a profit- and competition-based approach. This free market model of reform has created high-stakes standardized testing and the disastrous system of “merit pay” that undermines a collaborative approach to teaching that serves all students. It has led to closed schools, charter school networks that do not seek to educate all children, and a narrowing of curriculum due to No Child Left Behind. Public schools are part of the public commons. Public education is not a business and should not be run like one. Equity and opportunity should be at the forefront of our public education system, not profit incentive and competition. While schools in Berkeley have so far been reasonably sheltered from such policies, teachers believe that those of us who serve in and are served by public schools must clearly articulate our principles for what schools should be and what they should do.

Updated 11/3/14

Updated 11.3.14
From: Arlie Hochschild <ahochsch@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 11:54 AM
To: 'Cynthia Papermaster'; 'Burnett Bob'; 'Becky O'Malley'; 'Lippman J.,George'; 'Bohn Diana'; Maio, Linda; 'Ben Bartlett'; Arreguin, Jesse L.; 'Harrison Kate'; Hahn, Sophie; Davila, Cheryl
Subject: RE: The Violent Clashes In Berkeley Weren't 'Pro- Trump' Versus 'Anti-Trump'

Dear Cynthia,

I applaud you. I am so happy to hear that you are calling for a forum on the Anti-fa violence. It may otherwise plague Berkeley and all our other progressive efforts.

Thank you!

Arlie

-----Original Message-----
From: Cynthia Papermaster [mailto:cynthia_papermaster@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 10:34 AM
To: Burnett Bob; Becky O'Malley; Lippman J.,George; Bohn Diana; Maio Linda; Ben Bartlett; ahochsch@berkeley.edu; Arreguin Jesse,L; Harrison Kate; Sophie Hahn; Cheryl Davila
Subject: The Violent Clashes In Berkeley Weren't 'Pro- Trump' Versus 'Anti-Trump'

This writer nailed it.
Our challenge is to stop the anti-fa from fighting the right wing, white supremacists in our streets.
In the media, the anti-da is portrayed as liberals, progressives, anarchists-- but nothing could be further from the truth! The fascist anti-fa should not be conflated with Berkeley or our community's values of upholding free speech.
I abhor what the right wing stands for, but I will work on the policy level to keep them in check. I won't throw things, scream at them or punch them to express my disagreements with them.
I'm calling for a community forum immediately to address this.
As I walked around Saturday I said "welcome to Berkeley" to Trump supporters. And then I engaged and extensive discussions with them not only about free-speech but about their values because I want to know who they are I want to see them as human beings. One benefit of that approach is that they will then see me as a human being, and someone whose values they might agree with if we could talk together.

Cynthia Papermaster


Sent from my iPhone= 
Estimado Santiago — it was good talking to you on the phone. Afterwards, from what you said, it struck me that your program for the Vernal Equinox of March 19 did not include the setting of sacred space by opening with the traditional indigenous invoking of the sacred directions. Was this an oversight?

abrazo — Rafael

¡Despierta! Awake!

On 3/7/17 6:45 PM, Santiago Casal wrote:

Hola Gera,
   All good questions. Jesse likes it. Invitation is for all who would like to come. Please give me a call so that we can process all this a bit rather than by email.

[Santiago]

Hola Santiago,

this all looks great! what has Jesse said?

Do you have any other supporters that could help bring hot chocolate or champirado? do we have a small budget for this?

wondering how that can support getting folks out.

what are your goals for numbers of folks in attendance and who is your target Audience? I do not want to impose and assume.

friends, activist peers, youth, families, all cultures?
im. asking our Danza circle again tonight not sure if it is worth coming all the way out for 10 mins Danza, it's all lot of energy but maybe.

and I echo Maestro Rafael thoughts about the urgency to generate unity as land ciousness in this fascist state...asllndnd I add, to generate inspiration asllndnd love together to boost us in our work forward.

I am asking my partner Vanessa, in feom Tx, steing leaderfrom the Peace and Dignity Journeys (Midwest route Alaska to Panama last year) to perhaps share a unity song somewhere in the program 4 minutes to balance the energy and inspire us.

Gera

On Mar 3, 2017 1:12 PM, "Santiago Casal" <varrio@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

Compañeros Rafael y Gera,

The announcement for the March 19th gathering is being sent out. It will appear in Berkeley side and Berkeley Times. Here is what we need to finalize:

Can we have a prayer danza at 7:15? (Gera’s great suggestion)
Do we want to serve hot chocolate etc?
A proposed order of speakers:
- myself and Lori Lambertson
- Poem etc: Rafael and Gera (maybe Gera could play his flute as people arrive???)
- Mayor Arreguin
- Prayer danza (Who do we contact?)(I do not have Adriana’s current contact info.)
  (How much time should be allow for the danza. It should probably start just before 7:15 with the first beat of the drum signalizing to turn our attention to the west)

Federico Chávez is likely to attend as well.

Cancellation in case of rain or wet conditions

Let me know your thinking on this.

Abrazos,

[Santiago]
510-600-9054
Jesse, we're quite angry about this latest piece of Trumpery. Do the City and BPD have a strategy in mind for dealing with possible violence and property destruction? The farmers should not have to lose Easter weekend profits because of these ultra creeps (both right- and left-wing). And we shouldn't have our public spaces taken over by people who come primarily to fight.

Best wishes,

Melanie Lawrence & John Smail
You're a leftist fascist inciting violence against conservative speakers in your miserable city? Why am I not surprised. Nice job "Mayor" representing your city.

Sent from my iPhone
To the Council,
I am appalled at the ineptitude of Councilman Worthington’s proposal to gut the GLA ordinance.
I attended the counsel meetings and spoke at 2 of them about the Eslami siege on Etna street.
Before the GLA went into effect our home was a living nightmare of screaming parties and insulting taunts at neighbor efforts to keep the peace.

Since the ordinance went into effect we have had 2 additional Etna St. houses occupied by far too many students (11 girls in the 3 bedroom mini dorm next to my home. 7 men in a small house down the street).

Yet because the residents had been informed of the GLA - there has been a very cooperative response from student tenants.

e.g. Last weekend we were grateful to be informed of a party 2 days ahead.
At 12:15 a.m. I called the resident student contact and asked that the many departing party people not congregate under our window for last goodbyes. Could they move to the street?

DONE! An actual kind response from a well intended Dormer! The kids shifted to the street instead of upping the decibel level with insulting comments about the old folks next door. Kris we have live here for over 30 years. We will not be driven from our home.

Come on Kris - You’re doing a Trump maneuver.
Break what works so you can feel Big.
Plus all that young attention and their votes -Good fun.

Give us a break and keep what’s good - We like the University setting and the young student energy. We do not like your proposal to throw us - yet again- at the mercy of predatory landlords and students kept in the dark about their social responsibilities.

Hellen Cullander
2515 Etna Street
Berkeley
Honorable mayor, council members:

Please see my attached letter.

Sincerely,
Raj Sahai
March 5, 2017

Berkeley City Council
2180 Milvia Street,
Berkeley, CA 94704

Honorable Mayor, Honorable Council Members:

I own the next to the proposed 6 story project by Realtex, the Real Estate speculator. In my property located at 1942 Russell Street, which I have owned along with my wife for the past 15 years, there are 4 units, one of which is occupied by my disabled sister dependent on SSI, all of the other tenants also are of modest income. I am opposed to the presently proposed project for the following reasons:

1. It is too tall, will dominate the neighborhood, take natural light and privacy away from our property and others.

2. It removes many more affordable rent controlled units than is allowing for in the proposed building.

3. It will bring high-tech well paid young persons who will be San Francisco employees who will have little or no interest in the neighborhood.

4. To be able to afford $3,000 to $4,000 per month rent the new occupants will be of income group of $9,000 to $12,000 per month young professionals, which along with the Parker & Shattuck Streets apartments, will raise cost of products and services in the neighborhood, and which in turn will drive out the low income people who live in this neighborhood.

5. Parking is difficult as it is now. This project will add to parking problems. Parking for at least 75% of the Market rate housing units should be required in the proposed building.

Accordingly, I request this project as proposed not be approved and the council ask Realtex to work with an established reputable non-profit low income residential developer to create a new design not exceeding 5 stories that will create low income units which consist of a minimum of 33% of the total number of units and increased parking. In addition, the developer be required to contribute from the rents a certain percentage on monthly basis for the common benefit of the neighborhood, which will suffer loss of sunlight, air, quietness, friendliness, less expensive and less crowded shopping of necessities nearby, congestion, etc. from this development.

City of Berkeley is a progressive community. I hope the council will live up to this heritage.

Sincerely,

Raj Sahai
Owner of 1942 Russell Street
email: rsahai1987@gmail.com; tele:415/715-7484
Dear Councilmember Maio,

I am one of many Berkeley residents who is growing increasingly concerned about the repeated right wing rallies coming to Berkeley and the riots that ensue. It has become a frequent topic of conversation on how residents and the City of Berkeley itself can respond to these rallies. The rallies are scheduled by out of town right wing advocates who know that Black Bloc antifascists will come and use violence to shut their rally down. All participants come expecting violence and the events quickly devolve in to street fights.

Now that Ann Coulter is planning to come to UC Berkeley and Milo Yiannopoulos is threatening to return to Berkeley for a sit in to protest free speech, concerned citizens want to know how the City of Berkeley and its residents should respond so that these events stop. Berkeley residents have to bear the frustration of watching the city cancel our regular activities, like the Saturday farmers market, to accommodate out of town thugs who have no interest in our community. People are frustrated with the situation and they are looking for leadership so that we all can address these out of town interlopers as a community.

Can you outline the procedures that the City of Berkeley is taking so that our community can come together to stop these disruptive and upsetting incursions into our community?

Thank you,
Margaret Tormey
From: Stephanie Thompson <sasysdwch@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 1:00 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Recourse for recovering additional costs of police and cleanup for Ann Coulter visit

As a long-time resident, taxpayer and homeowner in Berkeley I want to know who is going to cover the cost of the additional police, emergency services and clean-up to city property damage as a result of Ann Coulter’s upcoming visit. I work long hours and struggle some years to pay my property taxes which include over $6,000 in special parcel taxes alone. I have no problem with this money going towards services provided to for the normal course of events and situations and I support all of our emergency services personnel. However I do have a problem with transient inhabitants of the city, who are not paying taxes here by the way, using OUR emergency services and resources for their inflammatory purposes. I attended UCB and sometimes there were special guests who’s notoriety required that we not advertise their appearance to the general public and that we not announce the visit until close the the scheduled date for safety reasons. I find the manner in which the young republican club has handled this upcoming event and prior ones to be extremely irresponsible and reckless with an utter disregard for the safety of their peers and the citizens of Berkeley. Public safety should take precedence over free speech and I believe at least one recent precedent was set when a San Jose high school banned white students from wearing American flag shirts on Cinco de Mayo. Public safety is not the only issue though. These events cause hardship on the daily lives of people living and working in Berkeley. When an event like this occurs, I can't go anywhere, the helicopters keep me up all night so that I can't go to work the next day and I experience fear and anxiety when mobs form and move around the City while destroying property and physically attacking people. I'm tired of people's lives being put on hold and at risk as a direct result of the young republicans club's reckless and wanton behavior in regards to the manner in which they have handled their events. I demand to know who will have to pay for all of the additional costs incurred by the City and who I can make a claim against if I am caused to miss work due to lack of sleep. The City and the University are the parties allowing these events to happen, so I feel the City should know which parties will be financially accountable.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Thompson

Sent from my iPhone
From: Monica Woodworth <woodworthmo@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 7:03 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: regarding Urban Shield

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Monica Woodworth and I am a concerned resident. I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Sincerely, and in community,
Monica Woodworth
From: Douglas Munson <atomiclongitude@earthlink.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 6:04 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: regarding your support for violence against speakers you disagree with

Mr. Mayor:

- I support Ms. Anne Coulter’s right to speak, though I may not agree with it.
- I also plan to attend to listen to what she has to say – I have an open mind, unlike you.

Warning: if you, your office and the BPD takes action to lessen police protection (stand down orders) because you do not agree with her speech, and I am injured, I hold you personally responsible and will initiate legal action aimed at you and not your office. I may not eventually win such judgement, but I will guarantee I will cost you significant personal time and significant $. I will continue my legal action for an extended period. I have done this before when I see injustice and will relish doing it again.

Your web site states “....creating a Berkeley which works for everyone”...that includes those who you disagree with.

Finally, if you are so concerned about creating a sustainable future for Berkeley, then lose some weight – by the looks of you, you are eating for 3 people...why not lose some chubby and give that food to the poor homeless people on your streets? Walk the walk, fatboy.

Leonard Weiner

Virus-free. www.avast.com
Catalyst Project
522 Valencia St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

April 21, 2017

Dear City Councilmember,

We understand that at your April 25 meeting, you will consider withdrawing the City of Berkeley’s participation in Urban Areas Security Initiative programs, particular its participation in the notorious Urban Shield weapons exposition and militarized SWAT training. Our organization is a center for education and organizing for racial justice. As such, we are committed to doing whatever we can to diminish the militarization of police and of emergency response in Berkeley. We support you in reconsidering Berkeley’s relationship with the Department of Homeland Security’s UASI program.

We support Berkeley as a sanctuary city, and we believe the city’s participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield do not match our community’s values. As you know UASI programs like Urban Shield perpetuate racist and xenophobic stereotypes and increase trauma in communities already suffering under the massive number of police killings, militarized SWAT raids and sweeps, and surveillance technologies, and are further eroding already fragile community–police relationships.

Urban Shield is a clear example of how our community’s real needs for impactful disaster and emergency response are being exploited and traded away for increased militarization. In these times, you can understand our grave concern regarding this issue. Alameda County’s sheriff has spent considerable tax-payer dollars trying to convince our communities’ elected officials that these Department of Homeland Security-funded programs are essential to training and coordinating our cities’ first responders. We do not support programs that train first responders and law enforcement officials to see the people who live in our communities as their greatest threat, and we do not support increasing the use of militarized weaponry and tactics in everyday law enforcement.
It is shameful to us that since Berkeley started participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield, the lion’s share of funding that could be supporting emergency preparedness in the Bay Area has been poured into increasing the militarization of local law enforcement. As you can see from the county’s own reporting, in 2016 nearly $5 million of the $6 million granted by UASI to Alameda County went toward “Enhanced Homeland Security Exercises, Evaluations, and Training Programs” while *not a single dollar* was designated to the “Medical and Public Health”, “Emergency Planning and Community Preparedness” or “Recovery” areas of that federal grant. The over-emphasis on military-type training, using clearly xenophobic fear-mongering justifications, has had troubling and potential dangerous impact on our city’s emergency response priorities.

At the same time that we are extremely concerned about Berkeley’s relationship with UASI, and vehemently against its participation in Urban Shield, we are eager to help make a change. While we join the majority of fellow residents in raising our voices against any local implementation of the xenophobic and divisive programs and policies of Trump administration, we are ready to seize this time to uplift the creativity and inclusiveness our city is known for. We are excited to support the council and Berkeley’s underappreciated first responders and public health providers, along with any other local or county agency to develop effective, rigorous, and inclusive emergency response planning and training best suited for our city and its residents. We appreciate your strong stance on this issue and your bold steps that reflect the city of Berkeley’s progressive values.

Sincerely,

Donna Willmott,

Catalyst Project
Catalyst Project  
522 Valencia St.  
San Francisco, CA 94110  

April 21, 2017  

Dear City Councilmember,  

We understand that at your April 25 meeting, you will consider withdrawing the City of Berkeley’s participation in Urban Areas Security Initiative programs, particular its participation in the notorious Urban Shield weapons exposition and militarized SWAT training. Our organization is a center for education and organizing for racial justice. As such, we are committed to doing whatever we can to diminish the militarization of police and of emergency response in Berkeley. We support you in reconsidering Berkeley's relationship with the Department of Homeland Security’s UASI program.  

We support Berkeley as a sanctuary city, and we believe the city’s participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield do not match our community’s values. As you know UASI programs like Urban Shield perpetuate racist and xenophobic stereotypes and increase trauma in communities already suffering under the massive number of police killings, militarized SWAT raids and sweeps, and surveillance technologies, and are further eroding already fragile community–police relationships.  

Urban Shield is a clear example of how our community’s real needs for impactful disaster and emergency response are being exploited and traded away for increased militarization. In these times, you can understand our grave concern regarding this issue. Alameda County’s sheriff has spent considerable taxpayer dollars trying to convince our communities’ elected officials that these Department of Homeland Security-funded programs are essential to training and coordinating our cities’ first responders. We do not support programs that train first responders and law enforcement officials to see the people who live in our communities as their greatest threat, and we do not support increasing the use of militarized weaponry and tactics in everyday law enforcement.  

It is shameful to us that since Berkeley started participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield, the lion’s share of funding that could be supporting emergency preparedness in the Bay Area has been poured into increasing the militarization of local law enforcement. As you can see from the county’s own reporting, in 2016 nearly $5 million of the $6 million granted by UASI to Alameda County went toward “Enhanced Homeland Security Exercises, Evaluations, and Training Programs” while not a single dollar was designated to the “Medical and Public Health”, “Emergency Planning and Community Preparedness” or “Recovery” areas of that federal grant. The over-emphasis on military-type training, using clearly xenophobic fear-mongering justifications, has had troubling and potential dangerous impact on our city’s emergency response priorities.  

At the same time that we are extremely concerned about Berkeley's relationship with UASI, and vehemently against its participation in Urban Shield, we are eager to help make a change. While we join the majority of fellow residents in raising our voices against any local implementation of the xenophobic and divisive programs and policies of Trump administration, we are ready to seize this time to uplift the creativity and inclusiveness our city is known for. We are excited to support the council and Berkeley’s underappreciated first responders and public health providers, along with any other local or county agency to develop effective, rigorous, and inclusive emergency response planning and training best suited for our city and its residents. We appreciate your strong stance on this issue and your bold steps that reflect the city of Berkeley’s progressive values.
Sincerely,

Donna Willmott,
Catalyst Project
From: Clyde Leland <clydeleland@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 12:12 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Reject Urban Shield

Dear Mayor Arreguin;

As a Berkeley resident, I am asking you to reject the Urban Shield Program and the Urban Area Securities Initiative that goes with it when the council votes on April 25.

The militarization of law enforcement is a serious problem nationwide. Police given assault weapons and vehicles and outfitted in military protection understandably begin to think they are at war with the community they’re supposed to protect.

Berkeley police have behaved laudably in the recent "riots" initiated by the racist alt-right. Affirm that behavior by acknowledging that they don’t need scarier, lethal weapons. More important, Berkeley must let Trump’s Homeland Security Department know that we will not have our values compromised by free stuff. Please reject Urban Shield.

Thank you,
Clyde Leland
1416 Milvia Street
Dear Berkeley Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge you to replace two of the members of the Berkeley Library Board of Trustees.

The accusation that this is a "political" move because the members in question are allied with political opponents of current members of the City Council can easily be turned around: Previous City Council members did not hold their political favorites on the BOLT accountable despite their egregious failure to exercise adequate oversight of library operations and their blatantly undemocratic behavior.

The library, like the rest of the city government, is supposed to be accountable to the citizens of Berkeley. The delegation of authority to a board to run the library, or to a city manager to run other departments, does not change that fact. When things go seriously wrong, the buck stops with you, the members of the City Council.

The list of things that are seriously wrong is substantial:

- They have failed to honor a two year old commitment to place a sign renaming one of the branches. One might view this failure as "political" as well, in that board members perhaps do not view African-Americans as a constituency they need be concerned with.

- The BOLT members who are being considered for dismissal violated the city charter in their attempts to manipulate the vote for president of the board. And, if they had succeeded in their attempt to use a secret ballot for that election, it would have been a blatant violation of both state law and the democratic process.

- When 53 of the 113 full and part time employees of the library submitted a petition accusing one of the managers of abuse of authority, BOLT's response was to allow management to pursue an investigation of employees and ignore any evidence of managerial misconduct.

- They continued to ignore evidence of managerial misconduct despite possible illegal actions by library management in the course of its investigation. The Board has shown no inclination to look into assertions by the ACLU that library administrators violated the free speech rights of employees. Management also violated state law by demanding that employees allow library administrators to examine the contents of their personal cell phones.

- Board members have been arrogantly dismissive of community members who have expressed their concerns about the library's collection development policies. The library seems intent on retaining only the most popular material and throwing out the rest. This policy undermines one of the key functions of the library as a resource for free inquiry necessary for strong democratic communities. It also disproportionately affects minority groups whose interests, by definition, are less likely to be the most popular.
As elected officials, I would like each of you to consider what your response would be if for over two years, your constituents kept appearing at your community meetings angry that you are not addressing their concerns. That is what has been happening at the BOLT meetings. I know. I've been there.

In view of all of this, it is ironic that some people characterize the proposal to remove BOLT members as "Trumpian." The Trump administration has been deeply hostile to labor rights and minority groups, and perhaps even more deeply hostile to intellectual integrity and meaningful civic engagement. Most worrisome of all, the hallmark of the Trump administration has been its attempt to replace democratic accountability with authoritarian rule.

Trump famously said that he could "stand in the middle of 5th Avenue and shoot somebody" and people would vote for him anyway. The members of BOLT seem to think they are equally untouchable. I sincerely hope you prove them wrong.

Jeffrey Kaplan
Berkeley
Hi Mayor Arreguin,

I am preparing a story for Breitbart News on your relationship with the By Any Means Necessary activist group that has a strong presence in Berkeley.

https://wearechange.org/berkeley-mayor-member-militant-leftist-group-orchestrates-riots/

What is the nature of your relationship with By Any Means Necessary and Yvette Felarca? Do you have a personal relationship with Ms. Felarca?

Do you condone the violence that this group has brought to your city?

If there is anything else I should be aware of, please let me know.

Warmly,
Tom Ciccotta
Breitbart News
From: sikorakt@aol.com  
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 1:21 PM  
To: All Council  
Subject: Resolution of Impeachment  

Honorable Mayor and Council Members:

I am a long-time resident of Berkeley residing in District 1. I respectfully request the Berkeley City Council to vote unanimously to impeach President Donald Trump and request our Representative to introduce a resolution to start an impeachment investigation for violations of the foreign and domestic corruption (emoluments) clauses of the U.S. Constitution. These are current, ongoing, significant, and blatant violations of the rule of law. By not acting now, we are normalizing Trump’s defiance of the Constitution and risking that should there be a national crisis of some sort, Congress will be more reluctant to impeach the sitting President, even in the face of overwhelming proof of his corruption. And, the longer he stays, the more likely a crisis will occur and the more damage he will do to our environment, our democracy, and our international standing. Richmond and Alameda have already passed a resolution to this effect. I hope Berkeley is next. Thank you.

Kathleen Sikora (684-7333)
From: Richard Behiel <richardbehiel@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 4:47 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Response

Hi there,

You are a traitor to this country, and your BAMN movement will fall utterly flat. You seem to have a delusional idea of who Americans are and where we are taking our country.

The best thing you can possibly do for our country and for your community is to step down as mayor. Go fuck yourself. Take whatever honor you think you have and shove it up your ass, you pathetic piece of failed potential.

Sincerely,

Richard Behiel, American patriot
Dear Mayor,

Who do I contact besides yourself to report a major complaint regarding your police department and the riots that took place this Easter weekend in your town?

It was unbelievable to witness the BPD sitting in their squad cars not out protecting the citizens as the civil war broke out in your streets. A similar story to any kind of free speech event in your town. Berkeley has been well-known as the helm of 'free speech' and now it is the exact opposite. What has happened to your town? It is so disappointing to witness this kind of behavior and it is making your town a disgrace.

When are you and your counsel members and police department going to stand up for our first amendment right? Your town is being splashed all over the news for the world to see the downfall of the agenda of your town.

As a parent, it is very telling about what you stand for. My child wanted to attend your school but I would never allow it, not after witnessing the events of Milo’s fiasco and the police stand down orders as we witnessed local businesses and the campus being raided and burned by thugs.

Please have a backbone and do the right thing. If you don’t, your town could suffer blowback, as parents watch this unfold on TV. I am not the only parent that has vowed our children will not be attending your school, due to the incompetency of the police to protect our children.

This is America, the home of the brave as well as the right to free speech!

Kelly Ferguson
Sharing my op-ed from today’s S.F. Chronicle:

Trump budget would make America dirty and sick again

By David Lewis

Photo: Carlos Avila Gonzalez, The Chronicle

IMAGE 1 OF 3 Right: Annual Coastal Cleanup Days have brought attention and action to the trash that affects wetlands such as Damon Slough near Interstate 880 in Oakland.

President Trump’s budget proposal is a direct assault on our health and safety. The enormous cuts he is proposing to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and other departments will hurt people and the planet by gutting enforcement of laws that protect the water we drink, the air we breathe and the environment that sustains us.
How many voters last year asked for more smoggy skies and fouled water, for less enforcement of criminal pollution and faster climate change? It’s doubtful most Trump voters want that, but his budget sides with polluter interests and climate deniers — not with us.

The EPA has a huge responsibility but a tiny budget. Out of every $10 in federal taxes, just two cents goes to the EPA. Cutting the EPA’s budget by 31 percent would not save much money, but it would cost a lot in lives, in lost productivity from illness and in pollution damage to crucial resources such as San Francisco Bay.

The bay is our region’s greatest natural treasure, the heart of our economy and quality of life. It took enormous effort to return the bay to health from near-death 40 years ago, when it was choked with garbage, sewage and industrial waste. The Clean Water Act and the EPA helped build treatment plants in the 1970s that made the bay’s beaches safe and its waters swimmable again. Harbor porpoises have even returned to the bay.

As the Bay Area keeps growing, we need more federal investment, not less, to combat the impacts of climate change, fresh water diversion and polluted storm water pouring unfiltered off streets into the bay.

Bay Area voters agreed to tax themselves in last year’s Measure AA to accelerate shoreline wetlands restoration that’s mostly within a federal wildlife refuge. The federal government should match our investment, yet Trump’s budget would zero out EPA’s $5 million program that protects marsh habitat and reduces pollution in the bay.

And the cuts go much deeper. The Bay Area environment is not a bubble. We’re connected to the rest of California and the nation, where the EPA’s programs have made people and wildlife healthier and safer. Agency warnings about threats to fish species and water quality in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta are forcing a rewrite of Gov. Jerry Brown’s California Water Fix. The EPA’s Clean Air Act enforcement reduced smog from Los Angeles to Pittsburgh and forced safer drinking water in cities nationwide. To avoid more health crises like the tragedy in Flint, Mich., we need a stronger EPA, not budget cuts that slash enforcement.

The EPA identifies and cleans up toxic waste at Superfund sites, including more than 50 in the Bay Area. Its Toxics Release Inventory publishes data online so we know the pollution risks in our backyards. It is on the front lines of addressing the climate change that is already hurting our health, natural resources and economy.

The EPA has helped cut global warming gases from U.S. power plants, factories and cars, and its energy efficiency standards have reduced our consumption of fossil fuels. While Trump and his Cabinet deny facts and ignore science, the EPA is required by law to limit the carbon emissions that are cooking the planet. But that takes resources and staff that Trump would cut.

We must tell Congress to reject reckless budget cuts to environmental protection. Every mayor and city council member must echo that call. Our governor and state Legislature must keep their pledge to enforce laws if the federal government does relax its efforts, and fund enforcement of those laws. Trump’s budget would make America dirty and sick again, and nobody who breathes or drinks should stand for it.

David Lewis is the executive director of Save the Bay. Learn more at www.savesfbay.org.

See Blue…and Be Inspired!
Mr Mayor,

You should be absolutely ashamed of the way your police department handled the Pro-Trump rally in Berkeley. The videos of the police sitting back while people are being attacked is very disturbing. Is it a normal practice for police officers in Berkeley California to sit back and just watch while peaceful citizens are victimized? I think you should be absolutely ashamed at how your police department handled that rally. It is a very sad day when peaceful citizens are being injured in front of the police while they sit and watch. I really hope you do something about this.

With Great Regret,

Robert McCallum
Lori/Kriss/Jesse:

Hi!

I am very worried about the safety of students leaving Willard (including mine) on Thursday during the Ann Coulter drama which starts at 2pm on Thursday on Sproul Plaza.

Is Berkeley PD planning to have a presence at Willard and the surrounding blocks as the kids leave school? As you know, they are not bussed to/from school.
From: Kristin <anundsen@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 11:47 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Saturday

At the pro-Trump rally in Berkeley on Saturday the 15th, can we be assured that the Berkeley police will for once do their job and immediately arrest anyone who (a) appears to be carrying any kind of weapon and/or (b) is wearing a face mask? Are there any other plans to assure public safety and defuse the anarchists? Should the anti-Trump people be encouraged to go to San Francisco and demonstrate peacefully at their own rally?
Kristin Anundsen
From: Jonathan Feingold <jonfeingold11@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:10 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Subject: Say no to Urban Shield

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Jonathan Feingold and I am a member of Showing Up for Racial Justice Bay Area. I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Feingold
From: Sophie Hahn <sophiehahn@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, March 03, 2017 6:37 AM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L; Greenwood, Andrew
Subject: SF chron lists berk trump rally in the paper!

Not helpful. You should ask chron not to list events that do not have permits!


This message was sent via sfchronicle.com.

Sent from my iPad. Please excuse typos.
California Penal Code Section 185 PC: Wearing Mask Or Disguise To Evade Police

1. Definition and Elements of the Crime
While wearing masks or disguises is not usually illegal, if someone wears a mask or disguise to avoid being detected by the police he or she could be charged with a criminal offense. Under California Penal Code Section 185 PC, it is unlawful to wear a mask or disguise in order to evade th

WHY DID YOU NOT ARREST ALL THE ANTIFA THUGS?

Darlene Savord
714-277-0567
From: Joseph Schuchter <joeschuchter@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 2:33 PM
To: Harrison, Kate
Cc: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; BPD Webmail
Subject: silence Trump supporters by protecting them

Dear Kate,

I am in your district, on Spaulding Avenue.

I just learned about the Trump rally near MLK park tomorrow. As a city leader, I hope you will be present tomorrow to prevent counter-protestors from crossing the line from peaceful. We all know that the objective of the Trump group is to incite counter-protestors to violence, for all of the world to see. Please, let’s not let that happen.

As much as I despise the message of the so-called Patriots, I hope you will do your best to protect them. I DO NOT want to see a repeat of what happened with Milo at UC Berkeley. What happened there is exactly what Milo wanted. UC admin, students, and police let the black bloc and outsiders engage in violence, and the media didn’t bother to clarify that those extremists were any different from your average Berkeley student or citizen, for that matter. The rest of the nation is then led to believe just how hypocritical Berkeley is regarding free speech. We fell into their trap, and allowed the right-wing extremists to win.

We can't let that happen again!

The best outcome tomorrow is that the Trump group goes unnoticed, because Berkeley realizes how pitiful they are and simply leaves them alone.

Thanks,

Joe
From: Keri Boland <boland.keri@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 6:30 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Stop the wall

Kudos to you and to Berkeley for your refusal to do business with those who engage in construction of the wall!

Do any websites that list the names of companies who support Trump's policies exist? Boycotting businesses will be one of the most efficient way to chip away at those who support hate-inspired actions and speech. If a comprehensive site doesn't already exist, someone should create one.
Dear Council Member,

My name is Lisa Windes and I am a concerned Bay Area resident. I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thank you for your time,
Lisa Windes
My name is Sabiha Basrai and I am a member of the Alliance of South Asians Taking Action and current resident of Berkeley. I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.
hi  

april 17, 2017

heard your talk on kpfa this morning.
support your work: stopurbanshield.org
we need to terminate the american fascist police state
and drive homeland security, the tsa and their militarized police out of our state forever.

regards

jonas the prophet
santa cruz

jonah7.neocities.org

cc: mayors, berkeley, santa cruz
My name is Lorraine Lerman and I am a Berkeley resident. I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thank you.
To Mayor Jesse Arreguín,

Shame, shame, shame on you for supporting the end of free speech and social discourse. You must be so full of yourself to act so recklessly (as if someone is paying you???) but the media is commenting on how you and the college are making fools of yourself by limiting free speech. I believe this is not going to be a good move for you politically as the whole world is watching. I am not even a Republican but I am counting on Ann Coulter making her speech and having the world watch you order your cops to stand down while thugs destroy property and assault people. You are revealing your very soft white underbelly and even Bill Maher has joined the chorus of voices asking just what are you thinking?

Lucy A. Fischer
Dear Mayor Jesse Arreguin,

I am writing about Sunday’s Trump rally at MLK park. I was on my way to the YMCA when I stopped by the park, curious to see what was happening. Almost immediately I was nearly hit by a thrown quarter stick of dynamite that exploded loudly in front of me. I turned around to see a couple dozen police officers standing closely by and their reaction was non-plussed. I went on to witness many more objects being thrown including glass bottles and concrete. I then saw an unbelievable amount of scuffling, brawling, assaults and punches thrown within a few feet of dozens of police officers with no reaction from them. When pleaded with to do something about the mayhem, the police merely stood silent. I then saw a half dozen bloodied faces and many more citizens being pepper-sprayed. These victims were being attended to by volunteers on the street and grass around the park. I saw blood on the streets of my city with city safety workers nearby doing little or nothing to respond to it. I am a longtime Berkeley city resident, a tax-payer, a homeowner, a father, a teacher and a regular voter. What I saw appalled me and the reaction of city workers being well compensated by us to provide safety was minimal. The reaction of city government officials praising the work of the many officers mostly standing around with arms crossed while all this was happening was equally appalling. I realize this is a complicated and difficult situation but clearly more needed to be done for this city not to earn a reputation as a place to come for a supervised street fight club. Remarks were made that bystanders were not hurt, but even if true, it was not safe for anyone near that park on Sunday, even with the many, many police officers being paid to provide just that. I expect Berkeley to do better next time. And a next time will come.

Sincerely yours,

John Prizmich

1616 Fairview St.

Berkeley, Ca., 94703

jackprizmich@gmail.com

"Words have the power to both destroy and heal. When words are both true and kind, they can change our world." -- Buddha
From:    Sophia Simon-Ortiz <sophia.simonortiz@gmail.com>
Sent:    Tuesday, March 14, 2017 5:47 PM
To:      Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: Support for ending collaborations under UASI and participation in NCRIC

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Sophia Simon-Ortiz and I am a lifelong Berkeley resident (raised in West Berkeley, now resident of South Berkeley). I'm writing to support the City of Berkeley in continuing to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

**We specifically urge that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.**

Berkeley has a long history of standing firmly on its values of civil liberties, human dignity and social justice and putting protections in place to reduce attacks on Berkeley’s most vulnerable residents, workers, students and visitors as well as those who exercise their right to free speech and protest.

My parents met in the Sanctuary Movement in the early 80's here in Berkeley. Our on-going commitment to remaining a Sanctuary City (going back to 1971) and the anti-registry resolution up for discussion tonight are examples of this continued commitment.

Collaboration with various law enforcement programs under UASI and NCRIC represents a departure from what the Berkeley I know stands for. Both leave Berkeley’s most vulnerable residents exposed to surveillance, racial profiling and militarized violence by the police and federal agencies.

Thank you for your time and commitment to the health and protection of Berkeley residents,

Sophia
Dear Dr. Evans and Ms. Leyva-Cutler,

I write this letter in support of Ms. Yvette Felarca, a middle school teacher in Berkeley Unified School District (BUSD hereafter), who was targeted for administrative discipline after her participation in the protest against the neo-Nazi/neo-fascist/white nationalist rally on the steps of the State Capital in Sacramento on June 26, 2016, and who is again the target of a concerted campaign by right wing internet activists to remove her from the classroom after a series of interviews she gave defending the protestors who prevented “Alt-Right” pundit Milo Yiannopoulos from Speaking at UC Berkeley on February 1, 2017.

The rally Ms. Felarca protested against in Sacramento in June of 2016 was organized by Mr. Matthew Heimbach, who is one of the most outspoken and notorious openly neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, and white nationalist activists in the United State. The group he founded that organized the rally on June 26th, the Traditionalist Workers Party (TWP hereafter), is an openly neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist group that seeks to create a coalition of neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, neo-Confederate and white nationalist organizations across North America and Europe. On June 26th members of the TWP joined with members of the Golden State Skinheads (GSS hereafter) on the steps of the State Capital to advocate for a neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist revolution to seize power in the United State and institute a fascist apartheid white nationalist State in its place. The GSS are an openly neo-Nazi skinhead criminal street gang who have a documented history of perpetrating racist hate crimes and violence against people of color, women and immigrants. Both groups are classified as hate groups by the Southern Poverty Law Center, which investigates and tracks racist hate groups across the United States. When the TWP and GSS were confronted by protestors on June 26th, Ms. Felarca among them, TWP and GSS members unleashed deadly violence against the protestors, stabbing, beating and bludgeoning protestors with knives and blunt weapons, resulting in serious injuries to 9 protestors including Ms. Felarca.

In the months following her participation in the protest, Ms. Felarca and her students were subjected to a litany of disciplinary and investigative procedures including but not limited to: censure, garnishment of pay, removal from the classroom and interrogation of her students without parental permission. Even more troubling, the students who were pulled out of class to be interrogated were overwhelmingly first and second generation immigrants who were seemingly chosen because they are a particularly vulnerable marginalized population in order to intimidate them into providing some kind of evidence against Ms. Felarca. As a faculty member of California State University, Los Angeles I denounce this McCarthyist political persecution of Ms. Felarca and her students in the strongest possible terms and demand that no further attempts be made to remove her from her classroom, dock her pay, and/or discipline her in any way.

On February 13, 2017, Ms. Felarca was interviewed by conservative pundit Tucker Carlson on Fox News in which she defended the actions taken by protestors to prevent the speech by “Alt-Right” pundit Milo Yiannopoulos that was scheduled at UC Berkeley by the student group Berkeley College Republicans.
Berkeley ultimately cancelled the speech as a result of the protests. In the past week, two interviews with Mr. Yiannopoulos have been released in which he defends pedophilia and child rape, which strongly support Ms. Felarca’s position that Mr. Yiannopoulos should not be allowed to speak in public to promote racism, xenophobia, misogyny, transphobia and pedophilia. In response to her interview on Fox News and activities as a leader and spokesperson in the modern anti-fascist civil rights movement, right wing internet activists have made demands and threats aimed at having Ms. Felarca removed from the classroom and terminated from her employment in the BUSD.

By pursuing disciplinary action against Ms. Felarca for her political activities and participation in the protest on June 26th, the District imprudently indicated its support for the contemporary neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist movement in the United States. Condoning and supporting the racist, fascist, xenophobic politics of the TWP and GSS by punishing Ms. Felarca for protesting against them is absolutely deplorable and reprehensible conduct on the part of the Berkeley Unified School District and the City of Berkeley. The prior persecution of Ms. Felarca and her students places the District and the City of Berkeley squarely in support of racist, fascist, xenophobic hate groups like the TWP and GSS, and any further disciplinary procedures resulting from her statements in defense of the UC Berkeley protestors would only further cement the appearance of District support for the neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist movement in the United States, as well as its pedophilic spokesman Milo Yiannopoulos. This egregious political persecution is a stain on the reputation of the City of Berkeley and I implore the District in the strongest terms to reject the efforts of right wing activists to induce the District to discipline, punish or terminate Ms. Felarca. The District should be proud to have one of the most courageous leaders of the modern civil rights movement among its faculty and would be better advised to name a school after her in honor of her civil rights activism at it did the school she teaches at, rather than subject her to any discipline, punishment and/or attempt to terminate her from employment in BUSD.

Sincerely,

Robert Donald Weide PhD
Assistant Professor
Department of Sociology
California State University, Los Angeles

Faculty Advisor
Lambda Alpha Epsilon
American Criminal Justice Association
MU Chapter CSULA
February 20, 2017

Robert Donald Weide PhD
Assistant Professor
Department of Sociology
California State University, Los Angeles
5151 State University Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90032
rweide@calstateLA.edu

Mayor Tom Bates
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
mayor@cityofberkeley.info

Dr. Donald Evans
Superintendent, Berkeley Unified School District
2020 Bonar Street, Suite 322
Berkeley, CA 94702
superintendent@berkeley.net

Ms. Beatriz Leyva-Cutler
President, Board of Education
Berkeley Unified School District
2020 Bonar Street, Suite 202
Berkeley, CA 94702
boardofed@berkeley.net

Dear Dr. Evans and Ms. Leyva-Cutler,

I write this letter in support of Ms. Yvette Felarca, a middle school teacher in Berkeley Unified School District (BUSD hereafter), who was targeted for administrative discipline after her participation in the protest against the neo-Nazi/neo-fascist/white nationalist rally on the steps of the State Capital in Sacramento on June 26, 2016, and who is again the target of a concerted campaign by right wing internet activists to remove her from the classroom after a series of interviews she gave defending the protestors who prevented “Alt-Right” pundit Milo Yiannopoulos from Speaking at UC Berkeley on February 1, 2017.

The rally Ms. Felarca protested against in Sacramento in June of 2016 was organized by Mr. Matthew Heimbach, who is one of the most outspoken and notorious openly neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, and white nationalist activists in the United State. The group he founded that organized the rally on June 26th, the Traditionalist Workers Party (TWP hereafter), is an openly neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist group that seeks to create a coalition of neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, neo-
Confederate and white nationalist organizations across North America and Europe. On June 26th members of the TWP joined with members of the Golden State Skinheads (GSS hereafter) on the steps of the State Capital to advocate for a neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist revolution to seize power in the United State and institute a fascist apartheid white nationalist State in its place. The GSS are an openly neo-Nazi skinhead criminal street gang who have a documented history of perpetrating racist hate crimes and violence against people of color, women and immigrants. Both groups are classified as hate groups by the Southern Poverty Law Center, which investigates and tracks racist hate groups across the United States. When the TWP and GSS were confronted by protestors on June 26th, Ms. Felarca among them, TWP and GSS members unleashed deadly violence against the protestors, stabbing, beating and bludgeoning protestors with knives and blunt weapons, resulting in serious injuries to 9 protestors including Ms. Felarca.

In the months following her participation in the protest, Ms. Felarca and her students were subjected to a litany of disciplinary and investigative procedures including but not limited to: censure, garnishment of pay, removal from the classroom and interrogation of her students without parental permission. Even more troubling, the students who were pulled out of class to be interrogated were overwhelmingly first and second generation immigrants who were seemingly chosen because they are a particularly vulnerable marginalized population in order to intimidate them into providing some kind of evidence against Ms. Felarca. As a faculty member of California State University, Los Angeles I denounce this McCarthyist political persecution of Ms. Felarca and her students in the strongest possible terms and demand that no further attempts be made to remove her from her classroom, dock her pay, and/or discipline her in any way.

On February 13, 2017, Ms. Felarca was interviewed by conservative pundit Tucker Carlson on Fox News in which she defended the actions taken by protestors to prevent the speech by “Alt-Right” pundit Milo Yiannopoulos that was scheduled at UC Berkeley by the student group Berkeley College Republicans. UC Berkeley ultimately cancelled the speech as a result of the protests. In the past week, two interviews with Mr. Yiannopoulos have been released in which he defends pedophilia and child rape, which strongly support Ms. Felarca’s position that Mr. Yiannopoulos should not be allowed to speak in public to promote racism, xenophobia, misogyny, transphobia and pedophilia. In response to her interview on Fox News and activities as a leader and spokesperson in the modern anti-fascist civil rights movement, right wing internet activists have made demands and threats aimed at having Ms. Felarca removed from the classroom and terminated from her employment in the BUSD.

By pursuing disciplinary action against Ms. Felarca for her political activities and participation in the protest on June 26th, the District imprudently indicated its support for the contemporary neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist movement in the United States. Condoning and supporting the racist, fascist, xenophobic politics of the TWP and GSS by punishing Ms. Felarca for protesting against them is absolutely deplorable and reprehensible conduct on the part of the Berkeley Unified School District and the City of Berkeley. The prior persecution of Ms. Felarca and her students places the District and the City of Berkeley squarely in support of
racist, fascist, xenophobic hate groups like the TWP and GSS, and any further disciplinary procedures resulting from her statements in defense of the UC Berkeley protestors would only further cement the appearance of District support for the neo-fascist, neo-Nazi, white nationalist movement in the United States, as well as its pedophiliac spokesman Milo Yiannopoulos. This egregious political persecution is a stain on the reputation of the City of Berkeley and I implore the District in the strongest terms to reject the efforts of right wing activists to induce the District to discipline, punish or terminate Ms. Felarca. The District should be proud to have one of the most courageous leaders of the modern civil rights movement among its faculty and would be better advised to name a school after her in honor of her civil rights activism at it did the school she teaches at, rather than subject her to any discipline, punishment and/or attempt to terminate her from employment in BUSD.

Sincerely,

Robert Donald Weide PhD
California State University, Los Angeles
From: SURJ Bay Area <info@surjbayarea.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 9:59 AM
To: All Council
Subject: SURJ Bay Area Statement of Support: Withdrawing from UASI Programs

April 26, 2017

Dear Berkeley City Council,

We understand that at your April 25 meeting, you considered withdrawing the City of Berkeley’s participation in Urban Areas Security Initiative (UASI) programs, in particular its participation in the notorious Urban Shield weapons exposition and militarized SWAT training. Showing Up for Racial Justice (SURJ) Bay Area organizes, educates, and mobilizes white people for racial justice in accountability to Black and POC-led organizations and individuals most impacted by police terror. We understand that increased force and militarization of our police and first responders is counter to building safety for ourselves and our communities and fundamentally endangers Black, Brown, immigrant, and low income folks. As such, we are committed to doing whatever we can to diminish the militarization of police and of emergency response in Berkeley. We support you in reconsidering Berkeley’s relationship with the Department of Homeland Security's UASI program.

We support Berkeley as a sanctuary city and we believe the city’s participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield do not match our community’s values. As you know UASI programs like Urban Shield perpetuate racist and xenophobic stereotypes and increase trauma in communities already suffering under the massive number of police killings, militarized SWAT raids and sweeps, and surveillance technologies, and are further eroding already fragile community–police relationships.

Urban Shield is a clear example of how our community’s real needs for impactful disaster and emergency response are being exploited and traded away for increased militarization. In these times, you can understand our grave concern regarding this issue. Alameda County’s sheriff has spent considerable tax-payer dollars trying to convince our communities’ elected officials that these Department of Homeland Security-funded programs are essential to training and coordinating our cities’ first responders. We do not support programs that train first responders and law enforcement officials to see the people who live in our communities as their greatest threat and we do not support increasing the use of militarized weaponry and tactics in everyday law enforcement.

It is shameful to us that since Berkeley started participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield, the lion’s share of funding that could be supporting emergency preparedness in the Bay Area has been poured into increasing the militarization of local law enforcement. As you can see from the county’s own reporting, in 2016 nearly $5 million of the $6 million granted by UASI to Alameda County went toward “Enhanced Homeland Security Exercises, Evaluations, and Training Programs” while not a single dollar was designated to the “Medical and Public Health”, “Emergency Planning and Community Preparedness” or “Recovery” areas of that federal grant. The over-emphasis on military-type training, using clearly xenophobic fear-mongering justifications, has had troubling and potentially dangerous impact on our city’s emergency response priorities.

At the same time that we are extremely concerned about Berkeley's relationship with UASI, and vehemently against its participation in Urban Shield, we are eager to help make a change. While we join the majority of fellow residents in raising our voices against any local implementation of the xenophobic and divisive programs and policies of Trump administration, we are ready to seize this time to uplift the creativity and inclusiveness our city is known for. We are excited to support the council and Berkeley’s underappreciated first responders and public health providers, along with any other local or county agency to develop effective, rigorous, and inclusive emergency response planning and training best suited for our city and its residents. We appreciate your strong stance on this issue and your bold steps that reflect the City of Berkeley’s progressive values.

Sincerely,
SURJ Bay Area
Kate, at your request here are some proposed talking points on BPD’s relationship with NCRIC.

* NCRIC is the Northern California Regional Intelligence Center. It is one of some 75 intelligence fusion centers across the country. They are funded by Homeland Security.

* Civil liberties groups like the ACLU have expressed deep concern about the function of the fusion centers. The centers can gather information on non-criminal activities, including those that are protected by the constitution, including speech, association, and belief. They have also been shown to profile on the basis of race, nationality, religion, and politics.

* Fusion centers depend on the submission by police agencies and private corporations of “Suspicious Activity Reports,” or SARs. SARs can contain unverified gossip, such as reports of Middle Eastern looking people taking pictures of a bridge. This pseudo-intelligence has been used by national security agencies like the FBI to harass members of marginalized groups like Muslims, African Americans, and Latinos.

* The Berkeley Police Department participates in NCRC, the local fusion center, and its officers review the reports that come in from the fusion center network. Community pressure has persuaded the city council to strengthen constitutional safeguards, and the BPD apparently has improved the quality of the SARs it sends to NCRIC. However, the reports that come back from NCRC to BPD are secret even from the city council, and the community is concerned that the BPD’s information-gathering is tainted by the uncontrolled flow coming in from the national security state.

* These concerns are growing rapidly under the Trump administration, which does not see itself bound by constitutional or moral constraints. Berkeley must divorce itself not just from the immigration crackdown but from the entire web of intelligence, militarism, intervention, police violence, and racism that Trump is heightening. NCRIC as well as Urban Shield must go.

* By law since 1973, Berkeley city council must annually review all of the BPD’s external relationships, and if they are not approved, the relationships are cancelled. This April 25 is a great opportunity to exit from NCRC and Urban Shield and look for peaceful, constructive, de-escalating, social solutions to violence and other social problems. Please contact your council members to support this move to defend Berkeley’s values.

https://www.aclu.org/other/more-about-fusion-centers
https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2014/04/why-fusion-centers-matter-faq
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fusion_center

*
Questions, let me know.

George Lippman
Subject: The U.S. Press

Reporter: Um, Mr. President, is it true your wife cries when you beat her at playing Canasta?

Trump: No, of course it's not true, because Melania's a great Canasta player, in fact I've never beaten her at Canasta.

Tomorrow's Headline: TRUMP DENIES BEATING CRYING WIFE!!! That is the state of the American press when a Republican is in office.
---
This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.
https://www.avast.com/antivirus
From: Richard Zuckerman <richardzuckerman2002@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 6:37 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office; City Clerk; imaio@cityofberkeley.info; Davila, Cheryl; d3subscribe; Harrison, Kate; Hahn, Sophie; Wengraf, Susan; Worthington, Kriss; ldrost@cityofcityofberkeley.info; studentconduct@berkeley.edu; deanofstudents@berkeley.edu
Subject: THESE FIGHT INITIATORS SHOULD BE PROSECUTED, EVEN IF THEY WERE HIRED BY GEORGE SOROS:

yMan Goes Undercover in Berkley -- Exposes Who REALLY Starts The Violence [WATCH]

Man Goes Undercover in Berkley -- Exposes Who REALLY Starts The Violence [...]
Undercover as AntiFa - Political Social Experiment - Berkeley Trump Rally Protest - President Trump - Donald Tru...

FOUCH: Female Antifa Hippie Charges Trump Supporters At Berkeley, INSTANTLY Regrets It — The Political Cult
OUCH: Female Antifa Hippie Charges Trump Supporters At Berkeley, INSTANTLY ...
From: David Nahrstedt <darthtimberline@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 4:43 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Ties to Terrorist Group

Dear Mayor: Anything happens to Ms Coulter and we’ll be coming after your sorry fat ass. NGSY.

Dr D Nhrstedt
Ventura

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
April 19, 2017

The so-called “war on terror” is, in reality, a war on the freedoms and liberties of the American people. We need to terminate the American fascist police state in America, and especially here in the state of California. That means kicking out the US Dept of Homeland Security, their so-called “threat fusion centers” and their black clad Nazi gestapo TSA agents out of our state and out of our state’s airports, forever. Its time to put an end to the militarization of our local, state and federal police (see: http://stopurbanshield.org), as well as arrest, prosecute and incarcerate those administrative officials who have turned the state of California into an extension of America's new Nazi 4th Reich. Its time to gut, criminalize and terminate the entire military-industrial-intelligence-security complex in America, and especially here in the state of California.

We need local, state, regional and national environmental centers built and operating in our state, not squads of black clad darth vader type goons terrorizing our communities and our transportation centers with intrusive surveillance cameras, german shepard dogs and heavily armed police state agents. As well, California’s sprawling prison-industrial-complex serves no useful purpose. This entire institution needs to be shut down and decommissioned immediately, with its millions of state dollars being re-directed and invested in alternative energy industries such as solar, wind, zero-point and antigravitic free energy technologies. Its time to liberate, not incarcerate.

Global Climate Change is the #1 threat to the future security and survival of the state of California and its citizenry, not the federal fear based so-called “terrorist” threat hyped by psychopaths within the corporate controlled military-industrial-intelligence-security complex. More people die of bee stings than through terrorist attacks in America.

Lets put the fascist California police state out of business, permanently. Its time to build a sustainable, green-based, environmentally survivable society for the 21st century. California needs to lead the nation (and the world) towards building a Green World Order for humankind on Earth on into the 21st century and beyond. Let us work to save what’s left of our battered and twisted civilization before its too late. We are literally OUT OF TIME.
You can help end the madness by refusing to give up your 4th amendment right to privacy at our airports. Refuse to consent both to a forced pat down and molestation of your body (and your children’s bodies) and a harmful ionizing biometric radiation scanning of your bodies at their security checkpoints. You can boycott businesses and institutions that illegally spy on you with intrusive and illegal surveillance cameras. Remember, the 4th Amendment guarantees you the right to be free from unwarranted and unreasonable searches and seizures (of your bodily movements). You can throw away your smart phones, which not only track your movements with GPS, but are illegally recording and storing all of your personal conversations and text messages in a gigantic database center in Utah without your knowledge, permission or consent. You can refuse to use supermarket discount cards or give them your phone number every time you make a purchase, so as to stop them from compiling psychological profiles on you like the east german stasi police state did to their citizens after world war 2. The personal phone number is being used as the prime identification number to illegally track, identity and monitor the activity of all US citizens in America. Refuse to give it to any agency within the US government or to any institution or corporation who requires it for any stated reason. You have a right to keep your personal phone number private and free from commercial manipulation.

Once we cease cooperating with this nazi fascist police state filth in America, their whole evil system of control and intimidation loses legitimacy and crashes to the ground. So, get out of your gas-guzzling SUV’s, stop eating meat, stop cooperating with the fascist American Nazi police state communications/control-grid apparatus, stop paying your taxes to the War Machine and lets turn this state and this world around. There’s no reason we have to live in police state prison planet. Our children deserve better.

Regards,

Steve Jones, Global Environmentalist, Berkeley, California USA

Saving the Planet: stp.neocities.org
Dear Mayor Arreguin:

A big YES on your resolution to support an investigation of the impeachment of President Donald Trump.

Your constituent and voter,
Dr. Franny Yep
From: CenturyLink Customer <bknovak@q.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2017 11:22 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: trash

It has been reported that the Berkeley Police allowed Trump supporters to be attacked by certain counter protestors. If true, that just confirms my opinion that you lefties are trash and cowards.

Thank goodness I chose not to stay in that cess pool called California. Good luck with your constituency; illegals, dead beats and perverts.
From: Stephen Lubin <stephenlubin@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2017 4:31 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Trump impeachment

Thank for this resolution. It again shows the country what a bunch of assholes you all are. I truly hope your stinking city falls into the Pacific ocean during the next earthquake. Have a rotten day in your rotten city.
From: Anthony zeind <tonyzeind@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 04, 2017 4:49 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Trump rally on March 4

I saw the rally on the news. There were fights in the crowd and the police did nothing to break it up. Your city is turning to anarchy and losing its honor. Police not doing their job and protecting the public is unconscionable.
From: John Doe <nctennis_crazy@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 8:24 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Trump rally

Letting the peaceful trump rally people be attacked by the violent domestic terror organization known as antifa is appalling. Just Bc trump voters don't fit your liberal agenda doesn't mean you can deny protecting them while they exercise their first amendment rights. You need to think of what's right for the people instead of what's right politically. You disgust me.

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone
From: Michael Boehner <michael@neighborinsurance.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 1:13 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Trump

You people a fucking nuts.

Michael Boehner
4403 1st Ave SE
Suite 411C
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402
319-521-1061
From: bgeiser <bgeiser@lmi.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 10:39 AM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L; Davila, Cheryl; Hahn, Sophie; Worthington, Kriss; Harrison, Kate; Bartlett, Ben; Droste, Lori; Wengraf, Susan; Maio, Linda
Subject: Tues March 14 - City Council meeting - Item 26, Vote NO

Council Members and Mayor,

Regarding: Item 26. Agreements, with Other Law Enforcement Agencies, Police Departments or Private Security Organizations

From: City Manager

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing, and upon conclusion, adopt a Resolution approving agreements, understandings or policies existing or revised as of August 4, 2016 between the Berkeley Police Department and other local, state and federal law enforcement agencies, military and/or intelligence agencies, police departments and private security organizations in order to maintain the current level of police resources and emergency services. Review attached Suspicious Activity Report and Mutual Aid requests/responses provided annually per City Council.

I am an ex-Berkeley resident, currently an East Bay resident. I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the current federal executive branch administration of President Donald Trump.

I and others specifically demand that Berkeley do NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and do NOT enter into any agreements with any Urban Areas Security Initiative, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield events.

- brian geiser
Oakland, CA
Hi Jesse,

My view is that the police need to arrest people who are breaking the law. It is as simple as that. This is how police normally act. I think having the police stand on the sidelines and let people destroy property and assault others is not a good idea. For example, firecrackers I believe are illegal in Berkeley. Smashing windows is pretty much illegal anywhere. Assault is a crime. I could go on, but you get my point.

You will probably find that the pro-Trump people will probably not be breaking the law much. Most of the Cal students will not be breaking the law much. The so-called "anarchists" dressed in black with masks will likely be the main ones breaking the law.

These are my observations and recommendations. Just have the cops uphold the law, as they usually do. It is really fairly simple.

All the best,

Patrick
Wow! What a great resolution! Let's us do it too.
Judy Nakadegawa

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

Whereas Mayor Mike Signer has declared Charlottesville a capital of resistance to the administration of President Donald Trump.[i]
Whereas President Trump has proposed to move $54 billion from human and environmental spending at home and abroad to military spending[ii], bringing military spending to well over 60% of federal discretionary spending[iii].
Whereas part of helping alleviate the refugee crisis should be ending, not escalating, wars that create refugees[iv].
Whereas President Trump himself admits that the enormous military spending of the past 16 years has been disastrous and made us less safe, not safer[v].
Whereas fractions of the proposed military budget could provide free, top-quality education from preschool through college[vi], end hunger and starvation on earth[vii], convert the U.S. to clean energy[viii], provide clean drinking water everywhere it’s needed on the planet[ix], build fast trains between all major U.S. cities[x], and double non-military U.S. foreign aid rather than cutting it[xi].
Whereas even 121 retired U.S. generals have written a letter opposing cutting foreign aid[xii].
Whereas a December 2014 Gallup poll of 65 nations found that the United States was far and away the country considered the largest threat to peace in the world[xiii].
Whereas a United States responsible for providing clean drinking water, schools, medicine, and solar panels to others would be more secure and face far less hostility around the world,
Whereas our environmental and human needs are desperate and urgent,
Whereas the military is itself the greatest consumer of petroleum we have[xiv],
Whereas economists at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst have documented that military spending is an economic drain rather than a jobs program[xv],
Be it therefore resolved that the City Council of Charlottesville, Virginia, urges the United States Congress to move our tax dollars in exactly the opposite direction proposed by the President, from militarism to human and environmental needs.
We understand that at your April 25 meeting, you will consider withdrawing the City of Berkeley’s participation in Urban Areas Security Initiative programs, particularly its participation in the notorious Urban Shield weapons exposition and militarized SWAT training. Our organization is made up of members of the arts community, as such, we are committed to doing whatever we can to diminish the militarization of police and of emergency response in Berkeley. We support you in reconsidering Berkeley’s relationship with the Department of Homeland Security’s UASI program.

We support Berkeley as a sanctuary city, and we believe the city’s participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield do not match our community’s values. As you know UASI programs like Urban Shield perpetuate racist and xenophobic stereotypes and increase trauma in communities already suffering under the massive number of police killings, militarized SWAT raids and sweeps, and surveillance technologies, and are further eroding already fragile community–police relationships.

Urban Shield is a clear example of how our community’s real needs for impactful disaster and emergency response are being exploited and traded away for increased militarization. In these times, you can understand our grave concern regarding this issue. Alameda County’s sheriff has spent considerable tax-payer dollars trying to convince our communities’ elected officials that these Department of Homeland Security-funded programs are essential to training and coordinating our cities’ first responders. We do not support programs that train first responders and law enforcement officials to see the people who live in our communities as their greatest threat, and we do not support increasing the use of militarized weaponry and tactics in everyday law enforcement.

It is shameful to us that since Berkeley started participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield, the lion’s share of funding that could be supporting emergency preparedness in the Bay Area has been poured into increasing the militarization of local law enforcement. As you can see from the county’s own reporting, in 2016 nearly $5 million of the $6 million granted by UASI to Alameda County went toward “Enhanced Homeland Security Exercises, Evaluations, and Training Programs” while not a single dollar was designated to the “Medical and Public Health”, “Emergency Planning and Community Preparedness” or “Recovery” areas of that federal grant. The over-emphasis on military-type training, using clearly xenophobic fear-mongering justifications, has had troubling and potential dangerous impact on our city’s emergency response priorities.
At the same time that we are extremely concerned about Berkeley's relationship with UASI, and vehemently against its participation in Urban Shield, we are eager to help make a change. While we join the majority of fellow residents in raising our voices against any local implementation of the xenophobic and divisive programs and policies of Trump administration, we are ready to seize this time to uplift the creativity and inclusiveness our city is known for. We are excited to support the council and Berkeley’s underappreciated first responders and public health providers, along with any other local or county agency to develop effective, rigorous, and inclusive emergency response planning and training best suited for our city and its residents. We appreciate your strong stance on this issue and your bold steps that reflect the city of Berkeley’s progressive values.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Hughes

Dear Mayor Arreguin and City Councilmembers,

In case there are questions from your constituents, here’s the latest statement from the campus on the Ann Coulter speech. As you know in recent weeks campus and city leaders and staff have had to deal with violent protests in our community. The steps outlined in Chancellor Dirk’s statement are being taken because the safety and well-being of our students and the Berkeley community continues to be our highest priority.

If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out.

Ruben

Ruben Lizardo

Director of Local Government and Community Relations

Office of the UC Berkeley Chancellor

---

April 20, 2017

Contact: Roqua Montez | Media Relations | rmontez@berkeley.edu, (510) 847-8314

**UC Berkeley Chancellor Dirks’ statement on Ann Coulter visit**

**MEMO TO REPORTERS**
Chancellor Nicholas Dirks made the following statement at a news conference today at which speakers provided an update on efforts to reschedule an appearance on campus by conservative author Ann Coulter.

This university has an unwavering commitment to the First Amendment of the Constitution, which enshrines and protects the right of freedom of speech and freedom of expression. As the home of the Free Speech Movement, we fully support the right and ability of our students to host speakers of their choice, and we believe that exposing students to a diverse array of perspectives is an inherent and inseparable part of our educational mission.

We also have an unwavering commitment to providing for the safety and well-being of speakers who come to campus, our students and other members of our campus and surrounding communities.

While there may, at times, be a tension between these two paired commitments, we cannot compromise on either. In that context, Ms. Coulter’s announcement that she intends to come to this campus on April 27 without regard for the fact that we don’t have a protectable venue available on that date is of grave concern. Our police department has made it clear that they have very specific intelligence regarding threats that could pose a grave danger to the speaker, attendees and those who may wish to lawfully protest the event. At the same time, we respect and support Ms. Coulter’s own First Amendment rights.

Given our serious reservations and concerns regarding Ms. Coulter’s stated intentions, last night I asked my staff to look beyond the usual venues we use for large public gatherings to see if there might be a protectable space for this event that would be available during the compressed, and extremely busy, window of time between now and the end of the academic year.

Fortunately, that expanded search identified an appropriate, protectable venue that is available on the afternoon of May 2. While it is not one we have used for these sorts of events in the past, it can both accommodate a substantial audience and meet the security criteria established by our police department. Earlier today, we informed both the Berkeley College Republicans and the Coulter organization of this development, and we look forward to working with them. We will disclose the exact location of the venue once we have finalized details with both organizations.
If you would rather not receive future communications from University of California - Berkeley - Public Affairs, please go to https://optout.cision.com/en/k2237gQHRJN2LoFu2cQuUY4tcfp1Jw6FKEsu6XkVCiR74XiT3YzAf9ZR3cQKEwGnS8tNbpSKWbCAbyvgW31WoBg6VTci15yrGxm8BybEzqoEm5ncpbBBywZc8Xt55MAX2PZDzmsUXo9TwKsQM7CiF9SB9.

University of California - Berkeley - Public Affairs, 2200 Bancroft Way #4204, Berkeley, 94720 California, United States of America
From: Harold Adler <adlerphotos@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2017 9:23 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office

The Violent Clashes In Berkeley Weren’t ‘Pro-Trump’ Versus ‘Anti-Trump’

The Violent Clashes In Berkeley Weren't 'Pro- Trump' Versus '...'

The media's oversimplification of what happened during Saturday's rally risks obscuring a long-brewing a...
Mayor Arreguín,
You are a despicable ANTIAMERICAN FASCIST VIOLENT THUG!!! You have been OUTED!! YOU MUST RESIGN, you EVIL MAN

Susan L. Singer
Sent from my iPad
I hope Ann Coulter does not get harmed. You MAY BE BLAMED. CONTROL YOUR UNIVERSITES.

SUCCEED FROM THE UNION CALIFORNIA. PLEASE!
YOU CHOOSE NOT TO BE A PART OF THIS US OF A.
From: Evil white guy yo <kevrulz0@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 5:31 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office

Why wouldn't you protect the CITIZENS at the pro Trump rally?
Mr. Mayor,

What exactly is going on in your city? Saturday's rally was a Black Eye for the City of Berkeley!! You blame Right wing provocateurs? What have you been smoking? It takes two to tango sir. The Trump supporters were there do do just that, show support for our President. It was the Liberal douche bag Berkeley anarchist that came with an agenda to stop the Trump support rally. We as Trump supporters did not come looking for a fight, but were prepared because we have seen how Berkeley does not support free speech from the Right and knew there would Morons trying to stop us from exercising our Right of free speech.

For you to put the blame on the Trump supporters is a typical move from someone who wants to undermine our President and those that voted for him.

You should be ashamed of yourself!!
From:        Doug Smith <rooksmith@sbcglobal.net>
Sent:       Tuesday, April 11, 2017 3:36 PM
To:         Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject:    Upcoming protest (April 15)

There already is a lot of hype going on currently with the build-up to this 'protest', in our backyard. I'm afraid of a replay of the Milo Yanopoulis Cal Riots earlier this year.

A couple of things that I suggest you, as mayor can do:

1) Make a statement in advance asking for a peaceful protest. Establish some rules of etiquette.
2) Disinvite the news media - in particular the helicopters which serve absolutely no purpose in terms of safety. (and should be banned from flying over a public event)

Helicopters are ineffective at crowd control, and in fact cause a fight or flight reaction. They provoke a reaction of panic in an active crowd which could exacerbate violence.

Helicopters create very loud soundwaves which cause many of us to have headaches, become very irritable and lose sleep. This is especially true for anyone including officers with PTSD. Additionally the noise upsets animals and pets.

If there is a sound track to be played, couldn't it be Lennon and McCartney's "All you need is Love" or George Harrison's "Peace on Earth" *instead of the soundtrack to Apocalypse Now.

* George Harrison-Give Me Love (Give Me Peace On Earth)

**References for the effects of noise on crowd control
Introduction to Sociology

This revised edition of Tischler's INTRODUCTION TO SOCIOLOGY continues a tradition of providing a proven and...

-- Doug
From: Barbara Kamm <bbkamm@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 6:41 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Uphold Freedom of Speech at UC Berkeley - Arrest and Prosecute Violators of the Law

As a California taxpayer and former Berkeley student, I am appalled that the Berkeley police force allowed the destruction that occurred when Milo Yanouopoulos attempted to speak on the Berkeley campus. Only one person was arrested while the tab for damages was over $100,000. Meanwhile, we taxpayers got an eyeful on TV of the black-clad anarchists and hoodlums attacking people and buildings--and your police force did nothing!

Now another conservative speaker has been invited to speak on April 27. Are you going to uphold the constitution this time and let her speak? Are you going to allow your police force to arrest demonstrators who commit violence? It's time to uphold the law and the Constitution.

Barbara Kamm
Dear Council Member,

My name is Michael Pineschi and I am a local parent.

I'm writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs.

Please, please take a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.
I am a Berkeley resident, and I'm writing to ask that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Urban Shield is a bad program in many respects. I hope you will take a stand to protect Berkeley residents from it, especially important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

Sincerely,

Judy Shattuck
2925 Deakin Street
94705
Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I sent the following email to the Berkeley Police Chief as well as the UC Berkeley Chief. I am forwarding the emails to you as I want you to know how I feel, as well.

Sincerely,

Robert Epstein

***********

Dear Berkeley Police Chief:

I lived in Berkeley for a number of years back in the 1980s and later moved to El Cerrito. I deliberately avoid spending any time in Berkeley because it is, in effect, like traveling to a third world country with homeless people everywhere and the associated filth and crime that goes unaddressed.

I heard reports that the Berkeley Police stood by passively last month as rioters attacked pro-Trump supporters. It sounds like something similar occurred today on the streets of Berkeley. Ten arrests were made, but if additional acts of violence were committed, the alleged perpetrators should have been charged, as well, regardless of political affiliation.

Let me be clear: I passionately oppose Trump and his supporters, but in our democracy they absolutely have a right to peaceably assemble and protest. And, the Berkeley Police absolutely has a responsibility and obligation to assure that pro-Trump supporters are permitted to do so safely; that is, without threat of violence by anti-Trump activists. If the Police turn a blind eye to violence against groups exercising their constitutional right to free speech in the very city celebrated for launching the Free Speech Movement, our democracy has crumbled at the feet of the Berkeley Police.

Please don’t let this happen.

Sincerely,

Robert Epstein
Dear Mayor:

From my reading of online news, it is evident that Ann Coulter is planning to speak at your University, and the concern is to reschedule her at a more safe time, due to recent protests in your area.

I have an excellent problem-solving suggestion as a remedy to the recent violent protests in your area by outside groups that have attempted and succeeded to stir up unrest at or outside the Campus. Recently a violent protest caused a lot of damage at the University. Therefore…..

Could you please coordinate with the particular organization of fire-fighting helicopters that does put out fires in your city by dumping water. Whoever is over the relative agencies, whether city, state, or federal agencies, should be contacted to request a flying fleet of two or three helicopters on stand-by to immediately fly over a violent protest and dump all the payload of water on those criminal protestors who would be protesting in their psychotic dramatic destructive way.

The superb psychological results would be almost immediately noticeable. Those criminals would have their stubborn anarchist attitudes suddenly changed. It would be a long, long time before you see anymore idiotic violent protests.

Thank You
From: Jean Dickinson <jeandickinson3@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 8:20 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: vote to impeach President Trump

I am a Berkeley resident who voted for you to be mayor of our city. Please do all you can to urge your fellow council members to support the resolution tonight to begin an impeachment investigation into Donald Trump because of his refusal to put his assets in a blind trust. He is violating the Constitution and must be removed from office. I would be proud to see Berkeley as the largest city so far to support such a resolution.
Jean Dickinson
From: Rio Scharf <rioscharf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 14, 2017 1:51 PM
To: RIO SCHARF
Subject: Vote to Stop Militarization in Berkeley

Dear Council Member,

My name is Rio Scharf and I am a concerned resident. I’m writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Rio Scharf
From: Marianne Robinson <mjollyrobinson@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 10:44 AM
To: Tom Schultz
Subject: We don't want another Citizens United

Hi – Donald Trump wants to get rid of the Johnson Amendment, which would enable churches and religious organizations to funnel tax-exempt money into secret political spending.

I just signed a petition telling Congress to oppose ANY attack on the Johnson Amendment, passed in 1954, the year my daughter Vicki Sue was born.

Could you sign too?

http://act.demandprogress.org/act/tell-congress-dont-gut-johnson-amendment?sp_ref=.325.178845.e.568253.2&source=sp-email

Thank you.
Marianne Robinson
From: PEERSIRA@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 3:41 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: What are you doing with your police dept?

They stand and watch people get beat up badly by the anarchists in their black masks yesterday?? What was their orders? I am a progressive but am outraged that Trump supporters are NOT ALLOWED to express their opinions without getting beat. How can the police just watch?? I am just ashamed and disgusted by the police ..inaction

Ira Sachnoff
2009 Castro Street
San Francisco, CA 94131
415-872-9595 (office)
415-282-5298 (cell/text)
http://www.peerresourctraining.com/

"When I was 5 years old, my mother always told me that happiness was the key to life. When I went to school, they asked me what I wanted to be when I grew up. I wrote down 'happy'. They told me I didn't understand the assignment, I told them they didn't understand life. " John Lennon
From: Robert Patlovany <rpatlovany@rocketmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 05, 2017 9:57 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: When Are You Going To Start Enforcing the Law Against Those Who Violate Civil Rights of Trump Supporters?

Mayor,

Your city stands conspicuous in promoting the violation of the civil rights of Trump supports. Your police fail to protect the rights those who disagree with your left wing agendas. Your whole city is a disgrace to the nation.

"Despite the large amount of violence, numerous reports indicate that police officers refused to intervene, and only one suspect was arrested."

"More chaos started happening, so I went up to the police and tried bringing them back, but they were just like 'we're not really going over there. You should just stay away.'” he continued. “I don’t know if they were taking orders from someone or if they were just being lazy. I don’t know what the situation was, but it was pathetic to watch. Our police, who are supposed to defend the citizens of Berkeley. It’s a sad scene that they would allow that.”

And on, and on, and on . . .

Robert Patlovany
Just wondering.

Also a young hispanic activist Levi Romero was arrested merely for taking a selfie in front of known violent criminal Yvette Felarca. Drop the charges.

Cordially yours,

Anthony Loman
From: Daniel Wilkerson <daniel.wilkerson@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 12:05 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: where were the police on saturday?

I cannot believe the Berkeley police could not stop Antifa from throwing explosives while people were peacefully exercising their freedom of speech in the park on Saturday. If you are going to fail to uphold the government’s half of Locke’s social contract, then just say so publicly and resign.

Daniel
Hello Honourable Public Officials,


We must put a stop to this tyrant Trump now! Please do something, please. He is destroying our country.

Best Wishes,
Christine Harris

Please forgive typos, very small keyboard.
My Father was Police Officer for 37 years, and he wore his BADGE PROUDLY. Can you say the same while your Berkeley Police stood idly by watching 'masked' Antifa Terrorists attack and beat Trump supporters "bloody" and do NOTHING to stop it? You Chief, like this Veteran and my father, swore an OATH "To support and defend the Constitution of the United States from all enemies; Foreign and Domestic". When the hell are you and the Berkeley city council 'comrades' going to enforce that oath to protect the public from CRIMINAL ATTACKS from the anarchist terrorists who populate Berkeley?

What a cowardly display for the entire world to see, direct from the Marxist Capitol of California!

And you Mayor, what a disgrace, having Berkeley Police standby and watch Antifa Criminals and other 'peace loving' Liberal Thugs beat several PEACEFUL TRUMP supporters bloody! The same thuggish bastards who set UC Berkeley ablaze, smashing windows, and attacking innocent bystanders while YOU did NOTHING to stop the carnage.

I noticed there was one GLARING OMISSION from your so-called "Mission Statement": To PROTECT the people we serve!!!

berkeley protest violent - Yahoo Video Search Results
Here's a great Logo for the City of Berkeley.

_Navyman Norm_

"Behold I command thee, take courage, and be strong. Fear not and be not dismayed: because the Lord thy God is with thee in all things whatsoever thou shalt go" - Joshua 1:9
From: Judith Coburn  <maryjudithcoburn@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 3:30 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: Why is City giving into hooligans and forcing farmer's market to close?

Hello. I'm one of the folks who voted for you. I'm pretty outraged that the City of Berkeley has allowed pro and anti Trump people who support violence to take over MLK park and force the cancellation of the Saturday Farmer's Market. The farmers who come from all over the Bay area from Santa Cruz to the San Joaquin Valley depend on the Saturday market to make major income. The Pasta Phoenix company on Strawberry Park makes $2000 at the Saturday market. This doesn’t sound like much but these small businesses/farmers have a narrow margin. Why is the City allowing violent groups—with no permits—to take over the park on Saturday? If they succeed, they will be back. The City blocked off the areas around the police department to evict the homeless, why not block off access to the Park to stop the fight club?

Is this fear of the ACLU? But violent protest isn’t the same as non-violent protest. I've talked to many people, members of the ACLU like myself, who would not support civil libertarians who defend these people.

How about the citizens of Berkeley who would like to support local farmers and cook something nice for their families?

Judith Coburn
From: Jason Caulkin <sussexbluenose@btinternet.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 7:32 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Why not mention BAMN

So Jesse,

Are you proud of the riots at Berkeley after the real US citizens marched for a Free Speech rally and were attacked by a violent fascist mob called BAMN which you support!

At least the patriots chased these BAMN/Antifa cowards away but perhaps the people will speak next time and kick your arse out of office!

Kind Regards,

Jason
Dear Berkeley City Council,

The city of Richmond became the first city to launch an impeachment investigation of President Trump's violations of the U.S. Constitution.


Will Berkeley be next?

It is time to denounce the Trump Administration. This is not about democrats or republicans, this is about upholding the constitution and making sure that everyone is provided for under the law. We have too much dishonesty, hatred, discrimination and segregation coming from this administration.

Please put this up to a vote.

Kindly,
Spencer Naar
Berkeley Resident since 2015 and Bay Area resident since 1988.

--
Spencer Naar
650.380.7159
spencernaar@gmail.com
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Manuela Delnevo and I am a Berkeley resident. I’m writing to demand that the City of Berkeley continue to stand firmly on the side of civil and human rights by ending its collaborations with Department of Homeland Security programs. Taking a stand to protect Berkeley residents is particularly important under the extremely racist and dangerous Trump administration.

We specifically demand that Berkeley does NOT continue its participation in NCRIC, and does NOT enter into any agreements with Urban Areas Security Initiatives, including no longer participating in or hosting Urban Shield.

Thank you for your attention,

Manuela Delnevo
From: Amanda Bloom <amandabloo@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 6:24 AM
To: All Council
Subject: Withdrawing from Urban Areas Security Initiatives Program

Dear City Councilmembers,

I understand that at your April 25 meeting, you will consider withdrawing the City of Berkeley’s participation in Urban Areas Security Initiative programs, particular its participation in the notorious Urban Shield weapons exposition and militarized SWAT training. I am committed to doing whatever we can to diminish the militarization of police and of emergency response in Berkeley. We support you in reconsidering Berkeley’s relationship with the Department of Homeland Security’s UASI program.

I support Berkeley as a sanctuary city, and we believe the city’s participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield do not match our community’s values. As you know UASI programs like Urban Shield perpetuate racist and xenophobic stereotypes and increase trauma in communities already suffering under the massive number of police killings, militarized SWAT raids and sweeps, and surveillance technologies, and are further eroding already fragile community–police relationships.

Urban Shield is a clear example of how our community’s real needs for impactful disaster and emergency response are being exploited and traded away for increased militarization. In these times, you can understand our grave concern regarding this issue. Alameda County’s sheriff has spent considerable tax-payer dollars trying to convince our communities’ elected officials that these Department of Homeland Security-funded programs are essential to training and coordinating our cities’ first responders. We do not support programs that train first responders and law enforcement officials to see the people who live in our communities as their greatest threat, and we do not support increasing the use of militarized weaponry and tactics in everyday law enforcement.

It is shameful to us that since Berkeley started participation in UASI programs like Urban Shield, the lion’s share of funding that could be supporting emergency preparedness in the Bay Area has been poured into increasing the militarization of local law enforcement. As you can see from the county’s own reporting, in 2016 nearly $5 million of the $6 million granted by UASI to Alameda County went toward “Enhanced Homeland Security Exercises, Evaluations, and Training Programs” while not a single dollar was designated to the “Medical and Public Health”, “Emergency Planning and Community Preparedness” or “Recovery” areas of that federal grant. The over-emphasis on military-type training, using clearly xenophobic fear-mongering justifications, has had troubling and potential dangerous impact on our city’s emergency response priorities.

At the same time that I am extremely concerned about Berkeley’s relationship with UASI, and vehemently against its participation in Urban Shield, we are eager to help make a change. While we join the majority of fellow residents in raising our voices against any local implementation of the xenophobic and divisive programs and policies of Trump administration, we are ready to seize this time to uplift the creativity and inclusiveness our city is known for. We are excited to support the council and Berkeley’s underappreciated first responders and public health providers, along with any other local or county agency to develop effective, rigorous, and inclusive emergency response planning and training best suited for our city and its residents. We appreciate your strong stance on this issue and your bold steps that reflect the city of Berkeley’s progressive values.

Sincerely,

Amanda Bloom
From: deftone302@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 4:21 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Subject: You are anti American.

The whole country if watching the corruption that is taking place under your leadership. In the majority of the country all people's rights are protected regardless of political views. It seems in most of California only the rights and safety of the left are protected. You people are the fascist Who have the balls to call anyone who disagrees with you racist. I pray trump sends the Feds into your nationalist socialist utopia and arrest your little tubby ass for violating the Constitution. Other than the real Americans who love this country in California the rest of us pray that it falls off into the Pacific!

Sent from my iPhone
From: Robin Hvidston <rhvidston1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 10:21 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Cc: BPD Webmail
Subject: YOU ARE DOING A HORRIBLE JOB AS MAYOR AND YOU ARE ON THE WORLD STAGE

The world is watching. The supporters of Ann Coulter will have an army of cell phones broadcasting world wide how Berkeley does NOT allow free speech. And how the mayor tells the police to stand down so that blood runs in your streets and at last count more than $100,000 in damage.

My prayer is that there is not a fatality, in the fascist city of Berkeley, that does NOT allow dissent on April 27th.

The world will be watching because the people have Facebook and You Tube!

Robin Hvidston
Upland, CA 909.749.1382
The world is watching. The supporters of Ann Coulter will have an army of cell phones broadcasting world wide how Berkeley does NOT allow free speech. And how the mayor tells the police to stand down so that blood runs in your streets and at last count more than $100,000 in damage.

My prayer is that there is not a fatality, in the fascist city of Berkeley, that does NOT allow dissent on April 27th.

The world will be watching because the people have Facebook and YouTube!

Robin Hvidston
Upland, CA  909.749.1382
From: Henry Robak <henry.robak@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 9:36 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: You are Supporting Domestic Terrorists

You fucking cuck, I hope you end up in jail for supporting these violent domestic terrorists you are a part of. You idiot you are in their group on social media. Using the police to back your bullshit BAMN/Antifa group. Fuck you.

Sent from my iPhone
As a transfer student who arrived at Berkeley last semester, I was saddened to hear of your victory for mayor.

I thought it was just me being petty, for I had no real reason to vote against you other than you were endorsed by Bernie Sanders. (a candidate I dislike for a number of reasons)

Then the Milo Protests happened, and I saw the type of leadership you stand behind. Giving the police orders to stand down as Black Bloc and Antifa destroyed school and city property.

And of course, you let that slide because you’re sympathetic to a domestic terrorist group’s cause.

I will be at the next town hall meeting and bring up these concerns in person because this will most likely get ignored anyways.
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I'm seeing video this afternoon of hooded "anarchist" people beating up pro-Trump protesters. This must be stopped. Please develop a strategy, working in cooperation with the University of California and all relevant law enforcement agencies, to prevent the repeated physical violence that seems to be occurring without consequence. Actual physical violence is much worse than "hate speech". This pattern of events has implications and reverberations well beyond the city limits. You have to get in front of this - it's the biggest problem in your jurisdiction.

Thank You for listening,
Ben Drees
Oakland, CA
I am outraged. I just watched your police department do nothing while a man was assaulted over and over with eggs. You should be ashamed of yourself for letting this happen.

Roger.
From: My Name is in my email Thanks <catherine2003595@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 10:54 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: You were not ready to protect

You were only ready to protect the wrong people the anti-Trump supporters yet on Thursday you finally said she would act in your city was ready for it but only against the pro trump peaceful supporters when in the hell are you going to act against the wild Terrorists anti-Trump supporters of course they weren't there today they got their way it's disgusting what you're doing

Sent from my iPhone
Your a disgrace you let antifa com most terrorist trash the city and cause violence.
You need to hand in your resignation you are notified t qualified to be mayor.
From: mtairtwo@wildblue.net
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 8:25 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Your acceptance of violence

So you accept the violent radicals in your town and state?  
Gov. Brown does nothing and says nothing! ACLU says you are wrong!  
Both of your positions are despicable in the matter of ANTIFA!  
This will not be accepted in this country all are allowed to speak!  
I hope you get slapped down by the folks on the way to your home.  
This will end very badly for you.
From: Marta <joeysmom5@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 11:12 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: Your job

Your job is first and foremost to uphold the Constitution, Mayor.
The FACT that you did not feel Anne Coulter deserved protection from your liberal thugs shows your disdain of Conservative viewpoints and your support of fascism the very thing liberals claim to hate...
You dont deserve your position because your logic is twisted..."in the name of public safety", you say...WELL ARREST THE THUGS who are breaking the laws out there and causing chaos!!...
Great job mayor, great job!
An American WATCHING

Sent from my iPhone
From: Fred Shirley <savagehenry_420@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 9:01 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: You’re a fat joke, Thanks for the Trump Re-election:D

How bout you get off your ugly fat ass and do your job as mayor and end the riots already you fat fuck. All Antifa is doing is helping Trump, because if you have to make your point through violence you already lost. I for one think you should be executed for treason against our president. You’re a fat fucking joke. Along with your pussy ass Antifa. Thanks for helping our case and for 4 more years of Trump. Continue making the city of Berkeley a joke, you’re doing great.
I ask you is this the face of a fascist? Yet, Yvette Felarca (leader of BAMN) supported AND incited others to perpetrate violence against a young lady who was simply trying to attend an event. By the VERY definition of fascists/bigot by Ms. Felarca’s, understood through her MANY TV interviews, Ms. Felarca and her group have obliterated her defense she was simply protecting her community. The violence that occurred and the repeated abuse of other humans simply for supporting their chosen cause is inexcusable and the City should be pursuing Ms. Felarca and her group at the full extent of the law for destruction of private property and assault. This woman was simply giving an interview on a local news channel when someone walked up behind her and shot her. There was no threat from this young lady and thus the action of the protestor is defined under the law as assault!! It is time we end the violence as there is no excuse for it and hold accountable those perpetrating and inciting it, beginning with Ms. Felarca!!
Dear Ms. Levenson;

Once again I am writing to you concerning a teacher who is representing herself as being a “leader” to protect others against those she deems “fascists”. Her very definition of a fascist is someone who illicits, incites and/or promotes a movement by others to violence rape or genocide of specific “minority” races. Claiming people like Milo Yiannopoulos are the very definition of a fascist, which is why she organized the violent protest to halt his speech at UC Berkeley.

In a recent interview with Tucker Carlson, you can witness firsthand her apparent disgust and lack of respect towards Mr. Carlson, simply because he is what she considers alt right. Please take the time to view the interview via the following link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TRME3g6xDLc. Moreover, as she goes on to describe her viewpoint it is clear she does not recognize she has described her very own behavior in the episode recorded of her at the State Capitol last June and her admittance of organizing the riots at UC Berkeley. Thereby, her behavior under her own definition is fascist, as it organizes and promotes violence against another (although in her definition fascism can only be against a person of minority, woman, black, Muslim). It is clear by her facial expressions and her attitude she is incapable of showing respect, even on National TV, towards someone she does not agree with, rendering her incapable of professionalism in her workplace.

More disconcerting, is she admits to organizing these protests and supports the escalation of violence if necessary, which calls into question her behavior in June as reasonable, when she is seen to be beating on a man simply for evoking his right to freedom of speech. It is evident by the video the man is not threatening her or her group in any way, rather she creates and then promotes violence against another person and this should not be tolerated. More importantly, she based on her extreme viewpoints and propensity to violence she should not be allowed to teach our children.

I respectfully request you investigate her mental state and ability to continue in her professions as clearly she is not of sound mind.

