1	ROBERT PATRICK STICHT (SBN 138586) Law Offices of Robert Patrick Sticht P.O. Box 49457		
2			
3	Los Angeles, CA 90049 Telephone: (310) 889-1950		
4	Facsimile: (310) 889-1864		
5	Email: LORPS@verizon.net		
6	Attorney for Real Party In Interest, JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	VVETTE EEL ADCA LODINIVON	CASE NO.: 17-cv-06282-VC	
11	YVETTE FELARCA, LORI NIXON, LARRY STEFL,		
12	Plaintiffs/Petitioners,	JUDICIAL WATCH'S UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR	
13	V.	LEAVE TO INTERVENE	
14	BERKELEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, DONALD EVANS, JANET		
15	LEVENSON,		
16	Defendants/Respondents.		
17			
18	JUDICIAL WATCH,		
19	Real Party In Interest.	Hon. Vince Chhabria	
20			
21	TO THE COURT ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
22	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,		
23	Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12, and this Court's order filed November 9, 2017 (Doc. 29),		
24	JUDICIAL WATCH, by and through its attorney of record, Robert Patrick Sticht, will and does hereby		
25	move for an order granting leave to intervene in this action.		
26	The grounds for this motion are that Judicial Watch is the real party in interest as the requesting		
27	party under the California Public Records Act ("CPRA"), Government Code sections 6250 et seq., that		
28			

Case 3:17-cv-06282-VC Document 35 Filed 11/29/17 Page 2 of 3

1 plaintiffs are seeking to prevent defendants from releasing information on the grounds the requested 2 disclosure is prohibited by law, that plaintiffs' action will necessarily affect the rights of Judicial Watch, 3 a party whose interest in access to public records is protected by the California Constitution, article 1, 4 section 3, subdivision (b)(1), as well as the CPRA, and protected by specific provisions of the CPRA 5 authorizing litigation to compel disclosure, see e.g., Government Code sections 6258 and 6259, that 6 Judicial Watch plainly has a stake in the outcome of this action and is so situated that disposing of the 7 action in its absence may as a practical matter impair or impede its ability to protect its interest, that its 8 interest is not adequately represented by existing parties, and that, therefore, its interests should be 9 represented upon motion and order allowing it to intervene in the action. In the alternative, Judicial 10 Watch has an interest that shares with the action a common question of law or fact and intervention will 11 not unduly delay nor prejudice the adjudication of the existing parties' rights. 12 This motion is based upon this notice and motion, the attached stipulation and proposed order, 13 the file and record in this case, and such argument and further evidence as may be presented to the court. 14 Dated: November 29, 2017 15 Respectfully submitted, 16 /s/ Robert Patrick Sticht. 17 ROBERT PATRICK STICHT 18

Attorney for Real Party In Interest, JUDICIAL WATCH

21

19

20

22

23

2425

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on November 29, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing JUDICIAL WATCH'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by using the district CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the district CM/ECF system. /s/ Robert Patrick Sticht. ROBERT PATRICK STICHT

1 2	ROBERT PATRICK STICHT (SBN 138586) Law Offices of Robert Patrick Sticht P.O. Box 49457		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90049		
4	Telephone: (310) 889-1950 Facsimile: (310) 889-1864		
5	Email: LORPS@verizon.net		
6	Attorney for Real Party In Interest, JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.		
7			
8	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	YVETTE FELARCA, LORI NIXON,	CASE NO.: 17-cv-06282-VC	
11	LARRY STEFL,		
12	Plaintiffs/Petitioners,	STIPULATION PERMITTING JUDICIAL WATCH TO INTERVENE; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
13	BERKELEY UNIFIED SCHOOL		
14	DISTRICT, DONALD EVANS, JANET LEVENSON,		
15	,		
16	Defendants/Respondents.		
17			
18	JUDICIAL WATCH,		
19	Real Party In Interest.	Hon. Vince Chhabria	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

