



**Federal Bureau of Investigation**  
Washington, D.C. 20535

October 1, 2018

MR. WILLIAM F MARSHALL  
JUDICIAL WATCH  
SUITE 800  
425 THIRD STREET, SOUTHWEST  
WASHINGTON, DC 20024

FOIPA Request No.: 1391365-000  
Civil Action No.: 18-cv-154  
Subject: Communications between Peter Strzok and  
Lisa Page (February 1, 2015 – Present)

Dear Mr. Marshall:

The enclosed documents were reviewed under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Title 5, United States Code, Section 552. Below you will find check boxes under the appropriate statute headings with indicate the types of exemptions asserted to protect information which is exempt from disclosure. The appropriate exemptions are noted on the enclosed pages next to redacted information. In addition, a deleted page information sheet was inserted to indicate where pages were withheld entirely and identify which exemptions were applied. The checked exemption boxes used to withhold information are further explained in the enclosed Explanation of Exemptions.

**Section 552**

☐ (b)(1)

☐ (b)(2)

☐ (b)(3)

☐ (b)(4)

☒ (b)(5)

☒ (b)(6)

☒ (b)(7)(A)

☐ (b)(7)(B)

☒ (b)(7)(C)

☐ (b)(7)(D)

☒ (b)(7)(E)

☐ (b)(7)(F)

☐ (b)(8)

☐ (b)(9)

**Section 552a**

☐ (d)(5)

☐ (j)(2)

☐ (k)(1)

☐ (k)(2)

☐ (k)(3)

☐ (k)(4)

☐ (k)(5)

☐ (k)(6)

☐ (k)(7)

500 pages of potentially responsive records were reviewed.

177 pages are being released in whole or in part.

15 pages are being withheld in full per exemptions.

60 pages are being withheld duplicate.

37 pages are being withheld referral/consult.

211 pages were determined to be non-records/non-responsive to the FOIA request.

Below you will also find additional informational paragraphs about your request. Where applicable, check boxes are used to provide you with more information about the processing of your request. Please read each item carefully.

☒ Document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning, other Government Agency (ies) [OGA].

☐ This information has been referred to the OGA(s) for review and direct response to you.

☒ We are consulting with another agency. The FBI will correspond with you regarding this information when the consultation is completed.

☐ In accordance with standard FBI practice and pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(7)(E) and Privacy Act exemption (j)(2) [5 U.S.C. § 552/552a (b)(7)(E)/(j)(2)], this response neither confirms nor denies the existence of your subject's name on any watch lists.

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). See 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)). This response is limited to those records subject to the requirements of the FOIA. This is a standard notification that is given to all our requesters and should not be taken as an indication that excluded records do, or do not, exist. Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Explanation of Exemptions.

Although your request is in litigation, we are required by law to provide you the following information:

You may file an appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information Policy (OIP), United States Department of Justice, Suite 11050, 1425 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, or you may submit an appeal through OIP's FOIA online portal by creating an account on the following web site: <https://foiaonline.regulations.gov/foia/action/public/home>. Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter in order to be considered timely. If you submit your appeal by mail, both the letter and the envelope should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA Request Number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

You may direct any further inquiries to the attorney representing the Government in this matter.

☐ The enclosed material is from the main investigative file(s) in which the subject(s) of your request was the focus of the investigation. Our search located additional references, in files relating to other individuals, or matters, which may or may not be about your subject(s). Our experience has shown such additional references, if identified to the same subject of the main investigative file, usually contain information similar to the information processed in the main file(s). As such, we have given priority to processing only the main investigative file(s) given our significant backlog. If you would like to receive any references to the subject(s) of your request, please submit a separate request for the reference material in writing. The references will be reviewed at a later date, as time and resources permit.

☒ See additional information which follows.

Sincerely,



David M. Hardy  
Section Chief  
Record/Information  
Dissemination Section  
Information Management Division

Enclosure(s)

In response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, enclosed is a processed copy of Bates Stamped documents, FBI(18-cv-154)-1536 through FBI(18-cv-154)-2035. The enclosed documents represent the fifth interim release of information responsive to your request.



The FBI conducted email searches for any email communication between Peter Strzok and Lisa Page. This search located both official government records and non-record personal communications between these two individuals.

The FBI reviewed 500 pages of these emails. While conducting this review, the FBI individually analyzed the emails to determine whether they pertained to official government business constituting records under the FOIA or whether they consisted of purely personal communications between the two individuals. As a result of the FBI's review, it determined 211 pages were non-record, personal communications not subject to the FOIA; and 289 pages consisted of responsive FBI records.

As previously indicated, document(s) were located which originated with, or contained information concerning another agency (ies). We are consulting with the other agency (ies) and are awaiting their response. Our office has processed all other information currently in our possession. The FBI will correspond with you regarding those documents when the consultation is completed.

To minimize costs to both you and the FBI, duplicate copies of the same document were not processed.

## EXPLANATION OF EXEMPTIONS

### SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552

- (b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;
- (b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;
- (b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;
- (b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;
- (b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;
- (b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
- (b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information ( A ) could reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, ( B ) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, ( C ) could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, ( D ) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, ( E ) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or ( F ) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;
- (b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or
- (b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

### SUBSECTIONS OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 552a

- (d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;
- (j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;
- (k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;
- (k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;
- (k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;
- (k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;
- (k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA)  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOIA Request No.: 1391365-000 Civil  
Action No.: 18-cv-154**

**Total Withheld Page(s) = 291**

<b>Bates Page Reference</b>	<b>Reason for Withholding</b> (i.e., exemptions with coded rationale, duplicate, sealed by order of court, etc.)
FBI(18-cv-154)-1536 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1537	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1544 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1545
FBI(18-cv-154)-1538	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1539 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1540	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1544 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1545
FBI(18-cv-154)-1541 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1543	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1546 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1553	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1554 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1557	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1558 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1561
FBI(18-cv-154)-1562	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1564 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1565	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1567 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1568	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1571 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1572
FBI(18-cv-154)-1573 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1578	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1579 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1581
FBI(18-cv-154)-1583	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1587	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1588 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1589	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1590
FBI(18-cv-154)-1591	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1593 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1595	b5-1; b7E-10
FBI(18-cv-154)-1596 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1598	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1560 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1603	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1604 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1605	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1606 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1607
FBI(18-cv-154)-1608 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1612	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1613	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1614 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1615



FBI(18-cv-154)-1616 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1617	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1618
FBI(18-cv-154)-1619 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1620	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1621	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1622 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1623
FBI(18-cv-154)-1624 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1635	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1636 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1639	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1692 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1694
FBI(18-cv-154)-1642 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1646	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1648 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1653	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1655 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1657	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1660	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1661
FBI(18-cv-154)-1662 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1680	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1682	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1683	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1684 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1685
FBI(18-cv-154)-1686 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1687	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1688 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1689	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1692 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1694
FBI(18-cv-154)-1691	b5-1,2,3
FBI(18-cv-154)-1694	b5-1,2,3
FBI(18-cv-154)-1695	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1696
FBI(18-cv-154)-1697	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1698
FBI(18-cv-154)-1699 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1702	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1706 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1708	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1709 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1712
FBI(18-cv-154)-1713	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1714 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1726	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-1727 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1729	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1733	b6-1; b7C-1
FBI(18-cv-154)-1734 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1735	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1736 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1738

FBI(18-cv-154)-1742 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1746	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1747 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1748	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1749 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1750
FBI(18-cv-154)-1751 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1752	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1757 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1761	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1762 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1767
FBI(18-cv-154)-1767	b7E-6
FBI(18-cv-154)-1768 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1769	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1770 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1771
FBI(18-cv-154)-1772 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1784	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1787 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1793	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-1795	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1798 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1801	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1804 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1805	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1806	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1807
FBI(18-cv-154)-1809	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1810 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1811
FBI(18-cv-154)-1812 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1813	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1828 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1829	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1834 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1851	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1853 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1854	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1856 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1891	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1894 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1904	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1905 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1909	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-1910	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1918	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1919
FBI(18-cv-154)-1920 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1924	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1934 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1935	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1938 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1940	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request

FBI(18-cv-154)-1943 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1947	b5-1,2; b7E-4
FBI(18-cv-154)-1953	b6-1; b7C-1
FBI(18-cv-154)-1954	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-1975	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1976
FBI(18-cv-154)-1977 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1979	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-1980 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-1981

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X X  
For this Page X

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:19 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: On the plane

:D

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/24/2016 6:17 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: On the plane

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

When have I not jumped?!? ;)

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/24/2016 6:16 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: On the plane

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I wish! ;)

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/24/2016 6:11 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: On the plane

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hey, hey, just checking.... DD's office suggests something, people tend to jump ;)

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/24/2016 6:09 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: On the plane

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yes!

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/24/2016 6:08 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: On the plane

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

X You understood it wasn't be modicum... at best a few assemblage of data?

W. You understand it won't be ready tomorrow, at best a raw assemblage of data;

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 03/24/2016 6:07 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: On the plane

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Awesome. [REDACTED] had one comment re my chart that I suggested you do. I'll tell you by phone.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 03/24/2016 5:24 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: On the plane

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Finally. Let you know when arrive in Houston.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 25, 2016 5:12 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: DOJ Meeting Outline

Yes, please. Will explain.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/25/2016 5:11 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: DOJ Meeting Outline

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

K. I'll talk to him later. He will have already done stuff. But he'll be quiet if I ask him to.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/25/2016 4:00 AM (GMT-06:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: DOJ Meeting Outline

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hey re the list/chart I asked you to do, DD wants it to be done quietly. So if [REDACTED] hasn't done much maybe ask him to stand down and just say you'll handle it? Will explain more later.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/24/2016 10:23 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: DOJ Meeting Outline

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Thanks. This is great. I owe you a HUGE box of wine. Jon will split the cost with me

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



b5 -1  
b7E -4

Separate issue:

I asked [redacted] earlier this week (can't remember if I put you on the email), but we need to put together a list of everything we've asked for that DoJ has said no or argued to let them negotiate with counsel - even if we ultimately agreed with them. Problem is it's been death by a thousand cuts.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

b5 -1  
b7E -4

Is there a better way to organize than that?

Again, thanks for below and holding down the fort by yourself for the past few days. Having you there kept me from calling off my vacation...

See you tomorrow. I may be in resort casual with a fetching tan and stubble. Hopefully not smelly.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]

Date: 03/24/2016 7:06 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]

Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]

Subject: RE: DOJ Meeting Outline

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1, 2  
b7E -4

b5 -1, 2  
b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4

This is what I put together so far. Am I missing any key topics? Should I mention [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4

[REDACTED]  
Assistant General Counsel  
National Security Law Branch  
Office of the General Counsel  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 24, 2016 6:39 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: DOJ Meeting Outline

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Thanks. Unless you can put it on mnet, either scion or fbnet works.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 03/24/2016 6:37 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** DOJ Meeting Outline

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

All -

Has circulated a DRAFT outline of issues to address at our meeting with DOJ and the DOJ on Monday. We are

I've circulated a DRAFT outline of issues to address at our meeting with DOJ and the DOJ on Monday. It's on the high side; I can scan it and put it on FBI Net, if that's easier, but you won't be able to edit the document.

Thanks,

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[Redacted]  
Assistant General Counsel  
National Security Law Branch  
Office of the General Counsel  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[Redacted]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [Redacted]

b6 -1  
b7C -1



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 28, 2016 11:46 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** god, i'm frustrated

Just so YOU know, "Opinion work product," which includes such items as attorney's legal strategy his intended lines of proof, his evaluation of strength and weaknesses of his case, and inferences he draws from interviews of witnesses, and is *normally* accorded absolute protection from discovery. "Fact work product" on the other hand, consists of items prepared in anticipation of litigation and is discoverable if the moving party makes a showing of substantial need and undue hardship.

And i'm sorry, it is not obvious to me that the sort is opinion work product. It should have been conducted by State department records managers, and you wouldn't even be in this position to be talking about privilege. And to be clear, some opinion work product materials "do not warrant heightened protection because, despite revelations they contain as to attorney's thought processes, lawyer has no justifiable expectation that mental impressions revealed by materials will remain private."

I AM IRRITATED.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 28, 2016 3:44 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Whoops

Send it to me?

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 28, 2016 3:43 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Whoops

Forgot I had DAD wrap with AD. Back around 4:15...

Btw, did I highlight front page article in WP today? It's two full pages....

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 28, 2016 8:17 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150 - POLITICO

Honestly, I'd guess it's authorized. Especially if it came up at am brief. I could see them wanting to fix that misconception...

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/28/2016 8:13 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150 - POLITICO

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

<http://www.politico.com/story/2016/03/how-many-fbi-agents-hillary-clinton-email-221299>

## **Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150**

The FBI does not have close to 150 agents working the investigation into former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's email server, a source familiar with the matter told POLITICO Monday.

The official, who spoke on condition of anonymity, commented after the Washington Post reported that FBI Director James Comey told an unnamed member of Congress that 147 agents were working the Clinton investigation.

*Story Continued Below*

Asked about the Post report, the source said: "That number is greatly exaggerated."

The source and other officials declined to provide any further details about FBI staffing or the status of the inquiry.

The Post report followed similar but slightly different reports in other media outlets. In January, Fox News reported that 100 FBI agents were working regularly on the Clinton case with as many as 50 more on temporary assignment. At about the same time, the Washington Examiner reported that a former U.S. Attorney for Washington, D.C., Joseph DiGenova, indicated a similar scope to the FBI probe.

"There are now, I am told, 150 agents working on this case," DiGenova said, calling that "a very unusually high number."



Both the Fox and Washington Examiner reports focused on an expansion of the Clinton email probe to cover possible public corruption involving the Clinton Foundation. The FBI has declined to confirm that any such probe is underway, although Comey has confirmed publicly that the FBI is looking into issues involving classified material on the Clinton server.

In a court filing Friday, an FBI records official called that investigation "active" and "ongoing."

**Authors:**

Show Comments

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 28, 2016 8:20 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150 - POLITICO

Not going to forward. Was just going to mention at some point tomorrow. I can see DOJ wanting to correct misconception too, but not actually knowing the real size.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/28/2016 8:17 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150 - POLITICO

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yep but give me a sec to send to Bill. Quite likely DOJ source, Gersteins sources are much better over there.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/28/2016 8:15 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150 - POLITICO

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Are you kidding me? Can I forward to Andy and ask whether this was authorized thru opa? Necessary first step before you take any further action...

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/28/2016 8:13 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150 - POLITICO

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

<http://www.politico.com/story/2016/03/how-many-fbi-agents-hillary-clinton-email-221299>

## **Official: FBI team on Clinton email probe not near 150**

The FBI does not have close to 150 agents working the investigation into former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's email server, a source familiar with the matter told POLITICO Monday.

The official, who spoke on condition of anonymity, commented after the Washington Post reported that

FBI (18-cv-154)-1571

FBI Director James Comey told an unnamed member of Congress that 147 agents were working the Clinton investigation.

*Story Continued Below*

Asked about the Post report, the source said: "That number is greatly exaggerated."

The source and other officials declined to provide any further details about FBI staffing or the status of the inquiry.

The Post report followed similar but slightly different reports in other media outlets. In January, Fox News reported that 100 FBI agents were working regularly on the Clinton case with as many as 50 more on temporary assignment. At about the same time, the Washington Examiner reported that a former U.S. Attorney for Washington, D.C., Joseph DiGenova, indicated a similar scope to the FBI probe.

"There are now, I am told, 150 agents working on this case," DiGenova said, calling that "a very unusually high number."

Both the Fox and Washington Examiner reports focused on an expansion of the Clinton email probe to cover possible public corruption involving the Clinton Foundation. The FBI has declined to confirm that any such probe is underway, although Comey has confirmed publicly that the FBI is looking into issues involving classified material on the Clinton server.

In a court filing Friday, an FBI records official called that investigation "active" and "ongoing."

**Authors:**

Show Comments



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 12:08 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Due out email

Phone, I about to get in elevator

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 03/29/2016 12:07 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Due out email

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Lync or phone?

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 12:07 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Due out email

Calling now.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 03/29/2016 12:06 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Due out email

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

See? You didn't want me to wait to send this out because it would be too late otherwise... Do you have time to chat before lunch on the email? Talking to [REDACTED] now...

b6 -1  
b7C -1

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 12:03 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Due out email

Good convo with Andy. Let's chat later.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 03/29/2016 11:52 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Due out email

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

And I kind of like it when you Harumph at me. ☺



**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 11:03 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Due out email

Aargh. Why did you send this already? Harumph.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 03/29/2016 11:01 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Due out email

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 11:02 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Due out email

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Bill stopped by to request a memorialization of the due outs and positions from yesterday's meeting. Here's my first stab, please provide edits/comments/additions:

I'm writing to memorialize the due outs from yesterday's meeting and provide a brief status update about our items.

FBI:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4

DOJ:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4

b5 -1  
b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4, 6

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**Subject:** Accepted: MYE DOJ email drafting

**Location:** 4869

**Start:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 2:00 PM

**End:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 3:00 PM

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Accepted

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** Mar 29, 2016 2:00:00 PM

**Where:** 4869

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 7:43 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Second judge opens door to depositions in Clinton email case | TheHill

Oh boy.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/29/2016 7:41 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Second judge opens door to depositions in Clinton email case | TheHill

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

<http://thehill.com/policy/national-security/274574-second-judge-opens-door-to-depositions-in-clinton-email-case>

## Second judge opens door to depositions in Clinton email case

A second federal judge is opening the door to allowing current and former federal officials to answer questions under oath as part of the legal battle over Hillary Clinton's private email server.