Sincerely
Christina Lord
760-809-6418
From: jesse <jesserrojas70@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 3:37 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Subject: YVETTE FELARCA: INCITING RIOTS

Mayor Jesse Arrequin,

I have a serious concern regarding a member of your community, Yvette Felarca, who you may already be familiar with and who is a teacher at Martin Luther King Jr. Middle School in your city. You may also already be aware that Ms. Felarca has been involved in multiple high profile incidents of inciting riots on the UC Berkeley campus and elsewhere and physically assaulting persons in publicly documented incidents. Ms. Felarca openly and defiantly admits to her participation in leading her “By Any Means Necessary” group to commit these illegal acts.

Ms. Felarca’s behavior has nothing to do with her right to protest, as much as it has to do with her illegally inciting riots, physically assaulting persons, endangering the general public and causing intentional damage to property in the process. All this happening by what appears to be in full view of the Berkeley Police Department and the general public.

Why has the Berkeley Police Department not taken legal action against Ms. Felarca in the face of highly publicized and documented multiple incidents of criminal acts committed by Ms. Felarca and her group? If I am somehow misinformed or lacking in real facts, please bring me up to date.

I hold the Berkeley Police Department, the City of Berkeley, and your office responsible and accountable for the continuing illegal behavior of Ms. Felarca and her group and for what appears is an intentional disregard of the law and for the safety of the general public. Never mind that Ms. Felarca continues as an “educator” in one of your city’s schools!

I do not reside in your area, so you may ask why I am concerned about an issue happening in Berkeley? There are two reasons I can give you, one is that I have a grandson currently attending UC Berkeley and have concerns related to his personal safety on campus, secondly, I am also concerned for the general public on and off the UC Berkeley campus and the non-action by any responsible entity in Berkeley willing to perform their sworn duties. As Mayor of your city you don’t run the police department however you can exercise your authority to bring proper attention to this serious concern.

For you to ignore this serious concern renders you and the City of Berkeley responsible for anyone who is harmed as a result of inaction by responsible officials. Even if only one person is harmed, it is one person too many!

Respectfully,

Jesse Rojas
From: KnowWho Automail (automail@knowwho.com) On Behalf Of Your Constituent Mary Mc Manus (mkmcmmanus@earthlink.net) <automail@knowwho.com>

Sent: Monday, May 01, 2017 11:09 AM

To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office

Subject: Add your name to the Mayors For 100% Clean Energy Endorsement Letter here!

Dear Hon. Jesse Arreguin,

Now more than ever before, mayors and local leaders must be at the forefront of moving America towards a more just and equitable country for all people.

As the Trump Administration slashes vital climate, air, water and human rights protections, mayors and local leaders once again must lead our nation towards a healthier, stronger future by committing to 100% clean, renewable energy for all.

That’s why mayors -- regardless of political party, from big cities and small towns - are supporting a vision of 100% clean and renewable energy in their cities, towns, and communities, and across the country.

Transitioning to 100% clean and renewable energy -- like energy efficiency, wind, solar and electrified transportation -- will protect our kids and families from pollution, create new jobs and local economic opportunities, and ensure that all people have the access to affordable energy solutions.

Will you join your fellow mayors and support a vision of 100% clean and renewable energy here in our community?

Add your name to the Mayors For 100% Clean Energy Endorsement Letter here! http://sc.org/2p74MOu

Need more info? Contact us at mayor4cleanenergy@sierraclub.org.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Mc Manus
1800 Spruce St Apt 304
Berkeley, CA 94709
mkmcmmanus@earthlink.net
(510) 848-8084

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.
Hi Jac,

Thanks again for the call yesterday. I ran it by our team. And they are good with it. Below is what I sent the UC Berkeley group.

Can you make sure that Dee and Andy get a copy too. I assume that they know the meeting is at the Faculty Club. I will have hard copies of the agenda and materials for everyone at the meeting as well.

Rubeen

This is to confirm the attendees and agenda for the 8 AM meeting at the Faculty Club with City of Berkeley Leaders.

The attendees and agenda are below. I will also bring a hard copies agenda; and the Campus FAQ and BPD Memo to Mayor & Council (attached).

Attendees:

UC Berkeley:

- Chancellor Dirks
• Interim EVCP Christ
• UCPD Chief Bennett, Lt. DeCoulode, and Lt. Williams
• Esther Gulli, Executive Director, Government and Community Relations
• Ruben Lizardo, Director Local Government and Community Relations

City of Berkeley:

• Mayor Arreguin; Senior Advisor Jacquelyn McCormick
• City Manager Dee Williams-Ridley
• BPD Chief Andy Greenwood and 2 BPD leaders

Kathleen can you let the faculty club know our final number is 13?

Agenda:

1) Introductions

2) City Update on March 4th event planned by Alt Right Activists in the city.

3) Campus Update on status of a future Milo event

4) Review FAQ/UCPD strategy/BPD debrief

5) Discussion of Protocols for future events/protests
   
   Schedule/time of day
   
   Police coordination
   
   Mutual aid
   
   Communication to community/campus
6) Next steps

On Mon, Feb 27, 2017 at 3:24 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Dear Ruben:

Attached is a proposed agenda for our breakfast meeting on Wednesday morning. Please let me know if you have any additions so I can get it to the Mayor tomorrow afternoon.

Jacquelyn McCormick
Senior Advisor to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7101 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
jmccormick@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

--
Ruben Lizardo
Director Local Government and Community Relations
FAQ – Milo Yiannopoulos Event & Violent Protests

The campus received many requests to cancel this event before Feb. 1. Why didn’t it?

The U.S. Constitution prohibits UC Berkeley, as a public institution, from banning expression based on its content or viewpoints, even when those viewpoints are hateful or discriminatory. Long-standing campus policy permits registered student organizations to invite speakers to campus and to make free use of meeting space in the Student Union for that purpose. The Berkeley College Republicans issued the invitation to Mr. Milo Yiannopoulos, and as the hosts of this event it is only they who had the authority to disinvite him.

Consistent with the dictates of the First Amendment, the university cannot censor or prohibit events, or charge differential fees for putting them on. Even a speaker’s ridiculing of individuals is largely protected by the Constitution; in this case, Yiannopoulos’s past words and deeds, including mocking students at his talks at other universities, did not justify prior restraint on his freedom of expression or the cancellation of the event. It is also generally impermissible to cancel an event because of fears that opponents of the speaker may become disruptive or even violent.

In addition, as the the home of the Free Speech Movement, UC Berkeley’s commitment to free expression is embedded in our Principles of Community which state that we will “ensur(e) freedom of expression and dialogue that elicits the full spectrum of views held by our varied communities.” As a campus administration, we have honored this principle by defending the right of community members to express a wide range of often-conflicting points of view. Ensuring that protest can be done safely is sanctioned through “time, place, and manner” rules which govern and protect the rights of protest. These time-honored rules are widely advertised and well known to the Berkeley activist community.

Who caused the violence in Berkeley on Feb. 1?

The violence at the ASUC building and in downtown Berkeley – the destruction of police barriers, smashing of windows, setting of fires, and more – was instigated by a group of about 150 masked agitators who came onto campus and interrupted an otherwise non-violent student protest of the Yiannopoulos event. The group entered campus just after
6 p.m. armed with commercial fireworks, Molotov cocktails, steel pipes, metal bats, and more.

While we can’t say with certainty that none of the agitators were affiliated with UC Berkeley, reports indicate that the group was largely comprised of non-students – they arrived from off-campus, many were older individuals, and the group’s leaders did not know their way around the campus. UCPD is reviewing video of the violence to try to identify suspects.

**How was the decision to cancel the event made?**

Just after 6 p.m. agitators pulled down barriers that UCPD had installed outside the MLK Student Union building where Yiannopoulos was to speak and used them to smash windows in an attempt to force entry. At that point, UCPD determined that it was necessary to evacuate the speaker and his entourage in order to protect their safety and the safety of students, staff and others inside the student union. It was also hoped that evacuation of the speaker would de-escalate the rising violence. An announcement was made that the event had been canceled, and then officers read several dispersal announcements to a crowd of more than 1,500 protesters who had gathered outside the student union. The speaker was immediately escorted from the building and left campus.

**How many arrests were made?**

On Wednesday night, campus police made one arrest, a non-student, on charges of failure to disperse. The morning of Feb. 2, two Berkeley College Republicans were attacked while conducting an interview in front of Sproul Hall by two men not affiliated with the campus. These men were arrested by UCPD, which is investigating the incident and does not yet have more details.

**Why weren’t there more arrests? Why didn’t the police stop this?**

Police officers exercised restraint and did not respond with force because entering into a fray with agitators armed with metal bats and other weapons would likely have escalated the violence and led to serious injuries. Additionally, because the armed agitators were intermingled with the crowd of students and other non-violent protesters, confrontation with the agitators would likely have resulted in injuries to...
innocent students who might have been caught in the middle. In line with the recommendations from the so-called Robinson-Edley Report of 2012, preventing injury to our students was our paramount operating principle.

**Were there physical injuries?**

The campus police force’s primary objective is always the safety and well-being of our students and the public, and we are grateful that there have been no reports of major injuries. Agitators attacked some members of the crowd, who were rescued by police. UCPD reported about a half dozen minor injuries.

**What is the extent of the property damage?**

An early estimate of campus damage is around $100,000, and includes fixing broken windows at the MLK Student Union, replacing a generator that was set on fire and destroyed, sand-blasting paint off the concrete steps of the student union, cleaning up graffiti and possibly replacing some pavers and trees on Sproul Plaza.

The city of Berkeley’s Downtown Business Association is reporting damage to more than 10 businesses including several banks, a Starbucks, a TargetExpress and Sprint and T-Mobile stores.

**Is Mr. Yiannopoulos going to be invited back to campus in the future?**

As of February 15, 2017, to the best of the administration’s knowledge, neither the Berkeley College Republicans nor any other group has extended an official invitation to Mr. Yiannopoulos to return to campus. Should they do so, we will work to ensure that there is no repeat of the violence and disruption which marred his visit on February 1, 2017.
February 3, 2017

To:       D. Williams-Ridley, City Manager

From:    A. Greenwood, Int. Chief of Police

Re:     INFORMATION FOR MAYOR AND COUNCIL; FEB. 1 RIOT

Wednesday night a protest at the University of California (UC) Berkeley campus spilled over onto City streets. A criminal element within that crowd seized the opportunity to commit crimes, including attacking a number of our banks and businesses, setting fires, and spray painting numerous sites. I wanted to share this information regarding our response with our elected officials.

Our actions Wednesday night, from pre-planning to the event itself, were informed significantly by our experience in the December 2014 riots. In the resulting post-incident review, policy creation, and updated training, the Berkeley Police Department (BPD) approach to these events has significantly evolved. For example, most of our Department recently went through a full day of training focused on the December 2014 riots, including training on our new policy, associated legal issues, and scenario-based training with role players. This recent training supported the work our officers did last night, in command and control, decision-making, and deployment of our officers.

Our highest priority in these events is life-safety. In accordance with this priority, we have a keen focus on context, on what we’re doing and why, and on what effect or response our actions may generate. I think this is not only in keeping with the values of our community, but with the best-informed practices of Law Enforcement across the country, in a time where community trust in our actions is absolutely essential.

Crowd management is a complex challenge for law enforcement. A large, leaderless crowd may appear to stand together on one hand to exercise their 1st amendment rights to assemble, and to speak freely, yet have different motives and intents throughout the course of a given demonstration. When such a crowd includes within it a faction of armed people intent on committing violence, as happened Wednesday night, safeguarding the community’s safety becomes particularly challenging.

If you were watching the livestreams on the internet, you saw that Wednesday night a large crowd gathered at the UC Berkeley campus to protest a controversial speaker. I saw several streams from within the crowd itself. From what I saw and heard, a great portion of the demonstrators on Sproul Plaza appeared relatively peaceful, standing, singing, dancing, chanting, watching, playing music, and generally boisterously expressing their views. Into this crowd came a large group of armed, masked individuals, often referred to as “black bloc” anarchists, who brought projectiles, shields, explosive fireworks and other weapons. In a coordinated effort, this group carried out an organized, focused attack on UC Police, barricades and campus property. This action prompted UC officials to cancel the speaking engagement. A long demonstration ensued on campus. After a couple of hours of demonstration, including several acts of violence and vandalism, but which also included a large number of people singing, dancing, chanting, etc., the crowd came onto city streets, and became our responsibility to manage.
We monitored the crowd continually, especially concerned with our primary focus: life-safety. We had a person in the UCPD command post, relaying information. We could also see open source livestream videos on the internet. BPD responded to several reports of injury, assessed the need for medical care and rescue, and acted appropriately on a case-by-case basis. Relying on several sources of information, BPD officers moved if needed to get close to the reported incident, and determine their next course of action. All this was done knowing that placing police officers into a potentially volatile crowd situation could have prompted a focused, sustained violent attack on police, thereby rapidly escalating the risk of harm to all involved—peaceful protestors, violent actors, residents, businesses and police officers.

In one case where officers came into view, they reported being seen by the crowd, and members of the crowd started to move towards them, even from hundreds of feet away—which confirmed to me that elements of the crowd were actively looking for a conflict with our officers.

Given the actions of those who attacked UC, we knew opportunistically rioters were eager to provoke and escalate a police response to an already boisterous and potentially volatile crowd, thereby putting relatively peaceful protesters into harm’s way. The black bloc typically uses a peaceful crowd to shield their activities during a police response, and we kept this in mind as we worked through each issue we were faced with.

Of course, we do not indiscriminately use force upon an entire crowd. Doing so can harm more people, including protesters who are not breaking the law. We have continually refined our crowd management policies to both facilitate the peaceful expression of free speech and maintain public safety.

If constituents ask about reporting a crime related to the riot, please have them do so by calling 510-981-5900, and we’ll take it from there. While we heard numerous reports of crimes or injuries, in some cases no one has come forward to make an actual report.

Finally, I’d like to extend thanks on behalf of our management team to Mayor Arreguín for taking the time to come by BPD Command Staff meeting Thursday morning and expressing his thanks and support of our folks. None of our staff could recall when a Mayor had come by like that, and it meant a lot to our team. I’d also like to express the team’s appreciation of your and Deputy City Manager Grogan’s visit to Command Staff this morning as well. Your support and kind words were very much appreciated.
UC Berkeley/City of Berkeley Debrief Meeting
Milo Yiannopoulos Event & Protests

Agenda

1) Introductions

2) City Update on March 4th event planned by Alt Right Activists in the city.

3) Campus Update on status of a future Milo event

4) Review FAQ/UCPD strategy/BPD debrief

5) Discussion of Protocols for future events/protests
   Schedule/time of day
   Police coordination
   Mutual aid
   Communication to community/campus

6) Next steps

Attendees:

City of Berkeley:
- Mayor Arreguin; Senior Advisor Jacquelyn McCormick
- City Manager Dee Williams-Ridley
- BPD Chief Andy Greenwood and 2 BPD leaders

UC Berkeley:
- Chancellor Dirks
- Interim EVCP Christ
- UCPD Chief Bennett, Lt. DeCoulode, and Lt. Williams
- Esther Gulli, Executive Director, Government and Community Relations
- Ruben Lizardo, Director Local Government and Community Relations
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Alameda County Supervisor
Scott Haggerty, District 1

Serving Livermore, Dublin, Freemont and Unincorporated East County
MARCH 2017

A Message from the Supervisor

Greetings! 2017 is in full swing, and I am excited to continue my work with, and for, the residents of District 1 and Alameda County.

My approach to leadership has always been centered on supporting issues of safety and quality of life, and of course, ongoing improvements to our transportation systems and infrastructure. Though these key issues don’t always create sensational
headlines, I believe they are critical, and have the greatest impact on our communities.

Together with my fellow Supervisors, we approved the placement of a $580 million bond measure on the November ballot to support affordable housing projects and prevent displacement of vulnerable populations including low-income residents, seniors and the disabled in Alameda County. Also, this last year I was proud to lend my support to Sunflower Hill, a District 1 non-profit organization that serves children and young adults with special needs. In terms of transportation projects, the installation of the Sunol Wayside Horns project is underway to reduce community “noise pollution” generated from spill-over of the locomotive horn beyond the approach roadway where warning is intended. In addition, we have held several meetings on the issue of revising our Medical Marijuana Dispensary Ordinance including the number of dispensaries and cultivation sites. And, we are committed to providing clean power to the people and clean jobs for our workers through the East Bay Community Choice Energy program.

This is only a snapshot of the projects in store for District 1 and Alameda County, and there is so much more left to do. Stay informed by visiting my website and reading my e-newsletters as they come out.

It is important to me to keep community informed and up-to-date on all of our work towards a better Alameda County—and even more important that I hear from community members on a regular basis, so that I can stay as aware and ahead of the issues facing our District and community as possible. Establishing a strong, ongoing dialogue is critical to my success in serving the people of District 1. Please do not hesitate to contact me regarding County-related issues, and comments or questions are always welcome. Reach me at 510-272-6691 or at district1@acgov.org.

Sincerely,

Scott Haggerty
Board Actions

Ever wonder what your Supervisor does during the weekly Tuesday Board of Supervisors Meeting? Here are some of the board actions from the last quarter which impact the residents of District 1:

- On January 10, 2017 the Board of Supervisors approved funding from the Older Americans Act and Older Californians Act in the amount of $6,151,769. These funds are distributed to non-profit agencies to provide services to Alameda County seniors. Click here for additional information.

- On January 10, 2017 Supervisor Haggerty received approval from the full Board of Supervisors initiation of a Sunol Quiet Zone at Main Street, Bond Street and Castlewood Drive in Sunol. The Federal Rail Administration Train Horn Rule provides an opportunity to mitigate the effects of train horn noise by establishing “quiet zones.” In a quiet zone, railroads are required to cease the routine sounding of their horns when approaching the designated crossings. This item allows the County to begin the process with the California Utilities Commission and Federal Rail Administration.

- On January 24, 2017 the Board of Supervisors approved $120,000 to Safe Alternatives to Violent Environments (SAVE) and $80,000 to Tri-Valley Haven to provide victim assistance services. The funding is provided through the California Governor’s office of Emergency Services.

Affordable Housing Bond
Alameda County has the fourth largest shortfall of affordable homes in California— with an estimated shortage of over 60,000 homes for very low-income families. Due to the crisis, on the last November ballot the Board of Supervisors placed a $580 million bond to support affordable housing and prevent displacement of vulnerable populations including low-income residents, seniors and the disabled in Alameda County. The bond measure has two parts distributing $120 million total for Homeowners Programs; and $460 million total for Rental Housing Programs. Also it will be broken down into unincorporated areas, and by city, to ensure each region and city who wants to participate is allocated funding. Half of the $580 million will be based on a “regional pool” and the other half will be distributed to the cities. The measure includes a requirement that calls for regular audits and an independent citizen oversight committee.

For more information on items approved at the June 28 board meeting and remarks announced by Supervisor Scott Haggerty at its November 8 board meeting, click here and here.
After President Trump’s election, the US Congress began their process on the repeal of the Affordable Care Act (ACA). “There are still many unanswered questions and a great deal of confusion and inconsistent messages that are coming out of Washington D.C,” said Supervisor Scott Haggerty.

There are many concerns over the complexities that come with repealing the ACA, one of which is the inability to deny insurance coverage based on pre-existing conditions, and the ability to keep children on parents’ employer based insurance until age 26. The “Obamacare Repeal-Replace Effort” means some influence on Alameda County including:

1. Federal funding reductions of Federally Qualified Health Center clinics like Axis Community Health Center and Tri-City Health Center

2. Removal of population from the Medi-Cal eligibility given that there are approximately 100,000 newly eligible Medi-Cal beneficiaries in Alameda County

3. Loss of coverage and subsidies that make insurance policies affordable

The Board of Supervisors will continue to monitor what is happening at the Federal level, and advocate on behalf of Alameda County residents regardless of the uncertainty ahead.

Please view this video for detailed opinions of Scott Haggerty.

MTC
Supervisor Haggerty Elected Vice Chair of Metropolitan Transportation Commission:

At the February 22, 2017 Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) meeting, Supervisor Scott Haggerty was elected to serve as Commission vice chair for the two-year term running through February 2019. Rohnert Park Mayor Jake Mackenzie, the Commission's outgoing vice chair, was elected MTC's chair at the Commission's February meeting.

Supervisor Haggerty also currently serves as chair of MTC's Operations Committee. First appointed to MTC in 2000 as Alameda County’s representative, Supervisor Haggerty brings 16 years of experience to the role. Haggerty previously served as the Commission's vice chair from 2007 to 2009; as chair from 2009 to 2011; and as chair of the Commission’s Legislative Committee from 2014–2016.

"The Bay Area is facing a transportation and housing crisis - presenting an urgent need for inclusive and collaborative leadership. I am honored that my colleagues have placed their trust in me to serve as vice chair," Supervisor Scott Haggerty said.

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission is charged with planning, financing and coordinating transportation for the nine counties comprising the San Francisco Bay Area, a mission that also extends to integrating transportation facilities and services with development while promoting sustainability. MTC oversees several travel resources in the Bay Area, including the free 511 traveler information system (on the phone at 511 and on the web at 511.org), the Clipper® transit fare card and the PasTrak® electronic toll collection system.

For more information about MTC visit their website.
The Power to Choose in Alameda County

Communities throughout California, and the nation, are embracing Community Choice Energy (CCE) programs for the procurement of cleaner energy. The County of Alameda and partnering cities are currently hard at work in effort to give the people “the power to choose”.

What is Community Choice Energy?

Community Choice Energy is a program by which local governments pool their electricity customers to provide electricity and related services on their behalf. As a result, the local community shapes the program, prioritizing desired benefits to meet community goals as they relate to: climate action, jobs creation, rate stability, lower cost to the consumer, etc.

Once launched, the CCE program becomes the default electricity provider, and all customer accounts are automatically rolled over. Customers continue to receive and pay their bills to the incumbent utility company (PG&E in the case of Alameda County), but purchasing options increase and rates associated decrease in most cases (depending upon level of “green energy” selected).

It’s important that customers know that they have the ability to “opt out” at any time and return to PG&E service at no cost or consequence.

Is CCE successful in California?

Currently, there are four successfully operating CCE programs in the state of California: Marin Clean Energy, Sonoma Clean Power, Lancaster Choice
Energy and Peninsula Clean Energy. With these programs leading the way, numerous communities throughout the state, including Alameda, Contra Costa and Santa Clara Counties, are either in the process of, or considering, building/joining a CCE program. CCE delivers significant benefits, including:

- Cleaner power;
- Competitive rates;
- Better rates for customers who generate their own power and sell back surplus energy;
- Direct investments into local energy programs such as energy efficiency upgrades, electric vehicle charging stations and energy storage;
- New renewable power development, locally and statewide; and
- Local jobs creation.

What's happening in Alameda County?

The East Bay Community Energy (EBCE) Joint Powers Authority (JPA), a result of 18 months of hard work and deliberations by the East Bay Community Choice Energy Steering Committee, convened for its inaugural meeting on January 30, 2017. The Authority is comprised of elected officials from the County of Alameda and all the Alameda County cities taking part in EBCE; the Cities of Pleasanton and Newark declined to join EBCE at this time, and City of Alameda already operates under its own CCE program. As a first order of business, Supervisor Haggerty was elected chair and Oakland Councilmember Dan Kalb, vice chair.

We want you to be informed about EBCE and we invite your participation as the program is developed — East Bay Community Energy Joint Powers Authority meetings are open to the public, and are scheduled to be held as often as twice per month as we aggressively work toward a launch by Fall/Winter 2017. The next EBCE JPA meeting is February 15, 2017 at 6:00pm at the Hayward City
Council Chambers, Hayward City Hall, 777 “B” Street, Hayward, California.

Learn more about EBCE here.

Guaranteed BART Parking

Commuters now have a new option for securing a parking spot at BART’s Dublin/Pleasanton Station. Metropolitan Transportation Commission is partnering with BART and Scoop Technologies to maximize the efficiency of BART’s parking lots through carpooling. Commuters who carpool to the Dublin/Pleasanton Station with the Scoop app are guaranteed parking until 10:00 a.m. The app verifies that two or more people are in the car when it parked, and Scoop coordinates with BART to verify which vehicles belong to Scoop users. Read more about the program here.

Regional Advisory Working Group

Plan Bay Area is the San Francisco Bay Area’s long-range Regional Transportation Plan and Sustainable Communities Strategy. The Regional Advisory Working Group (RAWG) is

Objective: To create an environment in which each person realize the highest potential unhampered by any discrimination based on race, ethnicity, religion, national origin, immigrant status, gender, age, actual or perceived sexual orientation, and mental or physical feasibilities protected by the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Meetings: 4th Wednesday of each month at 6:00 p.m., Eden Area Multi-Service Center, 24100 Amador Street, 3rd Floor, Hayward, CA 94544.

Closing Date: Open until filled

Please send resume and a cover letter to:

Office of Alameda County Supervisor Scott Haggerty,
Pleasanton District Office
4501 Pleasanton Avenue, Pleasanton, CA 94566

Or send via email to voter.beread@argov.org or fax to 925-464-2809

Mark your calendar for these exciting upcoming District 1 events:

City of Livermore Firefighter Career Expos, Mar 11

Dublin St. Patrick’s Day Festivities, Mar 16-19
comprised of local government staff as well as staff from county Congestion Management Agencies and transit agencies, plus representatives from interested stakeholder groups and any individuals interested in the development of Plan Bay Area. RAWG provides input to regional agency staff on work elements related to the update of Plan Bay Area and other key initiatives that feed into the Plan. Group discussion follows each staff presentation. Residents are encouraged to participate and make their voices heard.

The next Regional Advisory Working Group meeting is scheduled for March 8, 2017 at 9:30am at the Bay Area Metro Center, located at 375 Beale St., San Francisco.

For more information on this and prior meetings, click here.

Travel Convenience in Dublin

Wheels Partners with Uber, Lyft and DeSoto Cab to Offer On Demand, Real Time Travel Convenience in Dublin:

The Livermore Amador Valley Transit Authority (LAVTA), operator of the popular Wheels bus system in the Tri-Valley, announced an innovative partnership with ride sharing companies Uber, Lyft and DeSoto Cabs to offer the community of Dublin enhanced transportation options and greater flexibility – all available in a format that’s on demand and in real time.

With the Go Dublin promotion, LAVTA will pay for 50% of the fare, up to $5 per trip with participating transportation network companies. To be eligible for a discount, rideshare trips must both start and end within Dublin city limits, including both the East...
Dublin and West Dublin BART stations. Read the full article here.

**The Wave at Emerald Glen Park**

The City of Dublin will cut the ribbon on the Emerald Glen Recreation and Aquatic Complex this spring.

The Wave at Emerald Glen Park is anchored by an indoor swimming pool with year-round lessons, water exercise programs, and recreational use. The adjacent viewing area is not only a great place for parents to watch their children learn to swim, but is also a party room for birthdays and other small gatherings. Outdoors, there is a sport pool for competitive swimming and water polo, featuring eight lanes for competition, three warm-up lanes, and a shallow water area for recreation use.
The facility includes a water slide tower and children’s activity pool for use during recreational swimming times. The slide tower includes two speed slides, three loop slides, and a large bowl slide, while the children’s activity pool features a tiled beach entry, a large play structure with waterslides, a giant dumping bucket, and a splash pad with a variety of spray features. The facility also includes rentable group picnic areas with shaded structures; outdoor ping pong; changing rooms; a community room for classes and rentals; an outdoor plaza; public art; and amphitheater. The new plaza and amphitheater will be used for concerts, the Dublin Farmers’ Market, and as a gathering space.

Potable water will be used to fill the pools on a one-time basis and the water play areas and slides will use recirculated and treated water.

Visit the City of Dublin’s [website](#) for more information.

Source: City of Dublin
Hi all,

This community event tomorrow night in Richmond is geared towards the Asian immigrant community. It’s being sponsored by Asian Pacific Environmental Network, East Bay Community Law Center, Living Hope Neighborhood Church, El Cerrito Councilmember Gabe Quinto & West Contra Costa Supervisor John Gioia.

Spread the word!

Linda

Linda Tam, Esq.
Director, Immigration Practice
2921 Adeline St. | Berkeley, California 94705
Direct Line: 510-269-6668 | Fax No. 510-548-2566
LTAM@ebclc.org | www.ebclc.org

------------------------

APEN
ASIAN PACIFIC
ENVIRONMENTAL
NETWORK
Asian Community Town Hall

Protecting Healthcare, Services & Immigrant Rights Under Trump

Thursday, March 23

6 PM-8 PM

***Dinner provided starting at 5:30 PM***

Living Hope Neighborhood Church

2800 Rheem Ave, Richmond (entrance off 28th St)

The new administration's policies are attacking immigration, social services, public education, healthcare and the environment. Let's come together to defend our rights & services!

Spread the word!

Come together to:

- Connect to legal support
- Learn about free resources
- Ask questions & get answers
- Get involved!

Contact megan@apen4ej.org or call 510-236-4616 X 331 with any questions or if you have any needs like translation to a particular language or childcare for the event.

For Lao and Khmu speakers call Torm at 707-771-9683.

Sponsored by: East Bay Community Law Center, Living Hope Neighborhood Church, El Cerrito Councilmember Gabe Quinto & West Contra Costa Supervisor John Gioia

Want to sponsor? Contact megan@apen4ej.org to get involved
Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Selma Kelly <skerren123@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 12:39 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi; McCormick, Jacquelyn; Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: ATTENTION: MAYOR JESSE ARREGUIN // RE: CHEMICAL WEAPONS.

ATTENTION: JESSE ARREGUIN, MAYOR OF BERKELEY.

BECAUSE YOU ARE INVOLVED WITH BAMN, ANTIFA & BLACK BLOC, THIS CITATION ALSO CONCERNS YOU.

SUBJECT: THE DEPLOYMENT OF CHEMICAL WEAPONS AGAINST U.S. CITIZENS.

SEE BELOW THE COPY OF A LETTER SENT TO THE PRESIDENT, USAG JEFF SESSIONS & SENATOR FEINSTEN.


ATTENTION A.G. SESSION, ET AL:

THIS LETTER IS TO INFORM YOU THAT THE GROUPS, KNOWN AS "ANTIFA" AND "BLACK BLOC" ARE PREPARING TO USE SULFURIC ACID ON U.S. CITIZENS.

PLEASE WATCH THIS VIDEO, COMPILED BY JOURNALIST, JACK POSOBIEC.

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=LyoPLYTSndk

GO TO: MINUTE 2:40 -- ANTIFA--BEVERLY HILL CALLS FOR "THE STABBING" OF CITIZENS. FURTHER, THE BERKELEY ANTIFAS USED AN M-80 EXPLOSIVE AT THE BERKELEY RALLY ON APRIL 19TH.

GO TO: MINUTE 4:00 -- ANTIFA & BLACK BLOC CALL FOR THE USE OF SULFURIC ACID, METAL POLLS AS WEAPONS, MOLOTOV COCKTAILS, AND "FLASH STROBES" TO BLIND POLICE.

THEY LIST THESE WEAPONS AND TACTICS ON THEIR WEBSITE ... www.crimethinc.com

IT'S ALSO COME TO OUR ATTENTION THAT "GEORGE SOROS" SENT $50,000 TO THE BERKELEY ANTIFAS, WHO DESTROYED $500,000 WORTH OF PROPERTY AND SERIOUSLY INJURED TEN (10) INNOCENT Bystanders, MANY OF WHOM WERE NOT TRUMP SUPPORTERS.

BERKELEY POLICE OFFICERS ALSO "TOLD US" THAT THEY WERE ORDERED BY MAYOR JESSE ARREGUIN AND THE UC BERKELEY POLICE CHIEF TO "STAND DOWN" ON THE NIGHT OF FEBRUARY 1, 2017.
MR. SESSIONS, WE ARE WELL AWARE OF ... 18 U.S. CODE 2101 ... 18 U.S. CODE 2102 ... AND CAL. PENAL CODE 404.6.

GEORGE SOROS FUNDED AND INCITED THE BERKELEY RIOTS AND OTHERS, THROUGH "INTERSTATE COMMERCE." HENCE, SOROS IS IN VIOLATION OF THE ABOVE, AND THEREFORE SUBJECT TO FIVE (5) YEARS IN PRISON.

FURTHER, BERKELEY MAYOR JESSE ARREGUIN, IS LIABLE FOR CIVIL DAMAGES, SINCE HE TOLD HIS POLICE FORCE TO "STAND DOWN."

BECAUSE THE ABOVE-REFERENCED GROUPS:

1. INCITED RIOTS AND VIOLENCE;

2. CONSPIRE 'AS WE SPEAK' TO MANUFACTURE AND DEPLOY WEAPONS SUCH AS -- SULFURIC ACID AND PEPPER-SPRAY -- OUTLAWED DURING WAR AND CONSIDERED TO BE "CHEMICAL WEAPONS;"

3. ACCEPTED MONIES FROM GEORGE SOROS, WHO IS IN VIOLATION OF THE ABOVE STATUTES;

4. AND PURPOSEFULLY THWARTED LAW ENFORCEMENT'S ABILITY TO INTERVENE;

THE ABOVE SHOULD BE PROSECUTED TO THE FULLEST EXTENT FOR ENGAGING IN THESE ACTS.

WE, THE CITIZENS, ARE ASKING FOR A FULL-SCALE INVESTIGATION OF GEORGE SOROS, AND THE ABOVE ORGANIZATIONS FOR INCITING RIOTS, MAKING TERRORIST THREATS, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY --- PLANNING & MANUFACTURING THE DEPLOY OF CHEMICAL WEAPONS AGAINST CITIZENS -- AND BERKELEY MAYOR, JESSE ARREGUIN AND BERKELEY POLICE CHIEF YAO, FOR THWARTING LAW ENFORCEMENT'S ABILITY TO KEEP CITIZENS SAFE.

THIS LETTER WILL BE SENT BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FAXED TO THE ABOVE RECIPIENTS.

S. KELLY
CERTIFIED LEGAL ASSISTANT
PALM SPRINGS, CA
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w9BrHUDKWFY  Mayors Office try not to laugh!

Going through the deep web with a TOR search I have founded hundreds of documents on BAMN, ANTIFA, Yvette Felarca of course! Twitter Accounts, hacked emails and this! I busted a gut laughing. Long ago in the 1990’s I worked with Berkeley PD. I had a Bay Area Anti Gang Coalition. Police Associations like CGIA, UGIA when I lived in Utah (Gang Investigators), PORAC in California was a member of my network with its 17,000 police officer members. As the riots in Sacramento on 6-26-16 were happening, I was on the phone with Dept of Homeland Security. I know what’s in the CHP report and I haven’t read it.

30 years in tracking gangs through graffiti. Closed Societies were an interest to me. Warren Jeffs in prison in Texas doing 99 + 20 years was one of my projects. District Attorney groups like NIMLO (National Institute of Municipal Law Officers) helping with ordinances. With Warren Jeffs, the DA in Washington County Utah, Brock Belnap, Matt Smith in Mohave County Arizona, then finally Texas where he was prosecuted and jailed.

I do research and like Yvette I am an activist, taking info to the FBI  
http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0606/S00059.htm  I’m the guy with a beard, scruffy, my name is there.

No one is to big to argue with  http://www.suzanmazur.com/?p=62  Orrin Hatch or the FBI
I’m on the board of a Gang related Agency with Law Enforcement members in 15 countries

Saul Alinsky rules for radicals, In the 1980’s I worked with IAF Coalitions (Industrial Area Foundations) groups like U.N.O. (United Neighborhood Organization), in Los Angeles County we had SCOC South Central Organizing Committee, EVO East Valley Organizing & VOICE Valley Organizing In Community Efforts. I know exactly how the game is played!

Back then Democrats always worked with Martinez & Associates for platform support politically and Republican’s always went with The Dolphin Group, Fred Karger and others. I worked in Los Angeles when Mark Fabiani was at Mayor Bradley’s side. Old Governors and their Chief of Staff, Steve Merksamer & George Deukmejian. Steve worked on the Robert Dole Campaign. Around long enough to know those in the background. I worked with both parties. Politicians need platform issues and gangs and cults were always of value to both sides.

Bottom line is there is enough text, planning the riots or resistance on line, for some one to be prosecuted. Government Agencies have facilitated these riots and like the CHP report from Sacramento, cities have been baited as you were in the youtube video above. Whatever I find gets turned over to DHS, but I am private and FOX News is an option. I know several dozen Associated Press staff writers too. Jennifer Dobner and Robert Gehrke, were my old favorites. I knew Ben Winslow when he was radio not TV. If you can’t charge Yvette Felarca, its gonna get ugly and feds will probably do what you cant. Her Fox News Interview went poorly.
Everything she has ever published is archived, you should read some of it! Here is a sample and every one knows she ran for AFT and tried to force out Arne Duncan from US Secretary of Education her vision was huge! The Sacramento riots caught the attention of Gang Investigators and changed every thing!

You need to take this serious, a BAMN member posted CPS workers by name and state on a SH*T List or Target List THIS IS A FELONY  http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html CPS workers targeted by BAMN members

There is also a list of Police Officers! If you want to know how researchers get info? We offer Yvettes phone number so she can be interviewed. Other BAMN organizers?

1. BENJAMIN PHILLIPS
2. BAMN
3. 438 W. GRAND AVENUE #616
4. OAKLAND CALIFORNIA 94612-2335
5. 4156269438
6. benjamindavidphillips@gmail.com
7.
8.
9. Donna Stern
10. 2051 Hyde Park Rd
11. Detroit, MI 48207-3885
12. (313) 526-9023
13.

People who like to hear themselves on radio, TV or print, can’t resist incriminating themselves. How much have city empemployees leaked??

**BAMN: Yvette Felarca, BAMN Presidential Candidate for AFT**

The AFT Must Defend Public Education!

**Act Like a Union! Take Strike Action**

and Actions in the Streets!
We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. Our union leadership believes that our union's only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be. They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American middle class. Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

BAMN believes the exact opposite. We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who can not stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.
BAMN pledges to tell the truth. Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do everything in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence. We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.

There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.

The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. We need a national leadership and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory. If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.
If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective. BAMN slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.


(510) 510-9072

Yvette Felarca Agenda Above for BAMN/Below the Why!!!

U.S. Secretary of Education[edit]

Duncan was appointed U.S. Secretary of Education by President Barack Obama and confirmed by the Senate on January 20, 2009.[13] One of Duncan's initiatives as secretary has been a $4 billion Race to the Top competition. It asks states to vie for federal education dollars by submitting proposals that include reforms such as expanding charter schools and judging teachers partly on how well their students do on standardized tests.[14]

In March 2011, Duncan said 82 percent of the nation’s public schools could be failing by the following year under the standards of the No Child Left Behind law. The projection amounted to a startling spike from previous data, which showed that 37 percent of schools were on track to miss targets set by the law. "Four out of five schools in America would not meet their goals under [No Child Left Behind] by next year", Duncan said in his statement.

On July 4, 2014, the National Education Association, the largest teacher's union in the United States, passed a resolution of "no confidence" in Duncan's leadership of the Department of Education and asked for his resignation.[15]
BAMN & Yvette Felarca tied to NAMBLA Man Boy Love Group! Riot Organizers!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w9BrHUDKWFY  Mayors Office try not to laugh!

Going through the deep web with a TOR search I have found hundreds of documents on BAMN, ANTIFA, Yvette Felarca of course! Twitter Accounts, hacked emails and this! I busted a gut laughing. Long ago in the 1990’s I worked with Berkeley PD. I had a Bay Area Anti Gang Coalition. Police Associations like CGIA, UGIA when I lived in Utah (Gang Investigators), PORAC in California was a member of my network with its 17,000 police officer members. As the riots in Sacramento on 6-26-16 were happening, I was on the phone with Dept of Homeland Security. I know what’s in the CHP report and I haven’t read it.

30 years in tracking gangs through graffiti. Closed Societies were an interest to me. Warren Jeffs in prison in Texas doing 99 + 20 years was one of my projects. District Attorney groups like NIMLO (National Institute of Municipal Law Officers) helping with ordinances. With Warren Jeffs, the DA in Washington County Utah, Brock Belnap, Matt Smith in Mohave County Arizona, then finally Texas where he was prosecuted and jailed.
I do research and like Yvette I am an activist, taking info to the FBI
http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0606/S00059.htm I’m the guy with a beard, scruffy, my name is there.

No one is to big to argue with http://www.suzanmazur.com/?p=62 Orrin Hatch or the FBI


I’m on the board of a Gang related Agency with Law Enforcement members in 15 countries

Saul Alinsky rules for radicals, In the 1980’s I worked with IAF Coalitions (Industrial Area Foundations) groups like U.N.O. (United Neighborhood Organization), in Los Angeles County we had SCOC South Central Organizing Committee, EVO East Valley Organizing & VOICE Valley Organizing In Community Efforts. I know exactly how the game is played!

Back then Democrats always worked with Martinez & Associates for platform support politically and Republican’s always went with The Dolphin Group, Fred Karger and others. I worked in Los Angeles when Mark Fabiani was at Mayor Bradley’s side. Old Governors and their Chief of Staff, Steve Merksamer & George Deukmejian. Steve worked on the Robert Dole Campaign. Around long enough to know those in the background. I worked with both parties. Politicians need platform issues and gangs and cults were always of value to both sides.

Bottom line is there is enough text, planning the riots or resistance on line, for some one to be prosecuted. Government Agencies have facilitated these riots and like the CHP report from Sacramento, cities have been baited as you were in the youtube video above. Whatever I find gets turned over to DHS, but I am private and FOX News is an
option. I know several dozen Associated Press staff writers too. Jennifer Dobner and Robert Gehrke, were my old favorites. I knew Ben Winslow when he was radio not TV. If you can’t charge Yvette Felarca, its gonna get ugly and feds will probably do what you cant. Her Fox News Interview went poorly.

Everything she has ever published is archived, you should read some of it! Here is a sample and every one knows she ran for AFT and tried to force out Arne Duncan from US Secretary of Education her vision was huge! The Sacramento riots caught the attention of Gang Investigators and changed every thing!

You need to take this serious, a BAMN member posted CPS workers by name and state on a SH*T List or Target List THIS IS A FELONY http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html

CPS workers targeted by BAMN members

There is also a list of Police Officers! If you want to know how researchers get info? We offer Yvettes phone number so she can be interviewed. Other BAMN organizers?

1. BENJAMIN PHILLIPS
2. BAMN
3. 438 W. GRAND AVENUE #616
4. OAKLAND CALIFORNIA 94612-2335
5. 4156269438
6. benjamindavidphillips@gmail.com
7. 
8. 
9. Donna Stern
10. 2051 Hyde Park Rd
11. Detroit, MI 48207-3885
12. (313) 526-9023

People who like to hear themselves on radio, TV or print, can’t resist incriminating themselves. How much have city empployees leaked??
The AFT Must Defend Public Education!

Act Like a Union! Take Strike Action

and Actions in the Streets!

Build the New Civil Rights Movement! No New Jim Crow!

Arne Duncan Out Now!

Elect a Leadership that Fights to Win!

We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. Our union leadership believes that our union’s only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be. They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American middle class. Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

BAMN believes the exact opposite. We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the
most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who can not stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.

BAMN pledges to tell the truth. Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do everything in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence. We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.

There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.

The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. We need a national leadership and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory. If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially
weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.

If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective. BAMN slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.


(510) 510-9072

Yvette Felarca Agenda Above for BAMN/Below the Why!!!

U.S. Secretary of Education[edit]

Duncan was appointed U.S. Secretary of Education by President Barack Obama and confirmed by the Senate on January 20, 2009.[13] One of Duncan's initiatives as secretary has been a $4 billion Race to the Top competition. It asks states to vie for federal education dollars by submitting proposals that include reforms such as expanding charter schools and judging teachers partly on how well their students do on standardized tests.[14]

In March 2011, Duncan said 82 percent of the nation’s public schools could be failing by the following year under the standards of the No Child Left Behind law. The projection amounted to a startling spike from previous data, which showed that 37 percent of schools were on track to miss targets set by the law. "Four out of five schools in America would not meet their goals under [No Child Left Behind] by next year", Duncan said in his statement.

On July 4, 2014, the National Education Association, the largest teacher's union in the United States, passed a resolution of "no confidence" in Duncan's leadership of the Department of Education and asked for his resignation.[15]
Response from University officials: "The University of California welcomes speakers of all political viewpoints and is committed to providing a forum to enable Ann Coulter to speak on the Berkeley campus. The allegation contained in the complaint filed by Young America's Foundation that Ms. Coulter is being prohibited from speaking because of her conservative views is untrue...The campus seeks to ensure that all members of the Berkeley and larger community - including Ms. Coulter herself - remain safe during such an event."

Jason Overman  |  Director  |  Lighthouse Public Affairs
MAIN (415) 364-0000  |  MOBILE (510) 847-7622
Dear Mayor:

Today the Center for American Progress (CAP) and the University of Southern California’s Center for the Study of Immigrant Integration published a new issue brief estimating the number of family members, citizens or otherwise, who will be harmed by the Trump administration’s policies and actions targeting unauthorized immigrants.

The state of California has nearly 4.7 million people – including 2.4 million U.S. citizens among whom 1.7 million are children – who have at least one unauthorized family member living with them. Nationally, there are 16.7 million people, citizens or otherwise, who live in mixed status families, with least one unauthorized family member.

Deporting a family member can have devastating impacts on families, especially on children. Along with suffering from economic instability, children may end up in foster care, suffer from psychological trauma, and experience housing insecurity. Even a threat of deportation can put enormous stress on communities on families and communities with people fearful of interaction with law enforcement, and unwilling to access much-needed services.

You can read the full issue brief here and view an interactive map which shows the estimate of family members of unauthorized immigrants in each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Please don’t hesitate to contact CAP with any questions you may have. Our immigration policy experts are eager to work with state lawmakers on these important issues.

Best,
Patrick

Patrick J. Dolan
Manager of State and Local Government Affairs
Center for American Progress & Center for American Progress Action Fund
(202) 481-8145
pdolan@americanprogress.org
Family members of unauthorized immigrants in the United States

Total, U.S.-born, and naturalized citizen population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household, by state

**CALIFORNIA**

38,366,950
Total state population

1,967,756
Total children with at least one unauthorized family member

4,659,676
Total population with at least one unauthorized family member

Note: “Children” refers to people under 18 years of age.
Califoria

4,901,607
Naturalized immigrant population

13,936
Naturalized immigrant children with at least one unauthorized family member

338,190
Naturalized immigrant population with at least one unauthorized family member

Note: “Children” refers to people under 18 years of age.
### TABLE 2
Top 10 states where people live with at least one unauthorized family member in the same household

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household</th>
<th>Percent of total population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>38,366,950</td>
<td>1,967,756</td>
<td>2,691,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>26,196,298</td>
<td>1,232,061</td>
<td>1,437,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>2,776,601</td>
<td>112,025</td>
<td>142,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>6,579,027</td>
<td>226,793</td>
<td>239,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>8,933,251</td>
<td>231,295</td>
<td>373,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>12,943,450</td>
<td>342,809</td>
<td>474,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>19,653,424</td>
<td>440,179</td>
<td>774,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>2,088,118</td>
<td>55,340</td>
<td>59,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>5,210,274</td>
<td>130,958</td>
<td>145,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>6,917,417</td>
<td>159,875</td>
<td>191,141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: "Child" refers to people under 18 years of age.

### TABLE 3
Top 10 states with U.S.-born population living with at least one unauthorized family member in the same household

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>U.S.-born population</th>
<th>U.S.-born population with at least one unauthorized family member living in the same household</th>
<th>Per 1,000 U.S.-born citizens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Child</td>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>27,758,102</td>
<td>1,658,456</td>
<td>382,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>21,795,060</td>
<td>1,030,906</td>
<td>211,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>2,237,454</td>
<td>95,015</td>
<td>22,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>5,677,352</td>
<td>191,125</td>
<td>41,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>11,089,138</td>
<td>289,291</td>
<td>54,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>6,973,751</td>
<td>176,655</td>
<td>27,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1,871,448</td>
<td>44,374</td>
<td>9,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>4,689,633</td>
<td>109,427</td>
<td>18,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>15,180,903</td>
<td>344,807</td>
<td>65,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>5,982,267</td>
<td>129,014</td>
<td>22,195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: "Child" refers to people under 18 years of age.
Hello,

Prior to our teleconference call today, we held a corresponding press conference. Below is a few of the media stories that covered the press conference:


We were also able to have Spanish-based outlets like Telemundo including a live in-studio interview with Univision. Links not available at this time but we encourage you reach out to your Spanish and ethnic media outlets as well.

Sincerely,

**Mario B. Lopez**  
Policy Aide | Office of Supervisor Dave Cortese  
Third District | County of Santa Clara  
70 W. Hedding Street, 10th Floor  
San Jose, CA 95110  
T (408) 299-5030 | F (408) 298-6637  
[Mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org](mailto:Mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org)  
[www.supervisorcortese.org](http://www.supervisorcortese.org)  
Like Dave on Facebook  
Follow Dave on Twitter
Dear Berkeley Mayor and City Council Members,

This my second letter to you, continuing a discussion of the recent violent protest in downtown Berkeley.

Our town has become the most coveted location in America for holding right-wing rallies. Celebrities like Ann Coulter and Milo Yiannopoulos want to give speeches in Berkeley because they know that the violent response by the extreme left will vividly illustrate the right’s view of the left as intolerant, violent, and vile.

When the right-wing demonstrators in Berkeley shout: “You are the ones who are fascists, not us!” there is a grain of truth in what they say: In Germany and Austria during the 1920s and 30s, Nazi groups beat up protesters while the police -- somewhat like our police in Berkeley these days -- stood by and made only token arrests.

Demonstrators in Berkeley acting out violently not only communicate through the media an extremely negative image of the left to the entire country, but also powerfully confirm and deepen anti-left convictions within the ranks of the right-wing ralliers themselves. The violent opposition that the pro-Trump demonstrators encounter, which is abetted by the inaction of the Berkeley police, reinforces their conception of the left as violently antagonistic to speech that it does not like. They leave our town profoundly and perhaps irreversibly convinced that the left is malevolent and hostile to free speech.

This is a tragedy, because in fact many of the pro-Trump demonstrators who attend rallies of this kind are working people who could be reached by open-minded conversation. Many of them are open to hearing and considering progressive ideas, and in conversation we discover that we agree on some fundamental values. But dialogue of this kind is preempted by violent assault that discredits the left.

How might police and city officials NOT enact the right-wing script about Berkeley? First, the city government could officially and unequivocally acknowledge the right of the pro-Trump forces to rally here. Second, the police could place themselves in between the two sides and arrest violent individuals, thereby making it clear that our community protects the right to free speech as guaranteed by the Constitution.

Time, place, and manner regulation of public speech is reasonable. But the response to hateful speech, Congressman Keith Ellison says, in agreement with the ACLU, should be more speech not less. Hopefully Berkeley city officials and police will follow that basic principle.

Raymond Barglow, Ph.D
1138 Keith Ave.
Berkeley
This will be sent out first thing Wednesday morning. Hard copies will be presented to media at the meeting on Tuesday night.

I will be forwarding the quotes to Sophie and Susan to get their confirmation for that.

**BERKELEY TO WASHINGTON: DON’T MAKE AMERICA SICK AGAIN**

*Berkeley City Council passes a Resolution opposing the repeal of the Affordable Care Act, proclaims support of Roe v Wade*

(Berkeley, CA) – Last night, the Berkeley City Council voted to adopt a Resolution in opposition to a repeal or roll back of the Affordable Care Act. The council item, introduced by Mayor Jesse Arreguin and Councilmember Sophie Hahn, passed unanimously. The Trump Administration has already made steps to repeal the ACA, despite having no plan for a replacement.

“Trump’s Alternative Facts may claim the ACA is a job killer, but the real facts show in California alone, the ACA is responsible for the creation of over 200,000 jobs” said Mayor Arreguin. “It’s ironic that the President’s rhetoric calls for the protection of Americans, yet he is willing to remove healthcare protections for millions of Americans.”

Councilmember Hahn said that “taking away our healthcare does not make America great again, it makes America sick again. We can’t afford to go back to the days of being denied coverage for pre-existing conditions, removing caps to out-of-pocket expenses, or having lifetime limits on coverage”.

The item was inspired by an email from San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee to Mayor Arreguin, urging Berkeley and other cities to raise their voice in opposition to a repeal of the ACA. The mayors have also worked together on Sanctuary City policies, along with other mayors in the region.

Additionally, the Berkeley City Council presented a proclamation celebrating the 44th anniversary of Roe v Wade. The proclamation, which is presented annually, comes at a time when the federal government has made actions to restrict access to reproductive services.

“With threats of cuts to Planned Parenthood and restrictions to safe, reproductive healthcare, now more than ever is it important to reaffirm our commitment to preserving the right to choose under Roe V Wade, and to oppose laws that threaten to undermine a woman’s right to sexual freedom and self-determination” said Councilmember Susan Wengraf, who introduced the Proclamation.
Mayor Jesse Arreguin is available for interviews.

###

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
ECOCITIES EMERGING

Greetings,

According to the 2015 report "Trends in Global CO2 Emissions" published by the Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency and the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, growth in global CO2 emissions almost stalled in 2014 and per capita primary energy consumption decreased compared to the previous year for the first time since 1998. At the same time, the world’s economy grew by 3%, proving that economic growth does not have to be coupled to fossil fuel combustion.

In an ecocity, "the city's economy consistently favors economic activities that reduce harm and positively benefit the environment" (www.ecocitystandards.org). Australian urban ecologist Cherie Hoyle nicely sums it up: "No ecology, no economy. No planet, no profit." One of the first steps towards an ecology of the economy therefore is decoupling economic growth from resource use, including resource use that is harming the atmosphere, like fossil fuel combustion. It's possible and it's happening - but not fast enough.

Understanding that nature’s economics are primary, one of the most powerful public goods a government can provide is environmental sustainability. But what happens when federal action to protect our environment is slowed, halted or even reversed? It then falls to local governments to keep up the positive momentum. Now more then ever, cities and citizens must stay focused on meeting local and global standards for citizen well-being, environmental health, and resilience.

Local governments have significant power and influence to determine whether or not policies and programs under their authority create sustainable conditions. For example, through land use regulations and building codes, cities can promote and shape development that is compact (conserving land and reducing the need for auto travel), powered by renewable energy, and affordable to people from all walks of life.

As field implementors with the U.S. Office of the Geographer’s Secondary Cities Program, Ecocity Builders is working with smaller cities to assess urban resource flows in order to help lower demand, increase efficiency, and shift to more sustainable sources. In partnership with the Organization of American States’ Sustainable Communities Program, we are helping the mega-city of Lima, Peru, better understand how citizens in various city districts are using water in an effort to facilitate better resource management in this second largest desert city in the world. In each of these cities, small or large, our programs and processes are connecting communities with web-based tools designed to explore, measure, and act upon urban resource and health data and information.

Thank you for following our updates.
As we build, so shall we live,

Kirstin Miller, Executive Director

Keeper of the International Ecocity Conference Series, Ecocity Builders is a non-profit organization dedicated to reshaping cities, towns and villages for long-term health of human and natural systems.

Ecocity Builders
339 15th Street, Suite 208
Oakland CA 94612 USA
www.ecocitybuilders.org
www.ecocitystandards.org

We have a new website!

Made possible by a generous grant from the Helen and William Mazer Foundation, Ecocity Builders has partnered with Free Range to redesign and refresh our website. Check it out!

SAVE THE DATE
Ecocity World Summit 2017 Melbourne

Ecocity World Summit 2017
Changing Cities: Resilience and Transformations
call for presentations and papers is open www.ecocity2017.com

Ecocity Builders and Lima partners join forces to assess essential city systems with a focus on the Rimac watershed

by Sydney Moss, Project Manager at Ecocity Builders

Ecocity Builders has received support for continued work with the Lima, Peru under the Organization of American States’ Sustainable Cities and Communities Plan of Action.

We recently hosted a team kick-off call for the Lima team. The local team is still growing but is already made up of extremely qualified and passionate individuals!

The OAS funded Lima project features a holistic urban systems assessment approach and processes featuring spatial and non-spatial technologies advanced through participatory educational guides and materials providing a city’s constituents, (e.g. municipal planners, government officials, public utilities, academia, community organizations and citizens) with

Ecocities Illustrated represents the very early yet most advanced visual interpretations of “green” or “eco”-cities around.
Reader/viewers can see in colorful imagery how cities can be laid out and designed, connected to transport, energy, food and nature here, probably better than anywhere else, in playful drawings that are in dead earnest about the future of cities and the health of the planet.

Order Ecocities Illustrated today!
evidence-based tools to explore and measure urban health. The overarching goal is to provide engaged communities with accessible tools and technologies to positively affect the resiliency and sustainability of their cities and settlements, and improve quality of life of citizens.

On the call we had two members of the local team from Pontifical Catholic University of Peru (PUCP), our academic partner: Sofia Castro and Javier Ramirez. The two will co-facilitate the project course at PUCP this coming semester. Javier is a GIS specialist and has been teaching in the Geography department for years at PUCP. Sofia is currently pursuing a doctorate and is a leader in researching the Rimac River watershed just outside of Lima in Santa Eufalia (one of two focal areas for this pilot of the Urbinsight project). She has closely collaborated with key players in a national watershed improvement effort in Peru.

We also had team member Diego Andrés Gallardo on the call. Diego is Lima based, and will spearhead the data collection and processing for crucial analysis, and will maintain a consistent presence in a Lima based ECB office.

Diego is working closely with another member of our team, Alexander Montero from the national government’s Ministry of the Environment (MINAM). MINAM is the Peruvian Ministry of the Environment and Alexander is a data engineer. Alexander will be helping Diego to locate and gain access data at the country, city and neighborhood scale.

We were also joined by a representative of the US Embassy in Lima, Ricardo Toledo.

A few existing team members were unable to join the call, but offered their input prior to the call. Marta Eschavarria is a co-founder of EcoDecision and is currently assisting the Lima government in managing an ambitious watershed improvement plan. Rommy Torres has worked in the Lima government for many years and has been involved in several data collection and planning projects throughout the city. She currently holds a management position in the Municipality of San Isidro - Ecocity Builders' other focus area for this pilot.

The team discussed timeline and got to know one another's background and expertise as well as each person's role in the project. Marta and Sofia initiated a very exciting approach to building on existing efforts by the Lima government to improve watershed health. We will be inviting representatives from both municipalities (San Isidro and Santa Eufalia) as well as a representative from major players in the watershed improvement collaboration, Aquafondo to participate in the course. These individuals will work alongside the students and community members to develop citizen surveys, facilitate community outreach at the Round Table and Data Collection Workshops. They will also participate in the Professional GIS Workshops that ECB will offer through this pilot.

The team will grow to include these participants, a community organization from both San Isidro and Santa Eufalia, and the PUCP students who enroll in the OAS Urbinsight course.

As we build the team, we are already looking ahead to our first major step: executing the Quality of Life preliminary survey. We will collect survey responses from several households within both communities to help guide conversations with real community insights at the Community Round Table Event.

Read more
Ecocity Focus Lab Series

by Jennie Moore

Director of Sustainable Development and Environmental Stewardship, School of Construction and the Environment, British Columbia Institute of Technology

BCIT and Ecocity Builders staff, researchers, and interns recently completed a successful inaugural "ECOCITY FOCUS LAB" that took place in Vancouver from November 7-10, 2016. Participants from India and England were in attendance and guests from Medellin, Columbia and Cusco, Peru joined by Skype.

Ecocity Focus Lab (EFL) is a new initiative by Ecocity Builders that provides a forum for in-depth exploration of a particular theme or topic related to building cities in balance with nature.

This EFL focused on the indicators and performance benchmarks associated with achieving the Ecocity Level 1 in the International Ecocity Standards (www.ecocitystandards.org). Ecocity Level 1 represents the threshold for a city that is transforming from getting greener to being in balance with nature.

The first day of the Ecocity Focus Lab opened with a presentation by Professor Simon Joss from the University of Westminster on sustainability assessment for ecocities, followed by a presentation from Kirstin Miller, Executive Director of Ecocity Builders about the various tools and resources being developed to: map, measure, network, define, tour, teach and deepen understanding of what an ecocity entails. This was followed by a presentation from Dr. Jennie Moore at BCIT’s School of Construction and the Environment on her work to develop an ecoCity Footprint Tool aimed at helping cities assess their urban metabolism, consumption-based greenhouse gas emissions, and ecological footprint. The rest of the day was spent working with researchers and planners from cities where the ecoCity Footprint Tool was either being tested or about to be tested.

Ecocity Focus Lab water working group

Subsequent days of the Ecocity Focus Lab followed a similar format of presentation, but the focus was on the Ecocity Standards, starting with those in the Urban Design and Ecological Imperatives dimensions on November 8th, the Bio-Geophysical Conditions on November 9th, and the Socio-Cultural Features on November 10th. In every case, participants were asked to consider proposed indicators to measure the Ecocity Standards and either confirm or challenge their use.

Highlights from all three presentations included the importance of being committed to the pursuit of understanding of what defines an ecocity, regardless of whether it is easy to measure, and that in the pursuit of developing standards care is taken not to reproduce a type of cultural imperialism that assumes and then reproduces perspectives and values from a narrowly defined cultural field embedded in a western tradition.

Participants agreed that this inaugural Ecocity Focus Lab was a success that contributed important insights to the ongoing development of the International Ecocity Standards. To learn more, please visit: www.ecocitystandards.org.

Outcomes of the Focus Lab will inform the ongoing development of the Ecocity Standards and will be discussed and further refined at the 2017 Ecocity World Summit in Melbourne.

Lead sponsor of the Ecocity Standards
Explore Cuba

Bay Area Green Tours invites you to an inspiring

**Journey to Cuba - Sustainability, Agriculture and the Arts!**
February 10th-19th, 2017

Join us and you'll see first hand what happens when national policy prioritizes community wellness, the environment, organic farming and the arts

- Meet eco farmers, artists, filmmakers, musicians, government reps, nonprofits & educators
- Learn about Cuba's agro ecological transition, organic farming & the arts
- Explore beautiful beaches and natural sites

Includes:
- 10 Days Double accommodations
- All breakfasts, lunches, cultural and cocktails
- Welcome & farewell dinner
- Transportation & charismatic local Cuban guides
- Donations to partnering NGO's
- Learn about Cuba's agro ecological transition, organic farming & the arts

[More Information](#) about the tour!

BAGT is a member of Ecocity Co-Lab - if you are interested in the Co-Lab space, please contact [Ecocity Builders](#) or [BAGT](#)

---

A Trump Victory and Ecocities

**by Richard Register**
Founder, Ecocity Builders

Why not a title like "Trump Victory and the Implications for Ecocities?" Because this man is a loose cannon barrelling about the deck in stormy times and predictions can easily be way off the mark. As *San Francisco Chronicle* architecture critic John King wrote departing from his usual mainly esthetics based criticism of infrastructure design in our region, we are facing "...a future where all bets are off." With zero commitment to the truth - "There's no drought in California" after five years of drought and, "Climate Change is a Chinese hoax designed to confuse Americans" - plus his harsh and crude racist and sexist rants, which, speaking of "flip-flopping" he backs off on then repeats again later, what can be expected beyond the negative unpredictable? If cities in their present form are a major cause for environmental problems, could he begin to see the linkages or understand or care?

Something, other than attempts to predict the future and guess in the usual way what might happen next, is called for. Utilizing trends, assessing the interests of existing power blocks, analyzing the stunningly wrong predictions of the media, etc. are up against trying to figure out what Mr. Trump means or doesn't really mean when he himself doesn't seem to know what he's going to say next. That something other than the usual approach is a look at the problems in "the American character" and what might improve it if we can face what we might see there.

As Alcoholics Anonymous points out, if you don't admit a problem and face it saying you need help you are not going to get cured. We aren't going to move forward from this election in any positive manner until we face the darker side of American character. "Facing
it" might be the only silver lining we are going to get, a shock doctor opportunity to sit still awhile, take a deep breath and think about it. If we don't get that far, how are we going to improve things?, Liberal pundits saying hang tight and keep trying notwithstanding, even though that in itself is a good thing. It's not sufficient. We need to do a little well-informed soul-searching, amateur psychoanalyzing even, psychoanalyzing our own collective self as a country.

Read more

---

**Car Free Journey: Park City, Utah**

by Steve Atlas

What would you like to do this holiday season? How about a ski vacation? But, what do you do if you love to ski, but don't want to drive and or lug your own ski equipment everywhere.

Fortunately, we found a ski area that is easy to reach by public transportation. Park City, Utah is 32 miles (51 kilometers) southeast of downtown Salt Lake City. Once you arrive, the town's free bus system and a compact downtown make it easy to get to the slopes, shopping, or anywhere else you want without needing to drive.

For that reason, this month's Car Free Journey will spotlight Park City, Utah.

---

*Corner of Main St and Heber Ave at night
Courtesy of Park City Convention and Visitors Bureau*

**A Brief History of Park City**

Park City began as a mining town in the nineteenth century. The finding of silver, gold and lead in the 1860s led to the first silver mine there. By 1892 the Silver King Mine and its owners Thomas Kearns and David Keith took the spotlight as one of the most famous silver mines in the world. Silver mining made the town's Main Street a hot spot for entertainment. 47 buildings from that era are currently on the National Register of Historic Places, making for a truly charming downtown. However, the city nearly became a ghost town by the end of the 1950s because of a drop in the price of silver.

Read more

---
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Try it free today
http://dailycaller.com/2017/05/02/school-district-berkeley-riot-organizers-tried-to-brainwash-students-into-left-wing-activism-video/ Berkeley School District, Yvette’s use of underaged students exposed! Give to FBI & DHS, get on the safe side of this quickly.

BAMN & Yvette Felarca tied to NAMBLA Man Boy Love Group! Riot Organizers!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w9BrHUDKWFY Mayors Office try not to laugh!

Going through the deep web with a TOR search I have founded hundreds of documents on BAMN, ANTIFA, Yvette Felarca of course! Twitter Accounts, hacked emails and this! I busted a gut laughing. Long ago in the 1990’s I worked with Berkeley PD. I had a Bay Area Anti Gang Coalition. Police Associations like CGIA, UGIA when I lived in Utah (Gang Investigators), PORAC in California was a member of my network with its 17,000 police officer members. As the riots in Sacramento on 6-26-16
were happening, I was on the phone with Dept of Homeland Security. I know what’s in the CHP report and I haven’t read it.

30 years in tracking gangs through graffiti. Closed Societies were an interest to me. Warren Jeffs in prison in Texas doing 99 + 20 years was one of my projects. District Attorney groups like NIMLO (National Institute of Municipal Law Officers) helping with ordinances. With Warren Jeffs, the DA in Washington County Utah, Brock Belnap, Matt Smith in Mohave County Arizona, then finally Texas where he was prosecuted and jailed.

I do research and like Yvette I am an activist, taking info to the FBI http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0606/S00059.htm I’m the guy with a beard, scruffy, my name is there.

No one is to big to argue with http://www.suzanmazur.com/?p=62 Orrin Hatch or the FBI


I’m on the board of a Gang related Agency with Law Enforcement members in 15 countries

Saul Alinsky rules for radicals, In the 1980’s I worked with IAF Coalitions (Industrial Area Foundations) groups like U.N.O. (United Neighborhood Organization), in Los Angeles County we had SCOC South Central Organizing Committee, EVO East Valley Organizing & VOICE Valley Organizing In Community Efforts. I know exactly how the game is played!

Back then Democrats always worked with Martinez & Associates for platform support politically and Republican’s always went with The Dolphin Group, Fred Karger and others. I worked in Los Angeles when Mark Fabiani was at Mayor Bradley’s side. Old Governors and their Chief
of Staff, Steve Merksamer & George Deukmejian. Steve worked on the Robert Dole Campaign. Around long enough to know those in the background. I worked with both parties. Politicians need platform issues and gangs and cults were always of value to both sides.

Bottom line is there is enough text, planning the riots or resistance on line, for some one to be prosecuted. Government Agencies have facilitated these riots and like the CHP report from Sacramento, cities have been baited as you were in the youtube video above. Whatever I find gets turned over to DHS, but I am private and FOX News is an option. I know several dozen Associated Press staff writers too. Jennifer Dobner and Robert Gehrke, were my old favorites. I knew Ben Winslow when he was radio not TV. If you can’t charge Yvette Felarca, its gonna get ugly and feds will probably do what you cant. Her Fox News Interview went poorly.

Everything she has ever published is archived, you should read some of it! Here is a sample and every one knows she ran for AFT and tried to force out Arne Duncan from US Secretary of Education her vision was huge! The Sacramento riots caught the attention of Gang Investigators and changed every thing!

You need to take this serious, a BAMN member posted CPS workers by name and state on a SH*T List or Target List THIS IS A FELONY  http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html CPS workers targeted by BAMN members

There is also a list of Police Officers! If you want to know how researchers get info? We offer Yvettes phone number so she can be interviewed. Other BAMN organizers?

1. BENJAMIN PHILLIPS
2. BAMN
3. 438 W. GRAND AVENUE #616
4. OAKLAND CALIFORNIA 94612-2335
5. 4156269438
People who like to hear themselves on radio, TV or print, can’t resist incriminating themselves. How much have city employees leaked??

BAMN: Yvette Felarca, BAMN Presidential Candidate for AFT

The AFT Must Defend Public Education!

Act Like a Union! Take Strike Action

and Actions in the Streets!

Build the New Civil Rights Movement! No New Jim Crow!

Arne Duncan Out Now!

Elect a Leadership that Fights to Win!

We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. Our union leadership believes that our union’s only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be. They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American
middle class. Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

BAMN believes the exact opposite. We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who can not stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.

BAMN pledges to tell the truth. Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do every thing in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence. We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.

There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.
The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. We need a national leadership and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory. If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.

If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective. BAMN slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.


(510) 510-9072

Yvette Felarca Agenda Above for BAMN/Below the Why!!!

U.S. Secretary of Education[edit]

Duncan was appointed U.S. Secretary of Education by President Barack Obama and confirmed by the Senate on January 20, 2009.[13] One of Duncan's initiatives as secretary has been a $4 billion Race to the Top competition. It asks states to vie for federal education dollars by submitting proposals that include reforms such as expanding charter schools and judging teachers partly on how well their students do on standardized
In March 2011, Duncan said 82 percent of the nation’s public schools could be failing by the following year under the standards of the No Child Left Behind law. The projection amounted to a startling spike from previous data, which showed that 37 percent of schools were on track to miss targets set by the law. "Four out of five schools in America would not meet their goals under [No Child Left Behind] by next year", Duncan said in his statement.

On July 4, 2014, the National Education Association, the largest teacher's union in the United States, passed a resolution of "no confidence" in Duncan's leadership of the Department of Education and asked for his resignation.
I mentioned this to Jesse last week and he indicated he wanted to do this too.

---

Greetings: I received this yesterday and asked the author for permission to forward to you all. I hope Berkeley can do something similar.
Thanks for all that you have already done concerning the horrible fix we are in nationally.
Margy Wilkinson

---------- Forwarded message ----------

From: David Swanson <davidcnswanson@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Mar 16, 2017 at 11:14 AM
Subject: [ufpj-activist] City to Vote on Resolution Opposing Trump’s Budget
To: David Swanson <david@davidswanson.org>

City to Vote on Resolution Opposing Trump’s Budget

Charlottesville, Va., City Council has on its agenda for Monday, March 20th, a vote on a resolution opposing President Donald Trump’s proposal to shift $54 billion from human and environmental needs to military spending. The resolution calls on Congress to shift funds in the opposite direction.

The resolution is endorsed by Charlottesville Veterans For Peace, Charlottesville Amnesty International, World Beyond War, Just World Books, Charlottesville Center for Peace and Justice, the Piedmont Group of the Sierra Club, Candidate for Commonwealth’s Attorney Jeff Fogel, Charlottesville Democratic Socialists of America, Indivisible Charlottesville, heARTful Action, Together Cville, Clergy and Laity United for Peace and Justice.

Trump’s budget proposal would cut the Environmental Protection Agency by 31%, the Department of Housing and Urban Development by 13%, the State Department by 28%, the Department of Agriculture by 21%, the Corporation for Public Broadcasting by 100%, the Institute of Museum and Library Services by 100%, and the National Endowment for the Arts by 100%.

Military spending would rise by $54 billion to something over 60% of discretionary spending, a percentage not seen since the Cold War. Then, according to reports, Trump will ask for $33 billion more off-the-books as a supplemental budget for the current (not the next) fiscal year for the military to spend on programs that candidate Trump denounced such as the F-35, and including $3 billion for the Department of Homeland Security to spend building a wall and detaining and deporting immigrants. Assuming a similar future supplement to the fiscal year 2018 budget, actual discretionary spending could see over 65% go to militarism.

Trump’s budget proposal does not fund any of the infrastructure he promised during his election campaign.
"The Sierra Club supports full funding of the Environmental Protection Agency so that it can adequately protect communities through enforcement of the Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Toxic Substances Control Act and other important laws," said John Cruickshank, Chair of the Piedmont Group of the Sierra Club.

"We cannot look away any longer. Last week ground troops entered Syria and the press barely mentioned it. The week before, Pathfinders returned from combat in Africa. Who knew we are fighting in Africa? We have military deployed to over 150 countries. How many countries are there?" asked Daniel Saint of the Charlottesville chapter of Veterans For Peace. "President Obama, in his last State of the Union Address, proudly claimed that the United States spends more than the next eight countries combined--China, Russia, Saudi Arabia, France, United Kingdom, India, Germany, and Japan. Combined! Now Trump wants to dramatically expand adding another $54 billion. It costs $12 thousand to drill a well bringing fresh water to a village with no clean source of drinking water. For just the budget increase proposed by Trump, we could provide 4.5 million new wells across Africa, India and Latin America. Imagine if children from around the world grew up with a vision of the United States as bringing clean drinking water rather than bomb fragments stamped ‘made in the USA.' Would our children and grandchildren be safer with new fresh wells or more nuclear weapons?"

"Indivisible Charlottesville, along with thousands of Indivisible organizations across America, is committed to resisting the Trump administration's efforts to reverse the progress of the last century, and to building a diverse country that can face the challenges of the next one."

"Trump plans to destroy the programs that let Virginians drink clean water, breathe clean air, live in affordable housing, attend some of the world's best universities, and sleep without fear of chemical and industrial accidents. He would do this in order to pile money into what's already the strongest military in history, and in order to cruelly build walls across our borders and end aid programs that give succor to the most vulnerable people in the world."

"Not only is the military the wrong place to put more money," said David Swanson, director of World Beyond War, "but nobody can even say where all that money goes. The Department of so-called Defense, which President Trump says has created a hornet's nest of the Middle East, is the one department never audited."

"We have known for many years that the Department's business practices are archaic and wasteful, and its inability to pass a clean audit is a longstanding travesty," Chairs John McCain (R-AZ) and Mac Thornberry (R-TX) of the Senate and House Armed Services Committees said recently in a joint statement. "The reason these problems persist is simple: a failure of leadership and a lack of accountability."

"If we can stop a Muslim ban," added Swanson, "we can stop an immoral budget too!"

A CNN poll on March 1-4 asked for opinions on this proposal: "Increase military spending by cutting funding for the State Department, Environmental Protection Agency and other non-defense agencies." Nationally, 58% disapproved, and 41% approved.

Charlottesville provides an example of how federal budget priorities are out of line with popular opinion. Using the calculations of the National Priorities Project at CostofWar.com, "Every hour, taxpayers in Charlottesville, Virginia are paying $12,258 for Department of Defense in 2016." That's $107.4 million in a year. Much of military spending is in other departments. The National Priorities Project provides the numbers for a few of them: $4.1 million from Charlottesville for nuclear weapons, $2.6 million for weapons for foreign governments, $12.6 million for "homeland security," and $6.9 million for the 2016 off-the-books extra slush fund. That's $133.6 million, not counting various other expenses, and not counting the extra $54 billion or an additional $30 billion, which would bring the cost to Charlottesville up by another $16 million to $149.6 million.

According to National Priorities Project, that is enough money to provide 1,850 Elementary School Teachers for 1 Year, or 2,019 Clean Energy Jobs Created for 1 Year, or 2,692 Infrastructure Jobs Created for 1 Year, or 1,496 Jobs with Supports Created in High Poverty Communities for 1 Year, or 16,788 Head Start Slots for Children for 1 Year, or 14,479 Military Veterans Receiving VA Medical Care for 1 Year, or 4,504 Scholarships for University Students for 4 Years, or 6,431 Students Receiving Pell
Grants of $5,815 for 4 Years, or 63,103 Children Receiving Low-Income Healthcare for 1 Year, or 168,519 Households with Wind Power for 1 Year, or 42,024 Adults Receiving Low-Income Healthcare for 1 Year, or 104,093 Households with Solar Electricity for 1 Year. Each of these items is more than Charlottesville, which does not have 104,093 households, could possibly use.

The resolution drafted for Charlottesville’s City Council follows:

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

Whereas Mayor Mike Signer has declared Charlottesville a capital of resistance to the administration of President Donald Trump. [i]

Whereas President Trump has proposed to move $54 billion from human and environmental spending at home and abroad to military spending[ii], bringing military spending to well over 60% of federal discretionary spending[iii].

Whereas part of helping alleviate the refugee crisis should be ending, not escalating, wars that create refugees[iv].

Whereas President Trump himself admits that the enormous military spending of the past 16 years has been disastrous and made us less safe, not safer[v].

Whereas fractions of the proposed military budget could provide free, top-quality education from pre-school through college[vi], end hunger and starvation on earth[vii], convert the U.S. to clean energy[viii], provide clean drinking water everywhere it’s needed on the planet[ix], build fast trains between all major U.S. cities[x], and double non-military U.S. foreign aid rather than cutting it[xi].

Whereas even 121 retired U.S. generals have written a letter opposing cutting foreign aid[xii].

Whereas a December 2014 Gallup poll of 65 nations found that the United States was far and away the country considered the largest threat to peace in the world[xiii].

Whereas a United States responsible for providing clean drinking water, schools, medicine, and solar panels to others would be more secure and face far less hostility around the world,

Whereas our environmental and human needs are desperate and urgent,

Whereas the military is itself the greatest consumer of petroleum we have[xiv],

Whereas economists at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst have documented that military spending is an economic drain rather than a jobs program[xv],

Be it therefore resolved that the City Council of Charlottesville, Virginia, urges the United States Congress to move our tax dollars in exactly the opposite direction proposed by the President, from militarism to human and environmental needs.

David Swanson is an author, activist, journalist, and radio host. He is director of WorldBeyondWar.org and campaign coordinator for RootsAction.org. Swanson’s books include War Is A Lie. He blogs at

Follow him on Twitter: @davidcnswanson and FaceBook.
State Superintendent Torlakson Speaks Out on Student Rights and Protection

Amid increasing enforcement efforts against undocumented immigrants, California State Superintendent Tom Torlakson said Thursday that the California Department of Education is taking measures to ensure the rights of all students to attend school in a safe and welcoming environment.

"We're doing everything we can to keep them safe at school and well-protected," he said in a keynote address that covered a number of his top education priorities at the annual California School Public Relations Association in Sacramento. "Schools cannot and should not be arms of ICE."

In December, Torlakson issued a call for the state's public schools to be declared "Safe Haven" schools. So far, 38 local school districts and county boards of education have done so, according to state education officials.

The BUSD School Board adopted a policy to protect the rights of undocumented students in December, which was followed by a Board-approved resolution in January.

Guidelines are being issued to clarify the protections for privacy of student records, along with other concerns. State education officials estimate there are 300,000 undocumented students in California public schools and one million students are living with an undocumented parent.

This is College & Career Awareness Week!
The 2017 College & Career Awareness Summit is part of a week-long series of events to engage Berkeley’s students in college and career related activities beginning on March 6, 2017.

Berkeley High Students Choose to Become a "Green Dot"
On February 8th, 32 Berkeley High students were the first cohort of students to participate in a one-day intensive Green Dot Bystander training to
"become a Green Dot" and eliminate power-based personal violence in the Berkeley High community. **Green Dot** is a nationwide evidence-based program for eliminating bullying, relationship violence, and sexual harm.

During the recent training, students reflected on their own experiences with interpersonal violence and learned reactive and proactive strategies and techniques for stopping power-based violence and harm. The Green Dot movement is about gaining a critical mass of students, staff, and faculty willing to do their small part to actively and visibly reduce power-based personal violence and creating a safer campus culture.

**No one has to do everything, but everyone has to do something. Green Dot teaches students that they have a choice to do something.**

Subsequent cohorts of students will be selected to receive the same training later this year, and in coming years. The training, led by Vice Principal Tamara Friedman, Dean of Students Kiernan Rok, and School Safety Officers Rodney McNab and Johnna Quist, is part of a series of trainings and informational presentations for students and staff at Berkeley High to promote a positive school environment for all.

Another valuable resource for students is this **Teen Safety Card.**

---

**State Superintendent Torlakson Speaks Out on Student Rights and Protection**

Amid increasing enforcement efforts against undocumented immigrants, California State Superintendent Tom Torlakson said Thursday that the California Department of Education is taking measures to ensure the rights of all students to attend school in a safe and welcoming environment.

"We're doing everything we can to keep them safe at school and well-protected," he said in a keynote address that covered a number of his top education priorities at the annual California School Public Relations Association in Sacramento. "Schools cannot and should not be arms of ICE."
March 8 is International Women's Day.

**Support for Immigrant Families: Free Presentation & Legal Screening**

A free "know your rights" presentation and legal screening, *(La Presentación de Conozca sus Derechos y Consulta Legal Gratis con abogados de inmigración)* will be held 10 a.m. to noon on March 18 at LeConte Elementary School, 2241 Russell St.

The event is offered as a special resource for immigrant families and is sponsored by the East Bay Community Law Center & East Bay Sanctuary Covenant. It is being held in conjunction with a citywide Emergency Prep Fair the same day from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. at LeConte.

This District web page provides information on local resources for immigrant families. **Aquí en español**

**Continued Protection for Berkeley's Transgender Students**

Two days after President Trump's administration revoked the Obama administration's federal mandate allowing transgender students to use the restrooms of their choice, School Board President Ty Alper and Superintendent Donald Evans on Friday issued a statement that the new federal policy will not affect BUSD.

In December, Torlakson **issued a call** for the state's public schools to be declared "Safe Haven" schools. So far, 38 local school districts and county boards of education have done so, according to state education officials.

The BUSD School Board adopted a **policy** to protect the rights of undocumented students in December, which was followed by a Board-approved **resolution** in January.

Guidelines are being issued to clarify the protections for privacy of student records, along with other concerns. State education officials estimate there are 300,000 undocumented students in California public schools and one million students are living with an undocumented parent.

**BUSD Family Survey: Open until March 8**

Will you please take a few minutes to provide the Berkeley Unified School District with feedback about your child(ren)'s experience in our schools by completing the District family survey?

The survey, available in English and Spanish, will only be open for two more days.

The survey should take less than 15 minutes to complete, is anonymous, and has an open-ended section for you to provide us with your thoughts and ideas.

Your participation provides us with important feedback on the programs we've designed to meet the goals of the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP).

In March, each school's School Governance/Site Council and the LCAP Parent Advisory Council will receive the information gathered from this survey to help with program and funding decisions.
Special Exhibition of Student Art at Berkeley Art Center

Celebrate the work of our talented student artists with a visit to the Berkeley Art Center this month. In conjunction with the 50th anniversary of the Berkeley Art Center, a juried exhibition of art works by BUSD elementary and middle school students will be held at the Center from March 11 to April 9.

Works in the Forever Young! Exhibition were selected by district art teachers. The show is timed to coincide with Art IS Education Month.

The exhibition kicks off with a free, public Opening Reception, with music by the Berkeley High Jazz program, from 3:00 to 5:00 p.m. on March 11.

Berkeley Emergency Prep Fair: March 18

Kids growing up in earthquake country need a disaster-prepared household. Pet owners need to know how to keep pets safe in a disaster. At this FREE community-wide event, we invite people at all levels of preparedness to take steps towards emergency readiness for their whole family.

Immunization Notice

If your child is new to Berkeley Unified School District or starting preschool, transitional kindergarten, kindergarten, or 7th grade, you will need to provide your child's school with documentation that your child has been fully immunized.
BUSD School Year, Meetings and Events Google Calendar

2016-17 School Year Calendar

Brown Act Committees Open to the Public:

BSEP Planning & Oversight (P&O) Committee
March 7, 7pm
Room 126, 2020 Bonar St.

Upcoming School Board Meetings
Wednesdays:
March 8 & 22 (7:30pm)
Board Room at 1231 Addison Street

Visit this webpage for information on how to contact the School Board or to find out when monthly office hours are scheduled.

All School Board meetings are viewable live on local cable channel 33 and on the BUSD YouTube channel.

Give us your feedback on the A+ News

Let us know what you think of this edition, or what you’d like to know more about!
Send an email to: A-plus@berkeley.net

The Year of the Reader Webpage has useful links to family reading resources!

School Year Calendar 2017-18
School Year Calendar 2017-18 Now Available

The first day of school for next school year has been set for Tuesday, August 29.

The full 2017-18 school year calendar can be found here.

Berkeley Unified School District

http://www.berkeleyschools.net
Hi Brandi,

I just wanted to make sure that you saw this! Let us know if we can do anything to help.

Best,

Max Chen
Congressional Aide
Office of Congresswoman Barbara Lee (CA-13)
United States House of Representatives
1301 Clay Street, Suite 1000N
Oakland, CA 94612
Direct: 1 (510) 315-4223
Cell: 1 (202) 744-7293
Office: 1 (510) 763-0370
Fax: 1 (510) 763-6538
Max.Chen@mail.house.gov

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
April 27, 2017
Emma Lydon: 202.225.2661
Emma.Lydon@mail.house.gov

Congresswoman Barbara Lee’s Statement on Berkeley Protests
Washington, D.C. – Congresswoman Lee released the following statement on today’s protests in Berkeley:

“UC Berkeley has a storied history of dissent and, as an alumna myself, I am proud of the university’s long-standing commitment to providing a forum for free speech. While I stand in firm opposition to the hateful ideology that fuels extremists like Ann Coulter, we must ensure that all parties can peacefully and safely exercise their First Amendment rights.

“We cannot allow outside agitators to undermine the work of nonviolent protestors and students exercising their constitutional rights. Recognizing that the battle of ideas cannot be won with violence, I urge everyone to protest peacefully.”

###

Congresswoman Lee is a member of the Budget and Appropriations Committees, Vice Chair of the Steering & Policy Committee, former chair of the Congressional Black Caucus, former co-chair of the Progressive Caucus and a Senior Democratic Whip. She also serves as chair of the Democratic Whip Task Force on Poverty, Income Inequality and Opportunity.
From: Campbell, Brandi
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 3:57 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: FW: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

Add to calendar – Kate is speaking and if Jesse can, I think he should stop by to support her. But we also don’t want to advertise it a lot, just in case folks come to disrupt this event.

B

From: Harrison, Kate
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 3:09 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: FW: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

From: Alisa Peres <mailto:alisaperes@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Lisa Bullwinkel <bullwinkl@aol.com>; Sreekrishnan, Tara <TSreekrishnan@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

Wow - this is getting complicated. We're totally scrambling right now just to have the funds to pull this off, so even the minimal fee for a permit is more than we have. However, I just looked at the city website and it said 100 people over the age of 12......since all of our singers are under that age, and I don't expect to draw more than 100 people, I think we'd be okay. I think we'll need, though, to amplify one guitar.

So - can any of you direct me to someone from the city who knows for sure about all of this? I really don't want to plan something and then end up with a big problem. (or show up and then not be allowed to perform). And......it's just a few songs sung by kids!

Anyway, I'll keep pursuing it if I know who to talk to.

Thanks again,

Alisa

On Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:07 PM, Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

How I understand the rules: in addition to the below, if you bring more than 100 people, you also need a permit.


Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

Alisa- If you are having any kind of sound system, or inviting a lot of people there, then you need need permits. But if you aren’t putting up any infrastructure, no sound equipment, no electricity, you might be able to just go do it.

---Original Message---
From: Alisa Peres <alisaperes@gmail.com>
To: Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Lisa Bullwinkel <lbullwinkl@aol.com>; Sreekrishnan, Tara <TSreekrishnan@cityofberkeley.info>
Sent: Fri, Apr 14, 2017 12:05 pm
Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

Hello all,

I'm very excited and honored that Kate is interested in speaking at our Peace Train event. Thank you!

In the email that John Caner sent to you all and some other Berkeley government folks, he asked if we need to have a permit or anything else in order to plan an event in the park. (It's almost strange to call this an "event" - we're talking about a few songs, maybe 5 at the most!) I haven't heard anything back about this. Can any of you point me in the right direction or connect me with the right person to talk with about this?
Once these logistics are ironed out, (and some others involving what's happening first at the school in Richmond), I can get back to you with a probable start time. At this point, I'm thinking 12:45 or so.

