

Chris Fedeli

From: DCD_ECFNotice@dcd.uscourts.gov
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:18 PM
To: DCD_ECFNotice@dcd.uscourts.gov
Subject: Activity in Case 1:17-cv-00600-CKK JUDICIAL WATCH, INC. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Order on Motion to Stay

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 7/16/2018 at 4:18 PM and filed on 7/16/2018

Case Name: JUDICIAL WATCH, INC. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Case Number: [1:17-cv-00600-CKK](#)

Filer:

Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:

MINUTE ORDER: The Court has received Plaintiff's [26] Reply to Opposition to Motion to Stay. Plaintiff's [21] Motion to Stay requests a stay while Defendant processes Plaintiff's separate FOIA request seeking documents that Defendant has determined to be nonresponsive to the FOIA request at issue in this litigation. However, responsiveness of these documents is among the issues in the parties' [14] [15] Motions for Summary Judgment as to the FOIA request in this case. A decision that the documents are not responsive would facilitate the resolution of this case. A decision that the documents are in fact responsive would result in an order that Defendant release the documents as part of this litigation. The Court finds that it is more efficient to resolve the responsiveness issue by deciding these motions for summary judgment than to wait an indefinite period of time for Defendant's full resolution of the separate FOIA request, or to wait some shorter period for one or more piecemeal updates on its status. Accordingly, in an exercise of the Court's discretion to efficiently manage this case, the Court shall DENY Plaintiff's [21] Motion to Stay.

The Court shall proceed to evaluate the parties' [14][15] Motions for Summary Judgment. In the event that Defendant's processing of Plaintiff's separate FOIA request affects the pending motions prior to the Court's decision, the parties shall file a Joint Status Report identifying the developments and proposing the way in which the Court should handle the pending motions. Signed by Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly on July 16, 2018. (lcckk1)

1:17-cv-00600-CKK Notice has been electronically mailed to:

Chris Fedeli cfedeli@judicialwatch.org, jwlegal@judicialwatch.org

Melanie Dyani Hendry melanie.hendry2@usdoj.gov, shanae.byrd@usdoj.gov

1:17-cv-00600-CKK Notice will be delivered by other means to::