Citing "evidence of government wrong-doing and bad faith," Judge Royce Lamberth of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia ordered that conservative watchdog group Judicial Watch could begin a limited version of the evidence-gathering process known as discovery.

The Obama administration has offered "constantly shifting admissions" about the state of Clinton's server, Lamberth added in his Tuesday order, raising questions about the extent of her use of the server and the government's role in setting it up.

The ruling represents growing frustration with the State Department's response to federal records laws, following a similar order from another federal judge earlier this year.

The decision is likely to only further inflame scrutiny of Clinton's bespoke email arrangement, which has dogged her presidential campaign. A pending court battle about the State Department's compliance with federal records laws is likely to stretch well into the general election.

Tuesday's case centered on talking points prepared in the aftermath of the 2012 terrorist attacks on the U.S. diplomatic facility in Benghazi, Libya, but has become entangled in broader questions surrounding Clinton's private server.

Tom Fitton, Judicial Watch's president, said that the "remarkable decision" on Tuesday "may help the

FBI (18-cv-154)-1584



American people find out why our efforts to get Benghazi answers was thwarted by Clinton's email games."

In the first case, which also involves Judicial Watch, Judge Emmet Sullivan questioned whether top State Department figures had intentionally tried to skirt the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) by allowing Clinton to exclusively use her private email server throughout her time as secretary of State.

This month, Judicial Watch submitted a list of eight current and former State Department officials that it hoped would testify about their roles in facilitating Clinton's use of the unorthodox email system. The judge in that case has until April 15 to decide whether to proceed.

Because the process is already moving forward in the case before Sullivan, Lamberth's order on Tuesday is likely to have a limited practical effect.

Lamberth told Judicial Watch that it had until 10 days after Sullivan outlines the path forward in his case to decide whether it has additional lines of inquiry it would like to pursue in the other court battle.

---

### **Sponsored Content**

---

Lamberth was appointed to his district court seat by President Reagan.

In addition to the FOIA lawsuits, the FBI and a pair of inspectors general are investigating whether Clinton or her top aides mishandled classified information through use of the private server.

*Updated at 2:36 p.m.*

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 29, 2016 8:24 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Couple things

See second point, per your request.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 03/29/2016 8:23 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Couple things

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

First, had a long conversation with Michael Gavin today, Section Chief over NCOS, re the undercover policy. I am now more convinced than ever that [REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] We should talk more about this tomorrow.

Second, you should be getting an email from Steinbach memorializing our MYE meeting from yesterday. [REDACTED] Pete, and I drafted it, so hopefully once you've taken a look it'll be ready to go out tonight. Let me know if you don't get it and I'll try to run it down.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Safe travels.

Lisa

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 1:44 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Just called your desk

Then why don't you come here first.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 1:43 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Just called your desk

Done walking back now. Meeting at ogc conf at 2

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 03/31/2016 12:53 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Just called your desk

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

yes

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 12:53 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Just called your desk

Can you chat for a sec?

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 03/31/2016 12:51 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Just called your desk

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Deeply sorry. I went over to Andy, but didn't want to just show up and lay it on him, so we walked over to lunch, then came back and ate it, then discussed. He doesn't want me there. Wah wah. Such is life.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 31, 2016 11:28 AM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Just called your desk

Talked to Bill and Trisha. Exactly what you thought. Will tell you at lunch

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, April 04, 2016 12:23 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** [REDACTED] 4-4-16  
**Attachments:** [REDACTED] 4-4-16.docx

b5 -1



**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:31 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Hi

I'm here for 30 more minutes.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:30 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Hi

Midyear. Need to talk to you

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/06/2016 4:29 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Hi

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Oh boy. What about?

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:29 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Hi

Talking to bill. Talking about you to Jon and [REDACTED] now :)

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/06/2016 4:28 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Hi

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Where you been?

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 06, 2016 4:27 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Hi

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 07, 2016 4:03 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Talked with [REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

Still with odag.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/07/2016 4:02 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Talked with [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Back at desk.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 07, 2016 3:50 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Talked with [REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

Yes please!!!! Also, Square Rose atty just called NSC public affairs, most likely about our interview earlier today. NSC PAO called our OPA and relayed...don't tell Andy yet, give me 5 minutes to find Bill

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 07, 2016 3:46 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Talked with [REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

Now a call with odag, unrelated. Will call you when I'm done.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/07/2016 3:30 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Talked with [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

And good ☺ (re you on call with him)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 07, 2016 3:27 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Talked with [REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

I'm in a call with toscas. Can't tell anyone.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Date: 04/07/2016 3:26 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: Talked with

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Give me a call when you're free

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, April 11, 2016 10:30 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Meeting on Thursday

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED] per Andy, could you please schedule an hour long meeting for this Thursday with the below attendees? Thanks so much.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Lisa

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/11/2016 10:19 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting on Thursday

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

DoJ:

George Toscas

David Laufman

[REDACTED] was there last time but I think that may have been because [REDACTED] was on AL)

b6 -2  
b7C -2

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (edva)  
[REDACTED] (edva)

FBI:

DD

Jim Baker

Mike Steinbach

Lisa Page

Trisha Anderson

Bill Priestap

Pete Strzok

Jon Moffa

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED] not there first time but should be if line DoJ attys, above, are)

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/11/2016 10:11 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Meeting on Thursday

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6



subject: meeting on Thursday

Hey Pete. The Deputy just reached out to ask that we set up another DOJ/FBI meeting to discuss developments in MYE. Could you please send the same list of attendees to [REDACTED] and I? Thanks.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Lisa

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 3:41 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: hey give me a call re JB brief tomorrow

[REDACTED]

b6 -1

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 3:40 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: hey give me a call re JB brief tomorrow

[REDACTED]

b6 -1

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 3:23 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: hey give me a call re JB brief tomorrow

I'll be here.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 3:23 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: hey give me a call re JB brief tomorrow

In my UC.mtg, give me 15?

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 3:21 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: hey give me a call re JB brief tomorrow

Hi. I'm back.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 2:10 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** hey give me a call re JB brief tomorrow

And, hi.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2016 10:26 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Thursday meeting

I'm ignoring all of this and going to bed.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 04/12/2016 10:23 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Thursday meeting

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Because I want to make this productive! Why NOT provide them an agenda!?!? We all talk about what we want to talk about and that's a waste of time.

They haven't done one either (legal analysis)

Assume noble intent.

How do we maximize this use of time?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 04/12/2016 10:18 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Thursday meeting

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Why provide them an agenda? I wouldn't do that until you have a sense of how Andy wants to go. So no. We'll talk about what we're going to talk about and then they can talk about what they want to talk about. Also, seriously Pete. F him. OGC needs to provide an analysis? We haven't done one. But they seem to be categorical that it's just impossible, I'd just like to know why.

And now I'm angry before bed again. ?

Total indulgence, there's a TV in here. Here's hoping I can find something to sufficiently melt my brain. ???

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 04/12/2016 10:10 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Thursday meeting

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Do not respond to this email

FBI (18-cv-154)-1622

re: very nonresponsive....

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (NSD)" [REDACTED]

Date: 04/12/2016 10:07 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Thursday meeting

b6 -1, 2

b7C -1

b7E -6

Would like to see what you have on your agenda so we could see what we might want to add on our end. I will mention to

b6 -2

[REDACTED] Also interested in understanding FBI OGC's analysis of the privilege and ethics issues we are facing.

b7C -2

> On Apr 12, 2016, at 8:25 PM, Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]

b6 -1

b7C -1

b7E -6

> [REDACTED]

> I'm going to attempt to put together an agenda to circulate in advance of Thursday's meeting. Is there anything you would like on there? Also, you mentioned a 4th Cir case discussing privileges and/or protections. To the extent it would help inform discussion amongst the attorneys here, would you be able to forward it?

b6 -2

b7C -2

> Thanks,

> Pete



**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 28, 2016 5:07 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (WF) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE:

Just by phone, yes? I'm not sure I would send this. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4

Sorry for the rush. Need to run down something for Andy, then get back into wrap.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 28, 2016 4:15 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW:

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 28, 2016 4:15 PM  
**To:** Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Jones, Robert A. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC)  
(FBI); [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)  
**Subject:**

b6 -1  
b7C -1

All, here's what I'm thinking about sending to CES and EDVA:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4

b5 -1  
b7E -4

Thanks,  
Pete

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**Subject:** Accepted: Discuss D email

**Location:** 4869

**Start:** Tuesday, May 10, 2016 1:30 PM

**End:** Tuesday, May 10, 2016 2:30 PM

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Accepted

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** May 10, 2016 1:30:00 PM

**Where:** 4869

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 11, 2016 6:01 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Pls review?

Perfect.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/11/2016 6:00 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Pls review?

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1  
b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -4

Pete

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2016 5:12 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Did you ask [redacted] to hold on the CVE meeting?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

This really aggravates me. There are like three outstanding issues about which OGC can not get [redacted] to listen. We need to have this meeting regardless, but I'm certain [redacted] doesn't want to - he has specifically said he doesn't think the DD needs to weigh in. But he's got a friendly ear in his buddy the DD's COS.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]  
**Date:** 05/12/2016 5:05 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Did you ask [redacted] to hold on the CVE meeting?

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

[redacted] wanted to cover a few issues with the DD and provide him some insights after his call with DoJ. If DD still wants to have the meeting with OGC and DoJ after he and [redacted] talk I will give [redacted] the go sign.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Spoke to [redacted] about Monday. You'll be held up at the entrance to get read-on and watch the video while DD continues to the RSOC. They will be reading on a few dozen folks so you'll have company.

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2016 3:20 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Did you ask [redacted] to hold on the CVE meeting?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

They met yesterday on CVE, no? I thought this afternoon was something different.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I already emailed [redacted] I'll reconfirm.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]  
**Date:** 05/12/2016 3:16 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Did you ask [redacted] to hold on the CVE meeting?

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Waiting for [redacted] and boss to meet this afternoon.

Also, if you want to go to the [redacted] you'll need to get your clearance passed through [redacted] and get the in-brief when you arrive to the [redacted]. This is mandatory for all first time visitors. There will be a lot of activity there on Monday so I'd check with [redacted] to make sure they can pull you offline to get read on.

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -9

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2016 1:54 PM

FBI (18-cv-154)-1658

To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)

Subject: Did you ask [REDACTED] to hold on the CVE meeting?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I haven't seen it appear on the calendar yet...

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2016 5:25 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Quick Meet with DD

No!

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/12/2016 5:24 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Quick Meet with DD

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Am I waiting for you to get off the phone with him? ;)

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/12/2016 5:19 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Quick Meet with DD

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hey I just texted the boss - I just left since we didn't have wrap and I was here late. I'm in the car though so he's free to call if he wants.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/12/2016 5:14 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Quick Meet with DD

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

**Pete and Lisa,**

**Can you rally to meet with the DD in the next few minutes?**

**Thanks,**

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 13, 2016 4:22 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE:

Rgr.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/13/2016 4:19 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:**

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

[REDACTED] said Beth called. I'm going to try and call him back from [REDACTED] office.

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2



**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 15, 2016 4:59 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Request from Director

I was going to reply to Jim and tell him I can talk to you about this already. Do you want me to?

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/15/2016 4:53 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Request from Director

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I'll take the lead, of course - sounds like an espionage section question... Or do you think OGC should?

And the more reason for us to get feedback to Rybicki, as we all identified this as an issue/question over a week ago.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/15/2016 4:34 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Request from Director

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

FYSA.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/15/2016 4:30 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Request from Director

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

By NLT next Monday, the Director would like to see a list of all cases charged in the last 20 years where the gravamen of the charge was mishandling classified information.

It should be in chart form with: (1) case name, (2) a short summary for context (3) charges brought, and (4) charge of conviction.

If need be, we can get it from NSD and let them know that the Director asked for this personally.

Please let me know who can take the lead on this.

Thanks!

Jim

---

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, May 16, 2016 10:41 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b5 1, 2, 3

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/16/2016 10:27 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1, 2, 3

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/28/2016 11:25 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1, 2, 3

b6 -1

[REDACTED] comment really irked me yesterday, so I decided to do a little poking around. As you'll see from the below [REDACTED]

b7C -1  
b7E -4

b5 -1, 2, 3

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 6:26 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b5 -1, 2, 3

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 6:19 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1, 2, 3

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/16/2016 10:38 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1, 2, 3

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/16/2016 10:27 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1, 2, 3

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 04/28/2016 11:25 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Sorry, I couldn't help myself

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

[REDACTED] comment really irked me yesterday, so I decided to do a little poking around. As you'll see from the

b6 -1  
b7C -1




below

b7E -4



b5 -1, 2, 3



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 8:25 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting re subpoena v sw

Okay.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 8:20 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Whatever you want to do. You add tremendous value and up until yesterday wanted to attend. I guess if George and/or Trisha attend I'll ask you to reconsider your attendance.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 7:29 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Also, they hate me. You saw the warm fuzzies they got when they saw us walk up together, right? This meeting is probably better had without me.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 7:26 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAVAE); [REDACTED] (USAVAE)"  
[REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)"  
[REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa,  
Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Hey what's everyone's availability today or tomorrow to discuss process options? We can always conference call but in person is better.

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 10:45 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE:

Just got in. :(

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 10:43 AM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:**

Just sent on fbinet draft comments to Rybicki to review. Go Team America.

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ



b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 3:29 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs

I can come down now. Just need to grab my notes.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/17/2016 3:26 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: TPs

When can you get together to talk about the response to Rybicki? I am free the rest of the afternoon.

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 7:06 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting re subpoena v sw

That doesn't help explain anything...

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 7:00 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 6:25 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I'll touch base with Trisha and see if she's available. I was trying to get a meeting together with her and Lisa today, but that didn't work. I'll try again tomorrow.

Does [REDACTED] want us to have the Director on the line? Or the DD? They both seemed to want to go SW.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 5:28 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Would you like to invite Trisha? Completely trust your competence, just thinking strength in numbers.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAVAE) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 5:08 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD) [REDACTED] (USAVAE)  
[REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD) [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
(RO) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

b7E -4

[redacted] please have them on the line so that we can explain our position directly and there is no misunderstanding. Thanks.

On May 17, 2016, at 4:47 PM, Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] > wrote:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

That will work; earlier would be better but will make 4 work, let me know if you free up earlier. Will send a dial in.

From: [redacted] (NSD) [redacted]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 4:37 PM  
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (USAVAE) [redacted] (USAVAE); [redacted] (NSD) (JMD); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (RO) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2

Pete,

DOJ is available to discuss at 4pm tomorrow. Does that work? We'll be in different locations, so if you could send around a dial-in, that would be helpful.

Thanks,

b6 -2  
b7C -2

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [redacted]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 7:27 AM  
To: [redacted] (USAVAE) [redacted] (USAVAE) [redacted] (NSD) [redacted] (NSD); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (RO) (FBI)  
Subject: Meeting re subpoena v sw

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2

Hey what's everyone's availability today or tomorrow to discuss process options? We can always conference call but in person is better.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2016 8:39 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -3  
b7C -3

Yes. Make sure it gets up to andy. You don't want them caught off guard in the event [REDACTED] ever brings it up.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 8:30 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 3  
b7C -1, 3  
b7E -6

And I'm betting Bill will understand the importance in the context of the other things we're doing, and the proposed comments by D. In any event, I will highlight same to him tomorrow.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 8:16 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 3  
b7C -1, 3  
b7E -6

Do you know if Dd or D ever saw the letter?

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 8:11 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 3  
b7C -1, 3  
b7E -6

Rich, FYSA. There's a very divergent story on our side. Would appreciate a heads up on contact by [REDACTED] there have been rumors of a column for a while.

b6 -3  
b7C -3

Thanks,  
Pete

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Kable, Charles H. (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/17/2016 8:05 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Feghali,  
Rouda M. (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 3  
b7C -1, 3  
b7E -6

All, in case you hadn't seen this ....

SK

WFO - CI

Desk: [REDACTED]

Mobile: [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USADC)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/17/2016 5:36 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Kable, Charles H. (WF) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 9:22 AM

To: [REDACTED] (USADC); [REDACTED] (USADC); [REDACTED] (USADC); [REDACTED] (USADC); [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USADC)

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: [REDACTED]

b6 -2, 3  
b7C -2, 3  
b7E -6

Hi everyone,

Please see below and attached from [REDACTED] I am forwarding this to CES as well.

b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4

Please let me know if you'd like me to pursue additional follow-up --

Thanks --

[REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2016 5:32 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: [REDACTED]

b6 -2, 3  
b7C -2, 3  
b7E -6

[REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2



[redacted] reached out again and we expect he may run a column on the [redacted]  
[redacted] He asked for a statement from her and this is what she provided, through [redacted]

b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4



b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4

He also asked if she was planning to sue DOJ and [redacted] told him that she is not. He told [redacted] that he spoke to someone at DOJ (we don't know who) - I assume you passed along my message that he might reach out, and thanks for doing so.

b6 -3  
b7C -3

Finally, [redacted] sent the attached letter to Secretary Kerry last month. I don't think [redacted] has it (he didn't mention it) and we do not intend to release it publicly, but I wanted you to be aware of it.

b6 -3  
b7C -3

Please feel free to call if you have any questions.

Thanks, [redacted]

b6 -3  
b7C -3

[redacted]  
**Arnold & Porter LLP**  
601 Massachusetts Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20001-3743

b6 -3  
b7C -3

Office: [redacted]  
[redacted]  
[www.arnoldporter.com](http://www.arnoldporter.com)

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

---

This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete it from his or her computer.

