

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 6:02 PM
To: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b6 -1
b7C -1

Fine with me

----- Original message -----

From: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 08/31/2016 5:41 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b5 -1, 3
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -1

So why not respond with that? [redacted]

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 4:16 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b5 -1, 3
b6 -1
b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted]
Date: 08/31/2016 4:11 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] "Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]
Subject: HRC Article - Washington Times

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

OPA brought me an article that's supposed to run in the Times. The article asserts that the FBI found "hundreds, and likely thousands of violations of the Federal Records Act." OPA would like some guidance on how to respond whether or not they should push back or clarify given that the Times does not have an online presence, and therefore has limited readership.

[redacted]
Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]

Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 6:16 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b6 -1
b7C -1

I'd like to see the quotes. Could he scan or photograph and send (or type in)? Also, which reporter?
Thanks.

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 08/31/2016 6:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"
[redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

He did not send me the article. He gave me a hard copy.

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 6:03 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted] Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Would you pls email article?

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) [redacted]
Date: 08/31/2016 5:44 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)" [redacted] "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)"
[redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted]
Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b5 -1, 3
b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

The article also asserts that "each transmission" of a document over the server which was not preserved is a separate misdemeanor count. I don't know [redacted]

Also, there are several quotes that seem to be coming from FBI agents.

From: Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 5:41 PM
To: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI) [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
[redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI) [redacted]

b6 -1
b7C -1
b7E -6

Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

So why not respond with that?

b5 -1, 3

From: Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 4:16 PM

To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI); Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)

b5 -1, 3

Subject: RE: HRC Article - Washington Times

b6 -1

b7C -1

----- Original message -----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Date: 08/31/2016 4:11 PM (GMT-05:00)

b6 -1

To: "Strzok, Peter P. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted] "Page, Lisa C. (OGC) (FBI)"

b7C -1

[redacted] Moffa, Jonathan C. (CD) (FBI)" [redacted]

b7E -6

Subject: HRC Article - Washington Times

OPA brought me an article that's supposed to run in the Times. The article asserts that the FBI found "hundreds, and likely thousands of violations of the Federal Records Act." OPA would like some guidance on how to respond whether or not they should push back or clarify given that the Times does not have an online presence, and therefore has limited readership.

[redacted]
Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[redacted]

b6 -1

b7C -1

Confidentiality Statement:

This message is transmitted to you by the Office of the General Counsel of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The message, along with any attachments, may be confidential and legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination (unless otherwise required by law). Please notify the sender of the error by a separate e-mail or by calling [redacted]