

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.,	)	
425 Third Street SW, Suite 800	)	
Washington, DC 20024,	)	
	)	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No.
	)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE,	)	
The Executive Office	)	
Office of the Legal Adviser, Suite 5.600	)	
600 19 <sup>th</sup> Street NW	)	
Washington, DC 20522,	)	
	)	
<i>Defendant.</i>	)	
<hr style="border: 0.5px solid black;"/>		

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. brings this action against Defendant U.S. Department of State to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 (“FOIA”). As grounds therefor, Plaintiff alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

**PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff Judicial Watch, Inc. is a not-for-profit, educational organization incorporated under the laws of the District of Columbia and headquartered at 425 Third Street SW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024. Plaintiff seeks to promote transparency, integrity, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law. As part of its mission, Plaintiff regularly requests records from federal agencies pursuant to FOIA. Plaintiff analyzes the

agencies' responses and disseminates both its findings and the requested records to the American public to inform them about "what their government is up to."

4. Defendant U.S. Department of State ("State Department") is an agency of the U.S. Government headquartered at 2201 C Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20520. The State Department has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

5. On February 11, 2022, Plaintiff served a FOIA request to the State Department, via email, seeking access to the following:

All cables/teletypes and email messages sent via the SMART system that reference Hunter Biden, excluding any records that consist solely of media articles or compilations.

The time frame for the requested records was identified as "January 1, 2016 to the present."

6. By email dated February 16, 2022, the State Department acknowledged receipt of the request on February 11, 2022 and advised Plaintiff that the request had been assigned reference number F-2022-04786.

7. The State Department's February 16, 2022 email also asserted that the agency was invoking FOIA's ten-day extension of time provision due to "unusual circumstances."

8. As of the date of this Complaint, the State Department has failed to: (i) determine whether to comply with the request; (ii) notify Plaintiff of any such determination or the reasons therefor; (iii) advise Plaintiff of the right to appeal any adverse determination; or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate that the requested records are exempt from production.

### **COUNT I** **(Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)**

9. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 8 as if fully stated herein.

10. Defendant is in violation of FOIA.

11. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendant's violation of FOIA, and Plaintiff will continue to be irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to comply with the law.

12. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

13. To trigger FOIA's administrative exhaustion requirement, Defendant was required to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request by March 28, 2022. Because Defendant failed to make a final determination on Plaintiff's request within the time limits set by FOIA, Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted its administrative appeal remedies.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court: (1) order Defendant to conduct searches for any and all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and demonstrate that it employed search methods reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (2) order Defendant to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request and a *Vaughn* index of any responsive records withheld under claim of exemption; (3) enjoin Defendant from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request; (4) grant Plaintiff an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and (5) grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 15, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James F. Peterson

James F. Peterson

D.C. Bar No. 450171

JUDICIAL WATCH, INC.

425 Third Street SW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20024

Tel: (202) 646-5175

Fax: (202) 646-5199

Email: [jpeterson@judicialwatch.org](mailto:jpeterson@judicialwatch.org)

*Counsel for Plaintiff*