Thanks very much again!

Alisa

510-334-5488

On Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 6:39 AM, Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Yes it is. Thanks for the connection, Lisa.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 13, 2017, at 4:19 PM, Lisa Bullwinkel <lbullwinkl@aol.com> wrote:

Hello Tara and Kate -

I would like to introduce you to Alisa Peres who is the organizer of the East Bay Peace Train. (I'm just helping her a bit to get connected!)

I'm sure she would be delighted to have you speak at the event and I will let her give you more details.

It's a great event, no?

Lisa Bullwinkel, Hoopla CEO
Another Bullwinkel Show
& BACHotline
2934 Fulton St., Berkeley, CA 94705
510-334-6523 Cell • 510-548-5335 PH & Fax
Lisa@AnotherBullwinkelShow.com
Facebook.com/Another Bullwinkel Show • @BullwinkelShows
Hello Lisa,

This is Tara, Councilmember Harrison’s Aide. The Councilmember can speak at this event as well as attend. She is only free after 12:30pm. Do you have an agenda/timeline for this event?

This sounds like a great event count her in,

Thank you

Tara

From: Lisa Bullwinkel [mailto:lbullwinkl@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 3:45 PM
To: jcaner@downtownberkeley.com; Brenman, Eric <EBrenman@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: alisaperes@gmail.com; McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMCCormick@cityofberkeley.info>; mjervis@downtownberkeley.com; Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

FYI- I've been trying to get BART to sponsor them and it's in the works so we'll see...

Great event!

Lisa Bullwinkel, Hoopla CEO
Another Bullwinkel Show
& BACHotline
2934 Fulton St., Berkeley, CA 94705
510-334-6523 Cell • 510-548-5335 PH & Fax
Lisa@AnotherBullwinkelShow.com
Facebook.com/Another Bullwinkel Show • @BullwinkelShows
Eric:

Berkeley neighbor and teacher Alisa Peres is organizing a Peace Train of youth from Richmond to Oakland, around noon on Saturday May 13. The project is modeled on The Peace Train concept started in South Africa, and would start in Richmond in end in Oakland. She is hoping for a stop in Berkeley with about 50-100 kids singing a handful of songs re peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park, probably on the steps of the Peace Wall/Fountain area.

Is anything else schedules for that time in the park on May 13? Would there be any permit required? They are not sure if they would be amplified.

If nothing is scheduled, I told Alisa there would have to be contingency planning in the event Trump/Anti-Trump protests return to the park that day.

This would be a wonderful project to support. I am cc'ing Jacquelyn McCormick in the Mayors Office, and Councilmember Kate Harrison so they are aware of project also.

Thanks, John

John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
downtownberkeley.com

<image001.jpg>
To: John Caner <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>
Subject: The Peace Train

Hello! I am a friend of Lisa Bullwinkel, who suggested I contact you. I am a local teacher and musician (Berkeley resident for over 30 years!) Just this year, I heard about The Peace Train project, and have gotten so inspired that I’m now helping to organize a Peace Train event here in the east bay.

In short, The Peace Train was originally founded in South Africa, bringing together diverse groups of children to sing in public places to promote racial harmony, inclusion and peace. In 1992, they organized a 500 child, mixed race choir which presented several sold-out concerts and then, for several years, travelled by train and performed throughout South Africa. A wonderful documentary, *When Voices Meet*, was made about this work. You can view a trailer from this film at: www.whenvoicesmeet.com.

The Peace Train team is now based in Philadelphia and is organizing Peace Train events in various parts of the U.S. This is a short trailer from The Peace Train tour last summer on the east coast:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hZo1D-snfbc

I helped bring them out for a 2 day residency in January at the Park Day School, where I teach. We also hosted a workshop for local educators where we decided to organize a Peace Train event here in the east bay. Schoolchildren from Oakland to Richmond, and even Sacramento, are involved, as well as the adult La Peña Community Chorus. I estimate we will have approximately 100 kids plus a large group of adults with us.

On Saturday, May 13th, we are going to start by singing at the Riverside School in Richmond, travel by BART with our Peace Train signs and banners and T-shirts, and finish the day by singing at a school in Oakland. We will also possibly get off and sing at several BART plazas, and would be thrilled to sing in a public place in downtown Berkeley: in front of the BART station or near the library or wherever else you might think is appropriate. The Peace Train songs are joyful expressions of diversity, inclusion and positive action.

I’m writing to you to see if you might assist in coordinating a stop in Berkeley, as well as help sponsor this event. As you can imagine, there are many costs involved in producing this, and any help would truly go a long way.

Thank you for reading this far! I would immensely appreciate any aid or suggestions you might provide. I really believe The Peace Train has the potential to make a difference during these divisive times.

I look forward to hearing back from you. I can be reached at this email and also at: 510-334-5488.
Thanks very much!

Sincerely,

Alisa
From: Alisa Peres [mailto:alisaperes@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Lisa Bullwinkel <lbullwink@aol.com>; Sreekrishnan, Tara <TSreekrishnan@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

Wow - this is getting complicated. We're totally scrambling right now just to have the funds to pull this off, so even the minimal fee for a permit is more than we have. However, I just looked at the city website and it said 100 people over the age of 12.......since all of our singers are under that age, and I don't expect to draw more than 100 people, I think we'd be okay. I think we'll need, though, to amplify one guitar.

So - can any of you direct me to someone from the city who knows for sure about all of this? I really don't want to plan something and then end up with a big problem. (or show up and then not be allowed to perform). And......it's just a few songs sung by kids!

Anyway, I'll keep pursuing it if I know who to talk to.

Thanks again,

Alisa

On Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 1:07 PM, Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

How I understand the rules: in addition to the below, if you bring more than 100 people, you also need a permit.

From: Lisa Bullwinkel [mailto:lbullwink@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 12:55 PM
To: alisaperes@gmail.com; Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Sreekrishnan, Tara <TSreekrishnan@cityofberkeley.info>

Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?
Alisa- If you are having any kind of sound system, or inviting a lot of people there, then you need need permits. But if you aren't putting up any infrastructure, no sound equipment, no electricity, you might be able to just go do it.

---

-----Original Message-----
From: Alisa Peres <alisaperes@gmail.com>
To: Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Lisa Bullwinkel <lbullwinkl@aol.com>; Sreekrishnan, Tara <TSreekrishnan@cityofberkeley.info>
Sent: Fri, Apr 14, 2017 12:05 pm
Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

Hello all,

I'm very excited and honored that Kate is interested in speaking at our Peace Train event. Thank you!

In the email that John Caner sent to you all and some other Berkeley government folks, he asked if we need to have a permit or anything else in order to plan an event in the park. (It's almost strange to call this an "event" - we're talking about a few songs, maybe 5 at the most!) I haven't heard anything back about this. Can any of you point me in the right direction or connect me with the right person to talk with about this?

Once these logistics are ironed out, (and some others involving what's happening first at the school in Richmond), I can get back to you with a probable start time. At this point, I'm thinking 12:45 or so.

Thanks very much again!

Alisa
On Fri, Apr 14, 2017 at 6:39 AM, Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Yes it is. Thanks for the connection, Lisa.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 13, 2017, at 4:19 PM, Lisa Bullwinkel <lbullwinkl@aol.com> wrote:

Hello Tara and Kate -

I would like to introduce you to Alisa Peres who is the organizer of the East Bay Peace Train. (I'm just helping her a bit to get connected!)

I'm sure she would be delighted to have you speak at the event and I will let her give you more details.

It's a great event, no?
This sounds like a great event count her in,

Thank you

Tara

From: Lisa Bullwinkel [mailto:lbullwinkl@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 3:45 PM
To: jcaner@downtownberkeley.com; Brenman, Eric <EBrenman@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: alisaperes@gmail.com; McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info>; mjervis@downtownberkeley.com; Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

FYI- I've been trying to get BART to sponsor them and it's in the works so we'll see...

Great event!

***Lisa Bullwinkel, Hoopla CEO***
*Another Bullwinkel Show & BACHotline*
2934 Fulton St., Berkeley, CA 94705
510-334-6523 Cell • 510-548-5335 PH & Fax
Lisa@AnotherBullwinkelShow.com
Facebook.com/Another Bullwinkel Show • @BullwinkelShows

-----Original Message-----
From: John Caner <jcaner@downtownberkeley.com>
To: Eric Brenman <EBrenman@ci.berkeley.ca.us>
Cc: Alisa Peres <alisaperes@gmail.com>; Lisa Bullwinkel <lbullwinkl@aol.com>; McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info>; Matthew Jervis <mjervis@downtownberkeley.com>; Kate Harrison <kharrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Sent: Wed, Apr 12, 2017 3:37 pm
Subject: East Bay Peace Train youth performance promoting peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park around 12noon on Saturday May 13?

Eric:
Berkeley neighbor and teacher Alisa Peres is organizing a Peace Train of youth from Richmond to Oakland, around noon on Saturday May 13. The project is modeled on The Peace Train concept started in South Africa, and would start in Richmond in end in Oakland. She is hoping for a stop in Berkeley with about 50-100 kids singing a handful of songs re peace and racial harmony in Civic Center Park, probably on the steps of the Peace Wall/Fountain area.

Is anything else schedules for that time in the park on May 13? Would there be any permit required? They are not sure if they would be amplified.

If nothing is scheduled, I told Alisa there would have to be contingency planning in the event Trump/Anti-Trump protests return to the park that day.

This would be a wonderful project to support. I am cc'ing Jacquelyn McCormick in the Mayors Office, and Councilmember Kate Harrison so they are aware of project also.

Thanks, John

John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
jcaner@downtownberkeley.com

<image001.jpg>
organized a 500 child, mixed race choir which presented several sold-out concerts and then, for several years, travelled by train and performed throughout South Africa. A wonderful documentary, *When Voices Meet*, was made about this work. You can view a trailer from this film at:  [www.whenvoicesmeet.com](http://www.whenvoicesmeet.com).

The Peace Train team is now based in Philadelphia and is organizing Peace Train events in various parts of the U.S. This is a short trailer from The Peace Train tour last summer on the east coast:

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hzo1D-snfbc](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hzo1D-snfbc)

I helped bring them out for a 2 day residency in January at the Park Day School, where I teach. We also hosted a workshop for local educators where we decided to organize a Peace Train event here in the east bay. Schoolchildren from Oakland to Richmond, and even Sacramento, are involved, as well as the adult La Peña Community Chorus. I estimate we will have approximately 100 kids plus a large group of adults with us.

On Saturday, May 13th, we are going to start by singing at the Riverside School in Richmond, travel by BART with our Peace Train signs and banners and T-shirts, and finish the day by singing at a school in Oakland. We will also possibly get off and sing at several BART plazas, and would be thrilled to sing in a public place in downtown Berkeley: in front of the BART station or near the library or wherever else you might think is appropriate. The Peace Train songs are joyful expressions of diversity, inclusion and positive action.

I’m writing to you to see if you might assist in coordinating a stop in Berkeley, as well as help sponsor this event. As you can imagine, there are many costs involved in producing this, and any help would truly go a long way.

Thank you for reading this far! I would immensely appreciate any aid or suggestions you might provide. I really believe The Peace Train has the potential to make a difference during these divisive times.

I look forward to hearing back from you. I can be reached at this email and also at:  [510-334-5488](tel:510-334-5488).

Thanks very much!

Sincerely,

Alisa
FYI, I will be speaking with Cathy about this shortly.

Alejandro

---

Hi Alejandro,

I’m hoping to talk with you about this situation, and the now common references to the Mayor in all communications, some time today. I will keep trying you by phone, and if possible please also give me a call at 510-851-5070.

Thanks very much!

Cathy

Begin forwarded message:

From: Yvette Felarca <vette.felarca@ueaa.net>
Subject: Hate Speech Is Not Welcome In Berkeley--School Board Mtg Tonight at 7:30 pm
Date: March 8, 2017 at 7:48:58 AM PST
To: Yvette Felarca <vfe larca@gmail.com>

Apologies for the late notice of this email. I invite all of you to join me tonight at the Berkeley School Board meeting beginning at 7:30 pm (1231 Addison Way, behind the Administration Building off Bonar St.) to call on them to issue a public statement that **hate speech is not welcome in Berkeley**. Below is an excerpt of a letter I sent out to the staff at my school last week. It’s especially timely now. Last Saturday, hundreds of anti-racist and immigrant rights supporters thwarted attempts by neo-Nazi and other extreme racist Trump supporters to violently attack members of Berkeley and Bay Area community at the park across the street from Berkeley High. We stood strong as a community, and will need to continue that over the course of this next period.

“I am calling on the school district, my union, our school standing as one, and the community to unequivocally support our Mayor and other anti-Trump community leaders and activists, including myself, by issuing a statement
condemning the cyber-terrorists and defending our right to speak out and protest against the Trump movement.”

Feb. 26, 2017

Dear Friends and Colleagues,

I am initiating an investigation into the campaign of threats and harassment that has terrorized our school and community. I am also calling upon the School Board, our teachers’ union, and our school principal to condemn the threats that have been made against Mayor Arreguín, against myself, and against the members of our school, and to take action against the perpetrators. I have already uncovered fascist and alt-right message boards that have the email addresses of the staff of our school posted on them to facilitate their supporters’ relentless vicious and deranged attacks against me and everyone who works at our school.

The perpetrators of the campaign are a small fringe of cyber-bullies and self-described “trolls”—they have routinely targeted a number of activists and public figures, mainly women, with a manufactured bombardment of intimidation and death threats. Most of their campaigns of terror are organized on websites like “4chan” and “8chan,” where racist message boards blacklist the targets who then receive mass-produced threats of bombings, shootings, and rape. I am one of the activists who became a target of their death threats, because of my prominent opposition to the Donald Trump movement. The Mayor of Berkeley, Jesse Arreguín, has also been targeted with thousands of death threats, by the same cyber terrorists, after he tweeted that Milos Yiannopoulos is a “bigot,” a “white supremacist” and that “hate speech is not welcome in our community.” The Mayor sent that tweet just hours before the community protest of a Milo Yiannopoulos event (Milo is a notorious avid Trump supporter who has been banned from social media due to his own campaigns of harassment and threats). After the protest, the Mayor praised the police for prioritizing the safety of the non-violent protesters over the protection of property and refused to condemn the protest. The cyber-terrorists responded by threatening to murder Mayor Arreguín, and they posted his personal address on their internet forums to threaten Mayor’s family and neighbors. Our Mayor, who was targeted for being a Latino leader, has rightly responded to these threats by declaring that he will not be silenced.

I share the Mayor’s characterization of, and opposition to, Milos Yiannopoulos and his ilk. It is no coincidence that we are facing the same attacks for our willingness to express what the vast majority of Berkeley residents agree with. My response to these death threats is the same as the response of the Mayor: I will not be silenced. I know that if we do not stand up to oppose this racist campaign of terror, then our community members will become the hostages of a small fringe of tyrannical sociopaths. I am calling upon our school board,
teachers’ union, and principal to defend our community and to condemn the attacks on our Mayor and our school. It is shocking that such a request is even necessary. The Berkeley school administration should be expected to act decisively against a campaign of terror and death threats, and should be expected to stand up for the defense of community members who are being victimized by such attacks. It is time to stop blaming the victims, and it is time to start defending the policy that the Mayor correctly declared: “hate speech is not welcome in our community.”…

Following each deluge of threats, the primary response of the school administration has been to exploit the circumstance of those threats as a pretext to remove me from the school. The first wave of threats occurred during the summer, after I spoke to the media about a protest I attended; at that protest, I was one of several individuals who was stabbed by knife-wielding neo-Nazis. The neo-Nazis then sent death threats to me and to the school, but the school administration did nothing to stop the perpetrators. Instead, the school administration decided to blame the victim, and suspended me from school. The administration should have defended my free speech rights and taken measures to prosecute and jail the perpetrators—the administrators should have protected our school from further terror. Their failure to act last summer guaranteed that the cyber-terrorists would launch a new attack as soon as Berkeley's anti-Trump movement achieved a new victory against the Trump movement. The latest wave of threats occurred after I was interviewed on Fox News. Again, the school administration did nothing to condemn and oppose the terrorizing of the school, and the administration wrongfully characterized the barrage of death threats as “free speech” and defended the perpetrators as citizens “exercising their First Amendment rights.”

This wrongful policy by the school administration—to treat fascist and alt-right harassment and death threats as permissible “input”—is not only reprehensible, it is also very dangerous. It empowers the perpetrators by rewarding their crimes, and it gives them the ultimate incentive to escalate their attacks. Harassment and death threats such as those leveled against the Berkeley Mayor are not “free speech”—they are an assault upon the real free speech of the members of our community. The aim of the perpetrators is to silence the free speech of anyone who opposes Donald Trump. When the school administration decided to suspend me and try to take away my job, they were not only blaming the victim: they were endangering our school to be victimized by more cyber terror. This must stop immediately. The school administration must take a clear stand to oppose and unequivocally condemn the entire campaign of intimidation and threats against our school for it to finally end. Making concessions to the cyber-terrorists just gives them the power to hold our school and our community hostage to their demands and to silence our community’s broad and open opposition to Trump and his racist movement. If the District submits to the cyber-terrorists’ demand to remove me from the school, then logically our community should submit to their demand to remove our Mayor from office to prevent the City Council and community groups from receiving a deluge of threats and intimidation. Berkeley cannot allow a few computer-hacking fanatics to enforce a gag order over our city.

The investigation that I have started will enlist the help of experts. I am also asking for whatever help you can provide to expose the truth and to bring a halt to the madness that has befallen our school. I pledge to stand strong and do everything in my power to
protect you and our school. We can defeat the gaggle of right-wing cyber-terrorists if we stay united and refuse to capitulate to their demand that I must leave our school and abandon my students. The Trump movement’s cyber-terrorists can be beaten, but only if they are opposed by forceful unwavering leaders who refuse to give in to their threats of violence.

They are easy to defeat if we are united, but can be just as easily strengthened if our administration continues to defend their supposed "right to free speech" while attempting to silence me.

The lesson of history shows that in the 1920's in Italy and the 1930's in Germany, when decent people allowed themselves to be silenced and attempted to conciliate to the forces of authoritarianism and hate, those forces won and catastrophe followed. The national movement against Trump shows that millions of Americans have learned the lessons of that history—it is long past time for our school administration to have learned those lessons. I am calling on the school district, my union, our school standing as one, and the community to unequivocally support our Mayor and other anti-Trump community leaders and activists, including myself, by issuing a statement condemning the cyber-terrorists and defending our right to speak out and protest against the Trump movement.

This modest act that could greatly advance our fight and make us all safer. I am truly grateful for the support I have already received from so many of you, and look forward to sharing victory with you.

Yours In Struggle,

Yvette

510-502-9072
From: Patrick Dolan [mailto:pdolan@americanprogress.org]
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 11:08 AM
Cc: Patrick Dolan <pdolan@americanprogress.org>
Subject: INVITATION: CAP, NILC and United We Dream Immigration call for local elected officials
Importance: High

Dear Mayor:

In light of the Trump administration’s recent executive actions on immigration, the Center for American Progress (CAP), National Immigration Law Center (NILC) and United We Dream (UWD) cordially invite you and your staff to participate in an off-the-record conference call on **Thursday, March 9th from 3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. EST** to discuss the political, policy, and budget implications for local governments regarding new federal immigration enforcement policies. Specifically, the conference call will cover:

- **Immigration Enforcement:** The implications for children and families in your community, and how you can prepare.

- **Public Benefits:** Understanding the potential threats facing immigrants (green card holders and undocumented) who use public benefits such as free and reduced school lunch, SNAP, or Medicaid.

- **Federal enforcement policies and local implementation:** The anticipated budget implications for local governments, and how these policies make communities less safe.

- **Available Resources:** Materials that are available for use by municipalities, families, and community-based organizations such as know your rights cards and the ICE activity hotline.

To participate in the conference call, please RSVP to Patrick Dolan (pdolan@americanprogress.org) by COB on **Tuesday, March 7th**.

Please note that this call is closed to press and intended exclusively for local elected officials and staff. Do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions, or if you need more information.

Sincerely,

Patrick Dolan

Patrick J. Dolan
Manager of Intergovernmental Affairs
Hi. This is Charles Clifford with KRON television. Is the mayor available this afternoon to talk on Camera regarding the Ann Coulter situation at Berkeley?

Any help appreciated.
Thanks,

Charles Clifford
(415)769-4457

Sent using OWA for iPhone
From: Paul Chambers [mailto:Paul.Chambers@FOXTV.COM]
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 8:05 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>; Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Mayors statement

I was hoping I can get a statement from the Mayor regarding the Ann Coulter issue and the right to free speech at Cal's Campus

Paul Chambers

Reporter KTVU

Cell: 510-813-7688

Office: 510-874-0219

Facebook Twitter Instagram
From: Elgstrand, Stefan
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2017 2:28 PM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn; Campbell, Brandi
Subject: FW: Records request

Looks like this request applies only to you two. It’s similar to the one that we did already. Can you send me the documents and I will forward them. You have ten days. Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

From: Todd Shepherd [mailto:tshepherd@washingtonexaminer.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2017 1:13 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>; Berkeley Mayor’s Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Records request

May 3, 2017

City of Berkeley, California

Berkeley, CA 94704

Dear City of Berkeley,

This letter constitutes a formal notice that a request is being made to inspect the public records identified in this document.

Therefore, none of the records requested may be destroyed by the agency or person(s) to which this request is directed while the request is pending. Accordingly, please take immediate steps to

1
prevent the deletion of any electronic information, or the destruction of any other records which are or may be responsive in any manner to this request.

Pursuant to the state open records law Cal. Gov't Code Secs. 6250 to 6277, I write to request access to all writings with the following criteria:

- From the dates March 28-April 1, 2017, and April 19-22, 2017, and April 25-May 1, 2017
- For the persons Brandi Campbell, Chief of Staff to the Mayor, Jacquelyn McCormick, Senior Advisor to the Mayor
- That use the keywords “Ann Coulter,” or “Coulter” or use any code name or pronoun for Ann Coulter; or uses the keywords “BridgeUSA;” or uses the keyword “Antifas” or “Anti-fas;” or uses the term “College Republicans,” regardless of capitalization for any of the above defined terms.

If your agency does not maintain these public records, please let me know who does and include the proper custodian's name and address.

Please note that a complete search of potentially responsive documents will search the inbox, and the “sent” folder.

Additionally, a complete search would not only search the deleted folder in the email software interface, but would also search the “trash can” or deleted files folder for the office computer of those named in the request details.

Finally, I request that if there are potentially responsive emails which have been deleted from the email software interface, but might still remain as “remnant data” on either the computer/email servers used or leased by the City of Berkeley, or data that might remain as “remnant data” on the hard drive of the office computer for any of those listed in this request, that the remnant data be considered as a responsive record. Therefore, if remnant data exists as potentially responsive records, please contact me to discuss how the data can be located and reconstructed.

I agree to pay any reasonable copying and postage fees of not more than $30.00. If the cost would be greater than this amount, please notify me.
Please provide a receipt indicating the charges for each document.

As provided in the open records law, Sec. 6253(c), I will expect your response within ten (10) business days.

If you choose to deny this request, please provide a written explanation for the denial including a reference to the specific statutory exemption(s) upon which you rely. Also, please provide all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Todd Shepherd
Reporter, Washington Examiner
1152 15th St. NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20005
405-274-2800 (cell)

--
Todd Shepherd
Reporter, Washington Examiner
@toddashepherd
(202)-459-4970 ofc
(405)-274-2800 cell
From: Todd Shepherd [mailto:tshepherd@washingtonexaminer.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2017 1:13 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <Selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>; Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Records request

May 3, 2017

City of Berkeley, California

Berkeley, CA 94704

Dear City of Berkeley,

This letter constitutes a formal notice that a request is being made to inspect the public records identified in this document.

Therefore, none of the records requested may be destroyed by the agency or person(s) to which this request is directed while the request is pending. Accordingly, please take immediate steps to prevent the deletion of any electronic information, or the destruction of any other records which are or may be responsive in any manner to this request.

Pursuant to the state open records law Cal. Gov't Code Secs. 6250 to 6277, I write to request access to all writings with the following criteria:

• From the dates March 28-April 1, 2017, and April 19-22, 2017, and April 25-May 1, 2017
- For the persons Brandi Campbell, Chief of Staff to the Mayor, Jacquelyn McCormick, Senior Advisor to the Mayor

- That use the keywords “Ann Coulter,” or “Coulter” or use any code name or pronoun for Ann Coulter; or uses the keywords “BridgeUSA;” or uses the keyword “Antifas” or “Anti-fas;” or uses the term “College Republicans,” regardless of capitalization for any of the above defined terms.

If your agency does not maintain these public records, please let me know who does and include the proper custodian's name and address.

Please note that a complete search of potentially responsive documents will search the inbox, and the “sent” folder.

Additionally, a complete search would not only search the deleted folder in the email software interface, but would also search the “trash can” or deleted files folder for the office computer of those named in the request details.

Finally, I request that if there are potentially responsive emails which have been deleted from the email software interface, but might still remain as “remnant data” on either the computer/email servers used or leased by the City of Berkeley, or data that might remain as “remnant data” on the hard drive of the office computer for any of those listed in this request, that the remnant data be considered as a responsive record. Therefore, if remnant data exists as potentially responsive records, please contact me to discuss how the data can be located and reconstructed.

I agree to pay any reasonable copying and postage fees of not more than $30.00. If the cost would be greater than this amount, please notify me.

Please provide a receipt indicating the charges for each document.

As provided in the open records law, Sec. 6253(c), I will expect your response within ten (10) business days.

If you choose to deny this request, please provide a written explanation for the denial including a reference to the specific statutory exemption(s) upon which you rely. Also, please provide all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material.
Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Todd Shepherd
Reporter, Washington Examiner
1152 15th St. NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20005
405-274-2800 (cell)

--
Todd Shepherd
Reporter, Washington Examiner
@toddashepherd
(202)-459-4970 ofc
(405)-274-2800 cell
From: Numainville, Mark L.
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 8:43 AM
To: All Council
Subject: FW: Resolution No. 12-17 - In support of a Congressional Investigation Regarding the Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump
Attachments: 12-17 reso in support of a Congressional investigation regarding the impeachment of President Donald J. Trump - Adopted 2-21-17 (2).pdf

From the City of Richmond.

Mark Numainville
City Clerk
City of Berkeley
(510) 981-6909

From: Pamela Christian [mailto:pamela_christian@ci.richmond.ca.us]
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 5:00 PM
To: Numainville, Mark L. <MNumainville@cityofberkeley.info>; cityclerk@oaklandnet.com; Cheryl Morse (cmorse@ci.el-cerrito.ca.us) <cmorse@ci.el-cerrito.ca.us>; racosta@ci.pinole.ca.us; skelly@ci.hercules.ca.us; shartz@emeryville.org; LehnyC@SanPabloCA.gov; Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org; krista.martinelli@ssf.net
Cc: Sabrina Lundy <Sabrina_Lundy@ci.richmond.ca.us>; Ursula Deloa <udeloa@ci.richmond.ca.us>; Trina Jackson <trina_jackson@ci.richmond.ca.us>
Subject: Resolution No. 12-17 - In support of a Congressional Investigation Regarding the Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump

Attached please find a certified copy of Resolution No. 12-17, in support of a Congressional investigation regarding the impeachment of President Donald J. Trump, unanimously adopted by the Richmond City Council at its February 21, 2017 meeting.

At the request of the Richmond City Council, a copy of Resolution No. 12-17 is being sent to you to circulate to your respective City Councils recommending that they pass a similar resolution.

Sincerely,

Pamela Christian
City Clerk
City of Richmond, City Hall, Suite 300
450 Civic Center Plaza | P.O. Box 4046 | Richmond, CA 94804
Main Phone: (510) 620-8513
Fax: (510) 620-8542 | Website: www.ci.richmond.ca.us/Clark

Please Note: This message is being sent on a public e-mail system and may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act. The City Clerk's Department is prohibited from giving legal advice, per California Business and Professions Code 6125).
RESOLUTION NO. 12-17

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA
IN SUPPORT OF A CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE
IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT DONALD J. TRUMP

WHEREAS, the Foreign Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that "no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States], shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State;" and,

WHEREAS, the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that, besides the fixed salary for his four-year term, the President "shall not receive within that Period any other Emolument from the United States, or any of them;" and,

WHEREAS, the term "emoluments" includes a broad range of financial benefits, including but not limited to monetary payments, purchase of goods and services even for fair market value, subsidies, tax breaks, extensions of credit, and favorable regulatory treatment; and,

WHEREAS, Donald J. Trump, the President of the United States, owns various business interests and receives various streams of income from all over the world; and,

WHEREAS, many of these businesses receive, and streams of income include, emoluments from foreign governments, states of the United States, or the United States itself; and,

WHEREAS, leading constitutional scholars and government ethics experts warned Donald J. Trump shortly after the November 2016 election that, unless he fully divested his businesses and invested the money in conflict-free assets or a blind trust, he would violate the Constitution from the moment he took office; and,

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2017, nine days before his inauguration, Donald J. Trump announced a plan that would, if carried out, remove him from day-to-day operations of his businesses, but not eliminate any of the ongoing flow of emoluments from foreign governments, state governments, or the United States government; and,

WHEREAS, on January 20, 2017, Donald J. Trump took the oath of office and became President of the United States,

WHEREAS, from the moment he took office, President Trump was in violation of the Foreign Emoluments Clause and the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution; and,

WHEREAS, these violations undermine the integrity of the Presidency, corruptly advance the personal wealth of the President, and violate the public trust; and,

WHEREAS, our democracy is premised on the bedrock principle that no one is above the law, not even the President of the United States; and,

WHEREAS, there are considerable, questionable ethnic concerns in terms of the election and President Trump’s selected staff.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Richmond City Council, hereby calls upon the United States House of Representatives to support a resolution authorizing and directing the House Committee on the Judiciary to investigate whether sufficient grounds exist for the impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, including but not limited to the violations listed herein; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted officially to the Member of the United States House of Representatives that represents the city, namely, the Honorable Congressman Mark DeSaulnier; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted to all the city clerks of West County cities (El Cerrito, San Pablo, Hercules, Pinole), as well as Berkeley, Albany, Oakland, Emeryville, and San Francisco.

-------------------

I certify that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting thereof held on February 21, 2017, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Choi, Martinez, McLaughlin, Myrick, Willis, Vice Mayor Beckles, and Mayor Butt.

NOES: None.

ABSTENTIONS: None.

ABSENT: None.

PAMELA CHRISTIAN
CLERK OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
(SEAL)

Approved:

TOM BUTT
Mayor

Approved as to form:

BRUCE GOODMILLER
City Attorney

State of California
County of Contra Costa
City of Richmond

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of Resolution No. 12-17, finally passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting held on February 21, 2017.

Pamela Christian, Clerk of the City of Richmond
From: Linda Tam <ltam@ebclc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 12:16 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi
Subject: FW: Sanctuary Cities and Funding Threats - New Resources from ILRC

From: bayareadaca@lists.ilrc.org [mailto:bayareadaca@lists.ilrc.org] On Behalf Of Sara Feldman (via bayareadaca list)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 11:30 AM
To: ReadyCalifornia <readycalifornia@ilrc.org>; bayareadaca <bayareadaca@ilrc.org>; RCApartners <rcapartners@ilrc.org>
Subject: [ReadyBayArea] Sanctuary Cities and Funding Threats - New Resources from ILRC

Dear colleague,

We wanted to share some updated resources from the ILRC concerning the developments around the Trump administration’s threats to strip cities and counties with sanctuary policies of federal funding.

Whether you’re still trying to wrap your head around the sanctuary provision of January’s executive order, learn more about the legal arguments of the San Francisco and Santa Clara court case (including an update on yesterday’s decision), refresh your memory around 8 USC § 1373, or better understand the federal funding debate, there’s something useful here for you.

On the heels of yesterday’s Santa Clara v. Trump court decision, we also want to encourage you to continue your amazing organizing, policy and/or legal advocacy work to separate your community and local agencies from federal immigration enforcement.

We absolutely recognize that this fight is not new, even under this administration, and that the work to protect and defend our communities will take more than sanctuary policies alone. We are committed to supporting that work alongside each of you.

1. FAQ: Trump’s Executive Order on Sanctuary Cities
   Read This To Learn: What does the executive order say? What federal grants are affected? What is a “sanctuary jurisdiction?”
   https://www.ilrc.org/faq-trump%E2%80%99s-executive-order-sanctuary-cities
2. SUMMARY: The Lawsuits Against Trump’s Order to Defund Sanctuary Cities
   Read This To Learn: What cities and counties have filed suit against Trump’s Executive Order? What are their legal arguments?
   https://www.ilrc.org/lawsuits-against-trump%E2%80%99s-threat-defund-sanctuary-cities
3. FAQ: 8 USC § 1373 & Federal Funding Threats to Sanctuary Jurisdictions
Read This To Learn: What is 8 USC § 1373 and do sanctuary policies violate it?
https://www.ilrc.org/fact-sheet-sanctuary-policies-and-federal-funding

4. PODCAST: Professor Bill Ong Hing, University of San Francisco and ILRC Staff Attorney Lena Graber
Chat About Federal Funding Threats

5. LETTER: Nearly 300 law professors sent a letter to the administration arguing the Executive Order on
Sanctuary Jurisdictions is unconstitutional
https://www.ilrc.org/letter-law-profs-1373

We hope these resources are helpful to your work, and feel free to reach out directly if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lena Graber,
Staff Attorney
Can you RSVP to this and listen in?
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From: Lopez, Mario [mailto: Mario.Lopez@BOS.SCCGOV.ORG]
Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2017 1:14 PM
To: Lopez, Mario <Mario.Lopez@BOS.SCCGOV.ORG>
Subject: Sanctuary Lawsuit Debrief Teleconference Call May 4, 2017 3pm PST

Hello,

Santa Clara County Board President Dave Cortese invites you to participate on a teleconference call to debrief on the U.S. District Court’s ruling which resulted in the issuance of a nationwide preliminary injunction blocking implementation of Trump’s Executive Order as it relates to defunding of “sanctuary” jurisdictions.

On April 25, 2017, U.S. District Court Judge William H. Orrick found a wide range of likely constitutional problems with the Executive Order. The call will provide further details.

The debrief teleconference call will be held this upcoming Thursday, May 4, 2017 from 3pm-3:30pm PST. Teleconference call number and instructions will be provided upon receipt of RSVP. To RSVP, please email me at mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org or call our office at 408-299-5030. This call is being co-hosted by the New Americans Leadership Project as well.

Attached is a copy of the court transcript for you to review in advance of the call.

Sincerely,

Mario B. Lopez
Policy Aide | Office of Supervisor Dave Cortese
Third District | County of Santa Clara
70 W. Hedding Street, 10th Floor
San Jose, CA 95110
T (408) 299-5030 | F (408) 298-6637
Mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK, JUDGE

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Plaintiff,

vs.

DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States, et al., Defendants.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, Plaintiff,

vs.

DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States, et al., Defendants.

San Francisco, California
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THE CLERK: We are here in cases 17-485, City and County of San Francisco versus Donald J. Trump, et al., and Case Number 17-574, County of Santa Clara versus Donald J. Trump, et al.

Counsel, please come forward and state your appearance for the record.

MR. KEKER: Good morning, Your Honor. For the County of Santa Clara, John Keker of Keker Van Nest & Peters.

With me at counsel table, from my firm, is Cody Harris. And our co-counsel is James Williams, County Counsel with Santa Clara.

MR. WILLIAMS: James Williams, County Counsel for the Santa Clara. With me is Chief Assistant County Counsel, Greta Hansen, and also the other attorneys on the papers from my office.

THE COURT: Welcome, all.

MR. KEKER: Thank you.

MS. LEE: Good morning, Your Honor. Mollie Lee for the City and County of San Francisco.

MS. EISENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Sara Eisenberg, also from the City and County of San Francisco.

MR. FLYNN: Good morning. Ron Flynn from the City and
County of San Francisco.

MS. MERE: And Yvonne Mere -- good morning, Your Honor -- with the City and County of San Francisco.

THE COURT: Welcome.

MS. MERE: Thank you.

MS. WINSLOW: Good morning, Your Honor. Sara Winslow from the U.S. Attorney's Office. And I have with me Acting Assistant Attorney General Chad Readler, who will be presenting the federal government's argument today, and also Scott Simpson, who is an attorney from the Department of Justice.

THE COURT: I recognize Mr. Simpson.

Mr. Readler, it is a pleasure to have you here. And I hope you will both convey my regards to my former colleagues in Main Justice.

MR. READLER: A privilege to appear before a predecessor in the Department. Thank you.

THE COURT: Great. All right.

So the plaintiffs seek to enjoin Section 9 of Executive Order 13768, titled "Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States," because it's unconstitutional. That's what I want the arguments to focus on today.

The Plaintiff San Francisco also argues that 8 U.S. Code Section 1373 is unconstitutional. And I'm going to defer argument on that to a later day to consider it independently from the Executive Order.
The briefing, particularly the federal government's, intermingled the arguments with the merits of the Executive Order. And my consideration of this issue would benefit from a more comprehensive and isolated record.

So I'm going to hold a case management at 1:30 p.m. on April 25th, to discuss what's necessary. The government can participate by phone if that's what you wish to do.

So I've read the papers. I've also reviewed the amicus briefs. I received 16 of them, representing a variety of city and counties in California and 12 other states, public school districts, teachers, the Superintendent of Instruction of California, the State of California, sheriffs and police chiefs from 11 states, the SEIU, a variety of nonprofits, academics, and the Southern Poverty Law Center.

I'm not allowing the amici to speak today -- all of whom support the plaintiffs -- but I appreciated reading their perspectives.

There are a number of facts that aren't in dispute, that don't need further explication, I think.

First, the federal funding that the counties contend is in jeopardy because of the Executive Order.

With respect to Santa Clara, in the 2015-2016 fiscal year, it received $1.7 billion in federal or federally-dependent funds. That's 35 percent of the County's total revenues. It's used for a variety of safety-net programs.
The Valley Medical Center, the only public safety-net healthcare provider in the county, gets $1 billion in federal funds, which is 70 percent of its expenses.

The County Social Services Agency, which provides child welfare and protection, aid to needy families, support for disabled children, and the like, receives 300 million, which is 40 percent of its budget.

Public Health Department and Office of Emergency Services also receives significant federal funds.

For San Francisco, it received $1.2 billion of its budget, plus 800 million in multiyear grants which are primarily for public infrastructure.

The funds are used for core social services such as medical care, meals to vulnerable citizens, 100 percent of Medicare, 30 percent of the Department of Emergency Management, 33 percent of Human Services Agency, and 40 percent of the Department of Public Health.

So that's with respect to federal funding. And then there is no dispute concerning the existence of policies that the counties contend put them in the crosshairs of the Executive Order.

So for the County of Santa Clara, the ordinance -- there's an ordinance that prohibits employees from providing ICE with information collected while providing critical services or benefits, from initiating inquiry or enforcement action based
on immigration status. And it doesn't honor detainer requests since ICE does not agree to reimburse costs.

For San Francisco, there's an ordinance that prohibits the use of funds or resources to assist in enforcing federal immigration law and prohibits law enforcement from detaining an individual solely because of a detainer request. That does not provide advance notice to ICE about release unless certain conditions are met.

So those facts are not in dispute. I don't need argument on those.

But I'd like to start with Santa Clara's argument, and really ask you to focus on the two central arguments that the government is making. First, that the Executive Order doesn't change the law because the Attorney General and Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security are directed to enforce existing law. And their second argument, that the plaintiff's injuries are not sufficiently concrete or imminent because the government hasn't designated either county as a sanctuary jurisdiction.

So I'll start with Santa Clara, and then have San Francisco, and then have the government's response.

MR. KEKER: Thank you, Your Honor. And I will be --

John Keker for the County of Santa Clara.

I'll be addressing legal issues. If there are further factual issues that come up, Mr. Williams is going to address...
those.

THE COURT: Excellent.

MR. KEKER: But I think what you asked about falls right into what I was planning to talk about.

And this is, as far as we're concerned, an extraordinary case in the sense that the government is not seriously contesting whether they waive it or whatever, but they're not contesting the constitutional arguments' likelihood of success on the merits except in the area of justiciability.

Rather, they are arguing that there should be no injunction because of this savings clause that you mentioned, where everything that they do is going to be according to law.

Our position, as made very clear in the brief, is that that's -- that's just boilerplate and it means nothing.

This unconstitutional order cannot be enforced, cannot be applied, cannot exist consistent with law. The President doesn't have the power to do it. The Tenth Amendment forbids it. The Fifth Amendment forbids it.

This claim that existing -- all they're doing is following existing law ignores the plain text of the statute. Section 2 -- in Section 9, we talked about -- 9(a) talks about ensuring the jurisdictions that willfully refuse to comply with 8 U.S.C. 1373 are not eligible to receive federal funds.

That can't be squared with something that is consistent with law because the President has no power to do that.
The -- what we call the enforcement clause of 9(a), where it talks about the Attorney General taking appropriate action either to enforce 1373 or take appropriate action against any jurisdiction that has a practice or a policy, that, quote, hinders federal law enforcement is further indication that that can't be in force according to federal law.

The people who wrote it, or at least the people who promulgated it, the President and now the Attorney General, have made absolutely plain what this order is about. And what -- and what they plain is that it's a weapon to deprive jurisdictions of the money they need to operate. It's a weapon to cancel all funding to sanctuary cities. They said it recently.

Mr. Sessions, the Attorney General, who tried to do this in Congress and failed, and now as Attorney General has said that he is going to claw back this -- this Executive Order allows him to claw back any funds awarded to a jurisdiction that willfully violates 1373.

And he is going around asking jurisdictions to -- and in this case, California, to rethink their policies and to change their local policies and so on.

We've seen it. In the Chronicle today there was a story about Lansing, Michigan. We cited the -- the events in Miami, Florida, in our brief. So all around the country, including here, people are having to deal with this right now.
And I'm going to get to irreparable harm in a minute. But this notion that it doesn't change existing law simply cannot be squared with the language of the -- of the Executive Order. It puts all federal funds at risk. That's Article 2(c). It ties 1373 compliance to detainers in 9(b).

It gives the Attorney General the power, without any notice or due process or anything, to designate sanctuary jurisdictions. It gives the AG the powers I've just mentioned to take appropriate actions to anybody that he thinks hinders federal law enforcement.

And then it orders, in 9(c), the Office of Management and Budget, Mr. Mulvaney, to gather up information about all grants -- not some grants -- all grants that a sanctuary jurisdiction, so designated, is getting from the government.

Mr. Mulvaney -- I've got to mention, too, Mr. Mulvaney, as we pointed out in our supplemental submissions, is going to Congress urging them to do what this Executive Order purports to do, which we think is further admissions that the President can't do it. If something happens, it has to go to Congress.

So this idea that this can be read consistent with law, we think, is wrong.

We have had some discussions, both this morning and last night, with the government about what I think you're going to hear is a new interpretation of this order, that it's limited to this or limited to that.
We have a few things to say about that. First of all, they should have said it in their brief. And they should have said it in a declaration. And they shouldn't just say it here.

This is not a TRO. There was plenty of opportunity to put forth, if they wanted to reinterpret the statute or -- excuse me, the Executive Order, they could have done it.

And then, second, it's just -- it's not binding on anybody. The -- what we're worried about is the President, we're worried about the Attorney General. What a -- with all deference, what a Justice Department lawyer down the food chain says, without a declaration, without an affidavit, without any binding effect, is not something that you should consider, we believe.

If they want to withdraw this Executive Order and craft a new one according to what they say this one should be interpreted as, they can certainly do that.

THE COURT: So get to the standing issue for Santa Clara, because the -- let's assume for the moment that the Executive Order is riddled with unconstitutionality.

MR. KEKER: Okay.

THE COURT: But tell me about the harm that Santa Clara is going to face as a result of the order, which does not define what a sanctuary jurisdiction is. So how do you know that you're in the crosshairs?

MR. KEKER: It couldn't be more clear that we're in
the crosshairs because of the way the President has described
the purpose of the order, the way the Attorney General has
described the purpose of the order, and the way these DDORs,
which are coming out, are identifying.

The most recent one identified Santa Clara as one of
the -- one of the counties that had the most detainers. In
Section 1 and in Section 3, identified Santa Clara as a county
that had policies that were inconsistent with federal law,
exactly what the Attorney General is supposed to go after.

But the irreparable harm exists now, before the Attorney
General acts, because of this overhang, this coercive overhang
of a Federal Executive Order, that has the force of law,
threatening and coercing local governments all over the
country, but particularly in Santa Clara's case with 35 percent
of its budget.

What are you going to do? You're either going to do
something that we believe is unconstitutional, knuckle under to
what the Executive Order says you should do, or you're going to
do something that violates County policy and that -- that the
County believes is unconstitutional.

For example, start keeping people -- honoring detainers
that have no basis, American citizens being held with no basis
except that ICE wants them held. That's exactly the conundrum
that happened in Miami.

And that coercion, that Hobson's choice, is a gun to your
head. I mean, there's a million metaphors for it. But it exists right now in Santa Clara and in San Francisco, we think all around -- all around the country.

We've argued four things for irreparable harm. The first one is that a constitutional violation is per se irreparable harm. And we've cited cases.

The one that the Ninth Circuit seems to be most on point, that gets away from structural, personal, all that, is this American Trucking case; that that Hobson's choice per se is -- between doing something that's unconstitutional or not is per se irreparable harm.

We've cited the Texas cases for the coercion, and the District Court cases that you're aware of.

And this is -- this is a lot worse than the Texas cases. In the Texas cases, the amount of money that was involved was much less. The stream of funding was much less.

Here, the Hobson's choice of, on the one hand, acquiescing to an unconstitutional order and, on the other hand, violating detainees' Fourth Amendment rights, is fairly drastic.

And, as you've pointed out in reciting the undisputed facts, what the Hobson's choice involved is -- is cuts to the most financially vulnerable citizens. It's not like it's just some small amount of money.

And, I guess, that's -- that's our point. It can't be emphasized enough that there's no way to comply with this order.
without creating constitutional injury. That's -- that's what's happened in other parts of the country. That's what the President and the Attorney General say they're trying to do.

The Executive Order's failure to provide notice and an opportunity to be heard means that months from now the County can find that it's been designated a sanctuary city; the money that they're spending now is being clawed back. Those are decisions that the Board of Supervisors has to deal with right now, and it's current harm.

This notion that it's not self-executing completely ignores the declarations, which obviously you've read, of nine county officials.

In short, we don't have to wait. Attorney General Sessions has made plain his willingness to use the Executive Order to pressure California cities and counties. He's done it to the chief justice, and he's done it in a way that he never could do as a legislator.

So that's -- that's fundamentally the argument about irreparable harm.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KEKER: And with irreparable harm, we believe standing and ripeness take care of themselves.

THE COURT: All right. I agree with that argument, if it's borne out.

Do you have anything else that you wanted to add?
Or, Mr. Williams, was there anything that you wanted to add?

**MR. WILLIAMS:** So, Your Honor, I just wanted to add one point, emphasizing the reimbursement nature of these funds. Every single day the County has to expend general fund money to the tune of an average of $4- to $5 million per day in the expectation of subsequent reimbursements.

And so the threat of clawback -- and, as Mr. Keker noted, the Attorney General specifically referenced clawback in his statements on March 27. The threat of clawback, but also the threat of not receiving those reimbursements, is very real, very serious, and is occurring each and every day right now to the County.

**THE COURT:** So I saw that. So with respect to the reimbursements, is it true that every day you are owed millions of dollars by the government for services that you have already provided?

**MR. WILLIAMS:** Yes.

**THE COURT:** Every day?

**MR. WILLIAMS:** Yes, every day.

**THE COURT:** All right. Thank you.

**MR. WILLIAMS:** Thank you, Your Honor.

**MR. Keker:** Thank you, Your Honor.

**THE COURT:** All right. Let's hear from San Francisco.

**MS. LEE:** Good morning, Your Honor. Mollie Lee for
the City and County of San Francisco.

Happy to address the Court's questions, but I do want to first tell the Court that we did have conversations with counsel for the Department of Justice last night.

And in those conversations we said that we would not object if the Department of Justice wanted to speak about some of the issues that they raised, in order to better inform the Court's conversation today.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. LEE: With that, we're happy to proceed.

THE COURT: Do you think it's better for the government to raise those now, before you argue? Or do you want to argue?

MS. LEE: We're happy to argue --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. LEE: -- and then we can continue our argument after the government speaks.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LEE: Just wanted to raise that for the Court.

I will be addressing the merits of our argument. My colleague, Ms. Eisenberg, will be addressing questions about ripeness and irreparable harm.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEE: So, as I heard the Court's questions, you have two questions for us right now. The first is whether the
Executive Order changes existing law. And the answer to that is yes, it does.

San Francisco agrees with the points that counsel for Santa Clara made. I don't want to repeat those points, but I do want to focus in on one specific thing.

And that is that requiring cities and counties to comply with detainer requests does change existing law, and it changes it in a way that violates the constitution. The Administration is using the Executive Order and 1373 to try to force jurisdictions to comply with detainer requests.

We see that in the plain text of the Executive Order where Section 9(a) directs withholding funds from sanctuary jurisdictions, and Section 9(b) equates sanctuary jurisdictions with those that don't comply with detainers. We also see that in statements by the Attorney General.

And, as you noted, San Francisco does not comply with detainer requests. And that is because San Francisco has made a policy determination that when local government officials enforce federal immigration law, it undermines the trust that residents have. It makes it less likely the victims of crime will call the police. It makes it less likely that parents will take their children to get vaccinated. And makes it less likely that parents will feel safe taking their children to school.

This is a policy decision that San Francisco has made and
that the Administration disagrees with. And the Administration, in this Executive Order, is seeking to unconstitutionally coerce San Francisco into changing its policies.

There is no question that the Administration views San Francisco as a sanctuary jurisdiction. We see that in repeated statements by Attorney General Sessions, most recently in an op ed published in the San Francisco Chronicle a week ago.

He has specifically identified San Francisco as a sanctuary city, and he has also specifically equated sanctuary city policies with policies that don't require compliance with detainer requests.

So as we stand here today, we have an Executive Order that threatens to withhold all federal funds from sanctuary jurisdictions, and we have an administration that has determined that San Francisco is a sanctuary jurisdiction.

We're seeking an order that removes that unconstitutional threat from San Francisco and from the hundreds of jurisdictions around the country that have similar laws.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Eisenberg.

MS. EISENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. I wanted to talk a little bit about some of the San Francisco-specific facts about why San Francisco believes that it too has a target
on its back.

And, in addition to the Declined Detainer Outcome Reports that were referenced by Mr. Keker, we also have the comments from Attorney General Sessions on March 27th. And those were his remarks on sanctuary jurisdictions.

And in those comments he specifically singled out San Francisco and referenced San Francisco's, quote, sanctuary policies. And in his op ed that was filed on April 7th, he again specifically referred to San Francisco as a, quote, sanctuary city.

So I think the idea that San Francisco is not targeted is -- strains credulity.

And under the case law, we don't have to wait for the arrow to hit that target to come into court and show that we have harm and standing. We just have to show a credible threat of prosecution, which I believe we can certainly show with the express statements of the Attorney General.

I guess the only other point that I would like to flesh out a little bit more is the idea that, in addition, we also have current injury because we are being pressured to change our local laws.

Jurisdictions and states under Supreme Court case law -- and this is the Alfred L. Snapp & Son case that we cite in our brief -- have sovereign power to create and enforce their own laws. And we have standing to come into court and challenge
federal laws that seek to hinder our ability to do this.

And that's the Texas vs. United States case out of the Fifth Circuit, and several other cases that they cite in there, including out of the Fourth Circuit and the Tenth Circuit.

So, in addition to the fact that we believe they are coming for San Francisco's funds, there is this pressure and coercion that San Francisco is facing to change its laws. And that, in and of itself, is a harm that gives us Article III justiciability.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. EISENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Readler.

You have a new interpretation of the Executive Order?

MR. READLER: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court.

No, that's not correct. But I do want to walk through the terms of Section 9, because I think, when plainly read, they disarm many of the arguments that we've seen on the other side.

And I'd just like to start by noting that, consistent with his constitutional duty to take care of our current laws and to be faithfully executed, the President issued this Executive Order which reflects the policy directives of the United States with respect to the enforcement priorities of existing immigration law.
And a couple of key points at the outset. The Order does not rewrite the law. It does not invoke new powers, and does not instruct the Department of Justice or Department of Homeland Security to engage in unconstitutional activity.

The Court is very familiar with the interpretive rule that laws are read narrowly, typically, to try to avoid constitutional problems.

My friends on the other side have read the order as absolutely broadly as possible and have followed that with lots of constitutional arguments, which, if the order actually extended that far, may well raise constitutional issues.

So I think we can pretty quickly walk through Section 9 and explain its application just by its plain terms, not taking my word for it, but just looking at the plain terms of Section 9.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. READLER: So, first, Section 9 is directed to two agencies. It's directed to the Department of Justice and directed to the Department of Homeland Security.

Those are the only two agencies in Section 9(a) -- excuse me -- Section 9(a) that are directed to do anything. So there's no direction -- happy to give the Court a moment.

THE COURT: No, no, no. I'm quite familiar with this. I was just going to the policy section of Section 2, that says that it's the policy of the executive branch to ensure
that jurisdictions that fail to comply with applicable federal
law do not receive federal funds except as mandated by law.

MR. READLER: Correct, which is a pretty vanilla
statement about the fact that people have to comply with the
law.

THE COURT: It's a broad statement, Mr. Readler, but
go ahead.

MR. READLER: It's certainly a broad policy statement.
And, certainly, executives and all political leaders use the
bully pulpit to encourage compliance with policy directives
that they think are important.

I think the real operative terms here are Section 9,
which, again, don't create new law. But with respect to
Section 9, this is not 9(a). It's not a direction to HHS or to
Treasury or any other agency. It's a direction to two
agencies.

The Attorney General doesn't control Medicare dollars. It
doesn't control infrastructure dollars. And so those dollars
are controlled by other agencies that are not invoked here in
Section 9(a).

And even more narrowly, Section 9(a), the first sentence
is addressed specifically to federal grants. So now the
impact -- the financial impact of Section 9(a) is with respect
to federal grants issued by the Department of Homeland Security
and the Department of Justice. That is the absolute plain
reading of that section.

   And that, again, dramatically -- I'm not sure there are any grant dollars. Santa Clara may have one -- one or two small grants, with less than a million dollars, that it receives from the Department of Justice, that might be at issue.

   Otherwise, I'm not sure of any other grant that the -- or dollars that the -- my friends on the other side would point to that would be impacted by those -- by the requirements there in Section 9(a).

   And, again, the -- 9(a) and, I think, at least in eight or nine other parts throughout the Executive Order require compliance with law. DOJ --

   **THE COURT:** So you would agree that if there was a clearly unconstitutional order, just dropping the language to the extent provided by -- consistent with law wouldn't save that unconstitutional policy, would it?

   **MR. READLER:** Well, assuming there was a ripe dispute or there was actually going to be enforcement steps taken under the law, then that might be a fair question. And we don't even have -- we're not even at that point here.

   **THE COURT:** But I do -- so, for example, if there is an Executive Order that prohibited the sale of excess federal property to African Americans to the extent consistent with law, that would be an unconstitutional order, wouldn't it?
MR. READLER: That would be hard to defend, Your Honor, correct.

And so that's why I think it's important to walk through, again, what Section 9(a) does. And, also, 9(a), again, applies to federal grants where it's made clear to the grantee that they must require 1373. And my friends on the other side acknowledge that in their briefs.

The San Francisco brief, at Exhibit A, attaches one of the documents that was issued, actually, last year by the prior administration with respect to these issues, the Inspector General and the Office of Justice Programs. These issues were put on their radar last year.

In 2016, OIG identified a number of jurisdictions that potentially could be violating 1373. And following that, the Office of Justice Programs issued a memo to any grantee recipients that, going forward, they would be required -- with respect to three specific DOJ grants, they would be required to comply with 1373.

That's acknowledged in footnote 6 of the Santa Clara complaint. And in footnote 3 of their complaint, they acknowledge these new requirements.

So there's no mystery to my friends on the other side about the fact of which grants are at issue and the fact that there will be express requirements, as part of those grants, that you have to comply with 1373 to be eligible.
THE COURT: Are you arguing that the Executive Order is targeting three grants that were conditioned a year ago? Is that the argument?

MR. READLER: Well, the Executive Order is directed to only grants issued by DHS and DOJ. And it's -- and it's expressly to grants. It would have to be grants where there is notification to the grantee that they have to require 1373. So in many ways that's correct.

There are -- there are some grants from the past year -- again, starting fiscal year 2016, after the OIG and OJP reports came out, there were some grants that were expressly conditioned where the grantees had to comply with that language.

Going forward, I think it's certainly natural to expect that there will be DOJ and, potentially, DHS grants that have express requirements. Those will be known to the parties. There is no ambiguity about that. But that's the range of dollars that Section 9(a) is speaking to.

THE COURT: Then what would the purpose of this Executive Order be then?

MR. READLER: The purpose of the Executive Order is to highlight to the country -- and, again, perfectly permissible use of the bully pulpit. Executives do this all the time to highlight issues they care about.

This is obviously one the Administration has highlighted,
and they have instructed their agencies to carry out the law. This is a priority, certainly, to the Administration in terms of law enforcement, and that's what this accomplishes.

And I think you can see that by -- then the next sentence -- we've now explained the first sentence applies only to a limited range of grants and applies to only dollars where the recipient is on notice.

The second sentence is a directive to the Secretary -- separate from the first sentence, to the Secretary of DHS to identify sanctuary jurisdictions. There are no direct legal consequences attached to that declaration. First of all, the Secretary does not even determine what -- in its view, how it will carry this out or what jurisdictions might comply.

I think the other important point, in terms of today's purposes, is there's no direct legal consequences associated with that section.

The first part of 9(a) talks about federal grants, and the last part talks about potential preemption enforcement actions if there's a dispute.

But that middle sentence, again, is authorization or request that the DHS carry out this determination. But we don't know what the criteria are. No one's been designated. And there's no direct loss of dollars associated with that declaration.

THE COURT: There's no process at all here; right?
MR. READLER: Well, there certainly --

THE COURT: In the order.

MR. READLER: Well, the order just directs the Secretary to look into the issue and to make the designation. We don't know how the Secretary is going to do that.

So there's certainly no ripe dispute in terms of there's been no declaration or even any explanation of how the Secretary is going to reach the sanctuary jurisdiction designation, if at all.

And, again -- and, again, the consequences of that, the order does not state any direct monetary or other, you know, injury that might flow from that.

And, in fact, my friends on the other side essentially both acknowledge themselves to be sanctuary jurisdictions. I mean, this is a term of multiple interpretations. And they have embraced that -- that term.

So to say if DHS makes that declaration that that's an injury of any kind, is awfully difficult to say at this point for a number of reasons.

THE COURT: Well, don't you think that the Attorney General has also embraced that definition? Particularly with respect to the City and County of San Francisco.

MR. READLER: Well, certainly, again, with the use of the bully pulpit, there's a lot of discussion about sanctuary jurisdictions, encouraging communities -- governments do this
all the time. They want to encourage different communities, states, to comply with certain laws and to engage in certain policy perspectives.

With respect to actual consequences, I discussed those -- and let me go back to General Sessions' from March 27th, because that was mentioned this morning.

General Sessions then said exactly what I'm saying now.

He said:

"Today I'm urging all states and local jurisdictions to comply with all federal laws, including Section 1973. Moreover, the Department of Justice will require jurisdictions seeking or applying for department grants to certify compliance with Section 1373 as a condition for receiving those awards."

He goes on to say:

"This policy is entirely consistent with OJP's guidance issued last July under the previous administration. The guidance requires state and local jurisdictions to comply and certify compliance with Section 1373 in order to be eligible for OJP grants. It also made clear that failure to remedy violations could result in withholding of grants, termination of grants, and disbarment or ineligibility for future grants."

That's exactly what I'm saying today. That's how Section 9(a), the first sentence, is being interpreted. It's wholly
consistent with the plain terms.

I would also like to, then, talk about the last sentence in Section 9(a), because that is -- that is an important sentence as well. But it -- it targets enforcing the law, as it currently exists, where states or communities are in violation of Section 1373.

Now, it doesn't direct specific action as to any agency -- or, excuse me -- as to any locality, and there haven't been any -- there's no pending enforcement action against any locality.

But this would be your standard preemption suit that the federal government brings all the time. Arizona vs. United States is one example where it thought that local -- state was --

THE COURT: I remember that case.

MR. READLER: I know you do.

-- was in violation with federal law.

And I think there's two important things about that case. One, of course, is that that was a natural assertion of federal preemption power.

But, too, there's actually one aspect of that where, you'll recall, the Supreme Court didn't enjoin it, and said it needed further development because it wasn't clear how the law was going to be interpreted with respect to the request for immigration status for people who were detained.
That's very much like this case, where we have -- we don't know yet how exactly a policy is going to be applied. And we have some examples, but we don't -- we don't know exactly whether there will be any enforcement actions, and, if so, what they'll look like.

THE COURT: So related to that --

MR. READLER: Yes.

THE COURT: -- and I think this is what you're saying -- there's no definition in the Order of what it means to willfully refuse to comply or what it means to hinder or prevent the enforcement of federal law, which I assume it's just -- and there's no actual definition of whether the federal law is just 1373 or something else.

Would you agree with that?

MR. READLER: A couple of responses to that. I mean, those terms should be given their ordinary meaning.

But, also, this is a facial challenge to the law. So what they're saying is there's no theoretical instance in which this law -- in which 1373, essentially, could be applied constitutionally. I think that's clearly wrong.

Ordinarily, these disputes are resolved on specific facts and specific instances. So if the Court should reject a facial challenge to the Order, if there's a prosecution brought to the Court under 1373, then we have an actual policy of the federal government to match against a policy of the state government,
and we can weigh whether there's a violation of 1373.

    The other important thing to point out is, that first sentence also uses the word "discretion." In other words, the Attorney General has, as always, discretion whether to enforce this.

    So, again, there's no, at all, ripe concrete dispute before the Court about an actual enforcement action. And we certainly can't say that there's no possible application of this -- of 1373 that would be constitutional. Obviously, the Second Circuit has already resolved that it can be applied in many instances.

    I'd also like to address the point about immigration detainer requests. There's no mention of immigration detainer requests in section (a). I know Your Honor is very familiar with how those work.

    The federal government has acknowledged repeatedly that the requests are not mandatory; that they're voluntary. Sometimes they're complied with; sometimes they're not.

    Obviously, the federal government has an interest in having as many of those complied with as possible so it can carry out its function.

**THE COURT:** The Attorney General has equated failure to comply with detainer requests with sanctuary jurisdictions, hasn't he?

**MR. READLER:** Well, in a broad -- "sanctuary
"jurisdiction" is not a defined -- precisely defined term. It's used in many ways, in many instances. So one definition of a sanctuary jurisdiction could be one that doesn't comply.

Now, the question is, is there an injury that flows to a city or a community from that? And the place you look there is -- is in section (a), where we walk through the different potential enforcement actions. And those -- there's -- there's no mention there or discussion there of the ICE detainer request.

Section (b) is a request to Homeland Security that it identify communities that it thinks is not complying. But there's no -- other than, sort of, publicly disclosing this -- this is something governments do routinely. And they issue reports and they identify communities that they think are doing well with something, or not doing well with something, to encourage them to do other things. But there is no other -- there is no other penalty or anything associated with section (b).

So, again, we'd have to think what are the actual consequences other than the encouragement to comply with certain policies. Those are listed in 9(a). And I think I've talked about those. Those are pretty standard, either prosecuting people who have violated an express term of a federal grant, or finding exact laws that are in violation of Section 1373 and then bringing an enforcement action.
With respect to that, Santa Clara is not seeking a declaration about 1337, itself, as constitutional. I know you tabled this issue, but San Francisco says it's in complies with 1373. So neither of those would seem to be an especially ripe candidate for an enforcement action.

And I'll also note that in the OIG and OJP reports from last year, they identified, sort of, a Top Ten List of jurisdictions. You could call it sanctuary jurisdiction or jurisdictions that seemed to have policies that were resistent to 1373. And none of the plaintiffs were on that list. Those ten actually, I think, had special requirements put into some grants that they got last year.

Again, there's been no enforcement action. If there would be an enforcement action, certainly those ten have been highlighted as communities that could potentially be subject -- be subject to one. But even that has not yet happened.

So those -- those are the points I really wanted to make about Section 9(a) to, sort of, run through that.

I had some other points on standing and merits, which I'm happy to discuss briefly in answering any other questions.

THE COURT: Okay. I do have --

MR. READLER: Sure.

THE COURT: Given this argument, I assume that you would agree that the Attorney General and the Secretary of Homeland Security don't have the authority to put new
conditions on federal funds that have been appropriated by Congress?

MR. READLER: I think that's just generally true.

With respect to a grant, if there's discretion afforded to the agency in terms of how --

THE COURT: If congress has given that discretion --

MR. READLER: Correct.

THE COURT: -- then they have it.

MR. READLER: Correct, correct.

THE COURT: But, otherwise, they don't.

MR. READLER: Correct.

THE COURT: You would agree with that?

MR. READLER: Yes.

THE COURT: Because that would violate the separation of powers; right?

MR. READLER: Yes.

THE COURT: Then, also, if -- I assume that you would also agree that if Congress, which does have the spending power, wants to condition grants under the spending power, they can do so only in ways that are reasonably related to the purpose of the program. The nexus requirement. Do you agree with that?

MR. READLER: That's correct, Your Honor.

And that's why, with respect to those issues, I've highlighted the fact that the order talks about expressly
federal grants issued by these specific agencies.

And then, again, it's three -- it's three grants that DOJ identified. DHS has not, as far as I know, identified any grants yet. But DOJ has identified three that expressly relate to criminal justice issues or immigration issues: The SCAPP grant; the JAG grant; and the COPS grant. And those are the three where they put these express conditions, given the Department's authority to do so, regarding the compliance with 1373.

So I think that would answer the constitutional questions that the Court has raised.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. READLER: Just let me return, then, to our threshold standing and ripeness arguments which were certainly made in the briefs.

But, again, there's been no action threatened or taken against the cities.

Certainly, San Francisco says it's in compliance with 1373.

And Santa Clara -- I'm not sure what their position is and whether they're in compliance with it. I think they're in compliance with 1373. But, certainly, the law has been -- 1373 has been in effect for 20 years. They've had an ordinance that they spoke about this morning that's been in effect for at least seven years. And there's been no enforcement action or
other actions taken to suggest that that is in violation.

THE COURT: Right. But now you've got this new Executive Order that is -- that targets sanctuary jurisdictions. You have the comments that the Attorney General has made specifically with respect to San Francisco. And I think both the President and the Attorney General have said things about the State of California.

So why don't they have standing to bring a pre-enforcement action?

MR. READLER: Pre-enforcement actions are typically quite limited. Sometimes they occur in the First Amendment context or when there's an actual threat of criminal penalties.

We don't have that here. We have -- we have -- I mean, we certainly have lots of statements, whether they were during the campaign or otherwise, about a focus on sanctuary jurisdiction --

THE COURT: I'm not talking about -- the campaign, I think, is separate from what's happened since the President has taken office.

MR. READLER: But usually that's when you -- but pre-enforcement actions are allowed. At a minimum, there has to be a direct policy that's in contravention with a federal policy. And we haven't even identified whether that's clear here at all.

This use of the term "sanctuary jurisdiction" is, again, a
broad term. And it can mean different things to different
people. And, importantly, in Section 9(a) it's used after the
sentence "failure to comply with 8 U.S.C. 1373."

So there the reference is -- sanctuary jurisdiction is
with respect to a city that violates 1373. We know that's how
it's being used there. But it can be used in lots of other
ways and lots of different contexts. And it could include
certain things or other things.

But, again, we have no -- other than this very heated and
joined political dispute about what proper immigration policy
should be, there's no actual enforcement action on the table or
that's even been -- even been formally threatened to the city.

So I think I'm not aware of a case where -- where there's
a lack of actual joined dispute about whether a local policy
violates -- violates a federal policy, whether there a
pre-enforcement action would be allowed. I think it would be
awfully unusual.

And, again, usually they're allowed where there is either
a First Amendment in which there's a pretty broad chilling
concern, where we do sometimes allow them, or criminal actions
where there's an immediate criminal penalty about to be
imposed. And neither of those facts are in existence here.

I'll discuss a couple of cases on ripeness because I think
there are a number that would speak to the issue.

One is Texas vs. The United States. There are a number of
those cases. I'm referring to the Supreme Court decision from 1998. That involved Texas -- a new Texas law where they were going to impose sanctions against certain school districts if those school districts had acted in a way that the state -- in terms of performance and other standards the state had put forward.

The Attorney General asserted that some of those actions would require preclearance by the Justice Department under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act. Texas disagreed with that interpretation and filed suit.

And the Supreme Court ultimately held that that case was not ripe because there had been no actual sanctions issued against the community which would then tee up the issue for the Justice Department whether those were in violation of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act. And so the Court dismissed the cases as not being ripe.

THE COURT: They couldn't articulate who it was who was going to be challenged in the Texas vs. The United States; right?

MR. READLER: I think that's right. And I think -- I think, again, we have the same issue here.

THE COURT: Think it's the same --

MR. READLER: Same issue we have here.

There's been no specific identification of any actual sanctuary jurisdiction, as you've used that, for example, for
the federal grant or for a preemption action.

Those actions may well come in the future. Certainly those could come to the Court's attention right away. If there's a potential imminent harm to the cities from that, they could ask for some kind of injunctive relief. Lots of ways to deal with those cases.

This is a facial challenge, again, to the Executive Order, which, one, just instructs the current law be followed. But, second, there are certainly numerous applications where we would say it's absolutely constitutional -- or there's absolutely constitutional application of the -- of the law.

The other case I want to just point out briefly is the Ninth Circuit's en banc decision. I don't think this is in our papers. It's from 2000. It's Thomas vs. Anchorage Equity Rights Commission. Sorry, Thomas vs. Anchorage Equity Rights Commission, en banc Ninth Circuit 2000.

And that involved a fair housing law, I think Alaska and Anchorage had both passed, that barred discrimination on marital status in housing. And there was, I think, a Christian organization that sued because they wanted to rent out housing, and they wanted to give preference to married couples as opposed to those that were unmarried.

And that case made its way to the Ninth Circuit. And the Ninth Circuit en banc held that that action was not ripe because there was not an actually identif- -- there had not
been actual action taken by a potential landlord that then had been penalized under the state law. So there was no actual ripe action there.

I think that reasoning, in that case, applies perfectly to the one before you.

**THE COURT:** Usually when somebody has an interpretation that they want to make, with respect to the challenged Executive Order or cases that they want to bring to the Court's attention, they do that in their briefing.

**MR. READLER:** Well, yes, Your Honor. A couple of responses on that.

First -- first of all, there were a number of threshold ripeness issues that really flow from a lot of the same arguments that we wanted to identify.

But, also, certainly, this Executive Order, which encouraged, again, the Department of Justice and Homeland Security to look at these issues, they needed time to do that. They needed time themselves to interpret how -- what these provisions mean.

The Department of Justice is a big place. And so I can say that I have consulted with members of the Department of Justice to make sure that they read this statute the same way I am today. And they do.

So I think that was just a result of, one, there were some very strong primary threshold arguments; and, two, to make sure
that everyone at the Department of Justice is reading the
Executive Order the way I am.

I think, again, this is the proper reading of -- a very
fair reading of these terms. DHS, and Attorney General,
federal funds.

And my friends on the other side have taken a
dramatically -- the broadest possible reading you could take of
this and identified constitutional concerns. But that's not
the standard interpretive practice that courts usually use.
And I would encourage this court, again, to -- to read this
narrowly and to avoid reading constitutional problems into the
Order where possible.

Now, certainly, again, if there's enforcement action where
there's a live dispute and we have certain application of 1373,
or a preemption action, then those issues would be ripe for the
Court's resolution, and we would know exactly the record that
we're -- we're speaking of.

And I'll just briefly, just a couple -- a couple of the
constitutional issues. And I would note, in the San Francisco
brief we did -- we did cite the City of New York case, where
the Second Circuit upheld 1373 a number -- a number of years
ago. So there's certainly support for the constitutionality
for the statute.

A couple of points. First, on the spending clause issues.
This is not anything like NFIB vs. Sebelius, where 10 percent
of the state's total budget was at issue if those -- if those Medicaid rules were allowed to go forward.

Again, this Section 9(a) applies to a very narrow range, a very narrow range of funding. I'm not sure any San Francisco dollars are even at issue here. There may be less than a million dollars for Santa Clara. I'm not sure. They can probably help clarify that. But it's a very, very small money.

So we're not even -- one, we have an unambiguous requirement in these grants that you have to comply with 1373; and, two, the dollar value is extremely low when compared to the amounts where a spending clause or, really, a Tenth Amendment problem might -- might arise.

On the Tenth Amendment issue, the courts, New York, Printz, those kinds of cases, those are cases where, of course, the local government is commandeered and had been forced to carry out federal law.

That's not what's at issue here. The most that a state or locality would be asked to do is to not bar the sharing of citizenship or immigration status. That's, of course, at the heart of 1373. That's far different than the -- the actual enlisting and compulsion of state officials to carry out a federal regulatory regime that was at issue in Printz and in the state of New York.

And then, again, I guess I would just return to, finally, the City of New York case where 1373 was upheld as
constitutional. Again, that's been a law for 20 years. The cities have been operating under it. San Francisco says they comply with it. Santa Clara complies with it. They have, again, a law that's been on the books for at least seven years, that's not been challenged.

So there's really not a ripe dispute over 1373 today, but there's also an ample authority for it being constitutional.

THE COURT: All right. So, I guess, the bottom line of your argument is that the $1.7 billion in federal funds that Santa Clara has is completely safe under the government's interpretation of the Executive Order, as is the 1.2 billion for San Francisco, plus the 800 million in multiyear grants? That's what you've just been telling me?

MR. READLER: Yes, Your Honor. I -- I've been interpreting Section 9(a) the way a very fair -- extremely fair reading of those terms complies. It doesn't -- it doesn't, on its terms, apply anywhere to these -- to these broader financial concerns.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Readler.

MR. KEKER: May I respond briefly, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MR. KEKER: With all due respect, the government's argument boils down to the hope that President Trump and Attorney General Sessions won't do what they say they are doing with this Executive Order, which is to, quote, ensure that
jurisdictions that fail to comply with applicable federal law
do not receive federal funds. 2(c), as the Court pointed out.

Mr. Readler just read you Mr. Session's March 27th press
conference. And he stopped right before the sentence that says
"We will claw back all federal funds."

The reading that they are giving is something I'm sure
that some very wise lawyers who know the Constitution in the
Department of Justice Civil Division say, "Here's something
that maybe we could defend if somebody ever did it." But it is
not this Executive Order. And what we're dealing with -- I
think we can agree on this, we are dealing with this Executive
Order.

The text talks about "all funds." The -- the -- the
section that we're talking about, Section 9 talks about
sanctuary jurisdictions, and then it works through powers that
the Attorney General is going to have. And in (b) it very
explicitly says anybody that doesn't deal with detainers, and
just above is a sentence that says anybody that hinders and so
on.

And then section (c), if you need icing on the cake, tells
Mr. Mulvaney, at OMB, gather up all -- not DHS, not Department
of Justice -- all federal funding and provide information only
under this rubric of sanctuary jurisdictions. They're not
going around finding out what all jurisdictions are getting.
They are finding out what sanctuary cities are getting.
So we believe, as the Court pointed out, as I said before, the Justice Department had an obligation, in the briefing schedule here, to tell us what they thought about this Executive Order. They did not do what -- they've come up with a further interpretation. It won't wash.

*Washington vs. Trump* had the same problem in the Ninth Circuit. And the Ninth Circuit said, we're not going to accept something that the White House counsel has said in writing, because they don't really bind the people that we care about.

There's a case in the Eleventh Circuit called *Hunter*, 101 F.3d 1565, a 1996 case that talks about, there, concessions a Department of Justice lawyer made in court, or statements that he made in court, but couldn't say that the Solicitor General or Attorney General agreed with him. And they chose just to ignore him.

**THE COURT:** Well, I just heard Mr. Readler say that he has taken this up through the Department. So I believe that that's been -- that box has been checked off.

And he's arguing just that the Order itself -- he's taking an interpretation of the Order, but he's not putting new conditions on it. He's saying this is what the Department says the Order says.

And whether I agree with that interpretation or not, I don't think it's quite the same situation as, say, in *Washington v. Trump*. 
MR. KEKER: Or Hunter.

The -- well, I didn't hear him say that. I didn't hear that Attorney General Sessions had signed off to this new interpretation. I didn't hear that the author of the Executive Order, the President, has signed off on this new interpretation.

And, in any event, the interpretation -- what he said was DHS grants, Department of Homeland Security grants in the future may have conditions that will -- that will have conditions imposed. That would be unconstitutional. I mean, I don't want to litigate an Executive Order that's not before us.

But it's not up to them, as the Court pointed out, to make these conditions. It is the job of Congress. The spending power belongs there, not there.

And if this interpretation were limited to what they said in their papers, which is only Department of Justice grants that are specifically conditioned by Congress on enforcement of 1373, why do we have this Executive Order? It makes absolutely no sense. And the verbiage, the language of the Executive Order that talks about all funds, sanctuary cities and so on, would make no sense. So it's not an interpretation the Court, I think, can take.

We have -- we have talked about the harm. I'm not going to go back over that, the harm that's happening now.

Mr. Williams talked about the millions of dollars a day
that are being spent right now and that the Board of
Supervisors, if we don't get a preliminary injunction, are
going to have to decide what to do about.

This business about standing, citing cases that weren't in
the brief, the case that matters is the most recent
pronouncement on standing by the U.S. Supreme Court, 2014,
Susan B. Anthony List, which they have never responded to or
mentioned, and which stands for the proposition that an actual
and well-founded fear that the law will be enforced is enough
for pre-enforcement action. The Texas cases stand for that.
And, certainly, Susan B. Anthony stands for that.

Unless the Court has questions?

THE COURT: That's great. Thank you, Mr. Keker.

MR. KEKER: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Ms. Lee.

MS. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor.

This is not the first time that we've seen the DOJ make
some statements in court and seen the Attorney General make
different statements.

We saw that on March 27th, where in court, in the
Commonwealth vs. Lunn case, the DOJ said that detainer requests
are voluntary. On that same day, Attorney General Sessions
said in public remarks, about sanctuary jurisdictions, that
detainer requests are not voluntary and the failure to comply
with them violates federal law.
So we cannot rely today on the representations that DOJ counsel is making here.

And the remarks of the Attorney General are not just a bully pulpit. These are remarks about a named defendant interpreting the Executive Order. And those remarks have real consequences. That's their intent and that is their result.

We saw this in the case of Miami. The Executive Order issued. Miami understood it to mean that it had to start honoring detainer requests even though the federal government doesn't reimburse for that, and it changed its policies.

There is no question that that is exactly what was intended by this Executive Order and that it has already happened.

I would like to make a few smaller points --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LEE: -- in response to counsel's argument.

First, there's still no clarity for San Francisco about precisely which funds are at stake.

I heard counsel say that, as far as he knows, no San Francisco funds are implicated. That's not my understanding even just based on counsel's representations about the pocket of funds that are implicated today. It's impossible for us to respond to that argument when we don't have clarity about the precise funds at stake.

Further, the Executive Order does, in fact, mention
detainer requests. And so I also haven't heard with precision
the counsel for DOJ state that the failure to comply with
detainer requests is not going to be the basis for withholding
federal funds.

That is clarity that San Francisco and other jurisdictions
around the country need in order to be able to make an informed
decision about how they will proceed. We lack it. We lacked
that clarity coming into court. And we still lack it as we
stand here now.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Eisenberg, was there something else you wanted to add?

MS. EISENBERG: Just very briefly, Your Honor.

I think nothing in this new interpretation of the
Executive Order changes the ripeness inquiry. The fact remains
that there is money at stake as a result of this Executive
Order.

As my colleague just said, San Francisco actually does
receive some of the money. And that's in the Mayor's Budget
Director Whitehouse declaration that we do receive some of that
money.

There's money at stake for San Francisco and for
jurisdictions around the country. And under Susan B. Anthony
List, which Mr. Keker cited, we don't have to wait for them to
come and get that money if we can articulate a credible threat,
which we uniquely can in these circumstances given the comments
made, the official comments made by the very people that the
Department of Justice says are the ones that are directed to
take action under this Executive Order.

So I don't think anything in this new interpretation
changes our ripeness arguments at all.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

All right.

Mr. Simpson.

MR. READLER: May I have rebuttal?

THE COURT: If you have something brief to say.

MR. READLER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Readler, please come up.

I'm looking forward to hearing from my old colleague

Mr. Simpson.

MR. READLER: He may have something better to say. I
will preempt him just for -- for a minute.

I would like to go back to the March 27th statement. And,
again, we're not today offering a new interpretation. What I
think that Your Honor understood is that I'm offering a plain
reading of what this means, and the other side is offering a
dramatic interpretation.

THE COURT: Not particularly plain. If it was a plain
reading, it would have been argued earlier in the papers.

But I appreciate that you got this reading from the --
from the Order. And that's what I'm going to be looking at
when I leave the bench.

MR. READLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

With respect to the March 27th statement, what the -- what General Sessions said is that some cities have adopted policies to frustrate the enforcement of immigration laws. And he -- he referred to detainer requests. That was one part of the speech.

I think it could certainly be fairly said that the federal government would prefer that the cities comply with the detainer requests, and that not doing so would frustrate the objectives of the federal government because the federal government has an objective in policing this area.

But that -- that had nothing to do with whether something is a sanctuary jurisdiction under Section 9(a) of the order, which goes specifically to federal grants and the requirements, and then preemption suits that could be brought against a local jurisdiction for violations of 1373.

That quote there says nothing about 1373. I read you the longer quote from -- from General Sessions, that went right to the 1373 issue that we're talking about. There should be no confusion about that.

And I appreciate my friend, Mr. Keker, reading the last sentence there, which said the Department of Justice will take lawful steps to claw back any funds awarded to a jurisdiction that willfully violates 1373. First of all, that was tied
directly to the prior statements that I read with respect to
enforcement of 1373 as to federal grants.

So this is only a clawback of funds that would have been
made under a federal grant by the Department of Justice or the
Department of Homeland Security and identified for the Court
that the only -- it -- it was just last year when the
Department first started including express requirements about
complying with 1373. So that's the -- the clawback reference
is only to that limited range of funds.

And Santa Clara has not identified any funds that would be
subject to that. Neither has San Francisco. So I think
that's -- that's a little bit -- bit of a strawman that's been
put up for the Court.

Just very briefly, one, with respect to OMB, it's
certainly OMB's job to collect information and collect reports.
OMB is not the one who would enforce federal immigration law.
They don't enforce federal grants that are administered by DOJ
and DHS.

THE COURT: That does, then, beg the question as to
whether this Executive Order was really designed, as it says in
Section 2, to reach all federal funds or whether it is as
narrow as you've interpreted Section 9(a).

MR. READLER: Well, Section 2 says that -- and it
doesn't even tie this to immigration. Just says the general
statement that, "Jurisdictions that fail to comply with
applicable federal law do not receive federal funds except as mandated by law."

So first it says we're finding out jurisdictions aren't complying with the law. I think everyone should want jurisdictions to comply with the law. And, two, it says they won't receive funds except as mandated by law. And it turns out there are a whole bunch of laws that mandate funding.

So this is -- this is a very broad policy directive that is not a specific new enforcement action by the President. This doesn't seek to invoke new powers.

I think Your Honor correctly identified Section 9 as being the one that could potentially have ramifications. And I've talked at length about that section, so I won't talk about it anymore.

I'll just talk briefly about the pre-enforcement cases. And the Driehaus case is a case where the Ohio Elections Commission had previously taken --

THE COURT: Are you bringing up, now, new cases?

MR. READLER: I'm bringing up the case that they brought up, that they said that -- that we didn't talk about enough. The Driehaus -- I'm only talking about it because my friends on the other side talked about it.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Readler.

MR. READLER: The Susan B. Anthony List case.

And that was a pre-enforcement action where the election
commission had a history of taking certain kinds of actions. And there was a potential threatened action against a political candidate there. And to stop that enforcement, the candidate brought an affirmative suit, as I recall.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. READLER: So I think that's a different circumstance.

I'll turn it over to Mr. Simpson. Thank you very much for your time.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Readler.

MR. SIMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor. It's good to appear before the Court today.

THE COURT: Pleasure to see you.

MR. SIMPSON: Just a very quick scheduling issue, if I could, Your Honor.

The Court entered case management conference orders, in these two cases and the Richmond case, that set a case management conference for May 2nd. And I might have misunderstood. I'm not sure that's the date that I heard the Court say earlier. Is it still May 2nd?

THE COURT: So that date will still be May 2nd. But I want to speak with you specifically, or whoever from the government, and the City about the 1373 challenge and how best to tee it up so that there's a complete record before me. So that's what I want to do on whatever date I gave you.
MR. SIMPSON: I see. April 25th.

THE COURT: April 25th. And you can do that by telephone.

MR. SIMPSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

And that actually leads to what I had planned on bringing up. And I've talked to counsel for the plaintiffs about this. The case management conference that's currently scheduled for May 2nd creates a couple of issues. We have the oral argument on Richmond's preliminary injunction motion on May 2nd.

If the Court could have -- could give me permission to participate in the May 2nd conference by phone, as well, that would pretty much take care of the issues.

One of the issues is our response to the complaint in the Santa Clara case is due on May 1st. So if I need to come to the May 2nd conference in person, then it would be a conflict with actually filing that response to the complaint in the Santa Clara case on May 1st.

THE COURT: Okay.

So I'm happy to have -- if you need to stay in Washington to finish your work, that's fine.

MR. SIMPSON: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If that's what you're saying.

MR. SIMPSON: The alternative, of course, would be to delay the May 2nd -- well, either delay the May 2nd conference
or perhaps even move the case management conferences to May 2nd, to coincide with the Richmond argument.

THE COURT: Okay. So I haven't looked at the scheduling of those things. I will look at it when I get off the bench.

MR. SIMPSON: Okay.

THE COURT: And then we'll set something out.

MR. SIMPSON: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everybody, for your arguments.

Mr. Readler, thank you for coming out here and making the argument for the government.

And I will try to get an order out as soon as I can.

MS. FINEMAN: Your Honor, I am Nancy Fineman, from Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, for the City of Richmond. And our preliminary hearing on the preliminary injunction is May 10th. Mr. Simpson mentioned the 2nd.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to look at all the scheduling with respect to these various cases when I get off the bench.

Thank you, Ms. Fineman.

And I'll just say this for the lawyers: Anybody who intends to have a press conference should go either use the media room down on the first floor or do it outside, but don't do it in the hallway here.
All right. Thank you very much.

(Counsel thank the Court.)

(At 10:09 a.m. the proceedings were adjourned.)

- - - - - -

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

DATE: Friday, April 14, 2017

Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RMR, CRR
U.S. Court Reporter
We should do this too!

From: judy nakadegawa [mailto:jnakedegawa@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 5:47 PM
To: Maio, Linda <LMaio@cityofberkeley.info>; Moore, Darryl <DMoore@cityofberkeley.info>; Anderson, Maxwell
<MAnderson@cityofberkeley.info>; Worthington, Kriss <KWorthington@cityofberkeley.info>; Droste, Lori
<ldroste@cityofberkeley.info>; Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>; Wengraf, Susan
<SWengraf@cityofberkeley.info>; All Council <council@cityofberkeley.info>; Berkeley Mayor's Office
<mayor@cityofberkeley.info>; City Clerk <clerk@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: U.S. Budget Resolution

Wow! What a great resolution! Let's us do it too.
Judy Nakadegawa

PROPOSED RESOLUTION
Whereas Mayor Mike Signer has declared Charlottesville a capital of resistance to the administration of President Donald Trump,[i]
Whereas President Trump has proposed to move $54 billion from human and environmental spending at home and abroad to military spending,[ii], bringing military spending to well over 60% of federal discretionary spending,[iii],
Whereas part of helping alleviate the refugee crisis should be ending, not escalating, wars that create refugees,[iv],
Whereas President Trump himself admits that the enormous military spending of the past 16 years has been disastrous and made us less safe, not safer,[v],
Whereas fractions of the proposed military budget could provide free, top-quality education from preschool through college,[vi], end hunger and starvation on earth,[vii], convert the U.S. to clean energy,[viii], provide clean drinking water everywhere it’s needed on the planet,[ix], build fast trains between all major U.S. cities,[x], and double non-military U.S. foreign aid rather than cutting it,[xi],
Whereas even 121 retired U.S. generals have written a letter opposing cutting foreign aid,[xii],
Whereas a December 2014 Gallup poll of 65 nations found that the United States was far and away the country considered the largest threat to peace in the world,[xiii],
Whereas a United States responsible for providing clean drinking water, schools, medicine, and solar panels to others would be more secure and face far less hostility around the world,
Whereas our environmental and human needs are desperate and urgent,
Whereas the military is itself the greatest consumer of petroleum we have,[xiv],
Whereas economists at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst have documented that military spending is an economic drain rather than a jobs program,[xv],
Be it therefore resolved that the City Council of Charlottesville, Virginia, urges the United States Congress to move our tax dollars in exactly the opposite direction proposed by the President, from militarism to human and environmental needs.
Hello,

Thanks to those who participated in our teleconference call last Thursday in advance of the U.S. District Court hearing relating to our County’s lawsuit challenging the Federal Administration’s executive order targeting ‘sanctuary’ cities and counties. The U.S. District Court did not issue a ruling this past Friday, April 14, 2017. A ruling should be issued in the next few days/weeks. Our office is monitoring all potential developments and will keep folks apprised as we receive details.

As mentioned and requested during our call, attached is a soft copy transcript for you to review.

Below are a few initial press hits immediately following the court hearing:

Local Press

National Press
- Huffington Post - [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-city-trump-san-francisco_us_58f1038ee4b0bb9638e3848f?section=us_politics](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-city-trump-san-francisco_us_58f1038ee4b0bb9638e3848f?section=us_politics)
If you weren’t able to join us on the teleconference call, our office will also coordinate a 30-minute debrief teleconference call within the next week or so. If interested, please let me know directly via email at mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org.

Sincerely,

Mario B. Lopez
Policy Aide | Office of Supervisor Dave Cortese
Third District | County of Santa Clara
70 W. Hedding Street, 10th Floor
San Jose, CA 95110
T (408) 299-5030 | F (408) 298-6637
Mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org
www.supervisorcortese.org
Like Dave on Facebook
Follow Dave on Twitter
Can you drive with me/go to this. I hear Antifa is showing up at Civic Center Park starting at 10 am. We need to jet back to City Hall after this.

Brandi,

FYI for tomorrow we have a special parking place for Mayor Arreguin.

Also: giving you my cell in case of anything tomorrow morning so that you can reach me

Work cell. 510-833-3955

Personal Cell 510-978-6229.

Thanks so much.

Best regards,

Jenn Cogley
Deputy Director, Community Relations

Bayer: Science For A Better Life

Bayer U.S.
Communications, Public Affairs & Policy
800 Dwight Way, B64-316
Berkeley, CA 94710
USA
Tel: +1 510 705 6965
Mobile: +1 510 833 3955
E-mail: jennifer.cogley@bayer.com
Web: http://www.bayer.us
Hello Jenn,

I just spoke with Judy and we have a VIP parking spot for Mayor Arreguin at 725 Potter Street, right past the main event (located under the Siemens building). Can you pass that information onto his team?

Warmest Wishes,

Janiene M. Langford  
Program Manager  
Institute for STEM Education  
510-885-7654
From: Campbell, Brandi  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 10:24 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan; Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Subject: Fwd: Inquiry from Mother Jones

Are we talking to them? We should talk tomorrow about a plan to 1) speak with news outlets that have requested your time 2) make a day of media plan with Jason.

Stefan also share Mathai’s feedback on questions and take a look and see if we need to updated that list.

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
510-981-7104 phone  
510-981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: "Josh Harkinso" <jharkinso@motherjones.com>  
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 2:23 PM -0700  
Subject: Inquiry from Mother Jones  
To: "Berkeley Mayor's Office" <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>  
Cc: "Campbell, Brandi" <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>, "Elgstrand, Stefan"  
<SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>

Mayor Arreguin,

I am a reporter with Mother Jones working on a story about the police response to alt-right protests and counter-protests in Berkeley. I’d like to talk to you about your thoughts on the response thus far, and how you intend to change things, if at all, moving forward. As you probably know, there have on several occasions been pitched street battles between alt-right and antifa protesters and I am curious what Berkeley is doing to address this. Please let me know if we can set up a time to talk.

Thanks,  
Josh

--

Senior Reporter  
Mother Jones  
415-321-1703 (office)  
713-446-0368 (mobile)
iMessage/Signal/WhatsApp-friendly
PGP public key: FD66 7EF3 F879 9FA0 B8BC 7377 BC6E 2AB6 0A2D DB6A
Twitter: @joshharkinson
From: Campbell, Brandi
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 3:08 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan; Arreguin, Jesse L.
Cc: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Not sure if you can fit this in on Monday morn. You'd have to leave Chancellor meeting a bit early. Think about it.

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of the Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7104 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 1:30 PM
Subject: Re: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: Campbell, Brandi <bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>, Elgstrand, Stefan <selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>

Hey Brandi and Stefan,  
I'm glad to hear that the Mayor is interested in speaking briefly at the rally. This could maybe work if the Mayor's prior engagement is at the Milvia office. We will be right below at civic center park. Our rally is short and we would end with a brief speech by the Mayor. If he does speak, we could hand him the letters of support we are writing earlier in the day. We will be walking into school as a group at 9:40 so if the Mayor could be outside with us by 9:35, it would work. Let me know what you think about this plan.

Thanks for working with us on such short notice,

Matt Meyer  
BIHS Economics Teacher  
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign  
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

On Fri, Apr 28, 2017 at 2:29 PM, Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Matt,
I am cc’ing Stefan Elgstrand, the Mayor’s scheduler. Unfortunately he is in a meeting until 9:30am that he may not be able to get out of. He is very interested in joining you all though. Stefan will follow up with the Mayor and you and make something work.

Best,

Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Lets keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletter here.

From: Matt Meyer [mailto:mattmeyer@berkeley.net]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Hey Brandi,
I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We’d love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,

Matt Meyer
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 5:38 PM
Subject: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a 'walk in'. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,

Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher

Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign

Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
Hey Brandi,
I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We'd love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,
Matt Meyer
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 5:38 PM
Subject: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>

Dear Mayor Arreguin,
We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a 'walk in'. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,
Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
FYI

--------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Tarsi Dunlop <tdunlop@populardemocracy.org>
Date: Friday, December 16, 2016
Subject: Local Progress Call Materials & Agenda for 1pm ET Today
To: Tarsi Dunlop <tdunlop@populardemocracy.org>

Good morning Local Progress Members,

We are looking forward to our call today at 1pm ET/12pm CT/11am MT/10am PT. The call will be 75 minutes long and end at 2:15pm ET.

Dial-in: 712-775-7031; Access Code: 902-123-390

You'll find the agenda attached to this email. Supporting materials are as follows.

Protecting Immigrants:
1) Pardon letter for sign on (attached)
2) Link for letter sign on
3) FAQ on pardon campaign (attached)
4) Local Progress Resources: Protecting Immigrant Communities

Joining the Movement to Resist Trump:
5) List of National Upcoming Direct Actions (attached)

Fighting for Equity & Accountability:
6) Local Progress Platform

In Solidarity,
Tarsi

--

Tarsi Dunlop

Local Progress Program and Membership Associate
Center for Popular Democracy
+ CPD Action
1875 Connecticut Ave NW FL 10  |  Washington, DC 20009
M: 413.822.1051

populardemocracy.org | cpdaction.org
Sent from Gmail Mobile
Local Progress
All Member Strategy Call
12/15/2016

1) Welcome & Introduction
   Supervisor John Avalos
   San Francisco, CA
   Local Progress Board Chair

2) Reflections from our Cities
   a. Councilmember Elizabeth Glidden, Minneapolis, MN
   b. Councilmember Valerie Cartright, Town of Brookhaven, NY
   c. Community College Board Trustee Zeph Capo, Houston, TX
   d. Councilmember Robin Kniech, Denver, CO

3) Resisting, Fighting Back & Protecting Communities
   Councilmember Helen Gym
   Philadelphia, PA
   a. Protecting Immigrant Communities
      Carlos Menchaca
      New York City, NY
   b. Building the Movement to Resist Trump
      Dorcey Applyrs
      Albany, NY
   c. Fighting for Equity & Accountability
      (Infrastructure Bill)

4) Cities, towns and counties as “Places of Progress”
   Councilmember Brad Lander
   New York City, NY

5) Building the Progressive Bench
   Councilmember Bill Henry
   Baltimore, MD

6) Q & A
   Sarah Johnson
   Local Progress Co-Director
December __, 2016

President Barack Obama
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20500

Dear President Obama:

As the final weeks of your presidency approach, the undersigned elected officials from around the country urge you to issue a pardon to all lawful permanent residents who are deportable based solely on minor or old criminal offenses. In doing so we join a groundswell of organizations from across the country asking you to use your pardon power. White House officials have publicly expressed concern about using the pardon power on behalf of groups that lack lawful status because, the officials assert, a pardon cannot convey legal status. Lawful permanent residents, or green card holders, already have legal status and many of have lived in the United States legally for very long periods of time. Upending their American families—many of which include U.S.-citizen spouses, parents and children—based solely on minor convictions for which they have paid their debt to society is a disproportionate double penalty that serves no purpose. By pardoning the civil deportation charges that can be triggered by minor or old criminal convictions, you can provide hundreds of thousands of American families with durable protection against deportation.¹

As the Supreme Court has recognized, under current immigration laws, deportation is “nearly an automatic result for a broad class of noncitizen offenders.”² In addition to more serious offenses, very low-level convictions such as petite theft—which includes offense as minor as turnstile-jumping—or simple misdemeanor possession of a controlled substance, can trigger deportation.³ This holds true even when such offenses occurred decades ago or when the underlying criminal sentences involved little or no jail time. In effect, people who are not U.S. citizens receive an additional penalty for any criminal offense: they first serve the sentence they receive from the criminal courts, and then face near-automatic deportation on the basis of the same convictions. A longtime lawful permanent resident with a conviction for marijuana possession, for example, can face deportation to a country she may have left as a child and potentially permanent separation from her U.S. citizen spouse and children.

A presidential pardon for low-level offenders would temper some of the most draconian consequences of these laws. Your Administration has already taken the important step of deprioritizing the removal of some individuals with very minor convictions.⁴ While we believe

¹In addition, your pardon power could also be used to eliminate barriers that prevent certain immigrants from legalizing their status and to eliminate the threat of deportation for individuals who were adopted by U.S. citizens.
²Padilla v. Kentucky, 559 U.S. 1, 8 (2010).
that the deportation of many people who fall within the current enforcement priorities is disproportionate to their offenses or manner of entry, at minimum, we urge you to make permanent your Administration’s established priorities by pardoning all permanent residents who fall outside of those priorities. Doing so would protect between 100,000 and 200,000 families and would be consistent with your groundbreaking clemency initiative and with your other criminal justice reforms, all of which recognize the harmful effects of the over-criminalization of society generally and of substance abuse specifically. The pardon proposed here would build upon these programs by avoiding the most disproportionate cases of deportation triggered by minor and old convictions.

In issuing the pardon we propose here, you would follow in the footsteps of Presidents Washington, Adams, Madison and Lincoln, who all issued categorical pardons protecting significant groups of people when it was in the national interest to shelter such individuals from vindictively hostile political winds. The Supreme Court has been clear that such broad categorical reprieves are consistent with the presidential pardon power, need no legislative sanction,⁵ and further, that the pardon power is not limited to the criminal context.⁶ Most recently, President Jimmy Carter, issued a categorical, unconditional pardon to approximately a half million men who had broken the draft laws to avoid taking part in the Vietnam War. The Department of Justice’s Office of Legal Counsel advised President Carter that his broad pardon could protect individuals not only from criminal prosecution but also from civil immigration charges.⁷ Thus, the pardon we request is well founded in historical practice and in legal precedent.

For all of these reasons, a categorical presidential pardon can ensure fair treatment for permanent residents with minor or old convictions. By signing a single piece of paper, you could provide immediate and durable protection from deportation to hundreds of thousands of such individuals, and could keep together many American families. We urge you to issue such a pardon immediately and to keep families together who otherwise will soon become the targets of excessive and indiscriminate immigration enforcement.

⁶Ex Parte Grossman, 267 U.S. 87, 108 (1925) (holding that “the term ‘offense’ as used in the Pardon Clause is ‘more comprehensive… than are the terms ‘crime’ and ‘criminal prosecutions.’”).
Pardoning Immigrants
A Last Chance to Help Remedy the Broken Immigration System

President Obama has recognized that the broken immigration system has failed immigrants and failed America. As a result, he announced two landmark deferred action programs (Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (“DACA”) & Deferred Action for Parental Accountability (“DAPA”)). Unfortunately, one of the programs was blocked by litigation and the other will almost certainly be undone by the new administration. Nevertheless, there is a way the President can still make good on his promise to provide immigrant communities with a measure of stability and justice. A categorical immigration pardon could provide permanent protection to a broad swath of immigrants. Supreme Court precedent, opinions from the Office of Legal Counsel (OLC), historical practice and the plain text of the Constitution all support the conclusion that the President could issue such a pardon, which would protect a broad category of immigrants from the penalties imposed for various civil violations of the nation’s immigration laws.

Legal Authority

1. Plain Language of the Constitution Indicates that the Pardon Power Reaches All Offenses Against the United States, Including Civil Offenses: The Constitution grants the President the “Power to grant Reprieves and Pardons for Offences against the United States[.]” U.S. CONST., art II, § 2. The use of the term “offenses” rather than “crimes,” which is used in many other places in the Constitution, see U.S. CONST. art II § 2; art. IV § 2; amend V; amend VI; amend XIII; amend XIV § 2, indicates that the Framers did not intend to limit the power to pardon solely to criminal offenses.

2. SCOTUS has Held that the Pardon Power is Not Limited to the Criminal Context: In the only instance in which the Supreme Court was squarely presented with the question of whether the President can pardon non-criminal offenses, Ex Parte Grossman, the Court indicated it could, upholding a pardon sued for a contempt finding (which is not a crime) and holding that the term “offense” as used in the pardon clause is “more comprehensive … than are the terms ‘crimes’ and ‘criminal prosecutions.” 26 U.S. 87, 117-18 (1925).

3. OLC has Suggested that the President May be Able to Pardon Civil Immigration Violations: The OLC has clearly pressed its judgment that the pardon power can reach civil offenses, see Power of the President to Remit Fines, 4 Op. Atty. Gen. 458 (1845), and has even specifically suggested that the President could directly pardon at least some civil immigration offenses, Effect of Presidential Pardon on Aliens Who Left the Country to Avoid Military Service, 1 Op Off. Legal Counsel 34, 38 n.10 (1977).

4. SCOTUS Has Held that the Pardon Power Includes the Power to Issue Broad Pre- or Post-Prosecution Amnesties, and that Congress May Not Limit the Pardon Power: In Armstrong v. United States, the Supreme Court held that it was within the President Lincoln’s pardon power to grant a categorical amnesties. 80 U.S. (13 Wall.) 154, 156 (1871). The Supreme Court has been equally clear that a pardon may occur before, during, or after prosecution, Ex parte Garland, 71 U.S. (4 Wall.) 333, 380 (1866), and that the power cannot be limited or abridged in any way by Congress, Schick v. Reed, 419 U.S. 256, 266 (1974).

---

1 See Peter L. Markowitz, Can Obama Pardon Millions of Immigrants?, N.Y. TIMES (Op-Ed July 6, 2016).
Historical Record

- There are Many Historical Examples of Presidents Issuing Categorical Pardons to Heal Divisions or in Furtherance of the National Interest: President Carter, for example, on his very first day in office issued a categorical unconditional pardon to approximately a half million men who had violated draft laws to avoid military service in Vietnam. In so doing, he followed in the examples of Presidents Washington, Adams, Jefferson, Madison, Lincoln, Truman and Ford who all had issued broad categorical amnesties.\(^3\)

- Categorical Pardons Have Been Issued Specifically Because of Disagreement with the Statutory Scheme Enacted by Congress: President Jefferson disagreed with Congress’ decision to criminalize what he saw as protected speech under the Sedition Act. Accordingly, he pardoned all individuals convicted or facing prosecution under the Act.

- The Historical Record Regarding the King of England’s Prerogative Makes Clear that the Pardon Power Extends to Civil Offenses: The Supreme Court has seen clear that the President’s pardon power is identical to the analogous power exercised by the King of England at the time of the founding: the king’s prerogative. See *Ex Parte Grossman*, 267 U.S. 87, 109 (1925), *Ex parte Wells*, 59 U.S. 307, 308 (1855); *United States v. Wilson*, 32 U.S. 150, 160 (1833) (Marshall, C.J.). The historical record makes clear that the king’s prerogative was repeatedly invoked outside the criminal context.\(^4\)

Which Immigrants Could the President Pardon?

- Pardon Immigrants with Minor or Old Criminal Convictions: The immigration laws can have devastating and disproportionate consequences for immigrants (especially long-term lawful permanent residents) with the most minor criminal convictions, even if the convictions occurred decades ago. The Obama Administration has made clear its judgment that not all individuals with criminal convictions should be the focus of enforcement actions.\(^5\) In most situations, President Obama cannot pardon the convictions themselves because they are state convictions. However, based on the legal and historical precedent above, the President could directly pardon federal civil immigration penalties (such as deportation) that are triggered by such convictions and other applications of the provisions of federal law that disqualify individuals with criminal convictions from individualized custody determinations and from various affirmative pathways to permanent legal status.

- Pardon and Parole DACA Recipients and Other Immigrants Who Face Unfair Obstacles to Regularization of Status: Certain violations of immigration law—including prior orders of removal, accrual of unlawful presence and unauthorized work, among others—operate to disqualify large categories of immigrants, including many DACA and potential DAPA recipients, from critical pathways to lawful status that would otherwise be available to them. President Obama can issue a categorical pardon that would open pathways to lawful status for many of these immigrants. If such recipients were simultaneously granted parole in place, many would immediately have a viable path to adjust to permanent legal status.

An in-depth review of the legal precedent, historical record and mechanics of which categories of immigrants can be pardoned is currently in process. For further information about any of these topics, please contact Professor Peter L. Markowitz at Cardozo School of Law at peter.markowitz@yu.edu or at 212-790-0340.

---


\(^4\) See Messing *supra* note 2 at 23-25.

Pre-Inauguration National Actions Overview – 12/10/16

This document is a brief overview for Local Progress members of the major national direct actions currently moving in response to Trump. There is a lot moving. While there are other possible national actions (and many different local and state actions in motion) as well, the following actions are the largest national days of action.

- **Week of December 19** – “Don’t Take Our Healthcare” week of action to launch national resistance to ACA, Medicaid, Medicare, and CHIP cuts. Coordinated by HCAN. Info [here](#).
- **January 14** – “Marches to Protect Immigrants & Refugees” in cities throughout the country to protect immigrant communities. Coordinated by FIRM and United We Dream with significant engagement from a number of other national allies and local immigrant advocacy groups. Info [here](#) in English and [here](#) in Spanish.
- **January 19** – National day of action on education in a goal of actions in 1,000 communities to resist Trump’s education agenda and cabinet nominee Betsy DeVos. Coordinated by AROS in partnership with NEA, AFT, CPD, and others. Info [here](#).
- **January 20** – Inauguration day. We anticipate there will be a large number of local actions. We encourage members to share their local actions with us and we will work to compile and share additional information about local actions that we hear about from national and local partners.
- **January 21** – “Women’s March on Washington”. Info [here](#).

If you are participating in any of these actions or want to share information about any other actions happening either locally or nationally, please contact Local Progress Membership & Programming Associate Tarsi Dunlop ([tdunlop@populardemocracy.org](mailto:tdunlop@populardemocracy.org)) or be sure to tweet @LocalProgress.
Good stuff we can also use for newsletter since we authored or co authored some of these things.

Good format too

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Councilmember Ben Bartlett <bbartlett@ci.berkeley.ca.us>
Date: Mon, Mar 13, 2017 at 5:49 PM
Subject: News From Councilmember Bartlett, March 2017
To: Jesse Arreguin <jarreguin@gmail.com>

Dear Jesse --

Join me in congratulating Kate Harrison on joining the City Council! We look forward to working with her.

Since I last wrote, we have been working hard to bring Equitable Development and public safety to the City of Berkeley. Below are some recent successes, upcoming news, and neighborhood events. Many more in the works!

Recent Successes

“Step Up Housing” Initiative. In light of the housing crisis and anticipated funding cuts from the Trump administration, we are actively working to develop innovative solutions to support our homeless population. On February 14, the Council considered our “Step Up Housing” initiative to house up to 100 members of the homeless population in prefabricated
micro-units with onsite healthcare, social services, and assisted living services. It passed unanimously. We hope that this will provide a road map for future supportive housing developments that can be replicated in other affected communities.

Community Meeting on Armed Robberies. We held a community meeting to discuss the neighborhood's concerns about armed robberies and other community violence. 150 people attended. Mayor Arreguin, Officer Spencer Fomby, and I spoke on the Berkeley Police Department's work to improve public safety, including recruiting more officers, and forming a unit to focus on violent crime. Special thanks to the Krav Maga experts who provided instruction on tactics for self-defense!

Small Sites Acquisition Program and Tenants Opportunity to Purchase Act. We co-sponsored this initiative of the Mayor. The small sites program will assist non-profits with acquiring properties to create permanently affordable units. The Tenants Opportunity to Purchase Act will offer existing tenants the first right of refusal when property owners place rental property on the sales market, which can be transferred to a qualifying affordable housing provider. This passed unanimously.

Supporting HR5 and SR9 in Support of Planned Parenthood. We co-sponsored Councilmember Wengraf’s initiative to send a letter in support of House Resolution No. 5 and Senate Resolution No. 9, pledging to oppose any plans to defund Planned Parenthood by the federal administration. This passed unanimously.

Pro-Trump Rally on March 4. Last Saturday, Trump supporters gathered outside City Hall in downtown Berkeley.
I was on scene working with Mayor Arreguin to assist in peacekeeping and First Amendment protections.

**Coming Up**

**2902 Adeline St.** For over a year, the East Bay Community Law Center has worked diligently with South Berkeley residents to ensure that the developer of 2902 Adeline St. would be responsive to South Berkeley community needs especially surrounding displacement and housing affordability issues. We had a roller coaster hearing on Tuesday night. The Mayor and I are working hard with the neighbors, EBCLC, and the developer to achieve the best possible result for our community. We want to ensure that this project will serve as a model for Equitable Development in South Berkeley and the community at large. Come support our efforts on April 4!

**Anti-Displacement Public Advocate.** We are at the convergence of a housing and racial equity crisis -- the Berkeley African American population has declined by 37% since 2000. Existing legal aid service providers are massively under resourced. In response to this crisis, we are introducing an initiative to create an Anti-Displacement Public Advocate, which is the first of its kind in the nation. The Advocate will be responsible for providing legal representation; coordinating housing counselling and financial literacy training; conducting community stakeholder meetings; and providing outreach to community members at-risk of displacement. Come speak in support of this matter on March 28!
Border Wall Divestment. In keeping with the ethical vision of government and its finances, we proposed a resolution for the City of Berkeley to divest from all companies involved in the construction of Trump's border wall. We do not believe in demonizing people of Mexican and Latin American descent. Furthermore, the construction of a border wall would waste an enormous amount of taxpayer money, hurt the environment, contribute to climate change, divide ancestral native lands, disrupt tribal communities, increase international tensions, and reinforce failed Cold War policies of isolationism and exclusion. We must speak with our dollars. Come speak in support of this matter on March 14!

Anti-Dumping Initiative. We are working with BART Director Lateefah Simon to tackle the longstanding problem of illegal dumping that has been unaddressed until now. We are doing research into the causes of illegal dumping, and we are talking to other Cities that have tried out different policies and programs. We will keep you posted on our progress! Please reach out with ideas or suggestions!

Neighborhood Impact Meetings. Over the past few months, we have held several Neighborhood Impact Meetings (colloquially, NIM), to help community members mediate longstanding neighborhood disputes. During these meetings, we sit down with both sides of a neighborhood dispute, and over the course of an afternoon, hash things out and reach a resolution. Our most recent NIM was the community meeting on armed robberies. Our next NIM will be regarding the Shattuck Ave CBCB dispensary, and will be held at the Starry Plough on April 9. Save the date! Let us know if you need assistance with any neighborhood issues!

Tenant Protection Ordinance. We are co-sponsoring the Mayor's initiative to propose a Tenant Protection Ordinance. The Ordinance provides a civil remedy to tenants for cases of harassment. More than ever, renters are being subject to constant disruptive behavior as a means to constructively evict. Some have also received verbal or written threats of eviction,
with no legal basis. It is imperative that we protect our tenants. This is being considered in the March 14 Council meeting. Come speak in support!

**Escape to Alcatraz.** We are working with local businesses on putting together a block party on Alcatraz Ave over the summer. Stay tuned for more!

**In the Works.** We are working to fast track the development of Backyard Cottages (Accessory Dwelling Units), and we are developing a South Berkeley community benefits overlay.

---

**Events**

**Ben In The Community**
March 18, 12pm
Sweet Adeline’s, 3350 Adeline St.
I am holding monthly office hours in a district 3 coffee shop. The photo on the right was from February’s office hours. Please stop by!

**City Council Meetings**
March 14 and 28, 7pm
City Hall, 2134 MLK St.
Topics on the agenda include the Anti-Displacement Public Advocate, the Tenant Protection Ordinance, and the Resolution to Divest from Trump's Border Wall. Come voice your opinion!

**Commissioner Spotlight**
*I am delighted to introduce Christine Schwartz as my appointee to the Disability Commission.*
Christine has lived in Berkeley since 1991. She is a community leader and activist. In addition to serving on the Disability Commission, she is also an Interim Housing Advisory Commissioner, a member of the Berkeley Tenants Union, and a participant on the Alameda County Council on Disabilities. She also volunteers her time with numerous other City and County programs relating to disability rights, tenants’ rights, and public safety.

Christine’s advocacy began at an early age. Christine learned firsthand from watching her mother (an immigrant from Ecuador, widowed with six children), deal with the tragedies of homelessness, and the imbalance of power that exists between landlord and tenants. At the age of 25, Christine became the primary caregiver for her twin sister with disabilities. Christine has maintained this privilege and these responsibilities since.

Christine is driven with passion, commitment, and focus to advocate on behalf of the health and safety of everyone in our society, particularly those that are vulnerable, including individuals with disabilities, seniors, families, tenants, and low and moderate income residents.

**Internship & Volunteer Opportunities**
If you are interested in working with our office, please reach out. We need interns of all ages!

Lastly, happy birthday to Vicki Alexander, a South Berkeley legend.
Cheers,

Benny


Councilmember Ben Bartlett
Please forward it widely/ Por favor enviar a tus contactos

May Day First, Rally and March

Please join us to strike and march to lift our voice to protect workers and immigrant rights. Feel free to invite your love ones.

Yes, we can

Marcha Primero De Mayo
Unase a nuestra huelga y marcha x los derechos de los trabajadores e inmigrantes. Invite a sus familiares y amigos

Si se puede

Manuel DePaz
Community Development and Education Program Coordinator
At East Bay Sanctuary Covenant
2362 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94704
510-540-5296
www.eastbaysanctuary.org

On Mon, Apr 10, 2017 at 10:20 PM, Sagnicthe Salazar <ssagnicthe@gmail.com> wrote:

------------------------------------------Please Forward Widely------------------------------------------

Dear Comrades,

On behalf of Oakland Sin Fronteras, we are writing to invite you to join us for this year’s International Workers Day General Strike and March on May 1st, 2017 in Oakland, CA.
International Workers Day General Strike & March

Monday, May 1st, 2017

3pm Rally at Fruitvale Plaza
4pm March to San Antonio Park

Why We Strike, Why We March

International Workers’ Day has been a time to uplift the struggles, honor the sacrifices, and celebrate the triumphs of working people across the world. As we stand on Ohlone Indigenous land this May 1st, we march in celebration and in resistance with our families, friends, neighbors, and co-workers in our communities, and in solidarity with working people across all borders, to continue the historic struggle against economic and social inequity. With a Trump administration in power, a rising fascist tendency, and growing economic and political oppression of people everywhere, this May Day we march in the spirit of organizing and defending our communities from state violence and toward liberation and self-determination. You can read the full text of our Points of Unity online here.

To make this mobilization one that goes down in the history books, we must leverage people power and broad-based support. There are many ways to get involved in this year’s march: taking part in outreach, volunteering on May 1st for security or other roles, donating money, or approaching organizations to endorse this march. If you want to get involved, email oaklandmayday@googlegroups.com. You can also visit the event website at oaklandsinfronteras.wordpress.com.

Donations

We encourage anyone able to, to make a financial contribution to support the event. Donations will go toward making sure we have all the materials necessary to carry out this mobilization in a safe and organized way. We do NOT have any budget for food, drinks and other materials, so we are relying on the generosity of our community. Donations can be made out to "Mujeres Unidas y Activas" with "Oakland Sin Fronteras" in the memo line and mailed to MUA at 3543 18th St #23, San Francisco, CA 94110.

If you are a part of an organization, we would love your organizational support by Endorsing.

Endorsements:

This May Day looks to be one of the largest in recent history and it’s important that we get all our family, friends, co-workers, and neighbors out in the street. We’d love to count on your organization’s support and participation. Endorsers commit to publicizing the event, turning out your members. To endorse this year’s International Workers Day General Strike and March on May 1st, complete the form by April 20th, at oaklandsinfronteras.wordpress.com/endorse.

In solidarity,

Oakland Sin Fronteras
Sagnicthe Salazar  
510-812-1426

"As long as my lungs can breath, I will fight for Justice and Liberation. And when I move to the spirit world, I will continue my work there!" – anonymous

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "OaklandMayDay" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to oaklandmayday+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com. To post to this group, send email to oaklandmayday@googlegroups.com. To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/oaklandmayday/CAENQBRt07Mu4u5%3DbD%2BrMWAPohv1RDOon5JXqx0LC1LR6Cak1xw%40mail.gmail.com. For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.
For a discussion post Coulter. Commissions and any outstanding appointments, and communication around it.

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
510-981-7104 phone  
510-981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info

--------- Forwarded message ---------
From: "Carrie Graham" <craham@berkeley.edu>  
Date: Tue, Apr 25, 2017 at 4:13 PM -0700  
Subject: Re: Outstanding Commission on Disability Form 700 and Affidavit of Residency  
To: "Commission" <Commission@cityofberkeley.info>  
Cc: "Gonsalves, Ronna" <RGonsalves@cityofberkeley.info>, "Bunting, Sarah K." <sbunting@cityofberkeley.info>, "Arreguin, Jesse L." <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>, "Campbell, Brandi" <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>, "Elgstrand, Stefan" <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>, "McCormick, Jacquelyn" <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info>, "Soto-Vigil, Alejandro" <ASoto-Vigil@cityofberkeley.info>, "Callow, Ella" <ECallow@cityofberkeley.info>

To whom it may concern. I was appointed to the commission by Mayor Bates, but have not been re-appointed by Mayor Arreguin. Unless the Mayor wants to re-appoint me, I think I will be resigning from the Commission on Disabilities.

Best,

Carrie Graham

______________________________
Carrie Graham, PhD, MGS

Director of Health Policy  
UC Berkeley Health Research for Action  
Center for the Advanced Study of Aging Services  
UCSF Institute for Health and Aging  
510 643-7143
On Apr 25, 2017, at 4:09 PM, Commission <Commission@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Dear Ms. Graham:

This is a reminder that your Annual Affidavit of Residency and Form 700-Statement of Economic Interests for your position on the Commission on Disability were due April 3, 2017 and remain outstanding.

The Affidavit of Residency must be received in the City Clerk department no later than May 1, 2017; failure to submit this form by this deadline will result in automatic termination from your position on the Homeless Commission in accordance with Berkeley Municipal Code § 2.04.145.

In addition, the City's Conflict of Interest Code requires automatic termination of board and commission members who fail to file economic interest statements in a timely manner as well as possible fines and penalties. Please note that all forms must be returned in hard copy and have your original signature (photocopies and scans are not accepted).

I have attached the required forms as well as your Form 700 disclosure requirements. Please feel free to contact our office if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Leslie Rome
City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street
Berkeley, CA  94704
Ph. (510) 981-6914  Fax (510) 981-6901
website: www.cityofberkeley.info
e-mail: lrome@cityofberkeley.info
** To ensure a timely response from staff, please send all commission related requests and information to the Commission Inbox at commission@cityofberkeley.info.
COMMISSION ON DISABILITY APPENDIX

Designated Officials

Members of the Commission

Disclosure Category

All members of the Commission shall disclose business entities and non-profit organizations in which they hold an investment or in which they are a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or have any position of management; and income, including gifts, loans, and travel payments; if the business entity, non-profit organization, or source of income within the previous two years has provided services, goods, or equipment to disabled persons.
What's New

Gift Limit Increase
The gift limit increased to $470 for calendar years 2017 and 2018. The gift limit during 2016 was $460.

Gifts of Travel
If an individual receives a payment that is a reportable gift for travel taken on or after January 1, 2016, he or she must disclose the travel destination. (See Schedule E instructions for other details that must be disclosed.)

Who must file:
• Elected and appointed official and candidates listed in Government Code Section 87200
• Employees, appointed officials and consultants designated in a conflict of interest code (“code filers”)
Obtain your disclosure categories, which describe the interests you must report, from your agency; they are not part of the Form 700
• Candidates running for local elective office that are designated in a conflict of interest code (e.g., county sheriffs, city clerks, school board trustees, and water board members)

Exception: Candidates for a county central committee are not required to file the Form 700.
• Members of newly created boards and commissions not yet covered under a conflict of interest code
• Employees in newly created positions of existing agencies


Where to file:
87200 Filers
- State offices
- Judicial offices
- Retired Judges
- County offices
- City offices
- Multi-County offices

Your agency
The clerk of your court
Directly with FPPC
Your county filer office
Your city clerk
Your agency

Code Filers — State and Local Officials, Employees, and Consultants Designated in a Conflict of Interest Code: File with your agency, board, or commission unless otherwise specified in your agency’s code (e.g., Legislative staff file directly with FPPC). In most cases, the agency, board, or commission will retain the statements.

Members of Boards and Commissions of Newly Created Agencies: File with your newly created agency or with your agency’s code reviewing body.

Employees in Newly Created Positions of Existing Agencies: File with your agency or with your agency’s code reviewing body. See Reference Pamphlet, page 3.

Candidates: File with your local elections office

How to file:
The Form 700 is available at www.fppc.ca.gov. Form 700 schedules are also available in Excel format. All statements must have an original “wet” signature or be duly authorized by your office to file electronically under Government Code Section 87500.2. Instructions, examples, FAQs, and a reference pamphlet are available to help answer your questions.

When to file:
Annual Statements
- March 1, 2017
  - Elected State Officer
  - Judges and Court Commissioners
  - State Board and State Commission Members listed in Government Code Section 87200
- April 3, 2017
  - Most other filer

Individuals filing under conflict of interest codes in city and county jurisdictions should verify the annual filing date with their local officials.

Statements postmarked by the filing deadline are considered filed on time.

Assuming Office and Leaving Office Statements
Most filers file within 30 days of assuming or leaving office or within 30 days of the effective date of a newly adopted or amended conflict of interest code.

Exception:
If you assumed office between October 1, 2016, and December 31, 2016, and file an assuming office statement, you are not required to file an annual statement until March 1, 2018, or April 2, 2018, whichever is applicable. The annual statement will cover the day after you assumed office through December 31, 2017. See Reference Pamphlet, pages 6 and 7, for additional exceptions.

Candidate Statements
File no later than the final filing date for the declaration of candidacy or nomination documents.

Amendments
Statements may be amended at any time. You are only required to amend the schedule that needs to be revised. It is not necessary to amend the entire file form. Obtain amendment schedules at www.fppc.ca.gov.

There is no provision for filing deadline extensions unless the filer is serving in active military duty.

Statements of 30 pages or less may be faxed by the deadline as long as the originally signed paper version is sent by first class mail to the filer office within 24 hours.
The Political Reform Act (Gov. Code Sections 81000-91014) requires most state and local government official and employees to publicly disclose their personal assets and income. They also must disqualify themselves from participating in decisions that may affect their personal economic interests. The Fair Political Practices Commission (FPPC) is the state agency responsible for issuing the attached Statement of Economic Interests, Form 700, and for interpreting the law's provisions.

Gift Prohibition
Gifts received by most state and local officials employees, and candidates are subject to a limit. During 2015 and 2016, the gift limit was $460 from a single source per calendar year. For years 2017-2018, the limit increased to $470 from a single source during a calendar year.

In addition, state officials state candidates, and certain state employees are subject to a $10 limit per calendar month on gifts from lobbyists and lobbying firm registered with the Secretary of State. See Reference Pamphlet, page 10.

State and local official and employees should check with their agency to determine if other restrictions apply.

Disqualification
Public official are, under certain circumstances, required to disqualify themselves from making, participating in, or attempting to influence governmental decisions that will affect their economic interests. This may include interests they are not required to disclose (i.e., a personal residence is often not reportable, but may be disqualifying). Specific disqualification requirements apply to 87200 filer (e.g., city councilmembers, members of boards of supervisors, planning commissioners, etc.). These official must publicly identify the economic interest that creates a conflict of interest and leave the room before a discussion or vote takes place at a public meeting. For more information, consult Government Code Section 87105, Regulation 18707, and the Guide to Recognizing Conflict of Interest at www.fppc.ca.gov.

Honorarium Ban
Most state and local officials employees, and candidates are prohibited from accepting an honorarium for any speech given, article published, or attendance at a conference, convention, meeting, or like gathering. See Reference Pamphlet, page 10.

Loan Restrictions
Certain state and local official are subject to restrictions on loans. See Reference Pamphlet, page 14.

Post-Governmental Employment
There are restrictions on representing clients or employers before former agencies. The provisions apply to elected state officials most state employees, local elected officials county chief administrative officers city managers, including the chief administrator of a city, and general managers or chief administrators of local special districts and JPAs. The FPPC website has fact sheets explaining the provisions.

Late Filing
The filin office who retains originally-signed or electronically file statements of economic interests may impose on an individual a fin for any statement that is file late. The fin is $10 per day up to a maximum of $100. Late fin penalties may be reduced or waived under certain circumstances.

Persons who fail to timely fil their Form 700 may be referred to the FPPC's Enforcement Division (and, in some cases, to the Attorney General or district attorney) for investigation and possible prosecution. In addition to the late fin penalties, a fin of up to $5,000 per violation may be imposed.

For assistance concerning reporting, prohibitions, and restrictions under the Act:
• Email questions to advice@fppc.ca.gov.
• Call the FPPC toll-free at (866) 275-3772.

Form 700 is a Public Document Public Access Must Be Provided
Statements of Economic Interests are public documents. The filin office must permit any member of the public to inspect and receive a copy of any statement.
• Statements must be available as soon as possible during the agency's regular business hours, but in any event not later than the second business day after the statement is received. Access to the Form 700 is not subject to the Public Records Act procedures.
• No conditions may be placed on persons seeking access to the forms.
• No information or identification may be required from persons seeking access.
• Reproduction fees of no more than 10 cents per page may be charged.
Types of Form 700 Filings

Assuming Office Statement:
If you are a newly appointed official or are newly employed in a position designated, or that will be designated, in a state or local agency’s conflict of interest code, your assuming office date is the date you were sworn in or otherwise authorized to serve in the position. If you are a newly elected official your assuming office date is the date you were sworn in.

• Investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date you assumed the official position must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date you assumed the official position is reportable.

For positions subject to confirmation by the State Senate or the Commission on Judicial Performance, your assuming office date is the date you were appointed or nominated to the position.

Example:
Maria Lopez was nominated by the Governor to serve on a state agency board that is subject to state Senate confirmation. The assuming office date is the date Maria’s nomination is submitted to the Senate. Maria must report investments, interests in real property, and business positions she holds on that date, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to that date.

If your official position has been added to a newly adopted or newly amended conflict of interest code, use the effective date of the code or amendment, whichever is applicable.

• Investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the effective date of the code or amendment must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the effective date of the code or amendment is reportable.

Annual Statement:
Generally, the period covered is January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. If the period covered by the statement is different than January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, (for example, you assumed office between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2015 or you are combining statements), you must specify the period covered.

• Investments, interests in real property, business positions held, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the period covered by the statement must be reported. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B unless you are required to report the acquisition or disposition of an interest that did not occur in 2016.

• If your disclosure category changes during a reporting period, disclose under the old category until the effective date of the conflict of interest code amendment and disclose under the new disclosure category through the end of the reporting period.

Leaving Office Statement:
Generally, the period covered is January 1, 2016, through the date you stopped performing the duties of your position. If the period covered differs from January 1, 2016, through the date you stopped performing the duties of your position (for example, you assumed office between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2015, or you are combining statements), the period covered must be specified. The reporting period can cover parts of two calendar years.

• Investments, interests in real property, business positions held, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the period covered by the statement must be reported. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B unless you are required to report the acquisition or disposition of an interest that did not occur in 2016.

Candidate Statement:
If you are filing a statement in connection with your candidacy for state or local office, investments in real property, and business positions held on the date of filing your declaration of candidacy must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date of filing your declaration of candidacy is reportable. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B.

Candidates running for local elective office (e.g., county sheriffs, city clerks, school board trustees, or water district board members) must file candidate statements, as required by the conflict of interest code for the elected position. The code may be obtained from the agency of the elected position.

Amendments:
If you discover errors or omissions on any statement, file an amendment as soon as possible. You are only required to amend the schedule that needs to be revised; it is not necessary to refil the entire form. Obtain amendment schedules from the FPPC website at www.fppc.ca.gov.
Enter your name, mailing address, and daytime telephone number in the spaces provided. Because the Form 700 is a public document, you may list your business/office address instead of your home address.

Part 1. Office, Agency, or Court
- Enter the name of the office sought or held, or the agency or court. Consultants must enter the public agency name rather than their private firm’s name. (Examples: State Assembly; Board of Supervisors; Office of the Mayor; Department of Finance; Hope County Superior Court)
- Indicate the name of your division, board, or district, if applicable. (Examples: Division of Waste Management; Board of Accountancy; District 45). Do not use acronyms.
- Enter your position title. (Examples: Director; Chief Counsel; City Council Member; Staff Services Analyst)
- If you hold multiple positions (i.e., a city council member who also is a member of a county board or commission), you may be required to file statements with each agency. To simplify your filing obligations, you may complete an expanded statement.
- To do this, enter the name of the other agency(ies) with which you are required to file and your position title(s) in the space provided. Do not use acronyms. Attach an additional sheet if necessary. Complete one statement covering the disclosure requirements for all positions. Each copy must contain an original signature. Therefore, before signing the statement, make a copy for each agency. Sign each copy with an original signature and file with each agency.

If you assume or leave a position after a filing deadline, you must complete a separate statement. For example, a city council member who assumes a position with a county special district after the April 1 annual filing deadline must file a separate assuming office statement. In subsequent years, the city council member may expand his or her annual filing to include both positions.

Example:
Scott Baker is a city council member for the City of Lincoln and a board member for the Camp Far West Irrigation District – a multi-county agency that covers Placer and Yuba counties. Scott will complete one Form 700 using full disclosure (as required for the city position) and covering interests in both Placer and Yuba counties (as required for the multi-county position) and list both positions on the Cover Page. Before signing the statement, Scott will make a copy and sign both statements. One statement will be filed with City of Lincoln and the other will be filed with Camp Far West Irrigation District. Both will contain an original signature.

Part 2. Jurisdiction of Office
- Check the box indicating the jurisdiction of your agency and, if applicable, identify the jurisdiction. Judges, judicial candidates, and court commissioners have statewide jurisdiction. All other filers should review the Reference Pamphlet, page 13, to determine their jurisdiction.
- If your agency is a multi-county office, list each county in which your agency has jurisdiction.

- If your agency is not a state office, court, county office, city office, or multi-county office (e.g., school districts, special districts and JPAs), check the “other” box and enter the county or city in which the agency has jurisdiction.

Example:
This filer is a member of a water district board with jurisdiction in portions of Yuba and Sutter Counties.

Part 3. Type of Statement
Check at least one box. The period covered by a statement is determined by the type of statement you are filing. If you are completing a 2016 annual statement, do not change the pre-printed dates to reflect 2017. Your annual statement is used for reporting the previous year's economic interests. Economic interests for your annual filing covering January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, will be disclosed on your statement filed in 2018. See Reference Pamphlet, page 4.

Combining Statements: Certain types of statements may be combined. For example, if you leave office after January 1, but before the deadline for filing your annual statement, you may combine your annual and leaving office statements. File by the earliest deadline. Consult your filing officer or the FPPC.

Part 4. Schedule Summary
- Complete the Schedule Summary after you have reviewed each schedule to determine if you have reportable interests.
- Enter the total number of completed pages including the cover page and either check the box for each schedule you use to disclose interests; or if you have nothing to disclose on any schedule, check the “No reportable interests” box. Please do not attach any blank schedules.

Part 5. Verification
Complete the verification by signing the statement and entering the date signed. All statements must have an original “wet” signature or be duly authorized by your filing officer to file electronically under Government Code Section 87500.2. Instructions, examples, FAQs, and a reference pamphlet are available to help answer your questions. When you sign your statement, you are stating, under penalty of perjury, that it is true and correct. Only the filer has authority to sign the statement. An unsigned statement is not considered filed and you may be subject to late filing penalties.
Leaving Office: Date Left ______/_____/______

The period covered is January 1, 2016, through the date of leaving office.

The period covered is ______/_____/______, through the date of leaving office.

Annual: The period covered is January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016.

-or-

The period covered is ______/_____/______, through December 31, 2016.

Assuming Office: Date assumed ______/_____/______

Candidate: Election year ____________ and office sought, if different than Part 1: ____________

Total number of pages including this cover page: ________

Schedule Summary (must complete) ► Schedules attached

Schedule A-1 - Investments – schedule attached
Schedule A-2 - Investments – schedule attached
Schedule B - Real Property – schedule attached
Schedule C - Income, Loans, & Business Positions – schedule attached
Schedule D - Income – Gifts – schedule attached
Schedule E - Income – Gifts – Travel Payments – schedule attached

None - No reportable interests on any schedule

5. Verification

I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed this statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in any attached schedules is true and complete. I acknowledge this is a public document.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date Signed __________________________ Signature __________________________

(month, day, year) (File the originally signed statement with your filing official.)
Which Schedule Do I Use?

Common Reportable Interests

Schedule A-1  Stocks, including those held in an IRA or a 401K
Schedule A-2  Business entities (including certain independent contracting), sole proprietorships, partnerships, LLCs, corporations, and trusts
Schedule B    Rental property in the jurisdiction, or within two miles of the boundaries of the jurisdiction
Schedule C    Non-governmental salaries of public officials and spouse/registered domestic partner
Schedule D    Gifts from businesses (such as tickets to sporting or entertainment events)
Schedule E    Travel payments from third parties (not your employer)

Common Non-Reportable Interests

Schedule A-1  Insurance policies, government bonds, diversified mutual funds, certain funds similar to diversified mutual funds (such as exchange traded funds) and investments held in certain retirement accounts. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13, for detailed information. (Regulation 18237)
Schedule A-2  Savings and checking accounts and annuities
Schedule B    A residence used exclusively as a personal residence (such as a home or vacation cabin)
Schedule C    Governmental salary (such as a school district)
Schedule D    Gifts from family members
Schedule E    Travel paid by your government agency

Remember:

- Mark the “No reportable interests” box on Part 4 of the Schedule Summary on the Cover Page if you determine you have nothing to disclose and file only the Cover Page. Make sure you carefully read all instructions to ensure proper reporting.
- The Form 700 is a public document.
- Most individuals must consult their agency’s conflict of interest code for reportable interests.
- Most individuals file the Form 700 with their agencies.
Questions and Answers

General

Q. What is the reporting period for disclosing interests on an assuming offic statement or a candidate statement?

A. On an assuming offic statement, disclose all reportable investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date you assumed office. In addition, you must disclose income (including loans, gifts and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date you assumed office.

On a candidate statement, disclose all reportable investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date you file your declaration of candidacy. You must also disclose income (including loans, gifts and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date you file your declaration of candidacy.

Q. I hold two other board positions in addition to my position with the county. Must I file three statements of economic interests?

A. Yes, three are required. However, you may complete one statement listing the county and the two boards on the Cover Page or an attachment as the agencies for which you will be filing. Report your economic interests using the largest jurisdiction and highest disclosure requirements assigned to you by the three agencies. Make two copies of the entire statement before signing it, sign each copy with an original signature, and distribute one original to the county and to each of the two boards. Remember to complete separate statements for positions that you leave or assume during the year.

Q. I am a department head who recently began acting as city manager. Should I file as the city manager?

A. Yes. File an assuming offic statement as city manager. Persons serving as "acting," "interim," or "alternate" must file as if they hold the position because they are or may be performing the duties of the position.

Q. As a designated employee, I left one state agency to work for another state agency. Must I file a leaving offic statement?

A. Yes. You may also need to file an assuming offic statement for the new agency.

Q. My spouse and I are currently separated and in the process of obtaining a divorce. Must I still report my spouse’s income, investments, and interests in real property?

A. Yes. A public officia must continue to report a spouse’s economic interests until such time as dissolution of marriage proceedings is final. However, if a separate property agreement has been reached prior to that time, your estranged spouse’s income may not have to be reported. Contact the FPPC for more information.

Investment Disclosure

Q. I have an investment interest in shares of stock in a company that does not have an offic in my jurisdiction. Must I still disclose my investment interest in this company?

A. Probably. The definition of “doing business in the jurisdiction” is not limited to whether the business has an offic or physical location in your jurisdiction. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.

Q. My spouse and I have a living trust. The trust holds rental property in my jurisdiction, our primary residence, and investments in diversified mutual funds. I have full disclosure. How is this trust disclosed?

A. Disclose the name of the trust, the rental property and its income on Schedule A-2. Your primary residence and investments in diversified mutual funds registered with the SEC are not reportable.

Q. I am required to report all investments. I have an IRA that contains stocks through an account managed by a brokerage firm. Must I disclose these stocks even though they are held in an IRA and I did not decide which stocks to purchase?

A. Yes. Disclose on Schedule A-1 or A-2 any stock worth $2,000 or more in a business entity located in or doing business in your jurisdiction.
A. Yes. Even if there are no tangible assets, intangible assets, such as relationships with companies and clients are commonly sold to qualified professionals. The “fair market value” is often quantifiable for other purposes, such as marital dissolutions or estate planning. In addition, the IRS presumes that “personal services corporations” have a fair market value. A professional “book of business” and the associated goodwill that generates income are not without a determinable value. The Form 700 does not require a precise fair market value; it is only necessary to check a box indicating the broad range within which the value falls.

Q. I own stock in IBM and must report this investment on Schedule A-1. I initially purchased this stock in the early 1990s; however, I am constantly buying and selling shares. Must I note these dates in the “Acquired” and “Disposed” fields?

A. No. You must only report dates in the “Acquired” or “Disposed” field when, during the reporting period, you initially purchase a reportable investment worth $2,000 or more or when you dispose of the entire investment. You are not required to track the partial trading of an investment.

Q. On last year’s filing I reported stock in Encore valued at $2,000 - $10,000. Late last year the value of this stock fell below and remains at less than $2,000. How should this be reported on this year’s statement?

A. You are not required to report an investment if the value was less than $2,000 during the entire reporting period. However, because a disposed date is not required for stocks that fall below $2,000, you may want to report the stock and note in the “comments” section that the value fell below $2,000. This would be for informational purposes only; it is not a requirement.

Q. We have a Section 529 account set up to save money for our son’s college education. Is this reportable?

A. If the Section 529 account contains reportable interests (e.g., common stock valued at $2,000 or more), those interests are reportable (not the actual Section 529 account). If the account contains solely mutual funds, then nothing is reported.

Income Disclosure

Q. I reported a business entity on Schedule A-2. Clients of my business are located in several states. Must I report all clients from whom my pro rata share of income is $10,000 or more on Schedule A-2, Part 3?

A. No, only the clients located in or doing business on a regular basis in your jurisdiction must be disclosed.

Q. I believe I am not required to disclose the names of clients from whom my pro rata share of income is $10,000 or more on Schedule A-2 because of their right to privacy. Is there an exception for reporting clients' names?

A. Regulation 18740 provides a procedure for requesting an exemption to allow a client's name not to be disclosed if disclosure of the name would violate a legally recognized privilege under California or Federal law. This regulation may be obtained from our website at www.fppc.ca.gov. See Reference Pamphlet, page 14.

Q. I am sole owner of a private law practice that is not reportable based on my limited disclosure category. However, some of the sources of income to my law practice are from reportable sources. Do I have to disclose this income?

A. Yes, even though the law practice is not reportable, reportable sources of income to the law practice of $10,000 or more must be disclosed. This information would be disclosed on Schedule C with a note in the “comments” section indicating that the business entity is not a reportable investment. The note would be for informational purposes only; it is not a requirement.
Questions and Answers
Continued

Q. I am the sole owner of my business. Where do I disclose my income - on Schedule A-2 or Schedule C?
A. Sources of income to a business in which you have an ownership interest of 10% or greater are disclosed on Schedule A-2. See Reference Pamphlet, page 14, for the definition of “business entity.”

Q. My husband is a partner in a four-person firm where all of his business is based on his own billings and collections from various clients. How do I report my community property interest in this business and the income generated in this manner?
A. If your husband’s investment in the firm is 10% or greater, disclose 100% of his share of the business on Schedule A-2, Part 1 and 50% of his income on Schedule A-2, Parts 2 and 3. For example, a client of your husband’s must be a source of at least $20,000 during the reporting period before the client’s name is reported.

Q. How do I disclose my spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s salary?
A. Report the name of the employer as a source of income on Schedule C.

Q. I am a doctor. For purposes of reporting $10,000 sources of income on Schedule A-2, Part 3, are the patients or their insurance carriers considered sources of income?
A. If your patients exercise sufficient control by selecting you instead of other doctors, then your patients, rather than their insurance carriers, are sources of income to you. See Reference Pamphlet, page 14, for additional information.

Q. I received a loan from my grandfather to purchase my home. Is this loan reportable?
A. No. Loans received from family members are not reportable.

Q. Many years ago, I loaned my parents several thousand dollars, which they paid back this year. Do I need to report this loan repayment on my Form 700?
A. No. Payments received on a loan made to a family member are not reportable.

Real Property Disclosure
Q. During this reporting period we switched our principal place of residence into a rental. I have full disclosure and the property is located in my agency’s jurisdiction, so it is now reportable. Because I have not reported this property before, do I need to show an “acquired” date?
A. No, you are not required to show an “acquired” date because you previously owned the property. However, you may want to note in the “comments” section that the property was not previously reported because it was used exclusively as your residence. This would be for informational purposes only; it is not a requirement.

Q. I am a city manager, and I own a rental property located in an adjacent city, but one mile from the city limit. Do I need to report this property interest?
A. Yes. You are required to report this property because it is located within 2 miles of the boundaries of the city you manage.

Q. Must I report a home that I own as a personal residence for my daughter?
A. You are not required to disclose a home used as a personal residence for a family member unless you receive income from it, such as rental income.

Q. I am a co-signer on a loan for a rental property owned by a friend. Since I am listed on the deed of trust, do I need to report my friend’s property as an interest in real property on my Form 700?
A. No. Simply being a co-signer on a loan for property does not create a reportable interest in real property for you.

Gift Disclosure
Q. If I received a reportable gift of two tickets to a concert valued at $100 each, but gave the tickets to a friend because I could not attend the concert, do I have any reporting obligations?
A. Yes. Since you accepted the gift and exercised discretion and control of the use of the tickets, you must disclose the gift on Schedule D.
Questions and Answers
Continued

Q. Mary and Joe Benson, a married couple, want to give a piece of artwork to a county supervisor. Is each spouse considered a separate source for purposes of the gift limit and disclosure?

A. Yes, each spouse may make a gift valued at the gift limit during a calendar year. For example, during 2016 the gift limit was $460, so the Bensons may have given the supervisor artwork valued at no more than $920. The supervisor must identify Joe and Mary Benson as the sources of the gift.

Q. I am a Form 700 file with full disclosure. Our agency holds a holiday raffle to raise funds for a local charity. I bought $10 worth of raffle tickets and won a gift basket valued at $120. The gift basket was donated by Doug Brewer, a citizen in our city. At the same event, I bought raffle tickets for, and won a quilt valued at $70. The quilt was donated by a coworker. Are these reportable gifts?

A. Because the gift basket was donated by an outside source (not an agency employee), you have received a reportable gift valued at $110 (the value of the basket less the consideration paid). The source of the gift is Doug Brewer and the agency is disclosed as the intermediary. Because the quilt was donated by an employee of your agency, it is not a reportable gift.

Q. My agency is responsible for disbursing grants. An applicant (501(c)(3) organization) met with agency employees to present its application. At this meeting, the applicant provided food and beverages. Would the food and beverages be considered gifts to the employees? These employees are designated in our agency’s conflict of interest code and the applicant is a reportable source of income under the code.

A. Yes. If the value of the food and beverages consumed by any one file, plus any other gifts received from the same source during the reporting period total $50 or more, the food and beverages would be reported using the fair market value and would be subject to the gift limit.

Q. I received free admission to an educational conference related to my official duties. Part of the conference fees included a round of golf. Is the value of the golf considered informational material?

A. No. The value of personal benefits such as golf, attendance at a concert, or sporting event, are gifts subject to reporting and limits.
“Investment” means a financial interest in any business entity (including a consulting business or other independent contracting business) that is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or that has done business during the previous two years in your agency’s jurisdiction in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, or your dependent children had a direct, indirect, or beneficial interest totaling $2,000 or more at any time during the reporting period. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.

Reportable investments include:

• Stocks, bonds, warrants, and options, including those held in margin or brokerage accounts and managed investment funds (See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.)
• Sole proprietorships
• Your own business or your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s business (See Reference Pamphlet, page 8, for the definition of “business entity.”)
• Your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s investments even if they are legally separate property
• Partnerships (e.g., a law firm or family farm)
• Investments in reportable business entities held in a retirement account (See Reference Pamphlet, page 15.)
• If you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, and dependent children together had a 10% or greater ownership interest in a business entity or trust (including a living trust), you must disclose investments held by the business entity or trust. See Reference Pamphlet, page 15, for more information on disclosing trusts.
• Business trusts

You are not required to disclose:

• Government bonds, diversified mutual funds, certain funds similar to diversified mutual funds (such as exchange traded funds) and investments held in certain retirement accounts. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13, for detailed information. (Regulation 18237)
• Bank accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts and certificate of deposits
• Insurance policies
• Annuities
• Commodities
• Shares in a credit union
• Government bonds (including municipal bonds)
• Retirement accounts invested in non-reportable interests (e.g., insurance policies, mutual funds, or government bonds) (See Reference Pamphlet, page 15.)
• Government defined-benefit pension plans (such as CalPERS and CalSTRS plans)
• Certain interests held in a blind trust (See Reference Pamphlet, page 16.)

Use Schedule A-1 to report ownership of less than 10% (e.g., stock). Schedule C (Income) may also be required if the investment is not a stock or corporate bond. See second example below.

Use Schedule A-2 to report ownership of 10% or greater (e.g., a sole proprietorship).

To Complete Schedule A-1:

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

• Disclose the name of the business entity.
• Provide a general description of the business activity of the entity (e.g., pharmaceuticals, computers, automobile manufacturing, or communications).
• Check the box indicating the highest fair market value of your investment during the reporting period. If you are filing a candidate or an assuming office statement, indicate the fair market value on the filing date or the date you took office respectively.
• Identify the nature of your investment (e.g., stocks, warrants, options, or bonds).
• An acquired or disposed of date is only required if you initially acquired or entirely disposed of the investment interest during the reporting period. The date of a stock dividend reinvestment or partial disposal is not required. Generally, these dates will not apply if you are filing a candidate or an assuming office statement.

Examples:

John Smith holds a state agency position. His conflict of interest code requires full disclosure of investments. John must disclose his stock holdings of $2,000 or more in any company that is located in or does business in California, as well as those stocks held by his spouse or registered domestic partner and dependent children.

Susan Jones is a city council member. She has a 4% interest, worth $5,000, in a limited partnership located in the city. Susan must disclose the partnership on Schedule A-1 and income of $500 or more received from the partnership on Schedule C.
### SCHEDULE A-1

**Investments**

**Stocks, Bonds, and Other Interests**

*(Ownership Interest is Less Than 10%)*

Do not attach brokerage or financial statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF Business ENTITY</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS Business</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FAIR MARKET VALUE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 - $10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NATURE OF INVESTMENT**

- Stock
- Other

**IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACQUIRED</th>
<th>DISPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAME OF Business ENTITY**

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS Business**

- (Describe)

**FAIR MARKET VALUE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 - $10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NATURE OF INVESTMENT**

- Stock
- Other

**IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACQUIRED</th>
<th>DISPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAME OF Business ENTITY**

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS Business**

- (Describe)

**FAIR MARKET VALUE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 - $10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NATURE OF INVESTMENT**

- Stock
- Other

**IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACQUIRED</th>
<th>DISPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAME OF Business ENTITY**

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS Business**

- (Describe)

**FAIR MARKET VALUE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 - $10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NATURE OF INVESTMENT**

- Stock
- Other

**IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACQUIRED</th>
<th>DISPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAME OF Business ENTITY**

**GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS Business**

- (Describe)

**FAIR MARKET VALUE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 - $10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 - $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NATURE OF INVESTMENT**

- Stock
- Other

**IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ACQUIRED</th>
<th>DISPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. A-1

FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov

FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772  www.fppc.ca.gov
Use Schedule A-2 to report investments in a business entity (including a consulting business or other independent contracting business) or trust (including a living trust) in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, and your dependent children, together or separately, had a 10% or greater interest, totaling $2,000 or more, during the reporting period and which is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or which has done business during the previous two years in your agency’s jurisdiction. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13. A trust located outside your agency’s jurisdiction is reportable if it holds assets that are located in or doing business in the jurisdiction. Do not report a trust that contains non-reportable interests. For example, a trust containing only your personal residence not used in whole or in part as a business, your savings account, and some municipal bonds, is not reportable.

Also report on Schedule A-2 investments and real property held by that entity or trust if your pro rata share of the investment or real property interest was $2,000 or more during the reporting period.

To Complete Schedule A-2:

Part 1. Disclose the name and address of the business entity or trust. If you are reporting an interest in a business entity, check “Business Entity” and complete the box as follows:

- Provide a general description of the business activity of the entity.
- Check the box indicating the highest fair market value of your investment during the reporting period.
- If you initially acquired or entirely disposed of this interest during the reporting period, enter the date acquired or disposed.
- Identify the nature of your investment.
- Disclose the job title or business position you held with the entity, if any (i.e., if you were a director, office, partner, trustee, employee, or held any position of management). A business position held by your spouse is not reportable.

Part 2. Check the box indicating your pro rata share of the gross income received by the business entity or trust. This amount includes your pro rata share of the gross income from the business entity or trust, as well as your community property interest in your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s share. Gross income is the total amount of income before deducting expenses, losses, or taxes.

Part 3. Disclose the name of each source of income that is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or that has done business during the previous two years in your agency’s jurisdiction, as follows:

- Disclose each source of income and outstanding loan to the business entity or trust identified in Part 1 if your pro rata share of the gross income (including your community property interest in your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s share) to the business entity or trust from that source was $10,000 or more during the reporting period. See Reference Pamphlet, page 11, for examples. Income from governmental sources may be reportable if not considered salary. See Regulation 18232. Loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender’s regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status are not reportable.

- Disclose each individual or entity that was a source of commission income of $10,000 or more during the reporting period through the business entity identified in Part 1. See Reference Pamphlet, page 8, for an explanation of commission income.

You may be required to disclose sources of income located outside your jurisdiction. For example, you may have a client who resides outside your jurisdiction who does business on a regular basis with you. Such a client, if a reportable source of $10,000 or more, must be disclosed.

Mark “None” if you do not have any reportable $10,000 sources of income to disclose. Using phrases such as “various clients” or “not disclosing sources pursuant to attorney-client privilege” may trigger a request for an amendment to your statement. See Reference Pamphlet, page 14, for details about requesting an exemption from disclosing privileged information.

Part 4. Report any investments or interests in real property held or leased by the entity or trust identified in Part 1 if your pro rata share of the interest held was $2,000 or more during the reporting period. Attach additional schedules or use FPPC’s Form 700 Excel spreadsheet if needed.

- Check the applicable box identifying the interest held as real property or an investment.
- If investment, provide the name and description of the business entity.
- If real property, report the precise location (e.g., an assessor’s parcel number or address).
- Check the box indicating the highest fair market value of your interest in the real property or investment during the reporting period. (Report the fair market value of the portion of your residence claimed as a tax deduction if you are utilizing your residence for business purposes.)
- Identify the nature of your interest.
- Enter the date acquired or disposed only if you initially acquired or entirely disposed of your interest in the property or investment during the reporting period.
CALIFORNIA FORM 700
FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION
SCHEDULE A-2
Investments, Income, and Assets of Business Entities/Trusts
(Ownership Interest is 10% or Greater)

1. BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Name

Address (Business Address Acceptable)
Check one
☐ Trust, go to 2 ☐ Business Entity, complete the box, then go to 2

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS BUSINESS

FAIR MARKET VALUE
☐ $0 - $1,999
☐ $2,000 - $10,000
☐ $10,001 - $100,000
☐ $100,001 - $1,000,000
☐ Over $1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
☐ / / 16 ACQUIRED
☐ / / 16 DISPOSED

STATE OF INVESTMENT
☐ Partnership ☐ Sole Proprietorship ☐ Other

You R Business Position

2. IDENTIFY THE GROSS INCOME RECEIVED (INCLUDE YOUR PRO RATA SHARE OF THE GROSS INCOME TO THE ENTITY/TRUST)

☐ $0 - $499 ☐ $10,001 - $100,000
☐ $500 - $1,000 ☐ Over $100,000
☐ $1,001 - $10,000

3. LIST THE NAME OF EACH REPORTABLE SINGLE SOURCE OF INCOME OF $10,000 OR MORE (Attach a separate sheet if necessary)

☐ None ☐ Names listed below

4. INVESTMENTS AND INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD OR LEASED BY THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST

Check one box:
☐ INVESTMENT ☐ REAL PROPERTY

Name of Business Entity, if Investment, or Assessor’s Parcel Number or Street Address of Real Property

Description of Business Activity or City or Other Precise Location of Real Property

FAIR MARKET VALUE
☐ $2,000 - $10,000
☐ $10,001 - $100,000
☐ $100,001 - $1,000,000
☐ Over $1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:
☐ / / 16 ACQUIRED
☐ / / 16 DISPOSED

STATE OF INVESTMENT
☐ Property Ownership/Deed of Trust ☐ Stock ☐ Partnership

☐ Leasehold ☐ Other ☐ Check box if additional schedules reporting investments or real property are attached

Comments:

FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov
Report interests in real property located in your agency’s jurisdiction in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, or your dependent children had a direct, indirect, or beneficiary interest totaling $2,000 or more any time during the reporting period. Real property is also considered to be “within the jurisdiction” of a local government agency if the property or any part of it is located within two miles outside the boundaries of the jurisdiction or within two miles of any land owned or used by the local government agency. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.

**Interests in real property include:**
- An ownership interest (including a beneficiary ownership interest)
- A deed of trust, easement, or option to acquire property
- A leasehold interest (See Reference Pamphlet, page 14.)
- A mining lease
- An interest in real property held in a retirement account (See Reference Pamphlet, page 15.)
- An interest in real property held by a business entity or trust in which you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, and your dependent children together had a 10% or greater ownership interest (Report on Schedule A-2.)
- Your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s interests in real property that are legally held separately by him or her

You are not required to report:
- A residence, such as a home or vacation cabin, used exclusively as a personal residence (However, a residence in which you rent out a room or for which you claim a business deduction may be reportable. If reportable, report the fair market value of the portion claimed as a tax deduction.)
- Interests in real property held through a blind trust (See Reference Pamphlet, page 16, for exceptions.)

**To Complete Schedule B:**
- Report the precise location (e.g., an assessor’s parcel number or address) of the real property.
- Check the box indicating the fair market value of your interest in the property (regardless of what you owe on the property).
- Enter the date acquired or disposed only if you initially acquired or entirely disposed of your interest in the property during the reporting period.
- Identify the nature of your interest. If it is a leasehold, disclose the number of years remaining on the lease.

**Reminders**
- Income and loans already reported on Schedule B are not also required to be reported on Schedule C.
- Real property already reported on Schedule A-2, Part 4 is not also required to be reported on Schedule B.
- Code filer – do your disclosure categories require disclosure of real property?

- If you received rental income, check the box indicating the gross amount you received.
- If you had a 10% or greater interest in real property and received rental income, list the name of the source(s) if your pro rata share of the gross income from any single tenant was $10,000 or more during the reporting period. If you received a total of $10,000 or more from two or more tenants acting in concert (in most cases, this will apply to married couples), disclose the name of each tenant. Otherwise, mark “None.”
- Loans from a private lender that total $500 or more and are secured by real property may be reportable. Loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender’s regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status are not reportable.

When reporting a loan:
- Provide the name and address of the lender.
- Describe the lender’s business activity.
- Disclose the interest rate and term of the loan. For variable interest rate loans, disclose the conditions of the loan (e.g., Prime + 2) or the average interest rate paid during the reporting period. The term of a loan is the total number of months or years given for repayment of the loan at the time the loan was established.
- Check the box indicating the highest balance of the loan during the reporting period.
- Identify a guarantor, if applicable.

If you have more than one reportable loan on a single piece of real property, report the additional loan(s) on Schedule C.

**Example:**
Joe Nelson is a city planning commissioner. Joe received rental income of $12,000 during the reporting period from a single tenant who rented property Joe owned in the city’s jurisdiction. If Joe had received the $12,000 from two or more tenants, the tenants’ names would not be required as long as no single tenant paid $10,000 or more. A married couple would be considered a single tenant.

**FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017)**
FPPC Advice Email: advice@fppc.ca.gov
FPPC Toll-Free Helpline: 866/275-3772 www.fppc.ca.gov
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### SCHEDULE B

**Interests in Real Property**  
(Including Rental Income)

#### ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER OR STREET ADDRESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FAIR MARKET VALUE

- $2,000 - $10,000
- $10,001 - $100,000
- $100,001 - $1,000,000
- Over $1,000,000

IF APPLICABLE, LIST DATE:  

- / / 16
- / / 16

ACQUIRED  
DISPOSED

#### NATURE OF INTEREST

- Ownership/Deed of Trust
- Leasehold
- Easement
- Yrs. remaining
- Other

#### IF RENTAL PROPERTY, GROSS INCOME RECEIVED

- $0 - $499
- $500 - $1,000
- $1,001 - $10,000
- $10,001 - $100,000
- Over $100,000

#### SOURCES OF RENTAL INCOME:

If you own a 10% or greater interest, list the name of each tenant that is a single source of income of $10,000 or more.

- None

#### Guarantor, if applicable

- None

#### NAME OF LENDER*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTEREST RATE</th>
<th>TERM (Months/Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

- $500 - $1,000
- $1,001 - $10,000
- $10,001 - $100,000
- Over $100,000

- Guarantor, if applicable

* You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions made in the lender’s regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender’s regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

#### NAME OF LENDER*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTEREST RATE</th>
<th>TERM (Months/Years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### HIGHEST BALANCE DURING REPORTING PERIOD

- $500 - $1,000
- $1,001 - $10,000
- $10,001 - $100,000
- Over $100,000

- Guarantor, if applicable

#### Comments:

You can use this form to report your financial interests in real property and rental income. Please fill in all applicable sections and submit the form to the Fair Political Practices Commission.
Reporting Income:
Report the source and amount of gross income of $500 or more you received during the reporting period. Gross income is the total amount of income before deducting expenses, losses, or taxes and includes loans other than loans from a commercial lending institution. See Reference Pamphlet, page 11. You must also report the source of income to your spouse or registered domestic partner if your community property share was $500 or more during the reporting period.

The source and income must be reported only if the source is located in, doing business in, planning to do business in, or has done business during the previous two years in your agency's jurisdiction. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13, for more information about doing business in the jurisdiction. Reportable sources of income may be further limited by your disclosure category located in your agency's conflict of interest code.

Reporting Business Positions:
You must report your job title with each reportable business entity even if you received no income during the reporting period. Use the comments section to indicate that no income was received.

Commonly reportable income and loans include:
• Salary/wages, per diem, and reimbursement for expenses including travel payments provided by your employer
• Community property interest (50%) in your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s income - report the employer's name and all other required information
• Income from investment interests, such as partnerships, reported on Schedule A-1
• Commission income not required to be reported on Schedule A-2 (See Reference Pamphlet, page 8.)
• Gross income from any sale, including the sale of a house or car (Report your pro rata share of the total sale price.)
• Rental income not required to be reported on Schedule B
• Prizes or awards not disclosed as gifts
• Payments received on loans you made to others
• An honorarium received prior to becoming a public officia (See Reference Pamphlet, page 10, concerning your ability to receive future honoraria.)
• Incentive compensation (See Reference Pamphlet, page 12.)

You are not required to report:
• Salary, reimbursement for expenses or per diem, or social security, disability, or other similar benefi payments received by you or your spouse or registered domestic partner from a federal, state, or local government agency.
• Stock dividends and income from the sale of stock unless the source can be identified
• Income from a PERS retirement account.

See Reference Pamphlet, page 11, for more exceptions to income reporting.

To Complete Schedule C:
Part 1. Income Received/Business Position Disclosure
• Disclose the name and address of each source of income or each business entity with which you held a business position.
• Provide a general description of the business activity if the source is a business entity.
• Check the box indicating the amount of gross income received.
• Identify the consideration for which the income was received.
• For income from commission sales, check the box indicating the gross income received and list the name of each source of commission income of $10,000 or more. See Reference Pamphlet, page 8. Note: If you receive commission income on a regular basis or have an ownership interest of 10% or more, you must disclose the business entity and the income on Schedule A-2.
• Disclose the job title or business position, if any, that you held with the business entity, even if you did not receive income during the reporting period.

Part 2. Loans Received or Outstanding During the Reporting Period
• Provide the name and address of the lender.
• Provide a general description of the business activity if the lender is a business entity.
• Check the box indicating the highest balance of the loan during the reporting period.
• Disclose the interest rate and the term of the loan.
  - For variable interest rate loans, disclose the conditions of the loan (e.g., Prime + 2) or the average interest rate paid during the reporting period.
  - The term of the loan is the total number of months or years given for repayment of the loan at the time the loan was entered into.
• Identify the security, if any, for the loan.

Reminders
• Code filer – your disclosure categories may not require disclosure of all sources of income.
• If you or your spouse or registered domestic partner are self-employed, report the business entity on Schedule A-2.
• Do not disclose on Schedule C income, loans, or business positions already reported on Schedules A-2 or B.
# SCHEDULE C
## Income, Loans, & Business Positions
(Other than Gifts and Travel Payments)

### 1. INCOME RECEIVED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF SOURCE OF INCOME</th>
<th>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</th>
<th>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</th>
<th>YOUR BUSINESS POSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### GROSS INCOME RECEIVED
- No Income - Business Position Only
- $500 - $1,000
- $1,001 - $10,000
- $10,001 - $100,000
- Over $100,000

#### CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED
- Salary
- Spouse's or registered domestic partner's income
- Partnership (Less than 10% ownership. For 10% or greater use Schedule A-2.)
- Sale of (Real property, car, boat, etc.)
- Loan repayment
- Commission or Rental Income, list each source of $10,000 or more
- Other (Describe)

### 2. LOANS RECEIVED OR OUTSTANDING DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD

*You are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions, or any indebtedness created as part of a retail installment or credit card transaction, made in the lender's regular course of business on terms available to members of the public without regard to your official status. Personal loans and loans received not in a lender's regular course of business must be disclosed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF LENDER*</th>
<th>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</th>
<th>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF LENDER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTEREST RATE</td>
<td>TERM (Months/Years)</td>
<td>SECURITY FOR LOAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Personal residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECURIT Y FOR LOAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Personal residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Property</td>
<td>Street address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guarantor</td>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(Describe)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

---

Clear Page  Print
A gift is anything of value for which you have not provided equal or greater consideration to the donor. A gift is reportable if its fair market value is $50 or more. In addition, multiple gifts totaling $50 or more received during the reporting period from a single source must be reported.

It is the acceptance of a gift, not the ultimate use to which it is put, that imposes your reporting obligation. Except as noted below, you must report a gift even if you never used it or if you gave it away to another person.

If the exact amount of a gift is unknown, you must make a good faith estimate of the item’s fair market value. Listing the value of a gift as “over $50” or “value unknown” is not adequate disclosure. In addition, if you received a gift through an intermediary, you must disclose the name, address, and business activity of both the donor and the intermediary. You may indicate an intermediary either in the “source” field after the name or in the “comments” section at the bottom of Schedule D.

Commonly reportable gifts include:
- Tickets/passes to sporting or entertainment events
- Tickets/passes to amusement parks
- Parking passes not used for official agency business
- Food, beverages, and accommodations, including those provided in direct connection with your attendance at a convention, conference, meeting, social event, meal, or like gathering
- Rebates/discounts not made in the regular course of business to members of the public without regard to official status
- Wedding gifts (See Reference Pamphlet, page 16)
- An honorarium received prior to assuming office (You may report an honorarium as income on Schedule C, rather than as a gift on Schedule D, if you provided services of equal or greater value than the payment received. See Reference Pamphlet, page 10, regarding your ability to receive future honoraria.)
- Transportation and lodging (See Schedule E.)
- Forgiveness of a loan received by you

You are not required to disclose:
- Gifts that were not used and that, within 30 days after receipt, were returned to the donor or delivered to a charitable organization or government agency without being claimed by you as a charitable contribution for tax purposes
- Gifts from your spouse or registered domestic partner, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, brother, sister, and certain other family members (See Regulation 18942 for a complete list.). The exception does not apply if the donor was acting as an agent or intermediary for a reportable source who was the true donor.
- Gifts of similar value exchanged between you and an individual, other than a lobbyist registered to lobby your state agency, on holidays, birthdays, or similar occasions
- Gifts of informational material provided to assist you in the performance of your official duties (e.g., books, pamphlets, reports, calendars, periodicals, or educational seminars)
- A monetary bequest or inheritance (However, inherited investments or real property may be reportable on other schedules.)
- Personalized plaques or trophies with an individual value of less than $250
- Campaign contributions
- Up to two tickets, for your own use, to attend a fundraiser for a campaign committee or candidate, or to a fundraiser for an organization exempt from taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. The ticket must be received from the organization or committee holding the fundraiser.
- Gifts given to members of your immediate family if the source has an established relationship with the family member and there is no evidence to suggest the donor had a purpose to influence you. (See Regulation 18943.)
- Free admission, food, and nominal items (such as a pen, pencil, mouse pad, note pad or similar item) available to all attendees, at the event at which the official makes a speech (as define in Regulation 18950(b)(2)), so long as the admission is provided by the person who organizes the event.
- Any other payment not identified above, that would otherwise meet the definition of gift, where the payment is made by an individual who is not a lobbyist registered to lobby the official’s state agency, where it is clear that the gift was made because of an existing personal or business relationship unrelated to the official’s position and there is no evidence whatsoever at the time the gift is made to suggest the donor had a purpose to influence you.

To Complete Schedule D:
- Disclose the full name (not an acronym), address, and, if a business entity, the business activity of the source.
- Provide the date (month, day, and year) of receipt, and disclose the fair market value and description of the gift.
### SCHEDULE D
Income – Gifts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</th>
<th>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</th>
<th>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</th>
<th>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</th>
<th>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym)</th>
<th>ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable)</th>
<th>BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE (mm/dd/yy)</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION OF GIFT(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

---

Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.
Travel payments reportable on Schedule E include advances and reimbursements for travel and related expenses, including lodging and meals.

Gifts of travel may be subject to the gift limit. In addition, certain travel payments are reportable gifts, but are not subject to the gift limit. To avoid possible misinterpretation or the perception that you have received a gift in excess of the gift limit, you may wish to provide a specific description of the purpose of your travel. See the FPPC fact sheet entitled “Limitations and Restrictions on Gifts, Honoraria, Travel, and Loans” at www.fppc.ca.gov.

You are not required to disclose:

• Travel payments received from any state, local, or federal government agency for which you provided services equal or greater in value than the payments received, such as reimbursement for travel on agency business from your government agency employer.

• A payment for travel from another local, state, or federal government agency and related per diem expenses when the travel is for education, training or other inter-agency programs or purposes.

• Travel payments received from your employer in the normal course of your employment that are included in the income reported on Schedule C.

• A travel payment that was received from a non-profit entity exempt from taxation under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3) for which you provided equal or greater consideration, such as reimbursement for travel on business for a 501(c)(3) organization for which you are a board member.

Note: Certain travel payments may not be reportable if reported on Form 801 by your agency.

To Complete Schedule E:

• Disclose the full name (not an acronym) and address of the source of the travel payment.

• Identify the business activity if the source is a business entity.

• Check the box to identify the payment as a gift or income, report the amount, and disclose the date(s).

  - Travel payments are gifts if you did not provide services that were equal to or greater in value than the payments received. You must disclose gifts totaling $50 or more from a single source during the period covered by the statement.

  - Travel payments are income if you provided services that were equal to or greater in value than the payments received. You must disclose income totaling $500 or more from a single source during the period covered by the statement. You have the burden of proving the payments are income rather than gifts. When reporting travel payments as income, you must describe the services you provided in exchange for the payment. You are not required to disclose the date(s) for travel payments that are income.

Example:

City council member Rick Chandler is the chairman of a 501 (c)(6) trade association and the association pays for Rick’s travel to attend its meetings. Because Rick is deemed to be providing equal or greater consideration for the travel payment by virtue of serving on the board, this payment may be reported as income. Payments for Rick to attend other events for which he is not providing services are likely considered gifts.

Instructions - 18
SCHEDULE E
Income – Gifts
Travel Payments, Advances, and Reimbursements

- Mark either the gift or income box.
- Mark the “501(c)(3)” box for a travel payment received from a nonprofit 501(c)(3) organization or the “Speech” box if you made a speech or participated in a panel. These payments are not subject to the gift limit, but may result in a disqualifying conflict of interest.
- For gifts of travel, provide the travel destination.

| NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym) | ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) | CITY AND STATE | 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE | DATE(S): ______/____/____ - ______/____/____ AMT: $______
(if gift) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUST CHECK ONE:</td>
<td>Gift -or- Income</td>
<td>Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel</td>
<td>Other - Provide Description</td>
<td>If Gift, Provide Travel Destination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| NAME OF SOURCE (Not an Acronym) | ADDRESS (Business Address Acceptable) | CITY AND STATE | 501 (c)(3) or DESCRIBE BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY, OF SOURCE | DATE(S): ______/____/____ - ______/____/____ AMT: $______
(if gift) |
| MUST CHECK ONE: | Gift -or- Income | Made a Speech/Participated in a Panel | Other - Provide Description | If Gift, Provide Travel Destination |

Comments: __________________________

FPPC Form 700 (2016/2017) Sch. E
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What's New

Gifts of Travel
Effective January 1, 2016, if an individual receives a travel payment that is reportable as a gift, he or she must disclose the travel destination. (See the Form 700 Schedule E instructions for information about other details that must be disclosed.) This applies to travel taken on or after January 1, 2016.
Who Must File

1. Officials and Candidates Specified in Gov. Code Section 87200 and Members of Boards and Commissions of Newly Created Agencies

The Act requires the following individuals to fully disclose their personal assets and income described in Form 700, Statement of Economic Interests:

**State Office**
- Governor
- Lieutenant Governor
- Attorney General
- Controller
- Insurance Commissioner
- Secretary of State
- Treasurer
- Members of the State Legislature
- Superintendent of Public Instruction
- State Board of Equalization Members
- Public Utilities Commissioners
- State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commissioners
- State Coastal Commissioners
- Fair Political Practices Commissioners
- State public officials (including employees and consultants) who manage public investments
- Elected members of and candidates for the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System
- Elected members of and candidates for the Teachers’ Retirement Board
- Members of the High Speed Rail Authority

Other officials and employees of state boards, commissions, agencies, and departments file Form 700 as described in Part 2 on this page.

**Judicial Office**
- Supreme, Appellate, and Superior Court Judges
- Court Commissioners
- Retired Judges, Pro-Tem Judges, and part-time Court Commissioners who serve or expect to serve 30 days or more in a calendar year

**County and City Office**
- Members of Boards of Supervisors
- Mayors and Members of City Councils
- Chief Administrative Officer
- District Attorneys
- County Counsels
- City Attorneys
- City Managers
- Planning Commissioners
- County and City Treasurers
- County and city public officials (including employees and consultants) who manage public investments

Members of Boards and Commissions of Newly Created Agencies

Members must fully disclose their investments, interests in real property, business positions, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) until the positions are covered under a conflict of interest code

2. State and Local Officials, Employees, Candidates, and Consultants Designated in a Conflict of Interest Code (“Code Filers”)

The Act requires every state and local government agency to adopt a unique conflict of interest code. The code lists each position within the agency filled by individuals who make or participate in making governmental decisions that could affect their personal economic interests.

The code requires individuals holding those positions to periodically file Form 700 disclosing certain personal economic interests as determined by the code’s “disclosure categories.” These individuals are called “designated employees” or “code filers.”

Obtain your disclosure categories from your agency – they are not contained in the Form 700. Persons with broad decisionmaking authority must disclose more interests than those in positions with limited discretion. For example, you may be required to disclose only investments and business positions in or income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) from businesses of the type that contract with your agency, or you may not be required to disclose real property interests.

In addition, certain consultants to public agencies may qualify as public officials because they make, participate in making, or act in a staff capacity for governmental decisions. Agencies determine who is a consultant and the level of disclosure and may use Form 805.

Note: An official who holds a position specified in Gov. Code Section 87200 is not required to file statements under the conflict of interest code of any agency that has the same or a smaller jurisdiction (for example, a state legislator who also sits on a state or local board or commission).

Employees in Newly Created Positions of Existing Agencies

An individual hired for a position not yet covered under an agency’s conflict of interest code must file Form 700 if the individual serves in a position that makes or participates in making governmental decisions. These individuals must file under the agency’s broadest disclosure category until the code is amended to include the new position unless the agency has provided in writing a limited disclosure requirement. The Form 804 may be used to satisfy this requirement.
Types of Form 700 Filings

Assuming Office Statement:
If you are a newly appointed official or are newly employed in a position designated, or that will be designated, in a state or local agency’s conflict of interest code, your assuming office date is the date you were sworn in or otherwise authorized to serve in the position. If you are a newly elected official, your assuming office date is the date you were sworn in.

- Investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date you assumed the office or position must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date you assumed the office or position is reportable.

For positions subject to confirmation by the State Senate or the Commission on Judicial Performance, your assuming office date is the date you were appointed or nominated to the position.

Example:
Maria Lopez was nominated by the Governor to serve on a state agency board that is subject to state Senate confirmation. The assuming office date is the date Maria’s nomination is submitted to the Senate. Maria must report investments, interests in real property, and business positions she holds on that date, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to that date.

If your office or position has been added to a newly adopted or newly amended conflict of interest code, use the effective date of the code or amendment, whichever is applicable.

- Investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the effective date of the code or amendment must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the effective date of the code or amendment is reportable.

Annual Statement:
Generally, the period covered is January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. If the period covered by the statement is different than January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, (for example, you assumed office between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2015, or you are combining statements), you must specify the period covered.

- Investments, interests in real property, business positions held, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the period covered by the statement must be reported. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B unless you are required to report the acquisition or disposition of an interest that did not occur in 2016.

Leaving Office Statement:
Generally, the period covered is January 1, 2016, through the date you stopped performing the duties of your position. If the period covered differs from January 1, 2016, through the date you stopped performing the duties of your position (for example, you assumed office between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2015, or you are combining statements), the period covered must be specified. The reporting period can cover parts of two calendar years.

- Investments, interests in real property, business positions held, and income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the period covered by the statement must be reported. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B unless you are required to report the acquisition or disposition of an interest that did not occur in 2016.