RECITALS

- A. On October 30, 2017, Yvette Felarca, Lori Nixon, and Larry Stefl ("Plaintiffs") filed a Verified Complaint and Petition for Writ of Mandamus as a putative class action against the Berkeley Unified School District, Donald Evans, and Janet Levenson ("Defendants"), naming Judicial Watch as real party in interest. Plaintiffs also filed a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and/or Preliminary Injunction. On October 31, 2017, the Court issued a tentative Order Re: Application for Temporary Restraining Order, and on November 1, 2017, the Court issued a Scheduling Order Re: Application for Temporary Restraining Order. On November 3, 2017, Defendants filed an Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and/or Preliminary Injunction. Defendants also filed a Notice to Judicial Watch Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24. On November 3, 2017, Judicial Watch filed a Notice of Intent to Intervene. On November 9, 2017, the Court issued an Order Re: Temporary Restraining Order and Intervention, and Order to Show Cause Why Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue ("TRO").
- B. On November 20, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a Verified First Amended Complaint and Petition for Writ of Mandamus as a putative class action against Defendants, naming Judicial Watch as real party in interest.
- C. On November 3, 2017, Defendants filed a Notice to Judicial Watch Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24. On the same date, Judicial Watch filed a Notice of Intent to Intervene. In its TRO, the Court stated Judicial Watch will likely be permitted to intervene if it so moves. Furthermore, if the motion is not opposed, Judicial Watch may file an administrative motion under Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12.
- D. Judicial Watch requested the stipulation of Plaintiffs and Defendants and their counsel. Plaintiffs, Defendants, and counsel have all stipulated to the intervention of Judicial Watch.

STIPULATION

Plaintiffs, Defendants, and their counsel, and Judicial Watch, by and through their attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and request that the Court make this stipulation an order of the Court:

1. Judicial Watch shall be permitted to intervene in this action as the real party in interest

Case 3:17-cv-06282-VC Document 35-1 Filed 11/29/17 Page 3 of 5

1	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24.	
2		
3	Dated: November 29, 2017	Respectfully submitted,
4		LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT PATRICK STICHT
5		By: /s/ Robert Patrick Sticht.
6		ROBERT PATRICK STICHT
7		Attorney for Real Party In Interest,
8		JUDICIAL WATCH
9		Ronald Cruz
10		Shanta Driver*
11		UNITED FOR EQUALITY AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION LEGAL DEFENSE FUND (UEAALDF)
12		1985 Linden Street Oakland, CA 94607
13		Phone: (510) 875-4463 / Fax: (313) 586-0089
14		Email: ronald.cruz@ueaa.net *Pro Hac Vice
15		By: /s/ Ronald Cruz
16		RONALD CRUZ
17		Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Petitioners,
18		Yvette Felarca, Lori Nixon, Larry Stefl
19		Jerome Schreibstein
20		Mark S. Posard GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI LLP.
21		275 Battery Street
22		Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111
23		Phone: (415) 986-5900 / Fax: (415) 986-8054 Email: Jschreibstein@grsm.com
24		_
25		By: /s/ Jerome Schreibstein JEROME SCHREIBSTEIN
26		Attorneys for Defendants/Respondents,
27		Berkeley Unified School District, Donald Evans, Janet Levenson
28		2 511112 2 1 41115, 1 41110 11

Case 3:17-cv-06282-VC Document 35-1 Filed 11/29/17 Page 4 of 5

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Having considered the above stipulation to permit Judicial Watch to intervene pursuant to		
3	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24, Judicial Watch is allowed to intervene in this action as the real party		
4	in interest.		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
6	Dated:, 2017		
7	Honorable Vince Chhabria		
8	United States District Judge		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 29, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing STIPULATION PERMITTING JUDICIAL WATCH TO INTERVENE; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California by using the district CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the district CM/ECF system.

/s/ Robert Patrick Sticht.
ROBERT PATRICK STICHT