

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:46 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Unmasking statement

Sorry, typing too fast. Thanks.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:45 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Unmasking statement

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[redacted]

b5 -1

And drive home together, now. We're all physically and emotionally exhausted.

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 5/10/17 7:39 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (DO)
(FBI)" [redacted] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: Unmasking statement

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Let me know what you guys think. Thanks.

In response to a question conflating "unmasking" with 702, here is a proposed response:

[redacted]

b5 -1, 3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:48 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] Strzok,
Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E.
[redacted]
Subject: RE: Unmasking statement

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sorry, was typing too fast and had typos. Please review this version:

In response to a question conflating "unmasking" with 702, here is a proposed response:

b5 -1, 3

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:39 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted]
[redacted] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
[redacted] Rybicki, James E [redacted]
Subject: Unmasking statement
Importance: High

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Let me know what you guys think. Thanks.

In response to a question conflating "unmasking" with 702, here is a proposed response:

b5 -1, 3

[redacted]