

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 6:29 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (WF) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1

The new PRN 302s do not. All of the rest do need to be redacted.
Thanks

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 08/05/2016 5:19 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
 [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (CD) (FBI)"
 [redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted]
 [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

[redacted] to the best of my knowledge, yes, they will. When Pete identified for [redacted] the DOJ edits that needed to be made to the 302s [redacted] discovered that there were four (I think) 302s that had never been written. What I don't know is whose 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short order, I would throw them on the pile for redactions. Thanks so much.

b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----
From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 08/05/2016 5:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
 [redacted] (CD) (FBI)"
 [redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
Subject: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete/Jon,

Today [redacted] brought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the redaction process for production to DOJ on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be completed this weekend.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

[redacted]

[Redacted]

**Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch**

[Redacted]

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 6:45 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4

One may have [redacted]

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 08/05/2016 5:43 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI)"
[redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Assuming they don't involve the [redacted] if you send them my way, I will tackle them first thing tomorrow. Then I'll finish up the LHM and the 302s that [redacted] already reviewed.

[redacted]
ACTING UNIT CHIEF / FOIA LITIGATION UNIT
OFFICE: [redacted] MOBILE [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:19 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted] to the best of my knowledge, yes, they will. When Pete identified for [redacted] the DOJ edits that needed to be made to the 302s [redacted] discovered that there were four (I think) 302s that had never been written. What I don't know is whose 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short order, I would throw them on the pile for redactions. Thanks so much. ----- Original message -----

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 08/05/2016 5:06 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"

[redacted] (CD) (FBI)"

[redacted] (WF) (FBI)"

[redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: 302s

Pete/Jon,

Today [redacted] brought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the redaction process for production to DOJ on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be completed this weekend.

Thanks,

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted]
Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2016 8:07 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1

I know last night I said the new PBN emails don't need to be done. That is accurate. To my recollection those include [redacted]

b6 -3
b7C -3

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:44 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Assuming they don't involve the [redacted] if you send them my way, I will tackle them first thing tomorrow. Then I'll finish up the LHM and the 302s that [redacted] already reviewed.

[redacted]
ACTING UNIT CHIEF : FOIA LITIGATION UNIT
OFFICE: [redacted] MOBILE: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:19 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1

[redacted] to the best of my knowledge, yes, they will. When Pete identified for [redacted] the DOJ edits that needed to be made to the 302s, [redacted] discovered that there were four (I think) 302s that had never been written. What I don't know is whose 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short order, I would throw them on the pile for redactions. Thanks so much ----- Original message -----

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)* [redacted]
Date: 08/05/2016 5:06 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)"

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"

[redacted] (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
[redacted] (WE) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"

Subject: 302s

Pete/Jon,

Today [redacted] brought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the redaction process for production to DOJ on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be completed this weekend.

b6 -1
b7C -1

Thanks,

[redacted]

[redacted]

**Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch**

[redacted]

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2016 8:52 AM
To: [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1

OK thanks. My Samsung will now neither send nor receive. If you need me, text or call me

From: [redacted] (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2016 8:44 AM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

I am here at my desk working on the remaining 302's and the LHM if anyone needs anything [redacted]

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Saturday, August 06, 2016 8:08 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1

Also, Samsungs are messed up and Jon and I are unable to send. If you need to reach us, call me (or text) at [redacted] and Jon at [redacted] Thanks

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:44 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -4, 6

Assuming they don't involve the [redacted] if you send them my way, I will tackle them first thing tomorrow. Then I'll finish up the LHM and the 302s that [redacted] already reviewed.

[redacted]
ACTING UNIT CHIEF | FOIA LITIGATION UNIT
OFFICE: [redacted] MOBILE: [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, August 05, 2016 5:19 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (CD) (FBI); [redacted] (WF) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: 302s

[redacted] to the best of my knowledge, yes, they will. When Pete identified for [redacted] the DOJ edits that needed to be made to the 302s [redacted] discovered that there were four (I think) 302s that had never been written. What I don't know is whose 302s they are, but unless Pete or Jon are able to respond in short order, I would throw them on the pile for redactions. Thanks so much.----- Original message -----

b6 -1
b7C -1

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 08/05/2016 5:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]; "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Cc: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] (WF) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: 302s

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Pete/Jon,

Today [redacted] brought over additional 302s from WFO. Are those supposed to go through the redaction process for production to DOJ on Monday? We're trying to figure out what needs to be completed this weekend.

Thanks,

[redacted]

[redacted]

**Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch**

[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1