United States Department of State

Washington, D.C. 20520

November 29, 2021

Case No. FL-2021-00524

James Peterson Judicial Watch, Inc. 425 Third Street, SW Suite 800 Washington, District of Columbia 20024

Dear Mr. Peterson:

We refer you to our letter dated October 27, 2021, under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552. Thus far, the Department of State has located an additional four responsive records subject to the FOIA. Upon review, we have determined all four records may be released in part. The processing of your request is ongoing.

An enclosure explains the FOIA exemptions and other grounds for withholding material. Where we have made redactions, the applicable FOIA exemptions are marked on each record. All non-exempt material that is reasonably segregable from the exempt material has been released and is enclosed.

We will keep you informed as your case progresses. If you have any questions, your attorney may contact Paul Cirino, Assistant United State Attorney, at paul.cirino@usdoj.gov or (202) 252-2529. Please refer to the case number, F-2021-07575/FL-2021-00524, and the civil action number, 21-cv-02111, in all correspondence about this case.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Miller

Chief, Programs and Policies Division Office of Information Programs and Services

Peanne Mille

Enclosures: As stated.

The Freedom of Information Act (5 USC 552)

FOIA Exemptions

- (b)(1) Information specifically authorized by an executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy. Executive Order 13526 includes the following classification categories:
 - 1.4(a) Military plans, systems, or operations
 - 1.4(b) Foreign government information
 - 1.4(c) Intelligence activities, sources or methods, or cryptology
 - 1.4(d) Foreign relations or foreign activities of the US, including confidential sources
 - 1.4(e) Scientific, technological, or economic matters relating to national security, including defense against transnational terrorism
 - 1.4(f) U.S. Government programs for safeguarding nuclear materials or facilities
 - 1.4(g) Vulnerabilities or capabilities of systems, installations, infrastructures, projects, plans, or protection services relating to US national security, including defense against transnational terrorism
 - 1.4(h) Weapons of mass destruction
- (b)(2) Related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency
- (b)(3) Specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than 5 USC 552), for example:

ARMSEXP	Arms Export Control Act, 50a USC 2411(c)
CIA PERS/ORG	Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, 50 USC 403(g)
EXPORT CONTROL	Export Administration Act of 1979, 50 USC App. Sec. 2411(c)
FS ACT	Foreign Service Act of 1980, 22 USC 4004
INA	Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 USC 1202(f), Sec. 222(f)
IRAN	Iran Claims Settlement Act, Public Law 99-99, Sec. 505

- (b)(4) Trade secrets and confidential commercial or financial information
- (b)(5) Interagency or intra-agency communications forming part of the deliberative process, attorney-client privilege, or attorney work product
- (b)(6) Personal privacy information
- (b)(7) Law enforcement information whose disclosure would:
 - (A) interfere with enforcement proceedings
 - (B) deprive a person of a fair trial
 - (C) constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy
 - (D) disclose confidential sources
 - (E) disclose investigation techniques
 - (F) endanger life or physical safety of an individual
- (b)(8) Prepared by or for a government agency regulating or supervising financial institutions
- (b)(9) Geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells

Other Grounds for Withholding

NR Material not responsive to a FOIA request excised with the agreement of the requester

From:	(b)(6)	
То:	(b)(6)	
	Beijing VIP Visits <beijingvipvisits@state.gov></beijingvipvisits@state.gov>	
Subject:	RE: Swabs, swabs, and more swabs	
Date:	Fri, 5 Mar 2021 06:52:07 +0000	

Thank $\mathfrak{S}^{(b)(6)}$. Interesting that there's no central policy on this given all the media attention and spin. Please keep me updated if anything changes.

From: (b)(6)	
Sent: Friday, March 5, 2021 2:50 PM	
To: (b)(6)	
Cain, (b)(6)	Beijing VIP Visits <beijingvipvisits@state.gov></beijingvipvisits@state.gov>
Subject: RE: Swahs swahs and more swahs	\$20, 500 000

Just checked with my contact from the PEK customs. Airport only does nasal swab and throat swab. According to some Chinese social media, international travelers are required to get anal tests during centralized quarantine. It could happen on Day 3, Day 7, Day 14 or Day 21. Some people were tested once and some were twice. Samples were collected by medical staff or travelers themselves or from a fecal sample.

