

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2016 8:16 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

No, I'm not.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/10/2016 7:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

You're aware of backstory on this relating to difference between Andy and Mike re target audience?

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/08/2016 7:14 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Friends,

Please see the attached TPs, revised to incorporate some of the themes and facts from the D's testimony yesterday. I welcome any and all comments and edits.

I am still working on an additional page for these TPs that consists of a chart of the statutory violations considered during the investigation, and the reasons for the recommendation not to prosecute, hopefully in non-lawyer friendly terms (Allie's good idea). I will circulate that on Monday, or if needed, tomorrow. Just let me know.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Special Counsel to the EAD
National Security Branch
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2016 8:36 PM
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yeah, agree that this is not a good idea.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Date: 07/10/2016 8:30 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1

I'm really not sure why they continued working on these. In the morning, I'll make sure Andy tells Mike to keep these in his pocket. I guess Andy just didn't ever have a moment to turn these off with Mike like he said he would.

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Date: 07/10/2016 8:26 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete,

The DD will need to approve these before they are pushed out to anyone. At the end of last week, he wasn't inclined to send them to anyone. But, it's great to have them on the shelf in case they're needed.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

FBI (18-cv-154)-1926

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/10/2016 8:19 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD)
(FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

(+ Lisa and Moffa – welcome back, you're both gonna wish you stayed at the beach)

I have redlined some points. Broadly, I have some concerns about asking some of our senior field folks to get into the business of briefing this case, particularly when we have the D's statement as a kind of stand alone document. In my opinion, there's too much nuance, detail, and potential for missteps. But I get they may likely be asked for comment.

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 7:15 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
[REDACTED]
Subject: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1

Friends,

Please see the attached TPs, revised to incorporate some of the themes and facts from the D's testimony yesterday. I welcome any and all comments and edits.

I am still working on an additional page for these TPs that consists of a chart of the statutory violations considered during the investigation, and the reasons for the recommendation not to prosecute, hopefully in non-lawyer friendly terms (Allie's good idea). I will circulate that on Monday, or if needed, tomorrow. Just let me know.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Special Counsel to the EAD
National Security Branch
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6