

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 9:21 PM  
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Laufman call

Can you call me?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]  
Date: 02/24/2016 9:19 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[redacted]  
Subject: RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

OK thanks, OK for me to relay to Bill, correct? Need to figure out how to interact with DOJ on this especially as it moves up their chain. As noted below, Laufman was dead set on 4-3 and need to decide the answer soon.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]  
Date: 02/24/2016 8:48 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[redacted]  
Subject: RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Pete,

I talked to Andy after you and I talked tonight. I told him there may be some facts that weren't presented to him that counsel in favor of 3x3. I told him my recommendation to you was to have the AD present those facts to him tomorrow morning to get a final decision. He agreed with the recommendation and is open to changing his mind if the facts support it.

[redacted]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]  
Date: 02/24/2016 8:34 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (DO) (FBI)"  
[redacted]  
Subject: Fwd: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Fysa, I'm sure Bill will run it up thru [redacted]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]

Date: 02/24/2016 8:32 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]

Cc: "Jones, Robert A. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]

Subject: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

David called and emailed me a couple of times this evening and we just connected; he was calling to advise that he had thought and discussed the interview, felt strongly about DoJ bringing four attorneys (David + 3), and that he was going to raise it up his chain. I told him I thought that was going to be necessary because the DD had indicated the group should be 2-2. I noted you had advocated for 3-3 based on discussion with him, but that the DD was firm.

He said he would advise his management. I anticipate this will get to George and Carlin tonight, not sure when it will hit ODAG.

My request is we get a final decision as soon as possible as it impacts interview prep.

Thanks,  
Pete

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 9:00 AM  
**To:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Sigh.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]  
**Date:** 02/25/2016 8:59 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Andy just told Bill that he wants 3x3. Pete plus two case agents.

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 8:03 AM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I think that could be Lisa's new nickname...can we get baseball caps made, a la Donald Trump?

(sorry for the delayed response. I'm old. Generally fall asleep after my 9:30 glass of Metamucil.)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 24, 2016 10:09 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1

0.0%!

----- Original message -----

**From:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]  
**Date:** 02/24/2016 10:05 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Sounds good. What are the odds we've actually heard the last of this topic?

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Date:** 02/24/2016 10:01 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[redacted]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

[Redacted]  
Subject RE: Laufman call

Discussed at length with Bill. Apparently George called Andy, and Andy was firm on 2-2.

I told Bill our position has always been 2-2 is ideal, and that 3-3 was an effort to meet DoJ part way in their assertion they needed 5 attorneys there (which Bill relayed to him earlier). In the end, the DD has drawn a line and we fall in behind him.

----- Original message -----

From: [Redacted] (DO) (FBI) [Redacted]  
Date: 02/24/2016 9:29 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [Redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[Redacted]  
Subject: RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Please do relay to Bill if there's any interest in moving off 2x2. Andy will want to be presented with the new facts so he can make a decision ASAP. However, I understand the silent party on this thread may have talked some sense into you about moving off 3x3. From Andy's perspective, it's easier to defend a team of 4 vs 7 than a team of 6 vs 7.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [Redacted]  
Date: 02/24/2016 9:19 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [Redacted] (FBI) [Redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[Redacted]  
Subject: RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

OK thanks, OK for me to relay to Bill, correct? Need to figure out how to interact with DOJ on this especially as it moves up their chain. As noted below, Laufman was dead set on 4-3 and need to decide the answer soon.

----- Original message -----

From: [Redacted] (DO) (FBI) [Redacted]  
Date: 02/24/2016 8:48 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [Redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[Redacted]  
Subject: RE: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Pete,

I talked to Andy after you and I talked tonight. I told him there may be some facts that weren't presented to him that counsel in favor of 3x3. I told him my recommendation to you was to have the AD present those facts to him tomorrow morning to get a final decision. He agreed with the recommendation and is open to changing his mind if the facts support it.

[Redacted]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 02/24/2016 8:34 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Fysa, I'm sure Bill will run it up thru [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 02/24/2016 8:32 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Jones, Robert A. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Laufman call

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

David called and emailed me a couple of times this evening and we just connected; he was calling to advise that he had thought and discussed the interview, felt strongly about DoJ bringing four attorneys (David + 3), and that he was going to raise it up his chain. I told him I thought that was going to be necessary because the DD had indicated the group should be 2-2. I noted you had advocated for 3-3 based on discussion with him, but that the DD was firm.

He said he would advise his management. I anticipate this will get to George and Carlin tonight, not sure when it will hit ODAG.

My request is we get a final decision as soon as possible as it impacts interview prep.

Thanks,  
Pete