From: (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 2:37 PM

To: (b)(6)

VIP Visits < Beijing VIP Visits@state.gov >

Subject: RE: Swabs, swabs, and more swabs

Great, thanks (b)(6)

From: (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 2:35 PM

To: (b)(6)

Beijing VIP Visits < Beijing VIP Visits@state.gov >

Just checked with Shanghai FAO, for in-bound diplomats who're close contact to someone tested positive upon arrival, they will still quarantine in the Wyndham Hotel, but with one additional NAT test.

Best regards,

(b)(6)

Subject: RE: Swabs, swabs, and more swabs

GSO Visitor Unit, U.S. Embassy Beijing Tel: (b)(6) Cell: (b)(6)	
From: (b)(6)	
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 1:54 PM	
To:(b)(6)	Beijing VIP Visits <beijingvipvisits@state.gov></beijingvipvisits@state.gov>
Subject: RE: Swabs, swabs, and more swabs	

This is certainly different and a variation on the "close contact" rule.

VIP – please check with FAO again on this. Seems to be a CDC rule and given our history with close contacts and following hotel separations, want to make sure the MFA/FAO understand this is not acceptable for our people regardless of the reason.

Thanks,

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 1:22 PM

To: Beijing VIP Visits < Beijing VIP Visits@state.gov >

Subject: Swabs, swabs, and more swabs

I hadn't heard this rule before:

Recipient:

Travelers flying into Shanghai must undertake a full battery of tests including anal swabs, if more than five people on their airplane test positive for the virus, state media reported, citing one of the local CDC staff.

https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-china-anal-factbox-idUSKBN2AV0Y4

Beijing VIP Visits <BeijingVIPVisits@state.gov>

• A/(GSO • U.S. Embassy Beijing • Desk: (b)(6)	• Cell:(b)(6)
(6)	3700 3700 3700	
Sender:	(b)(6)	
	(b)(6)	

From:	(b)(6)
To:	
Subject:	RE: +7 Testing Guidance
Date:	Tue, 26 Jan 2021 01:35:00 +0000
Thank: I am hoping Best regards (b)(6) From: (b)(6)	for a smooth covid test and release on Friday.
Sent: Monda	ay, January 25, 2021 8:30 PM
To: (b)(6) Subject: RE:	+7 Testing Guidance
Hi (b)(6)	
Thanks for c	onfirming. Generally speaking, try calling the Embassy main line and you can ask for my

extension of (b)(6) If you can't reach me, ask for the Duty Officer or GSO secretary.

GSO isn't setup for 24/7 call service, but if you reach a Duty Officer, you can ask for me or one of the other GSOs.

Thanks,

(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:13 AM

To: (b)(6)

Subject: RE: +/ Testing Guidance

Hello (b)(6)

That is indeed a very strange test and first time we've heard as well.

Fortunately, the tests we had at the Shanghai airports was just nose swab and one at Shanghai hotel on our 14th day was just throat swab.

Do you have a good number we can call that we will definitely get a response right away once they come in for test on Friday, January 29th? Last Friday, I tried to call from 9 to 10 a.m. to be connected to anyone in the VIP visits and no one answered the office number and the mobile number, same thing when we got inside the LMQC unit at 2:30 I started calling to inquire more guidelines and I was not able to talk to anyone.

Thank you very much for the information you provided below,

FL-2021-00524 A-00000447275 "UNCLASSIFIED" 11/29/2021 Page 4

From: (b)(6)	
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:59 PM	
To:(b)(6)	15
Subject: +/ Testing Guidance	

Good morning (b)(6)

I hope you are doing as well as can be expected in the final stage of quarantine here in Beijing. Unfortunately, the Chinese CDC is promoting some alternative COVID testing techniques and implemented them with their citizens that are not in line with our diplomatic rights or our general agreement with the host government. I'm writing to ask what your testing experiences have been thus far and to ensure you understand the boundaries of the Day 21 test.

There's no good way to ask this, but has any health authority asked you or your spouse to conduct an anal swab test? The embassy obviously does not authorize or permit this type of testing on diplomats but others have been asked so I need to verify everyone's experience.

The testers may also ask to do an "environmental test" where they enter the residence and swab drinking glasses, furniture, etc. This is also not permitted. They may swab the **outside** of the doorknob, but nothing internal.

For the record, our agreement is for nasal and/or throat swabs only. If you are asked to undergo either of the above or any other that seems inappropriate, please refuse and contact us immediately. We will escalate to MFA/FAO and go from there.

