

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, July 15, 2016 5:59 PM

To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

Jim/Lisa [REDACTED]

Defer to you on below

b6 -1
b7C -1

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED]

Date: 07/15/2016 5:05 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)

[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

(CTD) (FBI) [redacted] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

"Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]

(DO) (FBI) [redacted] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"

[redacted] (NY) (FBI) [redacted]

Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

Thank you, sir.

To all, should we provide any additional information to FactCheck.org or would any updates more appropriately be give directly to Congress?

Thank you.

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 4:27 PM

To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (NY) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

The answer to the reporters two questions follows. Again, I defer to 7th floor as to whether to release to this reporter or in another manner.

b5 -1
b7E -4

Any questions, please let us know.

Thanks,
Pete

From: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 2:02 PM

To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

b6 -1
b7C -1

Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [redacted] (NY) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

Understood. I did go back to the writer and told him we wouldn't be able to get back to him by midday today but are looking at his inquiry.

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

FROM: Strzok, Peter P. (DO) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 1:58 PM

To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1

[REDACTED]

We're looking in to it and will get back to you this afternoon; the answer may require some tweaking, the question is whether this is the forum to do it.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thabks,
Pete

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/13/2016 10:41 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hello all,

We got a media inquiry from FactCheck.org. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] but is there anything we want to clarify about the Director comments he mentions?

b5 -1

The writer asked to hear back by midday today. I have had some major IT issues so unfortunately I am slow getting this to you.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Eugene Kiely [mailto:eugene.kiely@factcheck.org]

Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 3:29 PM

To: NFO

Subject: Media questions/Clinton's emails

I'm hoping that you can clear up some inconsistencies in two statements made by Director Comey at his July 7 House testimony and statements made by others regarding Secretary Clinton's emails.

First, I'm trying to determine if the director misspoke when he said that Clinton did not give her lawyers any instructions on deleting emails, because she has said that it was her decision to delete her personal emails.

I'm referring to this exchange with Rep. Jim Jordan:

Jordan: Did Secretary Clinton know her legal team deleted those emails that they kept from us?

Comey: I don't believe so.

Jordan: Did Secretary Clinton approved those emails being deleted?

FORTRAN DID SECRETARY CLINTON APPROVED THOSE EMAILS BEING DELETED.

Comey: I don't think there was any specific instruction or conversation between the secretary and her lawyers about that.

Jordan: Did you ask that question?

Comey: Yes.

I believe that he may be wrong, or perhaps there is another explanation. I ask because Clinton has said that she decided not to keep the emails. In her March 10, 2015, press conference, she said: "We went through a thorough process to identify all of my work-related emails and deliver them to the State Department. At the end, **I chose not to keep my private personal emails** — emails about planning Chelsea's wedding or my mother's funeral arrangements, condolence notes to friends as well as yoga routines, family vacations, the other things you typically find in inboxes. No one wants their personal emails made public, and I think most people understand that and respect that privacy."

Later in that same press conference she said again, "In going through the e-mails, there were over 60,000 in total, sent and received. About half were work-related and went to the State Department and about half were personal that were not in any way related to my work. **I had no reason to save them, but that was my decision** because the federal guidelines are clear and the State Department request was clear."

On its website, the Clinton campaign says:

Why did Clinton decide not to keep her personal emails?

As Clinton has said before, these were private, personal messages, including emails about her daughter's wedding plans, her mother's funeral services and condolence notes, as well as emails on family vacations, yoga routines, and other items one would typically find in their own email account, such as offers from retailers, spam, etc.

Did Clinton delete any emails while facing a subpoena?

No. As noted, the emails that Clinton chose not to keep were personal emails—they were **not federal records or even work-related—and therefore were not subject to any preservation obligation under the Federal Records Act or any request**. Nor would they have been subject to the subpoena—which did not exist at the time—that was issued by the Benghazi Select Committee some three months later.

I know he said "I don't think" and "I don't believe so" when answering the questions, suggesting he wasn't sure. Can you check and get me a definitive answer?

Secondly, Director Comey said he thinks that there were three emails with "portion markings" indicating classified information. The State Department says it knows of two, not three, but that may be because the FBI had access to emails that the State Department did not. The director told Rep. Trey Gowdy, "There were a small number of portion markings on **I think three** of the documents." Later, he seemed more definitive when he spoke to Rep. Cartwright and he said, "There were three e-mails, the 'C' was in the body, in the text, but there was no header on the email or in the text." I just want to confirm the actual number of emails with such markings.

As for my deadline, I would appreciate getting a response by midday tomorrow.

Thank you,

Eugene Kiely
Director, FactCheck.org
202 South 36th Street.

