# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.,	)
425 Third Street SW, Suite 800	)
Washington, DC 20024,	)
	) Civil Action No.
Plaintiff,	)
V.	)
	)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE,	)
The Executive Office	)
Office of the Legal Adviser, Suite 5.600	)
600 19 <sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.	)
Washington, DC 20522,	)
	)
Defendant.	)

## **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. brings this action against Defendant U.S. Department of State to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("FOIA"). As grounds therefor, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
  - 2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

#### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. is a not-for-profit, educational organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia and headquartered at 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024. Plaintiff seeks to promote transparency, integrity, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law. As part of its mission, Plaintiff regularly requests records from federal agencies pursuant to FOIA. Plaintiff analyzes the

agencies' responses and disseminates both its findings and the requested records to the American public to inform them about "what their government is up to."

4. Defendant U.S. Department of State is an agency of the United States

Government headquartered at 2201 C Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20520. Defendant has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

## **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

5. On May 7, 2018, Plaintiff submitted a FOIA request to Defendant seeking access to the following records:

All records of communications, including but not limited to emails (whether on .gov or non .gov email accounts), text message or instant chat, between former Secretary of State John Kerry and officials of the State Department regarding the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (also known as the JCPOA or "Iran nuclear deal") and/or meetings between Kerry and Iranian officials to discuss the JCPOA.

- 6. The time frame for the request was identified as May 7, 2017 through the present.
- 7. By a letter dated June 28, 2018, Defendant acknowledged receipt of Plaintiff's request on May 16, 2018 and advised Plaintiff that the request had been assigned the tracking number F-2018-03800.
- 8. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i), the Defendant was required to determine whether to comply with Plaintiff's request within (20) working days after its receipt and to notify Plaintiff immediately of its determination, the records thereof, and the right to appeal any adverse determination. Defendant's determination was due on or about June 14, 2018.
- 9. As of the date of this Complaint, Defendant has failed to: (i) produce the requested records or demonstrate that the requested records are lawfully exempt from production; (ii) notify Plaintiff of the scope of any responsive records Defendant intends to

produce or withhold and the reasons for any withholdings; or (iii) inform Plaintiff that it may appeal any adequately specific, adverse determination.

# COUNT I Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552

- 10. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 11 as if fully stated herein.
- 11. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendant's violation of FOIA, and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to comply with FOIA.
- 12. To trigger FOIA's administrative exhaustion requirement, Defendant was required to determine whether to comply with Plaintiff's request within twenty (20) working days of receiving the request, or on or about June 14, 2018. At a minimum, Defendant was required to: (i) gather and review the requested documents; (ii) determine and communicate to Plaintiff the scope of any responsive records Defendant intended to produce or withhold and the reasons for any withholdings; and (iii) inform Plaintiff that it may appeal any adequately specific, adverse determination. See, e.g., Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington v. Federal Election Commission, 711 F.3d 180, 188-89 (D.C. Cir. 2013).
- 13. Because Defendant failed to determine whether to comply with Plaintiff's request within the time period required by FOIA, Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted its administrative appeal remedies. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C)(i).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court: (1) order Defendant to conduct searches for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (2) order Defendant to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and a Vaughn index of any responsive

records withheld under claim of exemption; (3) enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (4) grant Plaintiff an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and (5) grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 20, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.

/s/ James F. Peterson
James F. Peterson
D.C. Bar No. 450171
425 Third Street S.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20024
(202) 646-5172
jpeterson@judicialwatch.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff