

(b)(6)

**From:** [redacted] (b)(6)

**Sent:** Thu, 28 Mar 2019 22:03:12 +0000 (b)(6)

**To:** Kent, George P; [redacted] (b)(6)

**Cc:** [redacted] (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: Monitoring U.S. based media on Ukraine - substance and audience

Happy to add more people once we get the feed set up correctly. We will add Sara Carter and Guiliani to the list for monitoring.

**Official**  
**UNCLASSIFIED**

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

**From:** Kent, George P [redacted] (b)(6)

**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2019 1:19 PM (b)(6)

**To:** [redacted] (b)(6)

**Cc:** [redacted] (b)(6)

**Subject:** RE: Monitoring U.S. based media on Ukraine - substance and audience (b)(5)

[redacted] – thank you very much for moving forward on this.

To be clear, [redacted] are not the only primary audience for the social media content.

[redacted] (b)(6)

I would suggest the direct recipient social media audience here includes [redacted] and me in the front office, for starters, as well as the desk. The attaboy (or attagirl) tweets in support of what we are doing are less of an issue to track, frankly, than the attacks.

The indirect recipients, to remind, are AAS Reeker (I have been forwarding to him), and through him to P and C – not staff, but David Hale and Ulrich directly.

The list of tweeters has many of the heavy hitting amplifiers we need to be aware of; Sara Carter should be added, since she often acts as an amplifying vanguard for issues that then get picked up on Hannity. Giuliani too.

[redacted] (b)(5)