For more information about Arnold & Porter LLP, click here:  
<http://www.smoldporter.com>

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 6:03 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: RE:

The four letter one? Yes. Is anyone from CD on the invite? I didn't recall so...

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/19/2016 5:48 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: RE:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

FYI. You going to the briefing to Andy tomorrow afternoon?

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 5:48 PM  
**To:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: RE:

Hmm. I definitely T'd it up that way with [REDACTED] We can re-engage with him if it's the right thing to do.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

---

**From:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 5:15 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE:

Yes except 70% of their brief is our brief. Now that I've seen it, they are in our territory big time. We'll talk in the morning. This probably should be a co-brief.

...

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/19/2016 5:02 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yep went well, guy from Ft did fine.

Everything set for your brief tomorrow? Should be fairly light lift, right?

----- Original message -----

FBI (18-cv-154)-1730

From: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/19/2016 4:59 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I'm taking off now to try to get home at a decent time for once.

Did it go well? The brief to the DD is at 4pm tomorrow when you are at DNI so it was probably a good thing we decided to drop me out and divide and conquer so we can be in two places at once.

J



**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 6:05 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE daily

Rock on.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 05/19/2016 5:46 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

See #3


---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 5:46 PM  
**To:** Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Bill,



b5 -1  
b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 9:06 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE daily

What issue? What to tell the DNI? I think you're approaching it the right way.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 8:38 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yes. Where do you think Andy/D will fall on the issue?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 8:35 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Ah. Very smart of you to anticipate this. You doing the brief again?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 8:20 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Fyi. We brief him on our quarterly stuff at 4-something tomorrow afternoon.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 8:20 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Priestap, E. W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Bill, thinking about tomorrow, I was at the briefing when Randy brought up the Clinton investigation for the first (and I think only) time with the DNI. To the extent you want to think about it or consult with Mike or anyone before then, we should consider what we say if he asks about the current status. [REDACTED]

b7E -4

There are a lot of options, but I thought something along the lines of this: [REDACTED]

b7E -4

b7E -4

Pete

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 7:46 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Perfect - thanks Pete.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 5:46 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Bill,

b5 -1  
b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4

-

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ

b6 -1  
b7C -1



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 9:34 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE daily

See, it was great thinking!

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 9:06 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 8:47 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Great thinking Pete - thank you! I will discuss it with the EAD tomorrow AM, just to ensure everyone's on the same page. Thanks again, Bill

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/19/2016 8:20 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Bill, thinking about tomorrow, I was at the briefing when Randy brought up the Clinton investigation for the first (and I think only) time with the DNI. To the extent you want to think about it or consult with Mike or anyone before then, we should consider what we say if he asks about the current status. [REDACTED]

b7E -4

There are a lot of options, but I thought something along the lines of this:

b7E -4

b7E -4

Pete

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/19/2016 7:46 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Perfect - thanks Pete.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/19/2016 5:46 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Bill,

b5 -1  
b6 -3  
b7C -3  
b7E -4

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2016 8:11 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE:

It is good that you give a sh\*t. And it's okay.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Date:** 05/20/2016 8:08 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Had a long conversation - he claimed he called me first before Toscas (but only because yellow lines were doen) at 3:30 and left a vm (which is BS because I had no message from him).

In any event, told him we simply couldn't deteriorate into this escalating briefing of chains and needed to be absolutely transparent, etc, etc. He committed he would.

He said he gave Toscas the spreadsheet last night. He said he asked Toscas again today that he wanted to give the team a bootleg in advance of whatever was officially transmitted and Toscas told him no.

He also got an email while we were talking that Toscas may be sending it to Rybicki tonight, or in advance of Monday.

Thanks for letting me vent. I guess its good that I give a sh\*t. And I can't thank you enough for bearing with me when I unfairly attack the very difficult position you're in. Thank you.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Date:** 05/20/2016 7:46 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yes.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Date:** 05/20/2016 7:36 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

You sure you're OK with that?

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/20/2016 7:35 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Okay, so you called after I got the email I confirmed what the DD told Bill, and then I told you the rest of it. Feel free to rip into [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/20/2016 7:24 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

No mention of the call.

----- Original message -----

From: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/20/2016 7:22 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

George advised the DD he's reviewing the list and will provide it to the DD on Monday AM. The DD will then get it to us to review. Thx, Bill



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, May 23, 2016 8:16 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: [REDACTED]

b7E -6

I'll send another so you have a flavor of what these are like.

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, May 21, 2016 10:30 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** [REDACTED]

b7E -6

b7E -6

#### CONUS

##### 1. Suspect in custody after reported shooting at White House [Guardian]

- ◆ A suspect has been arrested after he approached a White House security checkpoint with a firearm on Friday afternoon.
- ◆ The man was shot by secret service and transported to George Washington University hospital in critical condition.
- ◆ The secret service put the White House on lockdown immediately after the incident but that was later lifted.

##### 2. Secret Service Shoots Man Near White House [New York Times]

- ◆ WASHINGTON — A man who approached the White House on Friday brandishing a gun was shot and wounded by a Secret Service agent, the agency's spokesman said. The man, whose identity was not released, was taken into custody and treated at a nearby hospital.
- ◆ President Obama was away at the time, playing golf at Andrews Air Force Base in Maryland. He was told about the shooting, a White House official said.
- ◆ The episode began shortly after 3 p.m. Friday, when the man walked toward a security checkpoint on E Street, southwest of the White House.

##### 3. US releases Guantánamo prisoner after 14 years and no conviction[Guardian]

- An Afghan who once faced war crimes charges at Guantanamo has been cleared for release from the American military prison in Cuba, the US government has announced
  - The Periodic Review Board, which conducts parole-style hearings for Guantanamo prisoners, determined it was no longer necessary to detain the man, known by the single name of Obaidullah
  - The board found that "the risks that the detainee presents can be adequately mitigated", according to the three-paragraph statement.
4. **U.S. needs airport security rethink [CNN]**
- The crash of EgyptAir Flight 804 early Thursday and the recovery of some wreckage Friday was a tragic end to a week that had begun with viral video of huge lines at Transportation Security Administration checkpoints in the United States.
5. **U.S. targets spying threat on campus with proposed research clampdown [Reuter's]**
- Leading U.S. universities are pushing back against a proposed State Department rule that would bar foreign students from more research projects and classes involving information seen as vital to national security.
  - The proposal by the administration of President Barack Obama reflects growing worries in Washington over a rise in intellectual property theft from foreign adversaries such as China
6. **Hacking terrorist's iPhone was easy compared to next FBI hurdle [Chicago Tribune]**
- The next frontier in the battle between the FBI and technology companies over encrypted communications will be more legally complicated -- and messy -- than trying to get into the iPhone of a dead terrorist.
  - Messaging tools like Facebook's WhatsApp and Internet services that automatically encrypt the content of texts, phone calls and other data while they're being sent are increasingly becoming a problem for national security and criminal investigations, according to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
  - "We're kind of all waiting for the next big test case," said Andrew Crocker, a staff attorney with the Electronic Frontier Foundation in San Francisco, which is suing the Justice Department over whether the government has ever used secret court orders to force technology companies to decrypt the private communications of their customers.
7. **U.S. moves to unseal Islamic State defector's case [Reuter's]**
- U.S. prosecutors on Friday sought to unseal the to-date secret criminal case of an Islamic State defector after NBC News broadcast an interview with the New York man in which he spoke out against the militant Islamist group.
  - Unsealing the case could allow the U.S. Justice Department to make public details of why the man turned against Islamic State at a time when the government is trying to combat the group's online propaganda.
  - Prosecutors said in June 2014, Mo traveled from Brooklyn to Syria, where he enlisted with Islamic State. Once there, they said, he received military training and served as a sentry at one of its headquarters and in various administrative positions.

## **OCONUS**

1. **EgyptAir flight MS804 sent smoke alarm messages before crash -- reports [Guardian]**
- The missing EgyptAir flight MS804 transmitted messages about a smoke detector and other alerts in the minutes before it went down in the Mediterranean Sea, according to reports.
  - Flight data published online suggests there were smoke alerts aboard MS804 minutes before it crashed.
  - Smoke was detected in a toilet and the aircraft's electronics, according to data from the Aircraft Communications Addressing and Reporting System (Acars).
2. **Data Points to Rapid Loss of Control Aboard EgyptAir Jet [New York Times]**
- As the investigation continued Friday into what caused an EgyptAir flight from Paris to Cairo to suddenly and violently plunge from the sky, the discovery of the debris allowed search crews to home in on the location of the crash -- an area about 180 miles north of Alexandria



NEWS TO HAVE BEEN ON THE JOURNAL OF THE CRASH. AT 11:58 AM, THE JOURNAL OF THE CRASH, EGYPT

- Data that was transmitted from the aircraft to operators on the ground, published Friday by a respected aviation journal, revealed a rapid loss of control, with alarms and computer-system failures in the seconds before the plane was lost from radar.
- The transmissions are evidence of a catastrophic failure, but do not answer the crucial question: What caused it?

3. **Search for black box intensifies after EgyptAir debris found [USA Today]**

- Search crews found human remains and debris Friday from the ill-fated EgyptAir jet that crashed into the Mediterranean Sea, but investigators were still looking for the critical black box data recorders and any evidence that might determine whether terrorists brought down the plane with 66 people aboard.
- Authorities expanded the search area about 180 miles from the Egyptian coast city of Alexandria in the hopes of finding the main sections of the aircraft and the data recorders.

4. **Can Egypt's tourism recover from latest blow? [BBC]**

- Tourism has long been a mainstay of the country's economy, which is the second largest in the Arab world after Saudi Arabia.
- But in the light of recent attacks on Western tourists, and with large areas of neighbouring Libya controlled by so-called Islamic State (IS), foreign holidaymakers are reluctant to set foot there.

5. **Second Nigerian girl to flee Boko Haram 'is not from Chibok' [Guardian]**

- A second Nigerian girl who escaped from Boko Haram militants and was kidnapped from her village is not one of the 218 secondary school students missing from the 2014 mass abduction.
- An army spokesman said on Thursday that Serah Luka was the second of the Chibok girls, who were snatched from their secondary school by masked Boko Haram fighters two years ago, to be "rescued" by Nigerian forces in the past three days.

6. **Police: Doctor Hacked to Death, Friend Wounded in Bangladesh [New York Times]**

- DHAKA, Bangladesh — A doctor was hacked to death and his friend critically wounded by men wielding machetes in western Bangladesh, police said, in the latest attack on the country's atheist bloggers, minorities and others who promote liberal views.
- The Islamic State group claimed responsibility. Its news agency Amaq said Friday that Sanaur Rahman, a homeopath doctor, was attacked because he promoted Christianity.

7. **Paris Bombing Suspect Refuses to Cooperate With Investigation [New York Times]**

- PARIS — Salah Abdeslam, thought to be the only direct participant in the November terrorist attacks in Paris to have survived, refused to answer questions on Friday at a hearing with a French investigative judge in Paris, the prosecutor's office and his lawyer said.
- Although the hearing was just the first in what is expected to be a long inquiry, Mr. Abdeslam's silence highlighted the obstacles facing investigators as they seek information from him about the attacks, which killed 130 people.
- Mr. Berton said, however, that his client was disturbed by video surveillance in his prison cell. Mr. Abdeslam is being held in isolation at the Fleury-Mérogis Prison, about 20 miles south of Paris, and is barred from contact with other inmates.

8. **Security Tightened in Paris for French Open [New York Times]**

- The new level of scrutiny at Roland Garros Stadium is both evident and understandable as France, still in a state of emergency, prepares for a grand two months of sport that also present a major security headache.
- The European soccer championship, from June 10 to July 10, will be the much bigger challenge, with its full stadiums and fan zones nationwide. But the French Open — nestled among the foliage and red clay on the fringes of the city's 16th Arrondissement — could also be a target.
- The tournament begins Sunday....

9. **U.S military officials tout a win against ISIS [CNN]**



- U.S. Military officials Friday pointed to a key victory over ISIS in Iraq but acknowledged the bigger battles against the terror group are still to come.
- Iraqi forces have declared the key town of Rutbah "secure" from ISIS, a conclusion that the United States agrees with, according to spokesman Col. Steve Warren of the U.S.-led coalition.
- The small southwestern town in Anbar province has important strategic value because it sits on the main road connecting Iraq to Jordan. Before the war, it was estimated that \$1 billion in commerce flowed on that road annually.

**10. Hezbollah vows stronger presence in Syria after commander's death [Reuters]**

- Lebanese Shi'ite group Hezbollah on Friday vowed to strengthen its presence in wartorn Syria and send more leaders to the conflict, a week after its top military commander there was killed.
- The death of Mustafa Badreddine, who Hezbollah said was killed near Damascus by shellfire from Sunni Islamist rebels, was one of the biggest blows yet to the Iranian-backed group's leadership.

**11. Kosovo group jailed for 42 years for aiding Islamic State [Reuters]**

- A Kosovo court sentenced seven men to a total of 42 years in jail for recruiting on behalf of Islamic State or fighting for the group in Syria, a judge said on Friday.
- The main defendant, Imam Zekeria Qazimi, was jailed for 10 years for recruiting young Kosovars to go and fight in Syria. In a 2013 YouTube video Qazimi said: "The blood of infidels is the best drink for us," the judgment said.
- Five others were found guilty of "fighting with the terrorist organization called ISIS after traveling from Pristina to Turkey and later to Syria," the judge said.

**12. Belgian prison chaos prompts fears of national malaise [BBC]**

- Some of Belgium's top judges and lawyers gathered outside Brussels' Palais de Justice on Friday to protest against what they say is the government's long-term failure to fund a creaking justice system.
- "Security is terrible, conditions are mediaeval," says one former inmate at Forest prison, one of Belgium's most notorious institutions not far from the centre of Brussels.
- A Belgian convert to Islam named Abourayan, he spent several weeks in the jail in 2014 and 2015, while on trial on charges relating to terrorism.

**13. Wave of Terror Hits African Nations Facing Unrest [Chicago Tribune]**

- If the sudden disappearance of an EgyptAir flight turns out to be the work of terrorists, it will be the fourth such attack in the past year on air travelers in North African countries battling militant Islamic groups.
- Even as airports in Europe and North America have strengthened security, experts say that gaps in screening of employees and passengers in the Middle East and Africa are being exploited in a way that security officials say should alarm all nations that fly to those areas.
- "It calls into question the airports in that region," said John Halinski, the former deputy administrator of the U.S. Transportation Security Administration. "It's something that needs to be looked at not just in those countries, but in any country that flies into that country."

**14. Iraq crisis: Green Zone protests end in violence [BBC]**

- Tear gas and live bullets were fired to drive back the mainly Shia Muslim crowds, as they protested against corruption and security failures.
- Officials imposed a curfew in the Iraqi capital, which has now been lifted.





CONUS**1. IS urges terror attacks on American soil during Ramadan [The Times of Israel]**

- The Islamic State group appeared to try to keep morale high among its supporters in a new audio message released on Saturday, which also called for attacks on the US during the holy month of Ramadan.
- The audio recording reportedly featuring IS spokesman Abu Mohammed al-Adnani was posted online late Saturday evening after much fanfare by IS supporters on Twitter.
- Adnani also called for attacks on the US and Europe during the holy month of Ramadan, which starts in early June this year, an appeal he made at the same time last year when urging supporters to seek "martyrdom."

**2. 'Now everything is broken:' Terror dragnet sweeps up Somali American's sons [Stars and Stripes]**

- In the largest case of its kind on U.S. soil, the FBI swept up 10 young men from the Twin Cities' tight-knit Somali American community and accused them of conspiring to join the Islamic State, or ISIS, and commit murder on its behalf. One man made it to Syria and has been charged in absentia. Six took plea deals and are awaiting sentencing. Three pleaded not guilty.
- The trial of Mohamed Farah and the two others who pleaded not guilty - Guled Ali Omar, 21, and Abdirahman Yasin Daud, 22 - opened last week and is expected to last a month.
- Over the past two years, the U.S. government has charged more than 80 people in connection with ISIS, the terrorist group that surged to global prominence in 2014. More than 30 have been convicted; the rest are on trial or awaiting their day in court.
- The prosecutions are stirring anxiety among American Muslims, who fear their communities are being targeted for federal entrapment. After ISIS-inspired attacks in Paris, Brussels and San Bernardino, California, terrorism has become a major issue in the U.S. presidential campaign. From Los Angeles to Alexandria, Virginia, American Muslims complain of increasing harassment - and of intensifying scrutiny from law enforcement.

**3. Aviation security, presidential election featured on Sunday talk shows [USA Today]**

- Aviation safety and terrorism will share the spotlight with the 2016 presidential election on the five major Sunday talk shows this week.
- Members of Congress with responsibility for homeland security, foreign affairs and intelligence appear on four shows, as well as Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson, who will be on *Fox News Sunday*

**4. House ties Pakistani aid to freedom for hero doctor who helped get Bin Laden [Fox News]**

- The House of Representatives passed a defense budget that would make \$450 million in aid to Pakistan contingent on the nation doing more to stop a militant terror network and calls for the freeing of an imprisoned doctor who helped the CIA find Usama bin Laden.
- The \$602 billion National Defense Authorization Act passed by the House late Wednesday calls on the Muslim-majority nation to crack down on the infamous Haqqani Network or lose aid. It also included a "sense of Congress" that Dr. Shakil Afridi is an international hero and calls for his immediate release from prison



- Pakistan sentenced Afridi in 2012 to 33 years in jail on charges of belonging to a militant group, which he denies. That sentence was overturned and Afridi is now awaiting trial on another charge.

## OCONUS

### **1. Taliban leader Mullah Mansour killed by U.S. drone strike near Pakistan [New York Daily News]**

- The leader of the Taliban was killed Saturday in an American drone strike near the Afghanistan-Pakistan border, U.S. officials said, an account later confirmed by a senior Taliban commander.
- Mullah Mansour was taken out while riding in a vehicle with another Taliban fighter south of the Pakistan town of Ahmad Wal, officials said.
- He was "actively involved" in plotting terror attacks across Afghanistan. He has been "an obstacle to peace and reconciliation between the government of Afghanistan and the Taliban."

### **2. Just one in seven Brit ISIS fighters are jailed after returning to UK [UK- The Sun]**

- Just 54 of 350 back in the UK have faced court, official figures show. Only 35 have been prosecuted, while a further 13 cases involving 30 defendants is being processed, the Home Office said.
- Experts say the figures will raise questions over domestic security. In the latest breach, Gianluca Tomaselli, 27, was found to be working as a London hospital car park attendant after training to be a jihadi.
- A source said one problem facing the security services was finding evidence from a warzone for use in trials against British fighters.

### **3. EgyptAir Upgraded Security After Past Threats [The International Business Times]**

- The Airbus plane that carried the passengers of EgyptAir Flight 804 was victim to previous terror threats. Graffiti that read, "We will bring this plane down," was scrawled in Arabic on the underside of the plane two years prior to the crash, the New York Times reported.
- Seventeen years ago, EgyptAir Flight 990 crashed en route to Los Angeles from Cairo. It is still unknown if the crash was due to mechanical failure or an act of terror, USA Today reported.
- EgyptAir has tried to improve security on its planes by assigning two officers to each flight. These officers assist the flight crew in any passenger emergencies and also monitor the deplaning and boarding processes, including the luggage transfer.

### **4. Internal blast 'tore right side' of EgyptAir jet [The New Indian Express]**

- Data from the final moments before EgyptAir flight MS804 crashed into the Mediterranean suggest an "internal explosion" tore through the right side of the aircraft, a pilot said last night.
- Investigators trying to determine whether the A320 was brought down by terrorism or a technical fault are poring over a series of warnings indicating smoke filled the cabin shortly before it disappeared from radar.

### **5. Iraqi army says preparing to retake IS-held Falluja, tells residents to leave [Reuters]**

- Iraq's army said on Sunday it was preparing to retake the Islamic State stronghold of Falluja and asked residents to get ready to leave, state TV reported.
- Families who could not leave should raise white flags to mark their location in the city just west of Baghdad, the army's media unit added, according to the TV channel.



**6. we're fighting for our lives while combating terror: Zardari [The Nation]**

- Former president Asif Ali Zardari has told the United States that Pakistan was committed to fight terrorism as he voiced concern over the deterioration of Islamabad-Washington relations.
- "We are fighting for our lives," Zardari, who is also co-chairman of the Pakistan People's Party (PPP), said, referring to mass-casualty attacks by the Taliban and Al-Qaeda, most recently in Lahore, in a letter published in The New York Times on Saturday.

**7. Can France Protect Tourists and Sports Fans This Summer? [The Daily Beast]**

- From the Euro 16 soccer championships to the Tour de France, the country will be a target-rich environment for terror.
- The 51 Euro 2016 soccer matches will be held in 10 cities across France—from Paris and Lens in the north to Nice and Marseille in the south—beginning June 10 and ending July 10.

**8. Malaysia's police nab 14 in anti-terror crackdown [The News International]**

- Malaysian authorities detained 14 people believed to have links to the Islamic State (IS) in a series of raids across several states earlier this week.
- Deputy inspector-general of police Noor Rashid Ibrahim said in a statement that eight of the suspects are believed to have transferred money to the IS in Syria and the Abu Sayyaf militant group in the southern Philippines.
- All eight are also believed to have ties with Malaysian IS recruiter Muhammad Wanndy Mohamed Jedi, who police had earlier identified as having recruited several Malaysians to launch an attack in the southeast Asian nation.

**9. Boko Haram ready to discuss surrender and release of Chibok girls [Nigeria - The Nation]**

- Terror sect Boko Haram seems to willing to broker a deal with the federal government to release the remaining kidnapped Chibok school girls in return for escaping prosecution.
- "We want to surrender because things are getting worse," said Amir Muhammad Abdullahi, who is reportedly Boko Haram's second in command. He said no side was winning the battle and that only a third of the girls remained as "the rest have been martyred".

**10. Countering Cyber Terrorism: Public-Private Partnership Is Need Of The Hour – Analysis [Eurasia Review]**

- In a retrospective, in a short span of time, UN had pressed for two major events to prevent the narratives of violent extremism. The Geneva Conference on Preventing Violent Extremism on 7-8 April 2016, jointly organized by Switzerland and the UN first of its kind to address the root cause of terrorism and violent extremism.
- Terrorists manipulate the internet platforms, yet there is no distinguished solution to the issues. All the scientific innovations including ICT could be used for either good or evil. Most of the internet giants including Google, Facebook and Twitter, have been engaging with strong intent cyber platforms were abused. For instance, there is greater degree of unity displayed in combating online child sex abuse than say terrorist exploitation of technology services.

**11. 2008 Delhi attacks suspect among anti-India mascots featuring in IS video [Hindustan Times]**

- An alleged jihadist involved in a string of deadly bombings, including the 2008 Delhi attacks that killed 30 people, is among nearly half a dozen Indians on a first Islamic State threat video directed at Indians.
- Mohammad Sajid, also known as Bada Sajid, was thought to have been killed last year in Syria, where he and his aides had moved to join IS ranks.



- The video vowed to “avenge” the Babri Masjid demolition and purported killing of Muslims in Gujarat, Kashmir and Muzaffarnagar.
- 12. Brussels bomber’s brother to represent Belgium at Rio Olympics [Mirage News]**
- A rising Belgian taekwondo star whose older brother was one of the suicide bombers in the terror attacks on Brussels has won gold at the European Championships and now will represent Belgium at the Rio Summer Olympics in August.
  - Mourad Laachraoui, who will compete at Rio 2016, has said he was “scared and saddened” by his brother’s actions and added that “you don’t choose your family.” Just two months ago his brother, Najim, blew himself up in an attack that killed 32 people.
  - In a press conference shortly after the Brussels bombings, he said: “When we were younger we were close, but as I became more passionate about sport we grew apart.”
- 13. Hezbollah to bolster military presence in Syria [World Bulletin]**
- A Hezbollah chief Hassan Nasrallah on Friday said his Lebanese Shiite movement would boost its support for Syria’s regime after one of its top commanders was killed there last week.
  - According to Hezbollah expert Waddah Charara, the Shiite militant group has sent between 5,000 and 6,000 combatants to Syria since 2013. Between 1,000 and 2,000 Hezbollah fighters have been killed in fighting there, other experts say.
- 14. Lebanon arrests 3 ‘terror’ suspects [The Daily Star]**
- Lebanese General Security said it arrested a Syrian national, identified by the initials Aa.M., as part of a special operation. He confessed to providing logistical assistance to militants and smuggling them into Syria so they could join the ranks of militant groups fighting government forces, the statement added. The suspect said he was working under a Syrian militant commander identified as M. M.
  - Meanwhile, the Lebanese Army also arrested two men in Beirut’s southern suburbs suspected of being affiliated to militant groups.
- 15. Iraq asks Jordan to extradite Saddam’s eldest daughter, Ba’athi veterans [Ahlul Bayt News Agency]**
- Iraq has demanded Jordan extradite the daughter of former Iraqi dictator Saddam Hussein as well as a number of his loyalists residing in the kingdom.
  - Raghda Hussein, Saddam’s eldest daughter, has been living in Amman as a “guest of the royal family” since leaving Iraq in 2003, when US and British forces invaded Iraq and ousted Saddam. The Jordanian royal family has already rejected the Iraqi government’s demand to extradite the 47-year-old Raghda.
  - Raghda, who is also known as Little Saddam for her similarities to her father, has openly pledged her support for the Takfiri Daesh terror group.
- 16. European Central Bank pressures BOV to close down suspicious Libyan accounts [Malta Independent]**
- The European Central Bank is applying considerable pressure on Bank of Valletta (BOV) to close down bank accounts opened by Libyan nationals since at least 2013 and which have been flagged as not having been subjected to legally-required due diligence procedures.
  - Bank of Valletta is resisting the demand, and has instead agreed to close down trusts set up in recent years by Libyan nationals. Such trusts have also raised the concern of Malta’s anti-money laundering and anti-terrorism funding authorities in that they lacked due diligence procedures when they were set up.

VR//



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, May 23, 2016 8:33 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE daily

May not be his fault. Possible that ead said he didn't need to be there.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/23/2016 8:30 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Give it another 15, feel free. Just say we were catching up on the Kendall call and I mentioned then sent to you.

And ? re wrap. Oh well.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/23/2016 8:27 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hot damn, that's funny. But since all of the DD's emails are preserved forever, I think I'll pass.

You're right, he has been sending direct to DD, sometimes with cc to me. No, he wasn't at wrap.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 05/23/2016 8:24 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hold on for a sec, I got a "thank you" response from Bill at 7:04.

Im not sure how long to wait, though, i think he's been sending them direct to Andy (rather than thru Mike) but i'm not sure. Is he still coming to wrap?

In general, I'm fine with you forwarding. And you can leave me comment in there for all I care. ;) Hell, be bold, send it with attached...



----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/23/2016 8:20 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Awesome. Thanks. Can I forward to DD (without your commentary?) No MYE was briefed tonight.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/23/2016 8:04 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hey, totally forgot, see bullet three. George can exhale.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 05/23/2016 5:53 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: MYE daily

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Bill,

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b6 -1, 3  
b7C -1, 3  
b7E -4

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 27, 2016 2:21 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Hey

Sorry, back and forth all over the place. We can talk later.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 27, 2016 2:11 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Hey

Give me a call on the Baker email about proposed DC bar action against  Or we can talk at 3 I guess

b6 -4  
b7C -4

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 27, 2016 4:06 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: Petition for negotiated discipline.docx  
**Attachments:** Petition for negotiated discipline.docx

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 27, 2016 9:59 AM  
**To:** McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Coleman, Randall C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Abbate, Paul M. (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Kelley, Patrick W. (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Petition for negotiated discipline.docx

b6 -1  
b7C -1

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL  
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

FBI only:

ODAG has requested our views on the possible settlement of an attorney disciplinary matter in the District of Columbia involving [REDACTED]

b5 -3  
b6 -4  
b7C -4  
b7E -4

Please note: [REDACTED]

b5 -3  
b6 -4  
b7C -4  
b7E -4

ODAG has also asked other entities for their views, including NSD, the successor to OIPR. There is a hearing on the proposed settlement on June 1, so if we want input on what the Department's position will be, or to put on a witness at the hearing, we need to decide that quickly.

I'm still formulating my views on this, but first I want to make sure that Pat Kelley (copied here) has no concerns about my sharing those views with all of you or otherwise further participating in this discussion in light of my personal connection to the [REDACTED] matter.

b6 -1, 4  
b7C -1, 4

Thanks

Jim

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 27, 2016 5:09 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** DD visit to the bubble

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Not to be outshined by the comfort dogs, Andy would like to visit the team to thank them for all their hard work. Based on his calendar, do you think that 1:30 on next Wednesday (June 1) would work? Let me know. Thanks.

Lisa

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**Subject:** Meet to discuss possible edits

**Start:** Thursday, June 02, 2016 2:30 PM

**End:** Thursday, June 02, 2016 3:30 PM

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Meeting organizer

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI);

☐ (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1  
b7C -1



**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**Subject:** Meet to discuss possible edits

**Start:** Thursday, June 02, 2016 2:30 PM

**End:** Thursday, June 02, 2016 3:30 PM

**Show Time As:** Tentative

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Not yet responded

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI);  
 (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**When:** Thursday, June 02, 2016 2:30 PM-3:30 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

**Note:** The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2016 2:04 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Another News article re: Raphael

Beats me. Is she a closet Armo?

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/01/2016 1:16 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Another News article re: Raphael

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

What's the Armenian angle?

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/01/2016 10:14 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Another News article re: Raphael

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

See attached article from The Armenian Weekly yesterday re: Raphael.

**From:** [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2016 7:06 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)  
**Subject:** News article

b6 -1  
b7C -1

<http://armenianweekly.com/2016/05/31/sassounian-raphel/>

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2016 2:23 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Another News article re: Raphael

Jesus. Did you read it? It was REALLY attenuated...

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2016 1:17 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Another News article re: Raphael

What's the Armenian angle?

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/01/2016 10:14 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Another News article re: Raphael

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

See attached article from The Armenian Weekly yesterday re: Raphael.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2016 7:06 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)  
**Subject:** News article

b6 -1  
b7C -1

<http://armenianweekly.com/2016/05/31/sassounian-raphel/>

...

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 03, 2016 4:16 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE scrub

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Yes, for sure. Afternoon works for me. You?

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 03, 2016 4:16 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE scrub

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Ok. No problem. We'll pick this back up on Monday?

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 03, 2016 4:14 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE scrub

b6 -1  
b7C -1

No, I had to cancel. I have too much to do and have to leave in 45. Sorry.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 03, 2016 4:14 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE scrub

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Sorry, I was on the phone with [REDACTED] Are we still meeting?

b6 -3

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:09 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** MYE scrub  
**When:** Friday, June 03, 2016 3:45 PM-4:45 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).  
**Where:** 4869

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**Subject:** Accepted: MYE comment walk thru  
**Location:** 4869

**Start:** Monday, June 06, 2016 4:00 PM  
**End:** Monday, June 06, 2016 5:00 PM

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Accepted

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** Jun 6, 2016 4:00:00 PM

**Where:** 4869



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 05, 2016 6:41 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: MYE update

Well at least [REDACTED] was on the call. ?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/05/2016 6:40 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: MYE update

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Of course. More atmospherics (nothing substantive) that I didn't put in there: Beth essentially blew them off yesterday, they had to strengthen the, no, we need to talk now, language (not sure what that entailed). She and [REDACTED] got into it (she did some yelling) over some issue.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/05/2016 6:31 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: MYE update

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Thanks.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/05/2016 6:27 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: MYE update

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 05, 2016 6:27 PM  
**To:** Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (RO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** MYE update

b6 -1  
b7C -1

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Bill

b5 -1, 2  
b6 -1, 3  
b7C -1, 3  
b7E -4

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**Subject:** Accepted: Statement drafting  
**Location:** 4869  
  
**Start:** Friday, June 10, 2016 10:00 AM  
**End:** Friday, June 10, 2016 11:30 AM  
  
**Recurrence:** (none)  
  
**Meeting Status:** Accepted  
  
**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** Jun 10, 2016 10:00:00 AM  
**Where:** 4869

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**Subject:** Accepted: Statement drafting  
**Location:** 4869  
  
**Start:** Friday, June 10, 2016 9:30 AM  
**End:** Friday, June 10, 2016 11:00 AM  
  
**Recurrence:** (none)  
  
**Meeting Status:** Accepted  
  
**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** Jun 10, 2016 9:30:00 AM  
**Where:** 4869



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 6:57 PM  
**To:** Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: WSJ article out

Got it, thanks. Think we'll be totally fine.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/09/2016 6:40 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: WSJ article out

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

<http://www.wsj.com/articles/clinton-emails-in-probe-dealt-with-planned-drone-strikes-1465509863?cb=logged0.3555549664010398>

At the center of a criminal probe involving Hillary Clinton's handling of classified information is a series of emails between American diplomats in Islamabad and their superiors in Washington about whether to challenge specific drone strikes in Pakistan.

The 2011 and 2012 emails were sent via the "low side"—government slang for a computer system for unclassified matters—as part of a secret arrangement that gave the State Department more of a voice in whether a Central Intelligence Agency drone strike went ahead, according to congressional and law-enforcement officials briefed on the Federal Bureau of Investigation probe.

Some of the emails were then forwarded by Mrs. Clinton's aides to her personal email account, which routed them to a server she kept at her home in suburban New York when she was secretary of state, the officials said. Investigators have raised concerns that Mrs. Clinton's personal server was less secure than State Department systems.

---

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Sent:** Friday, December 23, 2011 10:36 AM  
**To:** Feldman, Daniel F  
**Subject:** RE: (SBU)

Thanks -- you good with this

---

**From:** Feldman, Daniel F  
**Sent:** Friday, December 23, 2011 10:22 AM  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D; Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Subject:** FW: (SBU)

I'm sure you know already, but just in case.

---

**From:** Munter, Cameron P  
**Sent:** Friday, December 23, 2011 10:12 AM  
**To:** Feldman, Daniel F; Ruggiero, Frank J  
**Subject:** (SBU)

Dan, Frank, [REDACTED]

Cameron

ENLARGE

A redacted email sent in 2011 by the then-U.S. ambassador to Pakistan and answered by a top aide to Hillary Clinton.

The vaguely worded messages didn't mention the CIA "drones" or details about the militant targets, officials said.

The still-secret emails are a key part of the FBI investigation that has long dogged Mrs. Clinton's campaign, these officials said.

They were written within the often narrow time frame in which State Department officials had to decide whether or not to object to drone strikes before the CIA pulled the trigger, the officials said.

Law-enforcement and intelligence officials said State Department deliberations about the covert CIA drone program should have been conducted over a more secure government computer system designed to handle classified information.

State Department officials told FBI investigators they communicated via the less-secure system on a few instances, according to congressional and law-enforcement officials. It happened when decisions about imminent strikes had to be relayed fast and the U.S. diplomats in Pakistan or Washington didn't have ready access to a more-secure system, either because it was night or they were traveling.

Emails sent over the low side sometimes were informal discussions that occurred in addition to more-formal notifications through secure communications, the officials said.

One such exchange came just before Christmas in 2011, when the U.S. ambassador sent a short, cryptic note to his boss indicating a drone strike was planned. That sparked a back-and-forth among Mrs. Clinton's senior advisers over the next few days, in which it was clear they were having the discussions in part because people were away from their offices for the holiday and didn't have access to a classified computer, officials said.

The CIA drone campaign, though widely reported in Pakistan, is listed as secret by the U.S. government. Under strict U.S. classification rules, U.S. officials have been barred from discussing strikes publicly and even privately outside of secure communications systems.

The State Department said in January that 22 emails on Mrs. Clinton's personal server at her home have been judged to contain top-secret information and aren't being publicly released. Many of them dealt with whether diplomats concurred or not with the CIA drone strikes, congressional and law-enforcement officials said.

Several law-enforcement officials said they don't expect any criminal charges to be filed as a result of the investigation, although a final review of the evidence will be made only after an expected FBI interview with Mrs. Clinton this summer.

One reason is that government workers at several agencies, including the departments of Defense, Justice and State, have occasionally resorted to the low-side system to give each other notice about sensitive but fast-moving events, according to one law-enforcement official.

When Mrs. Clinton has been asked about the possibility of being criminally charged over the email issue, she has repeatedly said "that is not going to happen." She has said it was a mistake to use a personal server for email but it was a decision she made as a matter of convenience.

U.S. officials said there is no evidence Pakistani intelligence officials intercepted any of the low-side State Department emails or used them to protect militants.

State Department spokesman Mark Toner said the agency "is not going to speak to the content of documents, nor would we speak to any ongoing review."

The email issue has dogged Mrs. Clinton for more than a year. Despite her success in nailing down the Democratic presidential nomination, polls show many voters continue to doubt her truthfulness and integrity. Her campaign manager has acknowledged the email matter has hurt her.

Republican rival Donald Trump has attacked Mrs. Clinton repeatedly on the issue, calling her "Crooked Hillary," saying what she did was a crime and suggesting the Justice Department would let her off because it is run by Democrats.

Beyond the campaign implications, the investigation exposes the latest chapter in a power struggle that pits the enablers of hard secrecy, including the FBI and CIA, against some officials at the State Department and other agencies who want a greater voice in the use of covert lethal force around the globe, because of the impact it has on broader U.S. policy goals.

In the case of Pakistan, U.S. diplomats found themselves in a difficult position.

Despite being treated as top secret by the CIA, the drone program has long been in the public domain in Pakistan. Television stations there go live with reports of each strike, undermining U.S. efforts to foster goodwill and cooperation against militants through billions of dollars in American aid.

Pakistani officials, while publicly opposing the drone program, secretly consented to the CIA campaign by clearing airspace in the militant-dense tribal areas along the Afghan border, according to former U.S. and Pakistani officials.



CIA and White House officials credit a sharp ramp-up in drone strikes early in Mr. Obama's presidency with battering al Qaeda's leadership in the Pakistani rebel areas and helping protect U.S. forces next door in Afghanistan. Targets have also included some of the Pakistan government's militant enemies.

In 2011, Pakistani officials began to push back in private against the drone program, raising questions for the U.S. over the extent to which the program still had their consent.

U.S. diplomats warned the CIA and White House they risked losing access to Pakistan's airspace unless more discretion was shown, said current and former officials. Within the administration, State Department and military officials argued that the CIA needed to be more "judicious" about when strikes were launched. They weren't challenging the spy agency's specific choice of targets but mainly the timing of strikes.

The CIA initially chafed at the idea of giving the State Department more of a voice in the process. Under a compromise reached around the year 2011, CIA officers would notify their embassy counterparts in Islamabad when a strike in Pakistan was planned, so then-U.S. ambassador Cameron Munter or another senior diplomat could decide whether to "concur" or "non-concur." Mr. Munter declined to comment.

Diplomats in Islamabad would communicate the decision to their superiors in Washington. A main purpose was to give then-Secretary of State Clinton and her top aides a chance to consider whether she wanted to weigh in with the CIA director about a planned strike.

With the compromise, State Department-CIA tensions began to subside. Only once or twice during Mrs. Clinton's tenure at State did U.S. diplomats object to a planned CIA strike, according to congressional and law-enforcement officials familiar with the emails.

U.S. diplomats in Pakistan and Washington usually relayed and discussed their concur or non-concur decisions via the State Department's more-secure messaging system. But about a half-dozen times, when they were away from more-secure equipment, they improvised by sending emails on their smartphones about whether they backed an impending strike or not, the officials said.

Some officials chafed at pressure to send internal deliberations through intelligence channels, since they were discussing whether to push back against the CIA, congressional officials said.

The time available to the State Department to weigh in on a planned strike varied widely, from several days to as little as 20 or 30 minutes. "If a strike was imminent, it was futile to use the 'high side,' which no one would see for seven hours," said one official.

Adding to those communications hurdles, U.S. intelligence officials privately objected to the State Department even using its high-side system. They wanted diplomats to use a still-more-secure system called the Joint Worldwide Intelligence Community Systems or JWICS. State Department officials don't have ready access to that system, even in Washington. If drone-strike decisions were needed quickly, it wouldn't be an option, officials said.

The Wall Street Journal first reported on the State Department-CIA tug-of-war over the drone program in 2011.

Under pressure to address critics abroad, Mr. Obama pledged to increase the transparency of drone operations by shifting, as much as possible, control of drone programs around the world to the U.S. military instead of the CIA. An exception was made for Pakistan.

But even in Pakistan, Mr. Obama recently signaled a shift. The drone strike that killed Taliban leader Mullah Akhtar Mansour last month was conducted by the military, not the CIA, and the outcome was disclosed.

While the CIA still controls drones over the tribal areas of Pakistan near Afghanistan, the pace of strikes has declined dramatically in recent years. U.S. officials say there are fewer al Qaeda targets there now that the CIA can find.

Richard P. Quinn

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Media/Investigative Publicity



b6 -1  
b7C -1

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2016 6:31 PM  
To: Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)  
Cc: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
Subject: WSJ article out

Gents, saw the WSJ article is out online but it's blocked by premium firewall – would you be able to pull a copy?

Thanks,

Pete

Peter P. Strzok II

Section Chief

Counterespionage Section (CD4)

FBIHQ



b6 -1  
b7C -1



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 9:16 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Language

I was on with [REDACTED] when this came in. She's on it.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/09/2016 9:12 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Language

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Trisha

This is the current language. I am inclined to approve. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

I need to get back to DOJ ASAP so please let me know if you have strong objections.

Andrew G. McCabe  
Deputy Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Toscas, George (NSD)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/09/2016 9:04 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Language

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

As you mentioned [REDACTED] we will do that (with your agreement). Let me know where you land on the below. Thanks.

b5 -1

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 9:30 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Language

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/09/2016 9:29 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page,  
Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Language

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Just talked to [REDACTED] He was fine with the proposed language [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] but he was going to run it by George.

b5 -1  
b6 -2  
b7C -2

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 9:20 PM  
**To:** McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Language

b6 -1  
b7C -1

We're concerned about a couple of things in the language below [REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b6 -1  
b7C -1

b5 -1

**From:** McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 9:13 PM  
**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Language

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Trisha

This is the current language. I am inclined to approve. [REDACTED]

b5 -1

I need to get back to DOJ ASAP so please let me know if you have strong objections.

Andrew G. McCabe  
Deputy Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Toscas, George (NSD)" [REDACTED]

Date: 06/09/2016 9:04 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: Language

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

As you mentioned [REDACTED] we will do that (with your agreement). Let me know where you land on the below. Thanks.

b5 -1

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 9:30 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Language

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/09/2016 9:19 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Language

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/09/2016 9:12 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Language

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Trisha

This is the current language. I am inclined to approve. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

I need to get back to DOJ ASAP so please let me know if you have strong objections.

Andrew G. McCabe  
Deputy Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FBI (18-cv-154)-1823



REDACTED

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Toscas, George (NSD)"

Date: 06/09/2016 9:04 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"

Subject: Language

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2

As you mentioned we will do that (with your agreement). Let me know where you land on the below. Thanks.

b5 -1

b5 -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 10:03 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Langaue

That's irritating.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/09/2016 9:59 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Langaue

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Only a bit of a delay... ☹

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (NSD)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 06/09/2016 9:57 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)"  
[REDACTED] (USAVAE)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (USAVAE)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Langaue

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Adding Pete and DOJ folks. We have now missed the opportunity to speak with counsel tonight. They will propose a time tomorrow morning.

What about language below:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

On Jun 9, 2016, at 9:05 PM, [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] wrote:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

What about the following?:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

**From:** [REDACTED] (NSD) [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 7:03 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD); [REDACTED] (USAVAE); [REDACTED] (USAVAE)  
**Subject:** Langaue

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Here is redline of consent letter. Last paragraph is pasted below in body of email.

b5 -1

[REDACTED]

\_\_\_\_\_  
[REDACTED]  
Deputy Chief  
Counterintelligence and Export Control Section  
National Security Division  
U.S. Department of Justice

Phone: [REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 10, 2016 9:08 AM  
**To:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Drafting

Great -- no pressure! ;)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 10, 2016 9:06 AM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Drafting

Hey-

I've got a conflict now and may not be able to make it down to the drafting session (will try, but it might be at the very end). Just as far as expectations, I told the Director we'd give the pen back to him tonight so please send up any new edits out of this session.

Thanks!

---

James Rybicki  
Chief of Staff  
Federal Bureau of Investigation



b6 -1  
b7C -1



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 10, 2016 7:04 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Kendall DOJ contact

I'm going to forward to Andy and Baker given timetable.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 6:49 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Fyi

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 6:47 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Bill, I'm driving and can provide more detail on the phone, but DOJ is telling Toscas. Without DOJ asking, Kendall threw out a date of 2 July in NY for the interview. [REDACTED] said that he could not commit to a date and we need to look at the laptops first. Kendall said he would keep the date blocked anyway because it is getting very hard to get on her calendar, even for him.

b6 -2  
b7C -2

Thanks,  
Pete

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 10, 2016 8:43 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Kendall DOJ contact

Fysa.

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 8:41 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yes. So if July 2 is the first thing she has free now, we should grab it.

Andrew G. McCabe  
Deputy Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 8:35 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yes, your latter point was my concern.

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 8:33 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Imy in the same place. We can agree pending our progress on the review.

Keep in mind the Boss's strong desire to get this wrapped up in June.

Andrew G. McCabe  
Deputy Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 8:29 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I guess I'm OK with it so long as we clearly state in writing that the date is tentative and subject to our finishing our review of the laptops.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 7:06 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED], "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

See below. Let me know if we want to adjust our thinking about scheduling. No problem if not, just wanted you both to be aware of the latest.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 6:49 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Fyi

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/10/2016 6:47 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Kendall DOJ contact

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Bill, I'm driving and can provide more detail on the phone, but DOJ is telling Toscas. Without DOJ asking, Kendall threw out a date of 2 July in NY for the interview. [REDACTED] said that he could not commit to a date and we need to look at the laptops first. Kendall said he would keep the date blocked

b6 -2  
b7C -2

anyway because it is getting very hard to get on her calendar, even for him.

Thanks,

Pete



**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**Subject:** Accepted: MYE redline review

**Location:** 4869

**Start:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 10:00 AM

**End:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 10:30 AM

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Accepted

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** Jun 14, 2016 10:00:00 AM

**Where:** 4869

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**Subject:** Accepted: MYE conf call  
**Location:** Will send dial in  
  
**Start:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 3:00 PM  
**End:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 3:30 PM  
  
**Recurrence:** (none)  
  
**Meeting Status:** Accepted  
  
**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

When: Jun 14, 2016 3:00:00 PM

Where: Will send dial in

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**Subject:** Accepted: DD MYE LHM comments

**Location:** Lisa's mobile office (Peet's)

**Start:** Monday, June 27, 2016 9:30 AM

**End:** Monday, June 27, 2016 10:00 AM

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Accepted

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** Jun 27, 2016 9:30:00 AM

**Where:** Lisa's mobile office (Peet's)

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 27, 2016 2:46 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: D statement redlines

I saw.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 06/27/2016 2:16 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: D statement redlines

Hey if you haven't had a chance to compare, call me and I'll point out where he made a couple of additions/changes

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 04, 2016 1:22 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Is Hillary Clinton Telling the Truth About Emails? - The Daily Beast

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Email looks good, but I would indicate your concern sooner. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/04/2016 1:18 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Is Hillary Clinton Telling the Truth About Emails? - The Daily Beast

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Would you pls review below? What I'm thinking of sending to Bill...

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4

<http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2016/07/03/is-hillary-clinton-telling-the-truth-about-emails.html>

## Is Hillary Clinton Telling the Truth About Emails?

By Lauren Carroll and Jon Greenberg

Saturday's news that Hillary Clinton spent more than three hours speaking with the FBI bled into the Sunday news shows, with Clinton again saying she did not send or receive material marked classified through the private email server she used while secretary of state.

"Let me just repeat what I have repeated for many months now," Clinton told NBC's Chuck Todd in a phone interview following her interview with investigators. "I never received nor sent any material that was marked classified."

The line has been part of Clinton's defense since at least last August. Back then, PolitiFact hesitated to rate Clinton's claim because of the fluidity of events and the ongoing investigation.

Eleven months later, we wanted to take a fresh look.

### Classified after the fact

The public became aware that Clinton used a private email server in March 2015. Eventually, Clinton turned over about 30,000 work-related emails to the State Department for public release.

The State Department redacted about 2,000 of those emails before releasing them, saying they contain classified information — including some that have been withheld entirely because they contain "top secret" information.

Clinton's statement focuses on a much narrower and potentially misleading point — that Clinton sent or received material she knew was classified because it was marked.

None of the emails the State Department redacted, or any other emails made public, contained classification markings at the time they were sent, government officials said.

The possibility remains, however, that that she sent or received classified information that was inappropriately left unlabeled — or that Clinton, as head of the department, failed to recognize and deal with information that should have been classified.

Further, because Clinton used a private server, we only have Clinton's word that she turned over all relevant email. It's possible there are emails with classified information on them that she deleted or did not turn over.

Danielle Brian, executive director of the Project on Government Oversight, said Clinton is carefully picking her words.

"On the one hand, I believe that sentence is likely accurate," said Danielle Brian, executive director of the Project on Government Oversight, told PolitiFact. "But I also believe that it is so carefully crafted as



to avoid a more important question, which is whether there was information in her email that should have been marked classified."

The plot only gets more complicated.

While we know officials retroactively classified portions of emails on Clinton's private server, we do not yet know if any of those emails contained classified information when they first landed in Clinton's server. It's possible that emails that were not marked classified contained classified information.

There's also some disagreement between the State Department and the intelligence community over what was or should have been treated as classified. Government agencies regularly disagree over what should be classified or not, and transparency advocates say the government regularly over-classifies.

Clinton's specific phrasing — that she never sent or received material "marked classified" — is likely a critical point for investigators, as it's relevant to the question of Clinton's intent and whether she knowingly mishandled material.

In that sense, Steven Aftergood, director of the Project on Government Secrecy at the Federation of American Scientists, said Clinton's claim might not be misleading.

But it's also true, Aftergood said, "that statement alone does not answer all possible questions about the matter."

Overall, Clinton is focusing on a technical aspect of the government chain of classification, that information contained within her private email server wasn't "marked" classified. There is no evidence Clinton knowingly sent or received classified information.

But the government classification system is complicated, and Clinton fails to acknowledge that classified information could have moved through her email server without a proper label. Part of the problem is Clinton's private server itself, because only she and her team know what was in the emails she deleted.

We rate the claim **Half True**.

### **Clinton, the Russian 'reset'**

On *Meet the Press*, Sen. Tom Cotton, R-Ark., was asked to explain foreign policy positions that appear more in line with Clinton than Republican presumptive nominee Donald Trump.

"I can assure you that I'm not very close to Hillary Clinton. I think she's disqualified herself from commander in chief by her cavalier attitude towards our nation's secrecy laws," Cotton said. "And she has been responsible for many of the worst decisions of the Obama administration.

"She was literally present when we pressed the reset button with Russia just a few months after Russia had invaded Georgia."

Cotton's talk about Russia is an imperfect but not inaccurate retelling of history. That claim rates **Mostly True**.

Russia long has had a military presence in the semi-autonomous Georgian regions of South Ossetia



and Abkhazia, two areas that enjoyed substantial autonomy from Georgia.

In 1991, Georgian forces occupied the South Ossetian capital, but resistance by Russian-backed fighters led to a stalemate. In 1992, all parties agreed to a ceasefire, which included a Russian-led peacekeeping force. There was a similar pattern of hostilities in Abkhazia that resulted in a similar solution. The presence of peacekeepers and international monitors worked for about a decade.

