

11. Petitioners have no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy, in the ordinary course of law, other than the relief sought in this petition, because Real Parties In Interest are planning to make the \$79.8 million illegal expenditure at issue on **May 18, 2020**, before any final determination of the Petitioners' underlying action in the lower court, and that expenditure is one of widespread public interest. *See* Code Civ. Proc. § 1086 ("The writ must be issued in all cases where there is not a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy, in the ordinary course of law."); *San Bernardino Associated Governments v. Superior Court*, 135 Cal. App. 4th 1106, 1113 (2006) ("Discretionary writ review . . . is appropriate where the issue is a matter of public importance and requires immediate resolution.").

**WHEREFORE**, Petitioners pray:

1. That the Court issue a peremptory writ in the first instance commanding Respondent to set aside its order made on May 5, 2020 denying Petitioners' application for a temporary restraining order and an order to show cause and to enter a new order granting the requested relief;
2. That the Court immediately stay Respondent's order made on May 5, 2020 to preserve the status quo pending further action on this petition; and