	Obtained via 1 O/A by diddicial watch, inc.	
Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)	:
•		
From:	Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)	
Sent:	Friday, August 05, 2016 6:29 PM	
Το:	Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (F8I) (OGC) (F8I); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (F8I)	b6 -1 b7C -1
Ce:	(OGC) (FBI) (CD) (FBI) (WF) (FBI)	
Subject:	RE: 302s	
The new PRN 302s of Thanks	lo not. All of the rest do need to be reducted.	
Original mess From: "Page, Lisa C. Date: 08/05/2016 5: To:	(OGC) (FBI)"	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -6
(OGC) (FBI)' Subject: RE: 302s		b6 -1
needed to be made written. What I don' order, I would throw From:	t know is whose 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short them on the pile for redactions. Thanks so much Original message OGC) (FBI)"	ъ7С −1
Date: 08/05/2016 5: To: "Strzok, Peter P.		b6 -1
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (C	OGC) (FBI) (OGC) (FBI)"	Ь7С -1 Ь7Е -6
	(CD) (FBI)"	
(WF) (F8	(OGC) (FBI)*	
Subject: 302s		
Pete/Jon,		

brought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the

redaction process for production to DO) on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be

completed this weekend.

Thanks,

FBI (18-cv-154)-7344

ъ6 -1 ъ7С -1 Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Sent: To:	Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) Friday, August 05, 2016 6:45 PM OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)	b6 -1 b7c -1
Ce:	(OGC) (FBI) (CD) (FBI (WF) (FBI)	b7E −4
Subject:	RE: 302s	
One may have		
Original mes: From: Date: 08/05/2016 5: To: "Page, 11sa C. (C.	OGC) (F8I) 43 PM (GMT-05:00)	b6 -1
C. (CD) (FB)) Cc:	"Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" (OGC) (FBI) (WF) (FBI)"	b7С −1 b7Е −4,
Subject: RE: 302s Assuming they don't it finish up the LHM and	evolve the if you send them my way. I will tackle them first thing tomorrow. Then I'll	
ACTING UNIT CHIEF FO	NA Liperton. Herr Voerle	b6 -1 b7C -1
along with any attachme please destroy it prompt sender of the error by a	ted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bursau of Investigation. The message, nts, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, ly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the separate a niail or by calling	b6 -1
From: Page, Lisa C. (C Sent: Friday, August C To: Cc: O (FBI) Subject: RE: 302s		ъ7С -1
be made to the 302s don't know is whose	the DOJ edits that needed to fiscovered that there were four (I think) 302s that had never been written. What I 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short order, I would throw edactions. Thanks so much.————Original message——————————————————————————————————	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -6

	Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.	
٢	To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"	b6 −1 b7C −:
ו	Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBD) (OGC) (FBD)"	b7E −0
┟	(CD) (FBI)* (WF) (FBI)* (OGC) (FBI)*	
广		
	Subject: 302s	
	Pete/Jon,	
	Today prought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the redaction process for production to DOJ on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be completed this weekend.	
	Thanks,	
		b6 -1 b7C -1
Γ		
	Assistant General Counsel	
	National Security Law Branch	

Splanted via 1 O/A by studicial vvatori, me.	:
Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)	
From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2016 8:07 AM To: DGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)	b6 -1 b7C -1
Ce: (OGC) (FBi); (CD) (FBi); (WF) (FBi) Subject: RE: 302s	
I know last hight I said the new PRN emails don't need to be done. That is accurate. To my recollection those include From: [OGC) (FBI)	Ь6 −3 Ь7С −3
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:44 PM To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FB) Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FB) (CD) (FB) (CD) (FB)	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -4, 6
Subject: RE: 3025 Assuming they don't involve theif you send them my way, I will tackle them first thing tomorrow. Then I'll finish up the LHM and the 302s thatakeady reviewed.	
ACTOS UNIT CHEET FORA LITICATIVES UNIT OFFICE: MORILE:	b6 −1 b7C −1
CONSIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Sureau of investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or discemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling	
From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:19 PM To:	b6 -1 b7C -1
to the best of my knowledge, yes, they will. When Pete identified fo the DOI edits that needed to be made to the 302s fiscovered that there were four (I think) 302s that had never been written. What I	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -6

don't know is whose 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short order, I would throw

them on the pile for redactions. Thanks so much ----- Original message.

From: (OGC) (FBI)*

Date: 08/05/2016 5:06 PM (GMT-05:00)

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc. "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" (OGC) (FBI)"	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -6
(CD) (FBI)* (WE) (FBI)* OGC) (FBI)*	
Subject 302s Pete/Jon,	
Today prought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the redaction process for production to DO) on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be completed this weekend.	b6 -1
Thanks,	b7C −1
Assistant General Counsel National Security Law Branch	

Strzok, Peter P. (CD)	(FBI
-----------------------	------

From: Sent: To: Co: Subject:	Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) Saturday, August 06, 2016 8:52 AM (CD) (FBI) OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) (OGC) (FBI) WF) (FBI) RE: 302s	b6 −1 b7C −1
OX thanks. My Samsu	ng will now heither send nor receive. If you need me, text or call me	
Subject: RE: 302s	D) (EB1 OCC) (EB1)	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -6
(FB <u>I)</u>		b6 -1 b7C -1
From (OC Sent: Friday, August & To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC)	Stizok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) Stizok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (WF) (FBI) Wolve the if you send them my way, I will tackle them first thing tomorrow. Then I'll	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -4,
Actes Util Ches FO	IA Litigation Unit	b6 -1 b7C -1

Obtained via FOIA by Judicial Watch, Inc.

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Stressigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promotly without further retention or discemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling	b6 -1
From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:19 PM To: OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) Cc: (OGC) (FBI) (CD) (FBI); (WF) (FBI); OGC) (FBI) Subject: RE: 302s	ь7c -1
to the best of my knowledge, yes, they will. When Pete identified for the DOJ edits that needed to be made to the 302s discovered that there were four (I think) 302s that had never been written. What I don't know is whose 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short order, I would throw them on the pile for reductions. Thanks so much.————————————————————————————————————	b6 −1 b7С −1
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" (CD) (FBI)" (WF) (FBI)" Subject: 302s Pete/Jon, Today brought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the redaction process for production to DOJ on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be completed this weekend.	b6 -1 b7C -1 b7E -6
Thanks, Assistant General Counsel National Security Law Branch	b6 −1 b7С −1