

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2016 8:16 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

No, I'm not.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 07/10/2016 7:08 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

You're aware of backstory on this relating to difference between Andy and Mike re target audience?

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 07/08/2016 7:14 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] (DO) (FBI)"
[redacted]
Subject: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Friends,

Please see the attached TPs, revised to incorporate some of the themes and facts from the D's testimony yesterday. I welcome any and all comments and edits.

I am still working on an additional page for these TPs that consists of a chart of the statutory violations considered during the investigation, and the reasons for the recommendation not to prosecute, hopefully in non-lawyer friendly terms (Allie's good idea). I will circulate that on Monday, or if needed, tomorrow. Just let me know.

Thanks,

[redacted]

[redacted]

Special Counsel to the EAD
National Security Branch
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2016 8:36 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1

Yeah, agree that this is not a good idea.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 07/10/2016 8:30 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1

I'm really not sure why they continued working on these. In the morning, I'll make sure Andy tells Mike to keep these in his pocket. I guess Andy just didn't ever have a moment to turn these off with Mike like he said he would.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 07/10/2016 8:26 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] Page, Lisa C.
(OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete,

The DD will need to approve these before they are pushed out to anyone. At the end of last week, he wasn't inclined to send them to anyone. But, it's great to have them on the shelf in case they're needed.

Thanks,

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
 Date: 07/10/2016 8:19 PM (GMT-05:00)
 To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
 [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD)
 (FBI)" [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)" [redacted] (DO) (FBI)"
 [redacted]
 Subject: RE: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT/DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

(+ Lisa and Moffa – welcome back, you’re both gonna wish you stayed at the beach)

I have redlined some points. Broadly, I have some concerns about asking some of our senior field folks to get into the business of briefing this case, particularly when we have the D’s statement as a kind of stand alone document. In my opinion, there’s too much nuance, detail, and potential for missteps. But I get they may likely be asked for comment.

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
 Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 7:15 PM
 To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted] (DO) (FBI) [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
 [redacted]
 Subject: Revised TPs

b6 -1
b7C -1

Friends,

Please see the attached TPs, revised to incorporate some of the themes and facts from the D’s testimony yesterday. I welcome any and all comments and edits.

I am still working on an additional page for these TPs that consists of a chart of the statutory violations considered during the investigation, and the reasons for the recommendation not to prosecute, hopefully in non-lawyer friendly terms (Allie’s good idea). I will circulate that on Monday, or if needed, tomorrow. Just let me know.

Thanks,

[redacted]

[redacted]

Special Counsel to the EAD
National Security Branch
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 9:14 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: TPs - Clean copy

----- Original message -----

From: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 07/14/2016 9:01 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]; [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted]
Subject: RE: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I just talked to the D, DD, ADD, Mike S. and Jim R. about the TPs. They are all ok with them in concept provided that (at least for right now) they are for internal FBI use only by EADs. If particular ADs need them we need to discuss further.

They also asked us to prepare a one-page, very high level version for internal use by the SACs – can someone take a crack at that?

Thanks,

Jim

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 8:13 AM
To: Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1
b7C -1

Here are my comments on top of Trisha's. Thanks,

Jim

From: Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 7:20 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: TPs - Clean copy
Importance: High

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted] Jim passed these along to me late last night. I have some specific comments/edits reflected in the attached.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

Trisha

From: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:01 PM
To: Anderson, Trisha B. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: Fwd: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1
b7C -1

I will review but I assume that you have seen this.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 07/13/2016 6:53 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
[redacted]
Subject: FW: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Jim,

Please find attached the clean version of the MYE talking points, which incorporates edits from the team. I welcome any and all comments or edits you may have.

I have also copied Lisa and [redacted] so they can provide them to the DD when you clear. FYI, as noted below, EADs Steinbach and Skule are anxious to have them ASAP, but we want the DD to clear first.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 6:49 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: TPs - Clean copy

b6 -1
b7C -1

All,

Here is a clean draft of the talking points, with all of Pete and [redacted] edits included, and with the DD's caveat at the top. Please take a look and let me know if you see any issues.

b6 -1
b7C -1

I will also send these to Jim Baker for his awareness, per his request. If you all and he clear, my understanding is that the DD will provide these to the EADs for use consistent with the caveat.

FYI, EAD Steinbach has asked for these ASAP on behalf of EAD Skule, who is apparently visiting the Tampa FO tomorrow.

Thank you all for your help with these.