

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:46 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Unmasking statement

Sorry, typing too fast. Thanks.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:45 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI) [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Unmasking statement

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[REDACTED]

And drive home together, now. We're all physically and emotionally exhausted.

b5 -1

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Date: 5/10/17 7:39 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [REDACTED] (DO)
(FBI)" [REDACTED] "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" [REDACTED]
Subject: Unmasking statement

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Let me know what you guys think. Thanks.

In response to a question conflating "unmasking" with 702, here is a proposed response:

[REDACTED]

b5 -1, 3

Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:48 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI); Rybicki, James E.
Subject: RE: Unmasking statement

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Sorry, was typing too fast and had typos. Please review this version:

In response to a question conflating "unmasking" with 702, here is a proposed response:

b5 -1, 3

-----Original Message-----

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, May 10, 2017 7:39 PM
To: Baker, James A. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [REDACTED] (DO) (FBI);
[REDACTED] Rybicki, James E. [REDACTED]
Subject: Unmasking statement
Importance: High

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Let me know what you guys think. Thanks.

In response to a question conflating "unmasking" with 702, here is a proposed response:

b5 -1, 3