Calm unravelled in 2004 with the election of a more nationalist leader in Georgia. There was aggression on both sides. Violence grew and on Aug. 7, 2008, after Russian-backed rebels in South Ossetia shelled ethnically Georgian villages, the Georgian government sent in its army to take control of the region. Russia responded militarily, successfully pushing back Georgian troops from both South Ossetia and Abkhazia.

Clashes continued for a week, drawing in portions of Georgia beyond the two breakaway regions, until France helped broker a peace deal. Open conflict ended Aug. 12, 2008. Russia then recognized South Ossetia and Abkhazia as sovereign nations, though most other countries have not taken that step.

Jump forward to March 2009 to pick up the other point in Cotton's statement.

Newly elected President Barack Obama had campaigned that he would put relations with Russia on a less confrontational footing. Most of his focus was on scaling back the nuclear arsenals of both nations.

As part of that initiative, on March 6, 2009, in Geneva, Switzerland, then-Secretary of State Clinton gave her Russian counterpart Sergei Lavrov a red button. The button was supposed to have word "reset" written on it in Russian. But the Americans got it wrong -- the word translated to "overcharged."

So Cotton has the basics of the story largely correct though he's cutting off the edges of the story. Russian troops did participate in military activities on Georgian soil, but troops were already in place as part of a brokered settlement. The Russians didn't necessarily initiate hostilities.

And while Cotton said the Americans hit the "reset" button "a few months" after the Russian invasion, the reality is it took place almost six months after the week-long conflict had ended.

Susan Allen, director of the Center for Peacemaking Process at George Mason University, calls Russia's action an invasion because in early August 2008, no one considered South Ossetia to be an independent country.

But Allen told us that beyond that legal definition, the details muddy the picture. Georgia made the first large military move. On top of that, Russian soldiers were already on the ground in South Ossetia.

"Russia had had a longstanding role as part of a peacekeeping force separating the Georgians and South Ossetians," Allen said. "Yes, Russia invaded Georgia, and, yes, there is a lot more to the story."

*Read the full fact-checks at [PunditFact.com](http://PunditFact.com).*



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 04, 2016 5:19 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: DD called

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/04/2016 5:15 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: DD called

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Fyi

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/04/2016 5:14 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** DD called

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Bill, fysa, the DD just called me with two questions as he's re-reading the D's speech:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 05, 2016 11:48 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Huge focus on Petraeus

You sent to Kortan?

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 05, 2016 12:01 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: HRC Talking Points 07-05-2016

I sent them to Bowdich and Rybucki as well. Will send to Andy as well.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/05/2016 12:00 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: HRC Talking Points 07-05-2016

Mike,

TPs re comparison to Petraeus and Berger

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 05, 2016 10:23 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** HRC Talking Points 07-05-2016

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 05, 2016 12:06 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Nice work

I know. He said he hadn't spoken to them yet, but will soon.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/05/2016 12:04 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Nice work

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

He needs to get his team there

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 05, 2016 12:04 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Nice work

No surprise there. George is calm as well.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/05/2016 12:03 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Nice work

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Notable silence from everyone else....

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAAVE) [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 05, 2016 11:38 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Nice work

b6 -2  
b7C -2  
b7E -6



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 10, 2016 8:16 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Revised TPs

No, I'm not.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/10/2016 7:08 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: Revised TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

You're aware of backstory on this relating to difference between Andy and Mike re target audience?

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/08/2016 7:14 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Revised TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Friends,

Please see the attached TPs, revised to incorporate some of the themes and facts from the D's testimony yesterday. I welcome any and all comments and edits.

I am still working on an additional page for these TPs that consists of a chart of the statutory violations considered during the investigation, and the reasons for the recommendation not to prosecute, hopefully in non-lawyer friendly terms (Allie's good idea). I will circulate that on Monday, or if needed, tomorrow. Just let me know.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Special Counsel to the EAD  
National Security Branch  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 10, 2016 8:36 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Yeah, agree that this is not a good idea.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/10/2016 8:30 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I'm really not sure why they continued working on these. In the morning, I'll make sure Andy tells Mike to keep these in his pocket. I guess Andy just didn't ever have a moment to turn these off with Mike like he said he would.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/10/2016 8:26 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C.  
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Pete,

The DD will need to approve these before they are pushed out to anyone. At the end of last week, he wasn't inclined to send them to anyone. But, it's great to have them on the shelf in case they're needed.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/10/2016 8:19 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD)  
(FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

(+ Lisa and Moffa – welcome back, you're both gonna wish you stayed at the beach)

I have redlined some points. Broadly, I have some concerns about asking some of our senior field folks to get into the business of briefing this case, particularly when we have the D's statement as a kind of stand alone document. In my opinion, there's too much nuance, detail, and potential for missteps. But I get they may likely be asked for comment.

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 7:15 PM  
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Revised TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Friends,

Please see the attached TPs, revised to incorporate some of the themes and facts from the D's testimony yesterday. I welcome any and all comments and edits.

I am still working on an additional page for these TPs that consists of a chart of the statutory violations considered during the investigation, and the reasons for the recommendation not to prosecute, hopefully in non-lawyer friendly terms (Allie's good idea). I will circulate that on Monday, or if needed, tomorrow. Just let me know.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Special Counsel to the EAD  
National Security Branch  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 11, 2016 7:02 PM  
**To:** Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI); McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI); Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI); Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI); Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Referral from Chairmen Goodlatte and Chaffetz

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Oops, now I've done the adding and subtracting. Thanks.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/11/2016 6:45 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Referral from Chairmen Goodlatte and Chaffetz

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

The Hill has sent a formal referral on Clinton's testimony to the US Attorneys Office for the District of Columbia (see attached). It is signed by the Chairmen Chaffee and Goodlatte.

Stephen

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Dockham, Andrew" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/11/2016 5:23 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USADC) [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (OLA) (JMD)"  
[REDACTED] "Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (USADC) [REDACTED]

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6



Subject: Referral from Chairmen Goodlatte and Chaffetz



b6 -2  
b7C -2

Please see the attached letter from Chairmen Goodlatte and Chaffetz.

Thanks,  
Andy Dockham

Andrew Dockham  
General Counsel  
Chairman Jason Chaffetz  
Oversight & Government Reform

b6 -2  
b7C -2



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2016 5:19 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Clinton testimony

I thought you were going to ask her for the AG's testimony, no?

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2016 3:04 PM  
**To:** Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Clinton testimony

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Hi Beth,  
Would it be possible to get a copy of Secretary Clinton's testimony before the Benghazi Committee?  
Thanks,  
Pete

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 12:47 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

One quick comment: [REDACTED]

b5 -1

Anyway, I drafted this quickly because I am running to another meeting, but something to this effect would be great. [REDACTED] could you take a stab at this? Thanks so much.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 12:33 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

That works.

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 12:23 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Will do, will get you an answer by 3, will that work?

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Date:** 07/13/2016 10:56 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Pete and [REDACTED] - Can you please do one final review of the updated document and let us know if you're good with the updates. If you're good with the updates, we'll run the document by Jim Baker before calling it.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

FBI (18-cv-154)-1931

final.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2016 9:20 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Here's a revised version of the TPs. I worked from the draft that included visible comments and edits from both Pete and [REDACTED]. Adding Pete and Moffa to this chain so they can also review if they wish.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED]

b5 -1

I welcome any and all edits – let me know if you want me to make further changes, or if you want to take the pen, please advise the group so we're not working on competing documents. Once we have a final draft, we will need to show it to the GC and then the EAD/DD for approval.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7E -1

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2016 7:56 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Makes sense. I haven't updated the TPs since Pete provided comments. I defer to everyone else here on how to handle incorporating the comments. Thanks.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/12/2016 7:47 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** TPs

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Lisa and [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1



Have either of you made further revisions to the TPs I circulated on Friday? I know we have comments from Pete (which he sent on Sunday night) and that [REDACTED] has sent comments to Pete and Moffa, which may or may not have been included in the version Pete sent out on Sunday.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

At tonight's wrap up with the EAD, Steinbach announced that the DD had instructed the EADs that they would be receiving hard copies only of the TPs, which were to be used only in response to questions from the workforce. Steinbach also indicated that the DD would be handing out said hard copies tomorrow. I'm happy to incorporate Pete's comments (and [REDACTED] which she sent to me just now), but I wanted to make sure that I wasn't duplicating efforts that you've already completed.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Hopefully this makes sense. Please let me know if you'd like me to take a stab at them, or if I should stand down.

Also, FYI, Jim Baker asked [REDACTED] if he could see a copy of the TPs before they are given to the EADs. I also assume we will want to clear them one more time with Pete and Moffa before even giving them to Jim.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 5:48 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: LHM redactions

Yes, I think that covers it.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/13/2016 5:24 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: LHM redactions

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I was thinking of responding:

No decision's been made. We're developing ranges of options. I think front office is going to reach out to discuss.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (NSD)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/13/2016 4:57 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Laufman, David (NSD) (IMD)" [REDACTED] (NSD) (IMD)"  
[REDACTED] (USAVAE)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (USAVAE)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Re: LHM redactions

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

What other items besides the LHM are you anticipating releasing? Also, have you determined what will might be going out to the Hill and what might be going out in FOIA?

On Jul 13, 2016, at 4:48 PM, Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] wrote:

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

All,

We are preparing for FOIA/Congressional production of various items we anticipate will be required to be released. By next Monday, would you please identify those items you believe need to be protected pursuant to 5e within the LHM?

Thanks,  
Pete

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 6:52 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1

The Deputy will want to take a look at the before they go to the EAD, so it may make sense to provide them to him concurrently with the caveat that Jim B. hasn't reviewed them yet.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/13/2016 6:49 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

All,

Here is a clean draft of the talking points, with all of Pete and [REDACTED] edits included, and with the DD's caveat at the top. Please take a look and let me know if you see any issues.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I will also send these to Jim Baker for his awareness, per his request. If you all and he clear, my understanding is that the DD will provide these to the EADs for use consistent with the caveat.

FYI, EAD Steinbach has asked for these ASAP on behalf of EAD Skule, who is apparently visiting the Tampa FO tomorrow.

Thank you all for your help with these.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2016 9:01 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: TPs - Clean copy  
**Attachments:** MYE TPs 13 July 2016 FINAL CLEAN (ta).docx  
**Importance:** High

Not sure if there are comments, can't see them on my phone.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/14/2016 8:59 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

FYSA (and THANK YOU for your other email).

---

**From:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2016 7:20 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: TPs - Clean copy  
**Importance:** High

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED] - Jim passed these along to me late last night. I have some specific comments/edits reflected in the attached.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Thanks,  
Trisha

---

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:01 PM  
**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I will review but I assume that you have seen this.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/13/2016 6:53 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6



Subject: FW: TPs - Clean copy

Jim,

Please find attached the clean version of the MYE talking points, which incorporates edits from the team. I welcome any and all comments or edits you may have.

I have also copied Lisa and [REDACTED] so they can provide them to the DD when you clear. FYI, as noted below, EADs Steinbach and Skule are anxious to have them ASAP, but we want the DD to clear first.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

b6 -1  
b7C -1

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 6:49 PM

**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

**Cc:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)

**Subject:** TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1

All,

Here is a clean draft of the talking points, with all of Pete and [REDACTED] edits included, and with the DD's caveat at the top. Please take a look and let me know if you see any issues.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I will also send these to Jim Baker for his awareness, per his request. If you all and he clear, my understanding is that the DD will provide these to the EADs for use consistent with the caveat.

FYI, EAD Steinbach has asked for these ASAP on behalf of EAD Skule, who is apparently visiting the Tampa FO tomorrow.

Thank you all for your help with these.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2016 9:13 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: News Alert: State Department will release deleted Clinton emails

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/14/2016 9:05 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: News Alert: State Department will release deleted Clinton emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Were you all aware of this? I assume it's fine, but wanted to just check.

- Stephen

Stephen D. Kelly  
Assistant Director  
Office of Congressional Affairs  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** The Hill [mailto:alerts@news.thehill.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 5:51 PM  
**To:** Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** News Alert: State Department will release deleted Clinton emails



[View in your browser](#)

 News Alert

 F  
a  
c

 T  
w  
t

 U  
n  
k

 E  
m  
ail

## State Department will release deleted Clinton emails

The State Department will make public the thousands of deleted work-related emails that the FBI uncovered during its investigation into Hillary Clinton's personal email server, a spokesperson said Wednesday.

[Read the full story here](#)



 F  
a  
c  
 T  
w  
i  
 U  
n  
k  
 E  
m  
a

Did a friend forward you this email?

[Sign up for News Alerts](#)

[Forward News Alerts](#)

[Privacy Policy](#) | [Manage Subscriptions](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) | [Email to a friend](#) | [Sign Up for Other Newsletters](#)

The Hill 1625 K Street, NW 5th Floor, Washington DC 20005

©2016 Capitol Hill Publishing Corp., a subsidiary of News Communications, Inc.



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2016 9:14 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: TPs - Clean copy

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/14/2016 9:01 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I just talked to the D, DD, ADD, Mike S. and Jim R. about the TPs. They are all ok with them in concept provided that (at least for right now) they are for internal FBI use only by EADs. If particular ADs need them we need to discuss further.

They also asked us to prepare a one-page, very high level version for internal use by the SACs – can someone take a crack at that?

Thanks,

Jim

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2016 8:13 AM  
**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Here are my comments on top of Trisha's. Thanks.

Jim

**From:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 14, 2016 7:20 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: TPs - Clean copy  
**Importance:** High

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED] - Jim passed these along to me late last night. I have some specific comments/edits reflected in the attached.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Thanks,

FBI (18-cv-154)-1951

Trisha

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:01 PM  
**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I will review but I assume that you have seen this.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Date:** 07/13/2016 6:53 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Jim,

Please find attached the clean version of the MYE talking points, which incorporates edits from the team. I welcome any and all comments or edits you may have.

I have also copied Lisa and [REDACTED] so they can provide them to the DD when you clear. FYI, as noted below, EADs Steinbach and Skule are anxious to have them ASAP, but we want the DD to clear first.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 6:49 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1  
b7C -1

All,

Here is a clean draft of the talking points, with all of Pete and [REDACTED] edits included, and with the DD's caveat at the top. Please take a look and let me know if you see any issues.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I will also send these to Jim Baker for his awareness, per his request. If you all and he clear, my understanding is that the DD will provide these to the EADs for use consistent with the caveat.

FYI, EAD Steinbach has asked for these ASAP on behalf of EAD Skule, who is apparently visiting the Tampa FO tomorrow.

Thank you all for your help with these.

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 8:32 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: DOJ MYE Mtg Tomorrow

Completely ridiculous. ?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/15/2016 8:31 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: DOJ MYE Mtg Tomorrow

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

?

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/15/2016 8:30 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
Subject: RE: DOJ MYE Mtg Tomorrow

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Thanks. Also, I passed Dina on 7 yesterday afternoon, she mentioned this meeting had popped up on Bill's calendar. She asked and I confirmed that she didn't need to attend.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/15/2016 8:25 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
Cc: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: Fwd: DOJ MYE Mtg Tomorrow

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

GM [REDACTED] It has been the ADs prerogative to have only SC Strzok and his team participate in his absence.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/15/2016 8:16 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Corsi, Dina M. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Subject: RE: DOJ MYE Mtg Tomorrow

[REDACTED] - The DD advised that if AD Priestap isn't available he does not need Dina to sit in on the meeting.  
Thanks [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

From: [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 7:08 PM

To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)

Cc: Corsi, Dina M. (CD) (FBI)

[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)

[REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)

Subject: DOJ MYE Mtg Tomorrow

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Good Evening [REDACTED] -DAD Dina Corsi is acting AD/CD this week, as AD Priestap is out of the office. We will add the 1pm MYE meeting at DOJ to her calendar. Please let us know if anything is needed from CD prior to this meeting.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Regards,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Mobile: [REDACTED]

Desk: [REDACTED]



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 6:03 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: NY CD evidence questions

Please remove me from the chain, then feel free to forward if necessary.

----- Original message -----

From: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/15/2016 5:59 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Toscas, George (NSD) (JMD)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Steinbach, Michael B. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Hess, Amy S. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C.  
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: NY CD evidence questions

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

George,

I understand that despite my best efforts, there is still some confusion about my final decision regarding the evidence question in the NY CD case. To be clear, I have given you my final approval to use the evidence, in unreacted form if necessary, to support the most rigorous prosecution of this case. If we end up going to trial, I expect that we will make some effort to protect a few sensitive facts from the slide deck. However, if those efforts fail, we will go forward utilizing the evidence in the prosecution as necessary, consistent with all relevant judicial orders.

Please convey this along to your team to ensure nothing has been lost in translation. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Andrew G. McCabe  
Deputy Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
W [REDACTED]  
M [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 5:59 PM

**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)

**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

Jim/Lisa [REDACTED]

Defer to you on below

b6 -1  
b7C -1

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]

**Date:** 07/15/2016 5:05 PM (GMT-05:00)

**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

**Cc:** "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)

[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

(CTD) (FBI) [redacted] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"

"Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]

(DO) (FBI) [redacted] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"

[redacted] (NY) (FBI) [redacted]

Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

Thank you, sir.

To all, should we provide any additional information to FactCheck.org or would any updates more appropriately be give directly to Congress?

Thank you.

[redacted]

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 4:27 PM

**To:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (NY) (FBI)

**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

The answer to the reporters two questions follows. Again, I defer to 7<sup>th</sup> floor as to whether to release to this reporter or in another manner.

[redacted]

Any questions, please let us know.

Thanks,

Pete

**From:** [redacted] (DO) (FBI)

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 2:02 PM

**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (NY) (FBI)

**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

Understood. I did go back to the writer and told him we wouldn't be able to get back to him by midday today but are looking at his inquiry.

[redacted]

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

b6 -1  
b7C -1

b6 -1  
b7C -1

b5 -1  
b7E -4

b6 -1  
b7C -1

b6 -1  
b7C -1



FROM: Strzok, Peter P. (DO) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 1:58 PM

To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED]

We're looking in to it and will get back to you this afternoon; the answer may require some tweaking, the question is whether this is the forum to do it.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Thabks,  
Pete

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/13/2016 10:41 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
(CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hello all,

We got a media inquiry from FactCheck.org. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] but is there anything we want to clarify about the Director comments he mentions?

b5 -1

The writer asked to hear back by midday today. I have had some major IT issues so unfortunately I am slow getting this to you.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

From: Eugene Kiely [mailto:[eugene.kiely@factcheck.org](mailto:eugene.kiely@factcheck.org)]

Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 3:29 PM

To: NFO

Subject: Media questions/Clinton's emails

I'm hoping that you can clear up some inconsistencies in two statements made by Director Comey at his July 7 House testimony and statements made by others regarding Secretary Clinton's emails.

First, I'm trying to determine if the director misspoke when he said that Clinton did not give her lawyers any instructions on deleting emails, because she has said that it was her decision to delete her personal emails.

I'm referring to this exchange with Rep. Jim Jordan:

**Jordan:** Did Secretary Clinton know her legal team deleted those emails that they kept from us?

**Comey:** I don't believe so.

**Jordan:** Did Secretary Clinton approved those emails being deleted?



Jordan said Secretary Clinton approved those emails being deleted.

**Comey:** I don't think there was any specific instruction or conversation between the secretary and her lawyers about that.

**Jordan:** Did you ask that question?

**Comey:** Yes.

I believe that he may be wrong, or perhaps there is another explanation. I ask because Clinton has said that she decided not to keep the emails. In her March 10, 2015, press conference, she said: "We went through a thorough process to identify all of my work-related emails and deliver them to the State Department. At the end, **I chose not to keep my private personal emails** — emails about planning Chelsea's wedding or my mother's funeral arrangements, condolence notes to friends as well as yoga routines, family vacations, the other things you typically find in inboxes. No one wants their personal emails made public, and I think most people understand that and respect that privacy."

Later in that same press conference she said again, "In going through the e-mails, there were over 60,000 in total, sent and received. About half were work-related and went to the State Department and about half were personal that were not in any way related to my work. **I had no reason to save them, but that was my decision** because the federal guidelines are clear and the State Department request was clear."

On its website, the Clinton campaign says:

*Why did Clinton decide not to keep her personal emails?*

As Clinton has said before, these were private, personal messages, including emails about her daughter's wedding plans, her mother's funeral services and condolence notes, as well as emails on family vacations, yoga routines, and other items one would typically find in their own email account, such as offers from retailers, spam, etc.

*Did Clinton delete any emails while facing a subpoena?*

No. As noted, the emails that Clinton chose not to keep were personal emails—they were **not federal records or even work-related—and therefore were not subject to any preservation obligation under the Federal Records Act or any request**. Nor would they have been subject to the subpoena—which did not exist at the time—that was issued by the Benghazi Select Committee some three months later.

I know he said "I don't think" and "I don't believe so" when answering the questions, suggesting he wasn't sure. Can you check and get me a definitive answer?

Secondly, Director Comey said he thinks that there were three emails with "portion markings" indicating classified information. The State Department says it knows of two, not three, but that may be because the FBI had access to emails that the State Department did not. The director told Rep. Trey Gowdy, "There were a small number of portion markings on **I think three** of the documents." Later, he seemed more definitive when he spoke to Rep. Cartwright and he said, "There were three e-mails, the 'C' was in the body, in the text, but there was no header on the email or in the text." I just want to confirm the actual number of emails with such markings.

As for my deadline, I would appreciate getting a response by midday tomorrow.

Thank you,

Eugene Kiely  
Director, FactCheck.org  
202 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street.

Philadelphia, PA 19104

215-898-2372

[@factcheckdotorg](mailto:@factcheckdotorg)

[Help us hold politicians accountable. Donate to FactCheck.org](#)

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2016 9:00 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

No. I emailed Andy about it about 20 minutes ago.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/18/2016 8:54 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Do you know if anyone got her an answer?

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 5:05 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Thank you, sir.

To all, should we provide any additional information to FactCheck.org or would any updates more appropriately be give directly to Congress?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 4:27 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

The answer to the reporters two questions follows. Again, I defer to 7<sup>th</sup> floor as to whether to release to this reporter or in another manner.

[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7E -4



b5 -1  
b7E -4

Any questions, please let us know.

Thanks,  
Pete

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 2:02 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Understood. I did go back to the writer and told him we wouldn't be able to get back to him by midday today but are looking at his inquiry.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 1:58 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED]

We're looking in to it and will get back to you this afternoon; the answer may require some tweaking, the question is whether this is the forum to do it.

Thabks,  
Pete

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/13/2016 10:41 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
(CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hello all,

We got a media inquiry from FactCheck.org. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

but is there anything we need to clarify about the Director's appointment by executive order?

b5 -1



[redacted] but is there anything we want to clarify about the Director comments he mentioned?

The writer asked to hear back by midday today. I have had some major IT issues so unfortunately I am slow getting this to you.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Eugene Kiely [mailto:[eugene.kiely@factcheck.org](mailto:eugene.kiely@factcheck.org)]

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2016 3:29 PM

**To:** NPO

**Subject:** Media questions/Clinton's emails

I'm hoping that you can clear up some inconsistencies in two statements made by Director Comey at his July 7 House testimony and statements made by others regarding Secretary Clinton's emails.

First, I'm trying to determine if the director misspoke when he said that Clinton did not give her lawyers any instructions on deleting emails, because she has said that it was her decision to delete her personal emails.

I'm referring to this exchange with Rep. Jim Jordan:

**Jordan:** Did Secretary Clinton know her legal team deleted those emails that they kept from us?

**Comey:** I don't believe so.

**Jordan:** Did Secretary Clinton approved those emails being deleted?

**Comey:** I don't think there was any specific instruction or conversation between the secretary and her lawyers about that.

**Jordan:** Did you ask that question?

**Comey:** Yes.

I believe that he may be wrong, or perhaps there is another explanation. I ask because Clinton has said that she decided not to keep the emails. In her March 10, 2015, press conference, she said: "We went through a thorough process to identify all of my work-related emails and deliver them to the State Department. At the end, **I chose not to keep my private personal emails** — emails about planning Chelsea's wedding or my mother's funeral arrangements, condolence notes to friends as well as yoga routines, family vacations, the other things you typically find in inboxes. No one wants their personal emails made public, and I think most people understand that and respect that privacy."

Later in that same press conference she said again, "In going through the e-mails, there were over 60,000 in total, sent and received. About half were work-related and went to the State Department and about half were personal that were not in any way related to my work. **I had no reason to save them, but that was my decision** because the federal guidelines are clear and the State Department request was clear."

On its website, the Clinton campaign says:

*Why did Clinton decide not to keep her personal emails?*

As Clinton has said before, these were private, personal messages, including emails about her daughter's wedding plans, her mother's funeral services and condolence notes, as well as emails on family vacations, yoga routines, and other items one would typically find in their own email account, such as offers from retailers, spam, etc.

*Did Clinton delete any emails while facing a subpoena?*

No. As noted, the emails that Clinton chose not to keep were personal emails—they were

not federal records or even work-related—and therefore were not subject to any preservation obligation under the Federal Records Act or any request. Nor would they have been subject to the subpoena—which did not exist at the time—that was issued by the Benghazi Select Committee some three months later.

I know he said “I don’t think” and “I don’t believe so” when answering the questions, suggesting he wasn’t sure. Can you check and get me a definitive answer?

Secondly, Director Comey said he thinks that there were three emails with “portion markings” indicating classified information. The State Department says it knows of two, not three, but that may be because the FBI had access to emails that the State Department did not. The director told Rep. Trey Gowdy, “There were a small number of portion markings on **I think** three of the documents.” Later, he seemed more definitive when he spoke to Rep. Cartwright and he said, “There were three e-mails, the ‘C’ was in the body, in the text, but there was no header on the email or in the text.” I just want to confirm the actual number of emails with such markings.

As for my deadline, I would appreciate getting a response by midday tomorrow.

Thank you,

Eugene Kiely  
Director, FactCheck.org  
202 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street,  
Philadelphia, PA 19104  
215-898-2372  
[@factcheckdotorg](mailto:@factcheckdotorg)

[Help us hold politicians accountable. Donate to FactCheck.org](#)



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2016 9:06 AM  
**To:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: HRC Letter from Chairman Johnson  
**Attachments:** 2016-07-15 RHJ to FBI Director Comey (Clinton Investigation).pdf

----- Original message -----

From: "Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Date: 07/18/2016 8:59 AM (GMT-05:00)  
To: "McCabe, Andrew G. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Bowdich, David L. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED]; "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Cc: "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED];  
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
Subject: HRC Letter from Chairman Johnson

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

We received the attached letter for Chairman Ron Johnson of the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee seeking additional details regarding the email matter. The letter has 13 specific questions and asks for significant details about the investigation, nearly all of which would be answered by disclosure of the LHM and the classified e-mails. I expect we can respond consistent with the plan for other letters. Please let me know if you have any questions.

- Stephen  
Stephen D. Kelly  
Assistant Director  
Office of Congressional Affairs  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

JOHN MCCAIN, ARIZONA  
BOB PORTMAN, OHIO  
RAND PAUL, KENTUCKY  
JAMES LANKFORD, OKLAHOMA  
MICHAEL B. LEE, WYOMING  
KELLY AYOTTE, NEW HAMPSHIRE  
JOHN DODD, IOWA  
BEN RAISE, NEBRASKA

THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE  
CLARE McCASKILL, MISSOURI  
JON TESTER, MONTANA  
TAMMY BALDWIN, WISCONSIN  
HEIDI HEIKKADIN, NORTH DAKOTA  
CORY A. BURKE, NEW JERSEY  
GARY L. PETERS, MICHIGAN

# United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON  
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-8250

NORTH E. ASHMOORE, STAFF DIRECTOR  
GABRIELLE A. BAYON, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

July 15, 2016

The Honorable James B. Comey  
Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20535

Dear Director Comey:

The Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs is continuing to examine former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's use of a private email account and server during her time at the U.S. State Department. Based on your July 5, 2016 statement regarding the FBI's investigation into Secretary Clinton and your testimony before the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform on July 7, 2016, I request the following information and materials:

1. Please identify all individuals who sent, received, were copied and/or blind copied on any email containing classified information with Secretary Clinton or housed on Secretary Clinton's private server. In providing this information, please group the individuals in separate categories based on the classification level of the information contained in the emails. Also for each individual listed, please provide title, position, and department/agency/organization where employed when the email was transmitted and currently (if known).
2. Please identify the countries in which Secretary Clinton sent and/or received emails using her personal email system.
3. Please indicate how many emails were sent or received by Secretary Clinton while "in the territory of sophisticated adversaries."<sup>1</sup>
4. Were any classified emails sent or received by Secretary Clinton outside of the United States? If so, how many?
5. Please provide further information about the timing and nature of the attempted cyber-attacks on Secretary Clinton's personal email system.

<sup>1</sup> Fed. Bureau of Investigation, Statement by FBI Director James B. Comey on the Investigation of Secretary Hillary Clinton's Use of a Personal E-Mail System (July 5, 2016).



July 15, 2016

Page 2

6. Please provide the specific number of emails discovered by the FBI that were not in the group of 30,000 returned by Secretary Clinton to the State Department.
7. Did the FBI determine when Secretary Clinton's lawyers deleted Secretary Clinton's emails? If so, please provide that information.
8. Did the FBI determine the method by which Secretary Clinton's lawyers "cleaned their devices in such a way as to preclude complete forensic recovery"?<sup>2</sup> If so, please provide that information.
9. Did the FBI determine when Secretary Clinton's lawyers "cleaned their devices in such a way as to preclude complete forensic recovery"?<sup>3</sup> If so, please provide that information.
10. Please provide the FD-302s memorializing Secretary Clinton's interview and the other interviews conducted.
11. Did the FBI assess the applicability of any other criminal statutes to the facts gathered during its investigation of Secretary Clinton's use of a personal email system for official State Department business, including but not limited to obstruction of justice or making false statements? Please explain.
12. Did the FBI and/or Justice Department make an offer or enter into an immunity agreement(s) with any witness(es) other than Bryan Pagliano? For each offer or agreement, please identify the witness, explain the nature of the agreement, and detail the information received under immunity.
13. Please detail and list all the hardware devices used as, including but not limited to, primary servers, backup servers, and backup storage equipment that at any time contained any of Secretary Clinton's work-related emails. For each hardware device, please provide the make and model, location when in use, location after use, provider, companies providing any kind of servicing for the hardware equipment or software used, and current status of: hardware; software; and data.

Please provide this information as soon as possible, but no later than 5:00 p.m. on July 29, 2016. To the maximum extent possible, please provide unclassified responses to my questions; should a complete response to any question require that you send me classified information, you may send me that information under separate cover, via the Office of Senate Security.

---

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

The Honorable James B. Comey

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

July 15, 2016

Page 3

If you have any questions about this request, please ask your staff to contact Michael Lueptow or Scott Wittmann at (202) 224-4751. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Johnson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "R" and a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Ron Johnson  
Chairman

cc: The Honorable Thomas R. Carper  
Ranking Member

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2016 9:27 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I emailed Andy about 45 minutes ago about it. Figured it wasn't worth bothering him over the weekend.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/18/2016 9:21 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I'm not sure if anyone cleared an answer for her. Lisa Caroline may know more.

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 18, 2016 8:55 AM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Do you know if anyone got her an answer?

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 5:05 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Thank you, Sir.

To all, should we provide any additional information to FactCheck.org or would any updates more appropriately be give directly to Congress?

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 4:27 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

The answer to the reporters two questions follows. Again, I defer to 7<sup>th</sup> floor as to whether to release to this reporter or in another manner.

b5 -1  
b7E -4



Any questions, please let us know.

Thanks,  
Pete

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 2:02 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Understood. I did go back to the writer and told him we wouldn't be able to get back to him by midday today but are looking at his inquiry.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 13, 2016 1:58 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1  
b7C -1

[REDACTED]

We're looking in to it and will get back to you this afternoon; the answer may require some tweaking, the question is whether this is the forum to do it.  
Thabks,  
Pete

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
**Date:** 07/13/2016 10:41 AM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
[REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
(CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6



b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

[redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"  
[redacted] (NY) (FBI) [redacted]

Subject: FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

Hello all,

We got a media inquiry from FactCheck.org. [redacted]

b5 -1

[redacted] but is there anything we want to clarify about the Director comments he mentions?

The writer asked to hear back by midday today. I have had some major IT issues so unfortunately I am slow getting this to you.

[redacted]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Eugene Kiely [mailto:eugene.kiely@factcheck.org]

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 12, 2016 3:29 PM

**To:** NFO

**Subject:** Media questions/Clinton's emails

I'm hoping that you can clear up some inconsistencies in two statements made by Director Comey at his July 7 House testimony and statements made by others regarding Secretary Clinton's emails.

First, I'm trying to determine if the director misspoke when he said that Clinton did not give her lawyers any instructions on deleting emails, because she has said that it was her decision to delete her personal emails.

I'm referring to this exchange with Rep. Jim Jordan:

**Jordan:** Did Secretary Clinton know her legal team deleted those emails that they kept from us?

**Comey:** I don't believe so.

**Jordan:** Did Secretary Clinton approved those emails being deleted?

**Comey:** I don't think there was any specific instruction or conversation between the secretary and her lawyers about that.

**Jordan:** Did you ask that question?

**Comey:** Yes,

I believe that he may be wrong, or perhaps there is another explanation. I ask because Clinton has said that she decided not to keep the emails. In her March 10, 2015, press conference, she said: "We went through a thorough process to identify all of my work-related emails and deliver them to the State Department. At the end, **I chose not to keep my private personal emails** — emails about planning Chelsea's wedding or my mother's funeral arrangements, condolence notes to friends as well as yoga routines, family vacations, the other things you typically find in inboxes. No one wants their personal emails made public, and I think most people understand that and respect that privacy."

Later in that same press conference she said again, "In going through the e-mails, there were over 60,000 in total, sent and received. About half were work-related and went to the State Department and about half were personal that were not in any way related to my work. **I had no reason to save them, but that was my decision** because the federal guidelines are clear and the State Department request was clear."

On its website, the Clinton campaign says:

*Why did Clinton decide not to keep her personal emails?*

As Clinton has said before, these were private, personal messages, including emails about her

daughter's wedding plans, her mother's funeral services and condolence notes, as well as emails on family vacations, yoga routines, and other items one would typically find in their own email account, such as offers from retailers, spam, etc.

*Did Clinton delete any emails while facing a subpoena?*

No. As noted, the emails that Clinton chose not to keep were personal emails—they were not federal records or even work-related—and therefore were not subject to any preservation obligation under the Federal Records Act or any request. Nor would they have been subject to the subpoena—which did not exist at the time—that was issued by the Benghazi Select Committee some three months later.

I know he said "I don't think" and "I don't believe so" when answering the questions, suggesting he wasn't sure. Can you check and get me a definitive answer?