Candidate Statement:
If you are filing a statement in connection with your candidacy for state or local office, investments, interests in real property, and business positions held on the date of filing your declaration of candidacy must be reported. In addition, income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) received during the 12 months prior to the date of filing your declaration of candidacy is reportable. Do not change the preprinted dates on Schedules A-1, A-2, and B.

Candidates running for local elective offices (e.g., county sheriffs, city clerks, school board trustees, or water district board members) must file candidate statements, as required by the conflict of interest code for the elected position. The code may be obtained from the agency of the elected position.

Amendments:
If you discover errors or omissions on any statement, file an amendment as soon as possible. You are only required to amend the schedule that needs to be revised; it is not necessary to refile the entire form. Obtain amendment schedules from the FPPC website at www.fppc.ca.gov.
Where to File

1. Officials Specified in Gov. Code Section 87200 (See Reference Pamphlet, page 3):
In most cases, the filing officials listed below will retain a copy of your statement and forward the original to the FPPC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filers</th>
<th>Where to File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87200 Filers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State offices</td>
<td>Your agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial offices</td>
<td>The clerk of your court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired Judges</td>
<td>Directly with FPPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County offices</td>
<td>Your county filing official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City offices</td>
<td>Your city clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-County offices</td>
<td>Your agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87200 Candidates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State offices</td>
<td>County elections official with whom you file your declaration of candidacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial offices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-County offices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County offices</td>
<td>County elections official</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City offices</td>
<td>City Clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Employees’ Retirement System (CalPERS)</td>
<td>CalPERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Teachers’ Retirement Board (CalSTRS)</td>
<td>CalSTRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Individuals that invest public funds for a city or county agency must file Form 700 with the agency. Unlike most other 87200 filers, the original statement will not be forwarded to the FPPC pursuant to Regulation 18753.

2. Code Filers — State and Local Officials, Employees, Candidates, and Consultants Designated in a Conflict of interest Code:
File with your agency, board, or commission unless otherwise specified in your agency’s conflict of interest code. In most cases, the agency, board, or commission will retain the statements.

Candidates for local elective offices designated in a conflict of interest code file with the elections office where the declaration of candidacy or other nomination documents are filed.

3. Members of Boards and Commissions of Newly Created Agencies:
File with your newly created agency or with your agency’s code reviewing body as provided by your code reviewing body.

State Senate and Assembly staff members file statements directly with the FPPC.

Exceptions:
- Elected state officers are not required to file statements under any agency’s conflict of interest code.
- Filers listed in Section 87200 are not required to file statements under any agency’s conflict of interest code in the same jurisdiction. For example, a county supervisor who is appointed to serve in an agency with jurisdiction in the same county has no additional filing obligations.

4. Positions Not Yet Covered Under a Conflict of interest Code
An individual hired for a position not yet covered under an agency’s conflict of interest code must file Form 700 if the individual serves in a position that makes or participates in making governmental decisions. These individuals must file under the broadest disclosure category until the code is amended to include the new position unless the agency has provided in writing a limited disclosure requirement. Agencies may use FPPC Form 804 for this disclosure.
Such individuals are referred to as “code filers.” See Regulation 18734.
When to File

Assuming Office Statements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filer</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elected officials</td>
<td>30 days after assuming office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointed positions specified in Gov. Code Section 87200</td>
<td>30 days after assuming office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or</td>
<td>or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newly created board and commission members not covered by a conflict of interest code</td>
<td>10 days after appointment or nomination if subject to Senate or judicial confirmation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other appointed positions (including those held by newly-hired employees) that are or will be designated in a conflict of interest code</td>
<td>30 days after assuming office (30 days after appointment or nomination if subject to Senate confirmation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positions newly added to a new or amended conflict of interest code</td>
<td>30 days after the effective date of the code or code amendment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exceptions:

- Elected state officers who assume office in December or January are not required to file an assuming office statement, but will file the next annual statement due.
- If you complete a term of office and, within 30 days, begin a new term of the same office (for example, you are reelected or reappointed), you are not required to file an assuming office statement. Instead, you will simply file the next annual statement due.
- If you leave an office specified in Gov. Code Section 87200 and, within 45 days, you assume another office or position specified in Section 87200 that has the same jurisdiction (for example, a city planning commissioner elected as mayor), you are not required to file an assuming office statement. Instead, you will simply file the next annual statement due.
- If you transfer from one designated position to another designated position within the same agency, contact your filing officer or the FPPC to determine your filing obligations.

Annual Statements:

1. Elected state officers (including members of the state legislature, members elected to the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System and members elected to the Teachers' Retirement Board);
   Judges and court commissioners; and
   Members of state boards and commissions specified in Gov. Code Section 87200:
   File no later than Wednesday, March 1, 2017.
2. County and city officials specified in Gov. Code Section 87200:
3. Multi-County officials:
4. State and local officials and employees designated in a conflict of interest code:
   File on the date prescribed in the code (April 1 for most filers).

Exception:

If you assumed office between October 1, 2016, and December 31, 2016, and filed an assuming office statement, you are not required to file an annual statement until March 1, 2018, or April 2, 2018, whichever is applicable. The annual statement will cover the day after you assumed office through December 31, 2017.

Incumbent officeholders who file candidate statements also must file annual statements by the specified deadlines.

Late statements are subject to a late fine of $10 per day per position up to $100 for each day the statement is late.
When to File - (continued)

Leaving Office Statements:
Leaving office statements must be filed no later than 3 days after leaving the office or position

Exceptions:
- If you complete a term of office and, within 30 days, begin a new term of the same office (for example, you are reelected or reappointed), you are not required to file a leaving office statement. Instead, you will simply file the next annual statement due.
- If you leave an office specified in Gov. Code Section 87200 and, within 45 days, you assume another office or position specified in Section 87200 that has the same jurisdiction (for example, a city planning commissioner elected as mayor), you are not required to file a leaving office statement. Instead, you will simply file the next annual statement due.
- If you transfer from one designated position to another designated position within the same agency, contact your filing officer or the FPPC to determine your filing obligations.

Candidate Statements:
All candidates (including incumbents) for offices specified in Gov. Code Section 87200 must file statements no later than the final filing date for their declaration of candidacy.

Candidates seeking a position designated in a conflict of interest code must file no later than the final filing date for the declaration of candidacy or other nomination documents.

Exception:
A candidate statement is not required if you filed any statement (other than a leaving office statement) for the same jurisdiction within 60 days before filing a declaration of candidacy or other nomination documents.
Terms & Definitions

The instructions located on the back of each schedule describe the types of interests that must be reported. The purpose of this section is to explain other terms used in Form 700 that are not defined in the instructions to the schedules or elsewhere.

**Blind Trust:** See Trusts, Reference Pamphlet, page 16.

**Business Entity:** Any organization or enterprise operated for profit, including a proprietorship, partnership, firm business trust, joint venture, syndicate, corporation, or association. This would include a business for which you take business deductions for tax purposes (for example, a small business operated in your home).

**Code Filer:** An individual who has been designated in a state or local agency's conflict of interest code to file statements of economic interests.

An individual hired on or after January 1, 2010 for a position not yet covered under an agency's conflict of interest code must file Form 700 if the individual serves in a position that makes or participates in making governmental decisions. These individuals must file under the broadest disclosure category until the code is amended to include the new position unless the agency has provided in writing a limited disclosure requirement. Agencies may use FPPC Form 804 for such disclosure. See Regulation 18734.

**Commission Income:** "Commission income" means gross payments of $500 or more received during the period covered by the statement as a broker, agent, or salesperson, including insurance brokers or agents, real estate brokers or agents, travel agents or salespersons, stockbrokers, and retail or wholesale salespersons, among others.

In addition, you may be required to disclose the names of sources of commission income if your pro rata share of the gross income was $10,000 or more from a single source during the reporting period. If your spouse or registered domestic partner received commission income, you would disclose your community property share (50%) of that income (that is, the names of sources of $20,000 or more in gross commission income received by your spouse or registered domestic partner).

Report commission income as follows:

- If the income was received through a business entity in which you and your spouse or registered domestic partner had a 10% or greater ownership interest (or if you receive commission income on a regular basis as an independent contractor or agent), use Schedule A-2.
- If the income was received through a business entity in which you or your spouse or registered domestic partner did **not** receive commission income on a regular basis or you had a less than 10% ownership interest, use Schedule C.

The "source" of commission income generally includes all parties to a transaction, and each is attributed the full value of the commission.

Examples:

- You are a partner in Smith and Jones Insurance Company and have a 50% ownership interest in the company. You sold two Businessmen's Insurance Company policies to XYZ Company during the reporting period. You received commission income of $5,000 from the first transaction and $6,000 from the second. On Schedule A-2, report your partnership interest in and income received from Smith and Jones Insurance Company in Parts 1 and 2. In Part 3, list both Businessmen's Insurance Company and XYZ Company as sources of $10,000 or more in commission income.

- You are a stockbroker for Prince Investments, but you have no ownership interest in the firm. You receive commission income on a regular basis through the sale of stock to clients. Your total gross income from your employment with Prince Investments was over $100,000 during the reporting period. On Schedule A-2, report your name as the name of the business entity in Part 1 and the gross income you have received in Part 2. (Because you are an employee of Prince Investments, you do not need to complete the information in the box in Part 1 indicating the general description of business activity, fair market value, or nature of investment.) In Part 3, list Prince Investments and the names of any clients who were sources of $10,000 or more in commission income to you.

- You are a real estate agent and an independent contractor under Super Realty. On Schedule A-2, Part 1, in addition to your name or business name, complete the business entity description box. In Part 2, identify your gross income. In Part 3, for each transaction that resulted in commission income to you of $10,000 or more, you must identify the brokerage entity, each person you represented, and any person who received a finder's or other referral fee for referring a party to the transaction to the broker.

Note: If your pro rata share of commission income from a single source is $500 or more, you may be required to disqualify yourself from decisions affecting that source of income, even though you are not required to report the income. **For information regarding disclosure of "incentive compensation," see Reference Pamphlet, page 12.**
Terms & Definitions - (continued)

Conflict of Interest: A public official or employee has a conflict of interest under the Act when all of the following occur:

- The official makes, participates in making, or uses his or her official position to influence a governmental decision;
- It is reasonably foreseeable that the decision will affect the official’s economic interest;
- The effect of the decision on the official’s economic interest will be material; and
- The effect of the decision on the official’s economic interest will be different than its effect on the public generally.

Conflict of Interest Code: The Act requires every state and local government agency to adopt a conflict of interest code. The code may be contained in a regulation, policy statement, or a city or county ordinance, resolution, or other document.

An agency’s conflict of interest code must designate all officials and employees of, and consultants to, the agency who make or participate in making governmental decisions that could cause conflicts of interest. These individuals are required by the code to file statements of economic interests and to disqualify themselves when conflicts of interest occur.

The disclosure required under a conflict of interest code for a particular designated official or employee should include only the kinds of personal economic interests he or she could significantly affect through the exercise of his or her official duties. For example, an employee whose duties are limited to reviewing contracts for supplies, equipment, materials, or services provided to the agency should be required to report only those interests he or she holds that are likely to be affected by the agency’s contracts for supplies, equipment, materials, or services.

Consultant: An individual who contracts with or whose employer contracts with state or local government agencies and who makes, participates in making, or acts in a staff capacity for making governmental decisions. The agency determines who is a consultant. Consultants may be required to file Form 700. Such consultants would file under full disclosure unless the agency provides in writing a limited disclosure requirement. Agencies may use FPPC Form 805 to assign such disclosure. The obligation to file Form 700 is always imposed on the individual who is providing services to the agency, not on the business or firm that employs the individual.

FPPC Regulation 18700.3 defines “consultant” as an individual who makes a governmental decision whether to:

- Approve a rate, rule, or regulation
- Adopt or enforce a law
- Issue, deny, suspend, or revoke any permit, license, application, certificate, approval, order, or similar authorization or entitlement
- Authorize the agency to enter into, modify, or renew a contract provided it is the type of contract that requires agency approval
- Grant agency approval to a contract that requires agency approval and to which the agency is a party, or to the specifications for such a contract
- Grant agency approval to a plan, design, report, study, or similar item
- Adopt, or grant agency approval of, policies, standards, or guidelines for the agency or for any of its subdivisions

A consultant also is an individual who serves in a staff capacity with the agency and:

- participates in making a governmental decision; or
- performs the same or substantially all the same duties for the agency that would otherwise be performed by an individual holding a position specified in the agency’s conflict of interest code.

Designated Employee: An official or employee of a state or local government agency whose position has been designated in the agency’s conflict of interest code to file statements of economic interests or whose position has not yet been listed in the code but makes or participates in making governmental decisions. Individuals who contract with government agencies (consultants) may also be designated in a conflict of interest code.

A federal officer or employee serving in an official federal capacity on a state or local government agency is not a designated employee.

Disclosure Categories: The section of an agency’s conflict of interest code that specifies the types of personal economic interests officials and employees of the agency must disclose on their statements of economic interests. Disclosure categories are usually contained in an appendix or attachment to the conflict of interest code. Contact your agency to obtain a copy of your disclosure categories.
Terms & Definitions - (continued)

Diversified Mutual Fund: Diversified portfolios of stocks, bonds, or money market instruments that are managed by investment companies whose business is pooling the money of many individuals and investing it to seek a common investment goal. Mutual funds are managed by trained professionals who buy and sell securities. A typical mutual fund will own between 75 to 100 separate securities at any given time so they also provide instant diversification. Only diversified mutual funds registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Company Act of 1940 are exempt from disclosure. In addition, Regulation 18237 provides an exception from reporting other funds that are similar to diversified mutual funds. See Reference Pamphlet, page 13.

Elected State Officer: Elected state officers include the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Insurance Commissioner, State Controller, Secretary of State, State Treasurer, Superintendent of Public Instruction, members of the State Legislature, members of the State Board of Equalization, elected members of the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees’ Retirement System and members elected to the Teachers’ Retirement Board.

Enforcement: The FPPC investigates suspected violations of the Act. Other law enforcement agencies (the Attorney General or district attorney) also may initiate investigations under certain circumstances. If violations are found, the Commission may initiate administrative enforcement proceedings that could result in fines of up to $5,000 per violation.

Instead of administrative prosecution, a civil action may be brought for negligent or intentional violations by the appropriate civil prosecutor (the Commission, Attorney General, or district attorney), or a private party residing within the jurisdiction. In civil actions, the measure of damages is up to the amount or value not properly reported.

Persons who violate the conflict of interest disclosure provisions of the Act also may be subject to agency discipline, including dismissal.

Finally, a knowing or willful violation of any provision of the Act is a misdemeanor. Persons convicted of a misdemeanor may be disqualified for four years from the date of the conviction from serving as a lobbyist or running for elective office, in addition to other penalties that may be imposed. The Act also provides for numerous civil penalties, including monetary penalties and damages, and injunctive relief from the courts.

Expanded Statement: Some officials or employees may have multiple filing obligations (for example, a city council member who also holds a designated position with a county agency, board, or commission). Such officials or employees may complete one expanded statement covering the disclosure requirements for all positions and file a complete, originally signed copy with each agency.

Fair Market Value: When reporting the value of an investment, interest in real property, or gift, you must disclose the fair market value—the price at which the item would sell for on the open market. This is particularly important when valuing gifts, because the fair market value of a gift may be different from the amount it cost the donor to provide the gift. For example, the wholesale cost of a bouquet of flowers may be $10, but the fair market value may be $25 or more. In addition, there are special rules for valuing free tickets and passes. Call or email the FPPC for assistance.

Gift and Honoraria Prohibitions

Gifts:
State and local officials who are listed in Government Code Section 87200 (except judges—see below), candidates for these elective offices (including judicial candidates), and officials and employees of state and local government agencies who are designated in a conflict of interest code are prohibited from accepting a gift or gifts totaling more than $460 in a calendar year from a single source during 2015-2016. Effective January 1, 2017, the gift limit increased to $470.

In addition, elected state officers, candidates for elective state offices, and officials and employees of state agencies are subject to a $10 per calendar month limit on gifts from lobbyists and lobbying firms registered with the Secretary of State.

Honoraria:
State and local officials who are listed in Government Code Section 87200 (except judges—see below), candidates for these elective offices (including judicial candidates), and employees of state and local government agencies who are designated in a conflict of interest code are prohibited from accepting honoraria for any speech given, article published, or attendance at any public or private conference, convention, meeting, social event, meal, or like gathering.
Exceptions:

- Some gifts are not reportable or subject to the gift and honoraria prohibitions, and other gifts may not be subject to the prohibitions, but are reportable. For detailed information, see the FPPC fact sheet entitled “Limitations and Restrictions on Gifts, Honoraria, Travel, and Loans,” which can be obtained from your filing officer or the FPPC website (www.fppc.ca.gov).
- The $460 gift limit ($470 during 2017 - 2018) and the honorarium prohibition do not apply to a part-time member of the governing board of a public institution of higher education, unless the member is also an elected official.
- If you are designated in a state or local government agency’s conflict of interest code, the $460 gift limit ($470 during 2017 - 2018) and honorarium prohibition are applicable only to sources you would otherwise be required to report on your statement of economic interests. However, this exception is not applicable if you also hold a position listed in Gov. Code Section 87200 (See Reference Pamphlet, page 3.)
- For state agency officials and employees, the $10 lobbyist/lobbying firm gift limit is applicable only to lobbyists and lobbying firms registered to lobby your agency. This exception is not applicable if you are an elected state officer or a member or employee of the State Legislature.
- Payments for articles published as part of the practice of a bona fide business, trade, or profession, such as teaching, are not considered honoraria. A payment for an “article published” that is customarily provided in connection with teaching includes text book royalties and payments for academic tenure review letters. An official is presumed to be engaged in the bona fide profession of teaching if he or she is employed to teach at an accredited university.

Pro Rata Share: The instructions for reporting income refer to your pro rata share of the income received. Your pro rata share is normally based on your ownership interest in the entity or property. For example, if you are a sole proprietor, you must disclose 100% of the gross income to the business entity on Schedule A-2. If you own 25% of a piece of rental property, you must report 25% of the gross rental income received. When reporting your community property interest in your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s income, your pro rata share is 50% of his or her income.

Separate Property Agreement: Generally, a public official is required to disclose his or her community property share of his or her spouse’s income. But, when a public official and his or her spouse have a legally separate property agreement (e.g., prenuptial agreement), the official is not required to report the spouse’s community property share of income, unless the funds are commingled with community funds or used to pay for community expenses or to produce or enhance the separate income of the official.

Note: This reporting exception does not apply to investments and interests in real property. Even if a public official and his or her spouse have a separate property agreement, the spouse’s investments and interests in real property must still be disclosed because the definitions of reportable investments and interests in real property include those held by the official’s immediate family (spouse, registered domestic partner, and dependent children). These definitions are not dependent on community property law.

Income to a Business Entity: When you are required to report sources of income to a business entity, sources of rental income, or sources of commission income, you are only required to disclose individual sources of income of $10,000 or more. However, you may be required to disqualify yourself from decisions affecting sources of $500 or more in income, even though you are not required to report them.

Examples:

- Alice Ruiz is a partner in a business entity. She has a 25% interest. On Schedule A-2, she must disclose 25% of the fair market value of the business entity; 25% of the gross income to the business entity (even though all of the income received was reinvested in the business and she did not personally receive any income from the business); and the name of each source of $40,000 or more to the business.
Terms & Definitions - (continued)

- Cynthia and Mark Johnson, a married couple, own Classic Autos. Income to this business was $200,000. In determining the amount to report for income on Schedule A-2, Part 2, Mark must include his 50% share ($100,000) and 50% of his spouse’s share ($50,000). Thus, his reportable income would be $150,000 and he will check the box indicating $100,001-$1,000,000. (Also see Reference Pamphlet, page 13, for an example of how to calculate the value of this investment.)

You are not required to report:

- Salary, reimbursement for expenses or per diem, social security, disability, or other similar benefit payments received by you or your spouse or registered domestic partner from a federal, state, or local government agency
- A travel payment that was received from a non-profit entity exempt from taxation under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3) for which you provided equal or greater consideration, such as reimbursement for travel on business for a 501(c)(3) organization for which you are a board member.
- Campaign contributions
- A cash bequest or cash inheritance
- Returns on a security registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including dividends, interest, or proceeds from a sale of stocks or bonds unless the purchaser can be identified
- Redemption of a mutual fund
- Payments received under an insurance policy, including an annuity
- Interest, dividends, or premiums on a time or demand deposit in a financial institution, shares in a credit union, an insurance policy, or a bond or other debt instrument issued by a government agency
- Your spouse’s or registered domestic partner’s income that is legally “separate” income so long as the funds are not commingled with community funds or used to pay community expenses
- Income of dependent children
- Automobile trade-in allowances from dealers
- Loans and loan repayments received from your spouse or registered domestic partner, child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, brother, sister, parent-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, nephew, niece, aunt, uncle, or first cousin unless he or she was acting as an intermediary or agent for any person not covered by this provision
- Alimony or child support payments
- Payments received under a defined benefit pension plan qualified under Internal Revenue Code Section 401(a)
- Any loan from a commercial lending institution made in the lender’s regular course of business on terms available to the public without regard to your official status
- Any retail installment or credit card debts incurred in the creditor’s regular course of business on terms available to the public without regard to your official status
- Loans made to others. However, repayments may be reportable on Schedule C
- A loan you co-signed for another person unless you made payments on the loan during the reporting period

Incentive Compensation: “Incentive compensation” means income over and above salary that is either ongoing or cumulative, or both, as sales or purchases of goods or services accumulate. Incentive compensation is calculated by a predetermined formula set by the official’s employer which correlates to the conduct of the purchaser in direct response to the effort of the official.

Incentive compensation does not include:

- Salary
- Commission income (For information regarding disclosure of “commission income,” see Reference Pamphlet, page 8.)
- Bonuses for activity not related to sales or marketing, the amount of which is based solely on merit or hours worked over and above a predetermined minimum
- Executive incentive plans based on company performance, provided that the formula for determining the amount of the executive’s incentive income does not include a correlation between that amount and increased profits derived from increased business with specific and identifiable clients or customers of the company
- Payments for personal services which are not marketing or sales

The purchaser is a source of income to the official if all three of the following apply:

- the official’s employment responsibilities include directing sales or marketing activity toward the purchaser; and
- there is direct personal contact between the official and the purchaser intended by the official to generate sales or business; and
- there is a direct relationship between the purchasing activity of the purchaser and the amount of the incentive compensation received by the official.
Terms & Definitions - (continued)

Report incentive compensation as follows:

- In addition to salary, reimbursement of expenses, and other income received from your employer, separately report on Schedule C the name of each person who purchased products or services sold, marketed or represented by you if you received incentive compensation of $500 or more attributable to the purchaser during the period covered by the statement.
- If incentive compensation is paid by your employer in a lump sum, without allocation of amounts to specific customers, you must determine the amount of incentive compensation attributable to each of your customers. This may be based on the volume of sales to those customers.

(See Regulations 18700.1 and 18728.5 for more information.)

Investment Funds: The term “investment” no longer includes certain exchange traded funds, closed-end funds, or funds held in an Internal Revenue Code qualified plan. These non-reportable investment funds (1) must be bona fide investment funds that pool money from more than 100 investors, (2) must hold securities of more than 15 issuers, and (3) cannot have a stated policy of concentrating their holdings in the same industry or business (“sector funds”). In addition, the filer may not influence or control the decision to purchase or sell the specific fund on behalf of his or her agency during the reporting period or influence or control the selection of any specific investment purchased or sold by the fund. (Regulation 18237)

Investments and Interests in Real Property: When disclosing investments on Schedules A-1 or A-2 and interests in real property on Schedules A-2 or B, you must include investments and interests in real property held by your spouse or registered domestic partner, and those held by your dependent children, as if you held them directly.

Examples:
- Terry Pearson, her husband, and two dependent children each own $600 in stock in General Motors. Because the total value of their holdings is $2,400, Terry must disclose the stock as an investment on Schedule A-1.
- Cynthia and Mark Johnson, a married couple, jointly own Classic Autos. Mark must disclose Classic Autos as an investment on Schedule A-2. To determine the reportable value of the investment, Mark will aggregate the value of his 50% interest and Cynthia’s 50% interest. Thus, if the total value of the business entity is $150,000, he will check the box $100,001 - $1,000,000 in Part 1 of Schedule A-2. (Also see Reference Pamphlet, page 11, for an example of how to calculate reportable income.)

The Johnsons also own the property where Classic Autos is located. To determine the reportable value of the real property, Mark will again aggregate the value of his 50% interest and Cynthia’s 50% interest to determine the amount to report in Part 4 of Schedule A-2.
- Katie Smith rents out a room in her home. She receives $6,000 a year in rental income. Katie will report the fair market value of the rental portion of her residence and the income received on Schedule B.

Jurisdiction: Report discloseable investments and sources of income (including loans, gifts, and travel payments) that are either located in or doing business in your agency’s jurisdiction, are planning to do business in your agency’s jurisdiction, or have done business during the previous two years in your agency’s jurisdiction, and interests in real property located in your agency’s jurisdiction.

A business entity is doing business in your agency’s jurisdiction if the entity has business contacts on a regular or substantial basis with a person who maintains a physical presence in your jurisdiction.

Business contacts include, but are not limited to, manufacturing, distributing, selling, purchasing, or providing services or goods. Business contacts do not include marketing via the Internet, telephone, television, radio, or printed media.

The same criteria are used to determine whether an individual, organization, or other entity is doing business in your jurisdiction.

Exception:

Gifts are reportable regardless of the location of the donor. For example, a state agency official with full disclosure must report gifts from sources located outside of California. (Designated employees/code filers should consult their disclosure categories to determine if the donor of a gift is of the type that must be disclosed.)

When reporting interests in real property, if your jurisdiction is the state, you must disclose real property located within the state of California unless your agency’s conflict of interest code specifies otherwise.

For local agencies, an interest in real property is located in your jurisdiction if any part of the property is located in, or within two miles of, the region, city, county, district, or other geographical area in which the agency has jurisdiction, or if the property is located within two miles of any land owned or used by the agency.
See the following explanations to determine what your jurisdiction is:

**State Offices and All Courts:** Your jurisdiction is the state if you are an elected state office, a state legislator, or a candidate for one of these offices. Judges, judicial candidates, and court commissioners also have statewide jurisdiction. *(In re Baty (1979) 5 FPPC Ops. 10)* If you are an official or employee of, or a consultant to, a state board, commission, or agency, or of any court or the State Legislature, your jurisdiction is the state.

**County Office:** Your jurisdiction is the county if you are an elected county office, a candidate for county office, or if you are an official or employee of, or a consultant to, a county agency or any agency with jurisdiction solely within a single county.

**City Office:** Your jurisdiction is the city if you are an elected city office, a candidate for city office, or you are an official or employee of, or a consultant to, a city agency or any agency with jurisdiction solely within a single city.

**Multi-County Office:** If you are an elected office, candidate, official or employee of, or a consultant to a multi-county agency, your jurisdiction is the region, district, or other geographical area in which the agency has jurisdiction. *(Example: A water district has jurisdiction in a portion of two counties. Members of the board are only required to report interests located or doing business in that portion of each county in which the agency has jurisdiction.)*

**Other (for example, school districts, special districts and JPAAs):** If you are an elected office, candidate, official or employee of, or a consultant to an agency not covered above, your jurisdiction is the region, district, or other geographical area in which the agency has jurisdiction. See the multi-county example above.

**Leasehold Interest:** The term “interest in real property” includes leasehold interests. An interest in a lease on real property is reportable if the value of the leasehold interest is $2,000 or more. The value of the interest is the total amount of rent owed by you during the reporting period or, for a candidate or assuming office statement, during the prior 12 months.

You are not required to disclose a leasehold interest with a value of less than $2,000 or a month-to-month tenancy.

**Loan Reporting:** Filers are not required to report loans from commercial lending institutions or any indebtedness created as part of retail installment or credit card transactions that are made in the lender’s regular course of business, without regard to official status, on terms available to members of the public.

**Loan Restrictions:** State and local elected and appointed public officials are prohibited from receiving any personal loan totaling more than $250 from an official, employee, or consultant of their government agencies or any government agency over which the official or the official’s agency has direction or control. In addition, loans of more than $250 from any person who has a contract with the official’s agency or an agency under the official’s control are prohibited unless the loan is from a commercial lending institution or part of a retail installment or credit card transaction made in the regular course of business on terms available to members of the public.

State and local elected officials are also prohibited from receiving any personal loan of $500 or more unless the loan agreement is in writing and clearly states the terms of the loan, including the parties to the loan agreement, the date, amount, and term of the loan, the date or dates when payments are due, the amount of the payments, and the interest rate on the loan.

Campaign loans and loans from family members are not subject to the $250 and $500 loan prohibitions.

A personal loan made to a public official that is not being repaid or is being repaid below certain amounts will become a gift to the official under certain circumstances. Contact the FPPC for further information, or see the FPPC fact sheet entitled “Limitations and Restrictions on Gifts, Honoraria, Travel, and Loans,” which can be obtained from your filing officer or the FPPC website [www.fppc.ca.gov](http://www.fppc.ca.gov).

**Privileged Information:** FPPC Regulation 18740 sets out specific procedures that must be followed in order to withhold the name of a source of income. Under this regulation, you are not required to disclose on Schedule A-2, Part 3, the name of a person who paid fees or made payments to a business entity if disclosure of the name would violate a legally recognized privilege under California or Federal law. However, you must provide an explanation for nondisclosure separately stating, for each undisclosed person, the legal basis for the assertion of the privilege, facts demonstrating why the privilege is applicable, and that to the best of your knowledge you have not and will not make, participate in making, or use your official position to influence a governmental decision affecting the undisclosed person in violation of Government Code Section 87100. This explanation may be included with, or attached to, the public official’s Form 700.

We note that the name of a source of income is privileged only to a limited extent under California law. For example, a name is protected by attorney-client privilege only when facts concerning an attorney’s representation of an anonymous client are publicly known and those facts,
Terms & Definitions - (continued)

when coupled with disclosure of the client’s identity, might expose the client to an official investigation or to civil or criminal liability. A patient’s name is protected by physician-patient privilege only when disclosure of the patient’s name would also reveal the nature of the treatment received by the patient. A patient’s name is also protected if the disclosure of the patient’s name would constitute a violation by an entity covered under the Federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (also known as HIPAA).

Public Officials Who Manage Public Investments: Individuals who invest public funds in revenue-producing programs must file Form 700. This includes individuals who direct or approve investment transactions, formulate or approve investment policies, and establish guidelines for asset allocations. FPPC Regulation 18700.3 defines “public officials who manage public investments” to include the following:

- Members of boards and commissions, including pension and retirement boards or commissions, and committees thereof, who exercise responsibility for the management of public investments;
- High-level officers and employees of public agencies who exercise primary responsibility for the management of public investments (for example, chief or principal investment officers or chief financial managers); and
- Individuals who, pursuant to a contract with a state or local government agency, perform the same or substantially all the same functions described above.

Registered Domestic Partners: Filers must report investments and interests in real property held by, and sources of income to, registered domestic partners. (See Regulation 18229.)

Retirement Accounts (for example, deferred compensation and individual retirement accounts (IRAs)): Assets held in retirement accounts must be disclosed if the assets are reportable items, such as common stock (investments) or real estate (interests in real property). For help in determining whether your investments and real property are reportable, see the instructions to Schedules A-1, A-2, and B.

If your retirement account holds reportable assets, disclose only the assets held in the account, not the account itself. You may have to contact your account manager to determine the assets contained in your account.

Schedule A-1: Report any business entity in which the value of your investment interest was $2,000 or more during the reporting period. (Use Schedule A-2 if you have a 10% or greater ownership interest in the business entity.)

Schedule B: Report any piece of real property in which the value of your interest was $2,000 or more during the reporting period.

Examples:
- Alice McSherry deposits $500 per month into her employer’s deferred compensation program. She has chosen to purchase shares in two diversified mutual funds registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Because her funds are invested solely in non-reportable mutual funds (see Schedule A-1 instructions), Alice has no disclosure requirements with regard to the deferred compensation program.
- Bob Allison has $6,000 in an individual retirement account with an investment firm. The account contains stock in several companies doing business in his jurisdiction. One of his stock holdings, Misac Computers, reached a value of $2,500 during the reporting period. The value of his investment in each of the other companies was less than $2,000. Bob must report Misac Computers as an investment on Schedule A-1 because the value of his stock in that company was $2,000 or more.
- Adriane Fisher has $5,000 in a retirement fund that invests in real property located in her jurisdiction. The value of her interest in each piece of real property held in the fund was less than $2,000 during the reporting period. Although her retirement fund holds reportable assets, she has no disclosure requirement because she did not have a $2,000 or greater interest in any single piece of real property. If, in the future, the value of her interest in a single piece of real property reaches or exceeds $2,000, she will be required to disclose the real property on Schedule B for that reporting period.

Trusts: Investments and interests in real property held and income received by a trust (including a living trust) are reported on Schedule A-2 if you, your spouse or registered domestic partner, and your dependent children together had a 10% or greater interest in the trust and your pro rata share of a single investment or interest in real property was $2,000 or more.

You have an interest in a trust if you are a trustor and:
- Can revoke or terminate the trust;
- Have retained or reserved any rights to the income or principal of the trust or retained any reversionary or remainder interest; or
- Have retained any power of appointment, including the power to change the trustee or the beneficiaries.

Or you are a beneficiary and:
- Presently receive income; or
- Have an irrevocable future right to receive income or principal. (See FPPC Regulation 18234 for more information.)
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Examples:

- Sarah Murphy has set up a living trust that holds her principal residence, stock in several companies that do business in her jurisdiction, and a rental home in her agency's jurisdiction. Since Sarah is the trustor and can revoke or terminate the trust, she must disclose any stock worth $2,000 or more and the rental home on Schedule A-2. Sarah's residence is not reportable because it is used exclusively as her personal residence.

- Ben Yee is listed as a beneficiary in his grandparents trust. However, Ben does not presently receive income from the trust, nor does he have an irrevocable future right to receive income or principal. Therefore, Ben is not required to disclose any assets contained in his grandparents' trust.

Blind Trusts:

A blind trust is a trust managed by a disinterested trustee who has complete discretion to purchase and sell assets held by the trust. If you have a direct, indirect, or beneficial interest in a blind trust, you may not be required to disclose your pro rata share of the trust's assets or income. However, the trust must meet the standards set out in FPPC Regulation 18235, and you must disclose reportable assets originally transferred into the blind trust and income from those original assets on Schedule A-2 until they have been disposed of by the trustee.

Trustees:

If you are only a trustee, you do not have a reportable interest in the trust. However, you may be required to report the income you received from the trust for performing trustee services.

Wedding Gifts: Wedding gifts must be disclosed if they were received from a reportable source during the period covered by the statement. Gifts valued at $50 or more are reportable; however, a wedding gift is considered a gift to both spouses equally. Therefore, you would count one-half of the value of a wedding gift to determine if it is reportable and need only report individual gifts with a total value of $100 or more.

For example, you receive a place setting of china valued at $150 from a reportable source as a wedding gift. Because the value to you is $50 or more, you must report the gift on Schedule D, but may state its value as $75.

Wedding gifts are not subject to the $460 gift limit ($470 during 2017 - 2018), but they are subject to the $10 lobbyist/lobbying firm gift limit for state officials.

Privacy Information Notice

Information requested on all FPPC forms is used by the FPPC to administer and enforce the Political Reform Act (Gov. Code Sections 81000-91014 and California Code of Regulations Sections 18110-18997). All information required by these forms is mandated by the Political Reform Act. Failure to provide all of the information required by the Act is a violation subject to administrative, criminal, or civil prosecution. All reports and statements provided are public records open for public inspection and reproduction.

If you have any questions regarding this Privacy Notice or how to access your personal information, please contact the FPPC at:

General Counsel
Fair Political Practices Commission
428 J Street, Suite 620
Sacramento, CA  95814
(916) 322-5660
(866) 275-3772
AFFIDAVIT OF RESIDENCY
FOR BERKELEY COMMISSIONERS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA   )
        ) SS
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA   )

I, ____________________________, declare as follows:

My primary residence is ____________________________
(street address, or if none, a description of where you live, e.g. cross-streets)

Berkeley, California. I am currently a resident of the City of Berkeley. I hereby affirm
my residency status on (check one):

☐ the date of my appointment
☒ the date of this annual filing

as a condition of serving as a city commissioner.

☐ I am not currently a resident of the City of Berkeley, but I intend to re-establish
residency in the City of Berkeley within six months.
(Annual filers only may check this box, if applicable)

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct:

__________________________  ___________________________
Signature of Commissioner   Date
From: diana BohnUser <nicca@igc.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 9:13 AM
To: Campbell, Brandi; McCormick, Jacquelyn
Subject: Fwd: Sanctuary Cities and Funding Threats - New Resources from ILRC

fyi.
See you at the next meeting.
Thanks for your work!
diana

Begin forwarded message:

From: Angie Junck <ajunck@ilrc.org>
Subject: Sanctuary Cities and Funding Threats - New Resources from ILRC
Date: April 26, 2017 at 11:51:51 AM PDT
To: Contra Coalition <contra-costa-county-racial-justice-coalition@googlegroups.com>, "acudir-internal@googlegroups.com" <acudir-internal@googlegroups.com>, freesf <freesf@googlegroups.com>, "scc_fire_coreteam@googlegroups.com" <scc_fire_coreteam@googlegroups.com>, "noscommnsmc@googlegroups.com" <noscommnsmc@googlegroups.com>
Reply-To: acudir-internal@googlegroups.com

Dear colleague,

We wanted to share some updated resources from the ILRC concerning the developments around the Trump administration’s threats to strip cities and counties with sanctuary policies of federal funding.

Whether you’re still trying to wrap your head around the sanctuary provision of January’s executive order, learn more about the legal arguments of the San Francisco and Santa Clara court case (including an update on yesterday’s decision), refresh your memory around 8 USC § 1373, or better understand the federal funding debate, there’s something useful here for you.

On the heels of yesterday’s Santa Clara v. Trump court decision, we also want to encourage you to continue your amazing organizing, policy and/or legal advocacy work to separate your community and local agencies from federal immigration enforcement.
We absolutely recognize that this fight is not new, even under this administration, and that the work to protect and defend our communities will take more than sanctuary policies alone. We are committed to supporting that work alongside each of you.

1. **FAQ: Trump’s Executive Order on Sanctuary Cities**
   Read This To Learn: What does the executive order say? What federal grants are affected? What is a “sanctuary jurisdiction?”

2. **SUMMARY: The Lawsuits Against Trump’s Order to Defund Sanctuary Cities**
   Read This To Learn: What cities and counties have filed suit against Trump’s Executive Order? What are their legal arguments?

3. **FAQ: 8 USC § 1373 & Federal Funding Threats to Sanctuary Jurisdictions**
   Read This To Learn: What is 8 USC § 1373 and do sanctuary policies violate it?

4. **PODCAST: Professor Bill Ong Hing, University of San Francisco and ILRC Staff Attorney Lena Graber Chat About Federal Funding Threats**

5. **LETTER: Nearly 300 law professors sent a letter to the administration arguing the Executive Order on Sanctuary Jurisdictions is unconstitutional**

We hope these resources are helpful to your work, and feel free to reach out directly if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lena Graber,  
Staff Attorney
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Hello,

Attached is an item we are submitting for the March 28, 2017 council meeting.

Trump Impeachment
  • Report and Resolution

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
CONSENT CALENDAR
March 28, 2017

To: Honorable Members of the City Council

From: Mayor Jesse Arreguín

Subject: Support for the Investigation to Impeach President Donald Trump

RECOMMENDATION
Adopt a Resolution supporting an investigation into the impeachment of President Donald Trump.

BACKGROUND

The past couple of months of the Trump Administration have been mired in scandal, lawsuits, and controversy. In the first two weeks alone, 55 lawsuits were filed against the President (compared to 14 lawsuits for the last three Presidents combined during the same period). Polling suggests that the nation is evenly split on whether or not the President should be impeached. Locally, the City of Richmond passed a Resolution in support of impeachment, and the City of Alameda is discussing this proposal. Below is a sample of the many Constitutional violations and abuses committed by the Trump Administration.

The Emoluments Clause

Article 1, Section 9, Clause 8 of the US Constitution states that “no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States] shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State.” Known as the Emoluments Clause, this was written to prevent elected officials from being bribed by foreign governments. An emolument is an overarching term, covering financial benefits such as monetary payments, purchase of goods and services, subsidies, and tax breaks.

As a business owner of an international corporation, Donald Trump had been alerted to potential violations to the Constitution by legal scholars long before he assumed the presidency. His efforts to stay out of day-to-day operations of his company do not go far enough in preventing emoluments from foreign governments. Just days after being sworn in, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington filed a lawsuit against President Trump for violations of the Emoluments Clause.
Threats to Cut Federal Funding

A major campaign promise, which is rife with legal questions is his promise to eliminate federal funding to Sanctuary Cities. The President has no legal authority to unilaterally cut funding to cities or states, as this would need approval from Congress. Additionally, the federal government can only strip funding that is related to the policy involved. Additionally, the federal government cannot use threats of cuts as a form of coercion for making entities comply with the demands of the federal government.

Despite multiple case law explicitly expressing the limitations on funding cuts that the President or federal government can make, this has not stopped President Trump from making such threats. An executive order was signed that paves the way to cutting funds from Sanctuary Cities, which Berkeley identifies as. Separately, President Trump made a threat on Twitter to cut all federal funding to UC Berkeley.

Russian Communications

During the 2016 campaign, Donald Trump held a press conference where he explicitly called upon Russian hackers to target Hillary Clinton’s emails. Documents from the Democratic Party were leaked in what US intelligence agencies report to have come from Russia. At the same time, Trump's then campaign manager, Paul Manafort, was accused of accepting millions of dollars for representing Russian interests in the Ukraine and United States.

During the Trump Presidency, it was revealed that at least two top officials that were nominated/appointed by Trump had communications with Russians during the election. National Security Advisor General Michael Flynn was forced to resign after it emerged he had lied about the content of his conversations with Russian Ambassador to the US Sergei Kislyak. More recently, Attorney General Jeff Sessions lied in a testimony under oath as to whether he had communications with Russian authorities during the 2016 election.

Undermining Freedom of the Press

A prominent feature of the First Amendment is the Freedom of Press. But the media has been consistently oppressed and undermined by Donald Trump in both the campaign and his Presidency. From insulting and mocking journalists with disabilities to describing any media outlet that does their journalist duties of asking the tough questions as “fake news”, Trump has continuously made attempts to silence and discredit the media.

What is more alarming is President Trump’s repeated accusations of the media being the enemy of the American people. On February 24, major media outlets including the New York Times, CNN, and BBC, were barred from attending a press briefing.
National Security Risks

While there may be nothing legally wrong with President Trump’s lack of attendance at security briefings, it does raise concerns about the President’s ability to handle international crises. The use of Twitter as a medium for communication of off-the-cuff comments have raised eyebrows in multiple countries, including Mexico, Australia, Sweden, and China. Such diplomatic hiccups weaken the US’s standing on the international community.

A potential breach in national security took place during an open meeting between President Trump and Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe at Trump’s Mar-A-Lago Resort. Both leaders were notified of a missile launch by North Korea in front of guests instead of a private, confidential setting, potentially compromising details regarding a national security incident.

Abuse of Executive Powers

On multiple occasions, President Trump has used his powers as a bully pulpit. His comments that millions of Americans voted illegally in the 2016 election without being able to provide a single shred of evidence to back up such ludicrous claims serves only to undermine the democratic process.

When the check and balances of American government went into play when the courts overturned an executive order banning travel from seven Muslim-majority countries, President Trump lashed out against the judicial branch and attempted to discredit the judges and ruling. When he did not get his way, he instead circumnavigated the system by signing a very similar executive order.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS
None.

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
No adverse effects to the environment.

CONTACT PERSON
Jesse Arreguin, Mayor 510-981-7100

Attachments:
1: Resolution
RESOLUTION NO. ###.###N.S.

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF A CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION TO IMSPEACH PRESIDENT DONALD J TRUMP

WHEREAS, the following clauses provides a written testimony to some of the various abuses, violations, and scandals committed by United States President Donald J. Trump; and

WHEREAS, Article 1, Section 9, Clause 8 of the US Constitution – the Emoluments Clause – states that "no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States] shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State"; and

WHEREAS, this clause is interpreted as an anti-bribery provision, which would prevent business deals being made between a company owned by an elected official and a foreign government; and

WHEREAS, an emolument covers a broad range of financial benefits, including but not limited to monetary payments, purchase of goods and services, subsidies, and tax breaks; and

WHEREAS, after the November 2016 election, leading constitutional scholars warned the then President-elect that unless he fully divests from his business and sets up a blind trust, he would be in violation of the Constitution; and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2017, President-elect Trump announced he would stay out of day-to-day operations, but not stop emoluments from foreign governments; and

WHEREAS, on January 20, 2017, Donald Trump was sworn in as President of the United States; and

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2017, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington filed a lawsuit against President Trump alleging violations of the Emoluments Clause due to his company’s international business dealings and failing to fully divest from his company and business interests; and

WHEREAS, President Trump’s continual use of blatantly unfounded comments that millions of Americans committed voter fraud threatens to undermine the sanctity of the American democratic process; and
WHEREAS, President Trump has violated the freedom of press guaranteed under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution by attacking the media, discrediting news groups who have journalistically criticized him as “fake news” and forcefully blocking the press at press conferences; and

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order that would cut funding to Sanctuary Cities, including the City of Berkeley, despite the court’s ruling in the past that the federal government can only remove funding related to a specific policies, and that the federal government cannot threaten to cut funding in order to coerce action; and

WHEREAS, on January 28, 2017, President Trump signed an executive order establishing a travel ban from seven Muslim-majority nations (he did not ban Muslim-majority nations he has business dealings with, such as Saudi Arabia); and

WHEREAS, after his executive order was overturned, he attempted to undermine the powers of the Judicial Branch of government by discrediting the verdict and the judges who made the ruling, and ultimately circumnavigated the ruling by declaring a new executive order on March 6, 2017 that contained many concerning elements of the original travel ban; and

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2017, President Trump abused his power by threatening to unilaterally cut funding to UC Berkeley; and

WHEREAS, federal ethics rules were violated on February 9, 2017, when Kellyanne Conway, Counselor to the President, promoted First Daughter Ivanka Trump’s clothing line in an interview from the White House; and

WHEREAS, on February 11, 2017, President Trump hosted Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe at the Mar-A-Lago resort, owned by his company; and

WHEREAS, during this visit, classified information about a recent missile test by North Korea was discussed in an open, non-confidential setting, which jeopardized national security; and

WHEREAS, President Trump has nominated/appointed at least two major officials who have had prior communications with Sergei Kislyak, the Russian Ambassador to the US - National Security Advisor Michael Flynn and Attorney General Jeff Sessions; and

WHEREAS, General Flynn was forced to resign from his position after being found to have lied about the content of conversations he had with Russian authorities; and

WHEREAS, then Senator Sessions lied in a testimony under oath as to whether he had communications with Russian authorities during the 2016 election; and
WHEREAS, the above violations and abuses undermine the integrity of the Presidency.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that it hereby calls upon the United States House of Representatives to support a resolution authorizing and directing the House Committee on the Judiciary to investigate whether sufficient grounds exist for the impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, including but not limited to the violations listed herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution be sent to Congresswoman Barbara Lee.
-----Forwarded Message-----
From: Liz Wiener
Sent: Dec 9, 2016 6:06 PM
To: Marcia Poole
Subject: Fwd: Fw: Your top stories for today

Lots of stuff on homelessness.

Liz

On Friday, December 9, 2016 5:43 PM, KQED <newsletter@kqed.org> wrote:

If you are having trouble reading this email, read the online version.

Homeless U: How Students Study and Survive on the Streets

Homeless college students live in cars, couch surf and sneak into campus buildings to spend the night. Unfortunately, these experiences are more common than many ever suspected.

Trump Inspires Defiance, and Optimism, in California Legislature
Democrats vow to fight the president-elect on issues like immigration, while Republicans look forward to an incoming GOP administration.

**A Lesson for the Homeless, and for the Impulsively Generous**
We consider a personal response to homelessness, which is as much a part of San Francisco as the hills, the Mission or the Golden Gate Bridge.

**Kiyomi Tanouye, Music Expert and Nail Artist with a Love for Helping Others**
We remember Kiyomi Tanouye, lost in the Oakland warehouse fire. Whether working at Shazam, doing intricate nail art or helping organize the Mission Creek Festival, she 'infected everyone with joy.'

---

**Sen. Scott Wiener Wants California Cities to Build More Housing**
He's introduced legislation aimed at encouraging, and in some cases forcing, cities around California to approve more housing development.

**Obama Orders Sweeping Review of International Hacking Tied to U.S. Elections**
The review will go all the way back to the 2008 campaign and will look at 'all foreign actors and any attempt to interfere with our elections.'

**Homeless U: First Shelter Just for College Students Opens Its Doors**

'It's a nationwide problem, and we feel we're doing our small part to inspire others to show that it's possible to do something about it,' says co-founder of Bruin Shelter in Santa Monica.
From: J. George Lippman <george@igc.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 10:47 AM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn; Campbell, Brandi
Subject: miscellaneous

Jac, Brandi,

I wanted to send my sympathy for all you have had to deal with from the Coulter episode, etc.

For my part my daughter has been in and out of the hospital for much of the last two weeks, so I am challenged in my ability to keep up. (We are at Stanford now, probably discharging Friday or so.)

Here's a rundown of things we have been talking about and where they stand.

1. Social justice advisory: Jac will look for a new meeting date as this week has been crazy; we will pursue a "strategic vision or plan for the group." Jac I know you want to minimize meetings but if you want to let me know what you are thinking, or want me to do any thought work in advance, please let me know. If you want to do the Progressive Convention in the fall, planning should be underway very soon if anything real is to come out of it.

2. Urban Shield/NCRIC/Mutual Aid—looks like Cheryl's office is on point to some extent, but there are a few questions Jesse may need to weigh in on when he's able. High on my list is the question of how he wants to deal with getting the BPD to observe the council direction laid down in 1992. Kriss indicated this might be accepted now by the chief, please don't quote me. If we can avoid putting this to a vote that might be lost and thereby making the situation even worse, that would be vastly preferable, but there needs to be a clear decision on this.

More generally, the idea for an ad-hoc committee to develop non-militaristic, de-escalating alternatives to UASI, Urban Shield, NCRIC etc. is not just a compromise but a good positive strategy that could work closely with a newly progressive PRC (if we can get there). This will need more discussion.

3. PRC membership: confidentially, Ben tells me that he will make a change in June, but he does not have a person in mind. I talked with Mansour Id-Deen about referring Valerie Trahan or another person to Ben. Mansour said he had to check with Jesse because he referred Valerie to your office. If he would appoint Valerie, I recommend that, because his constraints on whom he would consider may be smaller or at least different from Jesse's.

On the other hand, I don't believe Sophie will make a new appointment for six months or more. This puts more pressure on the ability to get a progressive majority. I would like to know where Jesse is at on making his selection. Again, if none of the current names work for him, Kate has said she could probably find someone to appoint and he could take me back as his appointee.

This is important because as soon as Alison is replaced, there will be an election to replace her as chair. Jesse’s appointee needs to be in place when that happens in order to have a progressive voting majority for the election.

I think commission appointments are Brandi’s area? Also, Peace and Justice has an opening in the mayoral seat. I support John Erickson, but I don’t know who else has applied.

4. SRI—Jac is doing a good job riding herd on this. The main issue of the moment is the Wells divestment. I don’t have the bandwidth to participate too much, but in the background I am working on the Border Wall referral to P&J. I think also Diana sent Jac our questions for Henry O., and it would be nice if those could be forwarded to him.

5. Sanctuary City—I’m available to talk with Brandi on this, or with Brandi and Fr. Rigo. Brandi, if you have collected a set of questions for the ACLU/NLG I would appreciate seeing them. Again I wish I had more bandwidth to be more directly involved, between working and the family health issue.
6. Work:—Brandi, if and when you have a job description for the open position I’d be happy to take a look at it. My current assignment ends in a few weeks.

There are other issues that don’t fit neatly into any of these, though some of them come in the social justice advisory—they include strategy for development of mass progressive organization, transformation of staff and commission organizations, regional and political coalition-building, and long-term proactive and contingency envisioning. I recommend a clear strategy be developed prior to the Progressive Convention.

Thank you and great work both of you.

George Lippmann
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

I hope this finds you well and thriving.

Since last week I’ve been thinking about the upheaval surrounding Milo Yiannopoulos’s appearance on the campus, and I understand he plans to return. I’m sure you’re already discussing the matter.

I have read this: http://cityofberkeley.info/Police/Home/First_Amendment_Rights_Policy.aspx

Given the way Yiannopoulos and his people are using the situation, it seems to me there’s no way UCB can win. It strikes me as a major challenge for the city as well. I’m probably being naive, but I wonder if the city has grounds to keep him away based on what his visit could cost citizens, both in terms of dollars and stress. Perhaps the citizens of Berkeley could rally to say he is not welcome. (My actual ideal is that Yiannopoulos would be heard in a truly open setting because I believe the vast majority of people are not swayed by his views. Trouble is, he doesn’t arrive with hateful views only.)

If we were to organize, I would think to start soon. The reason it seems better to me for the people as a whole to say prominent haters aren’t welcome is that I suspect an easier case can be made for a citizen resistance than for a university resistance. It’s just too ideologically useful for Yiannopoulos to hammer on the university itself, and I think their spin is more effective when the university is targeted. None of this is simple, but these were my basic thoughts and I just wanted to pass them along.

Yours truly,
Cathleen Young
2325-1/2 Howe St.
Berkeley 94705

Office hours 9-6 weekdays; some weekends as well. If your message is urgent and I haven’t replied within 3 days, please call and leave a voice message. Include your callback number. Thank you.
Hi Brandi

Thank you for your email and great to have you aboard.

I'm helping Lauren and Matt with operational support to the MNCAA so you'll receive emails from me from time to time.

We've added both you and Timothy to our mailing list. In terms of how you can help; you'll both receive calendar invites for our monthly coordination call on May 9th. We look forward to having you join. Also, we have published an open letter to the President outlining our opposition to his administration's recent moves on rolling back climate action. It has been signed by 55 MNCAA Mayors so far, representing 33 million Americans. If it is feasible, at this time, we would be keen to hear if Mayor Arreguín would be in support of signing this letter.

Let me also attach some work that we recently conducted on behalf of MNCAA members into looking at best practices for next generation sustainability strategies. Perhaps it will be of use when Berkeley is thinking about its future moves towards climate action.

Best regards

Shaun

On Tue, Apr 25, 2017 at 10:41 PM, Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@citvofberkeley.info> wrote:

Thank you Timothy for the introduction.

It is great to get connected with you Lauren and the Mayors National Climate Action Agenda. Mayor Arreguín is deeply committed to further realizing our Climate Action Plan, and supporting and expanding the amazing work our staff does in areas of energy, resiliency, and sustainability.

Our office would greatly appreciate additional information on the network and how we can participate.

Best,
Brandi
On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 6:15 PM -0700, "Lauren Faber O'Connor" <lauren.faber@lacity.org> wrote:

of course- thank you for the update

On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 10:25 AM, Burroughs, Timothy <TBurroughs@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Lauren,

I hope you are well.

In November, Jesse Arreguin was elected the new Mayor of Berkeley. Mayor Arreguin is excited to innovate and engage on climate.

Can you please add his Chief of Staff, Brandi Campbell, to your email list?

Brandi’s email:

BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info

Thank you!

Timothy
From: Lauren Faber O'Connor [mailto:lauren.faber@lacity.org]
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 11:15 AM
To: kevin.luteran@nypa.gov; Matthew Naud <mnaud@a2gov.org>; Ashley Perl <ashley.perl@cityofaspen.com>; Garcia, Jairo H. <jhgarcia@atlantaga.gov>; Claire C. Angelle <ccangelle@atlantaga.gov>; sbenfield@AtlantaGa.Gov; Seydel, John R. <JSeydel@atlantaga.gov>; John Michael Cortez <john-michael.cortez@austintexas.gov>; Athens, Lucia <lucia.athens@austintexas.gov>; Baumer, Zach <zach.baumer@austintexas.gov>; Burton, Brandi <brandi.burton@austintexas.gov>; amy everhart@cityofchicago.org; Van Dyke, Katie <kvandyke@cityofberkeley.info>; bauerj@bloomington.in.gov; Austin Blackmon <austin.blackmon@boston.gov>; Lauren Zingarelli <lauren.zingarelli@boston.gov>

cc: Phocas Robert <rphocas@ci.charlotte.nc.us>; Wheat, Christopher <christopher.wheat@cityofchicago.org>; Dennis Gakunga <DGakunga@chulavistaca.gov>; bgardiner@collegeparkmd.gov; (mpbaldauf@columbiasc.net) <mpbaldauf@columbiasc.net>; Erin M. Miller <emmiller@columbus.gov>; Sahli-Wells, Meghan <Meghan.Sahli-Wells@culvercity.org>; Wilkinson, Brett <brett.wilkinson@dallascityhall.com>; Lefebvre, Kevin <kevin.lefebvre@dallascityhall.com>; Tinianow, Jerome C. - Office of Sustainability <jerry.tinianow@denvergov.org>; Cori Burbach <cburbach@cityofdubuque.org>; Tgoodman@cityofdubuque.org; Freid, Tobin L. <tfreid@dconc.gov>; center_m@cityofelgin.org; NELSON Ethan A <ethan.a.nelson@ci.eugene.or.us>; sustainability@cityofevanston.org; Nierengarten, Peter <pnierengarten@fayetteville-ar.gov>; Jacqueline Kozak-Thiel <kozak-thiel@fcgov.com>; Lucinda Smith <lsmith@fcgov.com>; Nancy Gassman <NGassman@fortlauderdale.gov>; John ellis@fresno.gov; wilma.quan-schecter@fresno.gov; Brenda Scott-Henry <bhenry@ci.gary.in.us>; Cottingham, Lara - ARA <Lara.Cottingham@houstontx.gov>; Dennis Murphy <dennis.murphey@kcmo.org>; Rachel Sweet <rachel.sweet@kcmo.org>; Quentin Sawoir <quentin.sawoir@kcmo.org>; Erin Gill <egill@knoxvilletn.gov>; Mwebre@littlerock.gov; lisa.knoblauch@longmontcolorado.gov; Matt Petersen <matt.petersen@lacity.org>; Lauren Faber <lauren.faber@lacity.org>; Elizabeth Wheaton @miamibeachfl.gov; david.prestwood@minneapolismn.gov; ben.hecker@minneapolismn.gov; Michele Paul <michele.paul@newbedford-ma.gov>; jphebert@nola.gov; Siobhan P. Foley <sfoley@nola.gov>; Ltvak, Gwendolyn <glitvak@cityhall.nyc.gov>; Zarrilli, Dan <dzarrilli@cityhall.nyc.gov>; Mandel, Benjamin <bmandel@cityhall.nyc.gov>; Jackson, Lolita <lJackson@cityhall.nyc.gov>; Cox, Michael <MCox@cityhall.nyc.gov>; Wentworth, Scott <swentworth@oaklandnet.com>; Hamilton, Daniel <dhamilton2@oaklandnet.com>; Brittany Sellers <brittany.sellers@cityoforlando.net>; Chris Castro <chris.castro@cityoforlando.net>; Gil Friend <Gil.Friend@cityofpaloalto.org>; Luke Cartin <Luke.Cartin@parkcity.org>; Christine Knapp <christine.knapp@phila.gov>; Seth I. Scott <sethiscott@phoenix.gov>; Mark Hartman <mark.hartman@phoenix.gov>; Grant Ervin <grant.ervin@sustainability@phoenix.gov>; Armstrong, Michael <michael.armstrong@portlandoregon.gov>; Howard, Nathan <Nathan.Howard@portlandoregon.gov>; barkerl@reno.gov; cstrait@cityofsacramento.org; Jennifer Venema <jVenema@cityofsacramento.org>;
Hi everyone, and welcome to new members since last month's call!

Please join us tomorrow, April 11, for our monthly coordination call of the Mayor's National Climate Action Agenda. Now that we are at 86 strong, we will not use our time on roll call and instead ask that you click to here to sign in each week. Let's hope this works!

Agenda for tomorrow below, however please let us know if you would like to add anything.

---

**MNCAA Monthly Meeting**

**April 11, 11-11:45am Pacific**

1. Climate Mayors letter re Trump EO
   - report out on metrics and coverage
   - activities from other mayors?

2. Reminders:
   - provide content for website: [www.climate-mayors.org](http://www.climate-mayors.org) -- we want to include pictures and stories of your mayor and your city in action
• updating climate actions compendium-- we need to add new cities and ensure existing cities' climate targets and commitments are up to date: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1bHiA2mooaCbo-RgVON4OYC2n6wRi40CS274mKedfCQA/edit?usp=sharing Please add/update your info in the same format as for other cities
• what additional "market transformation" ideas should we consider similar to the EV RFI (+ update on RFI next steps)

3. C40 Cities Awards and Cities100- C40 will be focusing on US cities so we want to encourage everyone to apply. Information will be online later this week at http://www.c40.org/awards. The awards and recognition are open to cities of any size, and for the following categories:

**Cities4Energy:** excellence in clean energy and building energy efficiency

**Cities4Mobility:** excellence on sustainable transportation

**Cities4ZeroWaste:** excellence in reducing waste

**Cities4Action:** most ambitious Climate Action Plan (with the Global Covenant of Mayors)

**Cities4Tomorrow:** most ambitious Adaptation Plan or programme

4. Final 10 minutes: Overview of MNCAA for new members

Thanks and talk then!

Lauren

---

What will you do to #AdoptthePLAN?

Lauren Faber O'Connor
Deputy Chief Sustainability Officer

Mayor Eric Garcetti
City of Los Angeles

lauren.faber@lacity.org
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# Organizations helping develop climate action plans

Using existing literature to frame next generation CAPs

This work is aimed to summarize current climate action plans (CAPs) and anticipate leading practices of ‘next generation’ CAPs, that will be applicable to all US cities. Other good resources exist for further technical detail or a global scan of activities by other cities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Membership</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innovation Network for Communities</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sectoral policy classification and synthesis</td>
<td><a href="#">Carbon Neutral City, Road to 80x50</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| USDN | 135 N. American cities | Innovation in sustainability  
 Capacity building through regional network | [USDN](#) |
| Carbon Neutral Cities Alliance | 20 global (incl. 8 US) | Global approaches for long-term carbon reduction planning | [Framework for Long-Term Deep Carbon Reduction Planning](#) |
| ICLEI / WWF | 1,200 global cities and jurisdictions (incl. 586 US) | Snapshots on 4 US cities | [How US Cities Are Accelerating Progress Towards National Climate Goals](#) |
| C40 Cities | 83 global cities (incl. 12 US) | Reporting and measurement  
 Best practice solutions | [Unlocking Climate Action in Megacities (2014)](#)  
 [Cities 100 (2015)](#) |
| ISDR | 34 US cities | Climate action | [Pathways to Deep Decarbonization (2014)](#) |
| Compact of Mayors | 428 global cities | Low carbon cities | [How to Maximize the Role of Cities in a Low Carbon Future (2015)](#) |

MNCAA Analysis of Climate Action Plans

PwC
Benchmarking methodology
75 cities analyzed, totaling a population of 46 million

We gathered data from existing climate action plans (CAPs) by generally focusing on the largest cities in each state. We added to this information data from a survey sent to MNCAA members, combined with additional PwC analysis.
What we looked for in climate action plans
Process, actions and implementation readiness

Process
1. Inventory of GHG emissions
2. Establish a reduction target
3. Prioritize and ‘bundle’ reduction opportunities
4. Design implementation mechanisms
5. Establish framework for iterative monitoring and refinement

Intervention areas
GHGs
- Energy supply
  - Utility-scale renewables
  - Community and residential renewables
  - Industrial power and heat

- Transportation
  - Mass and multimodal transit
  - Clean and alternative fuels
  - Transit oriented development

- Buildings and industrial
  - Building codes and retrofit
  - Efficient appliances
  - Behavioral changes

Waste and fugitives
- Waste minimization
- Industrial
- Landfill diversion

Resilience
- Water
  - Sustainable sources
  - Minimizing consumption
  - Quality

- Biodiversity and resources
  - Wildlife
  - Air quality
  - Local food
  - Coastal erosion

- Disaster readiness
  - Infrastructure
  - Adaptation

Implementation planning
Pre-requisites
- Legislative approval
- Financing and capital budgeting
- Technical and management capacity

Delivery mechanisms
- Policy creation and codification
- Community outreach
- Incentive design
- Partnerships

Design around maximizing co-benefits
- Economic development and jobs
- Social equity and community inclusion

Establish momentum
- Start with City and County operations
- Demonstration projects and critical infrastructure

MNCAA Analysis of Climate Action Plans
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**Overview of the cities analyzed**

**Population, density and form of government**

We reviewed cities, focusing initially on the largest cities in each state and then working down, to assess their stated climate action and environmental sustainability plans. Most cities were naturally greater in population size, so as to capture the impact of climate action on populations within administrative areas.

---

**Population**

- **Number of cities**
  - Less than 20k: 2
  - 20 - 50k: 1
  - 50 - 100k: 10
  - 100 - 250k: 23
  - 250 - 500k: 15
  - 500k - 1 million: 14
  - More than 1 million: 10

**Density (pop/sq mile)**

- **Number of cities**
  - Less than 1,000: 2
  - 1,000 - 2,500: 14
  - 2,500 - 5,000: 30
  - 5,000 - 10,000: 16
  - 10,000 - 15,000: 10
  - 15,000 - 20,000: 2
  - More than 20,000: 1

---

**Form of government**

- **Council-Manager**: 29%
- **Mayor-Council**: 58%
- **Other**: 13%

---

*MNCAA Analysis of Climate Action Plans*

PwC
83% of plans are standalone documents
Most created by environmental departments in the last 5 years

Most plans (which include climate action and environmental sustainability) have been commissioned and published in the last 5 years, although all are less than 10 years old. Most are generally standalone documents, while a minority are part of a larger piece, such as a General Plan or Urban Development Plan.

Key features:
- Technical documents
- Led by Office of Sustainability or Environment and equivalent
- Primarily GHG mitigation focused
- Vary in size: 25-400 pages (most less than 100)
- Near-term actions
- Long-term targets
Two thirds of plans inventory GHGs
But varying standards makes comparability difficult

Nearly all of the Plans evaluated have a focus on GHG mitigation.

Although only two thirds do this with a GHG inventory informing the Plan.

Of those with a GHG inventory, ICLEI’s US Community Protocol was the general methodology.

Does the Plan contain a GHG inventory?

- No GHG inventory: 32%
- Has a GHG inventory: 68%

ICLEI’s US Community Protocol is widely used

MNCAA Analysis of Climate Action Plans
PwC
Actions focus on the buildings and transportation sector
However two thirds of climate actions are uncosted

Climate Action Plans tend to focus on areas where cities can create policy/ordinances, but do not themselves bear the direct costs of implementation.

That being said, two thirds of mitigation actions are not quantified (in terms of tCO2 avoided) or costed. Sequestration and resilience actions are not routinely quantified.

Percentage of Plans addressing issues in these sectors

MNCAAA Analysis of Climate Action Plans
PwC
Survey results (1/6)
Overall satisfaction with MNCAA CAPs

MNCAA members were surveyed to gauge their overall satisfaction with their climate action plans. 50% of MNCAA members submitted responses.

Key takeaways
1. Respondents generally felt that their plans were comprehensive.
2. Respondents overwhelmingly felt the targets set in their CAPs were achievable and implementable.
3. Respondents felt their CAPs weren’t as clear as they could have been in identifying actions for communities and the private sector.
4. Governance and funding issues were generally not adequately addressed in CAPs.

**Survey Insight**

**Q1. Please state your agreement or disagreement with the following statements as they relate to your city’s Plan document**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither disagree or agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>TOTAL RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our city’s Plan identifies a credible, long-term pathway for reducing GHG emissions</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The targets set in our city’s Plan are achievable</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our city’s Plan identifies climate actions that are sufficiently detailed to implement</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our city’s Plan is comprehensive as it relates to sustainability and climate action</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other departments in our City understand what they should do to implement the Plan</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our city’s Plan is a technical document</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our city’s Plan designs the governance and enabling environment for it to be a success</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community groups in our City understand what they should do to implement the Plan</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The private sector in our City understand what they should do to implement the Plan</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our city’s Plan identifies funding needs and sources</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Survey results (2/6)**

Implementation priorities in MNCAA CAPs

MNCAA members were surveyed to gauge their sense of implementation priorities for their climate action plans. 50% of MNCAA members submitted responses.

**Q2. What level of importance was placed on the following implementation issues in your city’s Plan?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Least important / irrelevant</th>
<th>Not important to consider</th>
<th>Requires consideration</th>
<th>Important to consider</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th><strong>TOTAL RESPONSES</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support/endorsement from elected officials</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment with wider environmental and sustainability issues</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear/tangible actions</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental ownership</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public/community support and engagement</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental coordination</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental capability</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process for accountability/review</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot and demonstration projects</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding availability</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key takeaways**

1. Respondents overwhelming felt that both support from elected officials were very important to the implementation success of their CAPs.
2. In developing their CAPs, alignment with wider environmental and sustainability issues were seen as a priority.
3. Funding availability and governance issues were seen as important to consider, but not as much as having clear and tangible actions.
4. Respondents had a range of views on the importance of pilot and demonstration projects.
# Survey results (3/6)
## Evaluating the levels of success of MNCAA CAPs

MNCAA members were surveyed to gauge their evaluation of success of their climate action plans. 50% of MNCAA members submitted responses.

Q3. Looking back on city’s Plan, how would you rate your level of success in addressing the following climate action areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>No change</th>
<th>Some success</th>
<th>Significant or transformational success</th>
<th>TOTAL RESPONSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy and renewables</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption (food and consumables)</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon sequestration</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key takeaways
1. Three quarters of respondents had at least some success with buildings sector climate actions.
2. More than half of respondents achieved significant or transformational success with energy and renewables sector climate actions.
3. Cities which reported no change in transportation sector climate actions were cities that tended to be lower density (less than 4,000 people per square mile).
**Survey results (4/6)**

Evaluating the levels of success of MNCAA CAPs

MNCAA members were surveyed to gauge their evaluation of success of their climate action plans. 50% of MNCAA members submitted responses.

### Key takeaways

1. All respondents felt their CAPs had some level of success with ‘public/community support and engagement’.
2. More than half of respondents felt their CAPs had significant or transformational success in creating clear and tangible actions and gaining support/endorsement from elected officials.
3. Most respondents had success with building the capacity of their Cities to own and coordinate climate action but some felt that there had been no improvement in departmental capabilities.
4. Nearly all respondents felt that their CAPs had succeeded in aligning to wider environmental and sustainability issues.
Survey results (5/6)
Priorities for the next iterations of MNCAA CAPs

MNCAA members were surveyed to gauge their evaluation of success of their climate action plans. 50% of MNCAA members submitted responses.

Q5. If you were to update your city's Plan, how important would the following climate action areas be?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate Action Area</th>
<th>Least important/irrelevant</th>
<th>Not important to consider</th>
<th>Requires consideration</th>
<th>Important to consider</th>
<th>Very important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy and renewables</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption (food and consumables)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon sequestration</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q6. If you were to update your city's Plan, how important would the following implementation issues be? (Please rank, 1 = most important, 10 = least important/irrelevant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Issue</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear/tangible actions</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support/endorsement from elected officials</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public/community support and engagement</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental ownership</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding availability</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental capability</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process for accountability/review</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental coordination</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment with wider environmental and sustainability issues</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot and demonstration projects</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key takeaways
1. Sectors which have mitigation opportunity (buildings, energy and transportation) are the most important for MNCAA members.
2. Resilience is an issue that all respondents thought was at least important to consider.
3. Respondents felt that providing clear/tangible actions as well as support from elected officials was the most important requirement for future CAPs.
4. Governance and finance were high-ranking as issue areas that need to be considered.
5. Having succeeded in aligning their existing CAPs to other environmental and sustainability issues, this was seen as less important for the next iteration.
Survey results (6/6)
Quotes from MNCAA members on their climate action plans

Community Steering Committee and follow up Oversight Commission have been key.

Departmental buy in and continued support is important.

Much of our success has resulted from working collaboratively with our local investor-owned electrical utility.

Integrating goals from various plans is essential. New goals and targets shouldn't be created if there are already some that fit.

Our initial plan was an early success but included a number of blue sky items and special interest pet projects that really didn't have due diligence completed to see if they actually were relevant to our situation.

In implementing our plan, one key lesson is to collaborate with entities with whom we may share common objectives/goals, even if our motivations for achieving those objectives/goals are very different.

A new mayoral administration will require time to understand the Plan, and incorporate their updates into it.

It's all about the money, but not new money; integrated spending.

Community-wide buy-in has allowed for significant investment on the part of Council.


**Milestones to 2050**

Typically 30x20, 40x30 and 80x50

60 of the cities evaluated set carbon reduction goals. Of these, many adopted 80x50 goals, with most identifying interim milestones mostly around the 2020-30 timeframe. A number of large cities are yet to identify nearer-term emissions reduction goals.

**Cities need to start planning for climate action in the 2020-30 period**

Key: First 20% reduction, 20-50% reduction, 50-80% reduction, More than 80% reduction

MNCAA Analysis of Climate Action Plans

PwC
US cities are spearheading action
But more needs to be done to limit climate change to 2°C

Comparing climate action pathways by US cities to global efforts shows that US cities are decarbonizing at rates greater than the G20 average. Cities that front-load emissions reduction with interim milestones will find it easier.
CITIES WILL NEED NEW THINKING IN UPDATING THEIR ACTION PLANS

In order to support the Paris Climate Agreement

Most US cities will need to refresh their Climate Action Plans in the near-term, in order to provide clarity for sustaining aggressive climate action in the 2020-2030 timeframe. Cities can take the opportunity to learn from the current generation of CAPs to develop holistic, integrated and more engaging movements on multi-threaded climate action.

### Current generation plans

| Aligned to environmental and sustainability goals |
| Technical documents |
| Some are unquantified and uncosted |
| Created to meet standards/expectations |
| Imported solutions |
| Stakeholders are brought into the CAP development process |
| Developed in siloes |

### Current generation results

| Multiple and overlapping targets and metrics |
| Scientifically correct, but difficult to translate to actions |
| Lack of true costs of benefits |
| Not moving the needle on impacts |
| Imported solutions lack applicability to local context |
| Stakeholders supportive but unengaged |
| Not engaging citizens broadly |

### The next generation

| Integrated sustainability reporting |
| Holistic approach to designing implementation actions |
| Comprehensive accounting of costs and benefits |
| Underpin the plan with rigorous technical analysis |
| Built from local strengths |
| Forge connections within and outside the City |
| Build a movement to make change inevitable |

MNCAA Analysis of Climate Action Plans
PwC
Enhancing current CAPs for the next generation
Towards a model of best practice

Next generation CAPs enhance/augment the efforts of cities on sustainability by providing greater confidence in the impacts of proposed actions, clarity on how actions will be implemented and by whom, and catalyzes wider support by engaging a range of stakeholders including City officials, community groups and the local business community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Current Generation CAPs</th>
<th>Next Generation CAPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GHG inventory</td>
<td>• Contains/refers to a GHG inventory</td>
<td>• Inventory based on defined standard (e.g. ICLEI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Varying baseline years</td>
<td>• Baseline GHG forecast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Alignment to 1990 baseline year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate actions</td>
<td>• Actions identified across buildings, transportation, energy and waste sectors</td>
<td>• Actions identified for mitigation, sequestration and resilience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mitigation, sequestration,</td>
<td>• Some actions quantified</td>
<td>• Near-term actions quantified and costed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resilience)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Actions packaged into implementation-ready policy, governance, funding, co-benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘wrappers’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targets</td>
<td>• Target and year identified</td>
<td>• 80x50 target with interim milestones (2030-2040) that roll up to state targets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrated pathway that outlines trajectory for mitigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies</td>
<td>• Non-specific/generic policy measures</td>
<td>• Specific policy measures identified including ownership, funding and implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updates</td>
<td>• Periodically updated GHG inventory</td>
<td>• Scheduled update including GHG inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Open-data based dashboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>• Ownership relies of Sustainability/Environmental Department, sometimes leveraging</td>
<td>• Sponsoring City departments and agencies identified, briefed and trained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>• Funding not comprehensively considered</td>
<td>• Capital and public works needs and sources identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Specific tools to develop next generation CAPs
Provide analytical rigor, and ready for implementation

Next generation CAPs need to focus on providing the confidence for climate action to be supported and funded; this means analytical rigor around the costs and benefits (including co-benefits) of climate action, as well as describing the holistic environment necessary to support implementation. Finally, climate action should be shown to be aggressively shifting to a low carbon pathway.

GHG and water abatement curves

- Analyze climate action measures to inform policy; costs, benefits, and rate and scale of implementation for GHGs and water.

- Assist cities in making effective policy choices to plan and identify interdependencies and synergies between actions.

Implementation scorecards

- Address implementation considerations for each climate action measure (e.g. co-benefits) and develop scorecards.

- Package measures into implementation bundles, based on political feasibility/will, availability of funding, and ease of implementation.

Sustainability pathway

- Sequence supported measures into new integrated sustainability pathways for GHGs (including energy and transportation) and water.

- Aligns and integrates new and existing measures.

- Synchronized with State-level commitments
Leading practices of next generation CAPs
Provides the robustness to guide implementation

Mitigation
Mitigation actions are the current focus of traditional CAPs, and many cities do well in developing actions to reduce carbon emissions. In next generation CAPs, mitigation actions need to be thoroughly costed, their co-benefits appraised and applied comprehensively across sectors that are yet to - or stubborn to - decarbonize.

Sequestration
While sequestration may currently be limited in technology for large-scale adoption, cities can consider limited sequestration measures that also serve co-benefits such as tree planting contributing to biodiversity. For cities with large industrial mixes, carbon capture and storage (CCS) and other technologies may become available.

Resilience
Traditional CAPs do consider resilience. In next generation climate action plans, cities should consider incorporating resilience measures into their core CAP and explore uses of market-based mechanisms (such as insurance products) as actions cities can support.

Co-benefits
Traditional CAPs tend to not explicitly identify co-benefits. However, many climate actions do yield better social and health outcomes. If quantified and monetized, the economics of climate action becomes compelling for city authorities to endorse, and for financial backers to invest into.

Innovation and growth
The timeframe for which climate action is considered is multi-decadal. Next generation CAPs need to anticipate changes in technologies and seek to support such changes to accelerate climate action.

Financing and funding
A clear understanding of the costs and benefits of climate action is necessary to provide the confidence to stakeholders that climate action is being undertaken with the most efficient use of resources. Capital budgets need to be identified, departmental budgetary planning needs to take place and cities need to partner with the private sector to reduce the risk threshold of key projects.

Governance
Traditional CAPs consider the role of the City in ownership and coordination of climate action. In next generation CAPs, this needs to be combined with capacity building to equip cities to effectively own and manage climate action.

Stakeholder mobilization
Many cities recognize that CAPs are not merely technical documents; and community support is built in to develop CAPs. In next generation CAPs, a wide range of stakeholders (infrastructure and technology providers, developers, community groups, business actors, government agencies and related entities) need to all be mobilized to drive their respective components of the CAP.
Thank you!

Clinton Moloney  
Managing Director  
Sustainability & Future Cities  
clinton.a.moloney@pwc.com

Britt Harter  
Director  
Sustainability & Future Cities  
benjamin.b.harter@pwc.com

Shaun Fernando  
Manager  
Sustainability & Future Cities  
shaun.fernando@pwc.com
Hi Shaun,

Thank you so much for the information, and including myself and Timothy on your mailing list.

Mayor Arreguin and the City of Berkeley are deeply committed to meeting the goals of our award-winning Climate Action Plan. Additionally, the Mayor strongly opposes any rollbacks and is eager to speak out in defense of climate policies or environmental protections.

The Mayor would very much like to be included with the other 55 MNCAA Mayors on this open letter to the President, and join this distinguished and likeminded network.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Let's keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor's newsletter [here](#).

---

From: Shaun Fernando (US - Advisory) [mailto:shaun.fernando@pwc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 7:54 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Burroughs, Timothy <TBurroughs@cityofberkeley.info>; Lauren Faber O'Connor <lauren.faber@lacity.org>; Van Dyke, Katie <kvandyke@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: add new Berkeley Mayor staff to MNCAA list

Hi Brandi

Thank you for your email and great to have you aboard.

I'm helping Lauren and Matt with operational support to the MNCAA so you'll receive emails from me from time to time.
We've added both you and Timothy to our mailing list. In terms of how you can help; you'll both receive calendar invites for our monthly coordination call on May 9th. We look forward to having you join. Also, we have published an open letter to the President outlining our opposition to his administration's recent moves on rolling back climate action. It has been signed by 55 MNCAA Mayors so far, representing 33 million Americans. If it is feasible, at this time, we would be keen to hear if Mayor Arreguin would be in support of signing this letter.

Let me also attach some work that we recently conducted on behalf of MNCAA members into looking at best practices for next generation sustainability strategies. Perhaps it will be of use when Berkeley is thinking about its future moves towards climate action.

Best regards

Shaun

Shaun Fernando
PwC | Manager
Mobile: +1 415 964 9043 | Email: shaun.fernando@pwc.com
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
3 Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111
http://www.pwc.com/us
LinkedIn: https://www.linkedin.com/in/shaunfernando

On Tue, Apr 25, 2017 at 10:41 PM, Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Thank you Timothy for the introduction.

It is great to get connected with you Lauren and the Mayors National Climate Action Agenda. Mayor Arreguín is deeply committed to further realizing our Climate Action Plan, and supporting and expanding the amazing work our staff does in areas of energy, resiliency, and sustainability.

Our office would greatly appreciate additional information on the network and how we can participate.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
510-981-7104 phone
510-981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info

On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 6:15 PM -0700, "Lauren Faber O'Connor" <lauren.faber@lacity.org> wrote:

of course- thank you for the update
On Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 10:25 AM, Burroughs, Timothy <TBurroughs@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Lauren,

I hope you are well.

In November, Jesse Arreguin was elected the new Mayor of Berkeley. Mayor Arreguin is excited to innovate and engage on climate.

Can you please add his Chief of Staff, Brandi Campbell, to your email list?

Brandi’s email:

BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info

Thank you!

Timothy

Timothy Burroughs
Assistant to the City Manager | Chief Resilience Officer
Office of the City Manager
City of Berkeley
p 510.981.7437
www.CityofBerkeley.info
From: Lauren Faber O'Connor [mailto:lauren.faber@lacity.org]
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 11:15 AM
To: kevin.luteran@nypa.gov; Matthew Naud <mnnaud@2gov.org>; Ashley Perl <ashley.perl@cityofaspen.com>; Garcia, Jairo H. <jhgarcia@atlantaga.gov>; Claire C. Angelle <ccangelle@atlantaga.gov>; sbenfield@AtlantaGa.Gov; Seydel, John R. <JRSeYdel@atlantaga.gov>; John Michael Cortez <john-michael.cortez@austintexas.gov>; Athens, Lucia <lucia.athens@austintexas.gov>; Baumer, Zach <zach.baumer@austintexas.gov>; Burton, Brandi <brandi.burton@austintexas.gov>; amy.everhart@austintexas.gov; Van Dyke, Katie <kvandyke@cityofberkeley.info>; bauerj@bloomington.in.gov; Austin Blackmon <austin.blackmon@boston.gov>; Lauren Zingarelli <lauren.zingarelli@boston.gov>; GreenleafD@bouldercolorado.gov; Jennifer Green <jgreen@burlingtonelectric.com>; Williams, Carolee <williamsc@charleston-sc.gov>; cc: Phocas Robert <rphocas@ci.charlotte.nc.us>; Wheat, Christopher <christopher.wheat@cityofchicago.org>; Dennis Gakunga <DGakunga@chulavistaca.gov>; bgardiner@collegeparkmd.gov; brittany.sellers@cityoforlando.net; dhamilton2@oaklandnet.com; Mandel, P. <pmandel@cityhall.nyc.gov>; Friend, ElizabethWheaton@miamibeachfl.gov; Brittni Burns <tbcamburns33@gmail.com>; Brad Forbes <bwforbes@ci.tacoma.wa.us>; Lynett, Kristin <kristin.lynett@ci.tacoma.wa.us>; Jamie Galadya <jamie.galadya@tucsonaz.gov>; Updike, William (DOEE) <william.updike@dc.gov>; Johnson, Katherine (DOEE) <katherine.johnson@dc.gov>; Wells, Tommy (DOEE) <tommy.wells@dc.gov>; Christian, Julia (DOEE) <julia.christian@dc.gov>; Conner, Daniel (DOEE) <daniel.conner@dc.gov>; IanW@cityofwestsacramento.org; Wendellh@cityofwfs.org; mark.taylor@longbeach.gov; Ancapa Blue <mfore@santabarbaracaca.gov>; Lplass@northmiamifl.gov; lalpert@albanyny.gov; James.mcguire@dallascityhall.com; Justin.ramirez@longbeach.gov; Shamburgler, Erick <Eshamb@milwaukee.gov>; aweaver@ashevillenc.gov; bmcpheevt@gmail.com; halston.sleets@minneapolismn.gov;
Hi everyone, and welcome to new members since last month's call!

Please join us tomorrow, April 11, for our monthly coordination call of the Mayor's National Climate Action Agenda. Now that we are at 86 strong, we will not use our time on roll call and instead ask that you click to here to sign in each week. Let's hope this works!

Agenda for tomorrow below, however please let us know if you would like to add anything.

MNCAA Monthly Meeting

April 11, 11-11:45am Pacific

1. Climate Mayors letter re Trump EO
   - report out on metrics and coverage
   - activities from other mayors?

2. Reminders:
   - provide content for website: [www.climate-mayors.org](http://www.climate-mayors.org) -- we want to include pictures and stories of your mayor and your city in action
   - updating climate actions compendium-- we need to add new cities and ensure existing cities' climate targets and commitments are up to date: [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1bHiA2mooaCbg-RgVON4OYC2n6wRi40CS274mKedfCQA/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1bHiA2mooaCbg-RgVON4OYC2n6wRi40CS274mKedfCQA/edit?usp=sharing) Please add/update your info in the same format as for other cities
   - what additional "market transformation" ideas should we consider similar to the EV RFI (+ update on RFI next steps)

3. C40 Cities Awards and Cities100- C40 will be focusing on US cities so we want to encourage everyone to apply. Information will be online later this week at [http://www.c40.org/awards](http://www.c40.org/awards). The awards and recognition are open to cities of any size, and for the following categories:

   **Cities4Energy**: excellence in clean energy and building energy efficiency

   **Cities4Mobility**: excellence on sustainable transportation
Cities4ZeroWaste: excellence in reducing waste

Cities4Action: most ambitious Climate Action Plan (with the Global Covenant of Mayors)

Cities4Tomorrow: most ambitious Adaptation Plan or programme

4. Final 10 minutes: Overview of MNCAA for new members

Thanks and talk then!

Lauren

What will you do to #AdopttheLAN?

Lauren Faber O’Connor
Deputy Chief Sustainability Officer

Mayor Eric Garcetti
City of Los Angeles

lauren.faber@lacity.org

NEW NUMBER (213) 473 7078

The information transmitted, including any attachments, is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited, and all liability arising therefrom is disclaimed. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is a Delaware limited liability partnership. This communication may come from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP or one of its subsidiaries.
On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:19 PM, Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com> wrote:

Thanks—Stefan will make sure these make it into the master matrix. If new articles come out this afternoon, will you send them along?

---

From: Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net>
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 4:17 PM
To: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: Articles

Here’s some major news network coverage. Please let me know if this is what you were looking for.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>title</th>
<th>network</th>
<th>reporter</th>
<th>Twitter</th>
<th>email</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After Ann Coulter Speech Cancellation, Protesters Rally at Berkeley</td>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Merrit Kennedy</td>
<td>@merritk</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mkennedy1@npr.org">mkennedy1@npr.org</a></td>
<td>quotes Mayor/Chancellor press release and Coulter’s response to protest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Coulter says speech is “cancelled” but she may still visit Berkeley</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>CBS/AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>leads with discussion of how local efforts to prevent violence were unsuccessful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 4:41 PM
To: Jason Overman
Cc: Elgstrand, Stefan; Campbell, Brandi
Subject: Re: Articles

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:32 PM, Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net> wrote:

Gavin McInnes plans to speak at UC Berkeley despite protests

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:19 PM, Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com> wrote:

Thanks—Stefan will make sure these make it into the master matrix. If new articles come out this afternoon, will you send them along?

