

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 6:48 PM

I need someones thoughts (please keep internal to DHS)

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 6:49 PM

so this person requested a meeting with Walz due to the house investigation they have walz for his relationship china. Then he drafted this letter for upcoming travel he has to china assuming he will get detained

Message edited: (b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:55 PM

so this person requested a meeting a US Gov due to the house investigation within a house The person drafted a letter for upcoming travel he has to china assuming he will get detained

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 6:49 PM

Attachment: Statement by Chang Wang Sep2024.pdf

(b) (7) (E)

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 6:49 PM

Attachment: Statement by Chang Wang Sep2024.pdf

(b) (7) (E)

Message deleted: 9/12/2024 7:52 PM

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 6:49 PM

I read this as TNR but what am i missing

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 7:20 PM

that's what it looks like...begs the question, if he anticipates being detained, why go? weird.

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:21 PM

I know right

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:21 PM

i am working with hsi on it

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:22 PM

what is TNR?

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:23 PM

transnational repression

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> +like 9/12/2024 7:23 PM

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:29 PM

i'm not sure it counts as TNR since it seems to assume being snatched while in China, so it isn't crossing borders. if he claims they have been pressuring or seeking to coerce him while he's home in, apparently, MN, that would count as TNR. I don't know the background on him. (b) (6) (b) (7) (C) is my TNR lead.

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:29 PM

can reach to (b) (6) (b) (7) (C) as well, my China lead.

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 7:35 PM

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C)

i'm not sure it counts as TNR since it seems to assume being snatched while in China, so it isn't crossing borders. if he claims they have been pressuring or seeking to coerce him while he's home in, apparently, MN, that would count as TNR. I don't know the background on him.

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) is my ...

good point!

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:37 PM

mind you, if he's a known dissident they probably were pressuring him while he was in the U.S. If he went back anyway, Navalny style, and got pinched, it's just that part that might not be treated as TNR. There's no TNR statute per se (only proposals) so FBI investigates it as elements of the underlying offenses (like the stalking, extortion, assault, kidnapping, etc.)

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:39 PM

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C)

File: Statement by Chang Wang Sep2024.pdf

Not my area but his itinerary entry for 9/29 is interesting appears he is a witness for the plaintiffs in a court case. Could be related to why he thinks he may be detained. Also is there additional context on why he requested that meeting or the proposed topic?

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 7:43 PM

if this isn't TNR (which appears to be the case), what's our hook? maybe i'm missing something...

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @hq.dhs.gov> 9/12/2024 7:53 PM

I deleted the letter since there is worries ere, I am talking with HSI and DOS and turn it over to them

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 10:10 PM

I think Safeguard Defenders has done some great assessments that characterize the broad range of activities associated with PRC's "involuntary return" efforts. Worth a skimming...

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 10:10 PM

[Involuntary Returns – report exposes long-arm policing overseas | Safeguard Defenders](#)

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 10:11 PM

And this one has a good updated list of high-profile cases:

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 10:11 PM

[New Report: Chasing Fox Hunt | Safeguard Defenders](#)

(b) (6) (b) (7) (C) @HQ.DHS.GOV> 9/12/2024 10:13 PM

(see p. 39)