Philadelphia, PA 19104

215-898-2372

@factcheckdotorg

[Help us hold politicians accountable. Donate to FactCheck.org](#)

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2016 9:00 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

No. I emailed Andy about it about 20 minutes ago.

----- Original message -----

From: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 07/18/2016 8:54 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Do you know if anyone got her an answer?

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2016 5:05 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thank you, sir.

To all, should we provide any additional information to FactCheck.org or would any updates more appropriately be give directly to Congress?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 4:27 PM
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1

The answer to the reporters two questions follows. Again, I defer to 7th floor as to whether to release to this reporter or in another manner.

[REDACTED]

b5 -1
b7E -4

b5 -1
b7E -4

Any questions, please let us know.

Thanks,
Pete

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 2:02 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1

Understood. I did go back to the writer and told him we wouldn't be able to get back to him by midday today but are looking at his inquiry.

[REDACTED]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 1:58 PM
To: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI); Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI); Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI); [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1

[REDACTED]

We're looking in to it and will get back to you this afternoon; the answer may require some tweaking, the question is whether this is the forum to do it.

Thabks,
Pete

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Date: 07/13/2016 10:41 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Cc: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
(CTD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Kortan, Michael P. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Quinn, Richard P. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED] "Beers, Elizabeth R. (DO) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Media questions/Clinton's emails

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Hello all,

We got a media inquiry from FactCheck.org. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

but is there anything we need to clarify about the Director's appointment by executive order?

b5 -1

The writer asked to hear back by midday today. I have had some major IT issues so unfortunately I am slow getting this to you.

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Eugene Kiely [mailto:eugene.kiely@factcheck.org]
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 3:29 PM
To: NPO
Subject: Media questions/Clinton's emails

I'm hoping that you can clear up some inconsistencies in two statements made by Director Comey at his July 7 House testimony and statements made by others regarding Secretary Clinton's emails.

First, I'm trying to determine if the director misspoke when he said that Clinton did not give her lawyers any instructions on deleting emails, because she has said that it was her decision to delete her personal emails.

I'm referring to this exchange with Rep. Jim Jordan:

Jordan: Did Secretary Clinton know her legal team deleted those emails that they kept from us?

Comey: I don't believe so.

Jordan: Did Secretary Clinton approved those emails being deleted?

Comey: I don't think there was any specific instruction or conversation between the secretary and her lawyers about that.

Jordan: Did you ask that question?

Comey: Yes.

I believe that he may be wrong, or perhaps there is another explanation. I ask because Clinton has said that she decided not to keep the emails. In her March 10, 2015, press conference, she said: "We went through a thorough process to identify all of my work-related emails and deliver them to the State Department. At the end, **I chose not to keep my private personal emails** — emails about planning Chelsea's wedding or my mother's funeral arrangements, condolence notes to friends as well as yoga routines, family vacations, the other things you typically find in inboxes. No one wants their personal emails made public, and I think most people understand that and respect that privacy."

Later in that same press conference she said again, "In going through the e-mails, there were over 60,000 in total, sent and received. About half were work-related and went to the State Department and about half were personal that were not in any way related to my work. **I had no reason to save them, but that was my decision** because the federal guidelines are clear and the State Department request was clear."

On its website, the Clinton campaign says:

Why did Clinton decide not to keep her personal emails?

As Clinton has said before, these were private, personal messages, including emails about her daughter's wedding plans, her mother's funeral services and condolence notes, as well as emails on family vacations, yoga routines, and other items one would typically find in their own email account, such as offers from retailers, spam, etc.

Did Clinton delete any emails while facing a subpoena?

No. As noted, the emails that Clinton chose not to keep were personal emails—they were

not federal records or even work-related—and therefore were not subject to any preservation obligation under the Federal Records Act or any request. Nor would they have been subject to the subpoena—which did not exist at the time—that was issued by the Benghazi Select Committee some three months later.

I know he said “I don’t think” and “I don’t believe so” when answering the questions, suggesting he wasn’t sure. Can you check and get me a definitive answer?

Secondly, Director Comey said he thinks that there were three emails with “portion markings” indicating classified information. The State Department says it knows of two, not three, but that may be because the FBI had access to emails that the State Department did not. The director told Rep. Trey Gowdy, “There were a small number of portion markings on **I think** three of the documents.” Later, he seemed more definitive when he spoke to Rep. Cartwright and he said, “There were three e-mails, the ‘C’ was in the body, in the text, but there was no header on the email or in the text.” I just want to confirm the actual number of emails with such markings.

As for my deadline, I would appreciate getting a response by midday tomorrow.

Thank you,

Eugene Kiely
Director, FactCheck.org
202 South 36th Street,
Philadelphia, PA 19104
215-898-2372
@factcheckdotorg

[Help us hold politicians accountable. Donate to FactCheck.org](#)