Jason Overman | Director | Lighthouse Public Affairs

MAIN (415) 364-0000 | MOBILE (510) 847-7622

From: Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net>
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 4:17 PM
To: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: Articles

Here's some major news network coverage. Please let me know if this is what you were looking for.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>title</th>
<th>network</th>
<th>reporter</th>
<th>Twitter</th>
<th>email</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After Ann Coulter Speech Cancellation, Protests Rally at Berkeley</td>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Merrit Kennedy</td>
<td>@merritk</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mkennedy1@npr.org">mkennedy1@npr.org</a></td>
<td>quotes Mayor/Chancellor press release and Coulter's response to protest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Coulter says speech is &quot;cancelled&quot; but she may still visit Berkeley</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>CBS/AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley violence still possible despite Coulter speech cancellation</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Fox/AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leads with discussion of how local efforts to prevent violence were unsuccessful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:41 PM, Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net> wrote:

| Protesters converge on Berkeley in support of Ann Coulter | Reuters | Thanks Berkeley for being California's Scaperoat | SacBee | Joe Mathews | joe@zocalopublicsquare.org | video | interesting discussion about how both right and left have historically centered battles in Berkeley/at UCB |

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:32 PM, Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net> wrote:

| Gavin McInnes plans to speak at UC Berkeley despite protests | Hollywood Reporter | Paul Bond | Paul.Bond@thr.com | Gavin McInnes plans to speak |

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:19 PM, Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com> wrote:

Thanks—Stefan will make sure these make it into the master matrix. If new articles come out this afternoon, will you send them along?

Jason Overman | Director | Lighthouse Public Affairs

MAIN (415) 364-0000 | MOBILE (510) 847-7622

From: Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net>
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 4:17 PM
To: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: Articles

Here’s some major news network coverage. Please let me know if this is what you were looking for.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>title</th>
<th>network</th>
<th>reporter</th>
<th>Twitter</th>
<th>email</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After Ann Coulter Speech Cancellation, Protesters Rally at Berkeley</td>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Merrit Kennedy</td>
<td>@merritk</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mkennedy1@npr.org">mkennedy1@npr.org</a></td>
<td>quotes Mayor/Chancellor press release and Coulter’s response to protest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Coulter says speech is “cancelled” but she may still visit Berkeley</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>CBS/ AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>leads with discussion of how local efforts to prevent violence were unsuccessful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley violence still possible despite Coulter speech cancellation</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Fox/ AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 5:32 PM
To: Jason Overman
Cc: Elgstrand, Stefan; Campbell, Brandi
Subject: Re: Articles

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:50 PM, Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net> wrote:

Hundreds rally after Coulter talk cancelled, at least 4 arrested

UC Berkeley police brace for unrest despite cancelled Ann Coulter speech

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:41 PM, Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net> wrote:

Protesters converge on Berkeley in support of Ann Coulter

Thanks Berkeley for being California’s scapegoat

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:32 PM, Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net> wrote:

Gavin McInnes plans to speak at UC Berkeley despite protests

On Thu, Apr 27, 2017 at 4:19 PM, Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com> wrote:

Thanks—Stefan will make sure these make it into the master matrix. If new articles come out this afternoon, will you send them along?

Jason Overman | Director | Lighthouse Public Affairs

MAIN (415) 364-0000 | MOBILE (510) 847-7622

From: Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net>
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 4:17 PM
To: Jason Overman <jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: Articles

Here's some major news network coverage. Please let me know if this is what you were looking for.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>title</th>
<th>network</th>
<th>reporter</th>
<th>Twitter</th>
<th>email</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After Ann Coulter Speech Cancellation, Protesters Rally at Berkeley</td>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Merrit Kennedy</td>
<td>@merritk</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mkennedy1@npr.org">mkennedy1@npr.org</a></td>
<td>quotes Mayor/Chancellor press release and Coulter’s response to protest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Coulter says speech is “cancelled” but she may still visit Berkeley</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>CBS/AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley violence still possible despite Coulter speech cancellation</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Fox/AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>leads with discussion of how local efforts to prevent violence were unsuccessful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks—Stefan will make sure these make it into the master matrix. If new articles come out this afternoon, will you send them along?

Jason Overman | Director | Lighthouse Public Affairs
MAIN (415) 364-0000 | MOBILE (510) 847-7622

From: Abigail Steckel <abigailsteckel@students.berkeley.net>
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 4:17 PM
To: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: Articles

Here’s some major news network coverage. Please let me know if this is what you were looking for.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>title</th>
<th>network</th>
<th>reporter</th>
<th>Twitter</th>
<th>email</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After Ann Coulter Speech Cancellation, Protesters Rally at Berkeley</td>
<td>NPR</td>
<td>Merrit Kennedy @merritk</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mkenndy1@npr.org">mkenndy1@npr.org</a></td>
<td>quotes Mayor/Chancellor press release and Coulter’s response to protest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Coulter says speech is “cancelled” but she may still visit Berkeley</td>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>CBS/AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>leads with discussion of how local efforts to prevent violence were unsuccessful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley violence still possible despite Coulter speech cancellation</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Fox/AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: Brandi Campbell
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 1:52 PM
To: 'Chen, Max'
Subject: RE: Congresswoman Barbara Lee’s Statement on Berkeley Protests

Thanks so much Max! We greatly appreciate your support.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Let's keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor's newsletter here.

-----

From: Chen, Max [mailto:Max.Chen@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 1:49 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: FW: Congresswoman Barbara Lee’s Statement on Berkeley Protests

Hi Brandi,

I just wanted to make sure that you saw this! Let us know if we can do anything to help.

Best,

Max Chen
Congressional Aide
Office of Congresswoman Barbara Lee (CA-13)
United States House of Representatives
1301 Clay Street, Suite 1000N
Oakland, CA 94612
Direct: 1 (510) 315-4223
Cell: 1 (202) 744-7293
Office: 1 (510) 763-0370
Congresswoman Barbara Lee’s Statement on Berkeley Protests

Washington, D.C. – Congresswoman Lee released the following statement on today’s protests in Berkeley:

“UC Berkeley has a storied history of dissent and, as an alumna myself, I am proud of the university’s long-standing commitment to providing a forum for free speech. While I stand in firm opposition to the hateful ideology that fuels extremists like Ann Coulter, we must ensure that all parties can peacefully and safely exercise their First Amendment rights.

“We cannot allow outside agitators to undermine the work of nonviolent protestors and students exercising their constitutional rights. Recognizing that the battle of ideas cannot be won with violence, I urge everyone to protest peacefully.”

###

Congresswoman Lee is a member of the Budget and Appropriations Committees, Vice Chair of the Steering & Policy Committee, former chair of the Congressional Black Caucus, former co-chair of the Progressive Caucus and a Senior Democratic Whip. She also serves as chair of the Democratic Whip Task Force on Poverty, Income Inequality and Opportunity.
Hi Michael,

Yes, Dee will still be the billing contact. Sorry we have been slammed and I don’t see that lightening up until after Ann Coulter visits on Thursday. How about next week?

Best,

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
510-981-7104 phone
510-981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info

Hi Brandi –

Berkeley’s National League of Cities membership is up for renewal on or before July 31, 2017. I attached the invoice for reference. Do you know if Dee Williams-Ridley is still the billing contact? The invoice is scheduled to be mailed to her attention.

Please suggest a day/time for a quick call this week. I’m on vacation next week.

Regards,

Mike Nelson
Program Manager, West Region
Member Services and Engagement
National League of Cities
202-626-3063 | nelson@nlc.org
www.nlc.org

The annual conference for city leaders takes place this year in Charlotte, North Carolina, November 15-18. Register today for the best rates!
http://citysummit.nlc.org

From: Campbell, Brandi [mailto:BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 5:20 PM
To: Michael Nelson <nelson@nlc.org>; Miles Sandler <Sandler@nlc.org>
Subject: RE: Connecting Berkeley further with NLC

Hi Mike,

Yes, I’d love to discuss NLC committees and councils, as well as our current membership status and when that need to renewed.

Thanks for reaching out and thank you Miles for connecting us!

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Lets keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletterhere.

From: Michael Nelson [mailto:nelson@nlc.org]
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 1:06 PM
To: Miles Sandler <Sandler@nlc.org>; Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: RE: Connecting Berkeley further with NLC

Hi Brandi – I hope all is well in Berkeley! Thank you, Miles, for making the connection.

Please let me know how I can support you and the city of Berkeley. In particular, I’d be happy to discuss our committees and councils with an eye towards getting city leaders lined up to participate.
Best Regards,

Mike Nelson
Program Manager, West Region
Member Services and Engagement
National League of Cities
202-626-3063 | nelson@nlc.org

www.nlc.org

The annual conference for city leaders takes place this year in Charlotte, North Carolina, November 15-18. Register today for the best rates!
http://citysummit.nlc.org

From: Miles Sandler
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 5:08 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>; Michael Nelson <nelson@nlc.org>
Subject: Connecting Berkeley further with NLC

Hello Brandi,

I apologize for the major delay in responding back to our conversation. I was away on travel for a week and half so it has put me a little behind. As promised, cc’d above is the membership program director for the West region, Michael Nelson. He will be able to assist you in all things membership and can further connect dots. Also, if you look below my signature you will notice our November conference, City Summit, which is our annual national conference that is a great way for the mayor to get involved with NLC, join a committee, take in really valuable workshops, and further engaged with other elected officials and experts in civic systems.

I also wanted to provide you a list of potential NLC contacts that may assist your cities top priorities:

**Affordable housing:**

Elisha Harig-Blaine
Principal Associate, Housing
Center for City Solutions, Veterans Housing
202-626-3005
harig-blaine@nlc.org

Gideon Berger
Program Director, Daniel Rose Fellowship
Rose Center for Public Leadership in Land Use
202-626-3049
berger@nlc.org

**Education:**
Myself- We have a team focused on Postsecondary Success and Afterschool/Expanded Learning. We also do work around community supports in schools and K-12 partnership. A separate team focuses on Early Childhood but would be happy to connect you. Here is a good link to get a taste of our education work: [http://edplaybook.nlc.org/](http://edplaybook.nlc.org/) This resource is a little high level but if you ever have a specific need that you wish to get more targeted resources on please let me know.

**Resilience/Environmental Sustainability:**

**Shafaq Choudry**  
Senior Associate, Leadership in Community Resilience  
*Sustainable Cities Institute*  
202-626-3136  
schoudry@nlc.org

**Cooper Martin**  
Program Director, Sustainable Cities Institute  
*Sustainable Cities Institute, Center for City Solutions, Research*  
202-626-3127  
cmartin@nlc.org

**Economic Mobility:**

The Education team has solid work on workforce readiness. In addition our Economic Mobility and Financial Empowerment team does work in this space. In addition they have resources on youth employment and financial education. Please just contact me with any specific request you need in this space and I will direct the inquiry.

**Community policing/Juvenile Justice:**

**Laura Furr**  
Program Manager for Justice Reform and Youth Engagement  
*Institute for Youth, Education, and Families, Justice Reform and Youth Engagement*  
202-626-3072  
furr@nlc.org


**Sanctuary Cities:**

Currently our federal advocacy team is all over this issue since it is primarily a federal/administrative concern. Michael Wallace is primarily focused on Community Development but has been with NLC a long time and is an excellent connector.

**Michael Wallace**  
Program Director, Federal Advocacy  
*Federal Advocacy*

I hope this list helps. Do not hesitate to ask any additional questions.

Thanks,
Miles Sandler
Senior Associate, Education
National League of Cities
202-626-3153  |  sandler@nlc.org

www.nlc.org

The annual conference for city leaders takes place this year in Charlotte, North Carolina, November 15-18. Register today for the best rates!
http://citysummit.nlc.org
Hi Brandi – I can imagine how busy you all have been; the Coulter thing has been all over the news here as well.

I’m on the road at three back to back conferences the next 10 days. I have time either Tuesday May 9 (anytime) or late afternoon on Wednesday May 10. If those don’t work for you, please feel free to suggest a time the following week.

Cheers!

Mike Nelson
Program Manager, West Region
Member Services and Engagement
National League of Cities
202-626-3063 | nelson@nlc.org

www.nlc.org

The annual conference for city leaders takes place this year in Charlotte, North Carolina, November 15-18. Register today for the best rates!
http://citysummit.nlc.org

Hi Michael,

Yes, Dee will still be the billing contact. Sorry we have been slammed and I don’t see that lightening up until after Ann Coulter visits on Thursday. How about next week?

Best,

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguín
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
Hi Brandi –

Berkeley’s National League of Cities membership is up for renewal on or before July 31, 2017. I attached the invoice for reference. Do you know if Dee Williams-Ridley is still the billing contact? The invoice is scheduled to be mailed to her attention.

Please suggest a day/time for a quick call this week. I’m on vacation next week.

Regards,

Mike Nelson
Program Manager, West Region
Member Services and Engagement
National League of Cities
202-626-3063 | nelson@nlc.org

www.nlc.org

The annual conference for city leaders takes place this year in Charlotte, North Carolina, November 15-18. Register today for the best rates!
http://citysummit.nlc.org

From: Campbell, Brandi [mailto:BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 5:20 PM
To: Michael Nelson <nelson@nlc.org>; Miles Sandler <Sandler@nlc.org>
Subject: RE: Connecting Berkeley further with NLC

Hi Mike,

Yes, I’d love to discuss NLC committees and councils, as well as our current membership status and when that need to renewed.

Thanks for reaching out and thank you Miles for connecting us!

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7104 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us  
www.jessearreguin.com

Let's keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletter here.

---

From: Michael Nelson [mailto:nelson@nlc.org]  
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 1:06 PM  
To: Miles Sandler <Sandler@nlc.org>; Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Connecting Berkeley further with NLC

Hi Brandi – I hope all is well in Berkeley! Thank you, Miles, for making the connection.

Please let me know how I can support you and the city of Berkeley. In particular, I’d be happy to discuss our committees and councils with an eye towards getting city leaders lined up to participate.

Best Regards,

Mike Nelson  
Program Manager, West Region  
Member Services and Engagement  
National League of Cities  
202-626-3063 | nelson@nlc.org

www.nlc.org

The annual conference for city leaders takes place this year in Charlotte, North Carolina, November 15-18. Register today for the best rates!  
http://citysummit.nlc.org

---

From: Miles Sandler  
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 5:08 PM  
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>; Michael Nelson <nelson@nlc.org>  
Subject: Connecting Berkeley further with NLC
Hello Brandi,

I apologize for the major delay in responding back to our conversation. I was away on travel for a week and half so it has put me a little behind. As promised, cc’d above is the membership program director for the West region, Michael Nelson. He will be able to assist you in all things membership and can further connect dots. Also, if you look below my signature you will notice our November conference, City Summit, which is our annual national conference that is a great way for the mayor to get involved with NLC, join a committee, take in really valuable workshops, and further engaged with other elected officials and experts in civic systems.

I also wanted to provide you a list of potential NLC contacts that may assist your cities top priorities:

**Affordable housing:**

- **Elisha Harig-Blaine**
  Principal Associate, Housing
  Center for City Solutions, Veterans Housing
  202-626-3005
  harig-blaine@nlc.org

- **Gideon Berger**
  Program Director, Daniel Rose Fellowship
  Rose Center for Public Leadership in Land Use
  202-626-3049
  berger@nlc.org

**Education:**

Myself- We have a team focused on Postsecondary Success and Afterschool/Expanded Learning. We also do work around community supports in schools and K-12 partnership. A separate team focuses on Early Childhood but would be happy to connect you. Here is a good link to get a taste of our education work: [http://edplaybook.nlc.org/](http://edplaybook.nlc.org/) This resource is a little high level but if you ever have a specific need that you wish to get more targeted resources on please let me know.

**Resilience/Environmental Sustainability:**

- **Shafaq Choudry**
  Senior Associate, Leadership in Community Resilience
  Sustainable Cities Institute
  202-626-3136
  schoudry@nlc.org

- **Cooper Martin**
  Program Director, Sustainable Cities Institute
  Sustainable Cities Institute, Center for City Solutions, Research
  202-626-3127
  cmartin@nlc.org

**Economic Mobility:**

The Education team has solid work on workforce readiness. In addition our Economic Mobility and Financial Empowerment team does work in this space. In addition they have resources on youth employment and financial education. Please just contact me with any specific request you need in this space and I will direct the inquiry.
Community policing/Juvenile Justice:

Laura Furr
Program Manager for Justice Reform and Youth Engagement
Institute for Youth, Education, and Families, Justice Reform and Youth Engagement
202-626-3072
furr@nlc.org


Sanctuary Cities:

Currently our federal advocacy team is all over this issue since it is primarily a federal/administrative concern. Michael Wallace is primarily focused on Community Development but has been with NLC a long time and is an excellent connector.

Michael Wallace
Program Director, Federal Advocacy
Federal Advocacy

I hope this list helps. Do not hesitate to ask any additional questions.

Thanks,

Miles Sandler
Senior Associate, Education
National League of Cities
202-626-3153 | sandler@nlc.org

www.nlc.org

The annual conference for city leaders takes place this year in Charlotte, North Carolina, November 15-18. Register today for the best rates!
http://citysummit.nlc.org
Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.

---

From: McCormick, Jacqulyn
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 11:49 AM
To: 'ray@bar glow.com'
Cc: Campbell, Brandi; Arreguin, Jesse L
Subject: RE: Defending Free Speech in Berkeley -- Part 2

Dear Mr. Barglow:

Thank you for your thoughtful letters of concern. And our office agrees that Berkeley has been unfairly portrayed in much of the media and particularly on right wing social media outlets.

Prior to, during and after yesterday’s “event” the Mayor (and the Chancellor) have been clear on the fact that while free speech is welcome in Berkeley, violence is not a form of free speech – no matter what side you support. Berkeley is not a battleground.

Yesterday, the police not only formed a barrier between the two opposing “sides” but they also spent the day walking among the protestors and engaging them in conversation. We are very pleased that this strategy, with the support of UCPD and other law enforcement agencies, resulted in a non-violent day of protest and debate.

While we hope such events in Berkeley will wane, our administration will always support the right of speech and peaceful assembly and focus on keeping our community safe.

Sincerely,

---

From: ray@bar glow.com [mailto:ray@bar glow.com]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 4:08 AM
To: All Council <council@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Defending Free Speech in Berkeley -- Part 2

Dear Berkeley Mayor and City Council Members,

This my second letter to you, continuing a discussion of the recent violent protest in downtown Berkeley.

Our town has become the most coveted location in America for holding right-wing rallies. Celebrities like Ann Coulter and Milo Yiannopoulos want to give speeches in Berkeley because they know that the violent response by the extreme left will vividly illustrate the right’s view of the left as intolerant, violent, and vile.

When the right-wing demonstrators in Berkeley shout: “You are the ones who are fascists, not us!” there is a grain of truth in what they say: In Germany and Austria during the 1920s and 30s, Nazi groups beat up protesters while the police -- somewhat like our police in Berkeley these days -- stood by and made only token arrests.

Demonstrators in Berkeley acting out violently not only communicate through the media an extremely negative image of the left to the entire country, but also powerfully confirm and deepen anti-left convictions within the ranks of the right-wing ralliers themselves. The violent opposition that the pro-Trump demonstrators encounter, which is abetted by the inaction of the Berkeley police, reinforces their conception of the left as violently antagonistic to speech that it does not like. They leave our town profoundly and perhaps irreversibly convinced that the left is malevolent and hostile to free speech.
This is a tragedy, because in fact many of the pro-Trump demonstrators who attend rallies of this kind are working people who could be reached by open-minded conversation. Many of them are open to hearing and considering progressive ideas, and in conversation we discover that we agree on some fundamental values. But dialogue of this kind is preempted by violent assault that discredits the left.

How might police and city officials NOT enact the right-wing script about Berkeley? First, the city government could officially and unequivocally acknowledge the right of the pro-Trump forces to rally here. Second, the police could place themselves in between the two sides and arrest violent individuals, thereby making it clear that our community protects the right to free speech as guaranteed by the Constitution.

Time, place, and manner regulation of public speech is reasonable. But the response to hateful speech, Congressman Keith Ellison says, in agreement with the ACLU, should be more speech not less. Hopefully Berkeley city officials and police will follow that basic principle.

Raymond Barglow, Ph.D
1138 Keith Ave.
Berkeley
On Mar 7, 2017, at 4:28 AM, Google Alerts <googlealerts-noreply@google.com> wrote:

"san francisco mayor"
As-it-happens update · March 7, 2017

Berkeley Mayor Defends Police Tactics At Pro-Trump Rally
CBS San Francisco Bay Area
BERKELEY (CBS SF) – The mayor of Berkeley is standing by the tactics of his police officers after the past weekend's brawl-filled pro-Trump march.
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From: bft4tchr@lmi.net  
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:39 PM  
To: Campbell, Brandi  
Cc: Matt Meyer (w); Elgstrand, Stefan  
Subject: Re: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Fabulous! Thanks so much!

Cathy

On Apr 28, 2017, at 2:29 PM, Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Matt,

I am cc’ing Stefan Elgstrand, the Mayor’s scheduler. Unfortunately he is in a meeting until 9:30am that he may not be able to get out of. He is very interested in joining you all though. Stefan will follow up with the Mayor and you and make something work.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguín  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7104 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us  
www.jessearreguin.com

Let's keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletter here.

From: Matt Meyer [mailto:mmattmeyer@berkeley.net]  
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM  
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>  
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>  
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Hey Brandi,

I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We'd love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a ‘walk in’. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,
Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn  
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 3:52 PM  
To: Campbell, Brandi; Elgstrand, Stefan; Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Subject: RE: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School  

I can probably get him there by 9:30 for the 9:35 match in.

From: Campbell, Brandi  
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 3:07 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan; Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Cc: McCormick, Jacquelyn  
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Not sure if you can fit this in on Monday morn. You’d have to leave Chancellor meeting a bit early. Think about it.

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of the Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7104 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info<mailto:Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>  
www.jessearreguin.com<http://www.jessearreguin.com>

From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net<mailto:mattmeyer@berkeley.net>>  
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 1:30 PM  
Subject: Re: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School  
To: Campbell, Brandi <bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info<mailto:bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>>, Elgstrand, Stefan <selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info<mailto:selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>>,  
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net<mailto:bft4tchr@lmi.net>>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net<mailto:johnbecker@berkeley.net>>

Hey Brandi and Stefan, 
I'm glad to hear that the Mayor is interested in speaking briefly at the rally. This could maybe work if the Mayor's prior engagement is at the Milvia office. We will be right below at civic center park. Our rally is short and we would end with a brief speech by the Mayor. If he does speak, we could hand him the letters of support we are writing earlier in the day. We will be walking into school as a group at 9:40 so if the Mayor could be outside with us by 9:35, it would work. Let me know what you think about this plan.

Thanks for working with us on such short notice,

Matt Meyer  
BIHS Economics Teacher  
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign  
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
Hi Matt,

I am cc’ing Stefan Elgstrand, the Mayor’s scheduler. Unfortunately he is in a meeting until 9:30am that he may not be able to get out of. He is very interested in joining you all though. Stefan will follow up with the Mayor and you and make something work.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com


From: Matt Meyer [mailto:mattmeyer@berkeley.net]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Hey Brandi,
I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We’d love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,
Matt Meyer
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 5:38 PM
Subject: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>
Dear Mayor Arreguin,

We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a ‘walk in’. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,
Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.

From: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 4:19 PM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn; Campbell, Brandi; Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: Re: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Ruben said yesterday that they want to do the breakfast meeting with the Chancellor at the end of the month. So I am available that morning.

Jac - please stop reading your email and enjoy your birthday and this beautiful weather!

Get Outlook for iOS

On Sat, Apr 29, 2017 at 3:51 PM -0700, "McCormick, Jacquelyn" <JMCCORMICK@CITYOFBERKELEY.INFO> wrote:

I can probably get him there by 9:30 for the 9:35 match in.

From: Campbell, Brandi
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 3:07 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan; Arreguin, Jesse L.
Cc: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Not sure if you can fit this in on Monday morn. You'd have to leave Chancellor meeting a bit early. Think about it.

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of the Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessarreguin.com

From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 1:30 PM
Subject: Re: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: Campbell, Brandi <bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>, Elgstrand, Stefan <selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr0lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>

Hey Brandi and Stefan,
I'm glad to hear that the Mayor is interested in speaking briefly at the rally. This could maybe work if the Mayor's prior engagement is at the Milvia office. We will be right below at civic center park. Our rally is short and we would end with a brief speech by the Mayor. If he does speak, we could hand him the letters of support we are writing earlier in the day. We will be walking into school as a group at 9:40 so if the Mayor could be outside with us by 9:35, it would work. Let me know what you think about this plan.
Thanks for working with us on such short notice,

Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

On Fri, Apr 28, 2017 at 2:29 PM, Campbell, Brandi <bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:
Hi Matt,

I am cc’ing Stefan Elgstrand, the Mayor’s scheduler. Unfortunately he is in a meeting until 9:30am that he may not be able to get out of. He is very interested in joining you all though. Stefan will follow up with the Mayor and you and make something work.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Lets keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletter here.

From: Matt Meyer [mailto:mattmeyer@berkeley.net]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Hey Brandi,
I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We'd love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,
Matt Meyer
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 5:38 PM
Subject: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>

Dear Mayor Arreguin,
We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a 'walk in'. Part of our action is a
letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,
Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

</johnbecker@berkeley.net</bft4tchr@lmi.net</mattmeyer@berkeley.net</bft4tchr@lmi.net</bft4tchr@lmi.net</mattmeyer@berkeley.net</bft4tchr@lmi.net</bft4tchr@lmi.net</mattmeyer@berkeley.net</bft4tchr@lmi.net>
From: Campbell, Brandi  
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 4:50 PM  
To: Matt Meyer  
Cc: Elgstrand, Stefan; Cathy Campbell; John Becker; Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Subject: Re: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

I think it might be good to have him speak at the beginning but it's really up to you. Wherever his speech will fit in the program is just fine.

We will all be out there at 9:15.

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of the Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7104 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>  
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 4:47 PM  
Subject: Re: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School  
To: Campbell, Brandi <bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>  
Cc: Elgstrand, Stefan <selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>, Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, Arreguin, Jesse L. <jarreguin@cityofberkeley.info>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>

That is excellent news!!! The rally is before school and will be almost the whole teaching staff of Berkeley High and students from various student groups. I don’t have exact numbers as of right now. I am currently making a schedule and can be flexible with then the Mayor speaks. Would the Mayor like to speak first or at the end of our rally? The total time is from 9:15 - 9:40.

Thanks,

Matt Meyer  
BIHS Economics Teacher  
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign  
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

On Sat, Apr 29, 2017 at 4:42 PM, Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info> wrote: Hi Matt,

Good news! I just learned that the Mayor's meeting at 8:30 has been moved to another day. The Mayor can speak to BHS students at 9:15am!
Hey Brandi and Stefan,
I'm glad to hear that the Mayor is interested in speaking briefly at the rally. This could maybe work if the Mayor's prior engagement is at the Milvia office. We will be right below at civic center park. Our rally is short and we would end with a brief speech by the Mayor. If he does speak, we could hand him the letters of support we are writing earlier in the day. We will be walking into school as a group at 9:40 so if the Mayor could be outside with us by 9:35, it would work. Let me know what you think about this plan.

Thanks for working with us on such short notice,

Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

On Fri, Apr 28, 2017 at 2:29 PM, Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Matt,

I am cc’ing Stefan Elgstrand, the Mayor’s scheduler. Unfortunately he is in a meeting until 9:30am that he may not be able to get out of. He is very interested in joining you all though. Stefan will follow up with the Mayor and you and make something work.

Best,

Brandi
Hey Brandi,

I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We'd love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,
Matt Meyer
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Matt Meyer <mattmeyer@berkeley.net>
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 5:38 PM
Subject: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Cathy Campbell <bft4tchr@lmi.net>, John Becker <johnbecker@berkeley.net>

Dear Mayor Arreguin,

We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a 'walk in'. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,

Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
Yippee! Can sleep in.

Ruben said yesterday that they want to do the breakfast meeting with the Chancellor at the end of the month. So I am available that morning.

Jac - please stop reading your email and enjoy your birthday and this beautiful weather!

Get Outlook for iOS<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>

On Sat, Apr 29, 2017 at 3:51 PM -0700, "McCormick, Jacquelyn"
<JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info<mailto:JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info>> wrote:

I can probably get him there by 9:30 for the 9:35 match in.

Not sure if you can fit this in on Monday morn. You’d have to leave Chancellor meeting a bit early. Think about it.

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of the Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info
www.jesserregarquin.com

From: Matt Meyer >
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 1:30 PM
Hey Brandi and Stefan,

I'm glad to hear that the Mayor is interested in speaking briefly at the rally. This could maybe work if the Mayor's prior engagement is at the Milvia office. We will be right below at civic center park. Our rally is short and we would end with a brief speech by the Mayor. If he does speak, we could hand him the letters of support we are writing earlier in the day. We will be walking into school as a group at 9:40 so if the Mayor could be outside with us by 9:35, it would work. Let me know what you think about this plan.

Thanks for working with us on such short notice,

Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

On Fri, Apr 28, 2017 at 2:29 PM, Campbell, Brandi > wrote:

Hi Matt,

I am cc’ing Stefan Elgstrand, the Mayor’s scheduler. Unfortunately he is in a meeting until 9:30am that he may not be able to get out of. He is very interested in joining you all though. Stefan will follow up with the Mayor and you and make something work.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Let's keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletterhere.

From: Matt Meyer [mailto:mattmeyer@berkeley.net]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi >
Cc: Cathy Campbell >
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Hey Brandi,
I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We'd love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,
Matt Meyer
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Matt Meyer >
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 5:38 PM
Subject: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Cathy Campbell >, John Becker >

Dear Mayor Arreguin,
We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a 'walk in'. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,
Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
Hi George,

I'm so sorry to hear about your daughter's health and am sending her thoughts of a speedy recovery. As you navigate this, make sure to take care of yourself.

I also really appreciate this thoughtful email considering your bandwidth. Regarding Sanctuary City work, I do not have a list of questions yet; no one has sent any to me, and I have yet to have the time to go through my notes to see what has come up. If you have any, please throw them in the ring. And I will definitely run the list by you once I have one. I think after the panel, we can regroup and think of next steps that are needed.

I am putting together the job description in between triaging current events, and I will let you know when it is live.

Thanks for all your work George!

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Let's keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor's newsletter here.

-----Original Message-----
From: J. George Lippman [mailto:george@lgc.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 10:47 AM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>; Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: miscellaneous

Jac, Brandi,
I wanted to send my sympathy for all you have had to deal with from the Coulter episode, etc.

For my part my daughter has been in and out of the hospital for much of the last two weeks, so I am challenged in my ability to keep up. (We are at Stanford now, probably discharging Friday or so.)

Here's a rundown of things we have been talking about and where they stand.

1. Social justice advisory: Jac will look for a new meeting date as this week has been crazy; we will pursue a "strategic vision or plan for the group." Jac I know you want to minimize meetings but if you want to let me know what you are thinking, or want me to do any thought work in advance, please let me know. If you want to do the Progressive Convention in the fall, planning should be underway very soon if anything real is to come out of it.

2. Urban Shield/NCRIC/Mutual Aid—looks like Cheryl’s office is on point to some extent, but there are a few questions Jesse may need to weigh in on when he’s able. High on my list is the question of how he wants to deal with getting the BPD to observe the council direction laid down in 1992. Kriss indicated this might be accepted now by the chief, please don’t quote me. If we can avoid putting this to a vote that might be lost and thereby making the situation even worse, that would be vastly preferable, but there needs to be a clear decision on this.

More generally, the idea for an ad-hoc committee to develop non-militaristic, de-escalating alternatives to UASI, Urban Shield, NCRIC etc. is not just a compromise but a good positive strategy that could work closely with a newly progressive PRC (if we can get there). This will need more discussion.

3. PRC membership: confidentially, Ben tells me that he will make a change in June, but he does not have a person in mind. I talked with Mansour Id-Deen about referring Valerie Trahan or another person to Ben. Mansour said he had to check with Jesse because he referred Valerie to your office. If he would appoint Valerie, I recommend that, because his constraints on whom he would consider may be smaller or at least different from Jesse’s.

On the other hand, I don’t believe Sophie will make a new appointment for six months or more. This puts more pressure on the ability to get a progressive majority. I would like to know where Jesse is at on making his selection. Again, if none of the current names work for him, Kate has said she could probably find someone to appoint and he could take me back as his appointee.

This is important because as soon as Alison is replaced, there will be an election to replace her as chair. Jesse’s appointee needs to be in place when that happens in order to have a progressive voting majority for the election.

I think commission appointments are Brandi’s area? Also, Peace and Justice has an opening in the mayoral seat. I support John Erickson, but I don’t know who else has applied.

4. SRI—Jac is doing a good job riding herd on this. The main issue of the moment is the Wells divestment. I don’t have the bandwidth to participate too much, but in the background I am working on the Border Wall referral to P&J. I think also Diana sent Jac our questions for Henry O., and it would be nice if those could be forwarded to him.

5. Sanctuary City—I’m available to talk with Brandi on this, or with Brandi and Fr. Rigo. Brandi, if you have collected a set of questions for the ACLU/NLG I would appreciate seeing them. Again I wish I had more bandwidth to be more directly involved, between working and the family health issue.

6. Work:—Brandi, if and when you have a job description for the open position I’d be happy to take a look at it. My current assignment ends in a few weeks.

There are other issues that don’t fit neatly into any of these, though some of them come in the social justice advisory—they include strategy for development of mass progressive organization, transformation of staff and commission organizations, regional and political coalition-building, and long-term proactive and contingency envisioning. I recommend a clear strategy be developed prior to the Progressive Convention.

Thank you and great work both of you.

2
Thanks Brandi!

I realize I forgot to mention a couple more things.

I think we need to do something nice for Berkeley—and for Jesse—at this time. We need a public event that will reframe the discussion away from this toxic, stupid, framing on free speech, do fascists have a right to it, crazies fighting each other—I just don’t want to debate it any more. Plus, we need to mount a strong resistance event of our own, that focuses more on the national direction and puts the "alt-right" in that context.

I favor a large public action giving prominence to the mayor and other notable figures. My partner said, bring Bernie out here. He might do it—Berkeley has become a symbol of resistance and needs defending. Change the subject. Talk about Sanctuary, in the larger context of resistance.

I know Andrea has an idea about free speech defense. And I talked with Sara Kershnar about some ideas, like a concert, or a teach-in of sorts in the summer, which I like. But I think we need to seize the moment and do something within a couple weeks, large, public, something that reaches the New York Times and beyond, while everyone is looking at us.

I’m happy to talk with your office about this.

*

The other thing is that Peace and Justice voted to ask Jesse to sign a proclamation in support of Oscar Lopez Rivera, a Puerto Rican independentista who served over here decades in federal prison for his resistance and was released by Obama effective May. Oscar is a beloved Puerto Rican figure and will speak in Berkeley on May 31. Local organizers would like to meet with Jesse in the next couple weeks to brief him on the case of Puerto Rico and why the independence struggle is important for political leaders to engage with.

Though its a complex discussion worthy of a lot of time, I know how busy Jesse is, and if he can spare a half hour to meet with local representatives of the Puerto Rican community, that would be a good beginning. We might invite a couple others such as Cheryl, Beatriz, and a couple commissioners, others if you like.

Here are some background resources that explain his case and his broad support. I’ll work on getting you the letter from P&J and draft wording for a proclamation.

http://files.constantcontact.com/b4064850201/50c548fd-765a-4ed4-9014-9b7bbdb72b9a.pdf


http://resumen-english.org/2015/06/nyc-resolution-on-oscar-lopez-rivera/ (NYC resolution)
George

P.S. Sara told me about a young man who might be good for the PRC—I think she said Jesse was aware of him. I asked her to tell Jesse that my highest priority would be for Ben to consider appointing him. He sounds like a great match for what Ben is looking for. I hope Jesse will agree and Ben can talk with him, and with Valerie Trahan as well.
Sounds great.

My error, but those other folks I copied in are only interested in the Oscar Lopez issue (Mirkinson, Ortiz). I’ve removed them from this note.

On Urban Shield, I am in touch with Sara/Cheryl and Kate, and to some extent Sophie. But note that of the possible dates for the social justice group, half are in the next couple weeks, but half take place after the council vote is behind us.

Sara can furnish the new PRC candidate’s name to Brandi.

George

On Apr 27, 2017, at 1:51 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Thanks for this.

I am responding for both Brandi and me:

Jac is taking Social Justice (you received a doodle for an alternative date – Dena will provide some info prior)

Jac is taking Urban Shield conversations with Jesse. Social Justice can take this one and discuss as part of our agenda

Jac is doing commissions – I have added your suggestion to our list of candidates

Jac – SRI

Sanctuary City: Brandi will connect with you.

Job Description: Brandi will connect with you. She is almost done with it.

Progressive Convention: Our office does not have the bandwidth and look to other organizers/organizations to lead this. We will supplement.

Free Speech Forum: Cheryl’s office is taking the lead on this with our office participating as needed. Check with Sara if you want to get involved in this.

Oscar Lopez: Brandi/Stefan will check with Jesse and, if approved, will move this forward and advise.
Sorry this is “curtish...” busy day but wanted to get back to you.

Jac

From: J. George Lippman [mailto:george@igc.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 12:48 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>; Judith Mirkinson <mirk2@comcast.net>;
Kershnar, Sara <SKershnar@cityofberkeley.info>; Vylma Ortiz <vylmalaw@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: miscellaneous

Thanks Brandi!

I realize I forgot to mention a couple more things.

I think we need to do something nice for Berkeley—and for Jesse—at this time. We need a public event that will reframe the discussion away from this toxic, stupid, framing on free speech, do fascists have a right to it, crazies fighting each other—I just don’t want to debate it any more. Plus, we need to mount a strong resistance event of our own, that focuses more on the national direction and puts the "alt-right" in that context.

I favor a large public action giving prominence to the mayor and other notable figures. My partner said, bring Bernie out here. He might do it—Berkeley has become a symbol of resistance and needs defending. Change the subject. Talk about Sanctuary, in the larger context of resistance.

I know Andrea has an idea about free speech defense. And I talked with Sara Kershnar about some ideas, like a concert, or a teach-in of sorts in the summer, which I like. But I think we need to seize the moment and do something within a couple weeks, large, public, something that reaches the New York Times and beyond, while everyone is looking at us.

I’m happy to talk with your office about this.

*

The other thing is that Peace and Justice voted to ask Jesse to sign a proclamation in support of Oscar Lopez Rivera, a Puerto Rican independentista who served over here decades in federal prison for his resistance and was released by Obama effective May. Oscar is a beloved Puerto Rican figure and will speak in Berkeley on May 31. Local organizers would like to meet with Jesse in the next couple weeks to brief him on the case of Puerto Rico and why the independence struggle is important for political leaders to engage with.

Though its a complex discussion worthy of a lot of time, I know how busy Jesse is, and if he can spare a half hour to meet with local representatives of the Puerto Rican community, that would be a good beginning. We might invite a couple others such as Cheryl, Beatriz, and a couple commissioners, others if you like.

Here are some background resources that explain his case and his broad support. I’ll work on getting you the letter from P&J and draft wording for a proclamation.
George

P.S. Sara told me about a young man who might be good for the PRC—I think she said Jesse was aware of him. I asked her to tell Jesse that my highest priority would be for Ben to consider appointing him. He sounds like a great match for what Ben is looking for. I hope Jesse will agree and Ben can talk with him, and with Valerie Trahan as well.
Brandi,

I’m looking for updates on these issues:

Job Description: Brandi will connect with you. She is almost done with it.

Oscar Lopez: Brandi/Stefan will check with Jesse and, if approved, will move this forward and advise.

Sanctuary City: wondering what you are thinking about taking this beyond the panel on the 12th.

Thanks,
George.

On Apr 27, 2017, at 1:51 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Thanks for this.

I am responding for both Brandi and me:

Jac is taking Social Justice (you received a doodle for an alternative date – Dena will provide some info prior)

Jac is taking Urban Shield conversations with Jesse. Social Justice can take this one and discuss as part of our agenda

Jac is doing commissions – I have added your suggestion to our list of candidates

Jac – SRI

Sanctuary City: Brandi will connect with you.

Job Description: Brandi will connect with you. She is almost done with it.

Progressive Convention: Our office does not have the bandwidth and look to other organizers/organizations to lead this. We will supplement.
Free Speech Forum: Cheryl's office is taking the lead on this with our office participating as needed. Check with Sara if you want to get involved in this.

Oscar Lopez: Brandi/Stefan will check with Jesse and, if approved, will move this forward and advise.

Sorry this is “curtish...” busy day but wanted to get back to you.

Jac

From: J. George Lippman [mailto:george@igc.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 12:48 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMCCormick@cityofberkeley.info>; Judith Mirkinson <mirk2@comcast.net>; Kershner, Sara <SKershner@cityofberkeley.info>; Vylma Ortiz <vylmalaw@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: miscellaneous

Thanks Brandi!

I realize I forgot to mention a couple more things.

I think we need to do something nice for Berkeley—and for Jesse—at this time. We need a public event that will reframe the discussion away from this toxic, stupid, framing on free speech, do fascists have a right to it, crazies fighting each other—I just don’t want to debate it any more. Plus, we need to mount a strong resistance event of our own, that focuses more on the national direction and puts the "alt-right" in that context.

I favor a large public action giving prominence to the mayor and other notable figures. My partner said, bring Bernie out here. He might do it—Berkeley has become a symbol of resistance and needs defending. Change the subject. Talk about Sanctuary, in the larger context of resistance.

I know Andrea has an idea about free speech defense. And I talked with Sara Kershnar about some ideas, like a concert, or a teach-in of sorts in the summer, which I like. But I think we need to seize the moment and do something within a couple weeks, large, public, something that reaches the New York Times and beyond, while everyone is looking at us.

I’m happy to talk with your office about this.

*

The other thing is that Peace and Justice voted to ask Jesse to sign a proclamation in support of Oscar Lopez Rivera, a Puerto Rican independentista who served over here decades in federal prison for his resistance and was released by Obama effective May. Oscar is a beloved Puerto Rican figure and will speak in Berkeley on May 31. Local organizers would like to meet with Jesse in the next couple weeks to brief him on the case of Puerto Rico and why the independence struggle is important for political leaders to engage with.

Though its a complex discussion worthy of a lot of time, I know how busy Jesse is, and if he can spare a half hour to meet with local representatives of the Puerto Rican community, that would
be a good beginning. We might invite a couple others such as Cheryl, Beatriz, and a couple commissioners, others if you like.

Here are some background resources that explain his case and his broad support. I’ll work on getting you the letter from P&J and draft wording for a proclamation.

http://files.constantcontact.com/b4064850201/50c548fd-765a-4ed4-9014-9b7bbdb72b9a.pdf
http://resumen-english.org/2015/06/nyc-resolution-on-oscar-lopez-rivera/ (NYC resolution)

George

P.S. Sara told me about a young man who might be good for the PRC—I think she said Jesse was aware of him. I asked her to tell Jesse that my highest priority would be for Ben to consider appointing him. He sounds like a great match for what Ben is looking for. I hope Jesse will agree and Ben can talk with him, and with Valerie Trahan as well.
Dear Mayor Arreguin, Council Members:

I share your abhorrence for the very concept of a "Muslim Registry". However: if this abomination is indeed perpetrated on our Nation, I for one will voluntarily sign up for it. I am not claiming to be of the Islamic Faith, nor do I mean to disrespect it. **I would not be claiming to actually be a Muslim.** But I would wish to have my name added to the registry, so that "whatever happens to them, happens to me". This would make it far more immediate in impact, rather than "something that happened to people who I don’t know".

Imagine what would have happened in 1941, if the entire population of the City of Berkeley "claimed" to be of Japanese descent. Even if nothing else, 100,000 articulate people would have experienced the shame and degradation that Fred Korematsu bravely challenged. Soldiers would have faced the unsettling requirement of herding "white folk" onto cattle-car trains. Tanforan would have had a population of college professors well-versed in the interpretation of the law, sleeping in horse stables. They **wouldn’t** have 'gone quietly into the night'.

I therefore suggest that we **all** seek to become ‘Muslims in Solidarity’. We will retain our personal faiths, which are private: but in our public, secular lives, we can ask (nay, **demand**) to be treated equally before the law, as if we were actual Muslims. Any injustice perpetrated, will be inflicted on us too.

If this comes to pass, please let me know how I can sign up. This is contrary to your announcement highlighted below: but if we are pushed thus, I want to be part of the push-back.

Sincerely,
Tony Hansen
94703

---

Tony --

**A Note from Jesse**
I am also proud to co-sponsor a resolution with Councilmember Cheryl Davila to oppose city participation in any Muslim registry.

Berkeley will continue to lead the resistance against the Trump agenda, and push forward thinking progressive policies to address the challenges facing our city and region: homelessness, housing, climate change, education, economic development.

In solidarity,

Mayor Jesse Arreguin
It is attached.

Rebecca

From: Campbell, Brandi [mailto:BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 4:44 PM
To: Gebhart, Rebecca, HCSA; Clanon, Kathleen, HCSA
Cc: Halloran, Nancy, HCSA
Subject: Response to ACA Repeal

It was great talking to you today Rebecca, as well as incredibly helpful. I look forward to seeing you and Nancy at future Health Task Force meetings. To Kathleen, I am happy you will be able to attend.

Kathleen, I spoke with Rebecca today about the memo your office generated regarding the ACA repeal. In addition to providing me with a copy, would you able to speak a bit about the issue at the meeting on Monday? Not a formal presentation, but more of a report out on what your office explored in the memo. The Mayor mentioned this today and thought it could be very helpful to the group as well as be an excellent starting point into a regional response to the repeal. Please let me know your thoughts, as I will be sending out a draft agenda to the group on Thursday.

On behalf of the Mayor, we are truly looking forward to working with you all moving forward, particularly in these nationally uncertain times.

Best,

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguín
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com
TO: All HCSA Staff
FROM: Rebecca Gebhart, HCSA Interim Agency Director
DATE: January 17, 2016
SUBJECT: The Future of Medicaid

In the two months since the US presidential election, I have heard many concerns from County leadership and residents, HCSA staff, and health care partners regarding potential changes to the Federal Medicaid health program, or Medi-Cal as it is called in California.

On December 6th, the Board of Supervisors passed a resolution opposing any repeal of the Affordable Care Act (ACA), also known as Obamacare. In addition, County leadership and safety net leaders are coming together to develop a coordinated County response to fight to save the Medicaid program. We expect to develop a website soon to share information and updates and I will let HCSA staff know when it is up.

The US Congress began their process on the ACA repeal, but there are still many unanswered questions and a great deal of confusion and inconsistent messages. The House and Senate votes taken recently to initiate the repeal have no clear replacement plan or timeline outlined, while the President-elect said over the weekend in a Washington Post interview that in the event of a repeal that “everyone would have coverage”. These inconsistent messages only contribute to the ongoing confusion.

Supervisor Chan has scheduled a briefing on January 26th with the County’s federal lobbyists to do the following:

- Provide a brief overview of the political landscape in Washington D.C.;
- Outline how a Trump Administration and Republican controlled Congress might seek to implement their policy agenda and proposals; and
- Explore how Alameda County can take action to ensure that the wellbeing of our 1.6 million residents is protected and our work to create a more equitable community advanced.

I hope the following general information about the Medicaid issue is helpful.

* * * * * *

As we hear from the news, Republicans in Congress are talking about repealing the Affordable Care Act (ACA), also known as Obamacare, as soon as they have the ability to do so. They face the reality that a repeal of Obamacare is extremely complex. There is talk of “Repeal and Replace”, but the opposition to Obamacare have had over six years to develop a replacement approach and have failed to do so. There is also talk of “Repeal and Delay”. In this scenario Republicans would vote to repeal but would delay implementation for a number of years.
For an example of the complexity of a partial repeal, we need to look no further than President-elect Trump’s public statements expressing desire to retain certain facets of the ACA, including the inability to deny insurance coverage based on pre-existing conditions and the ability to keep children on parents’ employer-based insurance until age 26. The complexity arises because these benefits are only made possible by the individual mandate (the ACA rule that all individuals must have health insurance), which is the backbone of Obamacare, and which the Republicans want to repeal.

While it is too early to determine the specific changes to Medicaid, I have had some early conversations with safety net leaders regarding the future of Medicaid and related issues regarding the county’s Health Program of Alameda County (HealthPAC), which I have shared with Supervisors Chan and Carson as the Health Committee and Personnel Administrative Legislative (PAL) Committee Chairs.

To help keep HCSA staff informed of what we have done to date to monitor the situation and identify potential health policy changes that will impact Alameda County, I want to share the following updates:

- It is too early to know the specifics of Medicaid changes, but we are guessing that in the event of a full or partial repeal of the ACA there will be less Medicaid funding coming to California and the funding that will come as a block grant, with more flexibility, but with rules about what jurisdictions can and cannot do with the funding. For example, rules may include no funding for undocumented residents and for birth control.

- We are reviewing Paul Ryan’s June 2016 report and proposal for Medicaid and Medicare, as this includes possible future directions under the Trump administration, link to report is: http://abetterway.speaker.gov/assets/pdf/ABetterWay-HealthCare-PolicyPaper.pdf. It is important to note that much of this treatise is a critique of Obamacare, rather than a fully developed set of policy alternatives.

- The Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) clinics are rightfully concerned about federal reduction of the federal 330-e grant to the clinics. The importance of the grant is relative to the size of the clinic—the smaller the FQHC clinic, the more significant the impact of the grant reduction would be.

- Given that there are approximately 100,000 newly eligible Medi-Cal beneficiaries (MCE) in our county, the removal of this population from Medi-Cal eligibility will have a significant impact on the safety net.

- About 80% are covered by Alameda Alliance for Health (AAH).
- 40,000 of the newly eligible are seen by the FQHC clinics, covered by both AAH and Anthem.
- Hospitals, clinics and other safety net providers currently receive enhanced payments for this population.
- The last five years have been spent increasing access across the county to accommodate the population, including building new facilities and hiring additional employees.
- The AB85 1991 Realignment take-back formula in California statute was written, and is being implemented, assuming the MCE population is covered.
- The Whole Person Care application was written with an assumption of the current Medi-Cal beneficiaries, including the expansion population.
- The State of California and the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) have signed the Medicaid 2020 waiver, which spells out a number of approved initiatives including Whole
Person Care. While we don’t know if it can be unsigned, we do know that the project will be impacted if Medicaid beneficiaries change.

- There are 64,000 Alameda County residents enrolled in Covered California, our state’s health insurance exchange. This may be the easiest target for the Trump administration, and a portion of these residents, if they lose their Covered California insurance or they lose the subsidies that make insurance policies affordable, may become eligible for HealthPAC, our County coverage for the uninsured, while others simply show up in hospital Emergency Rooms as uninsured.

- HealthPAC may be impacted in a number of obvious and less obvious ways. We will see HealthPAC members’ enrollment increases if Medi-Cal beneficiaries or Covered California enrollees are reduced. A less obvious but related and important impact is how we protect HealthPAC client data from Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). We are exploring how best to protect our clients.

- Most of our health care professional organizations are mobilizing, and we hope that a statewide Medicaid coalition will emerge with a coordinated and aligned approach.

- I have reached out to the County Health Executive Association of California (CHEAC) to indicate Alameda County’s interest in sitting on any work group that is formed.

- I have informed Supervisor Chan that I have asked our Medicaid revenue consultants Sellers Dorsey to provide regular intelligence from their national sources so we may include that information in our local planning discussions. I plan to convene a meeting with Sellers Dorsey in the coming month to share information.

- Finally, it is will be important to see how the State DHCS responds to Medicaid changes and challenges. The department struggles with staff turnover and retention, and appears understaffed and with limited capacity for new initiatives.

I look forward to sharing updates on our progress and welcome your ideas and feedback.
Hi Stephen,

Glad we can be a part of this!

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Let's keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor's newsletter here.

Thank you for your assistance, we are delighted to have the Mayor's support.

--
Stephen Knight
Director, Policy & Partnerships
Alameda County Community Food Bank
sknight@acfb.org
510.635-3663 x 352

Brandi, flagging this request for your attention. Thank you.
From: Stephen Knight  
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 11:53 AM  
To: 'mayor@cityofberkeley.info' <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: Sign on - Food Bank letter opposing GOP/Trump food stamp cuts

Dear Mayor Arreguin –

Won’t you please lend your voice to help support and defend CalFresh (aka SNAP/food stamps) from threatened cuts in Washington?

I am reaching out to you to be a lead signer on this new letter from elected representatives across Alameda County to members from California on the House Agriculture Committee in defense of CalFresh. There are drastic changes and cuts to the SNAP program under consideration by House Speaker Ryan, President Trump, Ag Committee Chair Conaway, and HHS Secretary Price, through either the 2018 Farm Bill re-authorization or the 2017 Budget Reconciliation process. It is urgent that we show strong, broad-based support for this vital part of our nation’s safety net.

We are hoping you will reply “yes” and that we can use your name to launch the broad circulation of this important letter in support of the most vulnerable in our community.

The letter, which is attached along with a fact sheet, reads as follows:


Dear Reps. Costa, Denham, Lamalfa, and Panetta:

The U.S. House of Representatives and Senate are currently considering making drastic cuts to, and changes to the structure of the benefit entitlements provided by the SNAP program, through either the 2018 Farm Bill re-authorization or the 2017 Budget Reconciliation process. We are writing to you, as Representatives from California on the House Agriculture Committee, to express our strong support for SNAP/CalFresh, and opposition to any cuts to benefits, limits on eligibility, and efforts to block grant the SNAP program.

SNAP is our nation’s first line of defense against hunger, which is a condition of poverty that affects Alameda County and all regions throughout the state, with 12.6% of Californians facing food insecurity, which is defined as the “inability to procure a sufficient amount of healthy food on a regular basis.”

SNAP, known as CalFresh in California, is targeted to the most vulnerable households in our county and state, on average keeping 806,000 Californians out of poverty, including 417,000 children, annually; 74% of SNAP participants are in families with children, 6% are in families with members who are elderly or have disabilities, and fully 50% are in working families.

SNAP not only benefits low-income families, it stimulates local economies and businesses in California that serve our low and moderate-income rural and urban communities. Moody’s Analytics estimates that every $1 in federal SNAP benefits generates $1.70 in local economies; $7.6 billion are issued in federal SNAP benefits annually to California (2014-15), generating about $12.92 billion per year for California’s economy.

California’s anti-hunger network assists Californians in need through voluntary participation of members of the food industry, faith-based, tribal, public and non-profit organizations, and private citizens often partnered with
state and federal governments. This informal network collects donations, distributes food, and provides relief to hungry Californians every day – but it is not enough to close the hunger gap in California without the SNAP Program.

Using block-grants (or “State Opportunity Grants”) to restructure the SNAP program would change the program from an entitlement structure to fixed annual funding, which would render the program unable to automatically respond to increased need; states would then have to cut eligibility or establish waiting lists to stay within capped funding.

As elected representatives from a diverse range of cities and communities across Alameda County, we write to urge you to support CalFresh/SNAP, and opposing any cuts to benefits, limits on eligibility, and efforts to block grant the SNAP program.

Sincerely,

[SIGNED]

Thank you for your time and your support.

Stephen Knight
Director, Policy & Partnerships

7900 Edgewater Drive, Oakland, CA 94621
Phone: (510) 635-3663 x352
sknight@accfb.org
www.accfb.org

Find us on Twitter and Facebook
From: Campbell, Brandi  
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 5:48 PM  
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office  
Subject: Re: VIP Parking

I agree about hurting back but I do not want to leave my car by the city hall or park.

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of the Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7104 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

On Wed, Apr 26, 2017 at 5:12 PM -0700, "Berkeley Mayor's Office" <mayor@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Can you drive with me/go to this. I hear Antifa is showing up at Civic Center Park starting at 10 am. We need to jet back to City Hall after this.

From: Jenn Cogley [mailto:jennifer.cogley@bayer.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 11:40 AM  
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>; Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>  
Cc: Janiene Langford <janiene.langford@csueastbay.edu>  
Subject: RE: VIP Parking

Brandi,

FYI for tomorrow we have a special parking place for Mayor Arreguin.

Also: giving you my cell in case of anything tomorrow morning so that you can reach me

Work cell. 510-833-3955  
Personal Cell 510-978-6229.  

Thanks so much.

Best regards,

Jenn Cogley  
Deputy Director, Community Relations  
-------------------------------------------------------------  
Bayer: Science For A Better Life
Hello Jenn,

I just spoke with Judy and we have a VIP parking spot for Mayor Arreguin at 725 Potter Street, right past the main event (located under the Siemens building). Can you pass that information onto his team?

Warmest Wishes,

Janiene M. Langford
Program Manager
Institute for STEM Education
510-885-7654
Hi Anne and Maxim,

I am sorry to say that it is looking like I will not be able to attend Rotary tomorrow due to the preparation required for the Ann Coulter visit to Berkeley. If by some miracle I can make it, at least for the Committee, I will.

I will definitely be there at 11am on May 3rd for orientation and will have to leave by noon. I am heading out of town for a conference on May 5th, so my schedule, especially due to current events, has been extra impacted.

Best,

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
510-981-7104 phone  
510-981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info

On Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 1:30 PM -0700, "Anne Pardee" <pardeevt@comcast.net> wrote:

Dear Brandi  
I'm sorry I haven't had a chance to meet you but wanted to clear up some confusion (it's Maxim's middle name!)  
The lunch meeting starts at 12:30 and our speaker is addressing affordable housing.

The Rotary Committee on Supportive Housing meets afterwards starting close to 1:40. I'm hoping you'll be able to give a brief presentation here on the city's Pathway Plan, etc. so the committee and guests can all be on the same page. With the luncheon speaker addressing affordable housing and your information on the city's efforts - it should be a lively and informative discussion and help to focus Rotary efforts.

I'm really looking forward to meeting you-  
Anne  
Pardee  
Chair BRC Supportive Housing Committee

Sent from my iPhone
Hi Everyone,

We have our upcoming monthly MNCAA coordination call on Tuesday, May 9th, at 11am Pacific.

Call in: (641) 715-3200; 101444#

Proposed agenda as follows:

1. Trump Administration and potential pull out of Paris Climate Agreement
2. US Conference of Mayors and Mayors for 100%
3. EV RFI update
4. Our name (and other MNCAA developments): Climate Mayors

If you would like to add anything else to the agenda please let myself, Matt, and Shaun know.

Thanks,

Lauren

What will you do to #AdopthePLAn?

Lauren Faber O'Connor
Deputy Chief Sustainability Officer
Mayor Eric Garcetti
City of Los Angeles
lauren.faber@lacity.org
NEW NUMBER (213) 473 7078
Good evening and thank you for attending tonight’s forum on immigrant and refugee rights. My name is Stefan Elgstrand, Assistant to Mayor Jesse Arreguin, and this is Alex Mendoza, our specialist on sanctuary cities. The Mayor could not be here in person as he is currently chairing a City Council meeting, but had asked me to read this statement on his behalf.

“Berkeley has a long tradition of standing up for immigrant and refugee rights. We first became a City of Refuge in 1971, and reaffirmed this multiple times, including in 2007 during ICE raids in our community, and in 2016 after the election of Trump. My office has been hard at work organizing a Sanctuary City Task force that has brought in dozens of immigrant and civil rights leaders, school officials, faith leaders, and community activists to discuss ways to strengthen our Sanctuary City policy, coordinate a response plan with institutions like BUSD and UC Berkeley, and provide resources for our community. Our city is committed to protecting all of our residents and letting them know they are safe, regardless of their immigration status. I believe we need to be building bridges, not walls.

With the current political climate, it is easy to be afraid and intimidated. It is a common theme that is brought up when I visit our local schools. But I am constantly amazed at our community’s desire to get involved and protect all members of our society, no matter where they are from. I am sure that the discussions held here tonight will give you hope. Know that the City of Berkeley and many great organizations and individuals are committed to your safety and protection.

I want to thank Supervisor Keith Carson and all our sponsors for organizing this event. We will make sure that Berkeley and the Bay Area is a beacon of light during these dark times. Thank you.

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Lets keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletter here.
Hello Alex,

Attached is the speech we are presenting at tonight’s event. Can you translate this into Spanish so you have a copy ready to go when translating? Thanks.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguín  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SEElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
Good evening and thank you for attending tonight’s forum on immigrant and refugee rights. My name is Stefan Elgstrand, Assistant to Mayor Jesse Arreguin, and this is Alex Mendoza, our specialist on sanctuary cities. The Mayor could not be here in person as he is currently chairing a City Council meeting, but had asked me to read this statement on his behalf.

Berkeley has a long tradition of standing up for immigrant and refugee rights. We first became a City of Refuge in 1971, and reaffirmed this multiple times, including in 2007 during ICE raids in our community, and in 2016 after the election of Trump. My office has been hard at work organizing a Sanctuary City Task force that has brought in dozens of immigrant and civil rights leaders, school officials, faith leaders, and community activists to discuss ways to strengthen our Sanctuary City policy. Our city vows to never cooperate with ICE. We need to be building bridges, not walls.

With the current political climate, it is easy to be afraid and intimidated. It is a common theme that is brought up when I visit our local schools. But I am constantly amazed at our community’s desire to get involved and protect all members of our society, no matter where they are from. I am sure that the discussions held here tonight will give you hope. Know that the City of Berkeley and many great organizations and individuals are committed to your safety and protection.

I want to thank Supervisor Keith Carson and all our sponsors for organizing this event. We will make sure that Berkeley and the Bay Area is a beacon of light during these dark times. Thank you.
Hello,

Santa Clara County Board President Dave Cortese invites you to participate on a teleconference call to debrief on the U.S. District Court’s ruling which resulted in the issuance of a nationwide preliminary injunction blocking implementation of Trump’s Executive Order as it relates to defunding of “sanctuary” jurisdictions.

On April 25, 2017, U.S. District Court Judge William H. Orrick found a wide range of likely constitutional problems with the Executive Order. The call will provide further details.

The debrief teleconference call will be held this upcoming **Thursday, May 4, 2017 from 3pm-3:30pm PST**. Teleconference call number and instructions will be provided upon receipt of RSVP. To RSVP, please email me at mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org or call our office at 408-299-5030. This call is being co-hosted by the New Americans Leadership Project as well.

Attached is a copy of the court transcript for you to review in advance of the call.

Sincerely,

**Mario B. Lopez**
Policy Aide | Office of Supervisor Dave Cortese
Third District | County of Santa Clara
70 W. Hedding Street, 10th Floor
San Jose, CA 95110
T (408) 299-5030 | F (408) 298-6637
Mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org
www.supervisorcortese.org
Like Dave on Facebook
Follow Dave on Twitter
From: Sarah Rose <ecovote@ecovote.org>  
Sent: Wednesday, December 07, 2016 4:16 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Subject: Sign the petition: Don't let a climate denier lead the EPA

Sign the petition: Don’t let a climate denier lead the EPA – Reject Pruitt’s nomination.

Dear Jesse,

Reuters just reported that Trump will nominate a climate denier, Oklahoma Attorney General Steve Pruitt, to lead the EPA.

This is terrible news for our environment. Pruitt has boasted about suing the EPA, opposes the Clean Power Plan, and continues to dispute the reality of Climate Change. We cannot allow him to take over the EPA to push a reactionary agenda.

Sign the petition: Don’t let a climate denier lead the EPA – Reject Pruitt’s nomination.

On the campaign trail Trump vowed to break America’s commitment to the Paris Climate Agreement, to open our public lands to drilling, and to dismantle the EPA.

Trump isn’t even in office yet, and already he’s hard at work to undermine the laws that protect our clean water, air, and open spaces. As we know too well, our victories in the environmental movement are never permanent, but our losses are.

As President, Trump has the potential to roll back all of the progress Obama has made in the last 8 years on climate. And he has the majorities in Congress to make this a very real possibility. Now he’s moving to nominate a climate denier to lead the EPA. We cannot afford to let Trump’s actions go unchallenged. We must not allow him to roll back the progress we have made.

Sign the petition: Don’t let a climate denier lead the EPA – Reject Pruitt’s nomination.

California has a unique role to play. We are the sixth largest economy in the world. The decisions we make here, the policies we create, and how we respond to Trump will determine the pace of progress these next four years.

We must organize to defend our hard-fought victories – our successful climate change legislation, improved fuel economy standards, protections for our coast from offshore drilling. But that alone isn’t enough; we must also renew our commitment to moving our state and our country forward.

I hope you will join us in taking action today. Together our voices are powerful.

Sarah Rose, CEO  
California League of Conservation Voters
Since 1972, the California League of Conservation Voters (CLCV) has protected our land, air, water, and public health as the non-partisan political arm of the environmental movement. CLCV's mission is to protect and enhance the environment and the health of all California communities by electing environmental champions, advancing critical priorities, and holding policymakers accountable. More about CLCV. Unsubscribe.
This was just released.

**Sara Kershnar, Legislative Assistant**  
Councilmember Cheryl Davila  
District 2, Berkeley  

(510) 981-7126  
skershnar@cityofberkeley.info
Defend Free Speech not Incitements to Violence
A statement by the Executive Board of the National Lawyers Guild – San Francisco
April 27, 2017

In 1937, the National Lawyers Guild (NLG) was founded to defend the rights of those fighting against state violence and exploitation and to ensure human rights over property rights. Central to this work has been the defense of protected speech and assembly – that is the freedom of speech and the right to organize of those most targeted by political repression, policing, mass incarceration and exploitation based on racism, xenophobia, religious persecution, sexism, homophobia, and transphobia.

Unlike other legal organizations that protect civil and human rights, the San Francisco Chapter of the NLG sees a distinction between protected speech and freedom of assembly of those targeted by injustice and the hateful speech and acts of violence based on these prejudices. We understand protected speech to include dissent against State violence and repression and the organization of society, the media and civil society that justifies and enacts systematic oppression. The NLG sees this protection of “free speech” as distinct from the speech and assembly of those whose aim is to threaten the self-preservation and basic humanity of those most targeted by such prejudices.

As such, and in recognition of the ways society and the government is organized to target people based on systematic prejudice and hate, and the many platforms available for justifying the inequities of society, we support the right of the University of California at Berkeley not to give platforms to those espousing hate and inciting violence. As the Editorial Board of the Sacramento Bee stated with great clarity: “The university is being used. Coulter, Yiannopoulos and the extremists around them don’t want free speech; they want a taxpayer financed forum for political theater, even if it hurts people and puts 40,000 kids at risk…. Coulter rejects the university’s contention that it cannot protect her – or her hate speech – Thursday. Only a privileged attention-seeker would have the gall.”

It should be pointed out that while UC Berkeley has conceded a platform to alt rights pundits, they consistently target student activists organizing on progressive causes, including the cancelation of a student-led class on Palestinian liberation last year. For the NLG, this points to the importance of the distinction between protected speech of those targeted by State violence and the hateful speech of those who advance and benefit from that targeting. Furthermore, as the lessons of fascist Europe and Republic of Turkey remind us, when we do not protest hate speech and fascist calls-to-action, we normalize it and that means it gets more power – particularly when their power has been mobilized by the President of the United States.

As Otis Taylor says in his SF Chronicle editorial, “It’s not the conservative viewpoint that enrages them — it’s the hate rhetoric at a time when the man sitting in the White House has emboldened a racist white nationalist movement. Coulter’s message is often about how terrible immigrants, minorities and nonwhite people are for this country. It’s conservatives like her and Milo Yiannopoulos who spew the fumes of racism, xenophobia, [homophobia], and nationalism.”

Even when, at times, we may not agree with the strategy and tactics of those who protest injustice, our role has and will be to defend the intention to not allow fascists and white supremacists a platform without protest. This is the lesson of history – we do not owe platforms to those who seek the annihilation of others. We owe it to those who fought and continue to fight for self-preservation and self-determination to defend those who seek to stop them.
Hi Jesse—

Many government managers are asking: what management agenda will Donald J. Trump pursue? The Performance Institute led a coalition to devise recommendations for the management agenda which I’m including below.

One thing is certain: in 2017 every federal agency will be required to update their Strategic Plan and performance measures under GPRA-MA and the Trump Administration will certainly have new strategic initiatives and cross-agency goals.

To prepare for those elements of the Trump management agenda, the Performance Institute is convening a forum on strategic planning and performance management for February 6-9.

Would you like to be part of this forum? Can I get you a schedule?

By the way: you can attend online if travel expenses and costs make this a challenge.

Penelope Eaves
Deputy Director, Outreach
The Performance Institute

PS: The report to the Presidential Transition Initiative can be accessed here
Dear Mayor Arreguin and City Councilmembers,

In case there are questions from your constituents, here’s the latest statement from the campus on the Ann Coulter speech. As you know in recent weeks campus and city leaders and staff have had to deal with violent protests in our community. The steps outlined in Chancellor Dirk’s statement are being taken because the safety and well-being of our students and the Berkeley community continues to be our highest priority.

If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out.

Ruben

Ruben Lizardo

Director of Local Government and Community Relations

Office of the UC Berkeley Chancellor

---

April 20, 2017

Contact: Roqua Montez | Media Relations | rmontez@berkeley.edu, (510) 847-8314

**UC Berkeley Chancellor Dirks’ statement on Ann Coulter visit**

MEMO TO REPORTERS
Chancellor Nicholas Dirks made the following statement at a news conference today at which speakers provided an update on efforts to reschedule an appearance on campus by conservative author Ann Coulter.

This university has an unwavering commitment to the First Amendment of the Constitution, which enshrines and protects the right of freedom of speech and freedom of expression. As the home of the Free Speech Movement, we fully support the right and ability of our students to host speakers of their choice, and we believe that exposing students to a diverse array of perspectives is an inherent and inseparable part of our educational mission.

We also have an unwavering commitment to providing for the safety and well-being of speakers who come to campus, our students and other members of our campus and surrounding communities.

While there may, at times, be a tension between these two paired commitments, we cannot compromise on either. In that context, Ms. Coulter's announcement that she intends to come to this campus on April 27 without regard for the fact that we don't have a protectable venue available on that date is of grave concern. Our police department has made it clear that they have very specific intelligence regarding threats that could pose a grave danger to the speaker, attendees and those who may wish to lawfully protest the event. At the same time, we respect and support Ms. Coulter's own First Amendment rights.

Given our serious reservations and concerns regarding Ms. Coulter's stated intentions, last night I asked my staff to look beyond the usual venues we use for large public gatherings to see if there might be a protectable space for this event that would be available during the compressed, and extremely busy, window of time between now and the end of the academic year.

Fortunately, that expanded search identified an appropriate, protectable venue that is available on the afternoon of May 2. While it is not one we have used for these sorts of events in the past, it can both accommodate a substantial audience and meet the security criteria established by our police department. Earlier today, we informed both the Berkeley College Republicans and the Coulter organization of this development, and we look forward to working with them. We will disclose the exact location of the venue once we have finalized details with both organizations.

Berkeley News | Media Relations
To unsubscribe to this list, please email ucbnews@berkeley.edu

UC Berkeley Office of Public Affairs, 2200 Bancroft Way, Berkeley, CA 94720-4204
Hello,

Thanks to those who participated in our teleconference call last Thursday in advance of the U.S. District Court hearing relating to our County’s lawsuit challenging the Federal Administration’s executive order targeting ‘sanctuary’ cities and counties. The U.S. District Court did not issue a ruling this past Friday, April 14, 2017. A ruling should be issued in the next few days/weeks. Our office is monitoring all potential developments and will keep folks apprised as we receive details.

As mentioned and requested during our call, attached is a soft copy transcript for you to review.

Below are a few initial press hits immediately following the court hearing:

Local Press

National Press
- Huffington Post - http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/sanctuary-city-trump-san-francisco_us_58f1038ee4b0bb9638e3848f?section=us_politics
If you weren’t able to join us on the teleconference call, our office will also coordinate a 30-minute debrief teleconference call within the next week or so. If interested, please let me know directly via email at mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org.

Sincerely,

**Mario B. Lopez**  
Policy Aide | Office of Supervisor Dave Cortese  
Third District | County of Santa Clara  
70 W. Hedding Street, 10th Floor  
San Jose, CA 95110  
T (408) 299-5030 | F (408) 298-6637  
Mario.lopez@bos.sccgov.org  
www.supervisorcortese.org
Like Dave on Facebook  
Follow Dave on Twitter
I kept everyone moving and we were done in 50 minutes!

Adding Revolving Door Ordinance amendment to council item list – I will do it. Kate to review concept

Will do SB 721 Support item (balcony construction). Jondo to reach out to Jerry Hill and see if there are any hearings in Sac as Jesse should speak.

Future “bills” will have a note added with brief description.

Stefan working on April 1 office hours at Café Roma. Droste on board.

There will be a press conference on March 28th 6:45pm about the impeach Trump item. Jondo to help Stefan with speakers.

Nothing to add to CM parking lot

Next Press Conference around items that affect community must have community members and service providers in attendance – otherwise the press conference went really well – room looked great – sign is awesome!

Constituent Follow-up
   Al Murray will be removed from Personnel Board
   Berkeley Vacuum – ongoing parking issue – Jac is handling
   Elizabeth Starr & Clifford Fred – neighbor burning issue – Jondo handling

Items for Jesse review – we have 2 more weeks – nothing right now

Wonderlist reminder was given. Nods all around….time will tell – wanna bet????? (I added a couple of things – Jesse has to contact BHA about the voucher expiration and also edit the letter for Laurie Earp)

Are you going to do next week’s meetings or do you want me to list them? If I don’t hear from you by tomorrow afternoon I will do it.

Jacquelyn McCormick
Senior Advisor to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7101 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
jmccormick@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
With Trump in office, the future of our health is uncertain.

BUT, we understand the power of local politics and OUR ROLE to advocate for the needs of our communities.

Dear Brandi,

Healthy Black Families, Inc. and several of California citizens, community-based organizations, public health professionals, and policymakers have successfully fought to pass Measure HH. This is great news! This means that...

- Nearly $6 million dollars may be invested in health education and nutrition programs to combat childhood obesity, diabetes, and other health burdens that disproportionately impact communities of color.
- A similar policy in Berkeley has shown tremendous success! Since the tax policy has been implemented in Berkeley, residents have been purchasing more water and less soda. This means healthier children and thriving communities.
- We are encouraged that this could also be true in Oakland and throughout the Bay Area.
- We envision a community where every member of our society is healthy, fulfilling his and her full potential, and thriving as a result.

We advocate for black families, we empower the community to be informed about their health, and we create space for community members to get the resources they need for their families... be it kindergarten readiness for their young children, peer groups to share health education, and more. We are proud to represent what it means to be a healthy community... one family at a time.
Health equity is our calling. Healthy disparities are what we aim to eliminate. There is no reason that anyone should go to bed at night worrying about their medical bills, health insurance coverage for their family, and stressed out about how to pay for prescription drugs.

We are committed to fight for health equity in California, particularly for black families, who are in large part ignored and under-served. Together, we can change that! We invite you to join us in advocating and serving our communities. Health is a right, not a privilege! We all deserve affordable and accessible healthcare.

CLICK HERE TO STAND WITH US ON THIS JOURNEY. We encourage you to consider the option to contribute on a recurring basis. We know that the fight for health equity does not happen overnight and so we invite you to partner with us long term.

Thank you for your support!

Sincerely,
Dr. Vicki Alexander.
President, Healthy Black Families, Inc.

Visit our website

Connect with us

Healthy Black Families, Inc. | 3356 Adeline Street, Berkeley, CA 94703

Unsubscribe bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info
Update Profile | About our service provider
Sent by alexandervicki20@gmail.com in collaboration with

Try it free today
Hi Matthai,

This is what I had typed up last week in response to all the media requests we were getting. It probably needs updates given how much things have changed over the past few days. It’s a starting point.

Why Berkeley?

Berkeley’s history as the birthplace of the Free Speech Movement and its progressive values has caused it to become a target for those willing to push the envelope of what Free Speech is. Most people attending recent events, and indeed most people who have been arrested, are from out of town.

What is or isn’t Free Speech?

Berkeley has and continues to support the right to free speech and to enable people’s voices to be heard, even if we disagree with them. But when speech is used to incite violence or to silence others, we cannot accept that.

Antifa vs Alt-Right

The reality is both sides have incited and participated in violent activities. As shown at the April 15 event, video and photographic evidence, along with eyewitness accounts, revealed both sides engaging in violence. The concept of this event was to have a “free speech rally”, which did go forward. Speakers did speak uninterrupted, but not many people actually listened to the speeches because most people who showed up were there to engage in violence.

Police Strategies

Contrary to many news reports, there was a large and active police presence at the April 15 event. 20 people were arrested, and they were done so in a surgical manner that prevented further violence. Having police insert themselves in a violent and dangerous situation significantly increases the risk of further injuries. There were no injuries to people who were uninvolved in the event. The police department is always evolving their strategy based on experiences.

University Decisions

The City of Berkeley has no jurisdiction over UC Berkeley, so the decisions made by University administrators and UCPD are not influenced by myself or other City officials.

How to Prevent Future Violence

The election of Donald Trump has hit a cord in this country that has caused some people to react violently, whether it is the hard left because they feel threatened by his policies or the alt-right because they feel empowered by his policies. Berkeley may be an epicenter of these activities, but we are not alone. The unfortunate reality is that events like we have seen in the past few months will continue to happen not just in Berkeley, but throughout the country unless we have a national conversation on the issues we face. In the age of social media, it is easy to create our own bubbles where we ignore opposing viewpoints and highlighting those we agree with, but by doing this, it only leads to more
extreme viewpoints. We need to be more open and willing to listen, in order to understand where others come from. We can agree to disagree, and we can do so in a non-violent way.

**Do you Support Ann Coulter/others Speaking at Berkeley?**

I strongly disagree with hateful rhetoric wrongly promoting xenophobia and racism as solutions to our country’s problems. But in an open society, speakers of those views have a right to speak. Students and community members have a right to peacefully denounce that speech.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

_sign up for our monthly newsletter._
From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 2:31 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Cc: Campbell, Brandi  
Subject: FW: Joint Statement on Immigration

Here is the draft from Oakland. They need a quote from us. They are also waiting on quotes from San Francisco and San Jose. Here is a draft quote for us:

“Our values of human rights, equity, and inclusion has come under attack by the Trump Administration. In just two days, Trump has ruined our planet, pushed a divisive wall, stripped our citizens of civil liberties, and cut funding to cities that have the courage to stand up for all people - whether or not they are legal citizens. Berkeley has never and will never bow down to fear. We will not be intimidated by threats to cut funding to cities that believe in the fundamental notion that no person is illegal. No amount of federal funding is worth betraying our values.”
- Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Derryck, Erica [mailto:EDerryck@oaklandnet.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 2:00 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Joint Statement on Immigration

Thanks, Stefan. Here is the draft language which Oakland and SF have approved. Just waiting on quotes.

Best,  
Erica

Today, the mayors of the Bay Area’s three largest cities, Oakland, San Francisco and San Jose, and the City of Berkeley spoke out against President Trump’s executive order on immigration. They reaffirmed their commitment to working together to address the many challenges the region faces from growing income inequality, lack of affordable housing, better education outcomes, job creation and transportation infrastructure improvement.

Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín, San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee, San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo and Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf also vowed to take a regional approach to combat the impacts of any threatened cuts in federal funding that would adversely affect the nearly two and half million residents of diverse backgrounds who reside in their cities.

“The Bay Area stands united against this White House’s morally bankrupt policies that would divide families, turn our nation’s back on refugees in need, and potentially thwart the efforts of nearly one million productive young people who
are on a legal path to citizenship. Oaklanders rely on $130 million in federal funding for everything from early education programs like Head Start to getting officers out of their cars and onto our streets at a time when community policing is so desperately needed. We will not allow this president to play politics with our safety and security.” – Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf.

From: Elgstrand, Stefan [mailto:SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 10:38 AM
To: Derryck, Erica
Subject: Joint Statement on Immigration

Hello Erica,

I just left you a message, think it was on your cell, around a joint statement on immigration. Our city’s Public Information Officer informed me of this; I deal with press/communications for Mayor Jesse Arreguin. We are interested in being a part of this statement. Let me know what you need from our office to make this happen. Thank you for working on this.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
February 10, 2017

Scheduling:

Patrick Fahey/Eleanor Walden
Redwood Gardens
There is a town hall meeting March 26th to talk about what people can do to respond to the Trump Administration. See email in mayor’s inbox from Tuesday at 8:10pm for details.

Louise Rosencrantz
Berkeley Honda Neighbor
Would like to set up a meeting to talk about the Berkeley Honda ZAB appeal

Thomas Goram
Options Recovery Center
You are invited to attend their graduation ceremony on March 3, 4:30pm.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
I was going to ignore this when it was just going around on Twitter but now that Breitbart News has written an article accusing you of being a BAMN member I need to ask.

Are you a member of BAMN? Why do you follow them or why did you join them on Facebook? What do you think of BAMN's tactics?

What has been happening to you in recent weeks? You got death threats after the Milo demos. Are you still being threatened? What do you think of this Breitbart article?

http://www.breitbart.com/tech/2017/04/21/berkeley-mayor-is-member-of-antifa-facebook-group-that-organized-riots/

Frances Dinkelspiel

Author, *Tangled Vines: Greed, Murder, Obsession and an Arsonist in the Vineyards of California*  
A New York Times bestseller


Co-founder BerkeleySide, winner of the SPJ "Best Community News Site," two years running

510.984.2366  
FrancesDinkelspiel.com  
Twitter: @FrannyaDink
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
January 20, 2017

STATEMENT ON INAUGURATION OF PRESIDENT DONALD TRUMP

Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin today issued the following statement regarding the inauguration of President Donald Trump:

“Many people across Berkeley and this country have expressed deep concern over the new administration’s agenda. As Mayor of Berkeley – a diverse city with a long history of political activism – I reiterate my strong commitment that Berkeley will continue to be a beacon of light during dark times. We will remain a sanctuary city, and we will protect the rights of our residents.”

“The transition of power is a cornerstone of our democratic process, whether we agree with it or not. But we have a democratic obligation to hold our elected officials accountable. This is exactly what I plan to do, and I call on everyone else to do the same. The record-breaking protests planned in the Bay Area over the next couple of days is a testament to the commitment of our region to holding the new administration accountable.”

“In his inaugural address, President Trump called upon building unity and providing a voice to those who have become forgotten. But this cannot be done through mass deportations that break apart families, or continuing the mass incarceration of minorities, stripping them of their rights. A role of the President is to bring people together, not to ignite feuds on Twitter; to build bridges across communities, not walls; and to ensure and expand the rights of our residents, not restrict access to healthcare, voting, or media. Let it be clear that misogyny, racism, sexism, xenophobia, and homophobia have no place in the White House or anywhere in society.”
“As we begin this new chapter in American history, we must be vigilant and raise our voice to ensure that our future is written by we the people, and not an elite handful of billionaires. The American people have risen to the challenge of fighting for our rights against regressive thinking administrations in the past, and I am confident that if we unite, we will continue to move the progressive torch forward through this storm.”

###

2180 Milvia Street, Berkeley, CA 94704 ● Tel: (510) 981-7100 ● Fax: (510) 981-7199 ● TDD: (510) 981-6903
E-Mail: mayor@cityofberkeley.info ● Web: www.cityofberkeley.info/mayor
Hello Dave,

Here is a link to the item that will be voted on at the March 28 Council meeting in regards to opening an investigation to impeach Trump: [http://cityofberkeley.info/Clerk/City_Council/2017/03_Mar/Documents/2017-03-28_Item_18_Support_for_the_Investigation.aspx](http://cityofberkeley.info/Clerk/City_Council/2017/03_Mar/Documents/2017-03-28_Item_18_Support_for_the_Investigation.aspx)

We are working on having a brief rally outside Old City Hall (2134 MLK Jr Way) at 6:45PM, just before the Council meeting. I will send you more details about that when they are worked out, but I wanted that to be on your radar.

The Mayor would be interested in speaking at a future Indivisible meeting. Is there any upcoming meetings that could work?

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our [monthly newsletter](mailto:).
From: Paul F <paulfog@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 10:05 PM
To: BPD PIO; Berkeley Mayor’s Office; Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: BPD Handling of Recent Trump Protests

Re: The Berkeley Police Department's handling of recent pro/anti Trump protests:

As a long time former resident of Berkeley, I felt compelled to express my concerns about the inadequate handling of violent acts in last weekend’s demonstrations. I grew up in Berkeley, spent most of my life there, went through the Berkeley public school system from start to finish including Berkeley High School and ultimately Cal. My mother worked for the City of Berkeley Health Department for 30 years. I remember the People’s Park riots of the late sixties. So when I saw what happened at last weekend’s protest, I was sickened by the lack of control and enforcement that would allow protracted public brawls on city streets with no visible intervention by an alleged full police presence with backup. This is an embarrassment to the city. Allowing young people to be beaten (on both sides) for expressing their 1st amendment right to free speech does not reflect positively on the image of my (our) beloved city. Violent provocateurs aside, there were many young innocent people who were badly hurt and were not protected by the police—despite the huge police presence. This is unconscionable to me. Why would any resident tax payer feel good about paying police officers to sit in their cars or stand on corners while people are being injured at will a few blocks away.

Sadly, it appears obvious that the BPD has violated its own mission statement on many levels with the handling of the protests:

Our Mission is to safeguard our diverse community through proactive law enforcement and problem solving, treating all people with dignity and respect. The Berkeley Police Department’s Vision is: We will be a team of leaders at every level. We will foster strong relationships with our community, inspiring trust through our service, building on our historic tradition of progressive policing, and dedicated to the safety of all. As members of this community, we will provide proactive law enforcement and problem solving, holding these as our core values: Integrity: We are ethical, fair, and trustworthy in all we do. Safety: We strive to keep our community and each other safe.

So when young people are seen fighting with one another on the national news with no police in sight, who would believe the BPD is providing "proactive law enforcement" in letting such a ruckus event happen in the first place or that it's "dedicated to the safety of all" when people are being openly attacked with no restraint? Who would believe the BPD is keeping the "community and each other safe"?

I certainly hope this disgraceful even is the last of its kind before the BPD intervenes to prevent violence and maintain a peaceful environment at all future demonstrations for everyone’s sake.

FYI: Ann Coulter is coming to Berkeley in the next few weeks. Let's set a good example this time and not repeat the same mistakes we saw last weekend.

Sincerely,
Paul Fogarty
Hi Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Fionce Siow and I am a reporter for the Daily Californian. I am writing an article about Friday's UC-wide walkout/teach-in in protest of President Trump's inauguration. I was hoping to gain a city official's perspective on how Trump's presidency will affect Berkeley/the Bay Area.

Would you be available tomorrow (Jan. 19) for a phone interview? I am free from 11:15 a.m. to 1:45 p.m. and from 3:45 p.m. to 5 p.m. If these times are inconvenient for you, please let me know since my deadline is at 5 p.m. I can be contacted at this email or at 626-262-9869.

Thanks,

Fionce Siow
News Reporter
The Daily Californian
(626) 262-9869
Twitter: @fioncesiow
Dear Mr. Elgstrand;

I am writing in order to complain the City’s recent decision to refuse police protection to law abiding citizens who sought to attend the so-called “Milo” speaking event. As widely reported in the news, multiple individuals at this event were literally hunted down by a well-organized, highly trained mob of roughly 60 individuals, in what amounted to a full blown terrorist attack on the City and its residents. (The identity of at least one attacker has been identified as a Cal Berkeley employee, and still has yet to be arrested or charged with an obvious hate crime).

Rather than protect these individuals or seek to arrest the attackers, the police were apparently ordered to stand down, as the victims were conservative (and thus “deserved” to be beaten). Had this attack been orchestrated by the KKK, for instance, the City of Berkeley would likely have called in the National Guard. Instead, it did nothing as the rights of countless US citizens were denied. As a direct result of the City of Berkeley’s tacit decision to deny police protection to individuals because of their stated beliefs, countless individuals were made to fear for their lives while suffering multiple physical, emotional and related injuries.

Please note that the ‘stand down’ order was itself a serious violation of the law. In addition to any potential fines to the City, any individual who gave such an order, or who permitted it to take effect, whether in the City government or in the Berkeley Police Department is legally subject to criminal prosecution. This is because the discriminatory use of the US police force to protect one group, while refusing such protection to others, is contrary to stated law, and a serious crime. In the process, Berkeley has shown itself to be a lawless City, one that routinely flouts the law as a means of enforcing the personal prejudices of its managerial staff. As a direct result, Berkeley has offered the country a vivid demonstration not only that it does not welcome differences, but that it is unsafe. This is to suggest that individuals and/or corporations once considering travelling to Berkeley can no longer do so, as they too may be severely beaten while the police idly drink coffee and smirk.