Secondly, Director Comey said he thinks that there were three emails with "portion markings" indicating classified information. The State Department says it knows of two, not three, but that may be because the FBI had access to emails that the State Department did not. The director told Rep. Trey Gowdy, "There were a small number of portion markings on **I think** three of the documents." Later, he seemed more definitive when he spoke to Rep. Cartwright and he said, "There were three e-mails, the 'C' was in the body, in the text, but there was no header on the email or in the text." I just want to confirm the actual number of emails with such markings.

As for my deadline, I would appreciate getting a response by midday tomorrow.

Thank you,

Eugene Kiely  
Director, FactCheck.org  
202 South 36<sup>th</sup> Street,  
Philadelphia, PA 19104  
215-898-2372  
[@factcheckdotorg](mailto:@factcheckdotorg)

[Help us hold politicians accountable. Donate to FactCheck.org](#)



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 2:39 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Well if 2:00 also works for [REDACTED] let's plan on meeting then and you can join us (or not) when you return. That work?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 2:37 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

That should work. I have an MOU meeting at DOS tomorrow at 11:30 so hopefully I'm back by 2.

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 2:33 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Hey guys. Could we find some time to meet tomorrow to check in on where things are, and talk about the latest referral? I can do pretty much any time beside 3:30-4:30. Would 2:00 work?

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA)  
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET  
FOIA Request No.: 1391365-000 Civil  
Action No.: 18-cv-154**

**Total Withheld Page(s) = 32**

Bates Page Reference	Reason for Withholding (i.e., exemptions with coded rationale, duplicate, sealed by order of court, etc.)
FBI(18-cv-154)-1982 thru FBI(18cv-154)-1991	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2007 thru FBI(18cv-154)-2018	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2020 thru FBI(18cv-154)-2021	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2022 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2023
FBI(18-cv-154)-2023	b6-1,2; b7A-1; b7C-1,2; b7E-1,4,6
FBI(18-cv-154)-2024	b6-1,2; b7A-1; b7C-1,2; b7E-1,4
FBI(18-cv-154)-2026	Other - Non-records/Non-responsive to the FOIA request
FBI(18-cv-154)-2027 thru FBI(18cv-154)-2028	Referral/Consult
FBI(18-cv-154)-2031 thru FBI(18cv-154)-2033	Duplicate to FBI(18-cv-154)-2034 thru FBI(18-cv-154)-2035

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

X Deleted Page(s) X  
X No Duplication Fee X X  
For this Page X

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 5:49 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Hi, sorry for the delay, just got out of wrap. I have a meeting at 3:30. Want to split the difference, move up to 1:30? Then hopefully Moffa can be there for the first half, [REDACTED] for the second?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 5:27 PM  
**To:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I have late duty so I'm here till 7. Whatever you guys wanna do is fine with me.

**From:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 5:27 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I defer to you guys. I should be good after 3pm, but I realize late in the day on a Friday is never a good time to talk, so if you guys can only do it at 2pm, please don't hesitate to push on without me...

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 5:23 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

My morning is booked, afternoon is free...

----- Original message -----  
**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/21/2016 5:03 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I'm flexible. The only thing I have is the 11:30 meeting at State.

**From:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 5:01 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I apologize but the AD just scheduled an hour long meeting with me (and DAD Corsi) from 2pm-3pm

tomorrow. As you know I can't do that. Do you have flexibility to try to coordinate for another time? If not, I'll

FBI (18-cv-154)-1980

tomorrow, so now I can't do 2pm. Do we have flexibility to try to readjust for another time? If not, I'll forward an email with what I have tomorrow morning on the latest referral and the status on the things I was tracking and you guys can carry on without me. I don't want to hold you up...

J

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 2:46 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Mine is fine.

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 2:45 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Let's do yours. Mine tends to get to 100 degrees in the afternoon. Unless you all want warm. If you want warm, I've got warm...

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/21/2016 2:43 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Pete's office? Mine?

---

**From:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2016 2:42 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Meet tomorrow?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I'll make it work, so 2pm it is...

J

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**Subject:** Meet re referral

**Location:** Lisa's office

**Start:** Monday, July 25, 2016 2:30 PM

**End:** Monday, July 25, 2016 3:30 PM

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Meeting organizer

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI);

Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

**Optional Attendees:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**Subject:** Meet re referral

**Location:** Lisa's office

**Start:** Monday, July 25, 2016 2:30 PM

**End:** Monday, July 25, 2016 3:30 PM

**Show Time As:** Tentative

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Not yet responded

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**Required Attendees:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI);  
Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**When:** Monday, July 25, 2016 2:30 PM-3:30 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** Lisa's office

**Note:** The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 3:25 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call next week

b6 -1  
b7C -1

And yeah, I don't think Jim owes ANYTHING to Beth at this point. I vote for [REDACTED] only.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 3:10 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call next week

b6 -1  
b7C -1

You are perfectly competent to speak to the legal obligations and FBI policy/procedures. We should NOT be treating opposing counsel this way. We would not in any other case.

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 3:09 PM  
**To:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: Call next week

b6 -1  
b7C -1

More.....I guess this is [REDACTED] rationale for why we need to have the GC on the call to discuss the fact that we will be following all of our legal obligations and FBI policies/procedures with regard to the disposition of the materials in this case.

b6 -2  
b7C -2

**From:** [REDACTED] (NSD) [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 2:54 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)  
**Subject:** RE: Call next week

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Got it. If you can check in with them, I would appreciate it.

In the meantime, I'll tell Hal that we will certainly schedule a call and will get back to him as to timing. Since he knows Beth personally, it could be useful to have Jim on the phone if she is going to be haranguing us re: the laptops.

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 2:46 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (NSD)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (NSD)  
**Subject:** RE: Call next week

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Thanks [REDACTED] I will be leaving around 4 on Monday, but other than that, I can make myself available. If we need to have Trisha and/or Jim on this call, it will certainly make scheduling more difficult.

b6 -2  
b7C -2

**From:** [REDACTED] (NSD) [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 2:38 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Cc: [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)  
Subject: FW: Call next week

b6 -2  
b7C -2

[REDACTED]

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2

See below. I am flexible on Monday and Tuesday. [REDACTED] can chime in with her availability. It is my understanding that Toscas may have called over to Jim or Trisha regarding some high-level participation for at least the first few such calls. I am happy to discuss further but wanted to send you this so you could raise within OGC and give me a sense of scheduling options. I am around if you want to talk.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

---

**From:** Hal Brewster [mailto:hbrewster@wilkinsonwalsh.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 2:18 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (NSD); [REDACTED] (NSD)  
**Cc:** Beth Wilkinson; Alexandra Walsh; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Call next week

b6 -2, 3  
b7C -2, 3

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

We wanted to follow up on our conversation from a few days ago. We would like to schedule a time to speak with both you and [REDACTED] early next week. Is there a time on Monday or Tuesday that could work on your end?

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2

Thanks-  
Hal

Hal Brewster | Associate  
WILKINSON WALSH + ESKOVITZ LLP  
1900 M Street NW, Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20036  
Direct: (202) 847-4037 | Fax: (202) 847-4005  
[hbrewster@wilkinsonwalsh.com](mailto:hbrewster@wilkinsonwalsh.com)  
[www.wilkinsonwalsh.com](http://www.wilkinsonwalsh.com)

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged and constitute protected work product, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Wilkinson Walsh + Eskovitz LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return email and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 5:30 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I might mention to Jim. I continue to strongly disagree with his participation.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/22/2016 5:27 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Sigh....

---

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:55 PM  
**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Got it. George asked me to participate if possible, so maybe I can join this one and then see where we are at.

---

**From:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:54 PM  
**To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Also, we may want to discuss whether it really makes sense for you to participate (instead of holding you in reserve in case it's necessary). The call is not with Beth but with a different lawyer at her firm.

---

**From:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:52 PM  
**To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Yes, we are aware of it. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] have been discussing with CES and Fed Programs. We can discuss further Monday.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

---

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:45 PM  
**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

b6 -1  
b7C -1

FBI (18-cv-154)-1996

**Subject:** FW: Call with counsel

Trisha, Greg, and [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I forgot to mention today that George Toscas called me last night to ask me to participate in a call with counsel on Mid-Year early next week. The counsel want to discuss with us the disposition of the materials from the investigation. Are you all aware of this issue? Apparently there was a call with Fed Programs earlier this week on this topic.

Let's discuss early Monday.

Thanks.

Jim

---

**From:** Toscas, George (NSD) [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 3:49 PM  
**To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)  
**Subject:** [POSSIBLE-THREAT] Call with counsel

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Jim: As discussed last night, I am looping you in with [REDACTED] directly. Sounds like the first call(s) with counsel could occur on Monday or Tuesday. If you could loop in your assistant for scheduling, [REDACTED] can work with them to schedule a time for the call(s), with a little lead-time for prep. Thanks again.

b6 -2  
b7C -2



**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 5:37 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I'm planning to. I agree, I find his participation wholly unnecessary.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/22/2016 5:30 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Lisa/Bill, can you talk to him? This is wrong.

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/22/2016 5:27 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

---

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:55 PM  
**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Got it. George asked me to participate if possible, so maybe I can join this one and then see where we are at.

---

**From:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:54 PM  
**To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Also, we may want to discuss whether it really makes sense for you to participate (instead of holding you in reserve in case it's necessary). The call is not with Beth but with a different lawyer at her firm.

---

**From:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)

FBI (18-cv-154)-1998

**From:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)

**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:52 PM

**To:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)

**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

**Subject:** RE: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Yes, we are aware of it. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] have been discussing with CES and Fed Programs. We can discuss further Monday.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

---

**From:** Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)

**Sent:** Friday, July 22, 2016 4:45 PM

**To:** Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Brower, Gregory (OGC) (FBI)

**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

**Subject:** FW: Call with counsel

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Trisha, Greg, and [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I forgot to mention today that George Toscas called me last night to ask me to particip

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 25, 2016 6:36 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** 1743. Perjury -- Overview Of 18 U.S.C. §1621 And 1623 Violations | USAM | Department of Justice

<https://www.justice.gov/usam/criminal-resource-manual-1743-perjury-overview-18-usc-1621-and-1623-violations>

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 25, 2016 6:51 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** 1753. Perjury Cases -- Special Problems And Defenses -- Evasive And Unresponsive Answers | USAM | Department of Justice

Even looking at it in the worst light, still no perjury.

<https://www.justice.gov/usam/criminal-resource-manual-1753-perjury-cases-special-problems-and-defenses-evasive-and>



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 25, 2016 10:07 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed outline for conversation with Court

I can't do that, though I totally would.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/25/2016 9:54 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed outline for conversation with Court

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

This worries me....waiting for [REDACTED] to talk to Trisha but I may preempt our NITTF folks and go talk to [REDACTED] with someone. You want to go? I suppose you would count as OGC as well, no?

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/25/2016 7:42 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed outline for conversation with Court

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I don't but I believe they work SPC and outreach. I am less concerned about those 2 then the local one. If we approve the script and talk to CG and SF about it then it should be fine.

Locally, this represents a larger opportunity. However, I am concerned about missing this window and the ramifications if we pass it up. At a minimum we should be able to find someone to conduct this basic briefing and reserve larger IT issues until later.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/25/2016 7:20 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed outline for conversation with Court

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I really think we need to be diligent about this rather than rushing in, and have a thoughtful dialogue with the right people. We may have the right folks in CG and SF, but I don't know. Do you know who the people are that they've identified?

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Monday, July 25, 2016 6:06 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed outline for conversation with Court

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Here is what [REDACTED] came up with. The Classified Information Security Officers are getting pinged about setting up these briefings in CG and SF in the next day or so. Time is running short. Folks have been identified in each office, but the script has not been approved/shared. I was not planning on being in the office tomorrow or Thursday afternoon, but am otherwise available.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 25, 2016 4:41 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Proposed outline for conversation with Court

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Let me know what you think. This is more skeletal than previous versions to allow for whatever direction the conversation goes.

[REDACTED] FBI  
Co-Director, NITTF (National Insider Threat Task Force)  
Office: [REDACTED]  
Mobile: [REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**Subject:** Chatty chat about CD  
**Location:** Moffa's office  
  
**Start:** Wednesday, July 27, 2016 11:30 AM  
**End:** Wednesday, July 27, 2016 12:00 PM  
  
**Recurrence:** (none)  
  
**Meeting Status:** Meeting organizer  
  
**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Required Attendees:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)**

---

**Subject:** Chatty chat about CD  
**Location:** Moffa's office

**Start:** Wednesday, July 27, 2016 11:30 AM  
**End:** Wednesday, July 27, 2016 12:00 PM  
**Show Time As:** Tentative

**Recurrence:** (none)

**Meeting Status:** Not yet responded

**Organizer:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Required Attendees:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

**When:** Wednesday, July 27, 2016 11:30 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).  
**Where:** Moffa's office

**Note:** The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 26, 2016 9:16 AM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Clinton classified email review

---

**From:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 26, 2016 9:12 AM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Clinton classified email review

Thoughts?

[REDACTED]

Re our phone call, today we anticipate having a binder for your review with the confirmed classified emails (excluding the SAP material), with redactions, for Congress. The redactions we have made are for PII-type information, and track the redactions State made of the same material for submission to the Court pursuant to their FOIA case. Could you and George please socialize with the relevant parties at DOJ (ODAG, OLA, and whoever else appropriate) who were at the Congressional production meeting the week before last? We request any input no later than this Friday. Later this morning, we should also have ready for DOJ's review a first draft of the letter responding to many of Congress' inquiries to date.

I anticipate having redacted soft copies of the LHM and 302s (again, minus those with SAP, which will come in hard copy) later this week for your review and identification of 6e material.

Thanks,  
Pete

Peter P. Strzok II  
Section Chief  
Counterespionage Section (CD4)  
FBIHQ

[REDACTED]

b6 -2  
b7C -2

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

---

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 30, 2016 11:03 AM  
**To:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Russia says spyware found in state computer networks | Reuters

What coincidental timing...

<http://mobile.reuters.com/article/idUSKCN10A0F0>

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 30, 2016 2:47 PM  
**To:** Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: POC

[REDACTED] Couldn't hurt, you're there anyway.

b7E -4

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/30/2016 2:19 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: POC

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Yeah, me too. Think since we're there, we should [REDACTED]

b7E -4

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/30/2016 2:12 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: POC

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I'm pretty excited for you. Jealous, but mostly just excited.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/30/2016 12:58 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: POC

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Fyi

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/30/2016 12:55 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (WF) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fwd: POC

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Boetig, Brian P. [REDACTED] (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/30/2016 12:48 PM (GMT-05:00)

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -4, 6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 31, 2016 4:16 PM  
**To:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: Opening EC

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I like Jon's additions and subtraction.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/31/2016 3:58 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Opening EC

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

I would recommend [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7A -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 07/31/2016 3:49 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Opening EC

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

Hey just realized I need a succinct statement for the opening EC.

To open bidding, I propose,  
[REDACTED]

b5 -1  
b7A -1

Comments, please.



Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2016 4:30 PM  
**To:** Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Priestap, E W. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Scope of Disclosures

b6 -1  
b7C -1

Take a look and let me know what you think as well, please.

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 08/02/2016 4:21 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: Scope of Disclosures

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

Per you voicemail, I tried to reach Peter and have sent him the e-mail below with a proposal. If you have a moment, let me know if this gives you or your team any concerns. I'll let you know what Peter says in response.

- Stephen

Stephen D. Kelly  
Assistant Director  
Office of Congressional Affairs  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
[REDACTED]

b6 -1  
b7C -1

**From:** Kelly, Stephen D. (DO) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2016 4:20 PM  
**To:** Kadzik, Peter J (OLA) (JMD)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (OLA) (JMD); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)  
**Subject:** Scope of Disclosures

b6 -2  
b7C -2

Peter -

I have been asked by my folks to chat with you about what the specific plan would be for disclosure of documents to the Hill, once folks determine what set of documents would be disclosed. I think if we can come to a joint recommendation that would be helpful to everyone in both our buildings.

My recommendation would be to [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

b5 -1

Let me know your thoughts, suggestions, etc.


FBI (18-cv-154)-2029

Let me know your thoughts, suggestions, etc.

Thanks.

- Stephen

Stephen D. Kelly  
Assistant Director  
Office of Congressional Affairs  
Federal Bureau of Investigation



b6 -1  
b7C -1

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

**From:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2016 9:33 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: LHM "disclaimer"

b6 -1  
b7C -1

There should be. I'll send an update now.

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2016 9:28 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: LHM "disclaimer"

b6 -1  
b7C -1

I agree. I like [REDACTED] changes if there's room to negotiate.

b6 -1  
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

**From:** "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]  
**Date:** 08/02/2016 9:24 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"  
[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Strzok,  
Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: LHM "disclaimer"

b6 -1  
b7C -1  
b7E -6

That seems reasonable to me.

**From:** [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2016 9:18 PM  
**To:** Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)  
**Subject:** RE: LHM "disclaimer"

b6 -1  
b7C -1

This is FBI only.

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Date: 08/02/2016 9:05 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Toscas, George (NSD) (JMD)" [REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Laufman, David (NSD) (JMD)"

[REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)" [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (NSD) (JMD)" [REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Subject: LHM "disclaimer"

**\*\*Deliberative Process Privileged Document\*\***

So, we went from: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

George, I think I captured your edits, but there were cross-outs that I thought probably needed to be included, so I have the original document if you ultimately need to take a look at it. Just let me know. Thanks all.

Lisa

b6 -1, 2  
b7C -1, 2  
b7E -6

b5 -1