Sorry for the strange questions, but I was directed by embassy management to survey our people and ensure we are not being asked to participate in the more invasive testing procedures. I appreciate any response.

Thanks,	
(b)(6)	
(b)(6)	
General Services Officer 总务官	
U.S. Embassy Beijing 美国驻北京大使馆	
Sender: (b)(6)	
Recipient:	

FL-2021-0	00524	A-00000447262	"UNCLA	ASSIFIED"	11/29/2021	Page 5
From:	(b)(6)					
:	(b)(6)					
То:						
Subject:	FW: C	OVID TEST 21ST DAY	0)(6)			
Date:	Wed, 2	27 Jan 2021 03:54:15 +	-0000			
Team - FYI.		asked for anal swab a	nd environn	nental test.		
Can Housing conta	act ^{(b)(6)}	? I'll have VIP conta	act FAO AS	AP.		
CENCITIVE DUT	INCI	ACCIEIED				
SENSITIVE BUT	UNCL	ASSIFIED				
Original Mess	age	- 3		7		
From: (b)(6)	Ianuar	y 27, 2021 11:49 AM	,			
To: (U) (b)(6)	Januar	<u>-</u>	7			
	(b)(6	27 Paris Annual III				
Subject: COVID T	TEST 2	1ST DAY (D)(O)				
AT this point, if th	ey will	insist the anal test, w	e would like	e to just go bac	ck to the States.	
Original Mess	age	<u> </u>				
From: (b)(6)	2					
Sent: Tuesday, Jan To: (b)(6)	uary 20	5, 2021 10:39 PM				
Subject: FW: Test						
(b)(6)						
This is becoming s	so non o	diplomatic status testi	ng. Disgusti	ng.		
I hope the GSO an	d VIP	Beijing visits can do s	something al	oout this. I am	so disgusted rig	ght now.
(5)(6)						
Original Mess	age	- 9				
From: (b)(6)	~					
Sent: Tuesday, Jan To: (b)(6)	uary 20	6, 2021 10:34 PM	Ĩ			
Subject: Test]			
(b)(6) please call r	ne at yo	our convenient time	0)(6)			
		from (b)(6) This is r				

FL-2021-00524 A-00000447262 "UNCLASSIFIED" 11/29/2021 Page 6

Sent from my iPhor	(b)(6)	
Sender:	PROVE SE	
Recipient:	(b)(6)	

From:	(b)(6)	
To:	(b)(6)	
Subject:	FW: +/ Testing Guidance	
Date:	Tue, 26 Jan 2021 01:27:28 +0000	

(b)(6 Confirmed OK

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED From: (b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:19 AM To: (b)(6) Subject: Re: +7 Testing Guidance Good Morning(b)(6)

No, I have had no unusual requests. I received a nasal and throat swab when I arrived in Shanghai and just a throat swab before departing.

I'll let you know if I get any unusual requests.

Thanks!

(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 26, 2021, at 9:00 AM, (b)(6) wrote:

Good morning(b)(6)

I hope you are doing as well as can be expected in the final stage of quarantine here in Beijing. Unfortunately, the Chinese CDC is promoting some alternative COVID testing techniques and implemented them with their citizens that are not in line with our diplomatic rights or our general agreement with the host government. I'm writing to ask what your testing experiences have been thus far and to ensure you understand the boundaries of the Day 21 test.

There's no good way to ask this, but has any health authority asked you to conduct an anal swab test? The embassy obviously does not authorize or permit this type of testing on diplomats but others have been asked so I need to verify everyone's experience.

The testers may also ask to do an "environmental test" where they enter the residence and swab drinking glasses, furniture, etc. This is also not permitted. They may swab the **outside** of the doorknob, but nothing internal.

For the record, our agreement is for nasal and/or throat swabs only. If you are asked to undergo either of the above or any other that seems inappropriate, please refuse and contact us immediately. We will escalate to MFA/FAO and go from there.

Sorry for the strange questions, but I was directed by embassy management to survey our people and not being asked to participate in the more invasive testing procedures. Lappreciate

response. If you'd prefer a phone call, I can arrange a time.	ın
Thanks,	
(b)(6)	

General Services Officer | 总务官

(b)(6)

U.S. Embassy Beijing | 美国驻北京大使馆

Sender:	(b)(6)
Recipient:	(b)(6)