Nicholas V. Vakkur

cc: Federal Bureau of Investigation
Dear Stefan,

I’m working on a report about recent controversies surrounding Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin. My deadline is today.

Can you please provide comment on the following:

Is Mayor Arreguin a member of By Any Means Necessary?

Does BAMN support violence as one of its means?

Is Mayor Arreguin aware that BAMN has been thought by the FBI and the Department of Defense as being involved in terrorist activities?

Is he comfortable being associated with a possible terrorist group?

Does Mayor Arreguin support Antifa, which also embraces violence?

Why does Mayor Arreguin blame “right wing” agitators for the violence when numerous reports from across the political spectrum point at left wing violence from antifa and others in the recent Berkeley riots, especially on February 1st?

Is he saying that if there were not counterprotesters at these riots, the antifa rioters would not have committed acts of violence?

Law enforcement experts say the Berkeley police were wrong to not immediately act against the violence in recent riots, saying it encourages groups like By Any Means Necessary to commit acts of violence against people lawfully exercising their right to free speech. The Mayor says?

What is wrong with arresting anyone and everyone who commits acts of violence?

Berkeley is known as the center of the free speech movement. Does Mayor Arreguin believe that conservatives do not have a right to free speech?

Do the police or the mayor in Berkeley have any info on who sparked the violence at the recent Berkeley riots?

Is the mayor aware that the Sacramento police have video placing the blame for violence at the 2016 riots on BAMN, including stab wounds?
Please provide comment by 1pm ET today in time for publishing on foxnews.com

Thank you.

Kind Regards & Very Respectfully,
CW

Chris Wallace
Senior Producer
Fox News Investigative Unit
(212) 301-5129
(347) 497-1373

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
This is J.R. Stone over at KRON 4 News. I’m putting together a story about the Ann Coulter situation for tonight’s story. While UC Berkeley has cancelled the speech, Ann Coulter says the “speech will go on.”

Is the mayor available to talk this evening about this?

Thanks,

J.R. Stone
KRON 4 News Anchor/Reporter
(415)265-9266
stone@kron.com
Dear Stefan,

I am emailing to let you know that between PSA and the FSM Cafe Education Series Program that we have decided to host the Jesse event titled "Progressive Mayorship in the Trump era" on April 17th from 6-7:30 PM at FSM Cafe. Does that date and time still work for Jesse and yourself?

Best,
Daniel
Hi Stefan,

We were wondering if Mayor Arreguín and his office might have a comment on the upcoming "Spirit of America Rally" sponsored by March 4 Trump, including perhaps a comment on Milo Yiannopoulos who, at least until recently, was sort of a subtheme of the upcoming march, in light of his cancelled Feb. 1 event at UC Berkeley.

Thanks in advance.

--

Tom Lochner reporter | Editorial
tlochner@bayareanewsgroup.com
510-262-2760 Direct
@tomlochner
From: Rob Shimshock [mailto:rob@dailycallernewsfoundation.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 8:51 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: MEDIA REQUEST

Hi Mayor Arreguin,

My name is Rob Shimshock and I'm a reporter with The Daily Caller News Foundation. I was wondering if you could provide comment regarding your former Facebook membership of the group By Any Means Necessary: https://archive.io/dWKfR#selection-1653.0-1661.92

Do you support the group and its goals? Is there anything that's been reported which you'd like to clarify?

Thanks,
Rob Shimshock
Education Reporter

rob@dailycallernewsfoundation.org | 571-236-7459
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: Manuel De Paz [mailto:manuel@eastbaysanctuary.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 1:11 PM
Subject: Fwd: [OaklandMayDay] International Workers Day - May 1st!

Please forward it widely/ Por favor enviar a tus contactos

May Day First, Rally and March

Please join us to strike and march to lift our voice to protect workers and immigrant rights. Feel free to invite your love ones.

Yes, we can

Marcha Primero De Mayo
Unase a nuestra huelga y marcha x los derechos de los trabajadores e inmigrantes. Invite a sus familiares y amigos

Si se puede

Manuel DePaz
Community Development and Education Program Coordinator
At East Bay Sanctuary Covenant
2362 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94704
510-540-5296
www.eastbaysanctuary.org

On Mon, Apr 10, 2017 at 10:20 PM, Sagnicthe Salazar <ssagnicthe@gmail.com> wrote:

--------------------------Please Forward Widely--------------------------

1
Dear Comrades,

On behalf of Oakland Sin Fronteras, we are writing to invite you to join us for this year’s International Workers Day General Strike and March on May 1st, 2017 in Oakland, CA.

International Workers Day General Strike & March

Monday, May 1st, 2017

3pm Rally at Fruitvale Plaza
4pm March to San Antonio Park

Why We Strike, Why We March

International Workers' Day has been a time to uplift the struggles, honor the sacrifices, and celebrate the triumphs of working people across the world. As we stand on Ohlone Indigenous land this May 1st, we march in celebration and in resistance with our families, friends, neighbors, and co-workers in our communities, and in solidarity with working people across all borders, to continue the historic struggle against economic and social inequity. With a Trump administration in power, a rising fascist tendency, and growing economic and political oppression of people everywhere, this May Day we march in the spirit of organizing and defending our communities from state violence and toward liberation and self-determination. You can read the full text of our Points of Unity online here.

To make this mobilization one that goes down in the history books, we must leverage people power and broad based support. There are many ways to get involved in this year’s march; taking part in outreach, volunteering on May 1st for security or other roles, donating money, or approaching organizations to endorse this march. If you want to get involved, email oaklandmayday@googlegroups.com. You can also visit the event website at oaklandsinfronteras.wordpress.com.

Donations

We encourage anyone able to, to make a financial contribution to support the event. Donations will go toward making sure we have all the materials necessary to carry out this mobilization in a safe and organized way. We do NOT have any budget for food, drinks and other materials, so we are relying on the generosity of our community. Donations can be made out to "Mujeres Unidas y Activas" with "Oakland Sin Fronteras" in the memo line and mailed to MUA at 3543 18th St #23, San Francisco, CA 94110.
If you are a part of an organization, we would love your organizational support by Endorsing.

**Endorsements:**

This May Day looks to be one of the largest in recent history and it’s important that we get all our family, friends, co-workers, and neighbors out in the street. We’d love to count on your organization’s support and participation. Endorsers commit to publicizing the event, turning out your members. To endorse this year’s International Workers Day General Strike and March on May 1st, complete the form by April 20th, at oaklandsinfronteras.wordpress.com/endorse.

In solidarity,

Oakland Sin Fronteras

--

Sagnicthe Salazar
510-812-1426

"As long as my lungs can breath, I will fight for Justice and Liberation. And when I move to the spirit world, I will continue my work there!"  - anonymous

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "OaklandMayDay" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to oaklandmayday+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com. To post to this group, send email to oaklandmayday@googlegroups.com. To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/oaklandmayday/CAENQQRt07Mu4u5%3DbD%2BrMWAPohv1RDOon5JXqx0LC1LR6Cak1xw%40mail.gmail.com. For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/d/optout.
From: Berkeley Mayor’s Office  
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 9:15 AM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan; Soto-Vigil, Alejandro  
Subject: FW: Resolution No. 12-17 - In support of a Congressional Investigation Regarding the Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump  
Attachments: 12-17 reso in support of a Congressional investigation regarding the impeachment of President Donald J. Trump - Adopted 2-21-17 (2).pdf  
Follow Up Flag: Follow up  
Flag Status: Flagged

Our next item for March 28th

From: Numainville, Mark L.  
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 8:43 AM  
To: All Council <council@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: FW: Resolution No. 12-17 - In support of a Congressional Investigation Regarding the Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump

From the City of Richmond.

Mark Numainville  
City Clerk  
City of Berkeley  
(510) 981-6909

From: Pamela Christian [mailto:pamela_christian@ci.richmond.ca.us]  
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 5:00 PM  
To: Numainville, Mark L. <MNNumainville@cityofberkeley.info>; cityclerk@oaklandnet.com; Cheryl Morse (cmorse@ci.elcerrito.ca.us) <cmorse@ci.elcerrito.ca.us>; racosta@ci.pinole.ca.us; skelly@ci.hercules.ca.us; shartz@emeryville.org; LehnyC@SanPabloCA.gov; Board of Supervisors@sfgov.org; krista.martinelli@ssf.net  
Cc: Sabrina Lundy <Sabrina_Lundy@ci.richmond.ca.us>; Ursula Deloa <udeloa@ci.richmond.ca.us>; Trina Jackson <trina.jackson@ci.richmond.ca.us>  
Subject: Resolution No. 12-17 - In support of a Congressional Investigation Regarding the Impeachment of President Donald J. Trump

Attached please find a certified copy of Resolution No. 12-17, in support of a Congressional investigation regarding the impeachment of President Donald J. Trump, unanimously adopted by the Richmond City Council at its February 21, 2017 meeting.

At the request of the Richmond City Council, a copy of Resolution No. 12-17 is being sent to you to circulate to your respective City Councils recommending that they pass a similar resolution.

Sincerely,
Pamela Christian  
City Clerk  
City of Richmond, City Hall, Suite 300  
450 Civic Center Plaza  P.O. Box 4046  Richmond, CA 94804  
Main Phone: (510) 620-6513  
Fax: (510) 620-6542  Website: www.ci.richmond.ca.us/clerk

Please Note: This message is being sent on a public e-mail system and may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the California Public Records Act. The City Clerk’s Department is prohibited from giving legal advice, per California Business and Professions Code 6125).
RESOLUTION NO. 12-17

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA
IN SUPPORT OF A CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE
IMPEACHMENT OF PRESIDENT DONALD J. TRUMP

WHEREAS, the Foreign Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that “no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under [the United States], shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State;” and,

WHEREAS, the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution provides that, besides the fixed salary for his four-year term, the President “shall not receive within that Period any other Emolument from the United States, or any of them;” and,

WHEREAS, the term “emoluments” includes a broad range of financial benefits, including but not limited to monetary payments, purchase of goods and services even for fair market value, subsidies, tax breaks, extensions of credit, and favorable regulatory treatment; and,

WHEREAS, Donald J. Trump, the President of the United States, owns various business interests and receives various streams of income from all over the world; and,

WHEREAS, many of these businesses receive, and streams of income include, emoluments from foreign governments, states of the United States, or the United States itself; and,

WHEREAS, leading constitutional scholars and government ethics experts warned Donald J. Trump shortly after the November 2016 election that, unless he fully divested his businesses and invested the money in conflict-free assets or a blind trust, he would violate the Constitution from the moment he took office; and,

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2017, nine days before his inauguration, Donald J. Trump announced a plan that would, if carried out, remove him from day-to-day operations of his businesses, but not eliminate any of the ongoing flow of emoluments from foreign governments, state governments, or the United States government; and,

WHEREAS, on January 20, 2017, Donald J. Trump took the oath of office and became President of the United States,

WHEREAS, from the moment he took office, President Trump was in violation of the Foreign Emoluments Clause and the Domestic Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution; and,

WHEREAS, these violations undermine the integrity of the Presidency, corruptly advance the personal wealth of the President, and violate the public trust; and,

WHEREAS, our democracy is premised on the bedrock principle that no one is above the law, not even the President of the United States; and,

WHEREAS, there are considerable, questionable ethnic concerns in terms of the election and President Trump’s selected staff.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Richmond City Council, hereby calls upon the United States House of Representatives to support a resolution authorizing and directing the House Committee on the Judiciary to investigate whether sufficient grounds exist for the impeachment of Donald J. Trump, President of the United States, including but not limited to the violations listed herein; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted officially to the Member of the United States House of Representatives that represents the city, namely, the Honorable Congressman Mark DeSaulnier; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted to all the city clerks of West County cities (El Cerrito, San Pablo, Hercules, Pinole), as well as Berkeley, Albany, Oakland, Emeryville, and San Francisco.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting thereof held on February 21, 2017, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Choi, Martinez, McLaughlin, Myrick, Willis, Vice Mayor Beckles, and Mayor Butt.

NOES: None.

ABSTENTIONS: None.

ABSENT: None.

PAMELA CHRISTIAN
CLERK OF THE CITY OF RICHMOND
(SEAL)

Approved:

TOM BUTT
Mayor

Approved as to form:

BRUCE GOODMILLER
City Attorney

State of California 
County of Contra Costa : ss.
City of Richmond

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of Resolution No. 12-17, finally passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Richmond at a regular meeting held on February 21, 2017.

Pamela Christian, Clerk of the City of Richmond
Hi Frances, below is my response to your questions about the Brietbart story. I am happy to also talk over the phone tomorrow morning if you have any additional questions. You can reach me on my cell phone (510) 646-2852.

On social media, following or liking pages does not mean you support what that group is doing, and the folks at Brietbart know that, but they are in the business of misinformation. I am not a member of BAMN, and I do not support the views and the violent actions of that group.

I follow Donald Trump on Twitter to stay up to date on what he’s saying. Would Brietbart suggest that makes me a Trump supporter? I was following this particular group on Facebook so I could stay up to date on what they are up to. I condemn in the harshest terms the violent actions that BAMN has employed.

We're a progressive city, and we do have a rich history of protest. While we cherish freedom of speech, there is no freedom to commit violence. Those who do commit violent acts must be arrested. I am working with the police department ahead of Ann Coulter's upcoming visit to make sure we keep our city safe. And our police are doing a great job in the face of a tough situation.

Brietbart did not contact me for comment before they released this inaccurate story. They are not real news so fact checking is not necessary I guess.
Since this article and in the lead up to Ann Coulter's visit to Berkeley this week there has been an increase in hate emails, tweets and phone calls to my office. Brietbart and other right wing blogs have broadcasted out this misinformation, as a means to advance their narrative that Berkeley is hostile to their right to engage in freedom of speech. In fact the exact opposite is the case. We have gone above and beyond to facilitate the right of people to engage in peaceful assembly and freedom of speech. What we have seen however is groups using "free speech" as an opportunity to engage in violence. I strongly condemn those that wish to use violence rather than debate and exchange of ideas. We will work to keep our community safe and arrest and prosecute those who commit violent acts.

On Sat, Apr 22, 2017 at 3:35 PM, Frances Dinkelspiel <fdinkelspiel@gmail.com> wrote:

I was going to ignore this when it was just going around on Twitter but now that Breitbart News has written an article accusing you of being a BAMN member I need to ask.

Are you a member of BAMN? Why do you follow them or why did you join them on Facebook? What do you think of BAMN's tactics?

What has been happening to you in recent weeks? You got death threats after the Milo demos. Are you still being threatened? What do you think of this Breitbart article?

http://www.breitbart.com/tech/2017/04/21/berkeley-mayor-is-member-of-antifa-facebook-group-that-organized-riots/

Frances Dinkelspiel

Author, *Tangled Vines: Greed, Murder, Obsession and an Arsonist in the Vineyards of California*  
A New York Times bestseller


Co-founder *Berkeleyside*, winner of the SPJ "Best Community News Site," two years running

510-984-2366  
FrancesDinkelspiel.com  
Twitter: @Frannydink
--
Jesse Arreguin
Mayor, City of Berkeley
510.646.2852 cell
510.981.7100 office
www.jessearreguin.com

--
Jesse Arreguin
Mayor, City of Berkeley
510.646.2852 cell
510.981.7100 office
www.jessearreguin.com
From: Moni Law <monitlaw@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 7:45 PM
To: robledoah@gmail.com; Alejandro Soto-Vigil; Elgstrand, Stefan
Cc: Mike Hoey; Phoebe Anne Sorgen
Subject: Fwd: [neighbors] Pro- and anti-Trump rallies, This Saturday, Civic Center Park

Do you have updates on the peaceful action? My friends Mike Hoey and Phoebe Sorgen want to join the training and positive presence .. I got a little out of the loop.

Moni

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: <mikehoey@earthlink.net>
Date: February 26, 2017 at 7:13:21 PM PST
To: neighbors@centralberkeley.org
Subject: [neighbors] Pro- and anti-Trump rallies, This Saturday, Civic Center Park
Reply-To: mikehoey@earthlink.net, Central Berkeley Preparedness Group
<neighbors@centralberkeley.org>

Hi Neighbors:

There’s a Trump rally in Downtown Berkeley this coming Saturday. It’s an obvious political ploy by the radical right to provoke violence from protesters for the purpose of making the left look unreasonable to centrist Americans. I sincerely believe that those involved with BAMN (the group ‘By Any Means Necessary’ whose stated goal is to shut down the rally) will be demonized in the national media if they take the bait and violate free speech laws by forcibly shutting down a political gathering. Call me if you want, I’m planning to hook up with peaceful protesters at 12 noon at Milvia and Center Sts.

Thank you!
Mike
(510) 848-8857

_____________________________________________
neighbors mailing list
neighbors@centralberkeley.org
http://centralberkeley.org/mailman/listinfo/neighbors_centralberkeley.org
From: Olivares, Gloria <Gloria.Olivares@abc.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 7:45 AM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
Cc: Lopez, Ron T. -ND; Huntingon, James; Walsh, Ed; Stuerenberg, Shari
Subject: Good morning Re: Mayor death threats

Importance: High

Good morning,

Hope all is well. We would like to know if the article on Berkeleyside can be confirmed that the Mayor has received death threats after his remarks about Milo Yiannopoulos. Here is the link to the article:

Thank you for your time,

Gloria

GLORIA OLIVARES • ASSIGNMENT EDITOR
900 Front Street • San Francisco, CA 94111
W: (415) 954-7321 •
From: Julia Wong <julia.wong@theguardian.com>
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 12:02 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: Interview request for Mayor Arreguin

Hi Stefan,

I'm a reporter with the Guardian, based in San Francisco. I'm working on an article about how Berkeley has become a flashpoint for clashes between the alt-right and anti-fascist activists. I'd love to interview Mayor Arreguin for his perspective on these ongoing controversies – I see that he is being targeted (again?) by the right-wing blogosphere, and I'd also be interested to hear how that experience may be coloring his perspective.

Is it possible to set up a phone interview today, tomorrow, or Wednesday?

Thanks so much,

Julia Wong

--

Julia Carrie Wong  
Reporter  
Guardian News & Media  
646-935-9101  
julia.wong@theguardian.com  
PGP public key  
@juliacarriew

This e-mail and all attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the named recipient, please notify the sender and delete the e-mail and all attachments immediately. Do not disclose the contents to another person. You may not use the information for any purpose, or store, or copy, it in any way. Guardian News & Media Limited is not liable for any computer viruses or other material transmitted with or as part of this e-mail. You should employ virus checking software.

Guardian News & Media Limited is a member of Guardian Media Group plc. Registered Office: PO Box 68164, Kings Place, 90 York Way, London, N1P 2AP. Registered in England Number 908396
Good afternoon, Stefan!

My name is Maybelle Caro. I'm a junior at Berkeley High School and staff writer to my school newspaper the Jacket.

For our upcoming issue, I'll be writing a story about the involvement of the FBI in investigations of the riots spurred by invited UCB guest speaker Milo Yiannopoulos.

Given this story has been prominent in Berkeley news, I would like to respectfully reach out and ask to interview Mr. Arreguin. Can we please schedule a call or in person interview? Whatever works best. I am on a strict deadline so please let me know as soon as you can.

Have a great weekend! Hope to hear from you soon.
Best,
Maybelle Caro

--
Maybelle Caro
Berkeley High School
Class of 2018
From: Christian Fong <christianfong1997@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Monday, March 13, 2017 2:10 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: Invitation to Participate in a Legal Panel on Free Speech

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Stefan Elgstrand,

My name is Christian Fong and I am currently an undergraduate student at the University of California, Berkeley. I am part of the Eta Class of Kappa Alpha Pi, a co-ed pre-law fraternity on campus. We are currently planning to host a legal forum on the topic of free speech. As the home of the Free Speech Movement, Berkeley has always been associated with free speech. We are currently planning to host a legal forum on the topic of free speech. As the home of the Free Speech Movement, Berkeley has always been associated with free speech. Recently, the violent protests over the Milo Yiannopoulos speech on campus and the current controversies surrounding the ideas of a free press has made the definition of free speech an uncertain question.

We would like to invite Mayor Jesse Arreguin to this panel. As the mayor of the city of Berkeley, his input would be invaluable. Free speech is an important issue in any place, but due to Berkeley's historical significance in the Free Speech Movement, it would be interesting to see the perspective and opinions of the current mayor on the state of free speech. His experience condemning Mr. Yiannopoulos' presence at Berkeley, and the backlash that followed, would give fascinating insight in the constraints and limitations on free speech.

The legal forum will be held on Tuesday, April 4th, from 7–8:30pm, in Boalt 105 at the University of California, Berkeley. We would love to feature Mayor Arreguin. Please let me know if he would be able to attend, or if you have any other questions or concerns. Thank you for your consideration, and I hope to see Mayor Arreguin soon.

Sincerely,
Christian Fong

--

Christian Fong
University of California, Berkeley | Class of 2020
Society and Environment | 310.405.2082
Dear Stefan,

My name is Daniel Fields and I’m with the Progressive Student Association at UC Berkeley. I believe we met during the Bernie Reno trip last year.

Anyway, Matthew spoke to you briefly over the phone at our Saturday board meeting regarding our interest in hosting Jesse to speak on what it means to be a progressive mayor in the era of Trump. I believe a fitting venue for him to deliver this message would be at the Free Speech Movement cafe on campus. They host 3-5 speaking events per semester centered around timely, informative, and compelling issues which exemplify the spirit of critical engagement of Berkeley's Free Speech Movement. These events are open to the public (capacity of 100) and are catered by the cafe. PSA would be the campus sponsor of the event. Given Trump’s demonizing rhetoric toward media outlets and government officials who disagree with him, this event would be a powerful display of free speech within our local community of Berkeley.

So, would Jesse and the rest of y’all be interested in setting something like this up? I have attached the event application in case you want to take a closer look as to what it entails. If it works out, shoot me some dates (6 weeks from now and beyond) that would work for y’all and I’ll pass it on to the rest of the folks at PSA to get the ball rolling.

Thanks for your consideration!

Best,
Daniel Fields

http://www.lib.berkeley.edu/about/fsprograms
From: DANIEL PAUL FIELDS <dpfields@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 1:14 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: Jesse FSM Cafe Event

Dear Stefan,

Now that the event is a week out, I am writing to ensure that everyone is on the same page. We (PSA) were hoping that Jesse would begin the event by giving a speech on what it means to be a progressive mayor in the Trump era in regard to certain issues like sanctuary cities, environmentalism, and social justice, as well as touching on ways students can get involved in local government. After that, there will be a Q&A portion. Is that what y’all were planning on, or would you like to approach it differently?

Best,
Daniel
From: Elgstrand, Stefan
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 7:35 AM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
c: dmogulof@berkeley.edu
Subject: Joint Statement from UC Berkeley Chancellor Dirks and Berkeley Mayor Arreguín
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
April 27, 2017

Contact:
Stefan Elgstrand  Dan Mogulof
Assistant to the Mayor  Assistant Vice Chancellor,
Public Affairs  Vice Chancellor,
(510) 981-7103  (510) 919-6954
selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  dmogoluf@berkeley.edu

Chancellor Nicholas Dirks of the University of California, Berkeley, and Mayor Jesse Arreguín of the City of Berkeley today released the following joint statement:

The values of openness, equity, diversity and freedom of speech are deeply enshrined in our community. Both the City of Berkeley and the University of California have been at the forefront of free speech and continue to do so to this day. We have worked on finding solutions that ensure that those who wish to speak are able to do so in a safe space. What we will not do is allow our students, other members of the campus community, and the public to be needlessly endangered by permitting an event to be held in a venue that our police force does not believe to be protectable. Creating an environment that prevents violence is not censorship, rather it is protection of free speech. Ann Coulter did not take up the University’s offer to have the event held at a time where we could ensure safety. To be clear, the decision to cancel the speech was that of Coulter, not the University.

We have gone above and beyond to protect freedom of speech, contrary to many misleading reports. While we cherish our freedoms of speech and assembly, there is no freedom to silence others or to commit violence. If you are at a demonstration and you see violence, separate yourself. Keep a distance from violence. If you can do so safely, report it to police. The City and University stands together in our commitment to protect the fundamental principles of democracy — freedom of expression, thought and peaceful assembly, and we call on everyone to do the same.

Chancellor Nicholas Dirks
Mayor Jesse Arreguin

###
From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 5:29 PM  
To: 'Jason Overman'  
Subject: Joint Statement from UC Berkeley Chancellor Dirks and Berkeley Mayor Arreguin
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
April 27, 2017

Contact:
Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
(510) 981-7103
selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info

Dan Mogulof
Assistant Vice Chancellor, Public Affairs
(510) 919-6954
dmogoluf@berkeley.edu

Chancellor Nicholas Dirks of the University of California, Berkeley, and Mayor Jesse Arreguín of the City of Berkeley today released the following joint statement:

The values of openness, equity, diversity and freedom of speech are deeply enshrined in our community. Both the City of Berkeley and the University of California have been at the forefront of free speech and continue to do so to this day. We have worked on finding solutions that ensure that those who wish to speak are able to do so in a safe space. What we will not do is allow our students, other members of the campus community, and the public to be needlessly endangered by permitting an event to be held in a venue that our police force does not believe to be protectable. Creating an environment that prevents violence is not censorship, rather it is protection of free speech. Ann Coulter did not take up the University’s offer to have the event held at a time where we could ensure safety. To be clear, the decision to cancel the speech was that of Coulter, not the University.

We have gone above and beyond to protect freedom of speech, contrary to many misleading reports. While we cherish our freedoms of speech and assembly, there is no freedom to silence others or to commit violence. If you are at a demonstration and you see violence, separate yourself. Keep a distance from violence. If you can do so safely, report it to police. The City and University stands together in our commitment to protect the fundamental principles of democracy — freedom of expression, thought and peaceful assembly, and we call on everyone to do the same.

Chancellor Nicholas Dirks
Mayor Jesse Arreguín

###

Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.
From: Thomas Lord <lord@basiscraft.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 11:23 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: know thy opponent: understanding the alt-right

You know the first rule of holes, right? "1. When stuck deep in a hole, stop digging!"?

I hope you both take a moment to read this. I think some misstatements from the Mayor’s office today increased the threats of violence to residence of Berkeley.

I feel as though there has been a lot of gaffes from the Mayor’s office today. Here, just in one Facebook status update:

1. Asserting that the property damage was caused by opponents of Milo. Mistake: the assertion is speculation and lacks foundation. The mayor had no need to say one way or the other the motivation of anyone involved.

2. Needlessly declaring that property destruction and violence contradict specifically "progressive" values. Exact quote: "Destruction and violence are contrary to progressive values" Mistake: Framing the debate around the question -- do progressive really oppose violence and property discussion? The alt-right has taken this ball an is running with it. The mayor is perfectly entitled to say simply that property destruction and violence are bad and leave it at that.

3. Trying to slap a brand label on an opponent you clearly have not researched much at all. Revised quote: "featuring a prominent alt-rightist"; earlier, apparently it was "white nationalist". You can not make a critique of the alt-right if you don’t know much about them.

The mayor had no obligation label Milo at all. Wanting to condemn Milo, the mayor should have stuck to specific facts about things Milo has done and has been documented as doing.

I could go on. You may think that this is no big deal. After all, the alt-right are a bunch of wing-nuts, right? Wrong. There are two serious problems, one of which has serious public safety implications.

Consequences of gaffes like these:

(a) By showing this kind of stumbling and by playing so directly into alt-right rhetorical traps, you have inadvertently helped to promote Berkeley as a prize target for further alt-right harassment, which I will bet you right here and now will escalate in violence.

Forget politics: these kinds of rhetorical mistakes help the alt-right to incite violence against Berkeley.

(b) The alt-right -- who have an army of very smart people for this kind of thing -- will be arming your Berkeley-resident political opponents with arguments and rhetoric for years to come. Worse: they are very good at it.

I have had a lot of experience dealing with right wing-nut trolls. I have some exposure to and a kind of respect of (as one might respect an angry cobra), the very sophisticated ideological theorists of the alt-right.

I wonder if why might sit down some time, for a spell, and discuss how better to handle the PR side of this and future similar situations.
I'm certainly not an expert in that - but I have the impression I might could help.

-t
Good morning Mr. Elgstrand,

We were wondering if the Mayor may be available for an interview today about the events that took place at UC Berkeley last night with the Miloš event. We would love to get his take on camera if we could.

Many thanks in advance.

Ryan Quintana
KPIX

---

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
February 2, 2017
Contact: Stefan Elgstrand, Assistant to the Mayor
(510) 981-7103
SELgstrand@cityofberkeley.info

STATEMENT ON BERKELEY PROTEST

Destruction and violence are contrary to progressive values and have no place in our community. I support those who peacefully come together in pursuit of a just and inclusive country that stands united with our immigrant population and the many others who are being targeted in this national political climate. Unfortunately, last night, a small minority of the protesters who had assembled in opposition to a speaking engagement featuring a prominent white nationalist engaged in violence and property damage. They also provided the ultranationalist far right exactly the images they want to use to try to discredit the vast majority of peaceful protesters in Berkeley and across America who are deeply concerned about where our country is heading. I represent a city that stands united for community, for inclusion, and for a peaceful dialogue about the issues, and that stands united against bigotry, united against fear mongering, and united against violence towards anyone. For our community to be a
beacon of light in these dark times, we must display our values of inclusion, keep each other and our community safe, embrace our right to peacefully assemble, and show the rest of the country our values in both speech and in action.
Hi Stefan,

We were wondering if Mayor Arreguin and his office might have a comment on the upcoming "Spirit of America Rally" sponsored by March 4 Trump, including perhaps a comment on Milo Yiannopoulos who, at least until recently, was sort of a subtheme of the upcoming march, in light of his cancelled Feb. 1 event at UC Berkeley.

Thanks in advance.

--

Tom Lochner reporter | Editorial
tlochner@bayareanewsgroup.com
510-262-2760 Direct
@tomlochner

bayareanewsgroup.com
Over 5 million engaged readers
weekly
Good afternoon Stefan,

Does Mayor Arreguin have any comment on the upcoming March 4 Trump, both in general and in light of possible disturbances given what happened with the Milo Yiannopoulos event at Cal on Feb. 1?

Thanks in advance.
BERKELEY CITY COUNCIL TO VOTE ON OPPOSING REPEAL OF AFFORDABLE CARE ACT

MEDIA ADVISORY
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
Photo/Video Opportunity
January 27, 2017

Contact: Stefan Elgstrand, Assistant to Mayor Arreguín
(510) 981-7103 selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info

BERKELEY, Calif. (Jan. 27, 2017)—The Berkeley City Council is expected to pass a Resolution introduced by Mayor Jesse Arreguín and Councilmember Sophie Hahn opposing a repeal or roll-back of the Affordable Care Act. Additionally, a proclamation celebrating the 44th anniversary of Roe v Wade will be presented. This comes as the Trump Administration vows to eliminate the ACA and defund Planned Parenthood, which will cause millions to lose healthcare and reproductive health coverage.

WHEN: Tuesday, January 31, 2017
7 p.m.

WHERE: Berkeley Old City Hall – Council Chambers, 2nd Floor
2134 Martin Luther King Jr Way
Berkeley, CA 94704

WHAT: Vote on resolution opposing the repeal of the Affordable Care Act. Presentation of proclamation celebrating anniversary of Roe v Wade.

WHO: Berkeley City Council
Hi Mayor Arreguín,

My name is Rob Shimshock and I'm a reporter with The Daily Caller News Foundation. I'm writing a story regarding your prior membership of the Facebook group By Any Means Necessary and a comment provided to The Los Angeles Times, which I've pasted below, and I was wondering if you would like to elaborate on this quote or otherwise provide comment. Please let me know by 2:30PM EST.

"It's not lost on me that I'm Berkeley's first Latino mayor. I have been outspoken against the Trump administration," he said. "I have to wonder if the mayor was white, would we see such hate."

Thanks,

Rob Shimshock
Education Reporter

rob@dailycallernewsfoundation.org  |  571-236-7459  |  @ShimshockAndAwe
Hello,

Brendan from NBC Bay Area here. I was hoping to obtain a statement from Mayor Arreguin about the event tonight in Berkeley about supporting an investigation to impeach President Trump.

Please let me know if you can pass anything along.

Thanks!

Brendan Weber
Digital Editor
NBC Bay Area

NBC BAY AREA
From: Ruben Lizardo <rlizardo@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 11:05 AM
To: prvs=2291c5d8f9=selgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
Subject: Out of Office RE: UC Berkeley Chancellor Statement re 4.27.17

Hello,

As you may know the campus is the focus of major demonstrations today. So there is a good chance that I will not be able to respond to requests in a timely manner today.

If you are calling with an urgent matter, please contact Jen Loy, Assistant Director of Local Government and Community Relations by email: jenloy@berkeley.edu or by telephone: 510-643-7860.

Otherwise count on me to return your email tomorrow.

Ruben Lizardo

--
Ruben Lizardo
Director Local Government and Community Relations
Office of the Chancellor
University of California, Berkeley
2130 Center Street, Suite 200
Berkeley, CA 94720-4208
510-643-5296
Hello Jesse & Stefan,

I hope you are doing well and the new office is coming along fine!

I am writing to you regarding the P&J Commission. I was looking at the roster and I found that the Mayor's appointment was vacant at the moment. Being an international student, I feel that I could channel a lot of my identity and work through that commission into the city. We could potentially be leading the stances against Trump's international policies.

I would love to be considered for an appointment to the commission. Over last summer, I even spoke to Alex Mabanta about the requirements of being a commissioner and the kind of work P&J does. I would be down to come to the office and interview!

Hope you can consider me!

Thank you,
Harshil
--
Harshil Bansal
University of California, Berkeley
Class of 2019
Economics | Political Science

Political Director | Cal Berkeley Democrats
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
January 25, 2017

BAY AREA MAYORS RESPOND COLLECTIVELY TO TRUMP ADMINISTRATION IMMIGRATION POLICY

Today, the mayors of the Bay Area’s three largest cities, Oakland, San Francisco and San Jose, and the City of Berkeley spoke out against President Trump’s executive order on immigration. They reaffirmed their commitment to working together to address the many challenges the region faces from growing income inequality, lack of affordable housing, better education outcomes, job creation and transportation infrastructure improvement.

Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín, San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee, San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo and Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf also vowed to take a regional approach to combat the impacts of any threatened cuts in federal funding that would adversely affect the nearly two and half million residents of diverse backgrounds who reside in their cities.

“The Bay Area stands united against this White House’s morally bankrupt policies that would divide families, turn our nation’s back on refugees in need, and potentially thwart the efforts of nearly one million productive young people who are on a legal path to citizenship. Oaklanders rely on $130 million in federal funding for everything from early education programs like Head Start to getting officers out of their cars and onto our streets at a time when community policing is so desperately needed. We will not allow this president to play politics with our safety and security.” – Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf

“Nothing about the President’s Executive Order will change how San Jose cops police our city. The San Jose Police Department’s longstanding policies relating to immigration enforcement are critical to keeping our community safe. Our police officers must focus their scarce time responding to and investigating violent, predatory and other high-priority crimes – not the enforcement of federal tax laws, federal securities laws, or federal immigration laws. There’s a broad consensus among major city police chiefs that having local officers meddle in
federal immigration enforcement undermines public safety, and diminishes community trust. We need to ensure that all residents feel comfortable calling 911, reporting crimes, coming forward as witnesses, and testifying in court to help us keep criminals off the street.” – San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo

“The Bay Area is home to millions of people who have sought refuge and a chance at a better life. As mayors, we stand together in our responsibility to keep our cities safe and healthy and take care of all our residents and families, regardless of status. We will not give in to threats, or political grandstanding. Together, the Bay Area will stay true to our values of inclusiveness, compassion and equality, and united against any and all efforts to divide our residents, our cities, and our country.” – San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee

“Our values of human rights, equity, and inclusion have come under attack by the Trump Administration. In just two days, Trump has pushed a divisive wall, stripped our citizens of civil liberties, and cut funding to cities that have the courage to stand up for all people – whether or not they are legal citizens. We will not be intimidated by threats to cut funding to cities that believe in the fundamental notion that no person is illegal. No amount of federal funding is worth betraying our values.” – Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín

###

Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

February 1, 2017

Contact: Stefan Elgstrand, Assistant to the Mayor
(510) 981-7103
SElgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us

BERKELEY TO WASHINGTON: DON’T MAKE AMERICA SICK AGAIN

Berkeley City Council passes a Resolution opposing the repeal of the Affordable Care Act, proclaims support of Roe v Wade

(Berkeley, CA) – Last night, the Berkeley City Council voted to adopt a Resolution in opposition to a repeal or roll back of the Affordable Care Act. The council item, introduced by Mayor Jesse Arreguin and Councilmember Sophie Hahn, passed unanimously. The Trump Administration has already made steps to repeal the ACA, despite having no plan for a replacement.

“Trump’s Alternative Facts may claim the ACA is a job killer, but the real facts show in California alone, the ACA is responsible for the creation of over 200,000 jobs” said Mayor Arreguin. “It’s ironic that the President’s rhetoric calls for the protection of Americans, yet he is willing to remove healthcare protections for millions of Americans.”

Councilmember Hahn said that “taking away our healthcare does not make America great again, it makes America sick again. We can’t have tens of millions of Americans lose coverage, or go back to the days of being denied for pre-existing conditions, removing caps to out-of-pocket expenses, or having lifetime limits. It’s inhumane, and unacceptable.”
The item was inspired by an email from San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee to Mayor Arreguin, urging Berkeley and other cities to raise their voice in opposition to a repeal of the ACA. The mayors have also worked together on Sanctuary City policies, along with other mayors in the region.

Additionally, the Berkeley City Council last week voted on a proclamation celebrating the 44th anniversary of Roe v Wade. The annual proclamation, which will be presented at the February 14th Council meeting, comes at a time when the federal government has made actions to restrict access to reproductive services.

“With threats of cuts to Planned Parenthood and restrictions to safe, reproductive healthcare, now more than ever is it important to reaffirm our commitment to preserving the right to choose under Roe V Wade, and to oppose laws that threaten to undermine a woman’s right to sexual freedom and self-determination” said Councilmember Susan Wengraf, who introduced the Proclamation.

Mayor Jesse Arreguin is available for interviews.

###
Office of the Mayor
Jesse Arreguín
Mayor

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
March 29, 2017

Contact:
Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
(510) 981-7103
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info

BERKELEY PLACES PRESIDENT TRUMP ON NOTICE

Berkeley City Council votes to support an investigation to impeach
Donald Trump

(Berkeley, CA) – In a unanimous vote, the Berkeley City Council approved a Resolution that calls upon the House of Representatives to begin an investigation for the impeachment of President Donald Trump. The Resolution, introduced by Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín, makes it the third and largest Bay Area City to pass such a Resolution, with the Cities of Richmond and Alameda previously passing similar calls for impeachment.

Berkeley, a Sanctuary City, has been an epicenter of resistance against the Trump administration. Two weeks ago, Berkeley became the first city in the country to divest from companies involved in the construction of Trump’s proposed border wall. The Council has also voted to oppose any registry based on ethnicity or religion, and condemned the Muslim ban which has since been overturned by the Courts. Despite threats of cuts to federal funding, the Mayor and Council have reaffirmed its commitment as a Sanctuary City.
On the Resolution, Mayor Arreguín said “from undermining Freedom of Press to the conspicuous connections with Russian officials, Trump’s actions have served to destabilize American democracy. His attempts to threaten extortion on Sanctuary Cities and create a Muslim Ban defies American values. Furthermore, many of his actions have served to advance his business both domestically and abroad, in direct violation of the Emoluments Clause of the US Constitution. It is our duty to hold the President accountable, and this Resolution serves as a notice that we will not be silent.”

The Resolution focuses on the Emoluments Clause, which prevents companies owned by an elected official from making deals with foreign governments. Lawsuits have been filed against the President for failing to fully divest from his companies while in office. The Resolution also points out various instances of abuse of executive powers, assaults on the free press, Russian communications, unlawful threats, and other ethical concerns that have been raised during the past two months.

Mayor Jesse Arreguín is available for interviews.

###
Hello,

The Mayor of Berkeley is interested in attending the morning session on Saturday March 18th regarding the first 100 days of the Trump Presidency with the National Council of Elders. We were hoping if there could be a minute or two (maybe at the beginning or end of this session) to present a proclamation to PSR congratulating you on your 150th anniversary. Do you think this is something that can be arranged? Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
Hello Heather,

Unfortunately the Mayor is unavailable, but here is a statement regarding this issue:

On social media, following or liking pages does not mean you support what that group is doing, and the folks at Breitbart know that, but they are in the business of misinformation. I am not a member of BAMN, and I do not support the views and the violent actions of that group.

I follow Donald Trump on Twitter to stay up to date on what he’s saying. Would Breitbart suggest that makes me a Trump supporter? I was following this particular group on Facebook so I could stay up to date on what they are up to. I condemn in the harshest terms the violent actions that BAMN has employed.

We’re a progressive city, and we do have a rich history of protest. While we cherish freedom of speech, there is no freedom to commit violence. Those who do commit violent acts must be arrested. I am working with the police department ahead of Ann Coulter’s upcoming visit to make sure we keep our city safe. And our police are doing a great job in the face of a tough situation.

Breitbart did not contact me for comment before they released this inaccurate story. They are not real news so fact checking is not necessary I guess.

Since this article and in the lead up to Ann Coulter’s visit to Berkeley this week there has been an increase in hate emails, tweets and phone calls to my office. Breitbart and other right wing blogs have broadcasted out this misinformation, as a means to advance their narrative that Berkeley is hostile to their right to engage in freedom of speech. In fact the exact opposite is the case. We have gone above and beyond to facilitate the right of people to engage in peaceful assembly and freedom of speech. What we have seen however is groups using "free speech" as an opportunity to engage in violence. I strongly condemn those that wish to use violence rather than debate and exchange of ideas. We will work to keep our community safe and arrest and prosecute those who commit violent acts.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
Hi Heather at KGO here
Just left a voice mail message - we are on air until 7pm and VERY MUCH welcome the Mayor's call.
Best,
Heather

Heather Hamann
Producer - Chip Franklin
415-627-8155
@chipfranklin

DRIVE 4-7PM!
Hi. Can you or Jesse give me a call about his apology to Milo? Thx.

Raquel Maria Dillon
Digital Video Reporter/Producer
NBCBayArea.com
408-687-8462 @RaquelMDillon

From: Elgstrand, Stefan [mailto:SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 11:09 AM
Subject: RE: Berkeley Mayor’s Statement on Last Night’s Protest

The Statement sent out at 6:58am PST has been revised to provide updated information.

Office of the Mayor

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
February 2, 2017
Contact: Stefan Elgstrand, Assistant to the Mayor
(510) 981-7103
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info

STATEMENT ON BERKELEY PROTEST

Destruction and violence are contrary to progressive values and have no place in our community. I support those who peacefully come together in pursuit of a just and inclusive country that stands united with our immigrant population and the many others who are being targeted in this national political climate.

Unfortunately, last night, a small minority of the protesters who had assembled in opposition to a speaking engagement featuring a prominent alt-rightist engaged in violence and property damage. They also provided the ultra-nationalist far right exactly the images they want to use
to try to discredit the vast majority of peaceful protesters in Berkeley and across America who are deeply concerned about where our country is heading.

The decision to invite the speaker and cancel the speech was done by the University, and not the City of Berkeley. The strategy deployed by the police was not my decision, but the decision of the department based on professional judgment of the police department. They did an incredible job under these circumstances and prevented further violence.

I represent a city that stands united for community, for inclusion, and for a peaceful dialogue about the issues, and that stands united against bigotry, united against fear mongering, and united against violence towards anyone. For our community to be a beacon of light in these dark times, we must display our values of inclusion, keep each other and our community safe, embrace our right to peacefully assemble, and show the rest of the country our values in both speech and in action.
Here is my response to your questions:

----

On social media, following or liking pages does not mean you support what that group is doing, and the folks at Breitbart know that, but they are in the business of misinformation. I am not a member of BAMN, and I do not support the views and the violent actions of that group.

I follow Donald Trump on Twitter to stay up to date on what he’s saying. Would Breitbart suggest that makes me a Trump supporter? I was following this particular group on Facebook so I could stay up to date on what they are up to. I condemn in the harshest terms the violent actions that BAMN has employed.

We’re a progressive city, and we do have a rich history of protest. While we cherish freedom of speech, there is no freedom to commit violence. Those who do commit violent acts must be arrested. I am working with the police department ahead of Ann Coulter's upcoming visit to make sure we keep our city safe. And our police are doing a great job in the face of a tough situation.

Breitbart did not contact me for comment before they released this inaccurate story. They are not real news so fact checking is not necessary I guess.

Since this article and in the lead up to Ann Coulter's visit to Berkeley this week there has been an increase in hate emails, tweets and phone calls to my office. Breitbart and other right wing blogs have broadcasted out this misinformation, as a means to advance their narrative that Berkeley is hostile to their right to engage in freedom of speech. In fact the exact opposite is the case. We have gone above and beyond to facilitate the right of people to engage in peaceful assembly and freedom of speech. What we have seen however is groups using "free speech" as an opportunity to engage in violence. I strongly condemn those that wish to use violence rather than debate and exchange of ideas. We will work to keep our community safe and arrest and prosecute those who commit violent acts.

From: Christine Lee [mailto:christinelee@dailycal.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 10:46 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>; Elstrand, Stefan <SElstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Daily Californian Urgent Interview Request

Dear Berkeley Mayor Mr. Jesse Arreguin,

My name is Christine Lee and I am a writer for the Daily Californian. I am currently writing a story that strives to cover misconceptions surrounding the Ann Coulter appearance.
There have been allegations that you are a part of Antifa, or more specifically BAMN, and are friends with their leader Yvette Felarca on Facebook.

I understand you are making efforts to prioritize the safety of Berkeley's residents and uphold the First Amendment. I would highly appreciate some responses to these allegations:

- Can you confirm or deny these rumors for me?
- Where do you think these rumors came from?
- How do you respond to these rumors?

I would appreciate a brief phone interview anytime this morning or afternoon. If not, an email response to these questions would be appreciated.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Regards,

--
Christine Jay Lee
The Daily Californian
News Reporter
Cell 213.700.7308
christinelee@dailycal.org
Hello Christine,

Below is a statement that we originally sent to Berkeleyside who asked similar questions. To briefly answer your questions, the Mayor is not a member of BAMN, and it was Breitbart that reported the story after Gavin McInnes, founder of the Proud Boys, made a tweet about it (https://twitter.com/Gavin_McInnes/status/855457030190092288). Here is how the Proud Boys describe themselves on their Facebook Page: “The Proud Boys are a fraternal organization founded on a system of beliefs and values of minimal government, maximum freedom, anti-political correctness, anti-racial guilt, pro-gun rights, anti-Drug War, closed borders, anti-masturbation, venerating entrepreneurs, venerating housewives, and reinstating a spirit of Western chauvinism during an age of globalism and multiculturalism.”

On social media, following or liking pages does not mean you support what that group is doing, and the folks at Breitbart know that, but they are in the business of misinformation. I am not a member of BAMN, and I do not support the views and the violent actions of that group.

I follow Donald Trump on Twitter to stay up to date on what he’s saying. Would Breitbart suggest that makes me a Trump supporter? I was following this particular group on Facebook so I could stay up to date on what they are up to. I condemn in the harshest terms the violent actions that BAMN has employed.

We’re a progressive city, and we do have a rich history of protest. While we cherish freedom of speech, there is no freedom to commit violence. Those who do commit violent acts must be arrested. I am working with the police department ahead of Ann Coulter’s upcoming visit to make sure we keep our city safe. And our police are doing a great job in the face of a tough situation.

Briertab did not contact me for comment before they released this inaccurate story. They are not real news so fact checking is not necessary i guess.

Since this article and in the lead up to Ann Coulter’s visit to Berkeley this week there has been an increase in hate emails, tweets and phone calls to my office. Briertab and other right wing blogs have broadcasted out this misinformation, as a means to advance their narrative that Berkeley is hostile to their right to engage in freedom of speech. In fact the exact opposite is the case. We have gone above and beyond to facilitate the right of people to engage in peaceful assembly and freedom of speech. What we have seen however is groups using “free speech” as an opportunity to engage in violence. I strongly condemn those that wish to use violence rather than debate and exchange of ideas. We will work to keep our community safe and arrest and prosecute those who commit violent acts.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElstrand@cityofberkeley.info
Dear Berkeley Mayor Mr. Jesse Arreguin,

My name is Christine Lee and I am a writer for the Daily Californian. I am currently writing a story that strives to cover misconceptions surrounding the Ann Coulter appearance.

There have been allegations that you are a part of Antifa, or more specifically BAMN, and are friends with their leader Yvette Felarca on Facebook.

I understand you are making efforts to prioritize the safety of Berkeley's residents and uphold the First Amendment. I would highly appreciate some responses to these allegations:

- Can you confirm or deny these rumors for me?
- Where do you think these rumors came from?
- How do you respond to these rumors?

I would appreciate a brief phone interview anytime this morning or afternoon. If not, an email response to these questions would be appreciated.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Regards,

--
Christine Jay Lee
The Daily Californian
News Reporter
Cell 213.700.7308
christinelee@dailycal.org
From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 12:23 PM  
To: 'Chen, Max'  
Subject: RE: Federal Funding  

Hi Max,

Looks like our best availability will be on Friday, 2/3 at either 1:30 or 3pm. If those times don’t work, we can look at the following week.

Please send the Congresswoman our thanks for standing up to Trump’s outrageous policies.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

---

From: Chen, Max [mailto:Max.Chen@mail.house.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 12:17 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Federal Funding  

Hi Stefan,

Thanks for your help with this. Does the mayor have any good availability just for a short meeting (30 minutes, tops) sometime in the next two weeks?

Thanks,

Max

---

From: Arreguin, Jesse L. [mailto:JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 12:11 PM  
To: Chen, Max  
Cc: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Subject: RE: Federal Funding  

Hi Max, absolutely. I would be happy to meet with you and Joshua. I am cc’ing my scheduler to set up a time.

---

From: Chen, Max [mailto:Max.Chen@mail.house.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 12:10 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Federal Funding
Thank you very much, Mayor Arreguin.

On an unrelated note, our office has a new District Director, Joshua Quigley, and he and I would both love to have a quick meeting with you sometime soon. Please let me know if that is something that you would be open to!

Thanks again,

Max Chen
Congressional Aide
Office of Congresswoman Barbara Lee (CA-13)
United States House of Representatives
1301 Clay Street, Suite 1000N
Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: 1 (510) 763-0370
Fax: 1 (510) 763-6538
Max.Chen@mail.house.gov

From: Arreguin, Jesse L. [mailto:JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 12:09 PM
To: Chen, Max
Subject: FW: Federal Funding

Mayor-elect Arreguín,

I have attached a 2-page memo that outlines all of the federal funding received by the City of Berkeley for FY 2015. It includes grants as well as pass throughs from the state and the county. Detail for which programs are potentially affected can be found in the attachment. As you know, how any possible action would be enacted or which possible funds would be threatened is unclear. A summary is below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total funding (by U.S. Department, below)</th>
<th>$11,547,146</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Urban Development</td>
<td>$6,320,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services</td>
<td>$2,905,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>$890,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td>$697,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>$588,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
<td>$95,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice</td>
<td>$38,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Boating Trust Fund</td>
<td>$9,167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As you know, for FY 2017, the City has a General Fund budget of $163,629,357 and $251,092,653 in non-discretionary funds.

Matthai
Hello Claudia,

Thank you for reaching out to me. Unfortunately the mayor does not have availability for an interview by noon.

On social media, following a particular account does not mean you support that group or individual. For example, I follow President Trump on Twitter to stay up to date on what he’s saying, and I'm hardly a Trump supporter. I was following this particular group so I could stay up to date on what they are up to. I condemn their violent actions in the strongest terms.

While we cherish the freedom of speech in our city, there is no freedom to commit violence. Unfortunately, extremists from both sides have come to Berkeley in recent weeks to engage in provocation. Those who commit violent acts must be arrested. Our police made 20 arrests during a rally last weekend, and they are doing an admirable job in the face of a tough situation.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Cowan, Claudia [mailto:COWAN@FOXNEWS.COM]
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 7:43 AM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Fox News inquiry

Hi Stefan,
Can you confirm the Mayor's support of, or affiliation with, By Any Means Necessary. I see his Facebook page "likes" the group but want to get more details about how actively involved he is. I would also like to arrange an interview with him -or you- about this.
My deadline is today at noon.
Thank you,
Claudia Cowan
Fox News Channel
Bay Area Correspondent
415-867-4778
This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.
thanks. short story posted here:


—Emilie Raguso, Berkeleyside senior reporter and community engagement chief

Love Berkeleyside? Here’s how to help

— Emilie Raguso, Berkeleyside senior reporter and community engagement chief

c: 510-459-8325
e: emilie@berkeleyside.com

Please help support Berkeleyside with a one-time or monthly donation. Want to advertise? Email Wendy Cohen for details. And don’t miss our free daily newsletter. Berkeleyside is the winner of SPJ NorCal’s best local news organization two years running.

On Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 3:30 PM, Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hahn was also a cosponsor, along with Davila and Bartlett.

Stefan Elgstrand

Assistant to the Mayor

Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin

2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor

Berkeley, CA 94704

(510) 981-7103 phone

(510) 981-7199 fax

SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info

www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
davila and bartlett signed on too, right? anyone else?

—Emilie Raguso, Berkeleyside senior reporter and community engagement chief

Love Berkeleyside? Here's how to help

c: 510-459-8325
e: emilie@berkeleyside.com

Please help support Berkeleyside with a one-time or monthly donation. Want to advertise? Email Wendy Cohen for details. And don't miss our free daily newsletter. Berkeleyside is the winner of SPJ NorCal's best local news organization two years running.
Hello Ben,

We have gotten multiple media requests regarding the lawsuit, so the mayor’s office is working on a statement we hope to have sent out shortly.

In regards to the BAMN allegations, the Mayor does not have time to go on camera, but below is the statement we sent to Berkeley side:

On social media, following or liking pages does not mean you support what that group is doing, and the folks at Breitbart know that, but they are in the business of misinformation. I am not a member of BAMN, and I do not support the views and the violent actions of that group.

I follow Donald Trump on Twitter to stay up to date on what he’s saying. Would Breitbart suggest that makes me a Trump supporter? I was following this particular group on Facebook so I could stay up to date on what they are up to. I condemn in the harshest terms the violent actions that BAMN has employed.

We’re a progressive city, and we do have a rich history of protest. While we cherish freedom of speech, there is no freedom to commit violence. Those who do commit violent acts must be arrested. I am working with the police department ahead of Ann Coulter’s upcoming visit to make sure we keep our city safe. And our police are doing a great job in the face of a tough situation.

Breitbart did not contact me for comment before they released this inaccurate story. They are not real news so fact checking is not necessary I guess.

Since this article and in the lead up to Ann Coulter’s visit to Berkeley this week there has been an increase in hate emails, tweets and phone calls to my office. Breitbart and other right wing blogs have broadcasted out this misinformation, as a means to advance their narrative that Berkeley is hostile to their right to engage in freedom of speech. In fact the exact opposite is the case. We have gone above and beyond to facilitate the right of people to engage in peaceful assembly and freedom of speech. What we have seen however is groups using ”free speech” as an opportunity to engage in violence. I strongly condemn those that wish to use violence rather than debate and exchange of ideas. We will work to keep our community safe and arrest and prosecute those who commit violent acts.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguín
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
Hi, Ben,

I’m connecting you with Stefan Elgstrand, the mayor’s press aide, who can coordinate with you. He is cc’ed.

Matthai

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Matthai K. Chakko
Assistant to the City Manager/PIO
City of Berkeley
510.981.7008 | mchakko@cityofberkeley.info

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Mitchell, Benjamin J [mailto:bjmitchell@cbs.com]
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2017 2:14 PM
To: Chakko, Matthai <MChakko@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: @KPIX News Assign. Editors <KPIXNEWSASSIGN.EDITORS@CBS.COM>
Subject: KPIX Inquiry

Hello,

We’re trying to get hold of the Mayor today regarding the new information regarding the UC Berkeley/Student republican lawsuit. Also we’d like to talk with him about allegations of his ties with BAMN.

I just saw a statement from the Mayor to Berkeleyside. If he is unable to go on camera with us. Could we get a copy of this statement for our use as well?

Thank you

Ben Mitchell
KPIX-TV  KBCW-TV SAN FRANCISCO
Assignment Editor
o 415.765.8610
Below is a statement from the Mayor:

“The past two months of the Trump administration has left an unprecedented negative impact on Americans throughout the country. From undermining Freedom of Press to the conspicuous connections with Russian officials, Trump’s actions have served to destabilize American democracy. His attempts to threaten extortion on Sanctuary Cities and create a Muslim Ban defies American values. Furthermore, many of his actions have served to advance his business both domestically and abroad, in direct violation of the Emoluments Clause of the US Constitution. It is our duty to hold the President accountable, and this Resolution serves as a notice that we will not be silent.”

- Mayor Jesse Arreguin

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

---

From: Elgstrand, Stefan
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 10:47 AM
To: 'Weber, Brendan (NBCUniversal)' <Brendan.Weber@nbculi.com>
Subject: RE: NBC Bay Area Inquiry

Hello Brendan,

I will get back to you later today with a statement from the Mayor.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
Hello,

Brendan from NBC Bay Area here. I was hoping to obtain a statement from Mayor Arreguin about the event tonight in Berkeley about supporting an investigation to impeach President Trump.

Please let me know if you can pass anything along.

Thanks!

Brendan Weber
Digital Editor
NBC Bay Area
To Stefan Elgstrand:

I'm sorry about the lack of response! The winter holiday situation as well as rushed publication during Finals Week caused some delay in communication on my part. However, given the imminence of Donald Trump's inauguration, your piece is still very relevant and timely! How does it look so far? If you send over a draft, I can be editing as soon as I receive it. We're hoping to publish the piece either on Friday or Tuesday of next week. Thank you!

Best,
J Jung
Assistant Opinion Editor

On Wed, Dec 14, 2016 at 5:36 PM, Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us> wrote:

We are still working on finalizing it and probably won’t have it ready until tomorrow. I suppose we can publish it next week, although I know that is during winter break. So if we can’t get it out for Friday, let me know what works best for you.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com
To Stefan:

Hi! Thank you for returning my call!
In order to make the Friday paper, I would prefer to have it in around Tuesday or Wednesday so that we can make collaborative edits, and then when it's mostly finished on Thursday, we can set it to publish on Friday.

Also! Just to help Mayor Arreguin, I have compiled some thoughts about potential talking points/questions to answer for the op-ed:

1. How does the election of President Elect Trump affect the status and lives of undocumented students and the undocumented community at UC Berkeley?

2. What capacity, either through policy, rhetoric, or legislation, does Berkeley as a city have to resist policies that hurt the undocumented communities, policies that include targeting, registries, threats of deportation, ICE encroachment etc?

3. What role do sanctuary cities play in protecting the undocumented community, and what can Berkeley learn/take away/utilize from the model of other sanctuary cities like San Francisco?

Thank you!

Best,

J

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 6:16 PM, Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us> wrote:

Hello J,

Just wanted to return your request about having Mayor Arreguin write an op-ed to the Daily Cal regarding our status as a Sanctuary City. He will be happy to write that. We will try to get something submitted so you can publish in next Friday’s newspaper (12/16). When would the deadline be to submit the op-ed?

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.

2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704

(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

--

Haruka Senju and J Jung

Opinion Editors | The Daily Californian

o: 510-548-8300 ex. 2403

c: 703-639-7320

--

Haruka Senju and J Jung
Opinion Editors | The Daily Californian
o: 510-548-8300 ex. 2403

c: 703-639-7320
From: Opinion Desk <opinion@dailycal.org>
Sent: Friday, December 09, 2016 8:48 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: Re: Op-ed on Sanctuary City

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

To Stefan:

Hi! Thank you for returning my call!
In order to make the Friday paper, I would prefer to have it in around Tuesday or Wednesday so that we can make collaborative edits, and then when it's mostly finished on Thursday, we can set it to publish on Friday.

Also! Just to help Mayor Arreguin, I have compiled some thoughts about potential talking points/questions to answer for the op-ed:

1. How does the election of President Elect Trump affect the status and lives of undocumented students and the undocumented community at UC Berkeley?

2. What capacity, either through policy, rhetoric, or legislation, does Berkeley as a city have to resist policies that hurt the undocumented communities, policies that include targeting, registries, threats of deportation, ICE encroachment etc?

3. What role do sanctuary cities play in protecting the undocumented community, and what can Berkeley learn/take away/utilize from the model of other sanctuary cities like San Francisco?

Thank you!

Best,
J

On Fri, Dec 9, 2016 at 6:16 PM, Elgstrand, Stefan <SELgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us> wrote:

Hello J,

Just wanted to return your request about having Mayor Arreguin write an op-ed to the Daily Cal regarding our status as a Sanctuary City. He will be happy to write that. We will try to get something submitted so you can publish in next Friday’s newspaper (12/16). When would the deadline be to submit the op-ed?

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704

(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

--
Haruka Senju and J Jung
Opinion Editors | The Daily Californian
o: 510-548-8300 ex. 2403
c: 703-639-7320

Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.
From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 3:41 PM  
To: ‘Kazakoff, Lois’  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor

Free Speech is Integral to our Democracy

More than 50 years ago, a political movement was born on the UC Berkeley campus. The Free Speech Movement was rooted in the fundamental principles of our democracy - freedom of expression, thought and peaceful assembly. Our commitment to free speech is something we hold dear and which we continue to preserve to this day. And while we cherish our freedoms of speech and assembly, there is no freedom to silence others or to commit violence.

Our city’s commitment to these values have been challenged in recent weeks with violent protests in the name of “free speech”. Any effort to conflate freedom of speech with violence is a dangerous proposition which undermines public safety and our democracy.

The Berkeley Police Department has done an excellent job at addressing each unique event and learning from past experiences to ensure our residents, businesses and entire community are safe - a sentiment shared by many Berkeley residents. Berkeley Police have made 30 arrests during the last two events, confiscated dozens of weapons, and implemented a number of rules to keep people safe and facilitate peaceful First Amendment activity.

Both the City of Berkeley and UC administrators have worked to figure out how to make events featuring controversial speakers safe for all to attend. This includes holding events earlier in the day to prevent black bloc groups from using the shield of darkness to instigate criminal activities. This is not censorship, but simply a way to accommodate the needs of various parties and avoid violence. We cherish our city’s legacy as the birthplace of the Free Speech Movement. But this right must be weighed against the expectation of our citizens to feel safe.

While voices have sought to portray our city as intolerant of ideas contrary to our values, we have worked hard to protect the rights of everyone to be heard. Our city is rooted in the values of openness, equity, diversity, and freedom of speech. Diverse viewpoints have always been welcome here. We may argue passionately, but this makes us stronger if done in a constructive way. If you want to challenge someone’s beliefs, start a dialogue and ask hard questions. If people want to protest against someone whose views they find abhorrent, they should take note of the actions of Martin Luther King Jr. or Mahatma Gandhi and do so in a peaceful, non-violent manner.

I strongly disagree with hateful rhetoric wrongly promoting xenophobia and racism as solutions to our country’s problems. But in an open society, speakers of those views have a right to speak. Students and community members have a right to peacefully denounce that speech.

Building community and supporting all those who need help in this time – women, LGBTQ, immigrants and others – is an alternate solution that may have more impact.

As Mayor, my administration will work tirelessly to protect free speech and protect public safety. Anyone who says you have to choose one or the other is accepting a false premise.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
I have plan B moving toward the copy desk. Get it to me as soon as you can.

Lois Kazakoff  
Deputy Editorial Page Editor  
T: 415.777.6054  
C: 415.623.9775  
lkazakoff@sfchronicle.com

From: [email]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 2:44 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor

I told the Mayor the deadline is here, he told me it’s going to be another 20 minutes. I hope this isn’t too problematic. Sorry, we are trying to get this to you ASAP.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 2:16 PM  
To: Kazakoff, Lois  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor  

Understood, thanks. I am cognizant of the 2:30 deadline and hope to have it ready to you very shortly. Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

From: Kazakoff, Lois  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 1:40 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor  

Yes. I have another piece slotted for Thursday.

Lois Kazakoff  
Deputy Editorial Page Editor  
T: 415.777.6054  
C: 415.623.9775  
lkazakoff@sfchronicle.com

From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 1:27 PM  
To: Kazakoff, Lois  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor  

I was hoping this would be on Thursday, or is Wednesday the only option available?
Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

From: Kazakoff, Lois [mailto:LKazakoff@sfchronicle.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 1:00 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor

No. Print on Wednesday.

Lois Kazakoff  
Deputy Editorial Page Editor  
T: 415.777.6054  
C: 415.623.9775  
lkazakoff@sfchronicle.com

From: Elgstrand, Stefan [mailto:SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 12:55 PM  
To: Kazakoff, Lois <LKazakoff@sfchronicle.com>  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor

Thank you for the flexibility. I will do my best to have it ready by 2:30. And just to confirm, this is for print on Thursday?

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

From: Kazakoff, Lois [mailto:LKazakoff@sfchronicle.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 12:49 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor
That will not give me any time to edit it, and I can’t just shove something into the paper without editing it. I need to have by 2:30 p.m. so we have time to rework any places that aren’t clear or make assumptions the reader might not and thus will need to be explained. There’s a contract to sign as well.

Lois Kazakoff  
Deputy Editorial Page Editor  
T: 415.777.6054  
C: 415.623.9775  
lkazakoff@sfchronicle.com

From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 12:13 PM  
To: Kazakoff, Lois <LKazakoff@sfchronicle.com>  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor

Would it be possible to send it around 3:30? The mayor is in meetings for a while and I want to make sure everyone approves the final version. Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

From: Kazakoff, Lois <LKazakoff@sfchronicle.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 11:55 AM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Opinion Piece on Free Speech by Berkeley Mayor

This looks good. Please send me the completed piece ASAP. My deadline to send it to the copy desk is 1:30 p.m. We have some leeway there.

Lois Kazakoff  
Deputy Editorial Page Editor  
T: 415.777.6054  
C: 415.623.9775  
lkazakoff@sfchronicle.com
Here is a sample from the draft:

The inclusivity of all points of view is essential to our democracy. We may argue and disagree, but this makes us stronger if done in a productive way. Shutting down opinions that we do not agree with hurts us in the long run. Freedom of speech is one of the most basic freedoms enshrined in our constitution. A safe space for all perspectives is the bedrock of democracy and something that makes the United States a beacon of hope for people from all over the world.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

Hello Ms Kazakoff,

I wanted to forward this request to you, I heard you would be the best person to talk to about opinion pieces. Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
Hello,

Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin would like to write an op-ed on Free Speech and the events that have happened in Berkeley over the past few months. This would be exclusive to the Chronicle. We would like to have the op-ed in print for Thursday, April 27, to coincide with the expected arrival of Ann Coulter to the UC campus. Would you be interested in publishing this? If so, can you provide me with the parameters of the piece (word count, etc). Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
Mayor Arreguin,

I just spoke with the Chancellor and he has decided that he would prefer not to engage with media tomorrow. However, given the sincere appreciation we have for the outstanding efforts of the Berkeley Police Department, as well as the close operational collaboration we had with your office, and the city government as a whole, the Chancellor will be issuing a public statement of thanks and praise. I can provide your office with a copy once it is ready, and your media representatives will be welcome to share it with reporters who attend your press conference. If there is anything in particular you would like the Chancellor to note or single out, I would welcome your input.

Sincerely,

Dan Mogulof
Asst. Vice Chancellor
Office of Communications and Public Affairs
UC Berkeley

On Apr 27, 2017, at 8:12 PM, Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Dan, I spoke with the Chancellor an hour ago and he expressed an openness to the idea of a joint press availability, given that there was a joint effort between UC and the City in responding to today’s events. I understand your perspective but both the City and UC are inevitably going to receive press requests. It makes sense for both the City and UC to be in the same room responding.

Given that logistically questions will be asked of both city and UC police, it makes sense for them to answered at one time.

Ultimately it is up to UC but I think it’s a good opportunity to talk about how the coordinated effort today was largely successful in curbing violence and allowing free speech, and how it is an example of good cooperation between the City and University.

Anyways that was my thinking. I think it’s an opportunity to praise good cooperation and efforts to bridge town and gown.

Jesse Arreguin

From: Dan MOGULOF [mailto:dmogulof@berkeley.edu]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 8:06 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Chakko, Matthai <MChakko@cityofberkeley.info>; Arreguin, Jesse L. <jArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>; Ruben Lizardo <rlizardo@berkeley.edu>; Nils Gilman <nils_gilman@berkeley.edu>
Subject: Re: Press Conference Tomorrow

We currently have no plans for a press conference. No new media inquiries are coming in, and we discern no issues arising from today that need to be addressed. There is also reticence about keeping the story alive. That, of course, is only my professional perspective, and I have shared it with the Chancellor. The final decision is his to make. I am copying, Nils, his chief of staff.

Dan

On Apr 27, 2017 7:48 PM, "Elgstrand, Stefan" <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hello everyone,

The Mayor had spoken to the Chancellor about possibly doing a joint press conference regarding today’s events. We wanted to know if we will in fact be going forward with this, and if so, when and where?

We probably want to do it around 10 or 10:30 so it reaches the noon TV slot. Does a place on campus work or should it be in the city?

Let me know if this is something the University is interested in doing and we can work out the logistics. Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguín
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
I’ll send him a reminder. We’ve been caught up responding to Trump the past week.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

From: HARSHIL BANSAL [mailto:harshilbansal@berkeley.edu]
Sent: Monday, January 30, 2017 9:34 AM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: Request for Reference

Hi,

I was wondering if there is any update!

Best,
Harshil

On Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 9:46 AM, Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

I will let him know the deadline.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
Thanks for the reply!

Will it be possible for Jesse to give me one by Friday, the 27th of January?

Thank you,
Harshil

On Fri, Jan 20, 2017 at 3:11 PM, Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hello Harshil,

Jesse will be happy to give you a letter of recommendation. When do you need it by?

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
Hello Stefan,

Hope you're doing great! I've been selected as a member of the Cal in the Capital cohort for 2017 and I'm currently applying to internships in DC.

I was wondering if I could potentially get a letter of recommendation from Jesse primarily regarding my time in the office and then on the campaign. I would be really grateful if something could be worked out. It would help me a lot in securing an internship in DC!

Thank you,

Harshil

--

Harshil Bansal

University of California, Berkeley

Class of 2019

Economics | Political Science
--

Harshil Bansal
University of California, Berkeley
Class of 2019
Economics | Political Science

Political Director | Cal Berkeley Democrats
Hi Jason,

We will be going over the communications plan for the office. I’m just polishing up a couple of things. Another topic I want to go over is how to properly manage any emergency/urgent media events and to deconstruct what happened with the Milo incident. Our office went over that a few weeks ago, but we wanted your perspective as well.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SEIgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

Brandi, Stefan,

Hope you’re both well!

See below—realizing I don’t think I heard back on this.

I’m looking forward to coming by tomorrow and spending some time with you, but wanted to warn you that I don’t have a sense yet of what you’re specifically hoping to cover.

If you have a chance to put together an agenda, that could help guide a discussion—though I’m also equally happy to just come by and chat big picture and have a freestyle conversation about media relations and strategic communications.

If the latter works feels more organically useful, I can get Socratic on things that come to mind for me—and field questions on specific things you’d like input/help on.
One idea: you could print out some press clips from your first 2.5 months in office—things you liked, things you liked less—and we could analyze as a group. You could throw me challenging scenario you’ve faced recently, and we could talk about approach.

Open to whatever is helpful and additive to your work—structured, unstructured, or something in between.

Warmly,
Jason

---

From: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Date: Thursday, February 9, 2017 at 11:25 AM
To: "Elgstrand, Stefan" <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: Scheduling Follow-up Meeting on Media Strategy

You got it. When you get a chance, will you and Brandi make a list of topics—with as much or as little specifics as you’d like—that you’d like to cover?

---

From: "Elgstrand, Stefan" <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Date: Thursday, February 9, 2017 at 9:17 AM
To: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: RE: Scheduling Follow-up Meeting on Media Strategy

Let’s do 2-3:30.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

---

From: Jason Overman [mailto:Jason@lh-pa.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 5:16 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: RE: Scheduling Follow-up Meeting on Media Strategy

Can you do 2-3:30 or 3:30-5?
From: Elgstrand, Stefan [mailto:SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Wednesday, February 8, 2017 3:04 PM
To: Jason Overman <jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: RE: Scheduling Follow-up Meeting on Media Strategy

Yes, would 1:30-3pm work for you?

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Jason Overman [mailto:Jason@lh-pa.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 2:55 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: RE: Scheduling Follow-up Meeting on Media Strategy

Happy to. On vacation next week—can you do afternoon of 2/24?

Jason Overman | Director | Lighthouse Public Affairs  
Main (415) 364-0000 | Mobile (510) 847-7622

From: Elgstrand, Stefan [mailto:SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info]
Sent: Wednesday, February 8, 2017 1:07 PM
To: Jason Overman <jason@lh-pa.com>
Cc: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Scheduling Follow-up Meeting on Media Strategy

Hello Jason,

We were hoping, at your earliest convenience, to schedule a 90 minute meeting to go over media strategy. I’m sure you’ve been following the shenanigans we’ve gotten ourselves into over the past week, and are in the process of updating our communications plan.

We would like to meet on either a Monday or Friday, so Britney, one of our interns who will be dealing a lot with communications, can join in. Would Friday 2/24 work for you? Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone
Dear Consul General,

I wanted to get back to you in regards to setting up a meeting with Berkeley Mayor Arreguin. The recent events around Trump’s executive orders reminded me to reach out to you. We are a sanctuary city and will continue to be. I imagine you are very busy dealing with the concerns and fears of many residents in the Bay Area, and we want to assist in providing safe spaces for them.

Is there a time in mid-February that works for you? Here is some availability:

Wednesday 2/15: 10am-1pm  
Thursday 2/16: 10am-1pm  
Friday 2/17: 2:30pm-5pm

If these dates don’t work, let me know times later in February. Thank you.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SELgstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Friday, December 16, 2016 4:56 PM  
To: 'ggonzalez@sre.gob.mx' <ggonzalez@sre.gob.mx>  
Cc: Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@ci.berkeley.ca.us>  
Subject: Scheduling Meeting with Mayor Jesse Arreguin

Dear Consul General Gonzalez,

Thank you for your kind letter and request for a meeting with Mayor Arreguin. We will be happy to set up a meeting with you in January. Below are a list of times that work. Let me know what works best for you.

Tuesday 1/10: 10am-2pm  
Wednesday 1/11: 12pm-3pm  
Friday 1/13: 10am-5pm

We look forward to working with you.
Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com
From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 6:36 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.  
Subject: RE: Talking Points for Saturday CAA event

Here are some points to talk about. You can easily talk about all of this for 10-15 minutes.

- Childhood experiences
  o Early inspirations such as Nelson Mandela
  o Cesar Chavez and renaming of Army Street
- Attending Cal
  o What is was like to be first in family to attend college
  o Issues worked on as ASUC City Affairs Director
  o Being elected to the Rent Board as a Student
- Mayorship
  o Importance of student involvement in getting elected.
  o Briefly mention issues we are working on
    - Affordable housing
    - Sanctuary Cities
- Closing comments/inspiration
  o Berkeley is a trailblazer and much of the work comes from student ideas.
  o Need for students/recent grads to be involved in activism during Trump era.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-7103 phone  
(510) 981-7199 fax  
SElstrand@cityofberkeley.info  
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.

From: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 5:19 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>  
Subject: RE: Talking Points for Saturday CAA event

Sure, I’ll work on that tomorrow.

Stefan Elgstrand  
Assistant to the Mayor  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704
Hi Stefan, can you work on some talking points for Saturday's CAA event, I am supposed to speak for 10-15 minutes. See notes from the organizers below. Thanks

---

Our students in the program are very honored to invite Mayor Arreguin and one of his guests to join us on this special occasion and also have him as one of our featured speakers for the Senior Brunch. The students are very inspired by Mayor Arreguin's life journey as a Cal Alumnus and a first generation college student who served for many years in student leadership and in public office. We truly believe the Mayor's story will continue to inspire our community and his message will encourage our students to continue pursuing their dreams after UC Berkeley.

The mayor's speaking time will be around 12:15pm for roughly 10-15 minutes. The students look forward to hearing his life journey and some of the lessons he learned along the way that can encourage our graduating students. We will have intermission immediately after his speaking time so students also have time to meet him and for us to possibly take a group photo with him.
Hello Gibson,

For safety reasons, we would prefer not to go into details. But I will say on background that the article on Berkeleyside (http://www.berkeleyside.com/2017/02/08/berkeley-mayor-gets-death-threats-making-critical-remarks-milo-yiannopoulos/) is accurate, and that most of the threats came from Tweets or phone calls from largely conservative areas of the country. The local comments/responses to the protest have been overwhelmingly positive.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Gibson Chu [mailto:gchu@dailycal.org]
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 9:41 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>; Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: [The Daily Californian] Arreguin Threats

Hi Jesse or Stefan,

My name is Gibson Chu, from the Daily Californian.

With the recent threats made to Jesse Arreguin, I would like to know how the city has decided to work with him, and perhaps BPD to respond. What are the steps and details involved? Where are the threats coming from? How are you reacting to this situation?

I hope you can give me a call at 510-574-6369 or email me back at gchu@dailyca.org as soon as possible.

Thank you so much and have a good day.

Best,
Gibson

--

Gibson Chu
Lead Crime and Courts News Reporter | The Daily Californian
Phone: 510-574-6369 | Twitter: @theGibsonchu | Email: gchu@dailyca.org
From: Rateaver, Andrew  
Sent: Friday, February 03, 2017 7:27 PM  
To: Elgstrand, Stefan  
Subject: Re: Threatening Messages Directed at Mayor Arreguin

Thank you.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 3, 2017, at 6:20 PM, Elgstrand, Stefan <Elgstrand@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

I wanted to provide some updates on what we received today. Overall, much less than yesterday, especially as the day progressed.

Facebook:

Gary Fitzgibbon All who lead or condone violent attacks on the 1st Amendment may ultimately require a direct meeting with the 2nd.

Twitter:

SNIPER1776 @SNIPER1776 2h2 hours ago
More
@RNRNCarolina Problem @JesseArreguin is not dead yet Jesse Arreguin is not held accountable for his actions, example needs to be made #Milo

NewDawn @NewEraDawning 3h3 hours ago
More
@Cernovich @classygal21 @JesseArreguin Fry this Pig

American Veteran! @rhowell39 4h4 hours ago
More
@JesseArreguin FATASS POLITICIAN DOING NOTHING BUT SHOVING FOOD DOWN HIS MINORITY THROAT! WELL GUESS WHAT F**K FACE!!..YOUR TIME IS UP! PUNK!

David Mangels @david_mangels 5h5 hours ago
More
@PrisonPlanet @JesseArreguin @zerohedge. We need to cut the heads off the snakes. Let the rats die out after their support is clipped.

patacake @patacake99 3m3 minutes ago
More
@JesseArreguin @LibbySchaaf @mayoredlee @sliccardo ur time is up keep it up and us righties r going to open a can of Woop ass on u

richard nidetz @NidetzRichard 1m1 minute ago
More
@muzikgirl11 @JesseArreguin I have a better idea. Let's Pepper Spray him for about ten minutes. See how he likes it
@TRobinsonNewEra @JesseArreguin hope his family gets killed by those terrorists one day while we laugh at him

Phone Calls:

2/2 9:26PM: “I hope you drop dead, welcome to White America”
Unknown number

2/3 6:17am: “I hope somebody beats your ass”
860-303-4356

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Greenwood, Andrew
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 8:28 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Grogan, Jovan <JGrogan@cityofberkeley.info>; Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: RE: Threating Messages Directed at Mayor Arreguin

Thanks Stefan,

I know the calls and tweets etc are disturbing.

I’m working on contact re the FB post and will commo direct with the Mayor

Andrew Greenwood
Int. Chief of Police
Berkeley Police Department
(510) 981-5700

From: Elgstrand, Stefan
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 7:27 PM
To: Greenwood, Andrew <AGreenwood@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Grogan, Jovan <JGrogan@cityofberkeley.info>; Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Threating Messages Directed at Mayor Arreguin

Dear Chief Greenwood,

Thank you and your department for the handling of the protests last night. I believe you made the right decisions which prevented further violence.
I wanted to forward a few quotes from some phone calls today that are threatening.

4:55pm: “Get ready for a civil war”
Unknown number

2:50pm: “We’re coming for ya”
Unknown number

2:19pm: “I’ll rip you to shreds”
310-293-8121

1:57pm: “I’ll see you in a week”
435-760-5717

1:11pm: “We’ll set fires to your office, we’re gonna get ya”
714-713-3765

10:51am: “There’s going to be a civil war, and you’ll be the first to go”
971-207-5738

Here are a few tweets. There have been thousands of tweets directed at us, so this is just the tip of the iceberg.

*Kosher Puppy* @KosherPuppy 14m14 minutes ago
More
@JesseArreguin I hope you get sprayed in the face with mace and smashed over the head with a shovel so you can experience your own ignorance

*Nation Calling* @Nation_Calling 15m15 minutes ago
More
@JesseArreguin you'll regret these words. A storm is coming.

*David J Pelto Jr* @papapelto 39s40 seconds ago
More
@Fadiddlenohip @JesseArreguin It reminds me of Hitler! I say we send him to the firing squad. Start taking down the unlawful leaders.

*Leo* @LeoByron3614 4m4 minutes ago
More
@Cernovich @JesseArreguin Take him down, Mike! Feel the force of Trump's law & order! #MAGA!

*Chrissy Francis* @ChrissyFrancis8 1m1 minute ago
More
@TwnzMom55 @vivelafra @JesseArreguin eye for eye, maybe he should be pepper sprayed in the face, beaten unconscious by a mob & left in the st

*ViveLaFrance* @vivelafra 3m3 minutes ago
More
@m_recluse @JesseArreguin You're right, let's waterboard him.

I'm sure there are many more threatening comments on other websites.
Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
From: Elgstrand, Stefan
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 4:51 PM
To: 'Candy Duran'
Subject: RE: UNIVISION 14 KDTV

“Our values of human rights, equity, and inclusion have come under attack by the Trump Administration. In just two days, Trump has pushed a divisive wall, stripped our citizens of civil liberties, and cut funding to cities that have the courage to stand up for all people – whether or not they are legal citizens. We will not be intimidated by threats to cut funding to cities that believe in the fundamental notion that no person is illegal. No amount of federal funding is worth betraying our values.” – Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguin

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

From: Candy Duran [mailto:cduran@UNIVISION.NET]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 4:49 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: UNIVISION 14 KDTV

Hello Stefan,

Can you please help us the statement of Mayor in regards to the actions of Trump against Sanctuary cities.

Thank you so much.

Candy Duran | Assignment Desk, Newswriter, Backup Producer | Univision Communications Inc. | 1940 Zanker Road, San Jose, CA 95112
cduran@univision.net | http://www.univision.net

This email and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information entitled to protection against disclosure. Please do not forward except as authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, your receipt of this email was inadvertent, and there was no intent to disclose the information herein. Inadvertent recipients may not use or disclose this information. Please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it and discard any copies.

---

El presente correo electrónico y cualquier anexo del mismo pueden contener información confidencial o privilegiada, la cual está protegida para evitar su divulgación. Por favor no lo reenvíe a menos que cuente con autorización. Si usted no es el destinatario, su recepción fue un descuido y no existió intención alguna de divulgar la información contenida en el mismo. Los receptores involuntarios no podrán utilizar o revelar esta información. Por favor informe al remitente respondiendo a este mensaje y posteriormente elimínelo junto con cualquier copia.
Attached is a joint statement we sent out with the Mayors of Oakland, San Francisco, and San Jose.

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
January 25, 2016

BAY AREA MAYORS RESPOND COLLECTIVELY TO TRUMP ADMINISTRATION IMMIGRATION POLICY

Today, the mayors of the Bay Area’s three largest cities, Oakland, San Francisco and San Jose, and the City of Berkeley spoke out against President Trump’s executive order on immigration. They reaffirmed their commitment to working together to address the many challenges the region faces from growing income inequality, lack of affordable housing, better education outcomes, job creation and transportation infrastructure improvement.

Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín, San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee, San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo and Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf also vowed to take a regional approach to combat the impacts of any threatened cuts in federal funding that would adversely affect the nearly two and half million residents of diverse backgrounds who reside in their cities.

“The Bay Area stands united against this White House’s morally bankrupt policies that would divide families, turn our nation’s back on refugees in need, and potentially thwart the efforts of nearly one million productive young people who are on a legal path to citizenship. Oaklanders rely on $130 million in federal funding for everything from early education programs like Head Start to getting officers out of their cars and onto our streets at a time when community policing is so desperately needed. We will not allow this president to play politics with our safety and security.” – Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf

“Nothing about the President’s Executive Order will change how San Jose cops our city. The San Jose Police Department’s longstanding policies relating to immigration enforcement are critical to keeping our community safe. Our police officers must focus their scarce time responding to and investigating violent, predatory and other high-priority crimes – not the enforcement of federal tax laws, federal securities laws, or federal immigration laws. There’s a broad consensus
among major city police chiefs that having local officers meddle in federal immigration enforcement undermines public safety, and diminishes community trust. We need to ensure that all residents feel comfortable calling 911, reporting crimes, coming forward as witnesses, and testifying in court to help us keep criminals off the street.” – San Jose Mayor Sam Liccardo

“The Bay Area is home to millions of people who have sought refuge and a chance at a better life. As mayors, we stand together in our responsibility to keep our cities safe and healthy and take care of all our residents and families, regardless of status. We will not give in to threats, or political grandstanding. Together, the Bay Area will stay true to our values of inclusiveness, compassion and equality, and united against any and all efforts to divide our residents, our cities, and our country.” – San Francisco Mayor Ed Lee

“Our values of human rights, equity, and inclusion have come under attack by the Trump Administration. In just two days, Trump has pushed a divisive wall, stripped our citizens of civil liberties, and cut funding to cities that have the courage to stand up for all people – whether or not they are legal citizens. We will not be intimidated by threats to cut funding to cities that believe in the fundamental notion that no person is illegal. No amount of federal funding is worth betraying our values.” – Berkeley Mayor Jesse Arreguín

###

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguín
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
Hello,

We would like to request an interview with Mayor in regards to the executive action against sanctuary cities by Trump today?

How would Berkeley respond to that? And how would that economically affect the city?

Please let me know if we can stop by for an interview.

Thank you!

415-538-8014
Hi Stefan,

I'm part of a team working to come up with ways to fill any budget gaps that could result from sanctuary City status. We are under People Power/ACLU Berkeley (you and Jesse were at our general meeting weekend before last).

The article below suggests that somebody on City staff has already done some work on this issue: [http://www.berkeleyside.com/2017/01/25/trump-threatens-cut-funds-sanctuary-cities-berkeley-stands-lose-11-5m/](http://www.berkeleyside.com/2017/01/25/trump-threatens-cut-funds-sanctuary-cities-berkeley-stands-lose-11-5m/)

Would it be possible to put me in touch with that person or anybody else who could give us a better sense of the details? Thanks,

-Larsen
February 22, 2017

Scheduling:

Matthew Taylor
Property Owner
He owns a mini-dorm at 2135 Cedar St. They have been compliant with the Mini-Dorm ordinance. The property is located between R3 and R2A. Despite being registered and compliant, he recently got a letter from the Planning Department stating that a mini-dorm is not an allowed use. He would like to set up a meeting with you to discuss this issue.

Daniel Fields
Progressive Student Association
They would like you to give a speech at the Free Speech Movement Café. FSM does several speaking arrangements each semester on topical issues. They would like you to talk about what it means to be a progressive mayor in the era of Trump. This will likely take place in April.

Laura Garcia
Infocast
You are invited to be a panelist at the 4th Annual California Water Summit in Sacramento on June 21st. The panel would be on Current and Long-Term Municipal and Local Community Water Needs. See email sent to mayor’s inbox on Tuesday at 2:18pm for details.

Raj Bhargava
EAVP Candidate
Andre Luu wants to set up a meeting between you and Raj to talk about his platforms and ways to work together on projects.

Nicole Marti
Public Employees Union, Local One
The local bargaining team would like to set up a meeting with you on March 8th.

Request:

Johanna McCoy
California Sunday Magazine
They would like to write a profile story about you.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
I know we have a packed agenda tomorrow, but when we have time, let’s talk about this and flesh out the responses.

Stefan Elgstrand
Assistant to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7103 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com

Sign up for our monthly newsletter.
Good morning Mayor Arreguin:

My name is Bobby Lee and I’m a reporter at The Daily Californian, Berkeley’s student newspaper.

I’m working on a story covering the recent unanimous decision by Berkeley City Council to divest from any construction companies involved the construction of President Trump’s wall. I was hoping to speak to you or someone in your office later today about this development.

I am available by phone at (925) 989-7683, or any time today at any time today between 10 AM and 12 PM, and 2 PM to 5 PM. Please feel free to contact me directly at any of those times or let me know how to contact you, whatever is most convenient for you.

Looking forward to your response!

Best,

Bobby

--

Bobby Lee

Reporter | The Daily Californian
cell: (925) 989-7683
e-mail: bobbylee@dailycal.org
From: Bobby Lee <bobbylee@dailycal.org>
Sent: Sunday, March 19, 2017 6:30 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office
Cc: Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: [The Daily Californian] Courtesy Photo for Berkeley City Council Divestment from Trump Border Wall Construction Companies

Good evening Mayor Arreguin:

Apologies for the late notice, but would it be possible to receive a courtesy photo for the Berkeley City Council Trump Border Wall divestment? We would also be willing to take the photo from your official Twitter feed, pending your permission.

I am available by phone at (925) 989-7683. Please feel free to contact me directly at any of those times or let me know how to contact you, whatever is most convenient for you.

Looking forward to your response!

Best,

Bobby

--
Bobby Lee
Reporter | The Daily Californian
cell: (925) 989-7683
e-mail: bobbylee@dailycal.org
From: Malini Ramaiyer <mramaiyer@dailycal.org>
Sent: Monday, February 06, 2017 5:27 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: [THE DAILY CALIFORNIAN] Interview request about UCPD’s actions in Milo Protest

Hi Stefan,

This is Malini Ramaiyer, the Lead City Government Reporter from the Daily Californian. I’m writing a story today about UCPD’s actions in the Milo Protests. I contacted you earlier today, but I haven’t heard from you yet.

My story deadline has been pushed to tomorrow, so I was wondering if we can schedule a time tomorrow for me to talk to Mayor Arreguin about that. I have class from 9:30 to 11 and 2 to 3. Please let me know what works best for you.

Thanks,
Malini Ramaiyer | News Reporter
The Daily Californian
M: 408-398-1244
Twitter: @malinisramaiyer
Hi Mayor Arreguín,

This is Malini Ramaiyer, the Lead City Government Reporter from the Daily Californian. I'm writing a story today about UCPD’s actions in the Milo Protests and I read in the KQED article that you disagreed with UC Berkeley's actions. I was wondering if you had time today to talk about that.

My deadline is 5 p.m. and you can call me anytime before 1 and after 4. Please let me know what works best for you.

Thanks,
Malini Ramaiyer | News Reporter
The Daily Californian
M: 408-398-1244
Twitter: @malimisramaiyer
Mayor Arreguin, you are an embarrassment to my state of California as your police merely stood by and let violent and vile acts be committed against innocent Americans simply because of their political beliefs. This is textbook fascism, which you liberals love to accuse republicans of, and you still, as of 10:33pm pacific standard time, have not condemned these actions. You simply said, "violence is not the answer." Well, when is violence ever the answer you bloated dope? Your previous tweet seemed to promote and even encourage the disruption of Milos speech conference simply because he does not hold your same beliefs, that you love to label as "bigoted" and "hateful". The only bigoted and hateful acts committed tonight were by your party, and us Americans DEMAND that you condemn these acts publicly, as I am sure you are aware of due to your Twitter notifications. You say that we cannot let PRESIDENT Trump divide us and yet it is your party that is committing heinous and in despicable crimes. What we witnessed tonight was an attack on our First Amendment rights, from the same Constitution you swore to protect. Shame on you. Your party claims to be "tolerant" but you forget to leave out that that "generosity" does not apply to those who disagree with you. I truly pity you. Good luck with your reelection, God knows you're going to need it.

Sent from my iPhone
Hi Stefan, Matthai -

Attached is the chancellor's final statement for media regarding yesterday's events. We will also promote this on university properties. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,
Mike

--
Michael Emerson Dirda
Executive Communications
Office of Public Affairs
UC Berkeley
O: (510) 664-7127 | C: (510) 981-9193
mdirda@berkeley.edu
Dear Mayor Arreguin, City Manager Williams-Ridley, and Chief Greenwood,

On behalf of the UC Berkeley campus community I am writing to offer our sincere gratitude for your partnership and collaboration over the course of the last week. Thanks to an unprecedented level of coordination and cooperation at every level of city and police operations, we were, together, able to provide safety and security to our respective communities.

In the face of specific intelligence that surfaced distinct threats to the well being of those we serve, the University and City came together in support of our shared interests and values. The results speak for themselves: no injuries to anyone, no reports of violence, no reports of property damage, many weapons recovered and no events disrupted throughout the city. In a dense urban environment like Berkeley’s, that’s extraordinary – especially given the already demonstrated potential for violence.

In short, we were able to support and safeguard our paired commitments to free speech and public safety without compromising on either. My hope and expectation is that we will build on these successful efforts to further strengthen and improve the important relationship between “town and gown.”
From: Alissa Greenberg <alissa.greenberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 6:20 PM
To: Berkeley Mayor’s Office; Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: URGENT: Comment for Washington Post on divestment from Trump’s wall?

Hi there,
I'm on deadline (sorry, a bit unfortunately timed for you) working on a potential story for the Washington Post about Berkeley's divestment from companies building Trump's wall. I'm wondering if Mayor Arreguín might have a few moments tonight to talk or e-mail a statement about this new law. How will it be enforced? Why was it a priority for Mayor Arreguín and for Berkeley?

My deadline is 11 PM. I hope we can connect before then!

Best,
Alissa Greenberg
Dear M & R,

Praise for your accurate reporting, if not sensationalist title. How about "UC Berkeley Police choose, a less violent strategy", I don't know about the Black Bloc or how many true anarchists were demonstrating, and I don't know much about the speaker Milo Yiannopoulos - maybe that he is an outspoken racist.

I do believe the Berkeley demonstration was appropriate considering numerous racial concerns for several years - besides police killings, voting rights attacks up to the supreme court, decreasing opportunities, higher unemployment. People marching may have been Trump-sick, but support for racial equality against bigotry and hate speech protagonists will always be a Berkeley quality.

Best, Charley Pappas
From: Selma Kelly <skerren123@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 12:39 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi; McCormick, Jacquelyn; Elgstrand, Stefan
Subject: ATTENTION: MAYOR JESSE ARREGUIN // RE: CHEMICAL WEAPONS.

ATTENTION: JESSE ARREGUIN, MAYOR OF BERKELEY.

BECAUSE YOU ARE INVOLVED WITH BAMN, ANTIFA & BLACK BLOC, THIS CITATION ALSO CONCERNS YOU.

SUBJECT: THE DEPLOYMENT OF CHEMICAL WEAPONS AGAINST U.S. CITIZENS.

SEE BELOW THE COPY OF A LETTER SENT TO THE PRESIDENT, USAG JEFF SESSIONS & SENATOR FEINSTEIN.


ATTENTION A.G. SESSION, ET AL:

THIS LETTER IS TO INFORM YOU THAT THE GROUPS, KNOWN AS "ANTIFA" AND "BLACK BLOC" ARE PREPARING TO USE SULFURIC ACID ON U.S. CITIZENS.

PLEASE WATCH THIS VIDEO, COMPILED BY JOURNALIST, JACK POSOBIEC.

https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=LvoPLYTSndk

GO TO: MINUTE 2:40 -- ANTIFA--BEVERLY HILL CALLS FOR "THE STABBING" OF CITIZENS. FURTHER, THE BERKELEY ANTIFAS USED AN M-80 EXPLOSIVE AT THE BERKELEY RALLY ON APRIL 19TH.

GO TO: MINUTE 4:00 -- ANTIFA & BLACK BLOC CALL FOR THE USE OF SULFURIC ACID, METAL POLES AS WEAPONS, MOLOTOV COCKTAILS, AND "FLASH STROBES" TO BLIND POLICE.

THEY LIST THESE WEAPONS AND TACTICS ON THEIR WEBSITE ... www.crimethinc.com

IT’S ALSO COME TO OUR ATTENTION THAT "GEORGE SOROS" SENT $50,000 TO THE BERKELEY ANTIFAS, WHO DESTROYED $500,000 WORTH OF PROPERTY AND SERIOUSLY INJURED TEN (10) INNOCENT BYSTANDERS, MANY OF WHOM WERE NOT TRUMP SUPPORTERS.

BERKELEY POLICE OFFICERS ALSO "TOLD US" THAT THEY WERE ORDERED BY MAYOR JESSE ARREGUIN AND THE UC BERKELEY POLICE CHIEF TO "STAND DOWN" ON THE NIGHT OF FEBRUARY 1, 2017.
MR. SESSIONS, WE ARE WELL AWARE OF ... 18 U.S. CODE 2101 ... 18 U.S. CODE 2102 ... AND CAL. PENAL CODE 404.6.

GEORGE SOROS FUNDED AND INCITED THE BERKELEY RIOTS AND OTHERS, THROUGH "INTERSTATE COMMERCE." HENCE, SOROS IS IN VIOLATION OF THE ABOVE, AND THEREFORE SUBJECT TO FIVE (5) YEARS IN PRISON.

FURTHER, BERKELEY MAYOR JESSE ARREGUIN, IS LIABLE FOR CIVIL DAMAGES, SINCE HE TOLD HIS POLICE FORCE TO "STAND DOWN."

BECAUSE THE ABOVE-REFERENCED GROUPS:

1. INCITED RIOTS AND VIOLENCE;

2. CONSPIRE 'AS WE SPEAK' TO MANUFACTURE AND DEPLOY WEAPONS SUCH AS -- SULFURIC ACID AND PEPPER-SPRAY -- OUTLAWED DURING WAR AND CONSIDERED TO BE "CHEMICAL WEAPONS;"

3. ACCEPTED MONIES FROM GEORGE SOROS, WHO IS IN VIOLATION OF THE ABOVE STATUTES;

4. AND PURPOSEFULLY THWARTED LAW ENFORCEMENT'S ABILITY TO INTERVENE;

THE ABOVE SHOULD BE PROSECUTED TO THE FULLEST EXTENT FOR ENGAGING IN THESE ACTS.

WE, THE CITIZENS, ARE ASKING FOR A FULL-SCALE INVESTIGATION OF GEORGE SOROS, AND THE ABOVE ORGANIZATIONS FOR INCITING RIOTS, MAKING TERRORIST THREATS, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY --- PLANNING & MANUFACTURING THE DEPLOY OF CHEMICAL WEAPONS AGAINST CITIZENS -- AND BERKELEY MAYOR, JESSE ARREGUIN AND BERKELEY POLICE CHIEF YAO, FOR THWARTING LAW ENFORCEMENT'S ABILITY TO KEEP CITIZENS SAFE.

THIS LETTER WILL BE SENT BY CERTIFIED MAIL AND FAXED TO THE ABOVE RECIPIENTS.

S. KELLY
CERTIFIED LEGAL ASSISTANT
PALM SPRINGS, CA
http://dailycaller.com/2017/05/02/school-district-berkeley-riot-organizers-tried-to-brainwash-students-into-left-wing-activism-video/ Berkeley School District, Yvette’s use of underaged students exposed! Give to FBI & DHS, get on the safe side of this quickly.

BAMN & Yvette Felarca tied to NAMBLA Man Boy Love Group! Riot Organizers!

Mayors Office try not to laugh!

Going through the deep web with a TOR search I have founded hundreds of documents on BAMN, ANTIFA, Yvette Felarca of course! Twitter Accounts, hacked emails and this! I busted a gut laughing. Long ago in the 1990’s I worked with Berkeley PD. I had a Bay Area Anti Gang Coalition. Police Associations like CGIA, UGIA when I lived in Utah (Gang Investigators), PORAC in California was a member of my network with its 17,000 police officer members. As the riots in Sacramento on 6-26-16 were happening, I was on the phone with Dept of Homeland Security. I know what’s in the CHP report and I haven’t read it.
30 years in tracking gangs through graffiti. Closed Societies were an interest to me. Warren Jeffs in prison in Texas doing 99 + 20 years was one of my projects. District Attorney groups like NIMLO (National Institute of Municipal Law Officers) helping with ordinances. With Warren Jeffs, the DA in Washington County Utah, Brock Belnap, Matt Smith in Mohave County Arizona, then finally Texas where he was prosecuted and jailed.

I do research and like Yvette I am an activist, taking info to the FBI http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0606/S00059.htm I’m the guy with a beard, scruffy, my name is there.

No one is to big to argue with http://www.suzanmazur.com/?p=62 Orrin Hatch or the FBI


I’m on the board of a Gang related Agency with Law Enforcement members in 15 countries

Saul Alinsky rules for radicals, In the 1980’s I worked with IAF Coalitions (Industrial Area Foundations) groups like U.N.O. (United Neighborhood Organization), in Los Angeles County we had SCOC South Central Organizing Committee, EVO East Valley Organizing & VOICE Valley Organizing In Community Efforts. I know exactly how the game is played!

Back then Democrats always worked with Martinez & Associates for platform support politically and Republican’s always went with The Dolphin Group, Fred Karger and others. I worked in Los Angeles when Mark Fabiani was at Mayor Bradley’s side. Old Governors and their Chief of Staff, Steve Merksamer & George Deukmejian. Steve worked on the Robert Dole Campaign. Around long enough to know those in the
background. I worked with both parties. Politicians need platform issues and gangs and cults were always of value to both sides.

Bottom line is there is enough text, planning the riots or resistance online, for some one to be prosecuted. Government Agencies have facilitated these riots and like the CHP report from Sacramento, cities have been baited as you were in the youtube video above. Whatever I find gets turned over to DHS, but I am private and FOX News is an option. I know several dozen Associated Press staff writers too. Jennifer Dobner and Robert Gehrke, were my old favorites. I knew Ben Winslow when he was radio not TV. If you can’t charge Yvette Felarca, its gonna get ugly and feds will probably do what you cant. Her Fox News Interview went poorly.

Everything she has ever published is archived, you should read some of it! Here is a sample and every one knows she ran for AFT and tried to force out Arne Duncan from US Secretary of Education her vision was huge! The Sacramento riots caught the attention of Gang Investigators and changed every thing!

You need to take this serious, a BAMN member posted CPS workers by name and state on a SH*T List or Target List  THIS IS A FELONY  http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html  CPS workers targeted by BAMN members

There is also a list of Police Officers!  If you want to know how researchers get info? We offer Yvettes phone number so she can be interviewed. Other BAMN organizers?

1. BENJAMIN PHILLIPS
2. BAMN
3. 438 W. GRAND AVENUE #616
4. OAKLAND CALIFORNIA 94612-2335
5. 4156269438
6. benjamindavidphillips@gmail.com
7. Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.
BAMN: Yvette Felarca, BAMN Presidential Candidate for AFT

The AFT Must Defend Public Education!

Act Like a Union! Take Strike Action

and Actions in the Streets!

Build the New Civil Rights Movement! No New Jim Crow!

Arne Duncan Out Now!

Elect a Leadership that Fights to Win!

We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. Our union leadership believes that our union’s only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be. They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American middle class. Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the
Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

BAMN believes the exact opposite. We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who can not stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.

BAMN pledges to tell the truth. Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do every thing in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence. We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.

There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.
The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. **We need a national leadership** and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory. If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.

If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. **The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective.** BAMN slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.


(510) 510-9072

Yvette Felarca Agenda Above for BAMN/Below the Why!!!

---

U.S. Secretary of Education[edit]

Duncan was appointed U.S. Secretary of Education by President Barack Obama and confirmed by the Senate on January 20, 2009.[13] One of Duncan's initiatives as secretary has been a $4 billion Race to the Top competition. It asks states to vie for federal education dollars by submitting proposals that include reforms such as expanding charter schools and judging teachers partly on how well their students do on standardized tests.[14]
In March 2011, Duncan said 82 percent of the nation’s public schools could be failing by the following year under the standards of the No Child Left Behind law. The projection amounted to a startling spike from previous data, which showed that 37 percent of schools were on track to miss targets set by the law. "Four out of five schools in America would not meet their goals under [No Child Left Behind] by next year", Duncan said in his statement.

On July 4, 2014, the National Education Association, the largest teacher's union in the United States, passed a resolution of "no confidence" in Duncan's leadership of the Department of Education and asked for his resignation.[15]
BAMN & Yvette Felarca tied to NAMBLA Man Boy Love Group! Riot Organizers!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w9BrHUDKWFY  Mayors Office try not to laugh!

Going through the deep web with a TOR search I have founded hundreds of documents on BAMN, ANTIFA, Yvette Felarca of course! Twitter Accounts, hacked emails and this! I busted a gut laughing. Long ago in the 1990’s I worked with Berkeley PD. I had a Bay Area Anti Gang Coalition. Police Associations like CGIA, UGIA when I lived in Utah (Gang Investigators), PORAC in California was a member of my network with its 17,000 police officer members. As the riots in Sacramento on 6-26-16 were happening, I was on the phone with Dept of Homeland Security. I know what’s in the CHP report and I haven’t read it.

30 years in tracking gangs through graffiti. Closed Societies were an interest to me. Warren Jeffs in prison in Texas doing 99 + 20 years was one of my projects. District Attorney groups like NIMLO (National Institute of Municipal Law Officers) helping with ordinances. With Warren Jeffs, the DA in Washington County Utah, Brock Belnap, Matt Smith in Mohave County Arizona, then finally Texas where he was prosecuted and jailed.
I do research and like Yvette I am an activist, taking info to the FBI http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0606/S00059.htm I’m the guy with a beard, scruffy, my name is there.

No one is to big to argue with http://www.suzanmazur.com/?p=62 Orrin Hatch or the FBI


I’m on the board of a Gang related Agency with Law Enforcement members in 15 countries

Saul Alinsky rules for radicals, In the 1980’s I worked with IAF Coalitions (Industrial Area Foundations) groups like U.N.O. (United Neighborhood Organization), in Los Angeles County we had SCOC South Central Organizing Committee, EVO East Valley Organizing & VOICE Valley Organizing In Community Efforts. I know exactly how the game is played!

Back then Democrats always worked with Martinez & Associates for platform support politically and Republican’s always went with The Dolphin Group, Fred Karger and others. I worked in Los Angeles when Mark Fabiani was at Mayor Bradley’s side. Old Governors and their Chief of Staff, Steve Merksamer & George Deukmejian. Steve worked on the Robert Dole Campaign. Around long enough to know those in the background. I worked with both parties. Politicians need platform issues and gangs and cults were always of value to both sides.

Bottom line is there is enough text, planning the riots or resistance on line, for some one to be prosecuted. Government Agencies have facilitated these riots and like the CHP report from Sacramento, cities have been baited as you were in the youtube video above. Whatever I find gets turned over to DHS, but I am private and FOX News is an
option. I know several dozen Associated Press staff writers too. Jennifer Dobner and Robert Gehrke, were my old favorites. I knew Ben Winslow when he was radio not TV. If you can’t charge Yvette Felarca, its gonna get ugly and feds will probably do what you cant. Her Fox News Interview went poorly.

Everything she has ever published is archived, you should read some of it! Here is a sample and every one knows she ran for AFT and tried to force out Arne Duncan from US Secretary of Education her vision was huge! The Sacramento riots caught the attention of Gang Investigators and changed every thing!

You need to take this serious, a BAMN member posted CPS workers by name and state on a SH*T List or Target List THIS IS A FELONY  http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html  CPS workers targeted by BAMN members

There is also a list of Police Officers! If you want to know how researchers get info? We offer Yvettes phone number so she can be interviewed. Other BAMN organizers?

1. BENJAMIN PHILLIPS
2. BAMN
3. 438 W. GRAND AVENUE #616
4. OAKLAND CALIFORNIA 94612-2335
5. 4156269438
6. benjamindavidphillips@gmail.com
7.
8.
9. Donna Stern
10. 2051 Hyde Park Rd
11. Detroit, MI 48207-3885
12. (313) 526-9023
13.

People who like to hear themselves on radio, TV or print, can’t resist incriminating themselves. How much have city empployees leaked??
We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. Our union leadership believes that our union’s only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be. They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American middle class. Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

BAMN believes the exact opposite. We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the
most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who can not stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.

BAMN pledges to tell the truth. Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do every thing in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence. We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.

There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.

The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. We need a national leadership and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory. If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially
weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.

If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective. BAMN slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.


(510) 510-9072

Yvette Felarca Agenda Above for BAMN/Below the Why!!!

U.S. Secretary of Education[edit]
Duncan was appointed U.S. Secretary of Education by President Barack Obama and confirmed by the Senate on January 20, 2009.[13] One of Duncan's initiatives as secretary has been a $4 billion Race to the Top competition. It asks states to vie for federal education dollars by submitting proposals that include reforms such as expanding charter schools and judging teachers partly on how well their students do on standardized tests.[14]

In March 2011, Duncan said 82 percent of the nation’s public schools could be failing by the following year under the standards of the No Child Left Behind law. The projection amounted to a startling spike from previous data, which showed that 37 percent of schools were on track to miss targets set by the law. "Four out of five schools in America would not meet their goals under [No Child Left Behind] by next year", Duncan said in his statement.

On July 4, 2014, the National Education Association, the largest teacher's union in the United States, passed a resolution of "no confidence" in Duncan's leadership of the Department of Education and asked for his resignation.[15]
http://dailycaller.com/2017/05/02/school-district-berkeley-riot-organizers-tried-to-brainwash-students-into-left-wing-activism-video/ Berkeley School District, Yvette’s use of underaged students exposed! Give to FBI & DHS, get on the safe side of this quickly.

BAMN & Yvette Felarca tied to NAMBLA Man Boy Love Group! Riot Organizers!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w9BrHUDKWFY Mayors Office try not to laugh!

Going through the deep web with a TOR search I have founded hundreds of documents on BAMN, ANTIFA, Yvette Felarca of course! Twitter Accounts, hacked emails and this! I busted a gut laughing. Long ago in the 1990’s I worked with Berkeley PD. I had a Bay Area Anti Gang Coalition. Police Associations like CGIA, UGIA when I lived in Utah (Gang Investigators), PORAC in California was a member of my network with its 17,000 police officer members. As the riots in Sacramento on 6-26-16
were happening, I was on the phone with Dept of Homeland Security. I know what’s in the CHP report and I haven’t read it.

30 years in tracking gangs through graffiti. Closed Societies were an interest to me. Warren Jeffs in prison in Texas doing 99 + 20 years was one of my projects. District Attorney groups like NIMLO (National Institute of Municipal Law Officers) helping with ordinances. With Warren Jeffs, the DA in Washington County Utah, Brock Belnap, Matt Smith in Mohave County Arizona, then finally Texas where he was prosecuted and jailed.

I do research and like Yvette I am an activist, taking info to the FBI http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0606/S00059.htm I’m the guy with a beard, scruffy, my name is there.

No one is to big to argue with http://www.suzanmazur.com/?p=62 Orrin Hatch or the FBI


I’m on the board of a Gang related Agency with Law Enforcement members in 15 countries

Saul Alinsky rules for radicals, In the 1980’s I worked with IAF Coalitions (Industrial Area Foundations) groups like U.N.O. (United Neighborhood Organization), in Los Angeles County we had SCOC South Central Organizing Committee, EVO East Valley Organizing & VOICE Valley Organizing In Community Efforts. I know exactly how the game is played!

Back then Democrats always worked with Martinez & Associates for platform support politically and Republican’s always went with The Dolphin Group, Fred Karger and others. I worked in Los Angeles when Mark Fabiani was at Mayor Bradley’s side. Old Governors and their Chief
of Staff, Steve Merksamer & George Deukmejian. Steve worked on the Robert Dole Campaign. Around long enough to know those in the background. I worked with both parties. Politicians need platform issues and gangs and cults were always of value to both sides.

Bottom line is there is enough text, planning the riots or resistance on line, for some one to be prosecuted. Government Agencies have facilitated these riots and like the CHP report from Sacramento, cities have been baited as you were in the youtube video above. Whatever I find gets turned over to DHS, but I am private and FOX News is an option. I know several dozen Associated Press staff writers too. Jennifer Dobner and Robert Gehrke, were my old favorites. I knew Ben Winslow when he was radio not TV. If you can’t charge Yvette Felarca, its gonna get ugly and feds will probably do what you cant. Her Fox News Interview went poorly.

Everything she has ever published is archived, you should read some of it! Here is a sample and every one knows she ran for AFT and tried to force out Arne Duncan from US Secretary of Education her vision was huge! The Sacramento riots caught the attention of Gang Investigators and changed every thing!

You need to take this serious, a BAMN member posted CPS workers by name and state on a SH*T List or Target List THIS IS A FELONY  http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html CPS workers targeted by BAMN members

There is also a list of Police Officers! If you want to know how researchers get info? We offer Yvettes phone number so she can be interviewed. Other BAMN organizers?

1. BENJAMIN PHILLIPS
2. BAMN
3. 438 W. GRAND AVENUE #616
4. OAKLAND CALIFORNIA 94612-2335
5. 4156269438
People who like to hear themselves on radio, TV or print, can’t resist incriminating themselves. How much have city employees leaked??

**BAMN: Yvette Felarca, BAMN Presidential Candidate for AFT**

The AFT Must Defend Public Education!

Act Like a Union! Take Strike Action

and Actions in the Streets!

Build the New Civil Rights Movement! No New Jim Crow!

Arne Duncan Out Now!

ELECT A LEADERSHIP THAT FIGHTS TO WIN!

We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. Our union leadership believes that our union’s only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be. They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American
middle class. Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

BAMN believes the exact opposite. We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who can not stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.

BAMN pledges to tell the truth. Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do every thing in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence. We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.

There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.
The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. **We need a national leadership and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory.** If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.

If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. **The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective.** BAMN slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.


(510) 510-9072

Yvette Felarca Agenda Above for BAMN/Below the Why!!!

---

U.S. Secretary of Education[edit]

Duncan was appointed U.S. Secretary of Education by President Barack Obama and confirmed by the Senate on January 20, 2009.[13] One of Duncan's initiatives as secretary has been a $4 billion Race to the Top competition. It asks states to vie for federal education dollars by submitting proposals that include reforms such as expanding charter schools and judging teachers partly on how well their students do on standardized
In March 2011, Duncan said 82 percent of the nation’s public schools could be failing by the following year under the standards of the No Child Left Behind law. The projection amounted to a startling spike from previous data, which showed that 37 percent of schools were on track to miss targets set by the law. "Four out of five schools in America would not meet their goals under [No Child Left Behind] by next year", Duncan said in his statement.

On July 4, 2014, the National Education Association, the largest teacher's union in the United States, passed a resolution of "no confidence" in Duncan's leadership of the Department of Education and asked for his resignation. [15]
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 1:59 PM
To: 'robleroad@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Eastwind Books of Berkeley, business requests city action to stop the next hate group violence at MLK Park

From: Arreguin, Jesse L.
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 12:18 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>; McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: FW: Eastwind Books of Berkeley, business requests city action to stop the next hate group violence at MLK Park

From: Berkeley Mayor's Office
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 12:16 PM
To: 'Eastwind Books of Berkeley' <eastwindbooks@gmail.com>; Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: RE: Eastwind Books of Berkeley, business requests city action to stop the next hate group violence at MLK Park

Dear Harvey and Bea, I obviously strongly oppose the views of neo-nazis, fascists, racists and the alt right, but ever since the Milo event, they have made it a mission to make an example out of Berkeley. I am sure my role as a Latino leader who is speaking out against the Trump administration has something to do with it too. Certainly I have received death threats and constant hate mail.

I agree with you that many of the people who showed up on Saturday were not interested in “free speech” but rather to provoke violence. How do you prevent a group intent on coming into our city? Do you automatically arrest people when you see them with right wing signs? That is not a practical solution. There are also limits to our ability to prevent these groups from using city property. Anyone can use a city park during day time hours, however when amplified sound it used then it is a special event that requires a permit. Even if we enforced the permit rules and our police moved in, I fear it would provoke a violent reaction from the crowd. It is a very difficult situation to manage. We do not have a wall around Berkeley, anyone can come in to our city. It is what we do when they are here that matters and our Police have been learning and preparing for how to respond. Our police made many arrests on Saturday and will intervene to arrest those committing violence and keep people safe.

That being said I am committed to finding a solution to prevent future violence and destruction of property and to address future incidents.

I understand your concern and please understand we are trying to manage a very difficult situation with the goal of keeping life and property safe.

Jesse Arreguin
Berkeley Mayor

From: Eastwind Books of Berkeley <mailto:eastwindbooks@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 11:41 AM
To: Berkeley Mayor's Office <mayor@cityofberkeley.info>; Harrison, Kate <KHarrison@cityofberkeley.info>; Soto-Vigil, Alejandro <ASoto-Vigil@cityofberkeley.info>; Worthington, Kriss <KWorthington@cityofberkeley.info>; Downtown
Mayor Jesse Arreguin, Kate Harrison, Kris Worthington, John Caner,
The owners of Eastwind Books of Berkeley, Harvey and Bea Dong are appalled at the City of Berkeley's inaction in allowing hate groups to invade Berkeley with the intent to commit violence and hate crimes within the city and park named after Martin Luther King Jr.

News reports have surface on the identity of the Venn Diagram of white supremacist, alt-right, anti-Semitic and neo-Nazi groups behind the violence. In light of the hate group organizers identities, the city's negligence in allowing the same violators from these hate groups to come back a second time, and commit the hate crimes and physical assaults again is outrageous.

The premeditated second incident of escalating violence Saturday April 15, 2017 was not a matter of protecting free speech. Please see the Esquire article titled The Violent Clashes In Berkeley Weren't 'Pro-Trump' Versus 'Anti-Trump'


City action must be taken immediately preventing these particular hate groups from using Berkeley as the site of another third assault rumored to take place again.

The threat of racial and religious hatred, violence, the closure of BART Downtown Berkeley Station and the Farmers Market have greatly hurt the Berkeley downtown businesses.

Please inform us of how the City of Berkeley plans to prevent the repeat of these hate groups using the MLK Park a third time.

Sincerely,
Harvey Dong, Bea Dong
Eastwind Books of Berkeley
2066 University Avenue; Berkeley, CA 94704
phone: 510 548-2350 fax: 510 548-3697
www.asiabookcenter.com email: eastwindbooks@gmail.com

If you received this email in error and do not wish to receive emails, please reply with request to be removed from our list.
BAMN & Yvette Felarca tied to NAMBLA Man Boy Love Group! Riot Organizers!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w9BrHUDKWFY  Mayors Office try not to laugh!

Going through the deep web with a TOR search I have founded hundreds of documents on BAMN, ANTIFA, Yvette Felarca of course! Twitter Accounts, hacked emails and this! I busted a gut laughing. Long ago in the 1990’s I worked with Berkeley PD. I had a Bay Area Anti Gang Coalition. Police Associations like CGIA, UGIA when I lived in Utah (Gang Investigators), PORAC in California was a member of my network with its 17,000 police officer members. As the riots in Sacramento on 6-26-16 were happening, I was on the phone with Dept of Homeland Security. I know what’s in the CHP report and I haven’t read it.
30 years in tracking gangs through graffiti. Closed Societies were an interest to me. Warren Jeffs in prison in Texas doing 99 + 20 years was one of my projects. District Attorney groups like NIMLO (National Institute of Municipal Law Officers) helping with ordinances. With Warren Jeffs, the DA in Washington County Utah, Brock Belnap, Matt Smith in Mohave County Arizona, then finally Texas where he was prosecuted and jailed.

I do research and like Yvette I am an activist, taking info to the FBI http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0606/S00059.htm I’m the guy with a beard, scruffy, my name is there.

No one is to big to argue with http://www.suzanmazur.com/?p=62 Orrin Hatch or the FBI


I’m on the board of a Gang related Agency with Law Enforcement members in 15 countries

Saul Alinsky rules for radicals, In the 1980’s I worked with IAF Coalitions (Industrial Area Foundations) groups like U.N.O. (United Neighborhood Organization), in Los Angeles County we had SCOC South Central Organizing Committee, EVO East Valley Organizing & VOICE Valley Organizing In Community Efforts. I know exactly how the game is played!

Back then Democrats always worked with Martinez & Associates for platform support politically and Republican’s always went with The Dolphin Group, Fred Karger and others. I worked in Los Angeles when Mark Fabiani was at Mayor Bradley’s side. Old Governors and their Chief of Staff, Steve Merksamer & George Deukmejian. Steve worked on the Robert Dole Campaign. Around long enough to know those in the
background. I worked with both parties. Politicians need platform issues and gangs and cults were always of value to both sides.

Bottom line is there is enough text, planning the riots or resistance online, for some one to be prosecuted. Government Agencies have facilitated these riots and like the CHP report from Sacramento, cities have been baited as you were in the youtube video above. Whatever I find gets turned over to DHS, but I am private and FOX News is an option. I know several dozen Associated Press staff writers too. Jennifer Dobner and Robert Gehrke, were my old favorites. I knew Ben Winslow when he was radio not TV. If you can’t charge Yvette Felarca, its gonna get ugly and feds will probably do what you cant. Her Fox News Interview went poorly.

Everything she has ever published is archived, you should read some of it! Here is a sample and every one knows she ran for AFT and tried to force out Arne Duncan from US Secretary of Education her vision was huge! The Sacramento riots caught the attention of Gang Investigators and changed every thing!

You need to take this serious, a BAMN member posted CPS workers by name and state on a SH*T List or Target List THIS IS A FELONY  http://www.opexposecps.anonresistance.com/TheShitList.html CPS workers targeted by BAMN members

There is also a list of Police Officers! If you want to know how researchers get info? We offer Yvettes phone number so she can be interviewed. Other BAMN organizers?

1. BENJAMIN PHILLIPS
2. BAMN
3. 438 W. GRAND AVENUE #616
4. OAKLAND CALIFORNIA 94612-2335
5. 4156269438
6. benjamindavidphillips@gmail.com
7. Obtained via CA PRA Request by Judicial Watch, Inc.
People who like to hear themselves on radio, TV or print, can’t resist incriminating themselves. How much have city employees leaked??

BAMN: Yvette Felarca, BAMN Presidential Candidate for AFT

The AFT Must Defend Public Education!

**Act Like a Union! Take Strike Action**

and Actions in the Streets!

**Build the New Civil Rights Movement! No New Jim Crow!**

Arne Duncan Out Now!

**Elect a Leadership that Fights to Win!**

We are in an unprecedented situation. We now have a President, who our union uncritically supports, who has carried out the most thoroughgoing and racist attack against public education in over 100 years while doing what no other President has dared to do, viciously and relentlessly attack our union and the most important gains we made since our founding. All of the policies carried out by Education Secretary Arne Duncan have only exacerbated the inequalities in educational opportunity and outcomes based on race and class. His ideological war against public school teachers and the public school system has failed at winning any popular support. The public schools are still regarded as a treasured achievement.

So why has the attack been allowed to go forward? The answer is simple. Our union leadership believes that our union’s only hope lies in our ability to suck up to the powers that be. They assume this long period of labor quiescence that they have created, established beyond a doubt that the unions and the other movements and organizations of the oppressed are too weak to win. They confuse their loyalty and desperate subservience to the Democrats with a clever strategy that will somehow, someday restore the American middle class. Van Roekel and company believe it is an honor to be the tip of the tail of the
Democratic Party. They believe this is the only prudent strategy for our union to follow and they are wrong on every count.

BAMN believes the exact opposite. We believe that our union is strong. We believe that the rich and powerful are not the only force that can make and change history. The years of supplication have achieved nothing. Despite all the mass layoffs, blows to seniority and tenure and attacks on academic freedom we have had to weather, our union remains the strongest force in the battle to defend public education. If we stop carrying out the losing policy of relying solely on electoral politics and unquestioningly handing over tens of millions of dollars to the Democrats, we can be powerful enough to reverse the losses we have suffered. But we must act. We must be bold. And we must stop avoiding using the most powerful weapons we have in our arsenal, the ability to strike and to call mass actions in the street. A call by our union leadership for mass mobilizations would moralize hundreds of thousands of teachers, our students, the Latina/o, black and immigrant communities that are chafing under the new Jim Crow, and all of those who are tired of being “disappointed” by the failures of the Democrats and are just itching for a fight. If you elect BAMN, we pledge to turn our union back into a union and to give every teacher who cannot stand what is happening to us and public education the opportunity to assert our power, restore our dignity and finally fight to win.

BAMN pledges to tell the truth. Four years of Arne Duncan trying to create a parallel, stratified non-union system of charter schools counter-posed to public education have failed to achieve anything but the denial of public education to millions of black, Latina/o and immigrant students. Race to the Top has been an abject failure by any measure, and is transparently aimed at demoralizing teachers, and destroying union gains. We pledge to do everything in our power to get rid of Arne Duncan. We will not let President Obama confuse our endorsement of him with a vow of silence. We will fight to get our union to put demands on the Democrats and make clear we have an independent agenda that we are fighting for which we will not subordinate to any misguided electoral strategy.

There is a new movement fighting for public education on campuses and in communities across the nation. BAMN has led student struggles to defend higher education on campuses and across the country. We have championed and helped organize the Latina/o and immigrant communities’ fight for the DREAM Act, and path to citizenship, and equality. We teach students in predominantly black and Latina/o schools and have had the pleasure and honor of walking out and occupying with them in cities across the country. We have prevented the firing of pro-student and anti-racist teachers and even principals and led successful campaigns to save art and music programs and to stop school closings.
The issues that are driving people out of teaching – overcrowded classes, bad pay, job insecurity, academic freedom vs. being forced to teach to the test, pensions and benefits – are all subject to bargaining. We can stop the implementation of these attacks through aggressive contract fights. **We need a national leadership** and leaderships in our local organizations across the country that are prepared to call and lead strikes to victory. If we do so students and the community will support us. Hundreds of thousands will embrace the chance to join us.

Martin Luther King’s old civil rights movement always acted independently of the Democrats, repeatedly defying the pleas of President Kennedy and Johnson to stop fighting, and because it did so, the Dixiecrat wing of the Democratic Party was initially weakened, then forced to renounce its own positions, and finally driven out of the Party. We need this kind of fightback again now or the policies of the current administration and the Democratic Party at every level of government will continue unchanged. The attacks will continue despite their deep unpopularity. Public education will be subject to further attack and the New Jim Crow will deepen for black and Latino students and communities. Our union and members will be subject to an ongoing offensive by the politicians and billionaires.

If we exert the power of the mass union and civil rights movement we avoid this absolutely unnecessary road and open a path of hope for our students; we win better contracts and conditions for our members, and strengthen the AFT and entire labor movement. **The BAMN caucus is committed to building on this perspective. BAMN** slate candidates are committed to being leaders who refuse to bow to the rich and powerful.


(510) 510-9072

Yvette Felarca Agenda Above for BAMN/Below the Why!!!
In March 2011, Duncan said 82 percent of the nation’s public schools could be failing by the following year under the standards of the No Child Left Behind law. The projection amounted to a startling spike from previous data, which showed that 37 percent of schools were on track to miss targets set by the law. "Four out of five schools in America would not meet their goals under [No Child Left Behind] by next year", Duncan said in his statement.

On July 4, 2014, the National Education Association, the largest teacher's union in the United States, passed a resolution of "no confidence" in Duncan's leadership of the Department of Education and asked for his resignation.[15]
Hi Everyone

I hope you are all well. Undoubtedly you are aware of the potential for demonstrations tomorrow. In the wake of the Ann Coulter's decision to cancel her plans to speak on the campus. I will send the group a message Chancellor Dirks sent earlier today with details of all the steps the campus took to accommodate the student group that had originally invite Coulter.

This email is to make sure you know that the campus and the city have been working together to ensure the safety of our students and the Berkeley community. If you see a larger than normal presence of public safety officers in and around the campus it is to ensure we come out of this intact.

Please take a few minutes to read the City statement that was sent to campus today. It contains good advice for those who may want to peacefully exercise their right to speak out.

Have a good evening.

Ruben Lizardo  
Dir. Local Government and Community Relations  
UC Berkeley  

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Office of the Vice Chancellor Student Affairs (campus-wide)" <CALmessages@berkeley.edu>  
Date: April 26, 2017 at 8:33:09 PM PDT  
To: calmessages_communication@lists.berkeley.edu  
Subject: Message from City of Berkeley re: Thursday, April 27

A message from the City of Berkeley

Stay safe at demonstrations – separate yourself from violence

The University of California-Berkeley and the City of Berkeley will be working together to manage events that may take place Thursday afternoon on the UC campus. There are a number of things you, too, can do to stay safe.

Mass gatherings of any kind attract a broad variety of people and, inevitably, that means an array of different motives and intentions. The overwhelming majority come with a peaceful purpose.
However, in recent protests in Berkeley, we have seen a small portion who come seeking to hurt others or to destroy property. We have seen that individuals who come armed and armored use peaceful protesters as a cover for their violent actions.

If you are at a demonstration and you see violence, separate yourself. Keep a distance from violence. If you can do so safely, report it to police.

This is the best way to keep yourself and others safe. It allows police to focus on and apprehend criminals while keeping bystanders safe. People with cameras who surround violent incidents can complicate the safety of other peaceful bystanders and impede police. When individuals commit violence surrounded by a peaceful crowd, police are always concerned about how the violence might spill over onto those who are not committing any crime whatsoever.

Separating yourself from violence also prevents those individuals from making their actions the image of your cause.

Don't get baited by provocateurs.

Language used to announce a protest may be effective at enticing supporters, luring counter-demonstrators or provoking conflict. Others lure people by promoting spectacle. But, if you don't know the person, groups or source personally, use caution. This is especially the case when groups do not use permits - a tool that indicates focus, organization and lawfulness. It's a tool that no one has obtained for Thursday.

Consider whether the approach others advertise is the style and venue for you. Reaching out to organizations or individuals in need is an alternative to conflict. When people at an event act in a way that compromises your values and goals, separate yourself.

The City of Berkeley, our police department and UC Berkeley will continue to develop our strategies to ensure safety for all at demonstrations, each of which has its own unique dynamics. Tactics used on both March 4 and April 15 resulted in no injuries to people uninvolved in the event and reports of minimal damage to properties. There was no significant impact to events throughout the downtown and City as a whole.

It is a challenge for police to ensure the safety of those who are reckless with their own safety. Nonetheless, police used strategies to try to do just that, even as combatants came armed and eager to fight. Police tactics led to the confiscation of dozens of weapons and 30 arrests. Berkeley Police are reviewing video footage to continue investigations, identify suspects, seek arrests and prosecute criminals.

The event on Thursday April 27 has attracted the interest of other groups on various social media outlets as well as the attention of our local media outlets. Our mission is to safeguard our community while facilitating the expression of the first amendment. We will work to identify, investigate, arrest and prosecute anyone who commits crimes in our community. That won't end when the event does.

Subscribe to alerts from the Berkeley Police Department using Nixle, a free text and email messaging service you can find at www.cityofberkeley.info/police/nixle. Create a login and tailor it to your needs. Those updates will also be posted on our Twitter account, @berkeleypolice, where additional messages may be posted.
If you are a manager who supervises UC Berkeley employees without email access, please circulate this information to all.

Please do not reply to this message
From: Campbell, Brandi  
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 11:53 PM  
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn  
Subject: Fwd: Please forward to Mayor

I thought you might enjoy this or want a crack at it. I just ignore this stuff.

Brandi Campbell  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin  
City of Berkeley  
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
510-981-7104 phone  
510-981-7199 fax  
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info  

From: Dawn Gaglia <dawnmarie28@gmail.com>  
Sent: Saturday, April 22, 2017 2:33 PM  
Subject: Please forward to Mayor  
To: Campbell, Brandi <bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info>

Dear Ms. Campbell:

It is with sadness, great frustration and disappointment that I write to your office. I am a "baby boomer" and as such am a member of a revolutionary generation who believes wholeheartedly in free speech and the right to self expression, albeit in an appropriate, civil and acceptable manner. I was under the impression that Berkeley's institutions of higher learning promoted this logical and constitutional philosophy.

I am disgusted with the recent violence and the "stand down" orders your office has given to law enforcement where innocent people on the side of conservatism are concerned. Your progressive, ultra liberal fascist views on a personal level are just that...YOUR VIEWS. You don't represent the average American citizen on a professional level by immersing your personal views in with the civilly obedient way of governing.

These millennials need a leader to show them impartiality. You're failing miserably as a true leader and I feel it's appalling and you're town now fodder for comedy.

Dawn Gaglia  
Disappointed and disgusted California native.
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 10:31 AM
To: 'robleroad@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Sac bee and Chron

From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 10:31 AM
To: Kershnar, Sara <SKershnar@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Sac bee and Chron

http://www.sacbee.com/opinion/editorials/article146522764.html


Jacquelyn McCormick
Senior Advisor to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7101 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
jmccormick@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
From: Christy Trammell <christytrammell@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 1:30 PM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Subject: Mayor’s quote to LA Times

As reported by *The Los Angeles Times*, Arreguin bloviated, “We're a surrogate for the resistance against the Trump administration certainly, and for progressive values. It's not lost on me that I'm Berkeley's first Latino mayor. I have been outspoken against the Trump administration. I have to wonder if the mayor was white, would we see such hate.”

Are you serious? That's all you got is the race card? Pathetic.

Your race has nothing to do with anything. It's your inability to run a city.

Grow up.

Sent from my iPad
fyi.
See you at the next meeting.
Thanks for your work!
diana

Begin forwarded message:

From: Angie Junck <ajunck@ilrc.org>
Subject: Sanctuary Cities and Funding Threats - New Resources from ILRC
Date: April 26, 2017 at 11:51:51 AM PDT
To: Contra Coalition <contra-costa-county-racial-justice-coalition@googlegroups.com>,
"acudir-internal@googlegroups.com" <acudir-internal@googlegroups.com>, freesf
<freesf@googlegroups.com>, "scc_fire_coreteam@googlegroups.com"
<scc_fire_coreteam@googlegroups.com>, "noscomminsmc@googlegroups.com"
<noscomminsmc@googlegroups.com>
Reply-To: acudir-internal@googlegroups.com

Dear colleague,

We wanted to share some updated resources from the ILRC concerning the developments around the Trump administration’s threats to strip cities and counties with sanctuary policies of federal funding.

Whether you’re still trying to wrap your head around the sanctuary provision of January’s executive order, learn more about the legal arguments of the San Francisco and Santa Clara court case (including an update on yesterday’s decision), refresh your memory around 8 USC § 1373, or better understand the federal funding debate, there’s something useful here for you.

On the heels of yesterday’s Santa Clara v. Trump court decision, we also want to encourage you to continue your amazing organizing, policy and/or legal advocacy work to separate your community and local agencies from federal immigration enforcement.
We absolutely recognize that this fight is not new, even under this administration, and that the work to protect and defend our communities will take more than sanctuary policies alone. We are committed to supporting that work alongside each of you.

1. FAQ: Trump’s Executive Order on Sanctuary Cities
   Read This To Learn: What does the executive order say? What federal grants are affected? What is a “sanctuary jurisdiction?”
   [Link](https://www.ilrc.org/faq-trump%E2%80%99s-executive-order-sanctuary-cities)

2. SUMMARY: The Lawsuits Against Trump’s Order to Defund Sanctuary Cities
   Read This To Learn: What cities and counties have filed suit against Trump’s Executive Order? What are their legal arguments?
   [Link](https://www.ilrc.org/lawsuits-against-trump%E2%80%99s-threat-defund-sanctuary-cities)

3. FAQ: 8 USC § 1373 & Federal Funding Threats to Sanctuary Jurisdictions
   Read This To Learn: What is 8 USC § 1373 and do sanctuary policies violate it?
   [Link](https://www.ilrc.org/fact-sheet-sanctuary-policies-and-federal-funding)

4. PODCAST: Professor Bill Ong Hing, University of San Francisco and ILRC Staff Attorney Lena Graber Chat About Federal Funding Threats
   [Link](https://www.ilrc.org/interview-professor-bill-hing-threats-federal-funding-sanctuary-cities)

5. LETTER: Nearly 300 law professors sent a letter to the administration arguing the Executive Order on Sanctuary Jurisdictions is unconstitutional
   [Link](https://www.ilrc.org/letter-law-profs-1373)

We hope these resources are helpful to your work, and feel free to reach out directly if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Lena Graber,
Staff Attorney
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Subject:</strong></th>
<th>Planning for Coulter Event with Chief Greenwood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location:</strong></td>
<td>Mayor’s Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start:</strong></td>
<td>Tue 4/25/2017 12:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End:</strong></td>
<td>Tue 4/25/2017 12:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Show Time As:</strong></td>
<td>Tentative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recurrence:</strong></td>
<td>(none)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meeting Status:</strong></td>
<td>Not yet responded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizer:</strong></td>
<td>McCormick, Jacquelyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required Attendees:</strong></td>
<td>Jovan Gorgan (<a href="mailto:JGorgan@ci.berkeley.ca.us">JGorgan@ci.berkeley.ca.us</a>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 11:38 AM
To: 'J. George Lippman'

Send it on and cite my name.

I talked with Kate this morning and we need to get a copy of the existing agreements/mou’s (UASI/NCRIC) — she is pushing for that and has a meeting with Jesse to talk this through. (She is on the rampage!! A good thing! — also about the van)

Don’t know about the 1992 Mutual Aid agreements and will ask him at our staff meeting tomorrow.

Jac

From: J. George Lippman [mailto:george@lgc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 11:33 AM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>

Thanks Jac, I will do so and will cite your name if you don’t mind. I got this letter yesterday and found it quite interesting. He is imaginative and creative. I told him I’m sure he gets a bulk discount on commas. Fortunately he also has a sense of humor.

Speaking of police agreements, I have been talking with Kate and with Sara Kershner about the April 17 forum that Jesse is supporting.

I have a couple related questions for you.

1. I see on the Agenda Committee’s April 10 packet for the April 25th council meeting (I don’t see the final council agenda posted yet), there is mention of a revised item:

"From: City Manager Recommendation: Adopt a Resolution approving Police Department MOU Compendium revised Item 3.6, Grant Funding Agreement with Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Funding Program, and revised Item 3.12, Relationship with Northern California Regional Intelligence Center (NCRIC)."

We need to know ASAP what the revision is so we can strategize the campaign appropriately.

2. Jesse told me earlier that he would act to have the department follow the 1992 rules on mutual aid. I do not see this on the agenda. How does he plan to raise it? Will it come up under 3.6 or 3.12? Or does the agenda need to be amended at the next Agenda Committee or through some other means before the legal deadline?

Thanks,
George
On Apr 12, 2017, at 9:26 AM, McCormick, Jacqueley <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

For forwarding to appropriate P& J and social justice advocates....

From: bob tom [mailto:cranberrysauce23@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 4:25 PM
Subject: a letter from Blair Beekman. Tuesday April 11, 2017. __________ BAUASI approval authority meetings, February and April 2017.

Dear UASI staff,

A few thoughts, on the regional BAUASI public meeting, from early February, and of this Thursday April 13, 2017.

The BAUASI management staff, the official agency of DHS, is led by Craig Dziedizic.

He originally, was a tax attorney, who began his career, as a legal intern, 20 years ago, for then Sen. Joseph Biden.

He offered a brief summary, at the beginning of the February, regional BAUASI approval authority meeting.

Perhaps regretfully he offered, that in the next six months, BAUSI will be have to be looking into, incoming Trump administration ideas.

Alameda Co. supervisor Keith Carson, with perhaps a bit of advice, from local and state officials, has recently developed, an 18 member, six month panel.

Its intention, is to better understand, the ideas of more direct dialogue and sensitivity, with local communities,

It is a part of some important steps, in how UASI is learning, how to better address, more natural disaster, emergency preparedness ideas, for local communities, while learning to distance itself, from older, nexus of terrorism strategies.

In this process, it allows a lot of space, to talk about cultural, and local neighborhood issues, of the immediate future.

This includes, to begin to able to address, the future plans and ideas, of the new Trump/republican administration, including national security data collecting and technology ideas.

And, in how Trump /DOJ ideas, will affect local neighborhoods, for the future of Alameda Co., and the Bay Area.
After more than a few years of study, it is also the time, to start to seriously reassess, the intentions, and the future of such programs, as NCRIC and UASI itself.

So I feel, there is a wide range of things, that can be talked about, among this panel, in the next six months.

Another topic, of the February, regional BAUASI approval authority meeting.

A few of the approval board members, tried to seriously question, how NCRIC was using some funds, from UASI at this time.

A NCRIC representative, offered some answers, that were slightly questionable, and a fairly good example, of the shell game, that can go on, in government funding.

A few, BAUASI approval authority board members, seemed a bit perturbed.

A few people, of the approval board asked, what exactly is the public oversight oversight process, for NCRIC.

In some basic research, on my part, it is the BAUASI, regional authority approval meeting, itself, that has a major part, to offer and create, a public oversight process, for NCRIC.

The NCRIC items, on the regional BAUASI public agenda, for April 13, 21017, is an example, of federal government, trying to fulfill its purpose, of public oversight for NCRIC.

It is to question, if there are other ways, or other avenues, for a NCRIC public process, to take place.

An open, review process, every six months, with the 12 elected board members, of NCRIC, and structured, local follow up, or lead-into, public meetings, could be one way, there can be better public oversight.

And, a way to better understand, not only the purposes and uses, of NCRIC technology, but to understand the financing of NCRIC, and its technologies, year after year, as well.

As a final thought,
The April 13, 2017, or the 'april', BAUASI meeting, should be the annual time, that local city and county projects, have some final public scrutiny, before approval, by the BAUASI regional authority board.

I hope BAUASI, can be very open to the public, with local city projects and funding, at this time.

Sincerely,
Blair Beekman
San Jose, Ca.
Let’s work to that end. OK?

Perhaps not a meeting but a message for you to deliver.

Folks,

I realize that there probably is no reliable information at this time whether Ann Coulter is going to show up someplace in Berkeley and/or on campus this Thursday. However, from reading press reports, it sounds like activists/protestors may be coming anyway. Without focus of her location I imagine this could present a whole other set of issues if we have protesters aimlessly roaming around Berkeley.

Stuart and I feel we need to give our businesses a heads up by tomorrow morning with recommendation take regular precautions: to subscribe to Nixle, take in street furniture, etc.

Can we get an update by 10am tomorrow, so we can get out message to stakeholders no later than noon tomorrow?

Thanks, John

John Caner, CEO
Downtown Berkeley Association
2230 Shattuck Ave., Suite C
Berkeley CA 94704
510.549.2230 x12
jcaner@downtownberkeley.com
From: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 1:53 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi; McCormick, Jacquelyn; Elgstrand, Stefan; Arreguin, Jesse L.
Subject: Re: Congresswoman Barbara Lee’s Statement on Berkeley Protests

Bland.

Jason Overman | Director | Lighthouse Public Affairs
MAIN (415) 364-0000 | MOBILE (510) 847-7622

From: "Campbell, Brandi" <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Date: Thursday, April 27, 2017 at 1:51 PM
To: "McCormick, Jacquelyn" <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>, "Elgstrand, Stefan" <SELgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>, "Arreguin, Jesse L." <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Jason Overman <Jason@lh-pa.com>
Subject: FW: Congresswoman Barbara Lee’s Statement on Berkeley Protests

From: Chen, Max [mailto:Max.Chen@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 1:49 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: FW: Congresswoman Barbara Lee’s Statement on Berkeley Protests

Hi Brandi,

I just wanted to make sure that you saw this! Let us know if we can do anything to help.

Best,

Max Chen
Congressional Aide
Office of Congresswoman Barbara Lee (CA-13)
United States House of Representatives
1301 Clay Street, Suite 1000N
Oakland, CA 94612
Direct: 1 (510) 315-4223
Cell: 1 (202) 744-7293
Office: 1 (510) 763-0370
Fax: 1 (510) 763-6538
Max.Chen@mail.house.gov
WASHINGTON, D.C. – Congresswoman Lee released the following statement on today’s protests in Berkeley:

“UC Berkeley has a storied history of dissent and, as an alumna myself, I am proud of the university’s long-standing commitment to providing a forum for free speech. While I stand in firm opposition to the hateful ideology that fuels extremists like Ann Coulter, we must ensure that all parties can peacefully and safely exercise their First Amendment rights.

“We cannot allow outside agitators to undermine the work of nonviolent protestors and students exercising their constitutional rights. Recognizing that the battle of ideas cannot be won with violence, I urge everyone to protest peacefully.”

###

Congresswoman Lee is a member of the Budget and Appropriations Committees, Vice Chair of the Steering & Policy Committee, former chair of the Congressional Black Caucus, former co-chair of the Progressive Caucus and a Senior Democratic Whip. She also serves as chair of the Democratic Whip Task Force on Poverty, Income Inequality and Opportunity.
Dear Mr. Barglow:

Thank you for your thoughtful letters of concern. And our office agrees that Berkeley has been unfairly portrayed in much of the media and particularly on right wing social media outlets.

Prior to, during and after yesterday’s “event” the Mayor (and the Chancellor) have been clear on the fact that while free speech is welcome in Berkeley, violence is not a form of free speech – no matter what side you support. Berkeley is not a battleground.

Yesterday, the police not only formed a barrier between the two opposing “sides” but they also spent the day walking among the protestors and engaging them in conversation. We are very pleased that this strategy, with the support of UCPD and other law enforcement agencies, resulted in a non-violent day of protest and debate.

While we hope such events in Berkeley will wane, our administration will always support the right of speech and peaceful assembly and focus on keeping our community safe.

Sincerely,

---

Dear Berkeley Mayor and City Council Members,

This my second letter to you, continuing a discussion of the recent violent protest in downtown Berkeley.

Our town has become the most coveted location in America for holding right-wing rallies. Celebrities like Ann Coulter and Milo Yiannopoulos want to give speeches in Berkeley because they know that the violent response by the extreme left will vividly illustrate the right’s view of the left as intolerant, violent, and vile.

When the right-wing demonstrators in Berkeley shout: “You are the ones who are fascists, not us!” there is a grain of truth in what they say: In Germany and Austria during the 1920s and 30s, Nazi groups beat up protesters while the police -- somewhat like our police in Berkeley these days -- stood by and made only token arrests.

Demonstrators in Berkeley acting out violently not only communicate through the media an extremely negative image of the left to the entire country, but also powerfully confirm and deepen anti-left convictions within the ranks of the right-wing ralliers themselves. The violent opposition that the pro-Trump demonstrators encounter, which is abetted by the inaction of the Berkeley police, reinforces their conception of the left as violently antagonistic to speech that it does not like. They leave our town profoundly and perhaps irreversibly convinced that the left is malevolent and hostile to free speech.
This is a tragedy, because in fact many of the pro-Trump demonstrators who attend rallies of this kind are working people who could be reached by open-minded conversation. Many of them are open to hearing and considering progressive ideas, and in conversation we discover that we agree on some fundamental values. But dialogue of this kind is preempted by violent assault that discredits the left.

How might police and city officials NOT enact the right-wing script about Berkeley? First, the city government could officially and unequivocally acknowledge the right of the pro-Trump forces to rally here. Second, the police could place themselves in between the two sides and arrest violent individuals, thereby making it clear that our community protects the right to free speech as guaranteed by the Constitution.

Time, place, and manner regulation of public speech is reasonable. But the response to hateful speech, Congressman Keith Ellison says, in agreement with the ACLU, should be more speech not less. Hopefully Berkeley city officials and police will follow that basic principle.

Raymond Barglow, Ph.D
1138 Keith Ave.
Berkeley
Mayor Arreguin,

I respectfully disagree with part of your rhetoric and apparent plans as stated in today's Mother Jones article (http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2017/04/berkeley-mayor-vows-crackdown-all-right-antifa-ann-coulter):

"So I think going forward we are going to need to have a more visible police presence at these incidents and intervene."

Sending in the police to intervene is almost never a good idea. I have seen both first and second hand the results. I've watched up close as a woman had her skull broken open by a police baton for standing in the street. I've had friends beaten and sent to Santa Rita without their medication for sleeping in tents. We all know Moni Law, a colleague in the Post Office fight, bruised by Berkeley Police for being willing to say Black Lives Matter. Not to mention the countless videos we can all watch from around the country. No, sending in the police is almost never a good idea.

Despite the criticism you and the City have received as to what BPD has done and not done recently in dealing with the alt right and antifa, I believe you and the City have taken approximately the right approach. As both the City and BPD have stated with respect to the recent protests, sending in police is likely to further enflame a situation, not defuse it, and possibly result in severe injuries. I believe you should absolutely continue with this restrained approach, and take pride in the fact that there have been very few significant injuries in these confrontations and that BPD has not been accused of any violence or brutality.

Also, generally, I would say that a more visible police presence is not the right thing. Time and again I have witnessed the mood of a crowd change from uplifted to dour and dangerous when police show up en masse and in riot gear. BUT IN THIS CASE - where there are opposing sides, I would suggest that the police make an even more concerted effort to keep the opposing groups away from one another, forming dense lines (and thus by necessity having a more visible presence, but they should not present in a threatening manner, simply as keepers of the peace).

This is not to say that the police should not intervene to prevent serious bodily harm, or that they should not arrest people who perform such actions. In fact, I am at a loss to understand why BPD has not arrested the man who punched the young woman:

C.f. https://twitter.com/shane_bauer/status/857607703488679936

That said, there will always be criticism of police tactics. There will always be critics and trolls. Again, I believe erring on the side of restraint is, has been and should continue to be the correct approach here.

Thanks for listening.
Yippee! Can sleep in.

Ruben said yesterday that they want to do the breakfast meeting with the Chancellor at the end of the month. So I am available that morning.

Jac - please stop reading your email and enjoy your birthday and this beautiful weather!

Get Outlook for iOS<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>

I can probably get him there by 9:30 for the 9:35 match in.

Not sure if you can fit this in on Monday morn. You’d have to leave Chancellor meeting a bit early. Think about it.

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of the Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
Hey Brandi and Stefan,
I'm glad to hear that the Mayor is interested in speaking briefly at the rally. This could maybe work if the Mayor's prior engagement is at the Milvia office. We will be right below at civic center park. Our rally is short and we would end with a brief speech by the Mayor. If he does speak, we could hand him the letters of support we are writing earlier in the day. We will be walking into school as a group at 9:40 so if the Mayor could be outside with us by 9:35, it would work. Let me know what you think about this plan.

Thanks for working with us on such short notice,

Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

On Fri, Apr 28, 2017 at 2:29 PM, Campbell, Brandi > wrote:
Hi Matt,

I am cc’ing Stefan Elgstrand, the Mayor’s scheduler. Unfortunately he is in a meeting until 9:30am that he may not be able to get out of. He is very interested in joining you all though. Stefan will follow up with the Mayor and you and make something work.

Best,
Brandi

Brandi Campbell
Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7104 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
Bcampbell@ci.berkeley.ca.us
www.jessearreguin.com

Lets keep in touch! Sign up for the Mayor’s newsletter here.

From: Matt Meyer [mailto:mattmeyer@berkeley.net]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:22 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi >
Cc: Cathy Campbell >
Subject: Fwd: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School

Hey Brandi,
I wanted to forward to you this invitation for the Mayor to speak to Berkeley High teachers and students briefly at 9:15 am on Monday morning (May 1st) across the street from the high school. Could you let us know either way whether this is possible? We'd love to have him speak about the great ways Berkeley is supporting immigrants in our community.

Thanks very much,
Matt Meyer
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Matt Meyer >
Date: Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 5:38 PM
Subject: Invitation to Speak at BFT May 1st Action at Berkeley High School
To: mayor@cityofberkeley.info
Cc: Cathy Campbell >, John Becker >

Dear Mayor Arreguin,
We met a few weeks ago when you spoke at Berkeley High. I am an organizer with the Berkeley Federation of Teachers and a teacher at Berkeley High. We are putting together a short rally on May 1st that will conclude with a 'walk in'. Part of our action is a letter writing campaign happening before the rally to counter the Trump agenda. We plan to write letters to you as well thanking you for your support of immigrant students and families in Berkeley.

We would love it if you would be interested in coming to speak to the assembled crowd of teachers, classified staff, students and parents.

The rally will occur around 9:15 am across the street from Berkeley High and end by 9:40 in time for the school day to begin.

Thanks for letting us know if this might work for you.

Thanks,
Matt Meyer
BIHS Economics Teacher
Co-Lead Berkeley High Redesign
Berkeley Federation of Teachers Organizer
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 8:15 AM
To: Jesse Arreguin
Subject: RE: Mayor Jesse Arreguin speaks on progressivism and free speech at Free Speech Movement Café | The Daily Californian

Comments must be from Cal Republicans or that fascist group...

From: Jesse Arreguin [jarreguin@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 11:19 PM
To: Elgstrand, Stefan; Campbell, Brandi; McCormick, Jacquelyn
Subject: Mayor Jesse Arreguin speaks on progressivism and free speech at Free Speech Movement Café | The Daily Californian


Sent from my iPhone
Thank you for the heads up, Rubén. Truly appreciated.

Janice

On Wed, Apr 26, 2017 at 9:06 PM, Ruben Lizardo <rlizardo@berkeley.edu> wrote:

Hi Everyone

I hope you are all well. Undoubtedly you are aware of the potential for demonstrations tomorrow. In the wake of the Ann Coulter's decision to cancel her plans to speak on the campus. I will send the group a message Chancellor Dirks sent earlier today with details of all the steps the campus took to accommodate the student group that had originally invite Coulter.

This email is to make sure you know that the campus and the city have been working together to ensure the safety of our students and the berkeley community. If you see a larger than normal presence of public safety officers in and around the campus it is to ensure we come out of this in tact.

Please take a few minutes to read the City statement that was sent to campus today. It contains good advice for those who may want to peacefully exercise their right to speak out.

Have a good evening.

Ruben Lizardo
Dir. Local Government and Community Relations
UC Berkeley

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Office of the Vice Chancellor Student Affairs (campus-wide)"
<CALmessages@berkeley.edu>
Date: April 26, 2017 at 8:33:09 PM PDT
To: calmessages_communication@lists.berkeley.edu
Subject: Message from City of Berkeley re: Thursday, April 27

A message from the City of Berkeley

Stay safe at demonstrations – separate yourself from violence
The University of California-Berkeley and the City of Berkeley will be working together to manage events that may take place Thursday afternoon on the UC campus. There are a number of things you, too, can do to stay safe.

Mass gatherings of any kind attract a broad variety of people and, inevitably, that means an array of different motives and intentions. The overwhelming majority come with a peaceful purpose.

However, in recent protests in Berkeley, we have seen a small portion who come seeking to hurt others or to destroy property. We have seen that individuals who come armed and armored use peaceful protesters as a cover for their violent actions.

If you are at a demonstration and you see violence, separate yourself. Keep a distance from violence. If you can do so safely, report it to police.

This is the best way to keep yourself and others safe. It allows police to focus on and apprehend criminals while keeping bystanders safe. People with cameras who surround violent incidents can complicate the safety of other peaceful bystanders and impede police. When individuals commit violence surrounded by a peaceful crowd, police are always concerned about how the violence might spill over onto those who are not committing any crime whatsoever.

Separating yourself from violence also prevents those individuals from making their actions the image of your cause.

Don't get baited by provocateurs.

Language used to announce a protest may be effective at enticing supporters, luring counter-demonstrators or provoking conflict. Others lure people by promoting spectacle. But, if you don't know the person, groups or source personally, use caution. This is especially the case when groups do not use permits - a tool that indicates focus, organization and lawfulness. It's a tool that no one has obtained for Thursday.

Consider whether the approach others advertise is the style and venue for you. Reaching out to organizations or individuals in need is an alternative to conflict. When people at an event act in a way that compromises your values and goals, separate yourself.

The City of Berkeley, our police department and UC Berkeley will continue to develop our strategies to ensure safety for all at demonstrations, each of which has its own unique dynamics. Tactics used on both March 4 and April 15 resulted in no injuries to people uninvolved in the event and reports of minimal damage to properties. There was no significant impact to events throughout the downtown and City as a whole.

It is a challenge for police to ensure the safety of those who are reckless with their own safety. Nonetheless, police used strategies to try to do just that, even as combatants came armed and eager to fight. Police tactics led to the confiscation of dozens of weapons and 30 arrests. Berkeley Police are reviewing video footage to continue investigations, identify suspects, seek arrests and prosecute criminals.

The event on Thursday April 27 has attracted the interest of other groups on various social media outlets as well as the attention of our local media outlets. Our mission is to safeguard our community while facilitating the expression of the first amendment. We will work to identify,
investigate, arrest and prosecute anyone who commits crimes in our community. That won't end when the event does.

Subscribe to alerts from the Berkeley Police Department using Nixle, a free text and email messaging service you can find at www.cityofberkeley.info/police/nixle. Create a login and tailor it to your needs. Those updates will also be posted on our Twitter account, @berkeleypolice, where additional messages may be posted.

If you are a manager who supervises UC Berkeley employees without email access, please circulate this information to all.

Please do not reply to this message

--

Janice Thomas
Then if you want to meet with Sara/Cheryl and Kate on the Urban Shield issue please do so. All those dates are our only availability.

From: J. George Lippman [mailto:george@igc.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 4:04 PM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>; Elgstrand, Stefan <SElgstrand@cityofberkeley.info>; Kershnar, Sara <SKershnar@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: miscellaneous

Sounds great.

My error, but those other folks I copied in are only interested in the Oscar Lopez issue (Mirkinson, Ortiz). I've removed them from this note.

On Urban Shield, I am in touch with Sara/Cheryl and Kate, and to some extent Sophie. But note that of the possible dates for the social justice group, half are in the next couple weeks, but half take place after the council vote is behind us.

Sara can furnish the new PRC candidate’s name to Brandi.

George

On Apr 27, 2017, at 1:51 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Thanks for this.

I am responding for both Brandi and me:

Jac is taking Social Justice (you received a doodle for an alternative date – Dena will provide some info prior)

Jac is taking Urban Shield conversations with Jesse. Social Justice can take this one and discuss as part of our agenda

Jac is doing commissions – I have added your suggestion to our list of candidates

Jac – SRI

Sanctuary City: Brandi will connect with you.
Job Description: Brandi will connect with you. She is almost done with it.

Progressive Convention: Our office does not have the bandwidth and look to other organizers/organizations to lead this. We will supplement.

Free Speech Forum: Cheryl’s office is taking the lead on this with our office participating as needed. Check with Sara if you want to get involved in this.

Oscar Lopez: Brandi/Stefan will check with Jesse and, if approved, will move this forward and advise.

Sorry this is “curtish…” busy day but wanted to get back to you.

Jac

From: J. George Lippman [mailto:george@igc.org]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 12:48 PM
To: Campbell, Brandi <BCampbell@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMCCormick@cityofberkeley.info>; Judith Mirkinson <mirk2@comcast.net>; Kershnar, Sara <SKershnar@cityofberkeley.info>; Vylma Ortiz <vylmalaw@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: miscellaneous

Thanks Brandi!

I realize I forgot to mention a couple more things.

I think we need to do something nice for Berkeley—and for Jesse—at this time. We need a public event that will reframe the discussion away from this toxic, stupid, framing on free speech, do fascists have a right to it, crazies fighting each other—I just don’t want to debate it any more. Plus, we need to mount a strong resistance event of our own, that focuses more on the national direction and puts the "alt-right" in that context.

I favor a large public action giving prominence to the mayor and other notable figures. My partner said, bring Bernie out here. He might do it—Berkeley has become a symbol of resistance and needs defending. Change the subject. Talk about Sanctuary, in the larger context of resistance.

I know Andrea has an idea about free speech defense. And I talked with Sara Kershnar about some ideas, like a concert, or a teach-in of sorts in the summer, which I like. But I think we need to seize the moment and do something within a couple weeks, large, public, something that reaches the New York Times and beyond, while everyone is looking at us.

I’m happy to talk with your office about this.

* 

The other thing is that Peace and Justice voted to ask Jesse to sign a proclamation in support of Oscar Lopez Rivera, a Puerto Rican independentista who served over here decades in federal prison for his resistance and was released by Obama effective May. Oscar is a beloved Puerto Rican figure and will speak in Berkeley on May 31. Local organizers would like to meet with Jesse in the next couple weeks to brief him on the case of Puerto Rico and why the independence struggle is important for political leaders to engage with.
Though its a complex discussion worthy of a lot of time, I know how busy Jesse is, and if he can spare a half hour to meet with local representatives of the Puerto Rican community, that would be a good beginning. We might invite a couple others such as Cheryl, Beatriz, and a couple commissioners, others if you like.

Here are some background resources that explain his case and his broad support. I’ll work on getting you the letter from P&J and draft wording for a proclamation.

http://files.constantcontact.com/b4064850201/50c548fd-765a-4ed4-9014-9b7bbdb72b9a.pdf


http://resumen-english.org/2015/06/nyc-resolution-on-oscar-lopez-rivera/ (NYC resolution)


George

P.S. Sara told me about a young man who might be good for the PRC—I think she said Jesse was aware of him. I asked her to tell Jesse that my highest priority would be for Ben to consider appointing him. He sounds like a great match for what Ben is looking for. I hope Jesse will agree and Ben can talk with him, and with Valerie Trahan as well.
I would stay low key until something is definitive. And EIR and Settlement agreement "trump" alternative facts.

---

From: Janice Thomas [mountainlionsandbears@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 2:21 PM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Cc: Arreguin, Jesse L.; Droste, Lori
Subject: Re: just checking

Thanks for your update. FYI, I heard from a faculty person who lives in the neighborhood. He had heard that someone from the Academic Senate had sent out an email blaming neighbors for restrictions on stadium use and on subsequent difficulties paying back the mega stadium construction loan. I haven't seen the letter yet but from what I heard, it cites a set if alternative facts and builds an argument from there. The uninformed don't know about the EIR or the Settlement Agreement and that this latest use (6:00) is contrary to even the university's established policies. Let us know if you think an article in the Planet would be helpful. It can backfire which is why we've been low key.
Anyway, thanks for your help. Truly.
Janice

Sent from my iPhone

<JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

We have not heard anything definitively.....let us loop back before you shower us with blessings!!!

---

From: Janice Thomas [mountainlionsandbears@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 02, 2017 4:34 PM
To: Arreguin, Jesse L. <JArreguin@cityofberkeley.info>; Droste, Lori <ldroste@cityofberkeley.info>
Cc: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: just checking

Hello Mayor Arreguin and Council Member Droste!

There haven't been any 6:00 a.m. practices in the stadium for the past few weeks. At first we thought they were simply on a break from practice, but then it occurred to us that it might be a result of your advocacy.

One way or another, thank you for standing up for the city's noise ordinance and speaking for neighborhoods adversely affected by noise in excess of the noise ordinance. Very impressive, effective negotiation I would say.

Cheers to you!

janice
Janice Thomas
So glad he can make it! I'm sorry you can't, too bad. Josh's address is 3040 Benvenue.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: "McCormick, Jacqelyn" <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info>
Date: 4/28/17 11:29 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: 'LUCY SMALLSREED' <lucysmallsreed@comcast.net>
Subject: RE: New BNA date for meeting with the Mayor

I have place this on his calendar! Please remind me of Josh's address as I will need to make sure Jesse has it.

Unfortunately, that evening is the annual CENA membership meeting so I won't be able to attend.

We are also relieved that yesterday's "event" didn't explode!

Thanks for caring!

Jac
Hi Jac - I know everyone has been super busy this week in anticipation of the Coulter protests. I am delighted that today seems to have gone quite well, and am grateful as a Berkeley resident for all the hard work by the police and city government over these past weeks.

I can now let you know that the next BNA board meeting is scheduled for Monday, June 12th, 7:00 PM, at Josh Sperry's house. We are hoping that the Mayor will be able to meet with us that evening. We are looking forward to talking with him about the hospital, about the growing business departures in the Elmwood Commercial District, and about a recent poll we have done of our neighborhood regarding their concerns.

Please let me know if June 12th will work. And of course, we would love to have you attend as well.

Lucy
Not to worry! We are...and also testifying at the hearings on Wednesday

I am calling on all of you to do the same! Our state senator Nancy Skinner is a co-sponsor of SB562 and as our progressive representatives in the city of Berkeley I look to all of you for your support of this most important bill. Thanks to all of you.

sheila goldmacher
2341 Parker St. #8
Berkeley, Ca., 94704

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Councilmember At-Large Rebecca Kaplan <atlarge@oaklandnet.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 13, 2017 at 4:37 PM
Subject: Oakland City Council To Hear My Resolution In Support Of SB 562, The Healthy California Act

Dear Suzy,

I am happy to announce that today the Rules Committee scheduled my Resolution in Support of Senate Bill 562, the Healthy California Act, to be voted on at the City Council Meeting on Tuesday, April 18, 2017.

Click here to read the Resolution.

SB 562 would enact legislation that would create the Healthy California program to provide comprehensive universal single-payer health care coverage and a health care cost control system for the benefit of all residents of the state. As someone who lived for years under a universal, single-payer healthcare system in Canada, I am personally familiar with the effectiveness, accessibility, and cost-savings that can be provided by a universal healthcare system.
Although Republicans failed in their first attempt to repeal and replace the Affordable Care Act (ACA), President Trump vows the effort will continue, and administrative actions already taken by the Trump Administration threaten to undermine the implementation of the ACA and increase the number of uninsured.

California is such a large economy that we could implement a universal healthcare system as a State, even if the nation does not. By leading with this kind of compassionate, and universal example, California can protect our own residents and also provide an example that can be replicated elsewhere in the Country. For these reasons, I am urging my colleagues to pledge the City of Oakland’s support of SB 562.

City Council will vote on my Resolution at the City Council Meeting on Tuesday, April 18, 2017, which begins at 5:30 pm at Oakland City Hall.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Kaplan
Oakland Councilmember At-Large
The Mayor also “likes” Donald Trump on facebook....they fail to mention that he is an equal opportunity fact gatherer.....

Yikes. I am sure you are tracking this. It's on fox as well. And I just googled Jesse for another reason, and well...


--

Jen Loy
Assistant Director
Local Government & Community Relations
University of California, Berkeley
2130 Center Street, 2nd Floor
Berkeley, CA 94720-4208
o: 510.642.7860
c: 510.387.8639
f: 510.643.0281
W: Local Government & Community Relations
e: jenloy@berkeley.edu
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 4:28 PM
To: 'Jen Loy'
Subject: RE: right wing ness

OH NO! don’t make my life any more miserable over all this....!!!

From: Jen Loy [mailto:jenloy@berkeley.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 4:26 PM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: Re: right wing ness

i’m gonna tell BAMN that he is a trump supporter!!!!

On Tue, Apr 25, 2017 at 4:23 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

The Mayor also “likes” Donald Trump on facebook....they fail to mention that he is an equal opportunity fact gatherer.....

From: Jen Loy [mailto:jenloy@berkeley.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 4:20 PM
To: McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMccormick@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: right wing ness

Yikes. I am sure you are tracking this. It's on fox as well. And I just googled Jesse for another reason, and well...


--

1
Jen Loy
Assistant Director
Local Government & Community Relations
University of California, Berkeley
2130 Center Street, 2nd Floor
Berkeley, CA 94720-4208
o: 510.642.7860
c: 510.387.8639
f: 510.643.0281
w: Local Government & Community Relations
e: jenloy@berkeley.edu
Hi team,

Our subcommittee meeting is Friday afternoon—I wrote it down as a Socially Responsible Investing and Procurement group (SRIP). I am not entirely sure what our charge is, but I think we will be working on a an overall approach to this work, including the bank replacement, social criteria for the City’s business relationships (investing, banking, procurement), and I think now we need to discuss the Border Wall, recently approved by the council as a divestment and contracting bar.

Jac sent me this information on the logistics for Friday:

"This is your reserved room for the 31st. Up here on the 5th floor on the council side."

**Responsible Banking Committee**

**When:** Friday, March 31, 2017 3:30 PM-5:00 PM

**Where:** Room: Redbud, 2180 5NE (fifth floor conference room)

Jac, please let us know if there is more you can add to the expectations for Friday’s meeting; also, if there are other people who should be invited. Are you coming to the meeting?

I’ve attached a sketch of SRI ordinances over the last 40 years. Also, an informal proposal from the SRI subcommittee of Peace and Justice. Jac, can your office make copies of these documents for the group?

Thanks all. Please let me know if you are coming Friday at 3:30. See you then!

George Lippman

geolippman.pjc@earthlink.net

Vice-Chair, Peace and Justice Commission

P.S. Here are some online resources regarding the border wall divestment. See:

Another Chron article on similar San Francisco action:  http://www.sfgate.com/politics/article/San-Francisco-Trump-border-wall-companies-11015939.php
The Berkeley resolution:  Denouncing Presidential Executive Order to Build a Border Wall and Urging the City of Berkeley to Divest from Companies Supporting or Participating in the Construction of a Border Wall

On Mar 13, 2017, at 4:57 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

All:

Thank you so much for attending last Friday’s “kick-off” of the Mayor’s Task Force for Responsible Banking.

I had a chance to connect with the Mayor right after our meeting and have the following direction for tomorrow evening (March 14):

- The Mayor is going to pull the item from Information and put it on the Action Calendar for April 4
- We need to draft our statement on divestment and then he will include it as a supplemental to that item. It would be great if we could have it by Monday March 20. Then it can be reviewed and included in the final agenda package for April 4.

In the meantime

- Councilmember Hahn and Bartlett have indicated a desire to do a public banking item. I have invited their staff to be part of our group – more hands are good!
- I am working on a contact sheet for all of you and will put it in a google doc and give you all access

Looking forward to working with you all.

Jacquelyn McCormick
Senior Advisor to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7101 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
jmccormick@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
Thank you for the heads up re: tomorrow. That's lots of traveling for you in the morning!

On Apr 26, 2017, at 4:54 PM, McCormick, Jacquelyn <JMcCormick@cityofberkeley.info> wrote:

Hi Jiro:

It should be a crazy day tomorrow. While Ann Coulter has “cancelled” her army of groupies is still planning on attending – as are their counterparts.

Please enter through the front of the building. We want to start working on filling in the document boona sent today about our shelter visits. I will be in around 10:30 as I have to take Beverly to Redwood City to visit her son. We leave at 6am and usually are back around 10 but I cannot drive to the office tomorrow so will either go home and get the scooter or take BART.

See you tomorrow!

Jacquelyn McCormick
Senior Advisor to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7101 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
jmccormick@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn  
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 7:39 AM  
To: kelly hammargren  
Cc: Jarrequin@gmail.com  
Subject: RE: traffic on NEXT-DOOR for response to Ann Coulter demonstrators  

Thanks for this. I have communicated with this group and pleaded with them to stay away. Adding people to an event that requires significant policing - even if they are committed to doing so peacefully - adds even more pressure on our police. It is just more people to keep safe. PLEASE stay away and let our police focus on those who would do us (and each other) harm. PLEASE don't add to their workload in an already VERY stressful situation.

We truly appreciate the support of our community and their willingness to make a statement that the protestors that seek to do harm do not represent Berkeley values. Perhaps something should be organized in the future that makes such a statement from OUR community and not let the "carpetbaggers" define us.

Feel free to distribute this message with urgency.

Jac  

From: kelly hammargren [kellyhammargren@gmail.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 11:22 PM  
To: Arreguin, Jesse L.; Arreguin, Jesse L.; McCormick, Jacquelyn; Harrison, Kate  
Subject: traffic on NEXT-DOOR for response to Ann Coulter demonstrators  

I am sending this note as I believe there needs to be some direction to the community about responding to the demonstrators that are coming to Berkeley to provoke violence.

My personal belief is that we need to stay away and stay out of the way. We need to not feed into the violence and media attention. We need to not complicate the work of the Berkeley Police by expanding the crowd size.

The invitation below went to 31 neighborhoods on Next Door asking/inviting people to show-up for a peaceful response to the the demonstrators coming to Berkeley.

Join us Thursday in reclaiming Berkeley's reputation for free speech and nonviolence <https://mcgeespauldinghardydistrict.nextdoor.com/news_feed/?post=49171184>  
Kevin Casey <https://mcgeespauldinghardydistrict.nextdoor.com/profile/16485850/> from North Shattuck · 49m ago  
"Respect Berkeley" is a group of Berkeley residents who are troubled that our community has been turned into a battlefield by groups more interested in violence than in supporting people’s rights.

We believe that the majority of the American people, and especially the people of Berkeley, are committed to taking care of each other and safeguarding the foundations of our government which exist to respect and protect all of us.

Members of our group (and other like-minded people of the community who wish to join us) will gather in front of the old city hall building at 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way (across from Civic Center Park) from 10:00 a.m. through 3:00 p.m.

We will be gathering nonviolently, for the purpose of conveying this message.
We support the constitutionally-guaranteed rights to peaceful assembly & free speech.
While we condemn hate speech, we also denounce the use of violence in defending or opposing it.
To that end, we stand in nonviolent witness for our peaceful, progressive community.
We welcome anyone to join us as long as the person agrees to the following:
We will be nonviolent in word and action.
We will be open, friendly and respectful toward all people we encounter.
We will consciously seek to avoid violent situations and protect others from violence.
We will not give in to anger, nor will we retaliate or curse.
We will not damage or deface property.
We will not bring or use illegal drugs, alcohol or weapons.
We will not speak in the name of the group unless we are authorized to do so.

People who would like to join us or keep up with our activities can go to our "Respect Berkeley" Facebook page or follow us on Twitter @RespectBerkeley.
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 10:31 AM
To: Kershner, Sara
Subject: Sac bee and Chron

http://www.sacbee.com/opinion/editorials/article146522764.html


Jacquelyn McCormick
Senior Advisor to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7101 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
jmccormick@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
From: McCormick, Jacquelyn
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 4:54 PM
To: jiro.arase@gmail.com
Subject: Tomorrow

Hi Jiro:

It should be a crazy day tomorrow. While Ann Coulter has “cancelled” her army of groupies is still planning on attending – as are their counterparts.

Please enter through the front of the building. We want to start working on filling in the document boona sent today about our shelter visits. I will be in around 10:30 as I have to take Beverly to Redwood City to visit her son. We leave at 6am and usually are back around 10 but I cannot drive to the office tomorrow so will either go home and get the scooter or take BART.

See you tomorrow!

Jacquelyn McCormick
Senior Advisor to the Mayor
Office of Mayor Jesse Arreguin
City of Berkeley
2180 Milvia Street, 5th Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-7101 phone
(510) 981-7199 fax
jmccormick@cityofberkeley.info
www.jessearreguin.com
I am sending this note as I believe there needs to be some direction to the community about responding to the demonstrators that are coming to Berkeley to provoke violence.

My personal belief is that we need to stay away and stay out of the way. We need to not feed into the violence and media attention. We need to not complicate the work of the Berkeley Police by expanding the crowd size.

The invitation below went to 31 neighborhoods on Next Door asking/inviting people to show-up for a peaceful response to the the demonstrators coming to Berkeley.

Join us Thursday in reclaiming Berkeley's reputation for free speech and nonviolence
Kevin Casey from North Shattuck · 49m ago

"Respect Berkeley" is a group of Berkeley residents who are troubled that our community has been turned into a battlefield by groups more interested in violence than in supporting people’s rights.

We believe that the majority of the American people, and especially the people of Berkeley, are committed to taking care of each other and safeguarding the foundations of our government which exist to respect and protect all of us.

Members of our group (and other like-minded people of the community who wish to join us) will gather in front of the old city hall building at 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way (across from Civic Center Park) from 10:00 a.m. through 3:00 p.m.

We will be gathering nonviolently, for the purpose of conveying this message:
» We support the constitutionally-guaranteed rights to peaceful assembly & free speech.
» While we condemn hate speech, we also denounce the use of violence in defending or opposing it.
» To that end, we stand in nonviolent witness for our peaceful, progressive community.

We welcome anyone to join us as long as the person agrees to the following:
We will be nonviolent in word and action.
We will be open, friendly and respectful toward all people we encounter.
We will consciously seek to avoid violent situations and protect others from violence.
We will not give in to anger, nor will we retaliate or curse.
We will not damage or deface property
We will not bring or use illegal drugs, alcohol or weapons.
We will not speak in the name of the group unless we are authorized to do so.

People who would like to join us or keep up with our activities can go to our "Respect Berkeley" Facebook page or follow us on Twitter @RespectBerkeley.
From: 5109172609@vzwpix.com
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2017 5:38 AM
To: All Council
Attachments: text_0.txt
Ann Coulter is using Berkeley to diUPLE the media for attention...
The situation with her has nothing to do with "free speech"...